# NOTE ON RESTRICTION MAPS OF CHOW RINGS TO WEYL GROUP INVARIANTS

NOBUAKI YAGITA

ABSTRACT. Let G be an algebraic group over  $\mathbb{C}$  corresponding a compact simply connected Lie group. When  $H^*(G)$  has p-torsion, we see  $\rho_{CH}^*$ :  $CH^*(BG) \to CH^*(BT)^{W_G(T)}$  is always not surjective. We also study the algebraic cobordism version  $\rho_{\Omega}^*$ . In particular when G = Spin(7) and p = 2, we see each Griffiths element in  $CH^*(BG)$  is detected by an element in  $\Omega^*(BT)$ ,

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a prime number. Let G be a compact Lie group and Tthe maximal torus. Let us write  $H^*(-) = H^*(-; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ , and BG, BTclassifying spaces of G, T. Let  $W = W_G(T) = N_G(T)/T$  be the Weyl group and  $Tor \subset H^*(BG)$  be the ideal generated by torsion elements. Then we have the restriction map

$$\rho_H^*: H^*(BG) \to H^*(BG)/Tor \subset H^*(BT)^W$$

by using the Becker-Gottlieb transfer.

It is well known that when  $H^*(G)$  is *p*-torsion free (hence  $H^*(BG)$  is *p*-torsion free), then  $\rho_H^*$  surjective. However when  $H^*(G)$  has *p*-torsion, there are cases that  $\rho_H^*$  are not surjective, which are founded by Feshbach [Fe].

Let us write by  $G_{\mathbb{C}}, T_{\mathbb{C}}$  the reductive group over  $\mathbb{C}$  and its maximal torus corresponding the Lie group G, T. Let us write simply  $CH^*(BG) = CH^*(BG_{\mathbb{C}})_{(p)}, CH^*(BT) = CH^*(BT_{\mathbb{C}})_{(p)}$  the Chow rings of  $BG_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $BT_{\mathbb{C}}$  localized at p. We consider the Chow ring version

 $\rho_{CH}^*: CH^*(BG) \to CH^*(BG)/Tor \subset CH^*(BT)^W \cong H^*(BT)^W.$ 

Our first observation is

**Theorem 1.1.** Let G be simply connected. If  $H^*(G)$  has p-torsion, then the map  $\rho_{CH}^*$  is always not surjective.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 55N20, 55R12, 55R40.

Key words and phrases. Chow ring, algebraic cobordism, BSpin(n).

In the proof, we use an element  $x \in H^4(BG)$  with  $\rho_H(x) \notin Im(\rho_{CH}^*)$ . Hence  $x \notin Im(cl)$  for the cycle map  $cl : CH^*(BG) \to H^*(BG)$ . The corresponding element  $1 \otimes x \in CH^*(B\mathbb{G}_m \times BG)$  is the element founded as a counterexample for the integral Hodge and hence the integral Tate conjecture in [Pi-Ya].

Next, we consider elements in Tor. To study torsion elements, we consider the following restriction map

$$res_H: H^*(BG) \to \prod_{A:abelian \subset G} H^*(BA)^{W_G(A)}$$

There are cases such that  $res_H$  is not injective, while for many cases  $res_H$  are injective. We consider the Chow ring version ([To1,2]) of the above restriction map

$$res_{CH}: CH^*(BG) \to \prod_{A:ab} CH^*(BA)^{W_G(A)} \subset \prod_{A:ab} H^*(BA)^{W_G(A)}$$

In general  $res_{CH}$  has non zero kernel. In particular, elements in Ker(cl)(i.e. Griffiths elements) for the cycle map  $cl : CH^*(BG) \to H^*(BG)$ are always in  $Ker(res_{CH})$ . Namely Griffiths elements are not detected by  $res_{CH}$ .

On the other hand, if the Totaro conjecture

$$CH^*(BG) \cong BP^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$$

(for the Brown-Peterson cohomology  $BP^*(-)$ ) is correct, then of course all elements in  $CH^*(BG)$  are detected by elements in  $BP^*(BG)$ . We show that there is a case that Griffiths elements are detected by  $\rho_{\Omega}^*$  the restriction for algebraic cobordism theory  $\Omega^*(-)$ .

Let  $\Omega^*(X) = MGL^{2*,*}(X) \otimes_{MU_{(p)}^*} BP^*$  be the *BP*-version of the algebraic cobordism defined by Voevodsky, Levine-Morel ([Vo1], [Le-Mo1,2] such that  $CH^*(X) \cong \Omega^*(X) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ . In particular, we consider the case G = Spin(7) and p = 2. We note that there are (non zero) Griffiths elements in  $CH^*(BG)$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** Let G = Spin(7) and p = 2. Then each Griffiths element (in  $CH^*(BG)$ ) is detected by an element in  $\Omega^*(BT)^W \cong BP^*(BT)^W$ .

In §2 we study the map  $\rho_H^*$  for the ordinary cohomology theory, and recall Feshbach's result. In §3, we study the Chow ring version and show Theorem1.1. In §4, we study the case G = Spin(n). In §5, we study the  $BP^*$ -version and the algebraic cobordism version for the restriction  $\rho^*$ . In §6, we write down the case G = Spin(7) quite explicitly, and show Theorem 1.2. In the last section, we note some partial results for the exceptional group  $G = F_4$  and p = 3.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

The author thanks Kirill Zainoulline to start considering this problem, and Masaki Kameko who gives many comments and suggestions, and lets the author know works by Benson-Wood and Feshbach.

### 2. COHOMOLOGY THEORY AND FESHBACH THEOREM

Let p be a prime number. Let G be a compact Lie group and T the maximal Torus. Then we have the restriction map

$$\rho_H^*: H^*(BG) \to H^*(BT)^W$$

where  $H^*(-) = H^*(-; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ , BG, BT are classifying spaces and  $W = W_G(T) = N_G(T)/T$  is the Weyl group.

It is well known that when  $H^*(G)$  is *p*-torsion free, then  $\rho_H^*$  is surjective (and hence is isomorphic). However when  $H^*(G)$  has *p*-torsion, there are cases that  $\rho_H^*$  are not surjective by Feshbach.

