

GEODESICS ASSOCIATED TO THE BALANCED METRIC ON THE SIEGEL-JACOBI BALL

STEFAN BERCEANU

ABSTRACT. We determine the Christoffel's symbols for the Siegel-Jacobi ball endowed with the balanced metric. We study the equations of geodesics on the Siegel-Jacobi ball. We calculate the covariant derivative of one-forms in the variables in which is expressed the balanced metric on the Siegel-Jacobi ball.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
2.1. The Jacobi group	3
2.2. Balanced metric and coherent states	4
2.3. Geodesics on Kähler manifolds	5
2.4. Geodesics on the Siegel-Jacobi disk	6
2.5. Balanced metric on the Siegel-Jacobi ball	8
3. Geodesics on the Siegel-Jacobi ball	11
3.1. Calculation of Γ -s	11
3.2. Equations of geodesics	16
3.3. Covariant derivative of one-forms	17
4. Appendix	19
References	20

1. INTRODUCTION

The Jacobi group of index n , G_n^J , defined as the semidirect product of the symplectic group with Heisenberg group of appropriate dimension, is an interesting object in several branches of Mathematics and Physics, see references in [7, 9, 10, 11, 16]. G_n^J acts transitively on the homogeneous manifold associated with it, the Siegel-Jacobi ball $\mathcal{D}_n^J$, a partially bounded domain, whose points are in $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{D}_n$, where $\mathcal{D}_n$ denotes the Siegel ball. In fact, there is a real and a complex version of the Jacobi group, with factor group $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$, respectively $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}}$, while the associated manifold in the real case is the Siegel-Jacobi upper half plane $\mathcal{X}_n^J$, whose points are in $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{X}_n$, where $\mathcal{X}_n$ is the Siegel upper half plane. The case of the Jacobi group of index $n = 1$ was considered in [26, 21].

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32Q15, 53C22, 81S10, 81R30.

Key words and phrases. Jacobi group, Siegel-Jacobi ball, balanced metric, homogenous Kähler manifolds, geodesics.

There are generalizations of the Jacobi group and their associated homogeneous spaces, where instead $\mathbb{C}^n$ are considered spaces $\mathbb{C}^{mn}$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. There is an isomorphism between the complex and real version of the Jacobi group and the homogeneous spaces associated to them [40, 12]. The present paper is mainly devoted to the complex version of the Jacobi group.

In [9, 12] we have determined the G_n^J -invariant metric on $\mathcal{D}_n^J$ and we have shown that it corresponds to the metric determined in the papers of J.-H. Yang [40], generalizing the results of E. Kähler [30] and R. Berndt [26] in the case $n = 1$. We mention that the starting point of our approach in [9, 12] was the construction of coherent states (CS) [35] attached to the Jacobi group G_n^J , with support in $\mathcal{D}_n^J$. The case $n = 1$ was studied in [7]. What we have found starting from the construction of CS attached to G_n^J was the Kähler potential on $\mathcal{D}_n^J$. In [16] we have underlined that the metric determined in [9, 12] is the balanced metric [28, 1] and we have determined the metric matrix $h(z, W)$ associated to the Kähler two-form $\omega_{\mathcal{D}_n^J}(z, W)$, where $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $W \in M(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a symmetric matrix describing points in $\mathcal{D}_n$. We have introduced [12] a useful variable $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$, such that if we make a change of variables $(z, W) = FC(\eta, W)$, $z = \eta - W\bar{\eta}$, the metric on the Siegel-Jacobi ball expressed in (η, W) separates as sum of the metric on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathcal{D}_n$, i.e. the FC -transform is a Kähler homogeneous diffeomorphism and realizes the fundamental conjecture for the homogeneous Kähler manifold $\mathcal{D}_n^J$ [38, 25]. In [16] we have also determined the inverse matrix h^{-1} in the same variables.

In the present paper we use the results of [16] and calculate the Christoffel symbols on $\mathcal{D}_n^J$. This allows us to write down explicitly the equations of geodesics on $\mathcal{D}_n^J$. We are not able to integrate these equations, but we make some comments related to the geometric and physical meaning of the variables used. In order to clarify the physical meaning of the FC -transform, we use some notions concerning the CS, even that the present work is mainly a simple matrix calculation, where the CS does not appear explicitly. As a byproduct of the calculation of the Γ -s on $\mathcal{D}_n^J$, we also calculate the covariant derivatives $D(dz)$ and $D(dW)$ (called in [41] holomorphic Γ -module connections associated to the Christoffel symbols) - this kind of calculation was done also in [42] in order to determine derivations of the Jacobi forms on $\mathcal{X}_{mn} = \mathcal{X}_n \times \mathbb{C}^{mn}$. The reason to present the calculation of $D(dz)$ and $D(dW)$ is to underline again the utility of the variables introduced in [9, 12].

The paper is divided in two parts. In §2 are recalled some notations and concepts introduced in previous works and used in the present paper. In §2.1 we present what we mean by Jacobi group in this paper, see details in [9, 12]. §2.2 recalls some simple facts about the CS defined by Perelomov [35], in order to understand how the balanced metric was obtained in [16]. The formulae used for calculation of geodesics are recalled in §2.3. The geometry of $\mathcal{D}_1^J$ [7, 13], summarized in §2.4, is a guide for the calculation to be done on $\mathcal{D}_n^J$, especially Proposition 1, which gives equations of geodesics on the Siegel-Jacobi disk. §2.5 reproduces the results from [16] concerning the matrix of the balanced metric on $\mathcal{D}_n^J$ and is its inverse, the starting point of the present investigation - see Theorem 1. In Proposition 3 we extract from [16] some facts on the geometry of the Jacobi group and its action on the Siegel-Jacobi ball. The new results of the present paper are contained in §3. The equations of geodesics in the variable $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $W \in \mathcal{D}_n$

are given in §3.1, which also contains the calculation of Γ -s. Equations of geodesics are collected in Proposition 5 of §3.2, followed by a discussion about the significance of the result in the context of the FC -transform on $\mathcal{D}_n^J$, which is shown to be not a geodesic mapping. It was proved [4] that for symmetric manifolds the FC -transform gives geodesics, but the studied Siegel-Jacobi ball is not a symmetric space. Section 3.3 summarizes our calculation of the covariant derivative of dz and dW . The appendix in §4 is dedicated to the equations of geodesics on $\mathcal{D}_n$ deduced from equations of geodesics on $\mathcal{X}_n$. The new results of these paper are contained in Propositions 4, 5, 6 and 7.

Notation. We denote by $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{Z}$, and $\mathbb{N}$ the field of real numbers, the field of complex numbers, the ring of integers, and the set of non-negative integers, respectively. We denote the imaginary unit $\sqrt{-1}$ by i , and the Real and Imaginary part of a complex number by $\Re$ and respectively $\Im$, i.e. we have for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $z = \Re z + i \Im z$, and $\bar{z} = \Re z - i \Im z$. $M(m \times n, \mathbb{F}) \cong \mathbb{F}^{mn}$ denotes the set of all $m \times n$ matrices with entries in the field $\mathbb{F}$. $M(n \times 1, \mathbb{F})$ is identified with $\mathbb{F}^n$. Set $M(n, \mathbb{F}) = M(n \times n, \mathbb{F})$. For any $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$, A^t denotes the transpose matrix of A . For $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $\bar{A}$ denotes the conjugate matrix of A and $A^* = \bar{A}^t$. For $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, the inequality $A > 0$ means that A is positive definite. The identity matrix of degree n is denoted by $\mathbb{I}_n$ and $\mathbb{O}_n$ denotes the $M(n, \mathbb{F})$ -matrix with all entries 0. We consider a complex separable Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ endowed with a scalar product which is antilinear in the first argument, $(\lambda x, y) = \bar{\lambda}(x, y)$, $x, y \in \mathfrak{H}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus 0$. If A is a linear operator, we denote by $A^\dagger$ its adjoint. If π is a representation of a Lie group G on the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of G , we denote $\mathbf{X} := d\pi(X)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. We use Einstein convention that repeated indices are implicitly summed over.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. The Jacobi group. The (complex) Jacobi group of index n is defined as the semidirect product $G_n^J = H_n \rtimes \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}}$, where H_n denotes the $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional Heisenberg group [39, 9, 12], endowed with the composition law

$$(2.1) \quad (g_1, \alpha_1, t_1)(g_2, \alpha_2, t_2) = (g_1 g_2, g_2^{-1} \times \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, t_1 + t_2 + \Im(g_2^{-1} \times \alpha_1 \bar{\alpha}_2)).$$

$\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g_i \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}}$, $i = 1, 2$ have the form (2.2)

$$(2.2) \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ \bar{q} & \bar{p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad p, q \in M(n, \mathbb{C}),$$

and $g \times \alpha = p\alpha + q\bar{\alpha}$, and $g^{-1} \times \alpha = p^*\alpha - q^t\bar{\alpha}$. The matrices $p, q \in M(n, \mathbb{C})$ have the properties

$$(2.3a) \quad pp^* - qq^* = \mathbb{I}_n, \quad pq^t = qp^t;$$

$$(2.3b) \quad p^*p - q^t\bar{q} = \mathbb{I}_n, \quad p^t\bar{q} = q^*p.$$

To the Jacobi group G_n^J it is associated a homogeneous manifold - the Siegel-Jacobi ball $\mathcal{D}_n^J$ [9] - whose points are in $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{D}_n$, i.e. a partially-bounded space. $\mathcal{D}_n$ denotes the Siegel (open) ball of index n . The non-compact hermitian symmetric space $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}} / \mathrm{U}(n)$ admits a matrix realization as a homogeneous bounded domain:

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{D}_n := \{W \in M(n, \mathbb{C}) : W = W^t, N > 0, N := \mathbb{I}_n - W\bar{W}\}.$$

For $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}}$ of the form (2.2), (2.3) and $\alpha, z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, the transitive action $(g, \alpha) \times (W, z) = (W_1, z_1)$ of the Jacobi group G_n^J on the Siegel-Jacobi ball $\mathcal{D}_n^J$ is given by the formulae [9]

$$(2.5a) \quad W_1 = (pW + q)(\bar{q}W + \bar{p})^{-1} = (Wq^* + p^*)^{-1}(q^t + Wp^t),$$

$$(2.5b) \quad z_1 = (Wq^* + p^*)^{-1}(z + \alpha - W\bar{\alpha}).$$

2.2. Balanced metric and coherent states. We consider a Kähler manifold M endowed with a Kähler-two form, locally written as

$$(2.6) \quad \omega_M(z) = i \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(z) dz_{\alpha} \wedge d\bar{z}_{\beta}, \quad h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \bar{h}_{\beta\bar{\alpha}} = h_{\bar{\beta}\alpha},$$

We consider a G -invariant Kähler two-form ω_M (2.6) on the $2n$ -dimensional homogeneous manifold $M = G/H$ derived from the Kähler potential $f(z, \bar{z})$ [24]

$$(2.7) \quad h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_{\alpha} \partial \bar{z}_{\beta}}.$$

The condition of the metric to be a Kählerian one is (cf. (6) p. 156 in [32])

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{\partial h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}}{\partial z_{\gamma}} = \frac{\partial h_{\gamma\bar{\beta}}}{\partial z_{\alpha}}, \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma = 1, \dots, n.$$

As was underlined in [15] for $\mathcal{D}_1^J$ and proved in [16] for $\mathcal{D}_n^J$, the homogeneous hermitian metric determined in [7, 9, 12] is in fact a balanced metric, because it corresponds to the Kähler potential calculated as the scalar product of two CS-vectors

$$(2.9) \quad f(z, \bar{z}) = \ln K_M(z, \bar{z}), \quad K_M(z, \bar{z}) = (e_{\bar{z}}, e_z).$$

We recall that in the approach of Perelomov [35] to CS it is supposed that there exists a continuous, unitary, irreducible representation π of a Lie group G on the separable complex Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$. The coherent vector mapping φ is defined locally (cf. [5, 6]) $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$, $\varphi(z) = e_{\bar{z}}$, where $\mathfrak{H}$ denotes the Hilbert space conjugate to $\mathfrak{H}$.

