

VAISMAN NILMANIFOLDS

GIOVANNI BAZZONI

ABSTRACT. We prove that if a compact nilmanifold $\Gamma \backslash G$ is endowed with a Vaisman structure, then G is isomorphic to the Cartesian product of the Heisenberg group with \mathbb{R} .

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall a few facts about nilmanifolds and about the geometric structures that play a role in this note; we take advantage of this preamble to put our result in the right perspective.

A *nilmanifold* N is a compact quotient of a connected, simply connected, nilpotent Lie group G by a lattice Γ . Every such G is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n for some n , hence the natural projection $G \rightarrow N$ is the universal cover and $\pi_1(N) = \Gamma$. In particular, nilmanifolds are aspherical spaces. Being a subgroup of a nilpotent group, Γ is nilpotent.

Recall that a space X is nilpotent if $\pi_1(X)$ is a nilpotent group and $\pi_n(X)$ is a nilpotent $\pi_1(X)$ -module for $n \geq 2$. Since nilmanifolds are aspherical spaces with nilpotent fundamental groups, they are nilpotent spaces. Therefore, the fundamental result of rational homotopy theory implies that the rational homotopy type of a nilmanifold is codified in its minimal model (see [17]). By a result of Hasegawa ([18]), the minimal model of a nilmanifold $N = \Gamma \backslash G$ is isomorphic to the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex $(\Lambda \mathfrak{g}^*, d)$, where \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of G and \mathfrak{g}^* is its dual (see also [15, Theorem 3.18]). In particular, the minimal model of a nilmanifold is generated in degree 1. Notice that, by a result of Mal'cev, a connected and simply connected nilpotent Lie group admits a lattice if and only if its Lie algebra admits a basis with respect to which the structure constants are rational numbers. Thus the minimal model of a nilmanifold $N = \Gamma \backslash G$ is automatically defined over \mathbb{Q} .

Simple examples of nilmanifolds are provided by tori; more complicated examples are the so-called Heisenberg nilmanifolds. These are quotients of the Heisenberg group $H(1, n)$ ($n \geq 1$) by a lattice. Recall that $H(1, n)$ can be identified with the matrix group

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C55, 55P62, 53D35.

Key words and phrases. Vaisman manifolds, nilmanifolds, Sasakian manifolds.

$$H(1, n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y_1 & y_2 & \dots & y_n & z \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & x_1 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & x_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & 1 & x_n \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid x_i, y_i, z \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

By considering a nilmanifold quotient of $H(1, 1) \times \mathbb{R}$, Thurston gave in [31] the first example of a compact symplectic manifold without any Kähler structure; indeed, any compact quotient of $H(1, 1) \times \mathbb{R}$ has $b_1 = 3$. Every Kähler manifold is formal [13], but Hasegawa proved ([18]) that a nilmanifold is formal if and only if it is diffeomorphic to a torus. It turns out that a nilmanifold quotient of $H(1, n) \times \mathbb{R}$ admits a symplectic structure if and only if $n = 1$.

Although tori are the only Kähler nilmanifolds, a nilmanifold quotient of $H(1, n) \times \mathbb{R}$ ($n \geq 1$) admits a special kind of Hermitian structure, namely a locally conformal Kähler structure. A Hermitian structure (g, J) on a $2n$ -dimensional manifold M ($n \geq 2$) is called *locally conformal Kähler*, *lcK* for short, if the fundamental form $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$, defined by $\omega(X, Y) = g(JX, Y)$, satisfies the equation

$$d\omega = \theta \wedge \omega,$$

where $\theta \in \Omega^1(M)$ is the Lee form, defined by $\theta(X) = -\frac{1}{n-1}(\delta\omega)(JX)$. Clearly, if $\theta = 0$, the Hermitian structure is Kähler. If θ is exact, then one can make a *global* conformal change of the metric so that the corresponding rescaled fundamental 2-form is closed. In general, θ is only locally exact; hence one can always find a *local* conformal change of the metric such that the corresponding rescaled fundamental 2-form is closed. When talking about lcK structures, it is customary to assume that θ is nowhere vanishing. If the manifold is compact, this prevents θ from being exact. lcK metrics on compact complex surfaces have been studied by Belgun, [6]; homogeneous lcK structures have been investigated in [1, 16, 19]. Recently, lcK manifolds have attracted interest in Physics, as possible “different” compactifications of manifolds, arising in supersymmetry constructions, which locally possess a Kähler structure (see [28, 29]). We refer the reader to [14] for further details on lcK geometry.

