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#### Abstract

We extend the characterization of the integrability of an almost complex structure $J$ on differentiable manifolds via the vanishing of the Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket $[J, J]^{F N}$ to an analogous characterization of torsion-free $G_{2}$-structures and torsion-free $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structures. We also explain the Fernández-Gray classification of $G_{2}$-structures and the Fernández classification of $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structures in terms of the FrölicherNijenhuis bracket.


## 1. Introduction

A $G_{2}$-structure on a 7 -dimensional manifold $M^{7}$ is a 3 -form $\varphi \in \Omega^{3}\left(M^{7}\right)$ which at each point $p \in M^{7}$ is contained in a certain open subset of $\Lambda^{3} T_{p}^{*} M^{7}$; similarly, a $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structure on an 8 -dimensional manifold $M^{8}$ is given by a 4 -form $\Phi \in \Omega^{4}\left(M^{8}\right)$ which at each point is contained in a certain subset of $\Lambda^{4} T_{p}^{*} M^{8}$. Such structures induce both an orientation and a Riemannian metric on the underlying manifold, denoted by $g_{\varphi}$ and $g_{\Phi}$, respectively, and $\Phi$ is self-dual w.r.t. this metric.

Manifolds with $G_{2}$-structures have first been investigated by Fernández and Gray [FG1982], and $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structures by Fernández [Fernandez1986] who showed that the covariant derivatives $\nabla \varphi \in \Omega^{1}\left(M^{7}, \Lambda^{3} T^{*} M^{7}\right)$ and $\nabla \Phi \in \Omega^{1}\left(M^{8}, \Lambda^{4} T^{*} M^{8}\right)$, respectively, decompose into four irreducible components in case of $G_{2}$-structures and into two irreducible components in the case of $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structures. Thus, the conditions of the vanishing of some of these components yield $2^{4}=16$ classes of $G_{2}$-structure and $2^{2}=4$ classes of $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structures, respectively, and the underlying geometries were discussed in [FG1982] and Fernandez1986]; see also Section 5 below.

A $G_{2}$-structure ( $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structure, respectively) is called torsion-free, if $\varphi$ ( $\Phi$, respectively) is parallel. As it turns out, the parallelity of $\varphi$ and $\Phi$, respectively, is equivalent to $\varphi$ and $\Phi$ being harmonic forms.

Alternatively, $G_{2^{-}}$and $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structures may be characterized via certain (2-fold or 3 -fold) cross products on the tangent bundle. These are given as

[^0]the sections
$$
C r_{\varphi}:=\delta_{g_{\varphi}} \varphi \in \Omega^{2}\left(M^{7}, T M^{7}\right), \quad \chi_{\varphi}:=-\delta_{g_{\varphi}} * \varphi \in \Omega^{3}\left(M^{7}, T M^{7}\right)
$$
in case of $G_{2}$-structures, and as
$$
P_{\Phi}:=-\delta_{g_{\Phi}} \Phi \in \Omega^{3}\left(M^{8}, T M^{8}\right),
$$
where $\delta_{g}: \Omega^{k+1}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k}(M, T M)$ is the contraction of a differential form with the Riemannian metric $g$ and have natural interpretations via octonian multiplication. The triple cross product $\chi \in \Omega^{3}\left(M^{7}, T M^{7}\right)$ on a manifold with a $G_{2}$-structure has been introduced by Harvey-Lawson HL1982 and was used in many papers on deformation of associative submanifolds, see e.g. McLean1998, Kawai2014, LV2016. The 3-fold cross product $P$ on $\mathbb{R}^{8}$ has been first explicitly constructed by Brown and Gray [BG1967. They also proved that (up to the $G_{2}$-action) there are exactly two non-equivalent 3 -fold cross products on $\mathbb{R}^{8}=\mathbb{O}$. In HL1982 Harvey and Lawson intensively used the 3 -fold cross product on $\mathbb{R}^{8}$ which is related to the Cayley 4 -form and hence is invariant under the action of $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$. Fernandez showed the uniqueness of a $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-invariant 4 -form on $\mathbb{R}^{8}$ (up to a multiplicative constant) and used the associated 3 -fold cross product to classify $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$ structures on 8-manifolds Fernandez1986.

In this article, we view these cross products as elements of the FrölicherNijenhuis Lie algebra $\Omega^{*}(M, T M)$. Namely, it was shown by FrölicherNijenhuis in FN1956] that $\Omega^{*}(M, T M)$ can be given the structure of a graded Lie algebra using the Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket [, $]^{F N}$ in a natural way. Thus, given a manifold with a $G_{2}$-structure $\left(M^{7}, \varphi\right)$, we may consider the Frölicher-Nijenhuis brackets

$$
\left[C r_{\varphi}, \chi_{\varphi}\right]^{F N} \in \Omega^{5}\left(M^{7}, T M^{7}\right), \quad\left[\chi_{\varphi}, \chi_{\varphi}\right]^{F N} \in \Omega^{6}\left(M^{7}, T M^{7}\right),
$$

(observe that $[C r, C r]^{F N}=0$ due to graded skew-symmetry), and analogously, for a manifold with a $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structure $\left(M^{8}, \Phi\right)$ we may consider

$$
\left[P_{\Phi}, P_{\Phi}\right]^{F N} \in \Omega^{6}\left(M^{8}, T M^{8}\right) .
$$

These brackets may be regarded as a natural generalization of the Nijenhuis tensor of an almost complex structure $J$. Indeed, regarding such a structure as an element $J \in \Omega^{1}(M, T M)$, it turns out that $[J, J]^{F N} \in \Omega^{2}(M, T M)$ coincides - up to a constant multiple - with the Nijenhuis tensor of $J$, whence $J$ is integrable if and only if $[J, J]^{F N}=0$ FN1956b .

Our main result is that the Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket also characterizes the torsion-freeness of $G_{2^{-}}$and $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structures, respectively. Namely, we show the following.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\left(M^{7}, \varphi\right)$ be a manifold with a $G_{2}$-structure and the associated Riemannian metric $g=g_{\varphi}$, and let $\nabla$ be the Levi-Civita connection of $g$. Then for every $p \in M^{7}$ the following are equivalent.
(1) The $G_{2}$-structure is torsion-free at $p$, i.e., $(\nabla \varphi)_{p}=0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[C r_{\varphi}, \chi_{\varphi}\right]_{p}^{F N}=0 \in \Lambda^{5} T_{p}^{*} M^{7} \otimes T_{p} M^{7} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) $\left[\chi_{\varphi}, \chi_{\varphi}\right]_{p}^{F N}=0 \in \Lambda^{6} T_{p}^{*} M^{7} \otimes T_{p} M^{7}$.

In fact, we show in Theorem 3.7 that $(\nabla \varphi)_{p}$ is characterized by either $\left[\chi_{\varphi}, \chi_{\varphi}\right]_{p}^{F N}$, or by the projection of $\left[C r_{\varphi}, \chi_{\varphi}\right]_{p}^{F N}$ onto a subspace isomorphic to $T_{p} M^{7} \otimes T_{p} M^{7}$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $\left(M^{8}, \Phi\right)$ be a manifold with a $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structure, and let $\nabla$ be the Levi-Civita connection of the associated Riemannian metric $g=g_{\Phi}$. Then for every $p \in M^{8}$ the following are equivalent.
(1) The $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structure is torsion-free at $p$, i.e., $(\nabla \Phi)_{p}=0$.
(2) $\left[P_{\Phi}, P_{\Phi}\right]_{p}^{F N}=0 \in \Lambda^{6} T_{p}^{*} M^{8} \otimes T_{p} M^{8}$.

Namely, we show in Theorem 4.6 that $(\nabla \Phi)_{p}$ is characterized by the projection of $\left[P_{\Phi}, P_{\Phi}\right]_{p}^{F N}$ onto a subspace isomorphic to $W_{p}^{7} \otimes T_{p} M^{8}$ for some rank- 7 bundle $\Lambda^{6} T^{*} M^{8} \supset W^{7} \rightarrow M^{8}$.

These explicit descriptions also allow us to give a complete characterization of the 16 cases of $G_{2}$-structures in terms of $\left[C r_{\varphi}, \chi_{\varphi}\right]_{p}^{F N}$ and $\left[\chi_{\varphi}, \chi_{\varphi}\right]_{p}^{F N}$, and of the 4 classes of $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structures in terms of $\left[P_{\Phi}, P_{\Phi}\right]_{p}^{F N}$; cf. Section 5.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the FrölicherNijenhuis bracket on $\Omega^{*}(M, T M)$. Then we turn to the case of $G_{2}$-structures in Section 3, characterizing the torsion endomorphism and showing the results that lead us to Theorem [1.1. In Section 4, we repeat this discussion for the case of $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structures which leads to Theorem 1.2. Finally, the characterization of the 16 classes of $G_{2}$-structures and the 4 classes of $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$ structures in terms of the Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket is given in Section 5 , The appendix then contains the proofs of some identities on representations of $G_{2}$ and $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$ which are used throughout the paper.

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. The Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket. Let $M$ be a manifold and $\left(\Omega^{*}(M), \wedge\right)=$ $\left(\oplus_{k \geq 0} \Omega^{k}(M), \wedge\right)$ be the graded algebra of differential forms. We shall use superscripts to indicate the degree of a form, i.e., $\alpha^{k}$ denotes an element of $\Omega^{k}(M)$.

Evidently, contraction $\imath_{X}: \Omega^{k}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(M)$ with a vector field $X \in$ $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ is a derivation of degree -1 . More generally, for $K \in \Omega^{k}(M, T M)$ we define $\imath_{K} \alpha^{l}$ as the contraction of $K$ with $\alpha^{l} \in \Omega^{l}(M)$ pointwise by

$$
\imath_{\kappa^{k} \otimes X} \alpha^{l}:=\kappa^{k} \wedge\left(\imath_{X} \alpha^{l}\right) \in \Omega^{k+l-1}(M),
$$

where $\kappa^{k} \in \Omega^{k}(M)$ and $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is a vector field, and this is a derivation of $\Omega^{*}(M)$ of degree $l-1$. Thus, the Nijenhuis-Lie derivative along $K \in$ $\Omega^{k}(M, T M)$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{K}\left(\alpha^{l}\right):=\left[\imath_{K}, d\right]\left(\alpha^{l}\right)=\imath_{K}\left(d \alpha^{l}\right)+(-1)^{k} d\left(\imath_{K} \alpha^{l}\right) \in \Omega^{k+l}(M) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a derivation of $\Omega^{*}(M)$ of degree $k$.

Observe that for $k=0$ in which case $K \in \Omega^{0}(M, T M)$ is a vector field, both $\imath_{K}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{K}$ coincide with the standard notion of contraction with and Lie derivative along a vector field.

In [FN1956] FN1956b], it was shown that $\Omega^{*}(M, T M)$ can be given a unique Lie algebra structure, called the Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket and denoted by $[\cdot, \cdot]^{F N}$, such that $\mathcal{L}$ defines an action of $\Omega^{*}(M, T M)$ on $\Omega^{*}(M)$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\left[K_{1}, K_{2}\right]^{F N}}=\left[\mathcal{L}_{K_{1}}, \mathcal{L}_{K_{2}}\right]=: \mathcal{L}_{K_{1}} \circ \mathcal{L}_{K_{2}}-(-1)^{\left|K_{1}\right|\left|K_{2}\right|} \mathcal{L}_{K_{2}} \circ \mathcal{L}_{K_{1}} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is given by the following formula for $\alpha^{k} \in \Omega^{k}(M), \beta^{l} \in \Omega^{l}(M), X_{1}, X_{2} \in$ $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ KMS1993, Theorem 8.7 (6), p. 70]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\alpha^{k} \otimes X_{1}, \beta^{l} \otimes X_{2}\right]^{F N}=\alpha^{k} \wedge \beta^{l} \otimes\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right]} \\
& \quad+\alpha^{k} \wedge \mathcal{L}_{X_{1}} \beta^{l} \otimes X_{2}-\mathcal{L}_{X_{2}} \alpha^{k} \wedge \beta^{l} \otimes X_{1}  \tag{2.3}\\
& \quad+(-1)^{k}\left(d \alpha^{k} \wedge\left(\imath_{X_{1}} \beta^{l}\right) \otimes X_{2}+\left(\imath_{X_{2}} \alpha^{k}\right) \wedge d \beta^{l} \otimes X_{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, for a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $K \in \Omega^{*}(M, T M)$ we have KMS1993, Theorem 8.16 (5), p. 75]

$$
\mathcal{L}_{X}(K)=[X, K]^{F N},
$$

that is, the Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket with a vector field coincides with the Lie derivative of the tensor field $K \in \Omega^{*}(M, T M)$ which means that $\exp (t X): \Omega^{*}(M, T M) \rightarrow \Omega^{*}(M, T M)$ is the action induced by (local) diffeomorphisms of $M$.