We can introduce the normalized (unnormalized) vectors $\underline{e}_x$ (respectively, e_z) defined on G/H

$$(2.10) \quad \underline{e}_x = \exp\left(\sum_{\phi \in \Delta_+} x_{\phi} \mathbf{X}_{\phi}^+ - \bar{x}_{\phi} \mathbf{X}_{\phi}^-\right) e_0, \quad e_z = \exp\left(\sum_{\phi \in \Delta_+} z_{\phi} \mathbf{X}_{\phi}^+\right) e_0,$$

where e_0 is the extremal weight vector of the representation π , Δ_+ are the positive roots of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G , and $X_{\phi}, \phi \in \Delta$, are the generators. $\mathbf{X}_{\phi}^+$ ($\mathbf{X}_{\phi}^-$) corresponds to the positive (respectively, negative) generators. See details in [35, 6].

Let us denote by FC the change of variables $x \rightarrow z$ in formula (2.10) such that

$$(2.11) \quad \underline{e}_x = \tilde{e}_z, \quad \tilde{e}_z := (e_z, e_z)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e_z, \quad z = FC(x).$$

The reason for calling the transform (2.11) FC (*fundamental conjecture*) is explained later, see Proposition 2. We also recall that we have proved that *for symmetric spaces the dependence $z(t) = FC(tX)$ from (2.11) gives geodesics in M with the property that $z(0) = p$ and $\dot{z}(0) = X$* [4].

Using Perelomov's CS vectors $e_{\bar{z}} \in \bar{\mathfrak{H}}$, $z \in M$ we have considered [7, 9, 12, 16] Berezin's approach to quantization on Kähler manifolds, with the supercomplete set of vectors verifying the Parceval overcompleteness identity [17, 18, 19, 20]

$$(2.12) \quad (\psi_1, \psi_2)_{\mathcal{F}_K} = \int_M (\psi_1, e_{\bar{z}})(e_{\bar{z}}, \psi_2) d\nu_M(z, \bar{z}), \quad \psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathfrak{H},$$

$$(2.13) \quad d\nu_M(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{\Omega_M(z, \bar{z})}{(e_{\bar{z}}, e_{\bar{z}})}; \quad \Omega_M := \frac{1}{n!} \underbrace{\omega \wedge \dots \wedge \omega}_{n \text{ times}}.$$

Let us denote by $\mathfrak{H}_f$ the weighted Hilbert space of square integrable holomorphic functions on M , with weight e^{-f} [27]

$$(2.14) \quad \mathfrak{H}_f = \left\{ \phi \in \text{hol}(M) \mid \int_M e^{-f} |\phi|^2 \Omega_M < \infty \right\}.$$

In order to identify the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}_f$ defined by (2.14) with the Hilbert $\mathcal{F}_K$ space with scalar product (2.12), it was introduced the so called ϵ -function [36, 22, 23]

$$(2.15) \quad \epsilon(z) = e^{-f(z)} K_M(z, \bar{z}).$$

If the Kähler metric on the complex manifold M is such that $\epsilon(z)$ is a positive constant, then the metric is called *balanced*. Donaldson [28] used this denomination for compact manifolds, then it was used in [1] for noncompact manifolds and later [33] in the context of Berezin quantization on homogeneous bounded domains.

The *balanced hermitian metric* of M in local coordinates is

$$(2.16) \quad ds_M^2(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_\alpha \partial \bar{z}_\beta} \ln(K_M(z, \bar{z})) dz_\alpha \otimes d\bar{z}_\beta, \quad K_M(z, \bar{z}) = (e_{\bar{z}}, e_{\bar{z}}).$$

2.3. Geodesics on Kähler manifolds. In terms of a local coordinate system $x^1, \dots, x^n$ the equations of geodesics on a manifold M with linear connection with components of the linear connections Γ are (see e.g. Proposition 7.8 p. 145 in [31])

$$(2.17) \quad \frac{d^2 x^i}{dt^2} + \sum_{j,k} \Gamma_{jk}^i \frac{dx^j}{dt} \frac{dx^k}{dt} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

The components Γ_{jk}^i of a Riemannian (Levi-Civita) connection are obtained by solving the linear system (see e.g. [31], p 160)

$$(2.18) \quad h_{lk} \Gamma_{ji}^l = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial h_{ki}}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial h_{jk}}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial h_{ji}}{\partial x_k} \right).$$

The connection matrix (form) $\theta = \partial \ln h$ (see §6 in [24] or §3.2 in [2]) has the matrix elements:

$$(2.19) \quad \theta_i^j = \Gamma_{ik}^j dx^k.$$

The covariant derivative of a contravariant vector (one-form) is given by

$$(2.20) \quad Du_i = -\theta_j^i u_j.$$

If we take into account the hermiticity condition in (2.7) on the metric and the Kählerian restrictions (2.8), the non-zero Christoffel's symbols Γ of the Chern connection (cf. e.g. §3.2 in [2]; in the case of Kähler manifolds, also Levi-Civita connection, cf. e.g. Theorem 4.17 in [2]) on Kähler manifolds which appear in (2.18) are determined by the equations (see also e.g. (12) at p. 156 in [32])

$$(2.21) \quad h_{\alpha\bar{\epsilon}}\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} = \frac{\partial h_{\bar{\epsilon}\beta}}{\partial z_{\gamma}} = \frac{\partial h_{\beta\bar{\epsilon}}}{\partial z_{\gamma}}.$$

We introduce the convention

$$(2.22) \quad h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} := (h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})^{-1}, \text{ i.e. } h_{\alpha\bar{\epsilon}}h^{\epsilon\bar{\beta}} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}.$$

From (2.21), we have:

$$h_{\alpha\bar{\epsilon}}h^{\epsilon\bar{\eta}}\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} = \delta_{\alpha}^{\eta}\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} = h^{\epsilon\bar{\eta}}\frac{\partial h_{\gamma\bar{\epsilon}}}{\partial z_{\beta}},$$

and we find for the Christoffel's symbols for the Kähler manifold, the formula

$$(2.23) \quad \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} = \bar{h}^{\gamma\bar{\epsilon}}\frac{\partial h_{\beta\bar{\epsilon}}}{\partial z_{\alpha}} = h^{\epsilon\bar{\gamma}}\frac{\partial h_{\beta\bar{\epsilon}}}{\partial z_{\alpha}}.$$

2.4. Geodesics on the Siegel-Jacobi disk. We recall some formulae describing the the Jacobi group G_1^J and the geometry of the Siegel-Jacobi disk $\mathcal{D}_1^J$ [7, 13, 15].

The transitive action of the group $G_1^J = \mathbb{H}_1 \rtimes \text{SU}(1, 1) \ni (g, \alpha) \times (z, w) \rightarrow (z_1, w_1) \in \mathcal{D}_1^J$ on the Siegel disk is given by the formulae:

$$(2.24) \quad w_1 = \frac{aw + b}{\delta}, \quad \delta = \bar{b}w + \bar{a}, \quad \text{SU}(1, 1) \ni g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } |a|^2 - |b|^2 = 1,$$

$$(2.25) \quad z_1 = \frac{\gamma}{\delta}, \quad \gamma = z + \alpha - \bar{\alpha}w.$$

The balanced Kähler two-form $\omega_{k\mu}$ on $\mathcal{D}_1^J$, G_1^J -invariant to the action (2.24), (2.25), can be written as:

$$(2.26) \quad -i\omega_{k\mu}(z, w) = 2k\frac{dw \wedge d\bar{w}}{P^2} + \mu\frac{\mathcal{A} \wedge \bar{\mathcal{A}}}{P}, \quad \mathcal{A} = dz + dw\bar{\eta}(z, w), \quad P = 1 - w\bar{w},$$

$$(2.27) \quad z = \eta - w\bar{\eta}, \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \eta(z, w) := \frac{z + \bar{z}w}{P}.$$

k indexes the positive discrete series of $\text{SU}(1, 1)$ ($2k \in \mathbb{N}$), while $\mu > 0$ indexes the representations of the Heisenberg group.

The matrix of the balanced metric (2.16) $h = h(\varsigma)$, $\varsigma := (z, w) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{D}_1$ is

$$(2.28) \quad h(\varsigma) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mu}{P} & \mu\frac{\bar{\eta}}{P} \\ \mu\frac{\eta}{P} & \frac{2k}{P^2} + \mu\frac{|\eta|^2}{P} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The inverse of the matrix (2.28) reads

$$(2.29) \quad h^{-1}(\varsigma) = \begin{pmatrix} h^{z\bar{z}} & h^{z\bar{w}} \\ h^{w\bar{z}} & h^{w\bar{w}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{P}{\mu} + \frac{P^2|\eta|^2}{2k} & -\frac{P^2\eta}{2k} \\ -\frac{P^2\bar{\eta}}{2k} & \frac{P^2}{2k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we introduce the notation

$$(2.30) \quad \mathcal{G}_M(z) := \det(h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})_{\alpha,\beta=1,\dots,n},$$

then we find

$$(2.31) \quad \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{D}_1^J}(z, w) = \frac{2k\mu}{(1 - w\bar{w})^3}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad |w| < 1.$$

In the variables $(z, w) \in (\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D}_1)$ the equations of geodesics (2.17) read

$$(2.32) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d^2 z}{dt^2} + \Gamma_{zz}^z \left(\frac{dz}{dt}\right)^2 + 2\Gamma_{zw}^z \frac{dz}{dt} \frac{dw}{dt} + \Gamma_{ww}^z \left(\frac{dw}{dt}\right)^2 = 0; \\ \frac{d^2 w}{dt^2} + \Gamma_{zz}^w \left(\frac{dz}{dt}\right)^2 + 2\Gamma_{zw}^w \frac{dz}{dt} \frac{dw}{dt} + \Gamma_{ww}^w \left(\frac{dw}{dt}\right)^2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