Concerning lcK structures on nilmanifolds, it was conjectured by Ugarte in [33] that if a nilmanifold $\Gamma \backslash G$ of dimension $2n + 2$ is endowed with a lcK structure, then G is isomorphic to the product of $H(1, n)$ with \mathbb{R} . This conjecture was proven by Sawai in [30] under the additional assumption that the complex structure of the lcK structure

is left-invariant. As a byproduct of [4] (see also [3]), this conjecture was proven for 4-dimensional nilmanifolds. However, it remains open for lcK structures on nilmanifolds of dimension ≥ 6 with non-left-invariant complex structure.

Vaisman structures are a particular type of lcK structures, those for which the Lee form is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection. They were introduced by Vaisman in [34, 35] under the name of generalized Hopf structures. Akin to the Kähler case, it turns out that when the underlying manifold is compact, the existence of a Vaisman structure influences the topology; for instance, the first Betti number of a compact Vaisman manifold is odd. However, there exist compact lcK manifolds whose first Betti number is even (see [22]). Recently, a Hard Lefschetz property for compact Vaisman manifolds has been studied in [10]. As an application, the authors constructed locally conformal symplectic manifolds of the first kind with no compatible Vaisman metrics (see also [4] for more such examples).

The main result of this paper, proved in Section 2, is a positive answer to the conjecture of Ugarte in case the lcK structure is Vaisman:

Theorem. *Let $V = \Gamma \backslash G$ be a compact nilmanifold of dimension $2n+2$, $n \geq 1$, endowed with a Vaisman structure. Then G is isomorphic to $H(1, n) \times \mathbb{R}$.*

Vaisman structures are closely related to Sasakian structures. Recall that a *Sasakian structure* on a manifold S of dimension $2n+1$ consists of a Riemannian metric h , a tensor Φ of type $(1, 1)$, a 1-form η and a vector field ξ subordinate to the following relations:

- $\eta(\xi) = 1$;
- $\Phi^2 = -\text{id} + \eta \otimes \xi$;
- $d\eta(\Phi X, \Phi Y) = d\eta(X, Y)$;
- $h(X, Y) = d\eta(\Phi X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y)$;
- $N_\Phi + 2d\eta \otimes \xi = 0$,

where N_Φ is the Nijenhuis torsion of Φ - see [8]. If S is a Sasakian manifold and $\varphi: S \rightarrow S$ is a Sasakian automorphism, i.e. a diffeomorphism which respects the whole Sasakian structure, one can show that the mapping torus S_φ , defined as the quotient space of $S \times \mathbb{R}$ by the \mathbb{Z} -action generated by

$$1 \cdot (s, t) = (\varphi(s), t + 1), \quad (s, t) \in S \times \mathbb{R} \quad (1.1)$$

admits a Vaisman structure; clearly, taking the identity as Sasakian automorphism of S , we see that the product $S \times S^1$ admits a Vaisman structure. A converse of this result holds: if V is a compact manifold endowed with a Vaisman structure, then one finds a Sasakian manifold

S and a Sasakian automorphism $\varphi: S \rightarrow S$ such that V is diffeomorphic to S_φ (see [25, Structure Theorem] and [26, Corollary 3.5]).

The interplay between Vaisman and Sasakian structures on compact manifolds plays a crucial role in this paper.