Example 2.1. Let $A \in \Omega^{1}(M, T M)$ be an endomorphism field on $M$. Then [KMS1993, Remark 8.17, p. 75]

$$
[A, A]^{F N}=2[A, A]_{N},
$$

where $[A, A]_{N}$ is the Nijenhuis tensor of $A$. W.r.t. a local frame $\left(e_{i}\right)$ with dual frame ( $e^{i}$ ) we can write $A=e^{i} \otimes A e_{i}$, whence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{L}_{A} \alpha^{k} \stackrel{\sqrt{2.11}}{=} e^{i} \wedge\left(\imath_{A e_{i}} d \alpha^{k}\right)-d\left(e^{i} \wedge\left(\imath_{A e_{i}} \alpha^{k}\right)\right) \\
&=A \cdot d \alpha^{k}-d\left(A \cdot \alpha^{k}\right), \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where we denote by $\cdot$ the pointwise action of $A_{p} \in \operatorname{End}\left(T_{p} M\right)$ on $\Lambda^{k} T_{p}^{*} M$. Observe that by (2.2) we have $\mathcal{L}_{[A, A]^{F N}}=2\left(\mathcal{L}_{A}\right)^{2}$, so that the derivation $\mathcal{L}_{A}: \Omega^{k}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(M)$ is a differential iff $[A, A]_{N}=0$.

For instance, if $A=I d$ then $I \cdot \alpha^{k}=e^{i} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \alpha^{k}\right)=k \alpha^{k}$, so that

$$
\mathcal{L}_{I} \alpha^{k} \stackrel{\sqrt{2.4}}{=} I \cdot d \alpha^{k}-d\left(I \cdot \alpha^{k}\right)=(k+1) d \alpha^{k}-d\left(k \alpha^{k}\right)=d \alpha^{k} .
$$

To see another example, let $A=J$ be an almost complex structure. Then $[J, J]^{F N}=2[J, J]_{N}=0$ iff $J$ is integrable, and in this case one calculates from (2.4) that $\mathcal{L}_{J}=-d^{c}=i(\partial-\bar{\partial})$ is the negative of the complex differential, where $d=\partial+\bar{\partial}$ is the decomposition into the holomorphic and
anti-holomorphic part of $d$. In particular, $H_{J}^{*}\left(\Omega^{*}(M)\right) \cong H_{d R}^{*}(M)$ coincides with the deRham cohomology.

We end this section by providing a formula for the Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket for those types of forms which we shall be concerned with. Recall from the introduction that on a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ we define the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\delta_{g}: \Lambda^{k} V^{*} \longrightarrow \Lambda^{k-1} V^{*} \otimes V, \quad \delta_{g}\left(\alpha^{k}\right):=\left(e_{e_{i}} \alpha^{k}\right) \otimes\left(e^{i}\right)^{\#} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

taking the sum over some basis $\left(e_{i}\right)$ of $T_{p} M$ with dual basis $\left(e^{i}\right)$ of $T_{p}^{*} M$. This implies that to each $\Psi \in \Omega^{k+1}(M)$ we may associate a section $\delta_{g}(\Psi) \in$ $\Omega^{k}(M, T M)$.

Proposition 2.2. Let $(M, g)$ be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold of dimension $n$ and let $\Psi_{l} \in \Omega^{k_{l}+1}(M), l=1,2$. Moreover, let

$$
K_{l}:=\delta_{g}\left(\Psi_{l}\right) \in \Omega^{k_{l}}(M, T M)
$$

with the map $\delta_{g}$ from (2.5).
Then the Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket at $p \in M$ is given as

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[K_{1}, K_{2}\right]_{p}^{F N}=\left(\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \Psi_{1}\right)\right.} & \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \nabla_{e_{i}} \Psi_{2}\right)-(-1)^{k_{1}}\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \imath_{e_{i}} \Psi_{1}\right) \wedge e^{k} \wedge \imath_{e_{i}} \nabla_{e_{k}} \Psi_{2} \\
-\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \nabla_{e_{i}} \Psi_{1}\right) & \left.\wedge\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \Psi_{2}\right)-(-1)^{k_{1}} e^{k} \wedge \imath_{e_{i}} \nabla_{e_{k}} \Psi_{1} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \imath_{e_{i}} \Psi_{2}\right)\right) \otimes\left(e^{j}\right)^{\#}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(e_{i}\right)$ is an arbitrary basis of $T_{p} M$ with dual basis $\left(e^{i}\right)$ of $T_{p}^{*} M$. In particular, if $K_{1}=K_{2}=: K$ and $k_{1}=k_{2}$ is odd, then

$$
[K, K]_{p}^{F N}=2\left(\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \Psi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \nabla_{e_{i}} \Psi\right)+\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \imath_{e_{i}} \Psi\right) \wedge e^{k} \wedge \imath_{e_{i}} \nabla_{e_{k}} \Psi\right) \otimes\left(e^{j}\right)^{\#}
$$

Remark 2.3. If $K_{1}=K_{2}=K$ and $k_{1}=k_{2}$ is even, then $[K, K]^{F N}=0$ due to the graded skew symmetry of the bracket. Furthermore, observe that $\left(e^{j}\right)^{\#}=e_{j}$ in case $\left(e_{i}\right)$ is an orthonormal basis.

Proof. Evidently, if this formula holds for some basis $\left(e_{j}\right)$ with dual basis $\left(e^{j}\right)$, then it holds for any basis. Therefore, it suffices to show the assertion for an orthonormal basis $\left(e_{j}\right)$ in which case $\left(e^{j}\right)^{\#}=e_{j}$.

Choose geodesic normal coordinates $\left(x^{i}\right)$ around $p \in M$ in such a way that $\left(\partial_{i}\right)_{p}:=\left(\partial / \partial x^{i}\right)_{p}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_{p} M$. The dual basis of $\partial_{i}$ is $d x^{i}$, whence $\left(d x^{i}\right)^{\#}=g^{i j} \partial_{j}$. Thus,

$$
K_{l}=\left(\imath_{\partial_{i}} \Psi_{l}\right) \otimes\left(d x^{i}\right)^{\#}=g^{i j}\left(\iota_{\partial_{i}} \Psi_{l}\right) \otimes \partial_{j} .
$$

Thus, by (2.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[K_{1}, K_{2}\right]^{F N}=} & {\left[g^{i j}\left(\imath_{\partial} \Psi_{1}\right) \otimes \partial_{j}, g^{r s}\left(\imath_{\partial_{r}} \Psi_{2}\right) \otimes \partial_{s}\right]^{F N} } \\
= & \left(g^{i j}\left(\imath_{\partial_{i}} \Psi_{1}\right) \wedge \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{j}}\left(g^{r s}\left(\imath_{\partial_{r}} \Psi_{2}\right)\right) \otimes \partial_{s}\right. \\
& -\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{s}}\left(g^{i j}\left(\imath \partial_{i} \Psi_{1}\right)\right) \wedge g^{r s}\left(\imath_{\partial_{r}} \Psi_{2}\right) \otimes \partial_{j} \\
& +(-1)^{k_{1}} d\left(g^{i j}\left(\imath_{i} \Psi_{1}\right)\right) \wedge \imath_{\partial_{j}}\left(g^{r s}\left(\imath_{\partial_{r}} \Psi_{2}\right)\right) \otimes \partial_{s} \\
& +(-1)^{k_{1}}\left(\imath_{\partial_{s}}\left(g^{i j}\left(\imath_{\partial_{i}} \Psi_{1}\right)\right) \wedge d\left(g^{r s}\left(\imath_{\partial_{r}} \Psi_{2}\right)\right) \otimes \partial_{j} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since at $p, g_{i j}=g^{i j}=\delta_{i j}, \partial_{r} g_{i j}=0, \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{j}} \Psi=\nabla_{e_{j}} \Psi, \nabla_{\partial_{i}} \partial_{j}=0$, and $\partial_{j}=\left(e^{j}\right)^{\#}$, the asserted formula follows.

## 3. Cross products and $G_{2}$-Structures

3.1. $G_{2}$-structures and associated cross products. In this section we collect some basic facts on $G_{2}$-structures, see e.g. Humphreys1978, Bryant1987, [FG1982], HL1982] for references.

Let $M$ be an oriented 7 -manifold. A $G_{2}$-structure on $M$ is a 3 -form $\varphi \in \Omega^{3}(M)$ such that at each $p \in M$ there is a positively oriented basis ( $e_{i}$ ) of $T_{p} M$ with dual basis ( $e^{i}$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{p}=e^{123}+e^{145}+e^{167}+e^{246}-e^{257}-e^{347}-e^{356} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e^{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}}$ is short for $e^{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e^{i_{k}}$. We call such a basis a $G_{2}$-frame. The stabilizer of $\varphi_{p}$ is isomorphic to the exceptional group $G_{2}$, and there is a unique $G_{2}$-invariant Riemannian metric $g_{\varphi}$ on $M$ such that each $G_{2}$-frame is orthonormal. In particular, the Hodge-dual of $\varphi$ w.r.t. $g_{\varphi}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
*_{g_{\varphi}} \varphi=e^{4567}+e^{2367}+e^{2345}+e^{1357}-e^{1346}-e^{1256}-e^{1247} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of $G_{2}$-frames yields a principal $G_{2}$-bundle

$$
G_{2}(M)=G_{2}(M, \varphi) \longrightarrow M,
$$

whence for each $G_{2}$-module $V$ we denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(M):=G_{2}(M) \times_{G_{2}} V \longrightarrow M \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the associated vector bundle over $M$. For instance,

$$
V_{7}(M) \cong T M \cong T^{*} M
$$

Definition 3.1. HL1982 Let $(M, \varphi)$ be an oriented manifold with a $G_{2^{-}}$ structure $\varphi$ and the induced Riemannian metric $g=g_{\varphi}$. Then the $T M$ valued forms $C r_{\varphi} \in \Omega^{2}(M, T M)$ and $\chi_{\varphi} \in \Omega^{3}(M, T M)$ are defined by

$$
C r_{\varphi}:=\delta_{g_{\varphi}}(\varphi) \quad \text { and } \quad \chi_{\varphi}:=-\delta_{g}(* \varphi),
$$

and are called the 2 -fold and 3 -fold cross product on $M$, respectively. That is, for $x, y, z, w \in T M$ we have

$$
g_{\varphi}\left(C r_{\varphi}(x, y), z\right)=\varphi(x, y, z), \quad g_{\varphi}\left(\chi_{\varphi}(x, y, z), w\right)=* \varphi(x, y, z, w) .
$$

We shall usually suppress the indices $\varphi$ for $g, C r$ and $\chi$ if it is clear from the context which $G_{2}$-structure $\varphi$ is used.

Remark 3.2. If we use the $G_{2}$-structure to identify each $T_{p} M \cong \operatorname{Im}(\mathbb{O}$ with the imaginary octonians, then $C r$ and $\chi$ can be interpreted w.r.t. the octonian product : : $\mathbb{O} \times \mathbb{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{O}$ as

$$
C r(x, y):=(x \cdot y)_{\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{Q}} \quad \text { and } \quad \chi(x, y, z):=((x \cdot y) \cdot z-x \cdot(y \cdot z))_{\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{O}} .
$$

We summarize important known facts about the decomposition of tensor products of $G_{2}$-modules into irreducible summands which are well known, see e.g. Kar2005, Section 2]. We denote by $V_{k}$ the $k$-dimensional irreducible $G_{2}$-module if there is a unique such module. For instance, $V_{7}$ is the irreducible 7-dimensional $G_{2}$-module from above, and $V_{7}^{*} \cong V_{7}$. For its exterior powers, we obtain the decompositions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Lambda^{0} V_{7} \cong \Lambda^{7} V_{7} \cong V_{1}, & \Lambda^{2} V_{7} \cong \Lambda^{5} V_{7} \cong V_{7} \oplus V_{14}, \\
\Lambda^{1} V_{7} \cong \Lambda^{6} V_{7} \cong V_{7}, & \Lambda^{3} V_{7} \cong \Lambda^{4} V_{7} \cong V_{1} \oplus V_{7} \oplus V_{27}, \tag{3.4}
\end{array}
$$

where $\Lambda^{k} V_{7} \cong \Lambda^{7-k} V_{7}$ due to the Hodge isomorphism. We denote by $\Lambda_{l}^{k} V_{7} \subset$ $\Lambda^{k} V_{7}$ the subspace isomorphic to $V_{l}$ in the above notation. Evidently, $\Lambda_{1}^{3} V_{7}$ and $\Lambda_{1}^{4} V_{7}$ are spanned by $\varphi$ and $* \varphi$, respectively. For the decompositions of $\Lambda^{2} V_{7}$ and $\Lambda^{5} V_{7}$ the following descriptions are well known.