The equations (2.21) which determine the Γ -symbols for the Siegel-Jacobi disk are

$$(2.33) \quad \begin{cases} h_{z\bar{z}}\Gamma_{zz}^z + h_{w\bar{z}}\Gamma_{zz}^w = \frac{\partial h_{z\bar{z}}}{\partial z}; \\ h_{z\bar{w}}\Gamma_{zz}^z + h_{w\bar{w}}\Gamma_{zz}^w = \frac{\partial h_{z\bar{w}}}{\partial z}. \end{cases}$$

$$(2.34) \quad \begin{cases} h_{z\bar{z}}\Gamma_{zw}^z + h_{w\bar{z}}\Gamma_{zw}^w = \frac{\partial h_{w\bar{z}}}{\partial z}; \\ h_{z\bar{w}}\Gamma_{zw}^z + h_{w\bar{w}}\Gamma_{zw}^w = \frac{\partial h_{w\bar{w}}}{\partial z}. \end{cases}$$

$$(2.35) \quad \begin{cases} h_{z\bar{z}}\Gamma_{ww}^z + h_{w\bar{z}}\Gamma_{ww}^w = \frac{\partial h_{w\bar{z}}}{\partial w}; \\ h_{z\bar{w}}\Gamma_{ww}^z + h_{w\bar{w}}\Gamma_{ww}^w = \frac{\partial h_{w\bar{w}}}{\partial w}. \end{cases}$$

From (2.33)-(2.35) or from (2.23), we get

$$(2.36) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma_{zz}^z &= h^{z\bar{z}} \frac{\partial h_{z\bar{z}}}{\partial z} + h^{\bar{w}z} \frac{\partial h_{z\bar{w}}}{\partial z}; \quad \Gamma_{zz}^w = h^{\bar{z}w} \frac{\partial h_{z\bar{z}}}{\partial z} + h^{\bar{w}w} \frac{\partial h_{z\bar{w}}}{\partial z}; \\ \Gamma_{zw}^z &= h^{z\bar{z}} \frac{\partial h_{w\bar{z}}}{\partial z} + h^{w\bar{z}} \frac{\partial h_{w\bar{w}}}{\partial z}; \quad \Gamma_{zw}^w = h^{w\bar{w}} \frac{\partial h_{w\bar{w}}}{\partial z} + h^{z\bar{w}} \frac{\partial h_{w\bar{z}}}{\partial z}; \\ \Gamma_{ww}^z &= h^{z\bar{z}} \frac{\partial h_{w\bar{z}}}{\partial w} + h^{w\bar{z}} \frac{\partial h_{w\bar{w}}}{\partial w}; \quad \Gamma_{ww}^w = h^{w\bar{w}} \frac{\partial h_{w\bar{w}}}{\partial w} + h^{z\bar{w}} \frac{\partial h_{w\bar{z}}}{\partial w}. \end{aligned}$$

With (2.28), we calculate easily the derivatives

$$(2.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial h_{z\bar{z}}}{\partial z} &= 0; \quad \frac{\partial h_{z\bar{w}}}{\partial z} = \frac{\mu}{P^2}; \quad \frac{\partial h_{w\bar{z}}}{\partial z} = \mu \frac{\bar{w}}{P^2}; \quad \frac{\partial h_{w\bar{w}}}{\partial z} = \mu \frac{\bar{\eta} + \eta\bar{w}}{P^2}; \\ \frac{\partial h_{w\bar{z}}}{\partial w} &= 2\mu \frac{\bar{w}\bar{\eta}}{P^2}; \quad \frac{\partial h_{w\bar{w}}}{\partial w} = \mu \frac{\bar{z}\bar{\eta}}{P^2} + 3\mu \frac{\bar{w}|\eta|^2}{P^2} + 4k \frac{\bar{w}}{P^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Introducing (2.37) into (2.33)-(2.35), we find for the Christoffel's symbols Γ -s the expressions

$$(2.38) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma_{zz}^z &= -\lambda\bar{\eta}; \quad \Gamma_{zz}^w = \lambda; \quad \Gamma_{zw}^z = -\lambda\bar{\eta}^2 + \frac{\bar{w}}{P}; \\ \Gamma_{wz}^w &= \lambda\bar{\eta}; \quad \Gamma_{ww}^z = -\lambda\bar{\eta}^3; \quad \Gamma_{ww}^w = \lambda\bar{\eta}^2 + 2\frac{\bar{w}}{P}, \quad \lambda = \frac{\mu}{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1. *The equations of geodesics on the Siegel-Jacobi corresponding to metric defined by $\omega_{k\mu}$ (2.26) are*

$$(2.39a) \quad \mu\bar{\eta}G_1^2 = 2kG_3, \quad G_1 = \frac{dz}{dt} + \bar{\eta}\frac{dw}{dt}, \quad G_3 = \frac{d^2z}{dt^2} + 2\frac{\bar{w}}{P}\frac{dz}{dt}\frac{dw}{dt}, \quad P = 1 - w\bar{w};$$

$$(2.39b) \quad \mu G_1^2 = -2kG_2, \quad G_2 = \frac{d^2w}{dt^2} + 2\frac{\bar{w}}{P}\left(\frac{dw}{dt}\right)^2.$$

The connection matrix $w_{\mathcal{D}_1^J}$ on $\mathcal{D}_1^J$

$$\theta_{\mathcal{D}_1^J} := \begin{pmatrix} \theta_z^z & \theta_w^z \\ \theta_z^w & \theta_w^w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{zz}^z dz + \Gamma_{zw}^z dw & \Gamma_{wz}^z + \Gamma_{ww}^z dw \\ \Gamma_{zz}^w dz + \Gamma_{zw}^w dw & \Gamma_{wz}^w dz + \Gamma_{ww}^w dw \end{pmatrix}$$

has the value

$$(2.40) \quad \theta_{\mathcal{D}_1^J} = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda\bar{\eta}\mathcal{A} + \frac{\bar{w}}{P}dw & -\lambda\bar{\eta}^2\mathcal{A} + \frac{\bar{w}}{P}dz \\ \lambda\mathcal{A} & \lambda\bar{\eta}\mathcal{A} + 2\frac{\bar{w}}{P}dw \end{pmatrix}.$$

The covariant derivative of dz on $\mathcal{D}_1^J$ has the expression

$$(2.41) \quad \begin{aligned} D(dz) &= \begin{pmatrix} dz & dw \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda\bar{\eta} & \lambda\bar{\eta}^2 - \frac{\bar{w}}{P} \\ \lambda\bar{\eta}^2 - \frac{\bar{w}}{P} & \lambda\bar{\eta}^3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dz \\ dw \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} & dw \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda\bar{\eta} & -\frac{\bar{w}}{P} \\ -\frac{\bar{w}}{P} & 2\frac{\bar{w}}{P} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} \\ dw \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The covariante derivative of dw has the expression

$$(2.42a) \quad -D(dw) = \begin{pmatrix} dz & dw \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \lambda\bar{\eta} \\ \lambda\bar{\eta} & \lambda\bar{\eta}^2 + 2\frac{\bar{w}}{P} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dz \\ dw \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(2.42b) \quad = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} & dw \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 2\frac{\bar{w}}{P} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} \\ dw \end{pmatrix}.$$

2.5. Balanced metric on the Siegel-Jacobi ball. The Jacobi algebra is the the semi-direct sum $\mathfrak{g}_n^J := \mathfrak{h}_n \rtimes \mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}}$ [8, 9, 12]. The Heisenberg algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n$ is generated by the boson creation (respectively, annihilation) operators $\mathbf{a}^\dagger$, $(\mathbf{a})$, and

$$(2.43) \quad [a_i, a_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij}; \quad [a_i, a_j] = [a_i^\dagger, a_j^\dagger] = 0.$$

$K_{ij}^{\pm,0}$ are the generators of the $\mathfrak{sp}(\mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}}$ algebra

$$(2.44a) \quad [K_{ij}^-, K_{kl}^-] = [K_{ij}^+, K_{kl}^+] = 0, \quad 2[K_{ij}^-, K_{kl}^0] = K_{il}^- \delta_{kj} + K_{jl}^- \delta_{ki},$$

$$(2.44b) \quad 2[K_{ij}^-, K_{kl}^+] = K_{kj}^0 \delta_{li} + K_{lj}^0 \delta_{ki} + K_{ki}^0 \delta_{lj} + K_{li}^0 \delta_{kj},$$

$$(2.44c) \quad 2[K_{ij}^+, K_{kl}^0] = -K_{ik}^+ \delta_{jl} - K_{jk}^+ \delta_{li}, \quad 2[K_{ji}^0, K_{kl}^0] = K_{jl}^0 \delta_{ki} - K_{ki}^0 \delta_{lj}.$$

$\mathfrak{h}_n$ is an ideal in $\mathfrak{g}_n^J$, and

$$(2.45a) \quad [a_k^\dagger, K_{ij}^+] = [a_k, K_{ij}^-] = 0,$$

$$(2.45b) \quad [a_i, K_{kj}^+] = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ik}a_j^\dagger + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}a_k^\dagger, \quad [K_{kj}^-, a_i^\dagger] = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ik}a_j + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}a_k,$$

$$(2.45c) \quad [K_{ij}^0, a_k^\dagger] = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{jk}a_i^\dagger, \quad [a_k, K_{ij}^0] = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ik}a_j.$$

Perelomov's CS vectors [35] associated to the group G_n^J with Lie algebra the Jacobi algebra $\mathfrak{g}_n^J$ based the Siegel-Jacobi ball $\mathcal{D}_n^J$ have been defined as [9, 35]

$$(2.46) \quad e_{z,W} = \exp(\mathbf{X})e_0, \quad \mathbf{X} := \sqrt{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \mathbf{a}_i^\dagger + \sum_{i,j=1}^n w_{ij} \mathbf{K}_{ij}^+, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n; W \in \mathcal{D}_n.$$

The vector e_0 appearing in (2.46) verifies the relations

$$(2.47) \quad \mathbf{a}_i e_0 = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n; \quad \mathbf{K}_{ij}^+ e_0 \neq 0, \quad \mathbf{K}_{ij}^- e_0 = 0, \quad \mathbf{K}_{ij}^0 e_0 = \frac{k}{4} \delta_{ij} e_0, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

The reproducing kernel $K(z, W) = (e_{z,W}, e_{z,W})_{k\mu}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^n, W \in \mathcal{D}_n$ is

$$(2.48) \quad K(z, W) = \det(M)^{\frac{k}{2}} \exp \mu F, \quad M = (\mathbb{I}_n - W\bar{W})^{-1},$$

$$(2.49a) \quad 2F = 2\bar{z}^t M z + z^t \bar{W} M z + \bar{z}^t M W \bar{z},$$

$$(2.49b) \quad 2F = 2\bar{\eta}^t \eta - \eta^t \bar{W} \eta - \bar{\eta}^t W \bar{\eta},$$

$$(2.50) \quad \eta = M(z + W\bar{z}); \quad z = \eta - W\bar{\eta}.$$

The manifold $\mathcal{D}_n^J$ has the Kähler potential (2.51), $f = \log K$, with K given by (2.48),

$$(2.51) \quad f = -\frac{k}{2} \log \det(\mathbb{I}_n - W\bar{W}) \\ + \mu \{ \bar{z}^t (\mathbb{I}_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} z + \frac{1}{2} z^t [\bar{W} (\mathbb{I}_n - W\bar{W})^{-1}] z + \frac{1}{2} \bar{z}^t [(\mathbb{I}_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} W] \bar{z} \}.$$

We use the following notation for the matrix of the metric:

$$(2.52) \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & h_2 \\ h_3 & h_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h_{i\bar{j}} & h_{i\bar{p}\bar{q}} \\ h_{pq\bar{i}} & h_{pq\bar{m}\bar{n}} \end{pmatrix} \in M(n(n+3)/2, \mathbb{C}), \quad p \leq q, m \leq n. \quad h = h^*,$$

We use the following convention: indices of $z \in M(n \times 1, \mathbb{C})$ are denoted with: i, j, k, l ; indices of $W = W^t, W \in M(n, \mathbb{C})$ are denoted with: $m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u, v$.

