

HOMOGENEOUS ROTA-BAXTER OPERATORS ON A_ω (II)

RUIPU BAI AND YINGHUA ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the k -order homogeneous Rota-Baxter operators with weight 1 on the simple 3-Lie algebra A_ω , which is realized by an associative commutative algebra A and a derivation Δ and an involution ω (Lemma 2.3). A k -order homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator of order k on A_ω is a linear map R of A_ω satisfying $R(L_m) = f(m+k)L_{m+k}$ for all generators $\{L_m | m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, where $f : A_\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is a function and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We prove that R is a k -order homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator on A_ω of weight 1 with $k \neq 0$ if and only if $R = 0$ (see Theorems 3.1), and R is a 0-order homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator on A_ω of weight 1 if and only if R is the one of the forty possibilities which are described in Theorems 3.4, Theorem 3.6, Theorem 3.8, Theorem 3.9, Theorem 3.17, Theorem 3.20 and Theorem 3.21, respectively.

1. INTRODUCTION

Rota-Baxter operators have been closely related to many fields in mathematics and mathematical physics. They have played an important role in the Hopf algebra approach of renormalization of perturbative quantum field theory [3, 4, 9, 10], as well as in the application of the renormalization method in solving divergent problems in number theory [16, 18], they are also important topics in many fields such as symplectic geometry, integrable systems, quantum groups and quantum field theory [1, 2, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 16, 19, 20].

Authors in [6] investigated the Rota-Baxter operators on n -Lie algebras [11] and studied the structure of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras, and they also provided a method to realize Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras from Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras, Rota-Baxter Lie algebras, Rota-Baxter pre-Lie algebras and Rota-Baxter commutative associative algebras and derivations. In paper [5], authors discussed a class of Rota-Baxter operators of weight zero on an infinite dimensional simple 3-Lie algebra A_ω over a field \mathbb{F} ($ch\mathbb{F} = 0$), which is the 0-order homogeneous Rota-Baxter operators of weight zero. A homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator on A_ω is a linear map R on A_ω satisfying $R(L_m) = f(m)L_m$ for all generators $\{L_m | m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, where $f : A_\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is a function. It is proved that R is a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator on A_ω if and only if R is one of the five possibilities $R_{0_1}, R_{0_2}, R_{0_3}, R_{0_4}$ and R_{0_5} . By means of homogeneous Rota-Baxter operators, new 3-Lie algebras $(A, [, ,]_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 5$ are constructed, and R_{0_i} is also an homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator on the 3-Lie algebra $(A, [, ,]_i)$, for $1 \leq i \leq 5$ respectively.

In this paper we investigate k -order homogeneous Rota-Baxter operators of weight 1 on the simple 3-Lie algebra A_ω . We suppose that \mathbb{F} is a field of characteristic zero, and \mathbb{Z} is the set of integers.

Date: July 22, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 17B05, 17D99.

Key words and phrases. 3-Lie algebra, homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator, Rota-Baxter 3-algebra.

2. PRELIMINARY

A **3-Lie algebra** is a vector space A endowed with a ternary multi-linear skew-symmetric operation satisfying for all $x_1, x_2, x_3, y_2, y_3 \in A$.

$$(1) \quad [[x_1, x_2, x_3], y_2, y_3] = [[x_1, y_2, y_3], x_2, x_3] + [[x_2, y_2, y_3], x_3, x_1] + [[x_3, y_2, y_3], x_1, x_2].$$

Definition 2.1. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ be fixed. A **Rota-Baxter 3-algebra** is a 3-algebra (A, \langle, \rangle) with a linear map $R : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle R(x_1), R(x_2), R(x_3) \rangle &= R(\langle R(x_1), R(x_2), x_3 \rangle + \langle R(x_1), x_2, R(x_3) \rangle + \langle x_1, R(x_2), R(x_3) \rangle \\ &\quad + \lambda \langle R(x_1), x_2, x_3 \rangle + \lambda \langle x_1, R(x_2), x_3 \rangle + \lambda \langle x_1, x_2, R(x_3) \rangle \\ &\quad + \lambda^2 \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2. Let (A, \langle, \rangle) be a 3-algebra over \mathbb{F} , $R : A \rightarrow A$ be a linear map and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$, $\lambda \neq 0$. Then (A, \langle, \rangle, R) be a Rota-Baxter 3-algebra of weight λ if and only if $(A, \langle, \rangle, \frac{1}{\lambda}R)$ is a Rota-Baxter 3-algebra of weight 1.

Proof. The result follows from Eq. (2), directly. \square

Lemma 2.3. [7] Let A be a vector space with a basis $\{L_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ over a field \mathbb{F} . Then A is a simple 3-Lie algebra in the multiplication

$$(3) \quad [L_l, L_m, L_n] = \begin{vmatrix} (-1)^l & (-1)^m & (-1)^n \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ l & m & n \end{vmatrix} L_{l+m+n-1}, \text{ for all } l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In the following, the 3-Lie algebra A in Lemma 2.3 is denoted by A_ω , and the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} (-1)^l & (-1)^m & (-1)^n \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ l & m & n \end{vmatrix} \text{ is denoted by } D(l, m, n).$$

Lemma 2.4. [5] The determinant $D(l, m, n) = 0$ if and only if

$(l - m)(l - n)(m - n) = 0$, or $l = 2k + 1, m = 2s + 1, n = 2t + 1$, or $l = 2k, m = 2s, n = 2t$, for all $k, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

3. HOMOGENEOUS ROTA-BAXTER OPERATORS OF WEIGHT 1 ON 3-LIE ALGEBRA A_ω

By Definition 2.1, if $(A, [,], R)$ is a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight 1, then the \mathbb{F} -linear map $R : A \rightarrow A$ satisfies, for all $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in A$,

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle R(x_1), R(x_2), R(x_3) \rangle &= R(\langle R(x_1), R(x_2), x_3 \rangle + \langle R(x_1), x_2, R(x_3) \rangle + \langle x_1, R(x_2), R(x_3) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle R(x_1), x_2, x_3 \rangle + \langle x_1, R(x_2), x_3 \rangle + \langle x_1, x_2, R(x_3) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

If a Rota-Baxter operator R on the 3-Lie algebra A_ω satisfies that there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and a function $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$(5) \quad R(L_m) = f(m + k)L_{m+k}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z},$$

then R is called a **k -order homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator**, which is denoted by R_k .

3.1. k -order homogeneous Rota-Baxter operators with $k \neq 0$. From Eq.(5), we know that for all $x, y, z \in A_\omega$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& [R_k(L_l), R_k(L_m), R_k(L_n)] = [f(l+k)L_{l+k}, f(m+k)L_{m+k}, f(n+k)L_{n+k}] \\
& = f(l+k)f(m+k)f(n+k)D(l+k, m+k, n+k)L_{l+m+n+3k-1}, \\
& R_k([L_l, R_k(L_m), R_k(L_n)] + [R_k(L_l), L_m, R_k(L_n)] + [R_k(L_l), R_k(L_m), L_n] \\
& + [R_k(L_l), L_m, L_n] + [L_l, R_k(L_m), L_n] + [L_l, L_m, R_k(L_n)] + [L_l, L_m, L_n]) \\
& = R_k([L_l, f(m+k)L_{m+k}, f(n+k)L_{n+k}] + [f(l+k)L_{l+k}, L_m, f(n+k)L_{n+k}] \\
& + [f(l+k)L_{l+k}, f(m+k)L_{m+k}, L_n]) + [f(l+k)L_{l+k}, L_m, L_n] \\
& + [L_l, f(m+k)L_{m+k}, L_n] + [L_l, L_m, f(n+k)L_{n+k}] + [L_l, L_m, L_n] \\
& = f(m+k)f(n+k)f(l+m+n+3k-1)D(l, m+k, n+k)L_{l+m+n+3k-1} \\
& + f(l+k)f(n+k)f(l+m+n+3k-1)D(l+k, m, n+k)L_{l+m+n+3k-1} \\
& + f(l+k)f(m+k)f(l+m+n+3k-1)D(l+k, m+k, n)L_{l+m+n+3k-1} \\
& + f(l+k)f(l+m+n+2k-1)D(l+k, m, n)L_{l+m+n+2k-1} \\
& + f(m+k)f(l+m+n+2k-1)D(l, m+k, n)L_{l+m+n+2k-1} \\
& + f(n+k)f(l+m+n+2k-1)D(l, m, n+k)L_{l+m+n+2k-1} \\
& + f(l+m+n+k-1)D(l, m, n)L_{l+m+n+k-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Eq.(4),

$$\begin{aligned}
& [f(l+k)L_{l+k}, f(m+k)L_{m+k}, f(n+k)L_{n+k}] \\
& = R_k([L_l, f(m+k)L_{m+k}, f(n+k)L_{n+k}] + [f(l+k)L_{l+k}, L_m, f(n+k)L_{n+k}] \\
& + [f(l+k)L_{l+k}, f(m+k)L_{m+k}, L_n]).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $k \neq 0$, we have $R_k([L_l, L_m, L_n]) = 0$, for all $l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thanks to $A_\omega = [A_\omega, A_\omega, A_\omega]$, $R_k(A_\omega) = 0$.