For a connected compact Lie group G, we have the Becker-Gottlieb transfer  $\tau : H^*(BT) \to H^*(BG)$  such that  $\tau \rho_H^* = \chi(G/T)$  for the Euler number  $\chi(-)$ , and  $\rho_H^* \tau(x) = \chi(G/T)x$  for  $x \in H^*(BT)^W$ . Let  $\chi(G/T) = N$  and Tor be the ideal of  $H^*(BG)$  generated by torsion elements. Then we have the injections

$$N \cdot H^*(BT)^W \subset H^*(BG)/Tor \subset H^*(BT)^W.$$

Feshbach found good criterion to see  $\rho_H^*$  is surjecive.

**Theorem 2.1.** (Feshbach [Fe]) The restriction  $\rho_H^*$  is surjective if and only if  $(H^*(BG)/Tor) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p$  has not nonzero nilpotent elements.

Proof. (Feshbach) First note that  $H^*(BT) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[t_1, ..., t_\ell]$  for  $|t_i| = 2$ . Hence if  $x^m = px'$  in  $H^*(BT)$ , then x = px'' for  $x'' \in H^*(BT)$ . Moreover if  $x \in H^*(BT)^W$ , then so is x' since  $H^*(BT)$  is p-torsion free. Thus we see  $H^*(BT)^W \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p$  has no non zero nilpotent elements.

Assume that  $\rho_H^*$  is not surjective, and  $x \in H^*(BT)^W$  but  $x \notin Im(\rho_H^*)$ . Let  $s \geq 1$  be the smallest number such that  $p^s x = \rho_H^*(y)$  for some  $y \in H^*(BG)$ . Hence  $y \neq 0 \mod(p)$ . Then

$$\rho_H^*(y^N) = (p^s x)^N = p^{sN} x^N \in pN \cdot H^*(BT)^W \subset pIm(\rho_H^*).$$

This means y is a nonzero nilpotent element in  $(H^*(BG)/Tor) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p$ .

Using this theorem, Feshbach [Fe] showed  $\rho_H^*$  is surjective for  $G = G_2$ , Spin(n) for  $n \leq 10$ , and is not surjective for Spin(11), Spin(12). Wood [Wo] showed that Spin(13) is not surjective but Spin(n) for  $14 \leq n \leq 18$  are surjective. Benson and Wood solved this problem completely, namely  $\rho^*$  is not surjective if and only if  $n \geq 11$  and  $n = 3, 4, 5 \mod(8)$ .

For odd prime, we consider mod(p) version

 $\rho_{H/p}: H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p) \to H^*(BT; \mathbb{Z}/p)^W \cong (H^*(BT)/p)^W.$ 

It is known that  $\rho_{H/p}^*$  are surjective when  $G = F_4$  for p = 3 by Toda [Tod] using a completely different arguments. Also different arguments (but without computations of  $H^*(BT)^W$  for concrete cases), Kameko and Mimura [Ka-Mi] prove that  $\rho_{H/p}^*$  are surjective when  $G = E_6, E_7$  for p = 3 and  $G = E_8$  for p = 5. (The only remain case is  $G = E_8$ , p = 3 for odd primes.)

Kameko-Mimura get more strong result. Recall the Milnor  $Q_i$  operation

$$Q_i: H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \to H^{*+2p^i-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

defined by  $Q_0 = \beta$  and  $Q_{i+1} = [P^{p^i}Q_i, Q_iP^{p^i}]$  for the Bockstein  $\beta$  and the reduced powers  $P^j$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** (Kameko-Mimura [Ka-Mi]) Let  $G = F_4$ ,  $E_6$ . $E_7$  for p = 3 or  $E_8$  for p = 5. Let us write a generator by  $x_4$  in  $H^4(BG) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ . Then we have

$$H^*(BT; \mathbb{Z}/p)^W \cong H^{even}(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)/(Q_1Q_2x_4).$$

**Corollary 2.3.** For (G, p) in the above theorem,  $\rho_H^*$  is surjective.

Since its  $Q_0$ -image is zero, we can identify the element  $Q_1Q_2(x_4)$  is in  $H^*(BG)$  and p-torsion. The above corollary is immediate from the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. If the composition

$$(H^*(BG)/Tor) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p \to H^*(BT)^W/p \to H^*(BT; \mathbb{Z}/p)^W$$

is injective, then  $\rho_H^*$  is surjective.

Proof. Let  $\rho_H^*$  be not surjective and  $y \in H^*(BT)^W$  with  $y \notin Im(\rho_H^*)$ . Then  $p^s y = \rho_H^*(x)$  for  $s \ge 1$  and an additive generator  $x \in H^*(BG)/Tor$ . Of course  $x = 0 \in (H^*(BT)/p)^W$ .

# 3. Chow rings

Let us write by  $G_{\mathbb{C}}, T_{\mathbb{C}}$  the reductive group over  $\mathbb{C}$  and its maximal torus corresponding the Lie group G. Let  $CH^*(BG) = CH^*(BG_{\mathbb{C}})_{(p)}$  be the Chow ring of  $BG_{\mathbb{C}}$  localized at p.

The arguments of Feshbach also work for Chow rings since the Becker-Gottlieb transfer is constructed by Totaro [To2].

**Lemma 3.1.** The restriction map  $\rho_{CH}^*$  of Chow rings is surjective if and only if  $(CH^*(BG)/T) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p$  has not nonzero nilpotent elements.

However if  $H^*(G)$  has *p*-torsion and *G* is simply connected, then  $(CH^*(BG)/Tor) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p$  always has non zero nilpotent elements. In fact,  $c_2 = px_4 \in CH^4(BG)$  in the proof of Theorem 3.3 below, is nilpotent in  $(CH^*(BG)/(Tor)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p$ . However from the proof of the above lemma, we note

**Corollary 3.2.** If  $x \in CH^*(BT)^W$  but  $x \notin Im(\rho_{CH}^*)$ , then there is  $y \in CH^*(BG)$  such that  $\rho_{CH}^*(y) = p^s x$  for some  $s \ge 1$  and y is non zero nilpotent element in  $(CH^*(BG)/(Tor)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p$ .