We have determined the “inverse” h^{-1} of (2.52) such that

$$(2.53) \quad \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & h_2 \\ h_3 & h_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h^1 & h^2 \\ h^3 & h^4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_n & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta \end{pmatrix},$$

where Δ is defined in (2.54) (see equation (4.5) in [12]):

$$(2.54) \quad \Delta_{pq}^{ij} := \frac{\partial w_{ij}}{\partial w_{pq}} = \delta_{ip} \delta_{jq} + \delta_{iq} \delta_{jp} - \delta_{ij} \delta_{pq} \delta_{ip} = (\delta_{ip} \delta_{jq} + \delta_{iq} \delta_{jp}) f_{ij}, \quad w_{ij} = w_{ji}.$$

We use the notation

$$(2.55) \quad f_{pq} := 1 - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{pq}; \quad d_{pq} := 1 - \delta_{pq}.$$

In [16] we have proved

Theorem 1. *The Kähler two-form $\omega_{\mathcal{D}_n^J}$, associated with the balanced metric of the Siegel-Jacobi ball $\mathcal{D}_n^J$, G_n^J -invariant to the action (2.5a), (2.5b) has the expression*

$$(2.56) \quad -i \omega_{\mathcal{D}_n^J}(z, W) = \frac{k}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{B} \wedge \bar{\mathcal{B}}) + \mu \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A}^t \bar{M} \wedge \bar{\mathcal{A}}), \quad \mathcal{A} = dz + dW \bar{\eta}, \\ \mathcal{B} = M dW, \quad M = (\mathbb{I}_n - W\bar{W})^{-1}.$$

The matrix (2.52) of the metric on $\mathcal{D}_n^J$ has the matrix elements (2.57):

$$(2.57a) \quad h_{i\bar{j}} = \mu \bar{M}_{ij},$$

$$(2.57b) \quad h_{i\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \mu(\eta_q \bar{M}_{ip} + \eta_p \bar{M}_{iq}) f_{pq},$$

$$(2.57c) \quad h_{pq\bar{i}} = \mu(\bar{\eta}_q \bar{M}_{pi} + \bar{\eta}_p \bar{M}_{qi}) f_{pq},$$

$$(2.57d) \quad h_{pq\bar{m}\bar{n}} = \frac{k}{2} h_{pq\bar{m}\bar{n}}^k + \mu h_{pq\bar{m}\bar{n}}^\mu,$$

$$(2.57e) \quad h_{pq\bar{m}\bar{n}}^k = 2(M_{mp}M_{nq} + M_{mq}M_{np}) - 2M_{mp}(M_{np}\delta_{pq} + M_{mq}\delta_{mn}) + M_{mp}^2\delta_{pq}\delta_{mn} \\ = 2M_{mp}M_{nq}d_{pq} + 2M_{mq}M_{np}d_{mn} + M_{mp}^2\delta_{pq}\delta_{mn},$$

$$(2.57f) \quad h_{pq\bar{m}\bar{n}}^\mu = \bar{\eta}_p(\eta_n M_{mq} + \eta_m M_{nq})d_{pq} + \bar{\eta}_q(\eta_n M_{mp} + \eta_m M_{np}) \\ - \eta_m(\bar{\eta}_p M_{mq} + \bar{\eta}_q M_{mp})\delta_{mn} + \bar{\eta}_p \eta_m M_{mp}\delta_{pq}\delta_{mn} \\ = [\bar{\eta}_p(\eta_n \bar{M}_{qm} + \eta_m \bar{M}_{qn}) + \bar{\eta}_q(\eta_n \bar{M}_{pm} + \eta_m \bar{M}_{pn})] f_{pq} f_{mn}.$$

The “inverse” of the matrix with elements $h_{pq\bar{m}\bar{n}}^k$ given by (2.57e) has the matrix elements

$$(2.58) \quad k_{mn\bar{u}\bar{v}} = \frac{1}{2}(N_{vn}\bar{N}_{mu} + N_{vm}\bar{N}_{nu}), \quad N = \mathbb{I}_n - W\bar{W},$$

and we have

$$(2.59) \quad \sum_{m \leq n} h_{pq\bar{m}\bar{n}}^k k_{mn\bar{u}\bar{v}} = \Delta_{pq}^{uv}.$$

The “inverse” h^{-1} of the metric matrix h which verifies (2.53), has the elements $h^1 - h^4$ given by

$$(2.60a) \quad (h^1)_{ij} = \sigma \bar{M}_{ij}^{-1}, \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{\mu} + \alpha \frac{2}{k}, \quad \alpha = \eta^t \bar{M}^{-1} \bar{\eta} = \bar{\eta}_n S_n, \quad S_n = \sum \eta_q \bar{M}_{qn}^{-1};$$

$$(2.60b) \quad (h^2)_{i\bar{m}\bar{n}} = -\frac{1}{k}(S_n \bar{M}_{im}^{-1} + S_m \bar{M}_{in}^{-1});$$

$$(2.60c) \quad (h^3)_{mn\bar{i}} = -\frac{1}{k}(\bar{S}_n \bar{M}_{mi}^{-1} + \bar{S}_m \bar{M}_{ni}^{-1});$$

$$(2.60d) \quad (h^4)_{pq\bar{m}\bar{n}} = \frac{2}{k}(h^k)_{pq\bar{m}\bar{n}}^{-1} = \frac{1}{k}(\bar{M}_{qn}^{-1} \bar{M}_{pm}^{-1} + \bar{M}_{pn}^{-1} \bar{M}_{qm}^{-1}).$$

The determinant of the metric matrix h is

$$(2.61) \quad \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{D}_n^J}(z, W) = \det h_{\mathcal{D}_n^J}(z, W) = \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \mu^n \det(\mathbb{I}_n - W\bar{W})^{-(n+2)}.$$

In the case $n = 1$ formulae (2.57), (2.60), (2.61) became the formulae (2.28), (2.29), respectively (2.31), with $2k \leftrightarrow \frac{k}{2}$.

Now we recall (see Proposition 4 in [12], Lemma 2 and Proposition 3 in [13], and Propositions 1 and 2 in [14]) the significance of the change of coordinates (2.50) as a *FC*-transform in the language of coherent states and also in the context of fundamental conjecture for homogeneous Kähler manifolds of Gindikin-Vinberg [38] (see the proof in [25]).

Proposition 2. *a) The change of coordinates (2.50) $(z, W) = FC(\eta, W)$, $z = \eta - W\bar{\eta}$ is a FC -transform in the meaning of (2.11).*

b) The FC -transform (2.50) $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{D}_n \ni (\eta, W) \xrightarrow{FC} (z, W) \in \mathcal{D}_n^J$ is Kähler homogeneous diffeomorphism, i.e.

$$\omega_{\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{D}_n}(z, \eta) = FC^*[\omega_{\mathcal{D}_n^J}(z, W)] = \omega_{\mathcal{D}_n}(W) + \omega_{\mathbb{C}^n}(\eta),$$

where

$$(2.62) \quad -i\omega_{\mathcal{D}_n}(W) = \frac{k}{2}\text{Tr}(\mathcal{B} \wedge \bar{\mathcal{B}}), \quad -i\omega_{\mathbb{C}^n} = \mu\text{Tr}(d\eta^t \wedge d\bar{\eta}).$$

The Kähler two-form $\omega_{\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{D}_n}(z, \eta)$ is invariant to the G_n^J -action on $\mathcal{D}_n \times \mathbb{C}^n$: $(g, \alpha) \times (\eta, W) \rightarrow (\eta_1, W_1)$, where g has the expression (2.2), W_1 is given by (2.5a), and

$$\eta_1 = p(\eta + \alpha) + q(\bar{\eta} + \bar{\alpha}).$$

In the following proposition we collect several geometric properties of the Siegel-Jacobi group and its action on the Siegel-Jacobi ball (see Remark 4, Proposition 3 and Proposition 4 from [16], where all the terms appearing in the enunciation below are explained).

Proposition 3. *i) The Jacobi group G_n^J is an unimodular, non-reductive, algebraic group of Harish-Chandra type.*

ii) The Siegel-Jacobi domain $\mathcal{D}_n^J$ is a homogeneous reductive, non-symmetric manifold associated to the Jacobi group G_n^J by the generalized Harish-Chandra embedding.

iii) The homogeneous Kähler manifold $\mathcal{D}_n^J$ is contractible.

iv) The Kähler potential of the Siegel-Jacobi ball is global. $\mathcal{D}_n^J$ is a Lu Qi-Keng manifold, with nowhere vanishing diastasis.

v) The manifold $\mathcal{D}_n^J$ is a quantizable manifold.

vi) The $\mathcal{D}_n^J$ is projectively induced, and the Jacobi group G_n^J is a CS-type group.

vii) The Siegel-Jacobi ball $\mathcal{D}_n^J$ is not an Einstein metric with respect to the balanced metric attached to the Kähler two-form (2.56), but it is one with respect to the Bergman metric corresponding to the Bergman Kähler two-form.

ix) The scalar curvature is constant and negative.

3. GEODESICS ON THE SIEGEL-JACOBI BALL

3.1. Calculation of Γ -s. In the case of the Siegel-Jacobi ball, the equations of geodesics (2.17) read

$$(3.1a) \quad \frac{d^2 z_i}{dt^2} + \Gamma_{jk}^i \frac{dz_j}{dt} \frac{dz_k}{dt} + 2 \sum_{p \leq q} \Gamma_{jpq}^i \frac{dz_j}{dt} \frac{dw_{pq}}{dt} + \sum_{p \leq q, m \leq n} \Gamma_{pqmn}^i \frac{dw_{pq}}{dt} \frac{dw_{mn}}{dt} = 0,$$

$$(3.1b) \quad \frac{d^2 w_{pq}}{dt^2} + \Gamma_{jk}^{pq} \frac{dz_j}{dt} \frac{dz_k}{dt} + 2 \sum_{m \leq n} \Gamma_{imn}^{pq} \frac{dz_i}{dt} \frac{dw_{mn}}{dt} + \sum_{m \leq n, u \leq v} \Gamma_{mnuv}^{pq} \frac{dw_{mn}}{dt} \frac{dw_{uv}}{dt} = 0.$$

Equations (2.32) are a particular case of (3.1).