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda_{7}^{2} V_{7} & =\left\{\imath_{v} \varphi \mid v \in V_{7}\right\}, \\
\Lambda_{14}^{2} V_{7} & =\left\{\alpha^{2} \in \Lambda^{2} V_{7} \mid * \varphi \wedge \alpha^{2}=0\right\}, \\
\Lambda_{1}^{3} V_{7} & =\mathbb{R} \varphi, \\
\Lambda_{7}^{3} V_{7} & =\left\{\imath_{v} * \varphi \mid v \in V_{7}\right\},  \tag{3.5}\\
\Lambda_{1}^{4} V_{7} & =\mathbb{R} * \varphi, \\
\Lambda_{7}^{4} V_{7} & =\varphi \wedge V_{7}=\left\{\varphi \wedge v \mid v \in V_{7}\right\}, \\
\Lambda_{7}^{5} V_{7} & =* \varphi \wedge V_{7}=\left\{* \varphi \wedge v \mid v \in V_{7}\right\}, \\
\Lambda_{14}^{5} V_{7} & =\left\{\alpha^{5} \in \Lambda^{5} V_{7} \mid \alpha^{5} \wedge\left(\imath_{v} \varphi\right)=0 \text { for all } v \in V_{7}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

We also point out that all representations of $G_{2}$ are of real type, meaning that for any real irreducible representation $V$ of $G_{2}$ the complexified space $V^{\mathbb{C}}:=V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is (complex) irreducible; equivalently, a real irreducible representation of $G_{2}$ does not admit a $G_{2}$-invariant complex structure.

These decompositions are used in the appendix to obtain many formulas which will be used in the sequel.
3.2. The torsion of manifolds with a $G_{2}$-structure. Let $(M, \varphi)$ be a manifold with a $G_{2}$-structure with the corresponding Riemannian metric $g=g_{\varphi}$, and let $\nabla$ be the Levi-Civita connection of $g$. In general, $\varphi$ and $* \varphi$ will not be parallel w.r.t. $\nabla$, and the failure of their parallelity can be
described in the following way which is essentially a reformulation of the intrinsic torsion of a $G_{2}$-structure discussed in Bryant2005 and Kar2005.

Proposition 3.3. Let $(M, \varphi)$ be a manifold with a $G_{2}$-structure with associated Riemannian metric $g=g_{\varphi}$ and Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$. Then there is a section $T \in \Omega^{1}(M, T M)=\Gamma(E n d(T M))$ such that for all $v \in T M$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{v} \varphi=\imath_{T(v)} * \varphi \quad \text { and } \quad \nabla_{v} * \varphi=-(T(v))^{b} \wedge \varphi . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the section $T \in \Omega^{1}(M, T M)$ measures how $\varphi$ fails to be parallel, and this has been described in Fernández and Gray FG1982 by slightly different means. In fact, it contains the same information as the intrinsic torsion of the $G_{2}$-structure in the sense of Bryant2005, whence we use the following terminology.

Definition 3.4. Let $(M, \varphi)$ be a manifold with a $G_{2}$-structure. The section $T \in \Omega^{1}(M, T M)$ for which (3.6) holds is called the torsion endomorphism of the $G_{2}$-structure.

For an orthonormal frame $\left(e_{i}\right)$ of $T_{p} M$ we define the coefficients of $T$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i j}:=\left\langle T\left(e_{i}\right), e_{j}\right\rangle, \quad \text { so that } \quad T\left(e_{i}\right)=t_{i j} e_{j} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, we define the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau:=t_{i j} e^{i j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{i j}-t_{j i}\right) e^{i j}=e^{i} \wedge T\left(e_{i}\right)^{b} \in \Lambda^{2} V_{7}^{*} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the exterior derivatives of $\varphi$ and $* \varphi$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \varphi_{p}=T_{p}^{\top}\left(e_{i}\right)^{b} \wedge\left(e_{e_{i}} * \varphi_{p}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad d * \varphi_{p}=-\tau_{p} \wedge \varphi_{p} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we sum over an orthonormal basis $\left(e_{i}\right)$ of $T_{p} M$ in the first equation and where $T_{p}^{\top}$ denotes the transpose matrix of $T_{p}$. In particular, it is now a straightforward calculation to show that $(M, \varphi)$ is torsion free at $p \in M$ (i.e., $T_{p}=0$ ) iff $d \varphi_{p}=0$ and $d * \varphi_{p}=0$ (cf. [FG1982]).
3.3. The Frölicher-Nijenhuis brackets on a manifold with a $G_{2}$ structure. In this section, we shall compute part of their Frölicher-Nijenhuis brackets of the sections $C r=\delta_{g} \varphi \in \Omega^{2}(M, T M)$ and $\chi=-\delta_{g} * \varphi \in$ $\Omega^{3}(M, T M)$. from Definition 3.1 on a manifold $M$ with a $G_{2}$-structure $\varphi$.

The Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket $[C r, C r]^{F N}$ vanishes identically due to the graded skew-symmetry of the bracket. On the other hand, the FrölicherNijenhuis brackets $[C r, \chi]^{F N}$ and $[\chi, \chi]^{F N}$ are elements of $\Omega^{5}(M, T M)$ and $\Omega^{6}(M, T M)$, respectively.

Due to the decomposition $\Lambda^{5} V_{7}=\Lambda_{7}^{5} V_{7} \oplus \Lambda_{14}^{5} V_{7}$ as a $G_{2}$-module, we may decompose

$$
\Omega^{5}(M, T M)=\Gamma\left(M, \Lambda_{7}^{5} T^{*} M \otimes T M\right) \oplus \Gamma\left(M, \Lambda_{14}^{5} T^{*} M \otimes T M\right),
$$

and we denote the projections onto the two summands by $\pi_{7}$ and $\pi_{14}$, respectively. We now wish to show Theorem 1.1 from the introduction. In order to work towards the proof, we first calculate $\pi_{7}\left([C r, \chi]^{F N}\right)$.

Proposition 3.5. Let $(M, g, \varphi)$ be a manifold with a $G_{2}$-structure and let $T \in \Omega^{1}(M, T M)$ be its torsion endomorphism. Then for each $p \in M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{7}\left([C r, \chi]_{p}^{F N}\right)=2 * \varphi \wedge\left(\left(T_{p}^{\top}-2 T_{p}-\operatorname{tr}\left(T_{p}\right)\right) e_{i}\right)^{b} \otimes e_{i} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

summing over an orthonormal basis $\left(e_{i}\right)$ of $T_{p} M$, where $T^{\top}$ denotes the transpose of $T$. In particular, $\pi_{7}\left([C r, \chi]_{p}^{F N}\right)=0$ if and only if $T_{p}=0$ if and only if $[C r, \chi]_{p}^{F N}=0$.

Proof. We fix $p \in M$ and use normal coordinates around $p$. Then in order to calculate $[C r, \chi]_{p}^{F N}$ we apply Proposition 2.2 to $\Psi_{1}=\varphi$ and $\Psi_{2}=* \varphi$ and obtain

$$
-[C r, \chi]_{p}^{F N}=\left[\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) \otimes e_{i},\left(\imath_{e_{j}} * \varphi\right) \otimes e_{j}\right]_{p}^{F N}=: \beta_{j} \otimes e_{j}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta_{j}= & \left(\imath_{e_{k}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \nabla_{e_{k}} * \varphi\right)-\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \imath_{e_{k}} \varphi\right) \wedge e^{l} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{k}} \nabla_{e_{l}} * \varphi\right) \\
& -\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \nabla_{e_{k}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{k}} * \varphi\right)-e^{l} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{k}} \nabla_{e_{l}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \imath_{e_{k}} * \varphi\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Decomposing $\Lambda^{5} T_{p}^{*} M$ according to (3.5), we write $\beta_{j}=* \varphi \wedge v_{j}^{b}+\beta_{j}^{14}$ with $v_{j} \in T_{p} M$ and $\beta_{j}^{14} \in \Lambda_{14}^{5} T_{p}^{*} M$, so that $\pi_{7}\left([C r, \chi]^{F N}\right)=* \varphi \wedge v_{j}^{b} \otimes e_{j}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i j}=*\left(\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) \wedge \beta_{j}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then as $\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) \wedge \beta_{j}^{14}=0$ by (3.5) and $\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) \wedge * \varphi \wedge v_{j}^{b}=3\left\langle e_{i}, v_{j}\right\rangle \operatorname{vol}$ by (6.1), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{7}\left(-[C r, \chi]_{p}^{F N}\right)=\frac{1}{3} b_{i j}\left(* \varphi \wedge e^{i}\right) \otimes e_{j} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to determine the coefficients $b_{i j}$, we decompose $\beta_{j}$ into the four summands from (3.11). Then from the first summand we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{k}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \nabla_{e_{k}} * \varphi\right) \stackrel{\text { 3.6. }}{=}-\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{k}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{j}}\left(T\left(e_{k}\right)^{b} \wedge \varphi\right)\right) \\
&=-\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{k}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(t_{k j} \varphi-T\left(e_{k}\right)^{b} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \varphi\right)\right) \\
& \stackrel{6.3,6.4}{=}-6 t_{k j} \delta_{i k \mathrm{vol}}+2\left(\delta_{i k} t_{k j}+t_{k i} \delta_{k j}+t_{k k} \delta_{i j}\right) \mathrm{vol} \\
&= 2\left(t_{j i}-2 t_{i j}+\operatorname{tr}(T) \delta_{i j}\right) \mathrm{vol} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the second summand we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
&-\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \imath_{e_{k}} \varphi\right) \wedge e^{l} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{k}} \nabla_{e_{l}} * \varphi\right) \\
& \frac{3.6}{=}\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \imath_{e_{k}} \varphi\right) \wedge e^{l} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{k}}\left(T\left(e_{l}\right)^{b} \wedge \varphi\right)\right) \\
&=\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \imath_{e_{k}} \varphi\right) \wedge e^{l} \wedge\left(t_{l k} \varphi-T\left(e_{l}\right)^{b} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{k}} \varphi\right)\right) \\
& \frac{6.6}{=} t_{l k}\left(2\left(\delta_{k l} \delta_{j i}-\delta_{j l} \delta_{k i}\right) \operatorname{vol}-2 e^{j k l i} \wedge \varphi\right) \\
&-\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \imath_{e_{k}} \varphi\right) \wedge e^{l} \wedge T\left(e_{l}\right)^{b} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{k}} \varphi\right) \\
&= 2\left(\operatorname{tr}(T) \delta_{i j}-t_{j i}\right) \operatorname{vol}-2 e^{i j} \wedge \tau \wedge \varphi \\
&-\frac{1}{2}\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) \wedge \imath_{e_{j}}\left(\left(\imath_{e_{k}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{k}} \varphi\right)\right) \wedge \tau \\
& \frac{6.12}{=} 2\left(\operatorname{tr}(T) \delta_{i j}-t_{j i}\right) \operatorname{vol}-2 e^{i j} \wedge \tau \wedge \varphi \\
&-3\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{j}} * \varphi\right) \wedge \tau \\
& \frac{6.10}{=} 2\left(\operatorname{tr}(T) \delta_{i j}-t_{j i}\right) \operatorname{vol}-2 e^{i j} \wedge \tau \wedge \varphi \\
&-3\left(-2 * e^{j i}+e^{j i} \wedge \varphi\right) \wedge \tau \\
&= 2\left(\operatorname{tr}(T) \delta_{i j}-t_{j i}\right) \operatorname{vol}+e^{i j} \wedge \tau \wedge \varphi \\
&+6 t_{k l}\left(\delta_{j k} \delta_{i l}-\delta_{j l} \delta_{i k}\right) \operatorname{vol} \\
&= 2\left(\operatorname{tr}(T) \delta_{i j}+2 t_{j i}-3 t_{i j}\right) \operatorname{vol}+e^{i j} \wedge \tau \wedge \varphi . \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