Voevodsky [Vo1,2] defined the Milnor operation  $Q_i$  on the mod p motivic cohomology (over a perfect field k of any ch(k))

$$Q_i: H^{*,*'}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \to H^{*+2(p^n-1),*'+p^n-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

which is compatible with the usual topological  $Q_i$  by the realization map  $t_{\mathbb{C}} : H^{*,*'}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \to H^*(X(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}/p)$  when ch(k) = 0. In particular, note for smooth X,

$$Q_i | CH^*(X) / p = Q_i | H^{2*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) = 0.$$

(See §2 in [Pi-Ya] for details.) We will prove the following theorem without using Feshbach theorem (Lemma 3.1).

**Theorem 3.3.** Let G be simply connected and  $H^*(G)$  has p-torsion. Then the restriction map

$$\rho_{CH}^* : CH^2(BG) \to CH^2(BT)^W$$

is not surjective.

*Proof.* (See §2,3 in [Pi-Ya].) At first, we note that  $H^*(BT)^W \cong CH^*(BT)^W$  since  $H^*(BT) \cong CH^*(BT)$ . If  $H^*(G)$  has p-torsion, then G has a subgroup isomorphic to  $G_2$  (resp.  $F_4$ ,  $E_8$ ) for p = 2 (resp. p = 3, 5). (For details, see [Ya2] or §3 in [Pi-Ya].) We prove the theorem for p = 2 but the other cases are proved similarly.

It is known that the inclusion  $G_2 \subset G$  induces a surjection  $H^4(BG) \rightarrow H^4(BG_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$  and let us write by  $x_4$  its generator. Then it is also known  $Q_1 x_4 \neq 0$  in  $H^*(BG_2; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  where  $Q_1$  is the Milnor operation. Therefore  $x_4 \in H^4(BG_2)$  is not in the image of the cycle map

$$cl: CH^2(BG_2) \to H^4(BG_2).$$

On the other hand, the element  $2x_4$  is in Im(cl) because it is represented by the second Chern class  $c_2$ . Since  $\rho^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is an isomorphism,  $\rho_H^*(x_4) \neq 0$ . But  $\rho_H^*(x_4)$  is not in the image  $\rho_{CH}^*$ .

**Remark.** The condition of simply connected is necessary. By Vistoli ([Vi], [Ka-Mi]), it is known that  $\rho_{CH}^*$  is surjective for G = PGL(p).

**Remark.** The above theorem is also proved by seeing that  $x_4$  is not generated by Chern classes, since  $CH^2(X)$  is always generated by Chern classes [To2].

Recall that for a smooth projective complex variety X, the integral Hodge conjecture is that the cycle map

$$cl_{/Tor}: CH^*(X) \to H^{2*}(X)/Tor \cap H^{*,*}(X)$$

is surjective where  $H^{*,*}(X) \subset H^{2*}(X;\mathbb{C})$  is the submodule generated by (\*,\*)-forms. Since  $px_4 = c_2$  in the proof of the above theorem and  $c_2 \in H^{*,*}(X)$ , we see  $x_4 \in H^{*,*}(X)$ .

We know [To1], [Pi-Ya] that  $B\mathbb{G}_m \times BG$  can be approximated by smooth projective varieties. Hence counterexamples for the integral Hodge conjecture with  $X = B\mathbb{G}_m \times BG$  give the examples such that  $\rho_{CH}^*$  is not surjective.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $1 \otimes y \notin Im(cl_{/Tor}) \subset H^*(B\mathbb{G}_m \times BG)$  be a counterexample of the integral Hodge conjecture. Then it gives an example such that  $\rho_{CH}^*$  is not surjective, namely,  $\rho_H^*(y) \notin Im(\rho_{CH}^*)$ .

Proof. First note that  $\rho_{H/Tor}^* : H^*(BG)/Tor \to H^*(BT)^W$  is injective. Since  $CH^*(BT)^W \cong H^*(BT)^W$ , we note  $\rho_{CH}^* = \rho_{H/Tor}^* cl_{/Tor}$ . Therefore  $y \notin Im(cl_{/Tor})$  implies that  $\rho_H^*(y) \notin Im(\rho_{H/Tor}^* cl_{/Tor}) = Im(\rho_{CH}^*)$ .  $\Box$ 

For each prime p, there are counterexamples  $X = B\mathbb{G}_m \times BG$  for the integral Hodge conjecture, while they are not simply connected. Indeed, Kameko, Antineau and Tripaphy ([Ka1,2], [An], [Tr]) show this for  $G = (SL_p \times SL_p)/\mathbb{Z}/p$  and  $SU(p^2)/\mathbb{Z}/p$ . Hence they give the examples such that  $\rho_{CH}^*$  are not surjective for non simply connected and all p cases. They proved these facts by using Chern classes.

We also note its converse. Recall [Pi-Ya] that the integral Tate conjecture over a finite field k is the ch(k) > 0 version of the integral Hodge conjecture.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $x \in H^*(BT)^W$  such that  $x \notin \rho_{CH}^*$  but  $x = \rho_H^*(y)$ . Moreover let  $p^s y$  be represented by Chern class for  $s \ge 1$ . Then  $1 \otimes y \in H^*(B\mathbb{G}_m \times BG)$  gives a counterexample of the integral Hodge conjecture. It also gives a counterexample of the integral Tate conjecture for a finite field k of  $ch(k) \neq p$ 

*Proof.* Since  $p^s y$  is represented by a Chern class, we see  $p^s y \in Im(cl)$ . Hence it is contained in  $H^{*,*}(B\mathbb{G}_m \times BG)$ . Hence so is y. Since  $x \notin \rho_{CH}^*$ , we see  $y \notin cl_{/Tor}$ . For arguments for the integral Tate conjecture see [Pi-Ya].

## 4. Spin(n) for p = 2

In this section, we study Chow rings for the cases G = Spin(n), p = 2. Recall that the mod(2) cohomology is given by Quillen [Qu1]

$$H^*(BSpin(n); \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[w_2, ..., w_n]/J \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2[e]$$

where  $e = w_{2^h}(\Delta)$  and  $J = (w_2, Q_0 w_2, ..., Q_{h-2} w_2)$ . Here  $w_i$  is the Stiefel-Whitney class for the natural covering  $Spin(n) \to SO(n)$ . The number  $2^h$  is the Radon-Hurewitz number, dimension of the spin representation  $\Delta$  (which is the representation  $\Delta | C \neq 0$  for the center  $C \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \subset Spin(n)$ ). The element e is the Stiefel-Whitney class  $w_{2^h}$  of the spin representation  $\Delta$ .