The equations (2.21) which determine the Γ -symbols for the Siegel-Jacobi ball $\mathcal{D}_n^J$ are

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} h_{i\bar{j}}\Gamma_{kl}^i + \sum_{m \leq n} h_{mn\bar{j}}\Gamma_{kl}^{mn} = \frac{\partial h_{l\bar{j}}}{\partial z_k} = \frac{\partial h_{k\bar{j}}}{\partial z_l}, \\ h_{i\bar{p}\bar{q}}\Gamma_{kl}^i + \sum_{m \leq n} h_{mn\bar{p}\bar{q}}\Gamma_{kl}^{mn} = \frac{\partial h_{k\bar{p}\bar{q}}}{\partial z_l}. \end{cases}$$

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} h_{i\bar{j}}\Gamma_{kpq}^i + \sum_{m \leq n} h_{mn\bar{j}}\Gamma_{kpq}^{mn} = \frac{\partial h_{pq\bar{j}}}{\partial z_k}; \\ h_{i\bar{p}\bar{q}}\Gamma_{krs}^i + \sum_{m \leq n} h_{mn\bar{p}\bar{q}}\Gamma_{krs}^{mn} = \frac{\partial h_{pq\bar{j}}}{\partial z_k}. \end{cases}$$

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} h_{i\bar{j}}\Gamma_{pquv}^i + \sum_{m \leq n} h_{mn\bar{j}}\Gamma_{pquv}^{mn} = \frac{\partial h_{uv\bar{j}}}{\partial w_{pq}}; \\ h_{i\bar{s}\bar{t}}\Gamma_{pquv}^i + \sum_{m \leq n} h_{mn\bar{s}\bar{t}}\Gamma_{pquv}^{mn} = \frac{\partial h_{uv\bar{s}\bar{t}}}{\partial w_{pq}}. \end{cases}$$

Equations (3.2)-(3.4) are a generalization on $\mathcal{D}_n^J$ of the corresponding ones (2.33)-(2.35) on $\mathcal{D}_1^J$.

With formula (2.23), we have

$$(3.5a) \quad \Gamma_{jk}^i = h^{i\bar{l}} \frac{\partial h_{k\bar{l}}}{\partial z_j} + \sum_{p \leq q} h^{pq\bar{i}} \frac{\partial h_{k\bar{p}\bar{q}}}{\partial z_j},$$

$$(3.5b) \quad \Gamma_{jpq}^i = h^{k\bar{i}} \frac{\partial h_{pq\bar{k}}}{\partial z_j} + \sum_{r \leq s} h^{rs\bar{i}} \frac{\partial h_{pq\bar{r}\bar{s}}}{\partial z_j},$$

$$(3.5c) \quad \Gamma_{jk}^{pq} = h^{i\bar{p}\bar{q}} \frac{\partial h_{k\bar{i}}}{\partial z_j} + \sum_{m \leq n} h^{mn\bar{p}\bar{q}} \frac{\partial h_{k\bar{m}\bar{n}}}{\partial z_j},$$

$$(3.5d) \quad \Gamma_{imn}^{pq} = h^{j\bar{p}\bar{q}} \frac{\partial h_{mn\bar{j}}}{\partial z_i} + \sum_{u \leq v} h^{uv\bar{p}\bar{q}} \frac{\partial h_{mn\bar{u}\bar{v}}}{\partial z_i},$$

$$(3.5e) \quad \Gamma_{pqmn}^i = h^{j\bar{i}} \frac{\partial h_{mn\bar{j}}}{\partial w_{pq}} + \sum_{r \leq s} h^{rs\bar{i}} \frac{\partial h_{mn\bar{r}\bar{s}}}{\partial w_{pq}},$$

$$(3.5f) \quad \Gamma_{mnuv}^{pq} = h^{i\bar{p}\bar{q}} \frac{\partial h_{uv\bar{i}}}{\partial w_{mn}} + \sum_{s \leq t} h^{st\bar{p}\bar{q}} \frac{\partial h_{uv\bar{s}\bar{t}}}{\partial w_{mn}}.$$

Equations (3.5) for $\mathcal{D}_n^J$ generalize equations (2.36) for $\mathcal{D}_1^J$.

We shall use the formulae given in Theorem 1 for the matrix elements of the metric and its inverse and also some formulae (see (3.28) and (3.29) in [16])

$$(3.6a) \quad \frac{\partial M_{ab}}{\partial w_{ik}} = (M_{ai}X_{kb} + M_{ak}X_{ib})f_{ik}, \text{ where } X = X^t = \bar{W}M = \bar{M}\bar{W},$$

$$(3.6b) \quad \frac{\partial X_{ab}}{\partial w_{ik}} = (X_{ai}X_{bk} + X_{ak}X_{ib})f_{ik},$$

$$(3.6c) \quad \frac{\partial \bar{X}_{ab}}{\partial w_{ik}} = (M_{ai}M_{bk} + M_{ak}M_{bi})f_{ik}.$$

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \eta_q}{\partial z_l} &= M_{ql}, \quad \frac{\partial \bar{\eta}_q}{\partial z_j} = X_{qj}, \\ \frac{\partial \eta_t}{\partial w_{pq}} &= (M_{tp}\bar{\eta}_q + M_{tq}\bar{\eta}_p)f_{pq}, \quad \frac{\partial \bar{\eta}_n}{\partial w_{pq}} = (\bar{\eta}_p X_{qn} + \bar{\eta}_q X_{pn})f_{pq}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we want to calculate the partial derivatives which appear in (3.5), a generalization of the partial derivatives (2.37). We use (3.6) and (3.7) to calculate the partial derivatives which appear in the equations (3.5) of Γ -s and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial h_{k\bar{l}}}{\partial z_j} &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial h_{k\bar{p}\bar{q}}}{\partial z_j} = \mu(M_{pk}M_{qj} + M_{pj}M_{qk})f_{pq}, \\ \frac{h_{pq\bar{k}}}{\partial z_j} &= \mu(X_{qj}M_{kp} + X_{pj}M_{kq})f_{pq}, \quad \frac{\partial h_{pq\bar{r}\bar{s}}}{\partial z_j} = \mu M_{rp}[X_{qj}\eta_s + \bar{\eta}_q M_{sj}], \\ \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial h_{pq\bar{r}\bar{s}}}{\partial z_j} &= [X_{pj}(\eta_s \bar{M}_{qr} + \eta_r \bar{M}_{qs}) + X_{qj}(\eta_s \bar{M}_{pr} + \eta_r \bar{M}_{ps})]f_{pq}f_{rs} \\ &\quad + [\bar{\eta}_p(M_{sj}\bar{M}_{qr} + M_{rj}\bar{M}_{qs}) + \bar{\eta}_q(M_{sj}\bar{M}_{pr} + M_{rj}\bar{M}_{ps})]f_{pq}f_{rs}, \\ \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial h_{mn\bar{j}}}{\partial w_{pq}} &= [\Lambda_n^{pq}\bar{M}_{mj} + \bar{\eta}_n(M_{jp}X_{qm} + M_{jq}X_{pm})]f_{mn}f_{pq} \\ &\quad + [\Lambda_m^{pq}\bar{M}_{nj} + \bar{\eta}_m(M_{jp}X_{qn} + M_{jq}X_{pn})]f_{mn}f_{pq}, \\ \frac{\partial h_{mn\bar{r}\bar{s}}^k}{\partial w_{pq}} &= 2[(M_{rp}X_{qm} + M_{rq}X_{pm})M_{sn} + M_{rn}(M_{sp}X_{qn} + M_{sq}X_{pn})]d_{mn}f_{pq} \\ &\quad + 2[(M_{rp}X_{qn} + M_{rq}X_{pn})M_{sm} + M_{rn}(M_{sp}X_{qm} + M_{sq}X_{pm})]d_{rs}f_{pq} \\ &\quad + 2M_{rn}(M_{sp}X_{qm} + M_{sq}X_{pm})\delta_{mn}\delta_{rs}f_{pq}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.9) \quad \Lambda_m^{pq} := \bar{\eta}_p X_{qm} + \bar{\eta}_q X_{pm}.$$

We have also

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{\partial h_{mn\bar{r}\bar{s}}^\mu}{\partial w_{pq}} = \Upsilon_{mn}^{pq} f_{mn} f_{rs} f_{pq},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_{mn}^{pq} &= \Lambda_m^{pq}(\eta_s \bar{M}_{nr} + \eta_r \bar{M}_{ns}) + \Lambda_n^{pq}(\eta_s \bar{M}_{mr} + \eta_r \bar{M}_{ms}) \\ &\quad + \bar{\eta}_m[(\bar{\eta}_q M_{sp} + \bar{\eta}_p M_{sq})\bar{M}_{nr} + \eta_s(M_{rp}X_{qn} + M_{rq}X_{pn})] \\ &\quad + \bar{\eta}_n[(\bar{\eta}_q M_{rp} + \bar{\eta}_p M_{rq})\bar{M}_{ns} + \eta_r(M_{sp}X_{qn} + M_{sq}X_{pn})] \\ &\quad + \bar{\eta}_m[(\bar{\eta}_q M_{sp} + \bar{\eta}_p M_{sq})\bar{M}_{mr} + \eta_s(M_{rp}X_{qm} + M_{rq}X_{pm})] \\ &\quad + \bar{\eta}_n[(\bar{\eta}_q M_{rp} + \bar{\eta}_p M_{rq})\bar{M}_{ms} + \eta_r(M_{sp}X_{qm} + M_{sq}X_{pm})]. \end{aligned}$$

In order to calculate Γ_{pqmn}^i with (3.5e), we calculate the first term

$${}_1\Gamma_{pqmn}^i := h^{j\bar{i}} \frac{\partial h_{mn\bar{j}}}{\partial w_{pq}} = (1 + 2\epsilon\alpha) X_{pqmn}^i f_{mn}, \quad \epsilon = \frac{\mu}{k},$$

where

$$(3.12) \quad X_{pqmn}^i = (\delta_{mi}\Lambda_m^{pq} + \delta_{ni}\Lambda_n^{pq} + \bar{\eta}_m\Omega_{pqn}^i + \bar{\eta}_n\Omega_{pqm}^i)f_{pq},$$

$$(3.13) \quad \Omega_{pqm}^i := \delta_{ip}X_{qm} + \delta_{iq}X_{pm}.$$

Now we calculate

$${}_2\Gamma_{pqmn}^i := \sum_{r \leq s} h^{rs\bar{i}} \frac{\partial h_{mn\bar{r}\bar{s}}}{\partial w_{pq}} = {}_{2k}\Gamma_{pqmn}^i + {}_{2\mu}\Gamma_{pqmn}^i,$$

where

$${}_{2k}\Gamma_{pqmn}^i = - \sum_{s \leq r} (\bar{S}_s \bar{M}_{ri}^{-1} + \bar{S}_r \bar{M}_{si}^{-1}) \frac{\partial h_{mn\bar{r}\bar{s}}^k}{\partial w_{pq}}.$$

We find

$${}_{2k}\Gamma_{pqnn}^i = -\frac{1}{2}X_{pqnn}^i,$$

while

$${}_{2k}\Gamma_{pqmn}^i = -X_{pqmn}^i, \quad m \neq n,$$

i.e.