This shows the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *A linear map R_k defined by Eq.(5) is a k -order homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator of weight 1 on A_ω if and only if $R_k = 0$.*

3.2. 0-order homogeneous Rota-Baxter operators of weight 1. In the following we discuss the 0-order homogeneous Rota-Baxter operators of weight 1 on A_ω . Then Eq.(5) is reduced to

$$(6) \quad R(L_m) = f(m)L_m, \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For convenience, in the following of the paper, we suppose that R is a linear map on A_ω defined by Eq.(6), and 0-order homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator R_0 of weight 1 on A_ω is simply denoted by R , and is simply called a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator on A_ω .

Denote

$$\begin{aligned}
W_1 &= \{2m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0, f(2m) \neq 0\}, & U_1 &= \{2m+1 \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0, f(2m+1) \neq 0\}, \\
W_2 &= \{2m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0, f(2m) = 0\}, & U_2 &= \{2m+1 \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0, f(2m+1) = 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2. *The linear map R is a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator on A_ω if and only if f satisfies that for all $l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
(7) \quad & f(2l+1)f(2m+1)f(2n) = (f(2l+1)f(2m+1) + f(2l+1)f(2n) \\
& + f(2m+1)f(2n) + f(2l+1) + f(2m+1) + f(2n) + 1)f(2l+2m+2n+1), l \neq m.
\end{aligned}$$

$$(8) \quad f(2l+1)f(2m)f(2n) = (f(2l+1)f(2m) + f(2l+1)f(2n) + f(2m)f(2n) \\ + f(2l+1) + f(2m) + f(2n) + 1)f(2l+2m+2n), m \neq n.$$

Proof. By Eq.(4) and Eq.(6), R is a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator on A_ω if and only if f satisfies that for all $l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$f(l)f(m)f(n)D(l, m, n) = \{f(l)f(m) + f(l)f(n) + f(m)f(n) + f(l) + f(m) \\ + f(n) + 1\}f(l+m+n-1)D(l, m, n).$$

Follows from Lemma 2.4, we obtain the result. \square

From Eq.(7) and Eq.(8), for $l = n = 0$, and $m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0, 1$, we have

$$f(0)f(m)f(1) = (f(0)f(1) + f(m)f(1) + f(0)f(m) + f(0) + f(1) + f(m) + 1)f(m),$$

so we get

$$(9) \quad (f(0) + f(1) + 1)f(m)(f(m) + 1) = 0.$$

Therefore, we will start the discussion according to the value $f(0) + f(1) + 1$.

3.2.1. Homogeneous Rota-Baxter operators with $f(0) + f(1) + 1 \neq 0$. In this section we discuss the homogeneous Rota-Baxter operators on A_ω defined by Eq.(6) of the case $f(0) + f(1) + 1 \neq 0$.

Lemma 3.3. *If R is a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator on A_ω , then R satisfies that*

$$(10) \quad f(m)(f(m) + 1) = 0, \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0, 1.$$

that is, for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0, 1$, we have $f(m) = 0$ or $f(m) = -1$.

Proof. The result follows from $f(0) + f(1) + 1 \neq 0$, and Eq.(9), directly. \square

Theorem 3.4. *If at least one of the subsets $W_i, U_i, i = 1, 2$ is finite. Then R is a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator on A_ω if and only if f satisfies one of the following, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

- 1) $f(m) = 0$;
- 2) $f(m) = -1$;
- 3) $f(2m) = 0, f(2m+1) = -1, m \neq 0$, and $f(0)(f(1) + 1) = 0$;
- 4) $f(2m) = -1, f(2m+1) = 0, m \neq 0$ and $f(1)(f(0) + 1) = 0$.

Proof. If f satisfies one of the cases 1) - 4). By a direct computation, we know that R satisfies Eq.(7) and Eq.(8), that is, R is a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator on A_ω .

Conversely, suppose that R is a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator on A_ω .

First, we prove that if W_i (or U_i) is finite subset then W_i (or U_i) is empty, $i = 1, 2$.

Without loss of generality, we suppose that $|W_1| < \infty$.

If $|W_1| = s$, and $1 \leq s < \infty$. Suppose $W_1 = \{2m_0, \dots, 2m_{s-1}\}$, $s \geq 1$. Then $|W_2| = \infty$. Without loss of generality, we suppose that $|U_1| \neq 0$. Then there exists $n_0 \neq 0$ and $2n_0 + 1 \in U_1$, that is, $f(2n_0 + 1) = -1$. We assert that $|U_2| < \infty$ and $|U_1| = \infty$.

In fact, if $|U_2| = \infty$. Then we can choose $2m, 2n \in W_2, m \neq n$ and $2l + 1 \in U_2$ such that $2m + 2n + 2l = 2m_0$. By Eq.(8), we obtain contradiction $0 = f(2m)f(2n)f(2l + 1) = f(2m_0)$. Therefore, $|U_2| < \infty$, and $|U_1| = \infty$.

So we can choose $2l + 1, 2n + 1 \in U_1$, $l \neq n$ and $2m \in W_2$ such that $2m + 2n + 2l = 2n_0$. We obtain contradiction $0 = f(2m)f(2n + 1)f(2l + 1) = f(2n_0 + 1)$.

Summarizing above discussion, W_1 is empty, that is, $f(2m) = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0$.

Second we discuss the characteristic of f .

• If U_2 is non-empty, then there exists $2n_0 + 1 \in U_2$ such that $f(2n_0 + 1) = 0$. By Eq.(7) and Eq.(8), for $m \neq -n_0, 0$,

$$f(2n_0 + 1)f(2m)f(-2n_0 - 2m) = f(0) = 0,$$

$$f(2n_0 + 1)f(1)f(-2n_0) = (f(1) + 1)f(1) = 0.$$

Thanks to $f(0) + f(1) + 1 \neq 0$, we obtain $f(0) = f(1) = 0$. Again by Eq.(7), for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$f(2n_0 + 1)f(1)f(2m) = f(2n_0 + 2m + 1) = 0,$$

we obtain that for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l \neq -n_0$, $f(2l + 1) = 0$. By completely similar discussion to the above, we obtain that $f(2l + 1) = 0$ for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. This is the case 1).

•• If U_2 is empty, that is, f satisfies that $f(2l + 1) = -1$ for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}, l \neq 0$. Then by Eq.(7) and Eq.(8), for $l \neq 0, 1$, we get $f(0)(f(1) + 1) = 0$. This is the case 3).

••• Similarly, if W_2 is empty, that is, $f(2m) = -1$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0$. By the similar discussion, we obtain the cases 2) and 4). If U_1 is empty, that is, $f(2m + 1) = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0$, we obtain the cases 1) and 4). If U_2 is empty, that is, $f(2m + 1) = -1$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0$, we obtain the cases 2) and 3).