Hereafter this section we always assume G = Spin(n) and p = 2.

By Kono [Ko], it is known that  $H^*(BG;\mathbb{Z})$  has no higher 2-torsion, that is

$$H(H^*(BG;\mathbb{Z}/2);Q_0) \cong (H^*(BG)/Tor) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2$$

where  $H(A; Q_0)$  is the homology of A with the differential  $d = Q_0$ .

For ease of arguments, let *n* be odd i.e., n = 2k + 1. Let *T'* be a maximal Torus of SO(n) and  $W' = W_{SO(n)}(T')$  its Weyl group. Then  $W' \cong S_k^{\pm}$  is generated by permutations and change of signs so that  $|S_k^{\pm}| = 2^k k!$ . Hence we have

$$H^*(BT')^{W'} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[p_1, ..., p_k] \subset H^*(BT') \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[t_1, ..., t_k], \ |t_i| = 2$$

where the Pontriyagin class  $p_i$  is defined by  $\prod_i (1 + t_i^2) = \sum_i p_i$ .

For the projection  $\pi$  :  $Spin(n) \to SO(n)$ , the maximal torus of Spin(n) is given  $\pi^{-1}(T')$  and  $W = W_{Spin(n)}(T) \cong W'$ . To seek the invariant  $H^*(BT)^W$  is not so easy, since the action  $W \cong S_k^{\pm}$  is not given by permutations and change of signs. Benson and Wood decided the  $H^*(BT')^{W'}$ -algebra structure of  $H^*(BT)^W$  (Theorem 7.1 in [Be-Wo]) and proved

**Theorem 4.1.** (Benson-Wood) Let G = Spin(n) and p = 2. Then  $\rho_H^*$  is not surjective if and only if  $n \ge 11$  and  $n = 3, 4, 5 \mod(8)$  (i.e., the quaternion case).

Hereafter to study the Chow ring version, we assume Spin(n) is in real case [Qu1], that is  $n = 8\ell - 1, 8\ell, 8\ell + 1$  (hence  $\rho^*$  is surjective and  $h = 4\ell - 1, 4\ell - 1, 4\ell$  respectively).

In this case, it is known [Qu1] that each maximal elementary abelian 2-group A has  $rank_2A = h + 1$  and

$$e|A = \prod_{x \in H^1(B\bar{A};\mathbb{Z}/2)}(z+x)$$

where we identify  $A \cong C \oplus \overline{A}$  and  $H^1(B\overline{A}; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\{x_1, ..., x_h\}$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  vector space generated by  $x_1, ..., x_h$ , and

 $H^*(BC; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[z], \quad H^*(B\overline{A}; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[x_1, ..., x_h].$ 

The Dickson algebra is written as a polynomial algebra

 $\mathbb{Z}/2[x_1,...,x_h]^{GL_h(\mathbb{Z}/2)} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[d_0,...,d_{h-1}].$ 

where  $d_i$  is defined as

$$e|A = z^{2^{h}} + d_{h-1}z^{2^{h-1}} + \dots + d_{0}z.$$

Hence we can [Qu1] also identify  $d_i = w_{2^h-2^i}(\Delta) \in H^*(BSpin(n); \mathbb{Z}/2)$ . Lemma 4.2. (Lemma 2.1 in [Sc-Ya]) Milnor operations act on  $d_i$  by

> $Q_{h-1}d_i = d_0d_i, \quad Q_{j-1}d_j = d_0, \text{ for } 1 \le j,$  $Q_id_j = 0 \quad \text{for } i < n-1 \text{ and } i \ne j-1.$

Lemma 4.3. (Corollary 2.1 in [Sc-Ya]) We have

 $Q_{h-1}e = d_0e$  and  $Q_ke = 0$  for  $0 \le k \le h-2$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $T \subset G = Spin(n)$  for  $n = 8\ell, 8\ell \pm 1$ . There is an  $e' \in CH^*(BT)^W$  such that  $e' \notin Im(\rho_{CH}^*)$  and  $\rho_H^*(e) = e' \mod(2)$ .

*Proof.* First note that  $e|C = z^{2^h}$ , which is not in the  $Q_0$ -image, and hence e itself is not. From the preceding Lemma 4.2 we see  $Q_0e = 0$ . By Kono's result, we see

$$0 \neq e \in H(H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2); Q_0) \cong (H^*(BG)/Tor) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

Take  $e'' \in H^*(BG)/Tor$  with that  $e'' = e \mod(2)$ . Then

$$e' = \rho_H^*(e'') \neq 0$$
 in  $H^*(BG)/Tor \subset H^*(BT)^W$ .

From the preceding Lemma 4.2,  $Q_{h-1}(e) \neq 0$ . hence we see  $e' \notin \rho_{CH}^*$  by the existence of  $Q_i$  in the motivic cohomology by Voevodsky.

Let  $\Delta_{\mathbb{C}}$  be the complex representation induced from the real representation  $\Delta$ . Then we see (see Theorem 4.2 in [Sc-Ya])

$$c_{2^{h-1}}(\Delta_{\mathbb{C}})|C = 2w_{2^h}|C = 2z^{2^h}$$

Of course this element  $c_{2^{h-1}}(\Delta_{\mathbb{C}})$  is in the Chow ring  $CH^*(BG)$ . hence we see that we can take  $2e' \in Im(\rho_{CH}^*)$ .

From the result by Benson-Wood, we know  $\rho_H^*$  is surjective in this (real) case. Hence from Lemma 3.5 (or  $Q_{h-1}(e) \neq 0$ ), we have

**Corollary 4.5.** Let  $X = B\mathbb{G}_m \times BSpin(n)$  with  $n = 8\ell, 8\ell \pm 1$  The element  $1 \otimes e \in H^{2^h}(X) \cap H^{2^{h-1},2^{h-1}}(X)$  gives a counterexample for the integral Hodge and the integral Tate conjectures, namely  $1 \otimes e \notin Im(cl_{H/Tor})$ .