$${}_{2k}\Gamma_{pqmn}^i = -X_{pqmn}^i f_{mn}.$$

For

$${}_{2\mu}\Gamma_{pqmn}^i := \sum_{r \leq s} h^{st\bar{p}\bar{q}} \frac{\partial h_{uv\bar{s}\bar{t}}^\mu}{\partial w_{mn}},$$

we find

$${}_{2\mu}\Gamma_{pqmn}^i := -\epsilon f_{mn} f_{pq} Y_{pqmn}^i,$$

where

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} Y_{pqmn}^i &= \alpha(\delta_{ni}\Lambda_m^{pq} + \delta_{mi}\Lambda_n^{pq} + \bar{\eta}_m\Omega_{pqn}^i + \bar{\eta}_n\Omega_{pqm}^i) \\ &\quad + 2S_i(\bar{\eta}_n\Lambda_m^{pq} + \bar{\eta}_m\Lambda_n^{pq}) + 2\bar{\eta}_p\bar{\eta}_q(\bar{\eta}_m\delta_{in} + \bar{\eta}_n\delta_{im}) \\ &\quad + 2\bar{\eta}_n\bar{\eta}_m(\bar{\eta}_q\delta_{ip} + \bar{\eta}_p\delta_{iq}). \end{aligned}$$

We find

$$\Gamma_{pqmn}^i = \epsilon f_{mn} f_{pq} Z_{pqmn}^i,$$

where

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} Z_{pqmn}^i &= \alpha(\delta_{ni}\Lambda_m^{pq} + \delta_{mi}\Lambda_n^{pq} + \bar{\eta}_m\Omega_{pqn}^i + \bar{\eta}_n\Omega_{pqm}^i) \\ &\quad - 2S_i(\bar{\eta}_n\Lambda_m^{pq} + \bar{\eta}_m\Lambda_n^{pq}) - 2\bar{\eta}_p\bar{\eta}_q(\bar{\eta}_m\delta_{in} + \bar{\eta}_n\delta_{im}) \\ &\quad - 2\bar{\eta}_n\bar{\eta}_m(\bar{\eta}_q\delta_{ip} + \bar{\eta}_p\delta_{iq}). \end{aligned}$$

In (3.5f) we calculate firstly

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} {}_1\Gamma_{mnuv}^{pq} &:= h^{i\bar{p}\bar{q}} \frac{\partial h_{uv\bar{i}}}{\partial w_{mn}} \\ &= -\epsilon f_{uv} f_{mn} S_q (\delta_{pu}\Lambda_v^{mn} + \bar{\eta}_v\Omega_{mnu}^p + \delta_{pv}\Lambda_u^{mn} + \bar{\eta}_u\Omega_{mnv}^p) \\ &\quad - \epsilon f_{uv} f_{mn} S_q S_p (\delta_{qu}\Lambda_v^{mn} + \bar{\eta}_v\Omega_{mnu}^q + \delta_{qv}\Lambda_u^{mn} + \bar{\eta}_u\Omega_{mnv}^q). \end{aligned}$$

Now we calculate in (3.5f)

$$(3.17) \quad {}_{2k}\Gamma_{mnuv}^{pq} := h^{rs\bar{i}} \frac{\partial h_{mn\bar{r}\bar{s}}^k}{\partial w_{pq}} = f_{uv} f_{mn} (\delta_{vq} \Omega_{mnv}^p + \delta_{up} \Omega_{mnv}^q + \delta_{uq} \Omega_{mnv}^p + \delta_{vp} \Omega_{mnu}^q).$$

$${}_{2\mu}\Gamma_{mnuv}^{pq} := h^{st\bar{p}\bar{q}} \frac{\partial h_{uv\bar{s}\bar{t}}^\mu}{\partial w_{mn}} = \epsilon f_{uv} f_{mn} U_{mnuv}^{st},$$

where

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} U_{mnuv}^{st} = & \Lambda_u^{mn} (S_q \delta_{pv} + S_p \delta_{qv}) + \Lambda_v^{mn} (S_q \delta_{pu} + S_p \delta_{qu}) \\ & + (\bar{\eta}_n \delta_{mq} + \bar{\eta}_m \delta_{nq}) + (\delta_{vp} \bar{\eta}_u + \delta_{up} \bar{\eta}_v) \\ & + (\bar{\eta}_n \delta_{mp} + \bar{\eta}_m \delta_{np}) (\delta_{vq} \bar{\eta}_u + \delta_{uq} \bar{\eta}_v) \\ & + \bar{\eta}_u (S_q \Omega_{mnv}^p + S_p \Omega_{mnv}^q) + \bar{\eta}_v (S_q \Omega_{mnu}^p + S_p \Omega_{mnu}^q). \end{aligned}$$

Now we calculate

$$\Gamma_{mnuv}^{pq} := {}_{2k}\Gamma_{mnuv}^{pq} + {}_{2\mu}\Gamma_{mnuv}^{pq}.$$

We find

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma_{mnuv}^{pq} = & f_{uv} f_{mn} V_{mnuv}^{pq}, \\ V_{mnuv}^{pq} = & \delta_{vq} \Omega_{mnu}^p + \delta_{up} \Omega_{mnv}^q + \delta_{uq} \Omega_{mnv}^p + \delta_{vp} \Omega_{mnu}^q + \epsilon W_{mnuv}^{pq}, \\ W_{mnuv}^{pq} = & (\bar{\eta}_n \delta_{mq} + \bar{\eta}_m \delta_{nq}) (\delta_{vp} \bar{\eta}_u + \delta_{up} \bar{\eta}_v) + (\bar{\eta}_n \delta_{mp} + \bar{\eta}_m \delta_{np}) (\delta_{vq} \bar{\eta}_u + \delta_{uq} \bar{\eta}_v). \end{aligned}$$

We have proved

Proposition 4. *The Christoffel's symbols of the Siegel-Jacobi ball $\mathcal{D}_n^J$ endowed with the balanced metric attached to the Kähler two-form (2.56) are*

$$(3.20a) \quad \Gamma_{jk}^i = -\epsilon (\bar{\eta}_j \delta_{ik} + \bar{\eta}_k \delta_{ij}), \quad \text{where } \epsilon = \frac{\mu}{k},$$

$$(3.20b) \quad \Gamma_{jpq}^i = [(1 + \epsilon\alpha)(X_{qj} \delta_{pi} + X_{pj} \delta_{qi}) - \epsilon S_i (\bar{\eta}_p X_{qj} + \bar{\eta}_q X_{pj})] f_{pq}$$

$$(3.20c) \quad -\epsilon [\bar{\eta}_j (\bar{\eta}_q \delta_{pi} + \bar{\eta}_p \delta_{qi}) + 2\bar{\eta}_p \bar{\eta}_q \delta_{ij}] f_{pq},$$

$$(3.20d) \quad \Gamma_{jk}^{pq} = \epsilon (\delta_{kp} \delta_{jq} + \delta_{pj} \delta_{qk}),$$

$$(3.20e) \quad \Gamma_{imn}^{pq} = \epsilon [\bar{\eta}_m (\delta_{np} \delta_{iq} + \delta_{nq} \delta_{ip}) + \bar{\eta}_n (\delta_{mp} \delta_{iq} + \delta_{mq} \delta_{ip})] f_{mn},$$

$$(3.20f) \quad \Gamma_{pqmn}^i = \epsilon f_{mn} f_{pq} Z_{pqmn}^i,$$

$$(3.20g) \quad \Gamma_{mnuv}^{pq} = f_{uv} f_{mn} V_{mnuv}^{pq}.$$

S_i was defined in (2.60a), X was defined in (3.6a), Z_{pqmn}^i is given by (3.15), V_{mnuv}^{pq} is given by (3.19), Λ_m^{pq} is defined in (3.9), Ω_{pqm}^i is given by (3.13).

Equations (3.20) of the Cristolffel's symbols for $\mathcal{D}_n^J$ generalize the corresponding ones (2.38) on $\mathcal{D}_1^J$.

For the proof of the last assertion in Proposition 4, we have in the case case $n = 1$ the following relations:

$$\alpha = |\eta|^2 P, \quad S = \eta P, \quad M = \bar{M} = P^{-1}, \quad X := \bar{W} M \rightarrow \bar{w} P^{-1}, \quad \Lambda = \bar{\eta} \bar{w} / P, \quad \Omega = X, \quad \Upsilon = \frac{4\bar{\eta}}{P^2} (\bar{\eta} + 2\eta \bar{w}), \quad X_{pqmn}^i \rightarrow 2(\Lambda + \bar{\eta} \Omega) = 4 \frac{\bar{\eta} \bar{w}}{P}, \quad Y = 4\bar{\eta}^2 (\bar{\eta} + 2\eta \bar{w}), \quad Z = -4\bar{\eta}^3.$$

$\frac{1}{2k}$ corresponds in the case $n = 1$ to $\frac{2}{k}$, i.e. $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}\lambda$.

3.2. Equations of geodesics. Now we calculate the equations of geodesics (3.1). In order to calculate (3.1a), we find successively:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{jk}^i \frac{dz_j}{dt} \frac{dz_k}{dt} &= -2\epsilon L \frac{dz_i}{dt}, \\ \sum_{p \leq q} \Gamma_{jpq}^i \frac{dz_j}{dt} \frac{dw_{pq}}{dt} &= Q_i + \epsilon[\alpha Q_i - S_i \bar{\eta}^t Q - L \lambda_i - \bar{\eta}^t \frac{dW}{dt} \bar{\eta} \frac{dz_i}{dt}], \\ \sum_{p \leq q, m \leq n} \Gamma_{pqmn}^i \frac{dw_{pq}}{dt} \frac{dw_{mn}}{dt} &= 2\epsilon[\alpha(\lambda X \frac{dW}{dt})_i - S_i(\lambda^t X \frac{dW}{dt} \bar{\eta}) - \bar{\eta}^t \frac{dW}{dt} \bar{\eta} \lambda_i],\end{aligned}$$

where

$$L = \bar{\eta}_k \frac{dz_k}{dt}, \quad \lambda = \bar{\eta}^t \frac{dW}{dt}, \quad Q = \frac{dW}{dt} X \frac{dz}{dt}.$$

We write down (3.1a) as

$$(3.21) \quad G^3 = 2\epsilon G^1,$$

where

$$(3.22) \quad G_i^1 = (\bar{\eta}^t Y) Y_i + (\bar{\eta}^t \frac{dW}{dt} X Y) S_i - \alpha(\frac{dW}{dt} X) Y_i, \quad Y = \frac{dZ}{dt} + \frac{dW}{dt} \bar{\eta},$$

$$(3.23) \quad G^3 = \frac{d^2 z}{dt^2} + 2Q.$$

Now we calculate (3.1b). We find successively

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{jk}^{pq} \frac{dz_j}{dt} \frac{dz_k}{dt} &= 2\epsilon \frac{dz_p}{dt} \frac{dz_q}{dt}, \\ \sum_{m \leq n} \Gamma_{imn}^{pq} \frac{dz_i}{dt} \frac{dw_{mn}}{dt} &= \epsilon(\lambda_p \frac{dz_q}{dt} + \lambda_q \frac{dz_p}{dt}), \\ \sum_{m \leq n, u \leq v} \Gamma_{mnuv}^{pq} \frac{dw_{mn}}{dt} \frac{dw_{uv}}{dt} &= 2\epsilon \lambda_p \lambda_q + 2(\frac{dW}{dt} X \frac{dW}{dt})_{pq}.\end{aligned}$$

We write (3.1b) as

$$(3.24) \quad G^2 = -2\epsilon G^4,$$

where

$$(3.25) \quad G^2 = \frac{d^2 W}{dt^2} + 2 \frac{dW}{dt} X \frac{dW}{dt}; \quad G^4 = Y \otimes Y$$

We rewrite equations (3.21), (3.24) as (3.26)

$$(3.26a) \quad kG^3 = 2\mu G^1,$$

$$(3.26b) \quad kG^2 = -2\mu G^4,$$

and we have proved

Proposition 5. *The equations of geodesics (3.1) on the Siegal-Jacobi ball $\mathcal{D}_n^J$ corresponding to the balanced metric attached to the Kähler two-form (2.56) are given in (3.26), where G^1 , G^2 and G^4 , G^3 , are given by (3.22), (3.25), respectively (3.23).*

Equations (3.26) in the case of $\mathcal{D}_1^J$ are those given in Proposition 1.