A left-invariant Vaisman structure on $H(1, n) \times \mathbb{R}$, descending to a Vaisman structure on every compact quotient of $H(1, n) \times \mathbb{R}$ by a lattice, was first described in [11]. We recall it briefly.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}(1, n)$ of $H(1, n)$ has a basis $\{X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_n, Y_n, \xi\}$ whose only non-zero Lie brackets are

$$[X_i, Y_i] = -\xi \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

We take h to be the metric making $\{X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_n, Y_n, \xi\}$ orthonormal and define Φ by setting $\Phi(X_i) = Y_i$, $\Phi(Y_i) = -X_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $\Phi(\xi) = 0$. If $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_n, \eta\}$ is the dual basis of $\mathfrak{h}(1, n)^*$, (h, Φ, η, ξ) is a Sasakian structure on $\mathfrak{h}(1, n)$. On the sum $\mathfrak{h}(1, n) \oplus \mathbb{R}$, a Vaisman structure is given by taking the metric $g = h + dt^2$, where ∂_t generates the \mathbb{R} -factor, and the integrable almost complex structure J defined by

$$J(X_i) = Y_i, \quad J(Y_i) = -X_i \quad \text{and} \quad J(\xi) = \partial_t, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Here the Lee form is $\theta = dt$. Hence (h, Φ, η, ξ) defines a left-invariant Sasakian structure on $H(1, n)$ and (g, J) defines a left-invariant Vaisman structure on $H(1, n) \times \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, a Sasakian structure exists on every quotient of $H(1, n)$ by a lattice and a Vaisman structure exists on every quotient of $H(1, n) \times \mathbb{R}$ by a lattice. As a particular choice of lattice in $H(1, n)$ one may take $\Lambda(1, n)$, consisting of the matrices with integer entries. Thus $\Lambda(1, n) \backslash H(1, n)$ is a compact Sasakian nilmanifold. Taking the lattice $\Lambda(1, n) \times \mathbb{Z} \subset H(1, n) \times \mathbb{R}$, we obtain a Vaisman structure on the nilmanifold $(\Lambda(1, n) \times \mathbb{Z}) \backslash (H(1, n) \times \mathbb{R})$. Vaisman structures on compact solvmanifolds obtained as mapping tori of nilmanifolds quotient of $H(1, n)$ by a Sasakian automorphism were obtained in [21].

In Section 3 we present examples of nilmanifolds and infra-nilmanifolds endowed with Vaisman structures.

2. MAIN RESULT

In this section we prove the main result of this paper, namely:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $V = \Gamma \backslash G$ be a compact nilmanifold of dimension $2n+2$, $n \geq 1$, endowed with a Vaisman structure. Then G is isomorphic to $H(1, n) \times \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. By combining [25, Structure Theorem] and [26, Corollary 3.5], there exist a compact Sasakian manifold S and a Sasakian automorphism $\varphi: S \rightarrow S$ such that V is diffeomorphic to the mapping torus S_φ . Hence V fibers over S^1 with fiber S and the structure group of this fibration is generated by φ . A quick inspection of the long exact sequence of homotopy groups of $S \rightarrow V \rightarrow S^1$ shows that S is an aspherical manifold and that $\Lambda := \pi_1(S)$ sits in the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Since Γ is finitely generated, nilpotent and torsion-free, the same is true for Λ . By [27, Theorem II.2.18], we find a connected and simply connected nilpotent Lie group H such that $\Lambda \subset H$ is a lattice and $P := \Lambda \backslash H$ is a compact nilmanifold. Notice that P and S are both aspherical manifolds with the same fundamental group; by standard results on aspherical manifolds (see for instance [20]), they are homotopy equivalent. In particular, they have the same rational homotopy type. Since $\Lambda = \pi_1(P) = \pi_1(S)$ is nilpotent and both P and S are aspherical, they are nilpotent spaces. For nilpotent spaces, the rational homotopy type is codified in the minimal model, hence P and S have the same minimal model.