### 5. COBORDISM

Let  $BP^*(X)$  be the Brown-Peterson cohomology theory with the coefficients ring  $BP^* = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_2, ...]$  of degree  $|v_i| = -2(p^i - 1)$ . Let  $\Omega^*(X) = MGL^{2*,*}(X) \otimes_{MU^*} BP^*$  be the  $BP^*$ -version of the algebraic cobordism ([Vo1], [Le-Mo1,2]) such that  $\Omega^*(X) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \cong CH^*(X)$ .

We consider the cobordism version of the map  $\rho_H^*$ 

$$\rho_{\Omega}^*: \Omega^*(BG) \to \Omega^*(BT)^W \cong BP^*(BT)^W.$$

Although  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy category has the Becker-Gottlieb transfer (this fact is announced in [Ca-Jo]), we see

$$\tau \cdot \rho_{\Omega}^* = \chi(G/T) \ mod(v_1, v_2...)$$

which is not  $\chi(G/T)$  in general. So we can not have the  $\Omega^*$ -version of Feshbach's theorem.

We are interesting in an element  $x \in \Omega^*(BT)^W$  which is in  $Im(\rho_{\Omega}^*)$ . Of course, it is torsion free in  $\Omega^*(X)$ , but there is a case such that

$$0 \neq x \in CH^*(BG)/p \cong \Omega^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}/p$$

and x is p-torsion in  $CH^*(BG)$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** Let  $f \in H^*(BT)^W$ ,  $f \neq 0 \mod(p)$ , and identify  $f \in gr\Omega^*(BT) \cong \Omega^* \otimes H^*(BT)$ . Let  $f \notin Im(\rho_{\Omega}^*)$  but  $v_m f \in Im(\rho_{\Omega}^*)$ . Then  $v_j f \in Im(\rho_{\Omega}^*)$  for all  $0 \leq j \leq m$ . Namely, there is  $c_j \in \Omega^*(BG)$  such that  $\rho_{\Omega}^*(c_j) = v_j f$ ,

$$c_j \neq 0 \in \Omega^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}/p \cong CH^*(BG)/p,$$

moreover  $pc_j = 0$  in  $CH^*(BG)$  for j > 0.

*Proof.* We consider the Landweber-Novikov cohomology operation  $r_a$  in  $gr\Omega^*(BT) \cong \Omega^* \otimes H^*(BT)$ . By Cartan formula,

$$r_a(v_m f) = \sum_{a=a'+a''} r_{a'}(v_m) r_{a''}(f).$$

Here  $r_{a''}(f) = 0$  for |a''| > 0 in  $gr\Omega^*(BT) \cong \Omega^* \otimes H^*(BT)$ . We have operations  $r_{\beta_j}(v_m) = v_j$  for  $j \leq m$ , and we have the first statement.

From the assumption, f itself is not in the cycle map  $\rho_{\Omega^*}$ . Hence  $v_j f$  is a  $BP^*$ -module generator in  $\Omega^*(BT)^W \cap Im(\Omega^*(BG)))$ . Hence it is also non zero in  $CH^*(BG)/p$ . Since  $pv_j f = v_j pf \in v_j Im(\Omega^*(BG))$ , we have  $pc_j = 0 \in CH^*(BG)$ .

We consider the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence (AHss)

$$E_2^{*,*'} \cong H^*(X; BP^{*'}) \Longrightarrow BP^*(X)$$

It is known that

(\*) 
$$d_{2p^{i}-1}(x) = v_i \otimes Q_i(x) \mod(p, v_1, ..., v_{i-1}).$$

In general, there are many other types of non zero differential. However we consider cases that differentials are only of this form.

**Lemma 5.2.** Let X = BSpin(n) and  $n = 8\ell, 8\ell \pm 1$ . In AHss for  $BP^*(X)$ , assume all non zero differentials are of form (\*). Then  $pe, v_1e, ..., v_{h-2}e$  are all permanent cycles.

*Proof.* We use Lemma 4.2 in the preceding section. First recall  $Q_i(d_0) = 0$ ,  $Q_i(e) = 0$  for i < h - 1. Therefore  $d_0 e$  exists in  $E_{2^h-1}$ .

Since  $Q_{j-1}d_j = d_n$  and  $Q_k(d_j) = 0$  for k < j - 1, the differential in AHss is

$$d_{2^{j}-1}(d_{j}e) = v_{j-1} \otimes Q_{j-1}(d_{j}e) = v_{j-1}d_{0}e.$$

Hence we have  $(2, v_1, v_2, ..., v_{h-2})(d_0 e) = 0$  in  $E_{2^{h-1}}^{*,*'}$ .

Now we study the differential

$$d_{2^{h}-1}(e) = v_{h-1}Q_{h-1}(e) = v_{h-1}d_{0}e.$$

Note that e is  $BP^*$ -free in  $E_{2^{h-1}}^{*,*'}$ , since  $e|C = z^{2^{h}}$  and  $e \notin Im(Q_i)$ . Hence we have

$$Ker(d_{2^{h}-1}) \cap BP^{*}\{e\} \cong Ideal(2, v_{1}, ..., v_{h-2})\{e\}.$$

(In this paper,  $R\{a, b, ...\}$  means the *R*-free module generated by a, b, ...) By the assumption (\*) for differentials,  $pe, v_1e, ..., v_{h-2}e$  are all permanent cycles.

For  $7 \le n \le 9$ , AHss converging  $BP^*(BSpin(n))$  is computed in [Ko-Ya], ([Sc-Ya] also), and it is known that (\*) is satisfied.

**Corollary 5.3.** For n = 7, 8 (resp. n = 9), the elements pe,  $v_1e$  (resp. pe,  $v_1e, v_2e$ ) are in  $Im(\rho_{BP}^*) \subset BP^*(BT)^W$  (but e itself is not).

Let  $K(n)^*(X)$  be the Morava K-theory with the coefficients ring  $K(n)^* \cong \mathbb{Z}/p[v_n, v_n^{-1}]$ , and  $AK(n)^*(X) = AK(n)^{2*,*}(X)$  its algebraic version. Here we consider an assumption such that

(\*\*) 
$$AK(n)^*(BG) \to K(n)^*(BG)$$
 is surjective.

It is known by Merkurjev (see [To1] for details) that  $AK^*(BG) \cong K^*(BG)$  for the algebraic K-theory  $AK^*(X)$  and the complex K-theory  $K^*(X)$ , which induces  $AK(1)^*(BG) \cong K(1)^*(BG)$ . Hence (\*\*) is correct when n = 1 for all G.