Now we discuss the solution of the system of differential equations (3.26).

(a) If we consider $\mu = 0$, then in (3.26b) we get $G^2 = 0$, i.e. the equation of geodesics on the Siegel ball $\mathcal{D}_n$ (see the Appendix)

$$(3.27) \quad G^2 := \frac{d^2 W}{dt^2} + 2 \frac{dW}{dt} X \frac{dW}{dt} = 0.$$

The solution of the equation (3.27) with $W(0) = \mathbb{O}_n$ and $\dot{W}(0) = B$ is

$$(3.28) \quad W(t) = B \frac{\tanh(t\sqrt{BB})}{\sqrt{BB}}.$$

Note that *the change of coordinates (3.28) is a FC-transform* in the sense of (2.10) on the Siegel ball $\mathcal{D}_n$ (see the comment after Remark 7 in [13] for $\mathcal{D}_1$ and formula (2.25) for $\mathcal{D}_n$ in [9]).

If we introduce the solution (3.28) into (3.26a), then the solution of the equation $G^3 = 0$ is

$$z(t) = W(t)B^{-1} \left(\frac{dz}{dt} \right)_0 + z(0).$$

(b) If $\mu \neq 0$, a particular solution (z, W) of the equations of geodesics on Siegal-ball Jacobi $\mathcal{D}_n^J$ is given by $z(t) = \eta_0 - W(t)\bar{\eta}_0$, where $W(t)$ has the expression (3.28) and η_0 is independent of t . This is a particular case of the solution corresponding to $\eta = \eta_0 + t\eta_1$ of the equations of geodesics $\frac{d^2 \eta}{dt^2} = 0$ on the flat manifold $\mathbb{C}^n$ corresponding to the separation of variables by the *FC-transform*. We can formulate the

Proposition 6. *The FC-transform (2.50) is not a geodesic mapping, but it gives geodesics $(z(t), W(t)) = FC(\eta_0, W(t))$ on the nonsymmetric space $\mathcal{D}_n^J$, with $W(t)$ given by (3.28).*

For more details, see Remark 8 and appendix A in [13], where the notion of geodesic mapping (cf. Definition 5.1 p. 127 in [34]) is explained.

3.3. Covariant derivative of one-forms. We calculate the connection matrix (2.19) on the Siegel-Jacobi ball using the Christoffel's symbols obtained in Proposition 4

$$(3.29) \quad \theta = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_j^i & \theta_{pq}^i \\ \theta_i^{pq} & \theta_{mn}^{pq} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$(3.30) \quad \theta_j^i := \Gamma_{jk}^i dz_k + \sum_{p \leq q} \Gamma_{jpq}^i dw_{pq}.$$

We get

$$(3.31) \quad \theta_j^i = (1 + \epsilon\alpha)\Xi_{ji} - \epsilon[\bar{\eta}_j \mathcal{A}_i + \delta_{ij} \bar{\eta}^t \mathcal{A} + S_i(\Xi \bar{\eta})_j],$$

where we have introduced the notation

$$(3.32) \quad \Xi = X \, dW.$$

We calculate

$$(3.33) \quad \theta_{pq}^i := \Gamma_{pqj}^i \, dz_j + \sum_{m \leq n} \Gamma_{pqmn}^i \, dw_{mn},$$

and we obtain

$$(3.34) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta_{pq}^i &= f_{pq} [\delta_{ip} (X \, dz)_q + \delta_{iq} (X \, dz)_p + \epsilon T_{pq}^i], \\ T_{pq}^i &= \alpha [\delta_{ip} (X \mathcal{A})_q + \delta_{iq} (X \mathcal{A})_p + \bar{\eta}_p \Xi_{qi} + \bar{\eta}_q \Xi_{pi}] \\ &\quad - S_i \{ \bar{\eta}_p [X (\mathcal{A} + dW \bar{\eta})]_q + \bar{\eta}_q [X (\mathcal{A} + dW \bar{\eta})]_p \} \\ &\quad - [(\bar{\eta}_q \delta_{ip} + \bar{\eta}_p \delta_{iq}) (\bar{\eta}^t \mathcal{A}) + 2 \bar{\eta}_p \bar{\eta}_q \mathcal{A}_i]. \end{aligned}$$

For

$$(3.35) \quad \theta_i^{pq} := \Gamma_{ij}^{pq} \, dz_j + \sum_{m \leq n} \Gamma_{imn}^{pq} \, dw_{mn},$$

we get

$$(3.36) \quad \theta_i^{pq} = \epsilon (\delta_{iq} \mathcal{A}_p + \delta_{ip} \mathcal{A}_q).$$

We calculate

$$(3.37) \quad \theta_{mn}^{pq} := \Gamma_{imn}^{pq} \, dz_i + \sum_{u \leq v} \Gamma_{mnuv}^{pq} \, dw_{uv},$$

as

$$(3.38) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma_{imn}^{pq} \, dz_i &= \epsilon [\bar{\eta}_m (\delta_{np} \, dz_q + \delta_{nq} \, dz_p) + \bar{\eta}_n (\delta_{mp} \, dz_q + \delta_{mq} \, dz_p)] f_{mn}, \\ \sum_{u \leq v} \Gamma_{mnuv}^{pq} \, dw_{uv} &= [\delta_{pm} \Xi_{nq} + \delta_{pn} \Xi_{mq} + \delta_{qm} \Xi_{np} + \delta_{qn} \Xi_{mp}] f_{mn} \\ &\quad + \epsilon [(\bar{\eta}_n \delta_{mq} + \bar{\eta}_m \delta_{nq}) (\bar{\eta}^t \, dW)_p + (\bar{\eta}_n \delta_{mp} + \bar{\eta}_m \delta_{np}) (\bar{\eta}^t \, dW)_q] f_{mn} \end{aligned}$$

For (3.37) we obtain

$$(3.39) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta_{mn}^{pq} &= [\delta_{pm} \Xi_{nq} + \delta_{pn} \Xi_{mq} + \delta_{qm} \Xi_{np} + \delta_{qn} \Xi_{mp}] f_{mn} \\ &\quad + \epsilon [(\bar{\eta}_n \delta_{mq} + \bar{\eta}_m \delta_{nq}) \mathcal{A}_p + (\bar{\eta}_n \delta_{mp} + \bar{\eta}_m \delta_{np}) \mathcal{A}_q] f_{mn}. \end{aligned}$$

We calculate the covariant derivative of the one form dz_i with (2.20) as

$$(3.40) \quad -D(dz_i) = w_j^i \, dz_j + \sum_{p \leq q} \theta_{pq}^i \, dw_{pq}.$$

We get successively

$$(3.41) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta_j^i \, dz_j &= (1 + \epsilon \alpha) (\Xi^t \, dz)_i - \epsilon [(\bar{\eta}^t \, dz) \mathcal{A}_i + (\bar{\eta}^t \mathcal{A}) \, dz_i + (\bar{\eta}^t \Xi^t \, dz) S_i], \\ \sum_{p \leq q} \theta_{pq}^i \, dw_{pq} &= (\Xi^t \, dz)_i + \epsilon \{ \alpha [\Xi^t (\mathcal{A} + dW \bar{\eta})]_i + [\bar{\eta}^t \Xi^t (\mathcal{A} + dW \bar{\eta})] S_i \} \\ &\quad - \epsilon [(\bar{\eta}^t \mathcal{A}) (dW \bar{\eta})_i + (\bar{\eta}^t \, dW \bar{\eta}) \mathcal{A}_i]. \end{aligned}$$

We get for (3.40) the expression

$$(3.42) \quad -\frac{1}{2}D(dz_i) = \{\Xi^t[(1 + \epsilon\alpha)\mathcal{A} - dW\bar{\eta}]\}_i - \epsilon[(\bar{\eta}^t\mathcal{A})\mathcal{A}_i + (\bar{\eta}^t\Xi^t\mathcal{A})S_i].$$

Now we calculate the covariant derivative of $d w_{pq}$:

$$(3.43) \quad -D d w_{pq} = \theta_i^{pq} dz_i + \sum_{m \leq n} \theta_{mn}^{pq} d w_{mn}.$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_i^{pq} dz_i &= \epsilon(\mathcal{A}_p dz_q + \mathcal{A}_q dz_p), \\ \sum_{m \leq n} \theta_{mn}^{pq} d w_{mn} &= (dW\Xi)_{pq} + (dW\Xi)_{qp} + \epsilon[\mathcal{A}_p(dW\bar{\eta})_q + \mathcal{A}_q(dW\bar{\eta})_p], \end{aligned}$$

which introduced in (3.43) gives

$$-D(d w_{pq}) = (dW\Xi)_{pq} + (dW\Xi)_{qp} + 2\epsilon\mathcal{A}_p\mathcal{A}_q,$$

which can be written as

$$(3.44) \quad -D(dW) = 2 \left(\mathcal{A}^t dW \right) \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon\mathbb{I}_n & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} \\ dW \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have proved

Proposition 7. *The connections matrix (3.29) on $\mathcal{D}_n^J$, whose matrix elements are calculated with formulae (3.30), (3.33), (3.35) and (3.37), are given by (3.31), (3.34), (3.36), respectively (3.39).*

The covariant derivative $D(dz_i)$ (3.40) and $D(dw_{pq})$ (3.43) on the Siegel-Jacobi ball $\mathcal{D}_n^J$ are given by formulae (3.42), respectively (3.44), which generalize (2.41), respectively (2.42) on the Siegel-Jacobi disk $\mathcal{D}_1^J$.

4. APPENDIX

The real Jacobi group of index n is defined as $G_n^J(\mathbb{R}) = H_n(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$, where $H_n(\mathbb{R})$ is the real Heisenberg group of real dimension $(2n + 1)$ [39, 12].