□

Now we discuss the case that $|W_i| = |U_i| = \infty$, for $i = 1, 2$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let R be a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator on A_ω . If $W_1 = \{2m_i | m_i < m_{i+1}, i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq 0\}$. Then $U_1 = \{2l_i + 1 | l_i < l_{i+1}, i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq 0\}$, and $l_0 \geq -m_1, l_1 \geq -m_0$.*

Proof. For all $2l + 1 \in U_1$, by Eq.(8), we have $f(2m_0 + 2m_1 + 2l) = -1$. Then $2l + 2m_0 + 2m_1 \geq m_0$, we obtain $l \geq -m_1$. So we can suppose that $U_1 = \{2l_i + 1 | l_i < l_{i+1}, i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq 0\}$, where $l_0 \geq -m_1$. Similarly, by Eq.(7), we get $m_0 \geq -l_1$.

□

From Lemma 3.5, and Eq.(7) and Eq.(8), we need to discuss the following four cases:

(1) $l_0 = -m_1$.

By a direct computation according Eq.(7) and Eq.(8), we have

$$m_i = m_1 + (i - 1)(m_1 - m_0), l_1 = -m_0, l_i = -m_0 + (i - 1)(m_1 - m_0), i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq 1,$$

where $W_1 = \{2m_i | m_i < m_{i+1}, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, and $U_1 = \{2l_i + 1 | l_i < l_{i+1}, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

(2) $-m_1 < l_0 < -m_0$.

If l_0 satisfies that $-m_1 < l_0 < -m_0$. Then from $2(m_0 + l_0 + m_1) \in W_1$, and $m_0 + l_0 + m_1 < m_1$, we have $m_0 + l_0 + m_1 = m_0$, this contradicts $l_0 < -m_1$. Therefore, this case does not exist.

(3) $l_0 = -m_0$.

$$\text{From } f(0) = f(0)f(2m_0)f(2l_0 + 1) = f(0)f(2m_0)f(-2m_0 + 1) = -f(0)^2,$$

$$f(1) = f(1)f(2m_0)f(2l_0 + 1) = f(1)f(2m_0)f(-2m_0 + 1) = -f(1)^2, \text{ and}$$

$f(0) + f(1) + 1 \neq 0$, we obtain that $f(0) = f(1) = 0$ or $f(0) = f(1) = -1$.

• If $f(0) = f(1) = 0$. Then we have

$$f(2m_0 - 2k)f(-2m_0 - 2l + 1)f(0) = f(-2(k + l)) = 0,$$

$$f(2m_0 - 2k)f(-2m_0 - 2l + 1)f(1) = f(-2(k + l) + 1) = 0 \text{ for all } k, l \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0 \text{ and } l > 0.$$

we have $m_0 \geq 1, -m_0 = l_0 \geq -1$, we assert that

$$m_0 = 1, l_0 = -1.$$

In fact, if there exists $k_0 > 1$, such that $f(2k_0) = 0$, then $f(-2k_0 - 2 + 1) = 0$. By Eq.(7), we get $f(1)f(2k_0)f(-2k_0 - 2 + 1) = f(-2 + 1) = f(2l_0 + 1) = 0$. Contradiction. Therefore,

$$W_1 = \{2k, k \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0\}, U_1 = \{-1, 2k + 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0\}.$$

•• If $f(0) = f(1) = -1$. we know that for all $l, m, n, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $lmns \neq 0$, if $f(2l + 1) = f(2n + 1) = f(2m) = f(2s) = -1$, then $f(2l + 2n + 1) = f(2m + 2s) = f(2l + 2m) = f(2l + 2m + 1) = -1$. We obtain that $2m_1 + 2l_0 = 2m_1 - 2m_0 \in W_1$, $2l_1 + 2l_0 + 1 = 2l_1 - 2m_0 + 1 \in U_1$.

If $m_0 > 0$, by Lemma 3.5, $m_1 - m_0 > 0$, $l_1 - m_0 < l_1$. Then $m_1 = 2m_0$, $l_1 = m_0$. Inductively suppose $m_k = (k + 1)m_0$, $l_k = km_0$. From

$$m_{k-1} = km_0 = m_k - m_0 < m_{k+1} - m_0 < m_{k+1},$$

we have

$$m_{k+1} = (k + 2)m_0, l_{k-1} = (k - 1)m_0 = l_k - m_0 < l_{k+1} - m_0 < l_{k+1},$$

we obtain $l_{k+1} = (k + 1)m_0$. Therefore,

$$W_1 = \{2km_0 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0\}, U_1 = \{-2m_0 + 1, 2km_0 + 1 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0\}.$$

Similar discussion, in the case $m_0 < 0$,

$$W_1 = \{2m_0, -2km_0 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0\}, U_1 = \{2km_0 + 1 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0\}.$$

(4) $l_0 > -m_0$.

We can choose $W_1 = \{2m_k \mid m_k < m_{k+1}, m_k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 0\}$, and $U_1 = \{2l_k + 1 \mid l_k < l_{k+1}, k \geq 0\}$.

If there exists $m' > m_0$ such that $f(2m') = 0$. Since $m > m_0$, $-m' < -m_0 < l_0$, we get $f(-2m' + 1) = 0$. By Eq.(7) and Eq.(8),

$$f(0)f(2m')f(-2m' + 1) = (f(0) + 1)f(0) = 0,$$

$$f(1)f(2m')f(-2m' + 1) = (f(1) + 1)f(1) = 0.$$

Thanks to $f(0) + f(1) + 1 \neq 0$, we obtain $f(0) = f(1) = 0$, or $f(0) = f(1) = -1$.

• If $f(0) = f(1) = -1$. From $f(2m_0 + 2l_0) = -1$ and $f(2m_0 + 2l_0 + 1) = -1$, we obtain $m_0 > 0$, $l_0 > 0$.

In the case $m_0 = l_0$, from $f(2m_0) = -1$, we have $l_0 = m_0 > 1$. Therefore,

$$\{2km_0 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0\} \subseteq W_1, \text{ and } \{2km_0 + 1 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0\} \subseteq U_1.$$

If there exists $0 < r < m_0$, $k > 0$ such that $f(2m_0k + 2r) = 0$. From $f(-2r) = f(-2km_0 + 1) = 0$, and Eq.(8), we obtain contradiction

$$0 = f(2m_0k + 2r)f(-2r)f(-2km_0 + 1) = f(0) = -1,$$

Therefore, $f(2m) \neq 0$, for all $m \geq m_0$, that is,

$$\{2km_0 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0\} = W_1.$$

Similarly we have $f(2m+1) \neq 0$ for all $m \geq l_0$, that is,

$$\{2km_0 + 1 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0\} \subseteq U_1.$$

And by Eq.(7) and Eq.(8), we have

$$f(2m) = f(2m+1) = -1, \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq m_0.$$

If $l_0 \neq m_0$. From $f(2l_0 + 2m_0) = f(2m_0 + 2l_0 + 1) = -1$, we get $\{2km_0 + 2ln_0 \mid k, l \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0, l \geq 0\} \subseteq W_1$, and $\{2km_0 + 2ln_0 + 1 \mid k, l \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 0, l > 0\} \subseteq U_1$. By the similar discussion to the above, we have $W_1 = \{2m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq m_0\}$, and $U_1 = \{2n + 1 \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq l_0\}$, and for all $l \in W_1 \cup U_1$, $f(l) = -1$.

•• We prove the case $f(0) = f(1) = 0$ does not exist.

If R satisfies that $f(0) = f(1) = 0$. From $l_0 > -m_0 > -m'$, $l_0 > -m' + 1$, $f(2m') = 0$, by

$$f(0)f(2m')f(-2m' + 2 + 1) = (f(0) + 1)f(2) = 0,$$

$$f(1)f(2m')f(-2m' + 2 + 1) = (f(0) + 1)f(3) = 0.$$

We obtain $f(2) = f(3) = 0$. Again by Eq.(7) and Eq.(8), if $k \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0$, $f(2k) = f(2k+1) = 0$, we have

$$f(0)f(2k)f(2+1) = (f(0) + 1)f(2k+2) = f(2k+2) = 0,$$

$$f(1)f(2k)f(2+1) = (f(0) + 1)f(2k+2+1) = f(2k+2+1) = 0.$$

Therefore, for all positive $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $f(2k) = f(2k+2+1) = 0$, this contradicts $|U_1| = \infty$.