**Lemma 5.4.** Let X = BSpin(n),  $n = 8\ell, 8\ell \pm 1$  and suppose (\*), (\*\*). Moreover let  $h \geq 3$ . Then  $v_{h-2}e \in Im(\rho_{\Omega}^*)$ , and hence there is  $c_i \in CH^*(X)$  for  $0 \leq i \leq h-2$  in Lemma 5.1.

*Proof.* First note  $0 \neq v_{h-2}e \in K(h-2)^*(X)$  (hence so is e). On the other hand

$$AK(h-2)^*(X) \cong K(h-2)^* \otimes CH^*(X)/I$$

for some ideal I of  $CH^*(X)$ . Therefore there is an element  $c \in CH^*(X)$ which corresponds  $v_{h-2}^s e$  that is  $cl_{\Omega}(c) = v_{h-2}^s e$  for  $cl_{\Omega} : \Omega^*(X) \to BP^*(X)$ . Since  $e \notin Im(cl_{\Omega})$ , we see s must be positive. The possibility of

$$|v_{h-2}^s e| = -2(2^{h-2}-1)s + 2^h > 0$$

is s = 1 or s = 2. Note  $|v_{h-2}^2 e| = 4$ . However it is known by Totaro (Theorem 15.1 in[To2]),

$$cl: CH^2(X) \to H^4(X)$$
 is injective.

Hence s = 1 and  $cl_{\Omega}(c) = v_{h-1}e$ .

**Corollary 5.5.** For X = BSpin(7), there is an element  $c \in CH^3(X)$  such that  $c \neq 0 \in CH^*(X)/2$ , cl(c) = 0 and  $\rho_{\Omega}^*(c) \neq 0 \in \Omega^*(BT)^W$ .

6. 
$$Spin(7)$$
 FOR  $p = 2$ 

Let G be a compact Lie group. Consider the restriction map

$$res_{H/p}: H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p) \to Lim_{V:el.ab.} H^*(BV; \mathbb{Z}/p)^{W_G(A)}$$

where  $W_G(A) = N_G(A)/C_G(A)$  and V ranges in the conjugacy classes of maximal elementary abelian *p*-groups. Quillen [Qu2] showed this  $res_{H/p}$  is an *F*-isomorphism (i.e. its kernel and cokernel are generated by nilpotent elements). We consider its integral version

$$res_H: H^*(BG) \to \prod_{A:ab.} H^*(BA)^{W_G(A)},$$

where A ranges in the conjugacy classes of maximal abelian subgroups of G.

Hereafter this section, we assume G = Spin(7) and p = 2 and hence h = 3. The number of conjugacy classes of the maximal abelian subgroups of G is two, one is the torus T and the other is  $A' \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2)^4$  which is not contained in T. The Weyl group is  $W_G(A') \cong \langle U, GL_3(\mathbb{Z}/2) \rangle \subset GL_4(\mathbb{Z}/2)$  where U is the maximal unipotent group in  $GL_4(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ . It is well known

$$H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong H^*(BA'; \mathbb{Z}/2)^{W_G(A')}$$
  
$$\cong \mathbb{Z}/2[w_4, w_6, w_7, w_8], \quad Q_0 w_6 = w_7$$

where  $w_i$  for  $i \leq 7$  (resp. i = 8) are the Stiefel-Whitney class for the representation induced from  $Spin(7) \rightarrow SO(7)$  (resp. the spin representation  $\Delta$  and hence  $w_8 = w_8(\Delta) = e$ ).

--- / / /

Since  $H^*(BG)$  has just 2-torsion by Kono, and hence the restriction map  $res_H$  injects  $Tor \subset H^*(BG)$  into  $H^*(BA'; \mathbb{Z}/2)^{W_G(A')}$ .

Next we consider the integral case. Also note  $H^*(BG)$  has just 2-torsion and

$$(H^*(BG)/Tor) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \cong H(H^*(BG;\mathbb{Z}/2);Q_0).$$

Since  $Q_0w_i = 0$  for  $i \neq 6$  and  $Q_0w_6 = w_7$ , we have

$$H(H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2); Q_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[w_4, c_6, w_8] \quad c_6 = w_6^2.$$

Of course the right hand side ring has no nonzero nilpotent elements. Hence we see that  $\rho_H^*$  is surjective and

$$H^*(BT)^W \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[w_4, c_6, w_8].$$

The integral cohomogy is written as

$$H^*(BG) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[w_4, c_6, w_8] \otimes (\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{1\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2[w_7]\{w_7\}).$$

In particular, we note  $res_H$  is injective.

Next we consider the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence

$$E_2^{*,*'} \cong H^*(BG) \otimes BP^* \Longrightarrow BP^*(BG).$$

Its differentials have forms of (\*) in §5. Using  $Q_1(w_4) = x_7$ ,  $Q_1(e_7) = c_7$ ,  $Q_2(w_8) = w_7w_8$  and  $Q_3(w_7w_8) = c_7c_8$ , we can compute the spectral sequence (while it is some what complicated)

$$grBP^*(BG) \cong BP^*[c_4, c_6, c_8]\{1, 2w_4, 2w_8, 2w_4w_8, v_1w_8\}$$

$$\oplus BP^*/(2, v_1, v_2)[c_4, c_6, c_7, c_8]\{c_7\}/(v_3c_7c_8).$$

Hence  $BP^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$  is isomorphic to

 $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}^*[c_4, c_6, c_8]\{1, 2w_4, 2w_8, 2w_4w_8, v_1w_8\}/(2v_1w_8)$ 

 $\oplus \mathbb{Z}/2[c_4, c_6, c_7, c_8]\{c_7\}.$ 

On the other hand, the Chow ring of BG is given by Guillot [Gu,Ya]

$$CH^*(BG) \cong BP^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$$

 $\cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[c_4, c_6, c_8] \otimes (\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{1, c'_2, c'_4, c'_6\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{\xi_3\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2[c_7]\{c_7\})$ 

where  $cl(c_i) = w_i^2$ ,  $cl(c'_2) = 2w_4$ ,  $cl(c'_4) = 2w_8$ ,  $cl(c'_6) = 2w_4w_8$ , and  $cl(\xi_3) = 0$ ,  $|\xi_3| = 6$ . Note  $cl_{\Omega}(\xi_3) = v_1w_8$  in  $BP^*(BT)^W$ , and  $\xi_3 = c$  in Corollary 5.5. Hence we have

$$CH^*(BG)/Tor \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[c_4, c_6, c_8]\{1, c'_2, c'_4.c'_6\}$$
  
 $\subset \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[w_4, c_6, w_8] \cong CH^*(BT)^W.$ 

In fact the nilpotent ideal in  $(CH^*(BG)/(Tor)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2$  is generated by  $c'_2, c'_4, c'_6$ .