To the Jacobi group $G_n^J(\mathbb{R})$ it is associated the homogeneous manifold - the Siegel-Jacobi upper half-plane - $\mathcal{X}_n^J \approx \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{X}_n$, where the Siegel upper half-plane $\mathcal{X}_n = \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})/\mathrm{U}(n)$ is realized as

$$\mathcal{X}_n := \{V \in M(n, \mathbb{C}) | V = S + iR, S, R \in M(n, \mathbb{R}), R > 0, S^t = S; R^t = R\}.$$

Siegel has determined the metric on $\mathcal{X}_n$, $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant to the action (4.1)

$$(4.1) \quad V_1 = (aV + b)(cV + d)^{-1} = (Vc^t + d^t)^{-1}(Va^t + b^t); \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R}),$$

(see equation (2) in [37] or Theorem 3 at p. 644 in [29]):

$$(4.2) \quad ds_{\mathcal{X}_n}^2(R) = \mathrm{Tr}(R^{-1} dV R^{-1} d\bar{V}).$$

With the Cayley transform (4.3)

$$(4.3) \quad V = i(\mathbb{I}_n - W)^{-1}(\mathbb{I}_n + W),$$

and the relations

$$(4.4a) \quad \dot{V} = 2iU\dot{W}U, \quad U = (\mathbb{I}_n - W)^{-1},$$

$$(4.4b) \quad \ddot{V} = 2iU(\ddot{W} + 2\dot{W}U\dot{W})U,$$

$$(4.4c) \quad 2R = (\mathbb{I}_n + W)U + (\mathbb{I}_n + \bar{W})\bar{U},$$

introduced in (4.2), it is obtained the metric on $\mathcal{D}_n$, $\text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}}$ -invariant to the action (2.5a):

$$(4.5) \quad ds_{\mathcal{D}_n}^2(W) = 4\text{Tr}(M dW \bar{M} d\bar{W}), \quad W \in \mathcal{D}_n, \quad M = (\mathbb{I}_n - W\bar{W})^{-1}.$$

(4.4b) is equation (3.2) in [40] and corresponds to $\omega_{\mathcal{D}_n}$ in (2.62).

Equations of geodesics on the Siegel-upper half plane $\mathcal{X}_n$ (see e.g. equation (39) in [37] or Theorem 11 in at p. 478 in [29]) are

$$(4.6) \quad \ddot{V} = -i\dot{V}R^{-1}\dot{V}.$$

The relation (4.4c) can be written as

$$(4.7) \quad R = (\mathbb{I}_n - \bar{W})^{-1}(\mathbb{I}_n - \bar{W}W)(\mathbb{I}_n - W)^{-1} = (\mathbb{I}_n - W)^{-1}(\mathbb{I}_n - W\bar{W})(\mathbb{I}_n - \bar{W})^{-1}.$$

Equation (4.6) on $\mathcal{X}_n$ becomes on $\mathcal{D}_n$

$$\ddot{W} + 2\dot{W}\Sigma\dot{W} = 0$$

where

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \Sigma &= \tau U, \\ \tau &= \mathbb{I}_n - [v(\mathbb{I}_n - W)]^{-1} \\ &= 2\bar{U}(\mathbb{I}_n - \bar{W}W), \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$\Sigma = \bar{W}(\mathbb{I}_n - W\bar{W})^{-1},$$

i.e. Σ is our previous X defined in (3.6a) and equation (3.27) is retrieved. Note that the equation of geodesics on the Siegel ball $\mathcal{D}_n$ has the same expression as on the noncompact Grassmannian $\text{SU}(n, n)/\text{S}(\text{U}(n) \times \text{U}(n))$, see equation (6.13) in [3].

Acknowledgement The author is grateful to Mihai Visinescu for clarifying discussions on connections. This research was conducted in the framework of the ANCS project program PN 16 42 01 01/2016 and UEFISCDI-Romania program PN-II-PCE-55/05.10.2011.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Arezzo and A. Loi, *Moment maps, scalar curvature and quantization of Kähler manifolds*, Commun. Math. Phys. **246** (2004) 543–549
- [2] W. Ballmann, *Lectures on Kähler Manifolds*, ESI Lectures in Mathematics and Physics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2006
- [3] S. Berceanu, *On the Geometry of complex Grassmann manifold, its noncompact dual and coherent states*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **4** 205–243 (1997)
- [4] S. Berceanu, *Coherent states and geodesics: cut locus and conjugate locus*, J. Geom. Phys. **21** (1997) 149–168
- [5] S. Berceanu and A. Gheorghe, *Differential operators on orbits of coherent states*, Romanian J. Phys. **48** (2003) 545–556; arXiv: 0211054 [math.DG]

- [6] S. Berceanu, *Realization of coherent state algebras by differential operators*, in Advances in Operator Algebras and Mathematical Physics, Editors: F. Boca, O. Bratteli, R. Longo and H. Siedentop, The Theta Foundation, Bucharest, 1–24, 2005; arXiv: math/0504053v1 [math.DG]
- [7] S. Berceanu, *A holomorphic representation of the Jacobi algebra*, Rev. Math. Phys. **18** (2006) 163–199; *Errata*, Rev. Math. Phys. **24** (2012) 1292001 (2 pages), arXiv: 0408219 [math.DG]
- [8] S. Berceanu, *A holomorphic representation of the semidirect sum of symplectic and Heisenberg Lie algebras*, J. Geom. Symmetry Phys. **5** (2006) 5–13
- [9] S. Berceanu, *A holomorphic representation of Jacobi algebra in several dimensions*, in Perspectives in Operator Algebra and Mathematical Physics, Editors F.-P. Boca, R. Purice and S. Stratila, The Theta Foundation, Bucharest 1–25, 2008; arXiv: math.DG/060404381
- [10] S. Berceanu and A. Gheorghe, *Applications of the Jacobi group to Quantum Mechanics*, Romanian J. Phys. **53** (2008) 1013–1021
- [11] S. Berceanu and A. Gheorghe, *On the geometry of Siegel-Jacobi domains*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **8** (2011) 1783–1798; arXiv:1011.3317v1 [math.DG]
- [12] S. Berceanu, *A convenient coordinatization of Siegel-Jacobi domains*, Rev. Math. Phys. **24** (2012) 1250024, 38 pp; arXiv: 1204.5610 [math.DG]
- [13] Stefan Berceanu, *Coherent states and geometry on the Siegel-Jacobi disk*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **11** (2014) 1450035, 25 pp; arXiv: 1307.4219v2[math.DG]
- [14] S. Berceanu, *Quantum mechanics and geometry on the Siegel-Jacobi disk*, in Geometric Methods in Physics, Editors: P. Kielanowski, P. Bieliavsky, A. Odesski, A. Odziejewicz, M. Schlichenmaier and Th. Voronov, Trends in Mathematics, 89–98, 2014, Birkhäuser, Springer Basel AG
- [15] S. Berceanu, *Bergman representative coordinates on the Siegel-Jacobi disk*, Romanian J. Phys. **60** (2015) 867–896; arXiv: 1409.0368v1 [math.DG]
- [16] Stefan Berceanu, *Balanced metric and Berezin quantization on the Siegel-Jacobi ball*, arXiv:1512.00601v1 [math.DG]
- [17] F. A. Berezin, *Quantization in complex bounded domains* (Russian), Dokladi Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **211** (1973) 1263–1266
- [18] F. A. Berezin, *Quantization* (Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **38** (1974) 1116–1175
- [19] F. A. Berezin, *Quantization in complex symmetric spaces* (Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **39** (1975) 363–402
- [20] F. A. Berezin, *The general concept of quantization*, Commun. Math. Phys. **40** (1975) 153–174
- [21] R. Berndt and R. Schmidt, *Elements of the representation theory of the Jacobi group*, Progress in Mathematics **163**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1998
- [22] M. Cahen, S. Gutt and J. Rawnsley, *Quantization of Kähler manifolds I: geometric interpretation of Berezin’s quantization*, J. Geom. Phys. **7** (1990) 45–62
- [23] M. Cahen, S. Gutt and J. Rawnsley, *Quantization of Kähler manifolds. II*, Trans. Math. Soc. **337** (1993) 73–98
- [24] S. S. Chern, *Complex manifolds without potential theory*, Spriger-Verlag, Berlin, 1979
- [25] J. Dorfmeister and K. Nakajima, *The fundamental conjecture for homogeneous Kähler manifolds*, Acta Math. **161** (1988) 23–70
- [26] M. Eichler and D. Zagier, *The theory of Jacobi forms*, Progress in Mathematics **55**,
- [27] M. Engliš, *Berezin quantization and reproducing kernels on complex domains*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **348** (1996) 411–479
- [28] S. Donaldson, *Scalar curvature and projective embeddings, I*, J. Diff. Geom. **59** (2001) 479–522
- [29] L. K. Hua, *On the theory of automorphic functions of a matrix variable. I- Geometrical basis*, Amer. J. Math. **66** (1944) 470–488
- [30] *Erich Kähler: Mathematische Werke; Mathematical Works*, Editors: R. Berndt and O. Riemen-schneider, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 2003
- [31] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry*, Vol I, Interscience publishers, New York, 1963
- [32] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry*, Vol II, Interscience publishers, New York, 1969

- [33] A. Loi and R. Mossa, *Berezin quantization of homogenous bounded domains*, Geom. Dedicata **161** (2012) 119–128
- [34] J. Mikeš, A. Vanžurová and I. Hinterleitner, *Geodesic mappings and some generalizations*, Palacý University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, Olomouc, 2009
- [35] A. M. Perelomov, *Generalized Coherent States and their Applications*, Springer, Berlin, 1986
- [36] J. H. Rawnsley, *Coherent states and Kähler manifolds*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. **28** (1977) 403–415
- [37] C. L. Siegel, *Symplectic geometry*, Amer. J. Mathematics **65** (1943) 1– 86
- [38] E. B. Vinberg and S. G. Gindikin, *Kählerian manifolds admitting a transitive solvable automorphism group*, Math. Sb. **74 (116)** (1967) 333–351
- [39] J.-H. Yang, *The method of orbits for real Lie groups*, Kyungpook Math. J. **42** (2) (2002) 199–272
- [40] J.-H. Yang, *Invariant metrics and Laplacians on the Siegel-Jacobi disk*, Chin. Ann. Math. **31B** (2010) 85–100
- [41] E. Yang and L. Yin, *Derivatives of Siegel modular forms and modular connections*, Manuscripta Math. **146** (2015) 65–84
- [42] J. Yang and L. Yin, *Differential operators for Siegel-Jacobi forms* SCIENCE CHINA, Mathematics (2015) 1-22 doi: 10.1007/s11425-015-5111-4; arXiv:1301.1156v1 [math.NT] 7 Jan 2013 and arXiv:1301.1156v2 [math.NT] 9 Dec 2015

(Stefan Berceanu) NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR PHYSICS AND NUCLEAR ENGINEERING, DEPARTMENT OF THEORETICAL PHYSICS, PO BOX MG-6, BUCHAREST-MAGURELE, ROMANIA

E-mail address: Berceanu@theory.nipne.ro