From the third term in (3.11) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \nabla_{e_{k}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{k}} * \varphi\right) \\
& 3.6 \\
& -\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \imath_{T\left(e_{k}\right)} * \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{k}} * \varphi\right) \\
& \stackrel{6.7}{=}-2\left(t_{k k} \delta_{j i}-\delta_{j k} t_{k i}\right) \operatorname{vol}-e^{j} \wedge T\left(e_{k}\right)^{b} \wedge e^{k i} \wedge \varphi \\
& =-2\left(\operatorname{tr}(T) \delta_{i j}-t_{j i}\right) \mathrm{vol}-e^{i j} \wedge \tau \wedge \varphi . \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, from the last term in (3.11) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) \wedge e^{l} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{k}} \nabla_{e_{l}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \imath_{e_{k}} * \varphi\right) \\
& \stackrel{\text { 3.6 }}{=}-\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) \wedge e^{l} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{k}} \imath_{T}\left(e_{l}\right) * \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \imath_{e_{k}} * \varphi\right) \\
& \stackrel{\sqrt{6.13}}{=} 2\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) \wedge e^{l} \wedge\left(\imath_{T\left(e_{l}\right)} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \varphi\right) \\
& \stackrel{6.4}{=} 4\left(t_{l i} \delta_{l j}+\delta_{l i} t_{l j}+t_{l l} \delta_{i j}\right) \mathrm{vol} \\
& =4\left(t_{j i}+t_{i j}+\operatorname{tr}(T) \delta_{i j}\right) \mathrm{vol} . \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, adding (3.14) through (3.17), we get from (3.11) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{i j} \mathrm{vol}=\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) \wedge \beta_{j}= & 2\left(t_{j i}-2 t_{i j}+\operatorname{tr}(T) \delta_{i j}\right) \operatorname{vol} \\
& +2\left(\operatorname{tr}(T) \delta_{i j}+2 t_{j i}-3 t_{i j}\right) \operatorname{vol}+e^{i j} \wedge \tau \wedge \varphi \\
& -2\left(\operatorname{tr}(T) \delta_{i j}-t_{j i}\right) \operatorname{vol}-e^{i j} \wedge \tau \wedge \varphi \\
& +4\left(t_{j i}+t_{i j}+\operatorname{tr}(T) \delta_{i j}\right) \operatorname{vol} \\
= & 6\left(2 t_{j i}-t_{i j}+\operatorname{tr}(T) \delta_{i j}\right) \mathrm{vol},
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence, (3.13) implies (3.10).
Thus, $\pi_{7}\left([C r, \chi]_{p}^{F N}\right)=0$ iff $T_{p}^{\top}-2 T_{p}-\operatorname{tr}\left(T_{p}\right) I d=0$. Taking the trace, this implies that $\operatorname{tr}\left(T_{p}\right)-2 \operatorname{tr}\left(T_{p}\right)-7 \operatorname{tr}\left(T_{p}\right)=0$ and hence, $\operatorname{tr}\left(T_{p}\right)=0$, and $T_{p}^{\top}-2 T_{p}=0$ evidently implies that $T_{p}=0$. That is, $\pi_{7}\left([C r, \chi]_{p}^{F N}\right)=0$ iff $T_{p}=0$, showing the last statement.

Next, let us consider the bracket $[\chi, \chi]^{F N}$.
Proposition 3.6. Let $(M, g, \varphi)$ be a manifold with a $G_{2}$-structure and let $T \in \Omega^{1}(M, T M)$ be its torsion endomorphism with the associated form $\tau \in$ $\Omega^{2}(M)$ from (3.8). Then for each $p \in M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\chi, \chi]_{p}^{F N}=-4 *\left(T_{p}+T_{p}^{\top}\right)\left(e_{i}\right) \otimes e_{i}+6 e^{i} \wedge \tau_{p} \wedge \varphi \otimes e_{i} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

summing over an orthonormal basis $\left(e_{i}\right)$ of $T_{p} M$. In particular, $[\chi, \chi]_{p}^{F N}=0$ if and only if $T_{p}=0$.

Proof. According to Proposition 2.2 we have

$$
[\chi, \chi]_{p}^{F N}=\gamma_{j} \otimes e_{j},
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{j}=2\left(\left(\imath_{e_{k}} * \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \nabla_{e_{k}} * \varphi\right)+\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \imath_{e_{k}} * \varphi\right) \wedge e^{l} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{k}} \nabla_{e_{l}} * \varphi\right)\right) . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $c_{i j}:=*\left(e^{i} \wedge \gamma_{j}\right)=\left\langle e_{i},\left(* \gamma_{j}\right)^{b}\right\rangle$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\chi, \chi]_{p}^{F N}=c_{i j} * e^{i} \otimes e_{j} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to evaluate the coefficients $c_{i j}$, we consider the two summands in (3.19) separately, and obtain from the first one

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{i} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{k}} * \varphi\right) \wedge\left(v_{e_{j}} \nabla_{e_{k}} * \varphi\right) \stackrel{\sqrt[3.6]{=}}{=}-e^{i} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{k}} * \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{j}}\left(T\left(e_{k}\right)^{b} \wedge \varphi\right)\right) \\
&=-t_{k j} e^{i} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{k}} * \varphi\right) \wedge \varphi+e^{i} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{k}} * \varphi\right) \wedge T\left(e_{k}\right)^{b} \wedge\left(l_{e_{j}} \varphi\right) \\
& \stackrel{\sqrt{6.22}, 6.8}{=}-4 t_{k j} \delta_{i k} \mathrm{vol}+2\left(\delta_{i k} t_{k j}-t_{k k} \delta_{i j}\right) \operatorname{vol}+T\left(e_{k}\right)^{b} \wedge e^{i k j} \wedge \varphi \\
&=-2\left(t_{i j}+\operatorname{tr}(T) \delta_{i j}\right) \mathrm{vol}+e^{i j} \wedge \tau \wedge \varphi . \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

From the second summand in (3.19) we calculate

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{i} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \imath_{e_{k}} * \varphi\right) \wedge e^{l} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{k}} \nabla_{e_{l}} * \varphi\right) \\
& \stackrel{\boxed{3.6}}{=}-e^{i l} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \imath_{e_{k}} * \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{k}}\left(T\left(e_{l}\right)^{b} \wedge \varphi\right)\right) \\
& =-t_{l k} e^{i l} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \imath_{e_{k}} * \varphi\right) \wedge \varphi+e^{i} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{j}} \imath_{e_{k}} * \varphi\right) \wedge \tau \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{k}} \varphi\right) \\
& \stackrel{6.9), \boxed{6.14}}{=}-t_{l k}\left(2\left(\delta_{l j} \delta_{i k}-\delta_{i j} \delta_{l k}\right) \mathrm{vol}-e^{i l j k} \wedge \varphi\right)+3 e^{i j} \wedge \tau \wedge \varphi \\
& =  \tag{3.22}\\
& =-2\left(t_{j i}-\operatorname{tr}(T) \delta_{i j}\right) \mathrm{vol}+2 e^{i j} \wedge \tau \wedge \varphi
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, adding (3.21) and (3.22), equation (3.19) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{i j} \mathrm{vol}=e^{i} \wedge \gamma_{j}= & 2\left(-2\left(t_{i j}+\operatorname{tr}(T) \delta_{i j}\right) \mathrm{vol}+e^{i j} \wedge \tau \wedge \varphi\right. \\
& \left.-2\left(t_{j i}-\operatorname{tr}(T) \delta_{i j}\right) \operatorname{vol}+2 e^{i j} \wedge \tau \wedge \varphi\right) \\
= & 2\left(-2\left(t_{i j}+t_{j i}\right)+3\left\langle * e^{i}, e^{j} \wedge \tau \wedge \varphi\right\rangle\right) \mathrm{vol}
\end{aligned}
$$

and from this and (3.20), the formula (3.18) follows.
In order to show the last statement, observe that $[\chi, \chi]_{p}^{F N}=0$ iff $c_{i j}=0$ for all $i, j$. Since then $c_{i j}+c_{j i}=-8\left(t_{i j}+t_{j i}\right)$, it follows that $t_{i j}+t_{j i}=0$ and hence $0=c_{i j}=6 *\left(e^{i j} \wedge \tau \wedge \varphi\right)$ for all $i, j$ which implies that $\tau=t_{k l} e^{k l}=0$ and hence, $t_{k l}=t_{l k}$. All of this together implies that $t_{i j}=0$ for all $i, j$, and hence, $T_{p}=0$ as asserted.

We are now ready to show the following result which immediately implies Theorem 1.1 from the introduction.

Theorem 3.7. Let $\left(M^{7}, \varphi\right)$ be a manifold with a $G_{2}$-structure with associated metric $g=g_{\varphi}$, let $\nabla$ be the Levi-Civita connection of $g$, and let $T \in \Omega^{1}\left(M^{7}, T M^{7}\right)$ be its torsion endomorphism defined in Definition 3.4. Then for every $p \in M^{7}$ the following are equivalent.
(1) $T_{p}=0 \in T_{p}^{*} M^{7} \otimes T_{p} M^{7}$.
(2) The $G_{2}$-structure is torsion-free at $p$, i.e., $(\nabla \varphi)_{p}=0$.
(3) $\pi_{7}\left([C r, \chi]_{p}^{F N}\right)=0 \in \Lambda_{7}^{5} T_{p}^{*} M^{7} \otimes T_{p} M^{7}$.
(4) $[C r, \chi]_{p}^{F N}=0 \in \Lambda^{5} T_{p}^{*} M^{7} \otimes T_{p} M^{7}$.
(5) $[\chi, \chi]_{p}^{F N}=0 \in \Lambda^{6} T_{p}^{*} M^{7} \otimes T_{p} M^{7}$.

Proof. The equivalence of the first two statements is well known, see e.g. FG1982. Proposition 3.5 shows the equivalence of the first and the third, whereas Proposition 3.6 shows the equivalence of the first and the last statement. That $(\nabla \varphi)_{p}=0$ implies $[C r, \chi]_{p}^{F N}=0$ is immediate from the formula of the bracket in Proposition [2.2, and obviously, $[C r, \chi]_{p}^{F N}=0$ implies $\pi_{7}\left([C r, \chi]_{p}^{F N}\right)=0$.

## 4. Cross products and $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structures

4.1. $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structures and associated cross products. The exposition in this section mainly follows the references Bryant1987, [Fernandez1986, HL1982.

Let $M$ be an oriented 8 -manifold. A $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structure on $M$ is a 4 -form $\Phi \in \Omega^{4}(M)$ such that at each $p \in M$ there is a positively oriented basis $\left(e_{\mu}\right)_{\mu=0}^{7}$ of $T_{p} M$ with dual basis $\left(e^{\mu}\right)_{\mu=0}^{7}$ such that $\Phi_{p} \in \Lambda^{4} T_{p} M$ is of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{p}:= & e^{0123}+e^{0145}+e^{0167}+e^{0246}-e^{0257}-e^{0347}-e^{0356}  \tag{4.1}\\
& +e^{4567}+e^{2367}+e^{2345}+e^{1357}-e^{1346}-e^{1256}-e^{1247} .
\end{align*}
$$

Throughout this section, we shall use Greek indices $\mu, \nu, \ldots$ to run over $0, \ldots, 7$, whereas Latin indices $i, j, \ldots$ range over $1, \ldots, 7$.