Summarizing above discussion, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.6. *Let R be a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator on A_ω with $f(0) + f(1) + 1 \neq 0$, and $W_1 = \{2m_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq 0, m_i < m_{i+1}\}$, $U_1 = \{2l_i + 1 \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq 0, l_i < l_{i+1}\}$. Then R is one of the following cases.*

1) There exists $m_0, m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_0 < m_1$,

$$W_1 = \{2m_0, 2m_1 + 2(i-1)(m_1 - m_0) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq 1\},$$

$$U_1 = \{-2m_1 + 1, -2m_0 + 2(i-1)(m_1 - m_0) + 1 \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq 1\}$$

$$f(2m_0) = f(2m_1 + 2k(m_1 - m_0)) = -1,$$

$$f(-2m_1 + 1) = f(-2m_0 + 2k(m_1 - m_0) + 1) = -1, k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 0,$$

and others are zero.

2) $f(0) = f(1) = 0$,

$$W_1 = \{2k, k \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0\}, U_1 = \{-1, 2k + 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0\},$$

$$f(2k) = f(-1) = f(2k+1) = -1, k \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0,$$

and others are zero.

3) $f(0) = f(1) = -1$, and there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_0 > 0$,

$$W_1 = \{2km_0 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0\}, U_1 = \{-2m_0 + 1, 2km_0 + 1 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0\},$$

$$f(2km_0) = f(-2m_0 + 1) = f(2km_0 + 1) = -1, k \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0,$$

and others are zero.

4) $f(0) = f(1) = -1$, and there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_0 < 0$,

$$W_1 = \{2m_0, 2km_0 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, k < 0\}, \quad U_1 = \{2km_0 + 1 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, k < 0\},$$

$$f(2m_0) = f(2km_0) = f(2km_0 + 1) = -1, k \in \mathbb{Z}, k < 0,$$

and others are zero.

5) There exist $m_0, l_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l_0 > -m_0$,

$$W_1 = \{2m \mid m \geq m_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad U_1 = \{2l + 1 \mid l \in \mathbb{Z}, l \geq l_0\}.$$

$$f(2m) = f(2l + 1) = -1, m, l \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq m_0, l \geq l_0,$$

and others are zero.

6) $f(0) = f(1) = -1$, there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_0 > 1$,

$$W_1 = \{2m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq m_0\}, \quad U_1 = \{2m + 1 \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq m_0\},$$

and $f(m) = -1$, for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \geq m_0$.

7) $f(0) = f(1) = -1$ and there exist $m_0, l_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_0 > 0$, $l_0 > 0$, $m_0 \neq l_0$,

$$W_1 = \{2m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq m_0\}, \quad U_1 = \{2n + 1 \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq l_0\},$$

and $f(2m) = f(2n + 1) = -1$, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \geq m_0$, $n \geq l_0$, and others are zero.

By the similar discussion to the above, we get the following result.

Lemma 3.7. Let R be a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator on A_ω and $W_1 = \{2m_i \mid m_i > m_{i+1}, i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq 0\}$. Then $U_1 = \{2l_i + 1 \mid l_i > l_{i+1}, i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq 0\}$, and $l_0 \leq -m_1$, $l_1 \leq -m_0$.

Proof. For all $2l+1 \in U_1$, by Eq.(8), we have $f(2m_0+2m_1+2l) = -1$. Then $2l+2m_0+2m_1 \leq 2m_0$, we obtain $l \leq -m_1$. So we can suppose that $U_1 = \{2l_i + 1 \mid l_i > l_{i+1}, i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq 0\}$, $l_0 \leq -m_1$. Similarly, by Eq.(7), we get $m_0 \leq -l_1$. □

Theorem 3.8. Let R be a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator on A_ω and

$$W_1 = \{2m_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq 0, m_i > m_{i+1}\}, \quad U_1 = \{2l_i + 1 \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq 0, l_i > l_{i+1}\}.$$

Then R is one of the following cases

1) There exists $m_0, m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_0 > m_1$,

$$W_1 = \{2m_0, 2m_1 + 2k(m_0 - m_1) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \leq 0\},$$

$$U_1 = \{-2m_1 + 1, -2m_0 + 2k(m_0 - m_1) + 1 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \leq 0\}.$$

$$f(2m_0) = f(2m_1 + 2k(m_1 - m_0)) = -1,$$

$$f(-2m_1 + 1) = f(-2m_0 + 2k(m_1 - m_0) + 1) = -1, k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \leq 0,$$

and others are zero.

2) $f(0) = f(1) = 0$,

$$W_1 = \{2, 2k, k \in \mathbb{Z}, k < 0\}, \quad U_1 = \{2k + 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}, k < 0\}.$$

$$f(2) = f(2k) = f(2k + 1) = -1, k \in \mathbb{Z}, k < 0,$$

and others are zero.

3) $f(0) = f(1) = -1$, and there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_0 < 0$,

$$W_1 = \{2km_0 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0\}, \quad U_1 = \{-2m_0 + 1, 2km_0 + 1 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0\}.$$

$$f(2km_0) = f(-2m_0 + 1) = f(2km_0 + 1) = -1, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0,$$

and others are zero.

4) $f(0) = f(1) = -1$, and there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_0 > 0$

$$W_1 = \{2m_0, 2km_0 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, k < 0\}, \quad U_1 = \{2km_0 + 1 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, k < 0\}.$$

$$f(2m_0) = f(2km_0) = f(2km_0 + 1) = -1, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0,$$

and others are zero.

5) There exist $m_0, l_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l_0 < -m_0$,

$$W_1 = \{2k \mid k \leq m_0, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad U_1 = \{2k + 1 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \leq l_0\}.$$

$$f(2m) = f(2l + 1) = -1, \quad m, l \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq m_0, l \geq l_0,$$

and others are zero.

6) $f(0) = f(1) = -1$, there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_0 < -1$,

$$W_1 = \{2m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \leq m_0\}, \quad U_1 = \{2m + 1 \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \leq m_0\},$$

and $f(l) = -1$ for all $l \leq 2m_0 + 1$, others are zero.

7) $f(0) = f(1) = -1$ and there exist $m_0, l_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l_0 < 0$, $m_0 < 0$, $m_0 \neq l_0$,

$$W_1 = \{2m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \leq m_0\}, \quad U_1 = \{2l + 1 \mid l \in \mathbb{Z}, l \leq l_0\},$$

and $f(2m) = f(2l + 1) = -1$ for all $m, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \leq m_0$, $l \leq l_0$.

Proof. The proof is completely similar to Theorem 3.6.

□

Theorem 3.9. Let $\inf W_i = \inf U_i = -\infty$, $\sup W_i = \sup U_i = +\infty$. Then R is a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator on A_ω if and only if R is the one of the following

1) $f(0) = f(1) = 0$, and there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_0 \neq 0$ such that

$$W_2 = \{2m_0k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad U_2 = \{2m_0k + 1 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

$$f(2km_0) = f(2m_0k + 1) = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and others are $f(m) = -1$.

2) $f(0) = f(1) = -1$, and there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_0 \neq 0$ such that

$$W_1 = \{2m_0k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad U_1 = \{2m_0k + 1 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

$$f(2km_0) = f(2m_0k + 1) = -1, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and others are zero.

Proof. Let R be a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator on A_ω . Suppose

$$W_2 = \{2m_i, 2m'_i | i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq 0\}, \quad U_2 = \{2l + 1, 2l'_i + 1 | i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq 0\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdots < 2m'_{i+1} < 2m'_i < \cdots < 2m'_1 < 2m'_0 < 0 < 2m_0 < 2m_i < \cdots < 2m_i < 2m_{i+1} < \cdots, \\ & \cdots < 2l'_{i+1} + 1 < 2l'_i + 1 < \cdots < 2l'_1 + 1 < 2l'_0 + 1 < 1 < 2l_0 + 1 < 2l_1 < \cdots < 2l_i + 1 < 2l_{i+1} + 1 < \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

If $f(0) = b \neq 0, -1$. For $f(2l + 1) = f(2k + 1) = 0, l \neq k$, thanks to Eq.(7), $f(2l + 2k + 1) = 0$. Then $f(2l_0 + 2l'_0 + 1) = 0$. Since $2l'_0 + 1 < 2l_0 + 2l'_0 + 1 < 2l_0 + 1$, we get $f(1) = 0$. By Eq.(8), and $f(2m) = f(2n) = 0, m \neq n$, we have $f(2m + 2n) = 0$. Thanks to $f(2m_0 + 2m'_0) = 0$, and $2m'_0 < 2m_0 + 2m'_0 < 2m_0$, we get $f(0) = b = 0$. Contradiction.