Since P is a nilmanifold, its minimal model is the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex $(\Lambda \mathfrak{h}^*, d)$, where \mathfrak{h} is the Lie algebra of H . S is not necessarily a nilmanifold, yet it is endowed with a Sasakian structure. In [9, Theorem 1.1], the authors prove that a compact nilmanifold of dimension $2n + 1$, endowed with a Sasakian structure, is diffeomorphic to a quotient of the Heisenberg group $H(1, n)$ by a lattice. Their proof uses a certain property of the rational homotopy type of compact manifolds endowed with a Sasakian structure discovered by Tievsky (see [32]). By carefully analyzing their arguments, one sees that they show more than what they claim: they prove that if a nilpotent manifold has a minimal model which is generated in degree 1, then such a minimal model is isomorphic to the minimal model of some compact quotient of the Heisenberg group $H(1, n)$ by a lattice, i.e. to the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex $(\Lambda \mathfrak{h}(1, n)^*, d)$, where $\mathfrak{h}(1, n)$ is the Lie algebra of $H(1, n)$. Now the minimal model of S , being isomorphic to that of P , is generated in degree 1, hence we conclude that \mathfrak{h} is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}(1, n)$. Since H and $H(1, n)$ are simply connected, they are isomorphic. From now on we replace \mathfrak{h} by $\mathfrak{h}(1, n)$ and H by $H(1, n)$. As a consequence, Λ is contained in $H(1, n)$ as a lattice and $P = \Lambda \backslash H(1, n)$.

By [5, Corollary 3.4], there exists a finite cover $\pi: S \times S^1 \rightarrow V$, say $m : 1$, whose deck group \mathbb{Z}_m acts diagonally and by translations on the second factor; moreover, we have a commuting diagram of fiber

bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 S & \longrightarrow & S \times S^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & S^1 \\
 \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \cdot m \\
 S & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & S^1
 \end{array}$$

where pr_2 is the projection on the second factor. Considering the induced maps on fundamental groups, we obtain a diagram of group homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1 & \longrightarrow & \Lambda & \longrightarrow & \Lambda \times \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_* & & \downarrow \cdot m \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \Lambda & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 1
 \end{array}$$

By [27, Theorem II.2.11], this gives a third diagram at the level of the corresponding connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie groups:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1 & \longrightarrow & H(1, n) & \longrightarrow & H(1, n) \times \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \varpi & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & H(1, n) & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow 1
 \end{array}$$

where all the maps are continuous homomorphisms. Since all the nilpotent Lie groups appearing in this third diagram are simply connected, they admit no non-trivial covers, hence the homomorphism ϖ is actually a group isomorphism. \square

Corollary 2.2. *The minimal model of a Vaisman nilmanifold is isomorphic to the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}(1, n) \oplus \mathbb{R}$.*

Remark 2.3. A nilmanifold N , quotient of the Heisenberg group $H(1, n)$, is never formal, since it is not diffeomorphic to a torus. Moreover, it is easy to construct a triple Massey product on N (refer to [23] for Massey products). However, since N admits a Sasakian structure, all Massey products of higher order on N vanish by [7, Theorem 4.4]. Similarly, a nilmanifold quotient of $H(1, n) \times \mathbb{R}$ is not formal and has triple Massey products. It would be interesting to understand to which extent the existence of a Vaisman structure on a compact manifold influences the vanishing of higher order Massey products (see also [24]).

Remark 2.4. A fortiori, the manifolds P and S in the proof of Theorem 2.1 both admit Sasakian structures. Whether the homotopy equivalence $P \rightarrow S$ provides even a homeomorphism or not is a difficult question. In particular, it is not clear that S is itself a nilmanifold. In the framework of aspherical manifolds, whether the homotopy equivalence lifts or not to a homeomorphism is the content of the Borel conjecture, which is known to be false in the smooth category - see

[20]. In particular, we do not claim that the homotopy equivalence $P \rightarrow S$ provides a Sasakian diffeomorphism $P \rightarrow S$.

3. TWO EXAMPLES

In the proof of Theorem 2.1 we did not say anything about the order of the Sasakian automorphism. We give an example in which such order, albeit finite, can be arbitrarily large. We also construct an infra-nilmanifold, finitely covered by a nilmanifold quotient of $H(1, n) \times \mathbb{R}$, endowed with a Vaisman structure.