A basis $\left(e_{\mu}\right)$ of $T_{p} M$ whose dual basis $\left(e^{\mu}\right)$ satisfies (4.1) is called a $\operatorname{Spin}(7)-$ frame. Observe that if we define for a $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-frame $\left(e_{\mu}\right)$ the forms $\varphi_{p}$ and ${ }_{7} \varphi_{p}$ on $V_{p}:=\operatorname{span}\left(e_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{7} \subset T_{p} M$ as in (3.1) and (3.2), then

$$
\Phi_{p}=e^{0} \wedge \varphi_{p}+*_{7} \varphi_{p} .
$$

The stabilizer of $\Phi_{p}$ is the group $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$ acting on $T_{p} M$ via the spinor representation, and there is a unique $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-invariant Riemannian metric $g_{\Phi}$ on $M$ such that each $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-frame is orthonormal. In particular, $\Phi$ is self-dual w.r.t. $g_{\Phi}$. The set of all $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-frames forms a principal $\operatorname{Spin}(7)-$ bundle

$$
\operatorname{Spin}(7)_{M}=\operatorname{Spin}(7)_{(M, \Phi)} \longrightarrow M,
$$

and again, for each $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-module $W$ we obtain the associated vector bundle

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(M):=\operatorname{Spin}(7)_{M} \times_{\operatorname{Spin}(7)} W \longrightarrow M . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For instance, if we denote the $k$-dimensional irreducible $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-module by $W_{k}$ (in case the dimension uniquely specifies this module), then

$$
W_{8}(M) \cong T M \cong T^{*} M .
$$

It is well known that the action of $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$ on $W_{8}$ is transitive on the unit sphere $S^{7} \subset W_{8}$, and the stabilizer of an element is isomorphic to $G_{2} \subset \operatorname{Spin}(7)$. In analogy of the products $C r$ and $\chi$ on manifolds with a $G_{2}$-structure in Definition [3.1, we define on a $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-manifold $M$ a triple product as follows.

Definition 4.1. Let $(M, \Phi)$ be manifold with a $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structure, and let $g=g_{\Phi}$ be the induced Riemannian metric. Then the $T M$-valued form $P=P_{\Phi} \in \Omega^{3}(M, T M)$ is defined by

$$
P_{\Phi}:=-\delta_{g_{\Phi}}(\Phi),
$$

and is called the 3 -fold cross product on $M$. That is, for $x, y, z, w \in T M$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(P(x, y, z), w)=\Phi(x, y, z, w) . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall usually suppress the indices $\Phi$ for $g$ and $P$ if it is clear from the context which $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structure $\Phi$ is used.
4.2. $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-representations. In this section, we shall discuss the decomposition of symmetric and anti-symmetric powers of $W_{8}$ as $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-modules. For its exterior powers, we obtain the decompositions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Lambda^{0} W_{8} \cong \Lambda^{8} W_{8} \cong W_{1}, & \Lambda^{2} W_{8} \cong \Lambda^{6} W_{8} \cong W_{7} \oplus W_{21}, \\
\Lambda^{1} W_{8} \cong \Lambda^{7} W_{8} \cong W_{8}, & \Lambda^{3} W_{8} \cong \Lambda^{5} W_{8} \cong W_{8} \oplus W_{48}, \\
\Lambda^{4} W_{8} \cong W_{1} \oplus W_{7} \oplus W_{27} \oplus W_{35} & \tag{4.4}
\end{array}
$$

where $\Lambda^{k} W_{8} \cong \Lambda^{8-k} W_{8}$ via the Hodge-*. Again, we denote by $\Lambda_{l}^{k} W_{8} \subset$ $\Lambda^{k} W_{8}$ the subspace isomorphic to $W_{l}$ in the above notation.

Moreover, there are also irreducible decompositions of the symmetric powers of $W_{7}$ and $W_{8}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\odot^{2} W_{7} \cong W_{1} \oplus W_{27}, \quad \odot^{2} W_{8} \cong W_{1} \oplus W_{35} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

into the induced $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-invariant metric and the trace free symmetric tensors; see Humphreys1978.
Lemma 4.2. Let $e^{0} \in W_{8}$ be a unit vector, let $V_{7}:=e_{0}^{\perp}$ on which $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$ acts as the double cover of $S O(7)$, so that $V_{7} \cong W_{7}$ as a $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-module. Then the following maps are $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-equivariant embeddings.

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda^{2}(v) & :=e^{0} \wedge v^{b}+\left(\imath_{v} \varphi\right) \\
\lambda^{k}: W_{7} \longrightarrow \Lambda^{k} W_{8}, \quad & \lambda^{4}(v)  \tag{4.6}\\
\lambda^{6}(v) & :=\Phi \wedge e^{0} \wedge\left(\imath_{v} *_{7} \varphi\right)-v^{b} \wedge \varphi \\
2 & (v)=3 * \lambda^{2}(v)
\end{align*}
$$

Here * and $*_{7}$ denote the Hodge-* in $W_{8}$ and $V_{7}$, respectively.
Proof. The decompositions in (4.5) imply that there are Spin(7)-equivariant maps $\lambda^{k}: W_{7} \rightarrow \Lambda^{k} W_{8}$, and these are unique up to rescaling.

The equivariance of $\lambda^{2}$ follows from [SW2017, p.68], and thus, $\Phi \wedge \lambda^{2}(v) \in$ $\Lambda_{7}^{6} W_{8}$, whence $\Phi \wedge \lambda^{2}(v)=3 * \lambda^{2}(v)$ follows from SW2017, Theorem 9.8]. This shows the statement on $\lambda^{6}$.

By [SW2017, Theorem 9.8], $\Lambda_{7}^{4} W_{8}$ is the infinitesimal orbit of $\Phi$ under the action of $\mathfrak{s o}\left(W_{8}\right) \cong \Lambda^{2} W_{8}$. That is,

$$
\Lambda_{7}^{4} W_{8}=\left\{\left(u^{b} \wedge v^{b}\right) \cdot \Phi \mid u, v \in W_{8}\right\}=\left\{u^{b} \wedge\left(\imath_{v} \Phi\right)-v^{b} \wedge\left(\imath_{u} \Phi\right) \mid u, v \in W_{8}\right\} .
$$

Setting $u:=e_{0}$ and picking $v \in e_{0}^{\perp} \cong W_{7}$ for a $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-frame $\left(e_{\mu}\right)$, it follows that the image of $\lambda^{4}$ equals $\Lambda_{7}^{4} W_{8}$, and since $\lambda^{4}$ is evidently $G_{2}$-equivariant, it must coincide with the $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-equivariant map $W_{7} \rightarrow \Lambda_{7}^{4} W_{8}$.

From this lemma, we obtain the following descriptions of the decompositions, which essentially recapitulates [SW2017, Theorem 9.8].

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda_{7}^{k} W_{8} & =\left\{\lambda^{k}(v) \mid v \in V_{7}\right\} \quad \text { for } k=2,4,6 \\
\Lambda_{21}^{2} W_{8} & =\left\{\alpha^{2} \in \Lambda^{2} W_{8} \mid \alpha^{2} \wedge \Phi \wedge \lambda^{2}(v)=0 \text { for all } v \in V_{7}\right\} \\
\Lambda_{21}^{6} W_{8} & =\left\{\alpha^{6} \in \Lambda^{6} W_{8} \mid \alpha^{6} \wedge \lambda^{2}(v)=0 \text { for all } v \in V_{7}\right\} \\
\Lambda_{8}^{3} W_{8} & =\left\{\imath_{a} \Phi \mid a \in W_{8}\right\} \\
\Lambda_{8}^{5} W_{8} & =\left\{a^{b} \wedge \Phi \mid a \in W_{8}\right\} \\
\Lambda_{48}^{3} W_{8} & =\left\{\alpha^{3} \in \Lambda^{3} W_{8} \mid \Phi \wedge \alpha^{3}=0\right\}  \tag{4.7}\\
\Lambda_{48}^{5} W_{8} & =\left\{\alpha^{5} \in \Lambda^{3} W_{8} \mid \Phi \wedge * \alpha^{5}=0\right\} \\
\Lambda_{1}^{4} W_{8} & =\mathbb{R} \Phi \\
\Lambda_{27}^{4} W_{8} & =\operatorname{span}\left\{\lambda^{2}(v) \wedge \lambda^{2}(w) \mid v, w \in V_{7},\langle v, w\rangle=0\right\} \\
\Lambda_{35}^{4} W_{8} & =\left\{\alpha^{4} \mid * \alpha^{4}=-\alpha^{4}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

We also recall the decomposition of the tensor product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Lin}\left(W_{8}, W_{7}\right):=W_{8}^{*} \otimes W_{7}=W_{8} \oplus W_{48} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the summand isomorphic to $W_{8}$ is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left(\imath_{a} \lambda^{2}\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \otimes e_{i} \mid a \in W_{8}\right\} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is taken over an orthonormal basis $\left(e_{i}\right)$ of $V_{7} \cong W_{7}$. Finally, we define the $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-invariant tensor $\sigma \in\left(W_{8} \otimes W_{7} \otimes W_{8} \otimes W_{7}\right)^{*}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(a, u, b, v):=\frac{1}{2} *\left(a^{b} \wedge b^{b} \wedge \lambda^{4}(u) \wedge \lambda^{2}(v)\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contraction with the inner products on $W_{7}$ and $W_{8}$ induces a $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$ equivariant map

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{\sigma}: \operatorname{Lin}\left(W_{8}, W_{7}\right) & \longrightarrow \operatorname{Lin}\left(W_{8}, W_{7}\right)  \tag{4.11}\\
\phi_{\sigma}(A)(a) & :=\sigma\left(a, A\left(e_{\mu}\right), e_{\mu}, e_{i}\right) e_{i}
\end{align*}
$$

We calculate

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda^{4}(u) \wedge \lambda^{2}(v) & =\left(e^{0} \wedge\left(\imath_{u} *_{7} \varphi\right)-u^{b} \wedge \varphi\right) \wedge\left(e^{0} \wedge v^{b}+\imath_{v} \varphi\right) \\
& =e^{0} \wedge\left(\imath_{u} *_{7} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{v} \varphi\right)+e^{0} \wedge u^{b} \wedge v^{b} \wedge \varphi-u^{b} \wedge \varphi \wedge\left(v_{v} \varphi\right) \\
4.12) \quad & \stackrel{6.10}{=} 2 u^{b} \wedge v^{b} \wedge\left(e^{0} \wedge \varphi-*_{7} \varphi\right)-2 e^{0} \wedge\left(*_{7}\left(u^{b} \wedge v^{b}\right)\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 4.3. The map $\phi_{\sigma}$ has eigenvalues -1 and 6 with multiplicity 48 and 8, respectively.

Proof. Observe that the $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-invariant inner products on $W_{7}$ and $W_{8}$ induce an inner product on $\operatorname{Lin}\left(W_{8}, W_{7}\right)=W_{8}^{*} \otimes W_{7}$ for which $\left(e^{\mu} \otimes e_{i}\right)$ is
an orthonormal basis whenever $\left(e_{\mu}\right)$ is an orthonormal basis of $W_{8}$ so that $V_{7}=e_{0}^{\perp}$ is spanned by $\left(e_{i}\right)$. This induced inner product satisfies

$$
\left\langle\phi_{\sigma}\left(e^{\mu} \otimes e_{i}\right), e^{\nu} \otimes e_{j}\right\rangle_{\operatorname{Lin}\left(W_{8}, W_{7}\right)}=\left\langle\phi_{\sigma}\left(e^{\mu} \otimes e_{i}\right)\left(e_{\nu}\right), e_{j}\right\rangle_{W_{7}}=\sigma\left(e_{\nu}, e_{i}, e_{\mu}, e_{j}\right),
$$

and since $\sigma\left(e_{\mu}, e_{i}, e_{\mu}, e_{j}\right)=0$, it follows that the matrix representation of $\phi_{\sigma}$ w.r.t. the basis $\left(e^{\mu} \otimes e_{i}\right)$ has 0 's on the diagonal, whence $\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{\sigma}\right)=0$. Furthermore, $\phi_{\sigma}$ is self-adjoint since $\sigma(a, u, b, v)=\sigma(b, v, a, u)$ by (4.10) and (4.12), whence has real eigenvalues.