Therefore, $f(0) = 0$, or $f(0) = -1$.

If $f(0) = 0$. Then by $2l'_0 + 1 < 2l_0 + 2l'_0 + 1 < 2l_0 + 1$ and Eq.(7), $f(0)f(2l_0 + 1)f(2l'_0 + 1) = f(2l_0 + 2l'_0 + 1) = 0$, we get $l'_0 = -l_0$ and $f(1) = 0$. Similarly, we have $m'_0 = -m_0$.

Similar discussion, we have

$$m_i = -m'_i, \quad l_i = -l'_i, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq 0.$$

Therefore, for all $2m, 2n \in W_2, 2l + 1, 2s + 1 \in U_2$, we have $2m + 2n, 2m + 2l \in W_2$ and $2l + 2s + 1, 2l + 2m + 1 \in U_2$. From

$$0 < 2m_1 - 2m_0 = 2m_1 + 2m'_0 < 2m_1, \text{ we have } 2m_1 - 2m_0 = 2m_0, \text{ that is, } m_1 = 2m_0.$$

Inductively, we get

$$m_i = (i + 1)m_0, \quad m'_i = -(i + 1)m_0, \quad l_i = (i + 1)l_0, \quad l'_i = -(i + 1)l_0, \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq 0.$$

We affirm $m_0 = l_0$

In fact, if $m_0 \neq l_0$, then $m_0 - l_0 \neq 0$. From $2m_0 - 2l_0 = 2m_0 + 2l'_0 < 2m_0$, $2m_0 - 2l_0 \in W_2$, and $2l'_0 + 1 < 2m_0 - 2l_0 + 1 \in U_2$, we get $2m_0 - 2l_0 < 0$, and $2m_0 - 2l_0 > 0$. Contradiction.

Therefore, $m_0 = l_0$. We get case 1).

By the similar discussion, if $f(0) = -1$, then $f(1) = -1$, and we obtain the case 2).

□

3.2.2. Homogeneous Rota-Baxter operators with $f(0) = a \neq 0$ and $f(0) + f(1) + 1 = 0$.
In this section we discuss homogeneous Rota-Baxter operators on A_ω of weight 1 defined by Eq.(6) with $f(0) = a \neq 0$ and $f(0) + f(1) + 1 = 0$.

Lemma 3.10. *Let R be homogeneous Rota-Baxter operators on A_ω . Then R satisfies that for all $l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

- 1) $af(2l + 1)f(2m + 1) = ((a + 1)f(2l + 1) + (a + 1)f(2m + 1) + f(2l + 1)f(2m + 1) + (a + 1))f(2l + 2m + 1), \quad l \neq m.$
- 2) $-(a + 1)f(2m + 1)f(2n) = \{-af(2m + 1) - af(2n) + f(2m + 1)f(2n) - a\}f(2m + 2n + 1), \quad m \neq 0.$
- 3) $af(2l + 1)f(2m) = \{(a + 1)f(2l + 1) + (a + 1)f(2m) + f(2l + 1)f(2m) + (a + 1)\}f(2l + 2m), \quad m \neq 0.$
- 4) $-(a + 1)f(2m)f(2n) = \{-af(2m) - af(2n) + f(2m)f(2n) - a\}f(2m + 2n), \quad m \neq n.$

Proof. The result follows from Eq.(7) and Eq.(8), directly.

□

Theorem 3.11. *Let R be a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operators on A_ω , then R satisfies that for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$(11) \quad f(1 - m) + f(m) + 1 = 0.$$

Proof. By 2) and 3) in Lemma 3.10, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0, n \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & -f(2m+1)f(2n) \\ &= f(2m+2n+1)\{-af(2m+1) - af(2n) + f(2m+1)f(2n) - a\} \\ &+ f(2m+2n)\{(a+1)f(2m+1) + (a+1)f(2n) + f(2m+1)f(2n) + a+1\}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case $m = -n$, we obtain $f(2m+1) + f(-2m) + 1 = 0, m \neq 0, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The result follows. \square

Theorem 3.12. *Let R be a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operators on A_ω , and $f(2k) \neq 0, f(2l) \neq 0, f(2m+1) \neq 0, f(2n+1) \neq 0$, for $k, l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $klmn \neq 0$. Then we have*

- 1) $f(2k+2l) \neq 0$; 2) $f(2k+2m) \neq 0$; 3) $f(2k+2m+1) \neq 0$;
- 4) $f(2m+2n+1) \neq 0$; 5) $f(2m+2n+2k+1) \neq 0$; 6) $f(2m+2k+2l) \neq 0$;
- 7) $f(1-2k+2m) \neq 0, m \neq -k$; 8) $f(4k) \neq 0$; 9) $f(1-2k-2m) + 1 \neq 0$;
- 10) $f(2k-2m) + 1 \neq 0$; 11) $f(1-4k) + 1 \neq 0$.

Proof. The result 1) follows from 4) in Lemma 3.10 of the case $m = k, n = l, k \neq l$.

The result 2) follows from 2) in Lemma 3.10 of the case $m = m, n = k, k \neq 0$.

The result 3) follows from 3) in Lemma 3.10 of the case $l = m, m = k, m \neq 0$.

The result 4) follows from 1) in Lemma 3.10 of the case $l = m, m = n, m \neq n$.

The result 5) and 6) follows from Eq.(7) and Eq.(8), directly.

The result 7) follows from 1) in Lemma 3.10 of the case $l = 0, 2m+1, -2k+1, m \neq -k$.

The result 8) follows from 3) in Lemma 3.10 of the case $l = k, m = k, k \neq 0$.

The result 9), 10) and 11) follow from 2), 7) and 10) and Eq.(11), respectively. \square

Lemma 3.13. *If at least on of the subsets $W_i, U_i, i = 1, 2$ is finite. Then R is not a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator on A_ω .*

Proof. The result follows from 1), 2), 3) and 4) in Theorem 3.12, directly. \square

Theorem 3.14. *If R is a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator on A_ω , then*

$$\inf W_i = \inf U_i = -\infty, \sup W_i = \sup U_i = +\infty.$$

And there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, m_0 \neq 0$, such that

$$(12) \quad W_1 = \{2m_0k | k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0\}, U_1 = \{2m_0k + 1 | k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Proof. If there exists, $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f(2m_0) \neq 0$ and for all $2m \in W_1, 2m \geq 2m_0$ (similar discussion for the case $2m \leq 2m_0$). By 2) and 8) in Theorem 3.12, and Lemma 3.13, for all $2m+1 \in U_1$, we have $f(2m+2m_0) \neq 0$, and $f(4m_0) \neq 0$, then $4m_0 > 2m_0, 2m+2m_0 \geq 2m_0$.

Therefore, $m_0 > 0$ and there exists $l_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l_0 > 0$ such that for all $2l + 1 \in U_1$, $2l + 1 \geq 2l_0 + 1$. From 7) in Theorem 3.12, $f(1+2l_0-2m_0) \neq 0$, so we obtain contradiction $2l_0+1 \leq 1+2l_0-2m_0 < 1+2l_0$.

Therefore, $\inf W_i = \inf U_i = -\infty$, $\sup W_i = \sup U_i = +\infty$.

Then we can suppose

$$W_1 = \{2m_i, 2m'_i | i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq 0\}, \quad U_1 = \{2l + 1, 2l'_i + 1 | i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq 0\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdots < 2m'_{i+1} < 2m'_i < \cdots < 2m'_1 < 2m'_0 < 0 < 2m_0 < 2m_i < \cdots < 2m_i < 2m_{i+1} < \cdots, \\ & \cdots < 2l'_{i+1} + 1 < 2l'_i + 1 < \cdots < 2l'_1 + 1 < 2l'_0 + 1 < 1 < 2l_0 + 1 < 2l_1 < \cdots < 2l_i + 1 < 2l_{i+1} + 1 < \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Theorem 3.12, $2m_0 + 2m'_0 \in W_1$, $m'_0 < m_0 + m'_0 < m_0$. Then $m'_0 = -m_0$.