3.1. Example 1. We consider the lattice $\Lambda(1, n) \subset H(1, n)$ consisting of matrices with integer entries; we denote an element of $\Lambda(1, n)$ by $(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n, c)$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 2$, let us take the sublattice

$$\Delta(1, n; m) = \{(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n, c) \in \Lambda(1, n) \mid a_1 \in m\mathbb{Z}\} \subset \Lambda(1, n);$$

then $\Delta(1, n; m) \backslash H(1, n)$ is a nilmanifold and there is an $m : 1$ covering $\Delta(1, n; m) \backslash H(1, n) \rightarrow N(1, n) := \Lambda(1, n) \backslash H(1, n)$ with deck group $\Delta(1, n; m) \backslash \Lambda(1, n) \cong \mathbb{Z}_m$. Being quotients of $H(1, n)$, both nilmanifolds have Sasakian structures, coming from the left-invariant Sasakian structure of $H(1, n)$. We denote by φ the generator of \mathbb{Z}_m ; the action of φ on $\Delta(1, n; m) \backslash H(1, n)$ is covered by the left-translation

$$(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n, z) \mapsto (x_1 + 1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n, z)$$

on $H(1, n)$; since the Sasakian structure is left-invariant, this action is by Sasakian automorphisms. On $\Delta(1, n; m) \backslash H(1, n) \times \mathbb{R}$, we consider the \mathbb{Z} -action generated by

$$1 \cdot (s, t) = (\varphi(s), t + 1) \quad \text{for } (s, t) \in \Delta(1, n; m) \backslash H(1, n) \times \mathbb{R}.$$

The mapping torus $(\Delta(1, n; m) \backslash H(1, n))_\varphi$ has a Vaisman structure. By construction, $(\Delta(1, n; m) \backslash H(1, n))_\varphi$ is diffeomorphic to the nilmanifold $N(1, n) \times S^1$.

3.2. Example 2. *Infra-nilmanifolds* are quotients of connected and simply connected nilpotent Lie groups which are more general than nilmanifolds. They can be constructed as follows. One starts with a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group G and considers the group $\text{Aut}(G)$ of automorphisms of G . The affine group $\text{Aff}(G) := G \rtimes \text{Aut}(G)$ acts on G as follows:

$$(g, \varphi) \cdot h = g\varphi(h), \quad g, h \in G, \varphi \in \text{Aut}(G). \quad (3.1)$$

One takes then a compact subgroup $K \subset \text{Aut}(G)$ and any discrete, torsion-free subgroup $\Xi \subset G \rtimes K$, such that $\Xi \backslash G$ is compact; here Ξ acts on G according to (3.1). The action of Ξ on G is free and properly discontinuous, hence $\Xi \backslash G$ is a manifold. By definition, $\Xi \backslash G$ is an infra-nilmanifold (see [12]). By [2, Theorem 1], $\Xi \cap G$ is a uniform lattice in

G and $(\Xi \cap G) \backslash \Xi$ is a finite group, hence the fundamental group of an infra-nilmanifold is virtually nilpotent. The finite group $(\Xi \cap G) \backslash \Xi$ is called the *holonomy group* of Ξ . If it is trivial, i.e. $\Xi \subset G$, then $\Xi \backslash G$ is a nilmanifold. In general, an infra-nilmanifold $\Xi \backslash G$ is finitely covered by a nilmanifold $(\Xi \cap G) \backslash G$.

Again we consider the Heisenberg group $H(1, n)$, $n \geq 2$, and the lattice $\Lambda(1, n)$. The map $\phi: \Lambda(1, n) \rightarrow \Lambda(1, n)$,

$$(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots, a_n, b_n, c) \mapsto (a_2, b_2, a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n, c)$$

is a group automorphism of order 2, which extends to a group automorphism $H(1, n) \rightarrow H(1, n)$ denoted again ϕ . We consider the lattice $\Gamma := \Lambda(1, n) \times \mathbb{Z} \subset G := H(1, n) \times \mathbb{R}$, the affine group $\text{Aff}(G) = G \rtimes \text{Aut}(G)$ and the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$ generated by the automorphism $\sigma: G \rightarrow G$,

$$\sigma(h, t) = (\phi(h), t), \quad (h, t) \in G.$$

Then $\langle \sigma \rangle \subset \text{Aut}(G)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_2 , hence compact. Finally, we take the lattice $\Xi = \Gamma \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle \subset \text{Aff}(G)$ and consider the infra-nilmanifold $V = \Xi \backslash G$. Clearly $\Xi \cap G = \Gamma$ and $\Gamma \backslash \Xi \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, hence the holonomy of Ξ is non-trivial and V is covered 2 : 1 by the nilmanifold $\Gamma \backslash G$.