Next we consider the Chow rings version for the restriction map

$$res_{CH}: CH^*(BG) \to \prod_{A:ab.} CH^*(BA)^{W_G(A)}.$$

Recall  $CH^*(BA') \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[y_1, ..., y_4]$  with  $cl(y_i) = x_i^2$ . Hence we have

 $(CH^*(BA')/2)^{W_G(A')} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[c_4, c_6, c_7, c_8].$ 

Since Tor is just 2-torsion, we have

**Lemma 6.1.** For the torsion ideal Tor in  $CH^*(BG)$ 

$$res_{CH}(Tor) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[c_4, c_6, c_8, c_7]\{c_7\} \subset CH^*(BA').$$

Thus we see that  $Ker(res_{CH}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[c_4, c_6, c_8]\{\xi_3\}$ , which is the ideal of Griffiths elements. We write down the above results.

**Theorem 6.2.** Let (G, p) = (Spin(7), 2). Let Grif be the ideal generated by Griffiths elements and  $D = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[c_4, c_6, c_8]$ . Then we have

$$CH^*(BG)/Tor \cong D\{1, 2w_4, 2w_8, 2w_4w_8\}$$
  
 $\subset D\{1, w_4, w_8, w_4w_8\} \cong CH^*(BT)^W, \text{ with } w_i^2 = c_i$   
 $Tor/Grif \cong D/2[c_7]\{c_7\}, \quad Grif \cong D/2\{\xi_3\}.$ 

There is only one nonzero element  $\xi_3$  in  $CH^3(BG)/2$ , and this  $\xi_3 = c$  in Corollary 5.5 in the preceding section. Thus we see Theorem 1.2 in the introduction.

**Corollary 6.3.** Take an element  $\xi \in \Omega^*(BG)$  such that  $\xi = \xi_3$  in  $\Omega^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \cong CH^*(BG)$ . We also identify  $c_i \in \Omega^*(BG)$ . Then

 $\mathbb{Z}/2[c_4.c_6, c_8]\{\xi\} \subset \Omega^*(BT)^W/2.$ 

**Theorem 6.4.** Let G = Spin(7) and p = 2. We consider a (non natural) map

$$CH^*(BG) \subset \Omega^*(BG) \to \Omega^*(BT)$$

(here the first inclusion is just one section of the projection  $\Omega^*(BG) \rightarrow CH^*(BG)$ ). Then the following restriction map is injective

$$res: CH^*(BG) \subset CH^*(BA') \times \Omega^*(BT)$$

**Corollary 6.5.** Let  $J \subset BP^*$  is the ideal  $J = (2^2, 2v_1, v_1^2, v_2, ...)$  so that  $BP^*/J \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\{1\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{v_1\}$ . Let  $D = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[c_4, c_6, c_8]$ . Then

$$\Omega^*(BG)/J \cong (\Omega^*/J \otimes D\{1, c_2', c_4', c_6', \xi_3\}/(2\xi_3 = v_1c_4')) \oplus D/2[c_7]\{c_7\}$$

7. The exceptional group  $F_4$ , p = 3.

In this section, we assume  $(G, p) = (F_4, 3)$ . (However similar arguments also work for  $(G, p) = (E_6, 3), (E_7, 3)$  and  $(E_8, 5), [Ka-Ya]$ .) Toda computed the mod(3) cohomology of  $BF_4$ . (For details see [Tod].)

 $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/3) \cong C \otimes D$ , where

$$C = F\{1, x_{20}, x_{20}^2\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3[x_{26}] \otimes \Lambda(x_9) \otimes \{1, x_{20}, x_{21}, x_{26}\}$$
$$D = \mathbb{Z}_{(3)}[x_{36}, x_{48}], \quad F = \mathbb{Z}_{(3)}[x_4, x_8].$$

Using that  $H^*(BG)$  has no higher 3-torsion and  $Q_0x_8 = x_9$ ,  $Q_0x_{20} = x_{21}$ ,  $Q_0x_{25} = x_{26}$ , we can compute

$$H^*(BG) \cong D \otimes C'$$
 where

$$C'/Tor \cong Z_{(3)}\{x_4\} \oplus E, \quad where \ E = F\{ab|a, b \in \{x_4, x_8, x_{20}\}\}$$
$$C' \supset Tor \cong \mathbb{Z}/3[x_{26}]\{x_{26}, x_{21}, x_9, x_9x_{21}\}.$$

Note  $x_{26} = Q_2 Q_1(x_4)$  in Theorem 2.2 and

$$H^*(BT; \mathbb{Z}/p)^W \cong H^{even}(BG; \mathbb{Z}/3)/(Q_2Q_1x_4) \cong D \otimes F\{1, x_{20}, x_{20}^2\}.$$

Hence we see

 $(H^*(BG)/Tor)\otimes\mathbb{Z}/3\cong D/3\otimes(\mathbb{Z}/3\{1,x_4\}\oplus E)\subset D/3\otimes F\{1,x_{20},x_{20}^2\}.$ Thus from Lemma 2.3, we see  $\rho_H^*$  is surjective and

 $H^*(BT)^W \cong H^*(BG)/Tor \cong D \otimes (\mathbb{Z}_{(3)}\{1, x_4\} \oplus E).$ 

Next we consider the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence [Ko-Ya]

$$E_2^{*,*'} \cong H^*(BG) \otimes BP^* \Longrightarrow BP^*(BG).$$

Its differentials have forms of (\*) in §5. Using  $Q_1(x_4) = x_9$ ,  $Q_1(x_{20}) = x_{25}$ ,  $Q_1(x_{21}) = x_{26}$  and  $Q_2x_9 = x_{26}$  we can compute