From $0 < 2m_1 + m'_0 = 2m_1 - 2m_0 < 2m_1$, we get $m_1 = 2m_0$. Inductively, we get

$$m_i = (i+1)m_0, \quad m'_i = -(i+1)m_0, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq 0.$$

Similar discussion, we have that for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq 0$, $l_i = (i+1)l_0$ and $l'_i = -(i+1)l_0$.

Again by 2) and 3) in Theorem 3.12, there exist positive $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$2l_0 + 2m_0 = 2sm_0 = 2tl_0,$$

then $l_0 = (s-1)m_0$, $m_0 = (t-1)l_0$, it shows $l_0 = m_0$. The proof is complete. \square

Denote $T_{m_0} = W_1 \cup U_1$, that is, for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0, 1$, $f(m) \neq 0$ if and only if $m \in T_{m_0}$. T_{m_0} is called the m_0 -supporter of the homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator R .

Corollary 3.15. *Let R be a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator, if $f(2m_0k) \neq 0$, then $f(2km_0) \neq -1$, $f(1+2km_0) \neq 0, -1$, and*

$$(13) \quad \frac{1}{f(2m_0k)} + \frac{1}{f(-2m_0k)} + \frac{1}{f(2m_0k)f(-2m_0k)} = \frac{1+2a}{a^2},$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $f(0) = a \neq 0$.

Proof. From 9) and 10) in Theorem 3.12, if $f(2m_0k) \neq 0$, then $f(2km_0) \neq -1$, $f(1+2km_0) \neq 0, -1$. Thanks to 4) in Lemma 3.10, for $m = -n = 2m_0k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & -(1+a)f(2m_0k)f(-2m_0k) \\ & = -a^2f(2m_0k) - a^2f(-2m_0k) + af(2m_0k)f(-2m_0k) - a^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{1}{f(0)} = \frac{1}{a}$, we obtain Eq.(13). \square

Corollary 3.16. *Let R be a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator with m_0 -supporter T_{m_0} . Then for all $k_1, k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k_2 \neq k_3$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (14) \quad & \frac{1}{f(2m_0k_1)} + \frac{1}{f(2m_0k_1)f(2m_0(-k_1+k_2+k_3))} + \frac{1}{f(2m_0(-k_1+k_2+k_3))} \\ & = \frac{1}{f(2m_0k_2)} + \frac{1}{f(2m_0k_3)f(2m_0k_2)} + \frac{1}{f(2m_0k_3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 3.11 and 9) and 10) in Theorem 3.12. \square

Theorem 3.17. *Let R be a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator. Then R is one of the following, there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_0 \neq 0$,*

1) $f(0) = f(2m_0k) = a$, $f(1) = f(2m_0k + 1) = -1 - a$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and others are zero.

2) If there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k_0 \neq 0$, $f(2m_0k_0) \neq a$, then $a \neq -1, -\frac{1}{2}$, and for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$f(4m_0k) = a, f(4m_0k + 1) = -1 - a,$$

$$(15) \quad f(4m_0k + 2) = \frac{-a}{1 + 2a}, f(4m_0k + 3) = -\frac{1 + a}{1 + 2a},$$

And others are zero.

Proof. If $f(2m_0k) = a$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we get the case 1).

Now we prove the case 2).

By Theorem 3.14, if R is a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator, then there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_0 \neq 0$ such that $f(l) \neq 0$ if and only if $l = 2m_0k$ or $l = 2m_0k + 1$, where $l, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

From Theorem 3.14, and 9) and 10) in Theorem 3.12, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$f(2km_0) \neq -1, f(1 + 2km_0) \neq -1.$$

By Eq.(14), for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \neq 0$, let $k_1 = k_3 = k$, $k_2 = -k_1$, we obtain

$$(16) \quad f(2m_0k) = f(-2m_0k), \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0,$$

Thanks to Eq.(11), for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \neq 0$,

$$(17) \quad f(1 + 2m_0k) = f(1 - 2m_0k) = -1 - f(2m_0k).$$

From Eq.(8), and Eq.(11), for all nonzero $l, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $l \neq k$, we have

$$(18) \quad (f(2m_0k) - f(2m_0l))(f(2m_0k) + 2f(2m_0k)f(2m_0l) + f(2m_0l)) = 0,$$

$$(19) \quad (f(2m_0k) - a)(f(2m_0k) + 2af(2m_0k) + a) = 0.$$

Follows from Eq.(18), Eq.(19), Eq.(13), if $f(2m_0l) \neq a$, then $a \neq -1, -\frac{1}{2}$, and

$$f(2m_0l) = f(-2m_0l) = \frac{-a}{1 + 2a}, f(2m_0l + 1) = f(-2m_0l + 1) = -\frac{1 + a}{1 + 2a}.$$

If there exists $n_0, k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k_0, n_0 \neq 0$ such that $f(2m_0k_0) \neq a$ and $f(2m_0n_0) = a$. Then $k_0 \neq n_0$ and $k_0 \neq -n_0$, by Eq.(8), $f(2m_0(n_0 + k_0)) \neq a$.

Similar discussion, if $f(2m_0n_1) = a$, $f(2m_0k_1) \neq a$, $n_1, k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k_1 \neq k_0$, $n_1 \neq n_0$, by Eq.(14), $f(2m_0(k_0 + k_1)) = f(2m_0(n_0 + n_1)) = a$.

Without loss of generality, suppose $m_0 > 0$. And let $k_0, n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the least positive satisfying that $f(2m_0k_0) \neq a$ and $f(2m_0n_0) = a$, respectively. By the above discussion and Eq.(16), $f(2m_0(k_0 - n_0)) \neq a$. Since $k_0 - n_0 < k_0$, $k_0 < n_0$.

Therefore, $k_0 = 1$. If $n_0 > 2$, then $f(2m_02) \neq a$, and $f(2m_0(1 + 2)) = a$, we obtain $n_0 = 3$. From $f(2m_0(2 + 3)) \neq a$, and $f(2m_02) \neq a$, we have $f(2m_0(2 + 5)) = a$. From $f(2m_0(1 + 3)) \neq a$, $f(2m_03) = a$, we obtain contradiction $f(2m_0(3 + 4)) \neq a$.

Therefore, $n_0 = 2$. And $f(2m_0k) = a$ if and only if $k = 2l$, and $f(2m_0k) \neq a$ if and only if $k = 2l + 2$, where $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Again by Eq.(16) and Eq.(17), the result follows. \square

3.2.3. Homogeneous Rota-Baxter operators with $f(0) = 0$ and $f(1) = -1$. In this section we discuss the case $f(0) = 0$ and $f(1) = -1$.

Lemma 3.18. *Let R be homogeneous Rota-Baxter operators on A_ω with $f(0) = 0$ and $f(1) = -1$. Then R satisfies that for all $l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

- 1) $(f(2l + 1) + 1)(f(2m + 1) + 1)f(2l + 2m + 1) = 0, l \neq m.$
- 2) $f(2m + 1)f(2n)(1 + f(2m + 2n + 1)) = 0, m \neq 0.$
- 3) $(f(2l + 1) + 1)(f(2m) + 1)f(2l + 2m) = 0, m \neq 0.$
- 4) $f(2m)f(2n)(1 + f(2m + 2n)) = 0, m \neq n.$

Proof. The result follows from Eq.(7), Eq.(8), $f(0) = 0$ and $f(1) = -1$, directly. \square

Corollary 3.19. *Let R be a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operators on A_ω with $f(0) = 0$ and $f(1) = -1$. Then for all $k, l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $klmn \neq 0$, we have*

- 1) *if $f(2k) \neq 0, f(2l) \neq 0, k \neq l, k \neq -l$, then $f(2k + 2l) = -1$.*
- 2) *If $f(2k) \neq 0, f(2m + 1) \neq 0, m \neq 0$, then $f(2k + 2m + 1) = -1$.*
- 3) *If $f(2k) = 0, f(2n + 1) = 0, k \neq 0$, then $f(2k + 2n) = 0$.*
- 4) *If $f(2m + 1) = 0, f(2n + 1) = 0, m \neq n, m \neq -n$, then $f(2m + 2n + 1) = 0$.*
- 5) *If $k \neq 0, f(2k)f(-2k) = 0$.*
- 6) *For all $m \in \mathbb{Z}, (f(2m + 1) + 1)(f(-2m + 1) + 1) = 0$.*
- 7) $|W_2| = |U_1| = \infty.$