Finally, we show that V can be seen as the mapping torus of a Sasakian automorphism of order 2 of $N(1, n) = \Lambda(1, n) \backslash H(1, n)$, hence it carries a Vaisman structure. First of all, by construction the automorphism $\phi: H(1, n) \rightarrow H(1, n)$ preserves $\Lambda(1, n)$, hence descends to a diffeomorphism $\varphi: N(1, n) \rightarrow N(1, n)$. One checks easily that φ preserves the Sasakian structure. The mapping torus $N(1, n)_\varphi$, defined as the quotient of $N(1, n) \times \mathbb{R}$ by the \mathbb{Z} -action given by (1.1), carries a Vaisman structure. Clearly $N(1, n)_\varphi$ is diffeomorphic to the infra-nilmanifold V .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to Sönke Rollenske for a decisive conversation and for bringing infra-nilmanifolds to my attention. I would also like to thank Juan Carlos Marrero, Vicente Muñoz and John Oprea for their useful comments on an earlier version of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. ALEKSEEVSKY, V. CORTÉS, K. HASEGAWA AND Y. KAMISHIMA, *Homogeneous locally conformally Kähler and Sasaki manifolds*, Internat. J. Math. **26** (2015), no. 6, 29 pp. (cited on p. 2)
- [2] L. AUSLANDER, *Bieberbach's theorems on space groups and discrete uniform subgroups of Lie groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **71** (1960), 579–590. (cited on p. 7)

- [3] G. BAZZONI AND J. C. MARRERO, *Locally conformal symplectic nilmanifolds with no locally conformal Kähler metrics*, preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.5510>. (cited on p. 3)
- [4] G. BAZZONI AND J. C. MARRERO, *On locally conformal symplectic manifolds of the first kind*, preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.04947>. (cited on p. 3)
- [5] G. BAZZONI, J. C. MARRERO AND J. OPREA, *A splitting theorem for compact Vaisman manifolds*, to appear in Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico di Torino, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.04947>. (cited on p. 5)
- [6] F. BELGUN, *On the metric structure of non-Kähler complex surfaces*, Math. Ann. **317** (2000), no. 1, 1–40. (cited on p. 2)
- [7] I. BISWAS, M. FERNÁNDEZ, V. MUÑOZ AND A. TRALLE, *On formality of Sasakian manifolds*, J. Topol. **9** (2016), 161–180. (cited on p. 6)
- [8] C. BOYER AND K. GALICKI, *Sasakian geometry*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008. (cited on p. 3)
- [9] B. CAPPELLETTI-MONTANO, A. DE NICOLA, J. C. MARRERO AND I. YUDIN, *Sasakian nilmanifolds*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2015, no. 15, 6648–6660. (cited on p. 5)
- [10] B. CAPPELLETTI-MONTANO, A. DE NICOLA, J. C. MARRERO AND I. YUDIN, *Hard Lefschetz theorem for Vaisman manifolds*, preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.04946>. (cited on p. 3)
- [11] L. A. CORDERO, M. FERNÁNDEZ AND M. DE LEÓN, *Compact locally conformal Kähler nilmanifolds*, Geom. Dedicata **21** (1986), no. 2, 187–192. (cited on p. 4)
- [12] K. DEKIMPE, *What an infra-nilmanifold endomorphism really should be...*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **40** (2012), no. 1, 111–136. (cited on p. 7)
- [13] P. DELIGNE, P. GRIFFITHS, J. MORGAN AND D. SULLIVAN, *Real homotopy theory of Kähler manifolds*, Invent. Math. **29** (1975), 245–274. (cited on p. 2)
- [14] S. DRAGOMIR AND L. ORNEA, *Locally conformal Kähler geometry*, Progress in Mathematics **155**. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998. (cited on p. 2)
- [15] Y. FÉLIX, J. OPREA AND D. TANRÉ, *Algebraic Models in Geometry*, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics **17**, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008. (cited on p. 1)
- [16] P. GAUDUCHON, A. MOROIANU AND L. ORNEA, *Compact homogeneous lcK manifolds are Vaisman*, Math. Ann. **361** (2015), no. 3–4, 1043–1048. (cited on p. 2)
- [17] S. HALPERIN, *Lectures on minimal models*, Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.) No. 9–10 (1983), 261 pp. (cited on p. 1)
- [18] K. HASEGAWA, *Minimal models of nilmanifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1989), no. 1, 65–71. (cited on p. 1, 2)
- [19] K. HASEGAWA AND Y. KAMISHIMA, *Locally conformally Kähler structures on homogeneous spaces*, Geometry and analysis on manifolds, 353–372, Prog. Math. 308 Birkhäuser/Springer (cited on p. 2)
- [20] W. LÜCK, *Aspherical manifolds*, Bulletin of the Manifold Atlas (2012), 1–17. (cited on p. 5, 7)
- [21] J. C. MARRERO AND E. PADRÓN, *Compact generalized Hopf and cosymplectic solvmanifolds and the Heisenberg group $H(n, 1)$* , Israel J. Math. **101** (1997), 189–204. (cited on p. 4)
- [22] K. OELJEKLAUS AND M. TOMA, *Non-Kähler compact complex manifolds associated to number fields*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **55** (2005), no. 1, 161–171. (cited on p. 3)
- [23] J. OPREA AND A. TRALLE, *Symplectic Manifolds with no Kähler structures*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1661**, Springer, 1997. (cited on p. 6)