Decomposing $\operatorname{Lin}\left(W_{8}, W_{7}\right) \stackrel{\sqrt{4.8}}{\cong} W_{8} \oplus W_{48}$, (4.9) implies that the elements in the summand congruent to $W_{8}$ are given by the maps

$$
A_{a}: W_{8} \longrightarrow W_{7}, \quad A_{a}(b):=\left\langle\left(\imath_{a} \lambda^{2}\left(e_{i}\right)\right)^{\#}, b\right\rangle e_{i}
$$

for a fixed $a \in W_{8}$. In order to calculate $\phi_{\sigma}\left(A_{a}\right)$, observe that $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$ acts transitively on the unit sphere, whence we may assume w.l.o.g. that $a=e_{0}$, so that

$$
A_{e_{0}}(b)=\left\langle e_{i}, b\right\rangle e_{i}=p r_{e_{0}^{\perp}}(b),
$$

where $p r_{e_{0}^{\perp}}: W_{8} \rightarrow e_{0}^{\perp}=V_{7}$ is the orthogonal projection. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\sigma}\left(A_{e_{0}}\right)(b) & =\sigma\left(b, A_{e_{0}}\left(e_{\mu}\right), e_{\mu}, e_{i}\right) e_{i}=\sigma\left(b, e_{j}, e_{j}, e_{i}\right) e_{i} \\
\frac{4.12)}{=} & *\left(b^{\mathrm{b}} \wedge e^{j} \wedge\left(e^{j} \wedge e^{i} \wedge\left(e^{0} \wedge \varphi-*_{7} \varphi\right)-e^{0} \wedge\left(*_{7} e^{j i}\right)\right)\right) e_{i} \\
= & *\left(e^{0} \wedge b^{b} \wedge e^{j} \wedge\left(*_{7} e^{i j}\right)\right) e_{i} \\
= & \left(1-\delta_{i j}\right) *\left(e^{0} \wedge b^{b} \wedge *_{7} e^{i}\right) e_{i} \\
= & 6\left\langle b, e_{i}\right\rangle e_{i}=6 A_{e_{0}}(b),
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\phi_{\sigma}\left(A_{a}\right)=6 A_{a}$ for all $A_{a}$. By Schur's lemma and since $\phi_{\sigma}$ is selfadjoint, $\left.\phi_{\sigma}\right|_{W_{48}}=c I d_{W_{48}}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, whence

$$
0=\operatorname{tr}\left(\phi_{\sigma}\right)=6 \operatorname{dim} W_{8}+c \operatorname{dim} W_{48}
$$

and from this, $c=-1$ and the lemma follows.
For a manifold with a $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structure $(M, \Phi)$ and induced metric $g=$ $g_{\Phi}$, the covariant derivative $g_{\Phi} \nabla_{v} \Phi$ w.r.t. the Levi-Civita connection is contained in the infinitesimal orbit of $\mathfrak{s o}\left(T_{p} M, g_{p}\right)$ Bryant1987 and hence in $\Lambda_{7}^{4} T^{*} M$. That is, there is a section $T \in \Omega^{1}\left(M, W_{7}(M)\right)=\Gamma\left(\operatorname{Lin}\left(T M, W_{7}(M)\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{v} \Phi=\lambda^{4}(T(v))=e^{0} \wedge\left(l_{T(v)} * \varphi\right)-(T(v))^{b} \wedge \varphi \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the map $\lambda^{4}: W_{7} \rightarrow \Lambda_{7}^{4} T_{p} M$ from (4.6). In analogy to Definition (3.4, we use the following terminology.

Definition 4.4. Let $(M, \Phi)$ be a manifold with a $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structure. The section $T \in \Omega^{1}\left(M, W_{7}(M)\right)$ for which (4.13) holds is called the torsion endomorphism of the $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structure.
4.3. The Frölicher-Nijenhuis brackets on a manifold with a Spin(7)structure. Recall the section $P=-\delta_{g} \Phi \in \Omega^{3}(M, T M)$ on a manifold with a $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structure $(M, \Phi)$ from Definition 4.1. We wish to relate its Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket to its torsion. In order to do this, recall that $[P, P]^{F N} \in \Omega^{6}(M, T M)$.

Due to the decomposition $\Lambda^{6} W_{8}=\Lambda_{7}^{6} W_{8} \oplus \Lambda_{21}^{6} W_{8}$ as a $G_{2}$-module, we may decompose

$$
\Omega^{6}(M, T M)=\Gamma\left(M, \Lambda_{7}^{6} T^{*} M \otimes T M\right) \oplus \Gamma\left(M, \Lambda_{21}^{6} T^{*} M \otimes T M\right)
$$

and we denote the projections onto the two summands by $\pi_{7}$ and $\pi_{21}$, respectively.

Proposition 4.5. Let $(M, \Phi)$ be a manifold with a $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structure with the torsion endomorphism $T \in \Omega^{1}\left(M, W_{7}(M)\right.$ ) from 4.13), and let $P=$ $-\delta_{g} \Phi \in \Omega^{3}(M, T M)$ be as before. Then for $p \in M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{7}\left([P, P]_{p}^{F N}\right)=-\frac{2}{3} \Phi \wedge \lambda^{2}\left(\left(4 T_{p}+\phi_{\sigma}\left(T_{p}\right)\right)\left(e_{\mu}\right)\right) \otimes e_{\mu} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\pi_{7}\left([P, P]_{p}^{F N}\right)=0$ iff $T_{p}=0$.
Proof. By Proposition 2.2, $[P, P]_{p}^{F N}=\gamma_{\mu} \otimes e_{\mu}$, where $\gamma_{\mu} \in \Lambda^{6} T_{p}^{*} M$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{\mu}=2\left(\left(\imath_{e_{\nu}} \Phi\right) \wedge \imath_{e_{\mu}}\left(\nabla_{e_{\nu}} \Phi\right)+\left(\imath_{e_{\mu}} \imath_{e_{\nu}} \Phi\right) \wedge e^{\rho} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{\nu}} \nabla_{e_{\rho}} \Phi\right)\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we decompose $\gamma_{\mu}=\Phi \wedge \lambda^{2}\left(v_{\mu}\right)+\gamma_{\mu}^{21}$ with $\gamma_{\mu}^{21} \in \Lambda_{21}^{6} T_{p}^{*} M$, then for any $v \in V_{7}=e_{0}^{\perp}$ we have $\gamma_{\mu}^{21} \wedge \lambda^{2}(v)=0$ by (4.7) and hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{\mu} \wedge \lambda^{2}(v)= & \Phi \wedge \lambda^{2}\left(v_{\mu}\right) \wedge \lambda^{2}(v) \\
= & \left(e^{0} \wedge \varphi+*_{7} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(e^{0} \wedge v_{\mu}^{b}+\imath_{v_{\mu}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(e^{0} \wedge v^{b}+\imath_{v} \varphi\right) \\
= & e^{0} \wedge \varphi \wedge\left(\imath_{v_{\mu}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{v} \varphi\right)+*_{7} \varphi \wedge e^{0} \wedge v_{\mu}^{b} \wedge\left(\imath_{v} \varphi\right) \\
& \quad+*_{7} \varphi \wedge\left(\imath_{v_{\mu}} \varphi\right) \wedge e^{0} \wedge v^{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\stackrel{(6.3), 6.1}{=} 6\left\langle v_{\mu}, v\right\rangle \mathrm{vol}+3\left\langle v_{\mu}, v\right\rangle \mathrm{vol}+3\left\langle v_{\mu}, v\right\rangle \mathrm{vol}=12\left\langle v_{\mu}, v\right\rangle \mathrm{vol}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{7}\left([P, P]_{p}^{F N}\right)=\frac{1}{12} *\left(\gamma_{\mu} \wedge \lambda^{2}\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \Phi \wedge \lambda^{2}\left(e_{i}\right) \otimes e_{\mu} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For arbitrary $v \in V_{7}=e_{0}^{\perp}$ we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{\mu} \wedge \lambda^{2}(v)=2\left(\left(v_{e_{\nu}} \Phi\right) \wedge \imath_{e_{\mu}}\left(\nabla_{e_{\nu}} \Phi\right) \wedge \lambda^{2}(v)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\imath_{e_{\mu}} e_{e_{\nu}} \Phi\right) \wedge e^{\rho} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{\nu}} \nabla_{e_{\rho}} \Phi\right) \wedge \lambda^{2}(v)\right) \\
& =2\left(\left(\imath_{e_{\nu}} \Phi\right) \wedge \imath_{e_{\mu}} \lambda^{4}\left(T_{p}\left(e_{\nu}\right)\right) \wedge \lambda^{2}(v)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(e_{e_{\mu}} l_{e_{\nu}} \Phi\right) \wedge e^{\rho} \wedge\left(v_{e_{\nu}} \lambda^{4}\left(T_{p}\left(e_{\rho}\right)\right)\right) \wedge \lambda^{2}(v)\right) \\
& \text { [6.17, }={ }^{6.18} 2\left(\left(-4 \delta_{\mu \nu}\left\langle T\left(e_{\nu}\right), v\right\rangle \mathrm{vol}+e^{\nu \mu} \wedge \lambda^{4}\left(T_{p}\left(e_{\nu}\right)\right) \wedge \lambda^{2}(v)\right)\right. \\
& +\left(-12 \delta_{\mu \rho}\left\langle T_{p}\left(e_{\rho}\right), v\right\rangle \mathrm{vol}+e^{\rho \mu} \wedge \lambda^{4}\left(T_{p}\left(e_{\rho}\right)\right) \wedge \lambda^{2}(v)\right) \\
& =2\left(-16\left\langle T_{p}\left(e_{\mu}\right), v\right\rangle \mathrm{vol}-2 e^{\mu \nu} \wedge \lambda^{4}\left(T_{p}\left(e_{\nu}\right)\right) \wedge \lambda^{2}(v)\right) \\
& =2\left(-16\left\langle T_{p}\left(e_{\mu}\right), v\right\rangle-4 \sigma\left(e_{\mu}, T_{p}\left(e_{\nu}\right), e_{\nu}, v\right)\right) \mathrm{vol} \\
& \stackrel{4.11}{=}-8\left\langle\left(4 T_{p}+\phi_{\sigma}\left(T_{p}\right)\right)\left(e_{\mu}\right), v\right\rangle \mathrm{vol},
\end{aligned}
$$

and this together with (4.16) implies (4.14) and completes the proof.
With this, we are now ready to prove the following which immediately implies Theorem 1.2 from the introduction.

Theorem 4.6. Let $\left(M^{8}, \Phi\right)$ be a manifold with a $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structure $\left(M^{8}, \Phi\right)$, let $\nabla$ be the Levi-Civita connection of $g=g_{\Phi}$, and let $T \in \Omega^{1}\left(M^{8}, W_{7}\left(M^{8}\right)\right)$ be its torsion endomorphism defined in Definition 4.4. Then for every $p \in$ $M^{8}$ the following are equivalent.
(1) $T_{p}=0 \in T_{p}^{*} M^{8} \otimes W^{7}(M)_{p}$.
(2) The $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structure is torsion-free at $p$, i.e., $(\nabla \Phi)_{p}=0$.
(3) $\pi_{7}\left([P, P]_{p}^{F N}\right)=0 \in \Lambda_{7}^{6} T_{p}^{*} M^{8} \otimes T_{p} M^{8}$.
(4) $[P, P]_{p}^{F N}=0 \in \Lambda^{6} T_{p}^{*} M^{8} \otimes T_{p} M^{8}$.

Proof. The equivalence of the first two statements was shown in Fernandez1986.
Also, $T_{p}=0$ implies $(\nabla \Phi)_{p}=0$, whence by Proposition 2.2, $[P, P]_{p}^{F N}=0$, and this trivially implies $\pi_{7}\left([P, P]_{p}^{F N}\right)=0$.

By (4.14), $\pi_{7}\left([P, P]_{p}^{F N}\right)=0$ iff $4 T_{p}+\phi_{\sigma}\left(T_{p}\right)=0$, and since $\phi_{\sigma}$ does not have -4 as an eigenvalue by Lemma 4.3, this implies that $T_{p}=0$.

## 5. The 16 Classes of $G_{2^{-}}$and 4 Classes of $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-Structures

In this section, we shall interpret the classification of $G_{2}$-structures and of Spin(7)-structures ([FG1982] and Fernandez1986]) in terms of the FrölicherNijenhuis bracket.

For the $G_{2}$-case, this classification is given by determining which components of the torsion endomorphism $T$ vanish, where $T$ is regarded as a section of the endomorphism bundle $\operatorname{End}\left(T M^{7}\right)$ which is $G_{2}$-equivariantly isomorphic to

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{7}\left(M^{7}\right) \otimes V_{7}\left(M^{7}\right) \cong V_{1}\left(M^{7}\right) \oplus V_{7}\left(M^{7}\right) \oplus V_{14}\left(M^{7}\right) \oplus V_{27}\left(M^{7}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since this decomposition has 4 summands, the classification consists of $2^{4}=$ 16 cases.