Proof. The result follows from Lemma 3.18, directly. \square

Theorem 3.20. *If $|W_1| < \infty$, then R is a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator if and only if R satisfies one of the following*

- 1) $|W_1| = |U_2| = 0$, and for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}, f(2m) = 0$ and $f(2m + 1) = -1$.
- 1) $|W_1| = |U_2| = 0$, there exists nonzero $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, f(2n_0 + 1) \neq 0, -1$, and for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, f(2m) = 0$ and $f(2n + 1) = -1, n \neq n_0$.
- 3) $|W_1| = 0, |U_2| = 1$, there exists nonzero $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, f(2n_0 + 1) = 0$, and for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, f(2m) = 0$ and $f(2n + 1) = -1, n \neq n_0$.
- 4) $|W_1| = 1$ and $|U_2| = 0$, there exists nonzero $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f(2m_0) \neq 0$ and for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, f(2m) = 0, f(2n + 1) = -1, m \neq m_0$.

Proof. The discussion is completely similar to Theorem 3.4. \square

From Theorem 3.20, if R is a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator with $f(0) = 0$ and $f(1) = -1$, then $|W_1| \neq 0$ and $|U_2| \neq 0$ if and only if $|W_1| = |U_2| = \infty$. So in the following we discuss the case $|W_1| = |U_2| = \infty$.

Theorem 3.21. *Let $|W_1| = \infty$, then R is a homogeneous Rota-Baxter operator with $f(0) = 0$ and $f(1) = -1$ if and only if R is the one of the following, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

(1) *there exists $m_0, n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_0 > 0$, $n_0 < 0$ such that $f(2m) = 0$ if and only if $m < m_0$, and $f(2n + 1) = 0$ if and only if $n \leq n_0$. And f satisfies one of the following*

1) $f(2n + 1) = f(2m) = -1$, for all $n > n_0$, $m \geq m_0$, others are zero.

2) There exist $c, d \in \mathbb{F}$, $cd \neq 0$ and $c \neq -1$, or $d \neq -1$, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \geq m_0$

$$f(2m) = -1, f(2n + 1) = -1, f(-1) = c, f(-3) = d, n \geq 0,$$

others are zero. (In this case $n_0 = -3$).

3) There exist $c' \in \mathbb{F}$, $c' \neq 0$ and $c' \neq -1$, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \geq m_0$, $n \geq 0$, $n \neq 1$,

$$f(2m) = -1, f(2n + 1) = f(-1) = f(-3) = -1, f(3) = c',$$

others are zero. (In this case $n_0 = -3$)

4) There exist $g \in \mathbb{F}$, $g \neq 0, -1$, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \geq m_0$, $n \geq 0$,

$$f(2m) = -1, f(2n + 1) = -1, f(-1) = g,$$

others are zero. (In this case $n_0 = -2$).

5) There exists $m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_1 \geq m_0$, $h \in \mathbb{F}$, $h \neq 0, -1$ such that for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \geq m_0$, $n > n_0$,

$$f(2m_1) = h, f(2m) = -1, f(2n + 1) = -1, m \neq m_1.$$

others are zero.

6) There exists $m_1, n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_1 \geq m_0$, $n_1 > n_0$, $h, h' \in \mathbb{F}$, $h, h' \neq -1$ and $hh' \neq 0$ such that for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \geq m_0$, $n > n_0$,

$$f(2m_1) = h, f(2n_1 + 1) = h', f(2m) = -1, f(2n + 1) = -1, m \neq m_1, n \neq n_1,$$

others are zero.

7) There exists $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_1, m_2 \geq m_0$, $m_1 \neq m_2$, and $g, r \in \mathbb{F}$, $g, r \neq -1$, $gr \neq 0$, such that for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \geq m_0$, $n > n_0$,

$$f(2m_1) = g, f(2m_2) = r, f(2n + 1) = f(2m) = -1, m \neq m_1, m_2,$$

others are zero.

(2) There exists $m_0 < 0$ and $n_0 > 0$, $m_0, n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f(2m) = 0$ if and only if $m > m_0$, and $f(2n + 1) = 0$ if and only if $n \geq n_0$. And f satisfies one of the following

1)' $f(2n + 1) = -1$, and $f(2m) = -1$ for all $n < n_0$, $m \leq m_0$, others are zero.

2)' There exist $c \in \mathbb{F}$, $c \neq 0$ and $c \neq -1$, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \leq m_0$,

$$f(2m) = -1, f(2n + 1) = -1, f(3) = c, n \leq 0, n \neq 1,$$

others are zero.

3)' There exist $c', d' \in \mathbb{F}$, $c'd' \neq 0$ and $c' \neq -1$, or $d' \neq -1$, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \leq m_0$, $n < -2$.

$$f(2m) = -1, f(2n + 1) = f(1) = f(3) = -1, f(-1) = c', f(-3) = d',$$

others are zero.

4)' There exist $g \in \mathbb{F}$, $g \neq 0, -1$, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \geq m_0, n \leq 0$,

$$f(2m) = -1, f(2n+1) = -1, f(-1) = g, n \neq -1$$

others are zero.

5)' There exists $m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_1 \leq m_0$, $h \in \mathbb{F}$, $h \neq 0, -1$ such that for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \geq m_0, n < n_0$,

$$f(2m_1) = h, f(2m) = -1, f(2n+1) = -1, m \neq m_1,$$

others are zero.

6)' There exists $m_1, n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_1 \leq m_0$, $n_1 < n_0$, $h, h' \in \mathbb{F}$, $h, h' \neq -1$ and $hh' \neq 0$ such that for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \leq m_0, n < n_0$,

$$f(2m_1) = h, f(2n_1+1) = h', f(2m) = -1, f(2n+1) = -1, m \neq m_1, n \neq n_1,$$

others are zero.

7)' There exists $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_1, m_2 \leq m_0$, $m_1 \neq m_2$, and $g, r \in \mathbb{F}$, $g, r \neq -1$, $gr \neq 0$, such that for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \leq m_0, n < n_0$,

$$f(2m_1) = g, f(2m_2) = r, f(2n+1) = f(2m) = -1, m \neq m_1, m_2,$$

others are zero.

Proof. First, we need to discuss the characteristic of subsets W_i and U_i , for $i = 1, 2$.

Since $|W_1| = \infty$, without loss of generality, we suppose that there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $f(2m) \neq 0$ and $m > 0$.

Then there exists $2m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $2m_0$ is the least positive which is contained in W_1 . We will prove that $W_1 = \{2m | m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq m_0\}$ and $U_2 = \{2n+1 | n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \leq n_0\}$.

If for all $n < 0$, $f(2n+1) \neq 0$, by Corollary 3.19, $f(2n+k2m_0+1) = -1$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k > 0$. Therefore, $|U_2| = 0$. This contradicts $|U_2| = \infty$.

Therefore, there exists the largest negative $2n_0+1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f(2n_0+1) = 0$, that is, $2n_0+1 \in U_2$, $n_0 < 0$.

First, if there exists $m < 0$ such that $2m \in W_1$. Let $2m'_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the largest negative which is contained in W_1 . By Corollary 3.19, $2m'_0 + 2m_0 \in W_1$, but $2m'_0 < 2m'_0 + 2m_0 < 2m_0$, we have $m'_0 = -m_0$. This contradicts to 5) in Corollary 3.19. Therefore, for all $2m \in W_1$, $m \geq m_0$.

If there exists $m > n_0$ such that $2m+1 \notin U_1$, that is, $f(2m+1) = 0$. Let $2m' \in U_2$ be the least one which satisfies $m' > n_0$. From $f(2m' + 2n_0 + 1) = 0$ and $n_0 < 0$, we get $2m' + 2n_0 < 2m'$. Therefore, $2m' + 2n_0 < 2n_0$, and $m' < 0$. By the nature of n_0 , we obtain contradiction $n_0 > m'$. Therefore, for all $2n+1 \in U_1$, $n > n_0$.