 $grBP^*(BG) \cong D \otimes (BP^* \otimes (\mathbb{Z}_{(3)}\{1, 3x_4\} \oplus E) \oplus BP^*/(3, v_1, v_2)[x_{26}]\{x_{26}\}).$ Hence we have

$$BP^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(3)} \cong D \otimes (\mathbb{Z}_{(3)}\{1, 3x_4\} \oplus E \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3[x_{26}]\{x_{26}\}).$$

**Proposition 7.1.** Let  $(G, p) = (F_4, 3)$  and  $Tor \supset Grif$  be the ideal generated by Griffiths elements. Then we have

$$CH^*(BG)/Tor \subset D \otimes (\mathbb{Z}_{(3)}\{1, 3x_4\} \oplus E) \subset H^*(BG)/Tor,$$
$$Tor/Grif \cong D \otimes \mathbb{Z}/3[x_{26}]\{x_{26}\}.$$

If Totaro's conjecture is correct, then  $Grif = \{0\}$  and the first inclusion is an isomorphism. From [Ya1], it is known that if  $x_8^2 \in Im(cl)$  for the cycle map cl, then we can show that cl itself is surjective. However it seems still unknown whether  $x_8^2 \in Im(cl)$  or not. **Corollary 7.2.** Let  $(G, p) = (F_4, 3)$ . If (\*\*) in §5 is correct for some  $n \geq 2$ , then the cycle map  $CH^*(BG) \rightarrow BP^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(3)}$  is surjective and

$$CH^*(BG)/Tor \cong D \otimes (\mathbb{Z}_{(3)}\{1, 3x_4\} \oplus E).$$

*Proof.* The corollary follows from  $|v_n x_8^2| = 16 - 2(3^n - 1) \le 0.$ 

## References

- [An] B. Antieau. On the integral Tate conjecture for finite fields and representation theory. arXiv:1504.04879v2 [math.AG] May (2015).
- [Be-Wo] D. Benson and J. Wood. Integral invariants and cohomology of BSpin(n). Topology. **34** (1994), 13-28.
- [Ca-Jo] G. Carlsson and R. Joshua. Motivic Spanier-Whitehead duality and motivic Becker-Becker-Gottlieb transfer. www. researchgate. net/ (2011).
- [Fe] M. Feshbach. The image of  $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z})$  in  $H^*(BT; \mathbb{Z})$  for a compact Lie group with maximal torus T. Topology. **20** (1985), 93-95.
- [Gu] P. Guillot. The Chow rings of  $G_2$  and Spin(7). J. reine angew. Math. **604** (2007), 137-158.
- [Ka1] M. Kameko. On the integral Tate conjecture over finite fields. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 158 (2015), 531-546.
- [Ka2] M. Kameko. Representation theory and the cycle map of a classifying space. arXiv:1601.06199v1 [math.AG] Jan. (2016).
- [Ka-Mi] M. Kameko and M. Mimura, Wely group invariants. arXiv: 1202.6459v1 [math.AT], (2012).
- [Ka-Ya] M. Kameko and N. Yagita. The Brown-Peterson cohomology of the classifying spaces of the projective unitary group PU(p) and exceptional Lie groups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), 2265-2284.
- [Ko] A. Kono, On the integral cohomology of BSpin(n). J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **26** (1986), 333-337.
- [Ko-Ya] A. Kono and N.Yagita, Brown-Peterson and ordinary cohomology theories of classifying spaces for compact Lie groups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 339 (1993), 781-798.
- [Le-Mo1] M. Levine and F. Morel, Cobordisme algébrique I, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 332 (2001), 723-728.
- [Le-Mo2] M. Levine and F. Morel, Cobordisme algébrique II, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 332 (2001), 815-820.
- [Pi-Ya] A. Pirutka and N. Yagita. Note on the counterexamples for the integral Tate conjecture over finite fields. Document Math. Extra Vol. A. Merkurjev and A. Suslin's sixtieth birthday. (2015), 501-511.
- [Qu1] D. Quillen, The mod 2 cohomology rings of extra-special 2-groups and the spinor groups, *Math. Ann.* **194** (1971), 197-212.
- [Qu2] D. Quillen, A cohomological criterion for p-nilpotence. J. of Pure and Applied Algebra. 1 (1971), 361-372.
- [Sc-Ya] B. Schuster and N. Yagita, Transfers of Chern classes in BP-cohomology and Chow rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353 (2001), 1039-1054.
- [Tod] H. Toda, Cohomology mod(3) of the classifying space  $BF_4$  of the exceptional group  $F_4$ . J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **13** (1973) 97-115..

- [To1] B. Totaro, The Chow ring of classifying spaces, Proc. of Symposia in Pure Math. "Algebraic K-theory" (1997:University of Washington, Seattle) 67 (1999), 248-281.
- [To2] B. Totaro, Group cohomology and algebraic cycles, Cambridge tracts in Math. (Cambridge Univ. Press) 204 (2014).
- [Tr] A. Tripathy. Further counterexamples to the integral Hodge conjecture. arXiv:1601.061/0v1 [math.AG] Jan. (2016).
- [Vi] A. Vistoli. On the cohomolgy and the Chow ring of the classifying space  $PGL_p$ . J. reine angew. Math. **610** (2007), 181-227.
- [Vo1] V. Voevodsky, The Milnor conjecture, www.math.uiuc.edu/Ktheory/0170 (1996).
- [Vo2] V. Voevodsky, Motivic cohomology with  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  coefficient, *Publ. Math. IHES* **98** (2003), 59-104.
- [Wo] J. Wood, Nilpotent elements in the Bockstein spectral sequence for BSpin(n). Contemporary Math. **146** (1993), 453-469.
- [Ya1] N. Yagita, The image of the cycle map of classifying space of the exceptional Lie group  $F_4$ . J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 44 (2004), 181-191.
- [Ya2] N. Yagita, Coniveau filtration of cohomology of groups. Proc. London Math. Soc. 101 (2010), 179-206.

FACULTY OF EDUCATION, IBARAKI UNIVERSITY, MITO, IBARAKI, JAPAN *E-mail address*: nobuaki.yagita.math@vc.ibaraki.ac.jp,