Observe that both $\Lambda_{7}^{5} T^{*} M^{7} \otimes T M^{7}$ and $\Lambda^{6} T^{*} M^{7} \otimes T M^{7}$ are $G_{2}$-equivariantly isomorphic to $V_{7}\left(M^{7}\right) \otimes V_{7}\left(M^{7}\right)$, where explicit isomorphisms are given by

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
K: & \Lambda_{7}^{5} T^{*} M^{7} \otimes T M^{7} \ni\left(* \varphi \wedge v^{b}\right) \otimes w & \longmapsto v^{b} \otimes w \in T^{*} M^{7} \otimes T M^{7} \\
L: & \Lambda^{6} T_{p}^{*} M^{7} \otimes T M^{7} & \ni & \left(* v^{b}\right) \otimes w
\end{array} \longmapsto v^{b} \otimes w \in T^{*} M^{7} \otimes T M^{7} .
$$

If $\left(M^{7}, \varphi\right)$ is a manifold with a $G_{2}$-structure and the cross products $C r$ and $\chi$, then we define the sections

$$
K_{\pi_{7}\left([C r, \chi]^{F N}\right)}, L_{[\chi, \chi]^{F N}} \in \Gamma(\operatorname{End}(T M))
$$

Therefore, by Propositions 3.5, 3.6 there are $G_{2}$-equivariant vector bundle isomorphisms

$$
\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}: \operatorname{End}\left(T M^{7}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}\left(T M^{7}\right)
$$

such that for the torsion endoromphism $T \in \Gamma(\operatorname{End}(T M))$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{1}(T)=K_{\pi_{7}\left([C r, \chi]^{F N}\right)} \quad \text { and } \quad \tau_{2}(T)=L_{[\chi, \chi]^{F N}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where by a slight abuse of notation we denote the map $\tau_{i}: \Gamma(\operatorname{End}(T M)) \rightarrow$ $\Gamma(\operatorname{End}(T M))$ applying $\tau_{i}$ pointwise by the same symbol.

For an element $A=a_{i j} e^{i} \otimes e_{j} \in \operatorname{End}\left(V_{7}\right)$ let us denote its skew-symmetrization by

$$
\sigma_{A}:=a_{i j} e^{i j} \in \Lambda^{2} V_{7}^{*} .
$$

With this notation, it follows from (3.10) and (3.18) that $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ take the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{1}(T)=2\left(T-2 T^{\top}-\operatorname{tr}(T) i d\right), \\
& \tau_{2}(T)=-4\left(T+T^{\top}\right)+6 *\left(e^{i} \wedge \sigma_{T} \wedge \varphi\right) \otimes e_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

summing over some basis $\left(e_{i}\right)$ with dual basis $\left(e^{i}\right)$.
The $G_{2}$-equivariance of $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ and (5.2) now implies that the $V_{k}(M)$ component of $T$ vanishes if and only if the $V_{k}(M)$-component of $K_{\pi_{7}\left([C r, \chi]^{F N}\right)}$ vanishes if and only if the $V_{k}(M)$-component of $L_{[\chi, \chi]^{F N}}$ vanishes. Since the cases in the Fernandez-Gray classification are determined by the vanishing of the components of $T$, we obtain the interpretation of these cases given in Table 1 .

The interpretation of manifolds $\left(M^{8}, \Phi\right)$ with a $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structure is analogous. Again, the torsion $T$ and the projection $\pi_{7}\left([P, P]^{F N}\right)$ are sections of the $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-equivariantly isomorphic bundles $T^{*} M^{8} \otimes W_{7}\left(M^{8}\right)$ and $\Lambda_{7}^{6} T^{*} M^{8} \otimes$ $T M^{8}$, respectively, with an explicit identification given by

$$
H: \Lambda_{7}^{6} T^{*} M^{8} \otimes W_{7}\left(M^{8}\right) \ni \Phi \wedge\left(\lambda^{2}(a)\right) \otimes v \longmapsto v^{b} \otimes a \in T^{*} M^{8} \otimes W_{7}\left(M^{8}\right),
$$

Table 1.

| Classes | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Relation on } \\ A \in\left\{T, K_{\left.\pi_{7}([C r, \chi]]^{F N}\right)}, L_{[\chi, \chi]]^{F N}}\right\} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $V_{1}(M) \oplus V_{7}(M) \oplus V_{14}(M) \oplus V_{27}(M)$ | no relation |
| $V_{7}(M) \oplus V_{14}(M) \oplus V_{27}(M)$ | $\operatorname{tr}(A)=0$ |
| $V_{1}(M) \oplus V_{14}(M) \oplus V_{27}(M)$ | $\sigma_{A} \in \Omega_{14}^{2}(M)$ |
| $V_{1}(M) \oplus V_{7}(M) \oplus V_{27}(M)$ | $\sigma_{A} \in \Omega_{7}^{2}(M)$ |
| $V_{1}(M) \oplus V_{7}(M) \oplus V_{14}(M)$ | $A+A^{1}-\frac{2}{7} \operatorname{tr}(A) \mathrm{id}_{T M}=0$ |
| $V_{14}(M) \oplus V_{27}(M)$ | $\sigma_{A} \in \Omega_{14}^{2}(M), \quad \operatorname{tr}(A)=0$ |
| $V_{7}(M) \oplus V_{27}(M)$ | $\sigma_{A} \in \Omega_{7}^{2}(M), \quad \operatorname{tr}(A)=0$ |
| $V_{7}(M) \oplus V_{14}(M)$ | $A+A^{\top}=0$ |
| $V_{1}(M) \oplus V_{27}(M)$ | $A-A^{1}=0$ |
| $V_{1}(M) \oplus V_{14}(M)$ | $A+A^{\top}-\frac{2}{7} \operatorname{tr}(A) \mathrm{id}_{T M}=0, \quad \sigma_{A} \in \Omega_{14}^{2}(M)$ |
| $V_{1}(M) \oplus V_{7}(M)$ | $A+A^{1}-\frac{2}{7} \operatorname{tr}(A) \mathrm{id}_{T M}=0, \quad \sigma_{A} \in \Omega_{7}^{2}(M)$ |
| $V_{27}(M)$ | $A-A^{1}=0, \quad \operatorname{tr}(A)=0$ |
| $V_{14}(M)$ | $A+A^{\top}=0, \quad \sigma_{A} \in \Omega_{14}^{2}(M)$ |
| $V_{7}(M)$ | $A+A^{\top}=0, \quad \sigma_{A} \in \Omega_{7}^{2}(M)$ |
| $V_{1}(M)$ | $A=\frac{1}{7} \operatorname{tr}(A) \mathrm{id}_{T M}$ |
| \{0\} | $A=0$ |

and if $\left(M^{8}, \Phi\right)$ is a manifold with a $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structure and the 3 -fold product $P$, then by (4.14)

$$
H_{\pi_{7}\left([P, P]^{F N}\right)}=\tau_{3}(T), \quad \text { where } \quad \tau_{3}(T)=-\frac{2}{3}\left(4 T+\phi_{\sigma}(T)\right)
$$

Here, by abuse of notation we regard $\phi_{\sigma}$ as the pointwise application of the map from (4.11) to sections of $W_{7}\left(M^{8}\right) \otimes T^{*} M^{8} \cong \operatorname{Lin}\left(W_{8}\left(M^{8}\right), W_{7}\left(M^{8}\right)\right)$.

By (4.8), $W_{7}\left(M^{8}\right) \otimes T^{*} M^{8}$ can be decomposed as $W_{8}\left(M^{8}\right) \oplus W_{48}\left(M^{8}\right)$ whence by the $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-equivariance of $\tau_{3}$, the $W_{k}\left(M^{8}\right)$-component of $T$ vanishes if and only if the $W_{k}\left(M^{8}\right)$-component of $H_{\pi 7}\left([P, P]^{F N}\right)$ does. Since the classification of Fernández Fernandez1986 into $2^{2}=4$ different cases is given by the vanishing of the components of the torsion $T$, it follows that these cases can be also interpreted by the vanishing of the components of $H_{\pi_{7}\left([P, P]^{F N}\right)}$, which leads to the interpretation of the classes of $\operatorname{Spin}(7)-$ manifolds given in Table 2, where $p r_{k}: W_{7}\left(M^{8}\right) \otimes T^{*} M^{8} \rightarrow W_{k}\left(M^{8}\right)$ is the canonical projection.

## 6. Appendix

In this appendix, we shall collect some of the formulas which we needed in the calculations in this paper. Most of them are known and can be found in a similar form e.g. in [SW2017, Lemma 4.37], but we shall collect them here for the reader's convenience.

TABLE 2.

| Classes | Relation on <br> $A \in\left\{T, H_{\pi_{7}\left([P, P]^{F N}\right)}\right\}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $W_{8} \oplus W_{48}$ | no relation |
| $W_{48}$ | $\operatorname{pr}_{8}(A)=0$ |
| $W_{8}$ | $p r_{48}(A)=0$ |
| $\{0\}$ | $A=0$ |

Lemma 6.1. For all $u, v, w, r \in V_{7}$ and any orthonormal basis $\left(e_{i}\right)$ of $V_{7}$ the following identities hold.

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\mathrm{b}} \wedge\left(\imath_{v} \varphi\right) \wedge * \varphi=3\langle u, v\rangle \mathrm{vol}  \tag{6.1}\\
& u^{b} \wedge\left(l_{v} * \varphi\right) \wedge \varphi=4\langle u, v\rangle \mathrm{Vol}  \tag{6.2}\\
& \left(\imath_{u} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{v} \varphi\right) \wedge \varphi=6\langle u, v\rangle \text { vol }  \tag{6.3}\\
& u^{b} \wedge\left(\imath_{v} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{w} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{r} \varphi\right)=2(\langle v, w\rangle\langle u, r\rangle  \tag{6.4}\\
& +\langle u, v\rangle\langle w, r\rangle+\langle u, w\rangle\langle v, r\rangle) \mathrm{vol} \\
& \left(\imath_{u} \imath_{v} * \varphi\right) \wedge w^{b} \wedge * \varphi=-2 u^{b} \wedge v^{b} \wedge w^{b} \wedge * \varphi  \tag{6.5}\\
& \left(\imath_{u} l_{v} \varphi\right) \wedge w^{b} \wedge\left({ }_{\imath} \varphi\right) \wedge \varphi=2(\langle v, w\rangle\langle u, r\rangle-\langle u, w\rangle\langle v, r\rangle) \mathrm{vol}  \tag{6.6}\\
& -2 u^{b} \wedge v^{b} \wedge w^{b} \wedge r^{b} \wedge \varphi \\
& \left(\imath_{u} \imath_{v} * \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{w} * \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{r} \varphi\right)=2(\langle v, w\rangle\langle u, r\rangle-\langle u, w\rangle\langle v, r\rangle) \mathrm{vol}  \tag{6.7}\\
& +u^{b} \wedge v^{b} \wedge w^{b} \wedge r^{b} \wedge \varphi \\
& u^{b} \wedge v^{b} \wedge\left(\imath_{w} * \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{r} \varphi\right)=2(\langle v, w\rangle\langle u, r\rangle-\langle u, w\rangle\langle v, r\rangle) \mathrm{vol}  \tag{6.8}\\
& +u^{b} \wedge v^{b} \wedge w^{b} \wedge r^{b} \wedge \varphi \\
& u^{b} \wedge v^{b} \wedge\left(\imath_{w} \imath_{r} * \varphi\right) \wedge \varphi=2(\langle v, w\rangle\langle u, r\rangle-\langle u, w\rangle\langle v, r\rangle) \mathrm{vol}  \tag{6.9}\\
& -u^{b} \wedge v^{b} \wedge w^{b} \wedge r^{b} \wedge \varphi \\
& \left(\imath_{u} * \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{v} \varphi\right)=-2 *\left(u^{\mathrm{b}} \wedge v^{\mathrm{b}}\right)+u^{\mathrm{b}} \wedge v^{\mathrm{b}} \wedge \varphi  \tag{6.10}\\
& \varphi \wedge\left(\imath_{u} \varphi\right)=2 u^{b} \wedge * \varphi  \tag{6.11}\\
& \left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right)^{2}=6 * \varphi  \tag{6.12}\\
& \left(\imath_{u} l_{e_{i}} * \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{v} v_{e_{i}} * \varphi\right)=2\left(\imath_{u} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{v} \varphi\right)  \tag{6.13}\\
& \left(\imath_{u} \imath_{e_{i}} * \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right)=3 u^{b} \wedge \varphi \tag{6.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. For the proof of these identities, observe that the left hand side of each equation is a $G_{2}$-invariant element of some tensor power of $V_{7}$, and therefore it has to be a linear combination of the summands on the right hand side; the coefficients of this linear combination then can be determined by using the explicit formulas for $\varphi$ and $* \varphi$ in (3.1) and (3.2).