Summarizing above discussion, we have that for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $m < m_0$ and $n \leq n_0$, $f(2n+1) = 0$ and $f(2m) = 0$. And thanks to Corollary 3.19, $f(2n+1) = -1$ for $n > -n_0$ and $f(2n+1) \neq 0$ for $n_0 < n < 0$, $f(2m) = 0$ for all $0 < m < m_0$.

If there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $0 < n < -n_0$ and $f(2n+1) = 0$. Let $n'' \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the least one satisfying $f(2n+1) = 0$, $0 < n < -n_0$. Then $f(2n_0 + 2n'' + 1) = 0$. We obtain contradiction $2n_0 + 1 < 2n_0 + 2n'' + 1 < 2n'' + 1$. Therefore, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 < n < -n_0$, $f(2n+1) \neq 0$.

If there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $-m_0 < m < 0$ and $f(2m) = 0$. Let $m'' \in \mathbb{Z}$, $-m_0 < m'' < 0$ be the largest one satisfying $f(2m'') \neq 0$. Then we have $f(2m_0 + 2m'') \neq 0$. But $2m'' < 2m_0 + 2 < m'' < 2m_0$. Contradiction.

Therefore, there exist $m_0, l_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_0 > 0$ and $n_0 < 0$, such that

$$W_1 = \{2m | m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq m_0\}, W_2 = \{2m | m \in \mathbb{Z}, m < m_0\},$$

$$U_1 = \{2n+1 | n \in \mathbb{Z}, n > n_0\}, U_2 = \{2n+1 | n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \leq n_0\}.$$

Similar discussion, if there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m < 0$ such that $f(2m) \neq 0$, then there exist $m_0, l_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, m_0 < 0$ and $n_0 > 0$, such that

$$W_1 = \{2m|m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \leq m_0\}, W_2 = \{2m|m \in \mathbb{Z}, m > m_0\}, \\ U_1 = \{2n+1|n \in \mathbb{Z}, n < n_0\}, U_2 = \{2n+1|n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq n_0\}.$$

Second, we discuss the characteristic of the function f .

From above discussion, we first discuss the case that R satisfies that $f(2m) \neq 0$ if and only if $m \geq m_0 > 0$, and $f(2n+1) \neq 0$ if and only if $n > n_0, n_0 < 0$.

From Corollary 3.19 and Eq. (7) and Eq. (8), for all positive $l, k, s \in \mathbb{Z}, l \neq k$,

$$(20) \quad (f(2m_0 + 2s) + 1)(f(2n_0 + 2k + 1) + 1)(f(2n_0 + 2l + 1) + 1) = 0,$$

$$(21) \quad (f(2n_0 + 2s + 1) + 1)(f(2m_0 + 2k) + 1)(f(2m_0 + 2l) + 1) = 0.$$

Therefore, we have

- the case $f(2m) = -1$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq m_0$.

If $f(2n+1) = -1$, for all $n > n_0$, we obtain case 1).

If there exist $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, n_1 > n_0$ and $f(2n_1+1) \neq -1$. By Corollary 3.19 and Eq.(7) and Eq.(8), we have $l_0 \geq -3$. And $f(2n+1) = -1$ for all $n \geq -n_0, f(2n+1) \neq 0, n_0 < n < 0$ and $f(2n+1) = -1$ for $0 < n < -n_0$; or $f(2n+1) = -1, n_0 < n < 0$ and $f(2n+1) \neq 0$ for $0 < n < -n_0$. Therefore, if $l_0 = -3$, we get 2) and 3). If $l_0 = -2$, we obtain case 4).

•• the case that there exists unique $m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, m_1 \geq m_0$ such that $f(2m_1) \neq 0, -1$, then by Eq.(20), we have $f(2n+1) = -1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}, n > n_0$; or there exists unique $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, n_1 > n_0$, such that $f(2n_1+1) \neq 0, -1$, and $f(2n+1) = -1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}, n > n_0$ and $n \neq n_1$.

Therefore, $f(2n+1) = -1$ for $n > n_0$, we obtain case 5). If there exists $n_1 > n_0$ such that $f(2n_1+1) \neq -1$, we obtain case 6).

••• the case that there exists subset $S = \{m_k|m_k \in \mathbb{Z}, m_k \geq m_0, f(2m_k) \neq 0, -1, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. By Eqs. (20) and (21), we have $S = \{m_1\}$, or $S = \{m_1, m_2\}$. Then we 5) and 6), and 7), respectively.

By the complete discussion, we obtain the case (2) ($m_0 < 0$ and $n_0 > 0$). \square

Acknowledgements. The first author was supported in part by the Natural Science Foundation (11371245) and the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (A2014201006).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aguiar, Pre-Poisson algebras, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **54** (2000): 263-277. [1](#)
- [2] C. Bai, O. Bellier, L. Guo and X. Ni, Splitting of operations, Manin products and Rota-Baxter operators, *IMRN* (2012). DOI: 10.1093/imrn/rnr266. [1](#)
- [3] C. Bai, L. Guo and X. Ni, Generalizations of the classical Yang-Baxter equation and O-operators, *J. Math. Phys.* **52** (2011), 063515. [1](#)
- [4] C. Bai, L. Guo and X. Ni, Nonabelian generalized Lax pairs, the classical Yang-Baxter equation and PostLie algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **297** (2010): 553-596. [1](#)
- [5] R. Bai, Y. Zhang, Homogeneous Rota-Baxter operators on 3-Lie algebra A_ω , *Colloquium Mathematicum*, **appair** **2016**.
- [6] R. Bai, L. Guo and J. Li, Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* **54**(2013), 6: 063504 ; doi: 10.1063/1.4808053. [1](#), [2](#)

- [7] R. Bai, Y. Wu, Constructions of 3-Lie algebras, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, **63** (2015), 11: 2171-2186. [1](#)
[2](#)
- [8] P. Cartier, On the structure of free Baxter algebras, *Adv. Math.* **9** (1972), 253-265.
- [9] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, L. Guo and D. Kreimer, Spitzer's identity and the algebraic Birkhoff decomposition in pQFT, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **37** (2004): 11037-11052. [1](#)
- [10] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, L. Guo and D. Manchon, Birkhoff type decompositions and the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff recursion, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **267** (2006): 821-845. [1](#)
- [11] V.T. Filippov, n -Lie algebras, *Sib. Mat. Zh.*, **26** (1985): 126-140. [1](#)
- [12] L. Guo, WHAT IS a Rota-Baxter algebra, *Notice Amer. Math. Soc.* **56** (2009): 1436-1437. [1](#)
- [13] L. Guo, Introduction to Rota-Baxter Algebra, International Press and Higher Education Press, 2012. [1](#)
- [14] L. Guo and W. Keigher, Baxter algebras and shuffle products, *Adv. Math.*, **150** (2000): 117-149. [1](#)
- [15] L. Guo and W. Keigher, On differential Rota-Baxter algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **212** (2008): 522-540. [1](#)
- [16] L. Guo and B. Zhang, Renormalization of multiple zeta values, *J. Algebra*, **319** (2008), 3770-3809. [1](#)
- [17] X.X. Li, D.P. Hou and C.M. Bai, Rota-Baxter operators on pre-Lie algebras, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, **14** (2007), 2: 269-289. [1](#)
- [18] D. Manchon and S. Paycha, Nested sums of symbols and renormalised multiple zeta values, *Int. Math. Res. Papers* **24** (2010): 4628-4697. [1](#)
- [19] G. C. Rota, Baxter algebras and combinatorial identities I, II, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **75** (1969): 325-329, 330-334. [1](#)
- [20] G.C. Rota, Baxter operators, an introduction, In: "Gian-Carlo Rota on Combinatorics, Introductory papers and commentaries", Joseph P. S. Kung, Editor, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1995. [1](#)

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, HEBEI UNIVERSITY, BAODING 071002, CHINA

E-mail address: bairuipu@hbu.edu.cn

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, HEBEI UNIVERSITY, BAODING 071002, CHINA

E-mail address: zhangyinghua1234@163.com