- [24] L. ORNEA AND M. PILCA, *Remarks on the product of harmonic forms*, Pacific J. Math. **250** (2011), no. 2, 353–363. (cited on p. 6)
- [25] L. ORNEA AND M. VERBITSKY, *Structure theorem for compact Vaisman manifolds*, Math. Res. Lett. **10** (2003), no. 5–6, 799–805. (cited on p. 4, 5)
- [26] L. ORNEA AND M. VERBITSKY, *LCK rank of locally conformally Kähler manifolds with potential*, preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1601.07413>. (cited on p. 4, 5)
- [27] M. S. RAGHUNATHAN, *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups*, Springer, 1972. (cited on p. 5, 6)
- [28] C. S. SHAHBAZI, *F-theory on non-Kähler manifolds*, preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1503.00733>. (cited on p. 2)
- [29] C. S. SHAHBAZI, *A class of non-geometric M-theory compactification backgrounds*, to appear in J. High Energy Phys., <http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.01750>. (cited on p. 2)
- [30] H. SAWAI, *Locally conformal Kähler structures on compact nilmanifolds with left-invariant complex structures*, Geom. Dedicata **125** (2007), 93–101. (cited on p. 2)
- [31] W. P. THURSTON, *Some simple examples of symplectic manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1976), no. 2, 467–468. (cited on p. 2)
- [32] A. M. TIEVSKY, *Analogues of Kähler geometry on Sasakian manifolds*, Ph. D. Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2008. Available at <http://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/45349>. (cited on p. 5)
- [33] L. UGARTE, *Hermitian structures on six-dimensional nilmanifolds*, Transform. Groups **12** (2007), no. 1, 175–202. (cited on p. 2)
- [34] I. VAISMAN, *Locally conformal Kähler manifolds with parallel Lee form*, Rend. Mat. (6) **12** (1979), no. 2, 263–284. (cited on p. 3)
- [35] I. VAISMAN, *Generalized Hopf manifolds*, Geom. Dedicata **13** (1982), 231–255. (cited on p. 3)

PHILIPPS-UNIVERSITÄT MARBURG, FB MATHEMATIK & INFORMATIK, HANS-MEERWEIN-STR. 6 (CAMPUS LAHNBERGE), 35032, MARBURG

E-mail address: `bazzoni@mathematik.uni-marburg.de`