To pick one explicit example which is not among the identities shown in [SW2017, observe that the left hand side of (6.4) is an element of $\left(V_{7} \otimes\right.$ $\left.\odot^{3} V_{7}\right)^{G_{2}}$. Since $\odot^{3} V_{7} \cong V_{7} \oplus V_{77}$, we have $\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{7} \otimes \odot{ }^{3} V_{7}\right)^{G_{2}}=1$, and there is one $G_{2}$-invariant element of $V_{7} \otimes \odot{ }^{3} V_{7}$ given by deriving the square of the scalar product which lies in $\odot^{4} V_{7}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{b} \wedge\left(\imath_{v} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{w} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{r} \varphi\right)= & c(\langle v, w\rangle\langle u, r\rangle  \tag{6.15}\\
& +\langle u, v\rangle\langle w, r\rangle+\langle u, w\rangle\langle v, r\rangle) \text { vol. }
\end{align*}
$$

for some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Now setting $u=v=w=r=: e_{1}$ and using (3.1) implies that $c=2$, showing (6.4).

The remaining identities are shown in a similar fashion.
The following two decompositions of $G_{2^{-}}$and $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-representations is also well known, cf. [SW2017, Theorem 8.5, 9.8], Kar2005, (4.7), (4.8)].
Lemma 6.2. Decompose $\Lambda^{2} V_{7}^{*}=\Lambda_{7}^{2} V_{7}^{*} \oplus \Lambda_{14}^{2} V_{7}^{*}$ according to (3.5). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{7}^{2} V_{7}^{*} & =\left\{\alpha^{2} \in \Lambda^{2} V_{7}^{*} \mid *\left(\alpha^{2} \wedge \varphi\right)=2 \alpha^{2}\right\}, \quad \text { and } \\
\Lambda_{14}^{2} V_{7}^{*} & =\left\{\alpha^{2} \in \Lambda^{2} V_{7}^{*} \mid *\left(\alpha^{2} \wedge \varphi\right)=-\alpha^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Lambda_{7}^{2} V_{7}^{*}=\left\{\alpha^{2}+*\left(\alpha^{2} \wedge \varphi\right) \mid \alpha^{2} \in \Lambda^{2} V_{7}^{*}\right\}, \quad \text { and } \\
& \Lambda_{14}^{2} V_{7}^{*}=\left\{2 \alpha^{2}-*\left(\alpha^{2} \wedge \varphi\right) \mid \alpha^{2} \in \Lambda^{2} V_{7}^{*}\right\} . \tag{6.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 6.3. Decompose $\Lambda^{2} W_{8}^{*}=\Lambda_{7}^{2} W_{8}^{*} \oplus \Lambda_{21}^{2} W_{8}^{*}$ according to 4.4). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{7}^{2} W_{8}^{*} & =\left\{\alpha^{2} \in \Lambda^{2} W_{8}^{*} \mid \Phi \wedge \alpha^{2}=3 * \alpha^{2}\right\}, \quad \text { and } \\
\Lambda_{21}^{2} W_{8}^{*} & =\left\{\alpha^{2} \in \Lambda^{2} W_{8}^{*} \mid \Phi \wedge \alpha^{2}=-* \alpha^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall also need the following result.
Lemma 6.4. For all $u, v \in W_{7}$ and $a, b \in W_{8}$ the following formulas hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\imath_{a} \Phi\right) & \wedge\left(\imath_{b} \lambda^{4}(u)\right) \wedge \lambda^{2}(v)= \\
& -4\langle a, b\rangle_{W_{8}}\langle u, v\rangle_{W_{7}} \operatorname{vol}+a^{b} \wedge b^{b} \wedge \lambda^{4}(u) \wedge \lambda^{2}(v),  \tag{6.17}\\
a^{b} \wedge & \left(\imath_{b} \imath_{e_{\mu}} \Phi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{\mu}} \lambda^{4}(u)\right) \wedge \lambda^{2}(v)= \\
& -12\langle a, b\rangle_{W_{8}}\langle u, v\rangle_{W_{7}} \operatorname{vol}+a^{b} \wedge b^{b} \wedge \lambda^{4}(u) \wedge \lambda^{2}(v) \tag{6.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where in (6.18) the sum is taken over an orthonormal basis $\left(e_{\mu}\right)$ of $W_{8}$.
Proof. By (4.4) and (4.5), the decomposition of $W_{8} \otimes W_{8}$ and $W_{7} \otimes W_{7}$ into $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-irreducible summands yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{8} \otimes W_{8}=\odot^{2} W_{8} \oplus \Lambda^{2} W_{8} \cong\left(W_{1} \oplus W_{35}\right) \oplus\left(W_{7} \oplus W_{21}\right), \\
& W_{7} \otimes W_{7}=\odot^{2} W_{7} \oplus \Lambda^{2} W_{7} \cong\left(W_{1} \oplus W_{27}\right) \oplus W_{21},
\end{aligned}
$$

so that there are two inequivalent summands in common and hence, the space of $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-invariant tensors in $W_{8} \otimes W_{8} \otimes W_{7} \otimes W_{7}$ is 2-dimensional.

Since the left hand sides of (6.17) and (6.18) describe such tensors, it follows that there must be constants $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{4} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(v_{a} \Phi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{b} \lambda^{4}(u)\right) \wedge \lambda^{2}(v)= \\
& \quad c_{1}\langle a, b\rangle_{W_{8}}\langle u, v\rangle_{W_{7}} \mathrm{vol}+c_{2} a^{\mathrm{b}} \wedge b^{\mathrm{b}} \wedge \lambda^{4}(u) \wedge \lambda^{2}(v),  \tag{6.19}\\
& a^{\mathrm{b}} \wedge\left(\imath_{b} \imath_{e_{\mu}} \Phi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{\mu}} \lambda^{4}(u)\right) \wedge \lambda^{2}(v)= \\
& \quad c_{3}\langle a, b\rangle_{W_{8}}\langle u, v\rangle_{W_{7}} \mathrm{vol}+c_{4} a^{\mathrm{b}} \wedge b^{\mathrm{b}} \wedge \lambda^{4}(u) \wedge \lambda^{2}(v) . \tag{6.20}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to determine these constants, we first let $a=b:=e_{0}$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\imath_{e_{0}} \Phi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{0}} \lambda^{4}(u)\right) \wedge \lambda^{2}(v) & =\varphi \wedge\left(\imath_{u} *_{7} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(e^{0} \wedge v^{b}+\left(\imath_{v} \varphi\right)\right) \\
& =-e^{0} \wedge v^{b} \wedge\left(\imath_{u} *_{7} \varphi\right) \wedge \varphi
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\stackrel{\sqrt[6.2]{=}}{=}-4\langle u, v\rangle \mathrm{vol},
$$

and from this, $c_{1}=-4$ follows. Whence if we let $a:=e_{0}$ and $b, u, v \in W_{7}=$ $e_{0}^{\perp}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\imath_{e_{0}} \Phi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{b} \lambda^{4}(u)\right) \wedge \lambda^{2}(v) \stackrel{\boxed{4.6}}{=} \varphi \wedge\left(-e^{0} \wedge\left(\imath_{b} \imath_{u} *_{7} \varphi\right)-\imath_{b}\left(u^{b} \wedge \varphi\right)\right) \\
& \wedge\left(e^{0} \wedge v^{b}+\left(\imath_{v} \varphi\right)\right) \\
&=- \varphi \wedge e^{0} \wedge\left(\imath_{b} \imath_{u} *_{7} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{v} \varphi\right) \\
&+\varphi \wedge u^{b} \wedge\left(\imath_{b} \varphi\right) \wedge e^{0} \wedge v^{b} \\
& \stackrel{6.11}{=} 2 e^{0} \wedge\left(\imath_{b} l_{u} *_{7} \varphi\right) \wedge v^{b} \wedge *_{7} \varphi \\
&+2 b^{b} \wedge *_{7} \varphi \wedge u^{b} \wedge e^{0} \wedge v^{b} \\
& \stackrel{(6.5}{=}-2 e^{0} \wedge b^{b} \wedge u^{b} \wedge v^{b} \wedge *_{7} \varphi \\
& \frac{4.12}{=} e^{0} \wedge b^{b} \wedge \lambda^{4}(u) \wedge \lambda^{2}(v)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $c_{2}=1$ follows. Now substituting $a=b:=e_{0}$ and $u=v:=e_{1}$ into (6.20) and using the index $i$ to run from 1 to 7 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{0} \wedge\left(-\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\ell_{e_{i}}\left(-e^{1} \wedge \varphi\right)\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{1}} \varphi\right)= & e^{0} \wedge \\
= & \left(\ell_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\delta_{1 i} \varphi-e^{1} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right)\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{1}} \varphi\right) \\
& -e^{0} \wedge e^{1} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{1}} \varphi\right) \wedge \varphi \\
& \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) \wedge l_{e_{1}} \varphi
\end{aligned}
$$

(6.3), 6.12 $6 \mathrm{vol}-6 e^{0} \wedge e^{1} \wedge \imath_{e_{1}} \varphi \wedge * \varphi$

$$
\stackrel{6.1 \mathrm{~b}}{=}-12 \mathrm{vol},
$$

so that $c_{3}=-12$ follows. Finally, for $a:=e_{0}, u:=e_{1}$ and $b, v \in W_{7}=e_{0}^{\perp}$ (6.20) reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{0} \wedge\left(\imath_{b} v_{e_{\mu}} \Phi\right) \wedge\left(v_{e_{\mu}} \lambda^{4}\left(e_{1}\right)\right) \wedge \lambda^{2}(v) \\
& =e^{0} \wedge\left(\imath_{b} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{1}} *_{7} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{v} \varphi\right) \\
& +e^{0} \wedge\left(\imath_{b} \imath_{e_{i}} *_{7} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(-\imath_{e_{i}}\left(e^{1} \wedge \varphi\right)\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{v} \varphi\right) \\
& \stackrel{(*)}{=} 0+e^{0} \wedge\left(\imath_{b} l_{e_{i}} *_{7} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(-\delta_{1 i} \varphi+e^{1} \wedge\left(\imath_{e_{i}} \varphi\right)\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{v} \varphi\right) \\
& =-e^{0} \wedge\left(\imath_{b} \imath_{e_{1}} *_{7} \varphi\right) \wedge \varphi \wedge\left(l_{v} \varphi\right) \\
& +e^{01} \wedge\left(\imath_{b} v_{e_{i}} *_{7} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(e_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{v} \varphi\right) \\
& \text { (6.11), (6.14) }-2 e^{0} \wedge\left(\imath_{b} e_{e_{1}} *_{7} \varphi\right) \wedge v^{b} \wedge *_{7} \varphi+3 e^{01} \wedge b^{b} \wedge \varphi \wedge\left(l_{v} \varphi\right) \\
& \text { 6.11, (6.5) } 4 e^{0} \wedge b^{b} \wedge e^{1} \wedge v^{b} \wedge *_{7} \varphi+6 e^{01} \wedge b^{b} \wedge v^{b} \wedge *_{7} \varphi \\
& =-2 e^{0} \wedge b^{b} \wedge e^{1} \wedge v^{b} \wedge{ }_{7} \varphi \\
& \stackrel{(4.122}{=} e^{0} \wedge b^{b} \wedge \lambda^{4}\left(e_{1}\right) \wedge \lambda^{2}(v),
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $c_{4}=1$ follows. At $(*)$ we have used that the map

$$
(u, v, w) \longmapsto *\left(\left(\imath_{u} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(l_{v} *_{7} \varphi\right) \wedge\left(\imath_{w} \varphi\right)\right)
$$

is a $G_{2}$-invariant element of $W_{7} \otimes \odot^{2} W_{7}$, and since by (4.5) $\odot^{2} W_{7} \cong W_{1} \oplus W_{27}$ has no irreducible component isomorphic to $W_{7}$, there is no such element other than 0 .
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