

ON NON-KÄHLER DEGREES OF COMPLEX MANIFOLDS

DANIELE ANGELLA, ADRIANO TOMASSINI, AND MISHA VERBITSKY

ABSTRACT. We study cohomological properties of complex manifolds. In particular, under suitable metric conditions, we extend to higher dimensions a result by A. Teleman, which provides an upper bound for the Bott-Chern cohomology in terms of Betti numbers for compact complex surfaces according to the dichotomy b_1 even or odd.

INTRODUCTION

Let X be a compact complex manifold. Consider the double complex $(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet} X, \partial, \bar{\partial})$. Besides de Rham and Dolbeault cohomology, consider the *Bott-Chern* [BC65] and *Aeppli* [Aep65] cohomologies, defined as:

$$H_{BC}^{\bullet,\bullet}(X) := \frac{\ker \partial \cap \ker \bar{\partial}}{\operatorname{im} \partial \bar{\partial}} \quad \text{and} \quad H_A^{\bullet,\bullet} := \frac{\ker \partial \bar{\partial}}{\operatorname{im} \partial + \operatorname{im} \bar{\partial}}.$$

The Hodge theory developed by M. Schweitzer [Sch07] assures their finite-dimensionality as \mathbb{C} -vector spaces. The identity induces the natural maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & H_{BC}^{\bullet,\bullet}(X) & & \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ H_{\partial}^{\bullet,\bullet}(X) & & H_{dR}^{\bullet}(X; \mathbb{C}) & & H_{\bar{\partial}}^{\bullet,\bullet}(X) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ & & H_A^{\bullet,\bullet}(X) & & \end{array}$$

of (bi-)graded vector spaces. One says that X satisfies the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -Lemma if the natural map $H_{BC}^{\bullet,\bullet}(X) \rightarrow H_{dR}^{\bullet}(X; \mathbb{C})$ is injective. This turns out to be equivalent to all the above maps being isomorphisms, [DGMS75, Lemma 5.15, 5.21, Remark 5.16]. Therefore, while compact Kähler manifolds satisfy the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -Lemma, for complex non-Kähler manifolds the above maps may be neither injective nor surjective. A (non-canonical) comparison between Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomologies and de Rham cohomology is provided by the inequality *à la* Frölicher in [ATo13, Theorem A]. More precisely, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have the non-negative degree

$$\Delta^k := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{BC}^k(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_A^k(X) - 2b_k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $H_{BC}^k(X) := \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H_{BC}^{p,q}(X)$, (and same for Aeppli,) and $b_k := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{dR}^k(X; \mathbb{C})$ denotes the k th Betti number. The validity of the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -Lemma is characterized by $\Delta^k = 0$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, [ATo13, Theorem B]. Such a result is extended to generalized-complex structures, here including symplectic structures, in [ATo15, CS15]. An upper-bound of the dimensions of the Bott-Chern cohomology in terms of the Hodge numbers is provided in [ATa17].

In this note, we study the cohomology of compact complex manifolds.

In general, the degrees Δ^k measure the failure of $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -Lemma, that is, non-cohomologically-Kählerness. In fact, they measure non-Kählerness for compact complex surfaces. This is because of the topological

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32Q55, 32C35, 53C55.

Key words and phrases. cohomology, complex surface, non-Kähler.

During the preparation of this paper, the first author has been granted with a research fellowship by Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica INdAM and supported by the Project PRIN “Varietà reali e complesse: geometria, topologia e analisi armonica”, by the Project FIRB “Geometria Differenziale e Teoria Geometrica delle Funzioni”, by the Project SIR 2014 AnHyC “Analytic aspects in complex and hypercomplex geometry” (code RBSI14DYEB), and by GNSAGA of INdAM. The second author is supported by the Project PRIN “Varietà reali e complesse: geometria, topologia e analisi armonica”, by Project FIRB “Geometria Differenziale Complessa e Dinamica Olomorfa”, and by GNSAGA of INdAM. The third author is partially supported by the Russian Academic Excellence Project ‘5-100’.

characterization of Kählerness in terms of the parity of the first Betti number, [Kod64, Miy74, Siu83], see also [Lam99, Corollaire 5.7], and [Buc99, Theorem 11].

In [Tel06], (see also [LT95],) A. Teleman proves that, for compact complex surfaces, Δ^1 is always zero and $\Delta^2 \in \{0, 2\}$; and, in [ADT16], the Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomologies for compact complex surfaces diffeomorphic to solvmanifolds and for class VII surfaces are computed, here including Inoue and Kodaira surfaces, [ADT16, Theorem 4.1], and class VII surfaces, [ADT16, Theorem 2.2].

Theorem 0.1 ([Tel06, Lemma 2.3]). *Let X be a compact complex surface. Then:*

- b_1 is even if and only if $\Delta^1 = \Delta^2 = 0$;
- b_1 is odd if and only if $\Delta^1 = 0$ and $\Delta^2 = 2$.

We notice that this result provides an answer to a question by A. Fujiki, asking whether Δ^2 may change under deformations of the complex structure.

Corollary 0.2. *For compact complex surfaces, Δ^1 and Δ^2 are topological invariants.*

Note that this is no more true in higher-dimension: see the examples on the Iwasawa manifold in [Ang13], and the examples on the Nakamura manifold in [AK12, AK17].

In higher dimension, we get a result concerning the first degree Δ^1 under additional assumptions concerning the existence of special Hermitian metrics.

Theorem 1.7. *Let X be a compact complex manifold of complex dimension n endowed with a Hermitian metric g . Suppose that its associated $(1, 1)$ -form ω satisfies either the condition that $d\omega^{n-2} \in \text{im } d d^c$, or the condition that ω^{n-2} is the $(n-2, n-2)$ -component of a d -exact $(2n-4)$ -form. (In particular, such an ω is astheno-Kähler in the sense of Jost and Yau [JY93].) Then $\Delta^1 = 0$.*

Note that the assumption is trivially satisfied for compact complex surfaces. On the other side, as in [ATo11, EFV12], we show that 6-dimensional nilmanifolds endowed with left-invariant complex structures never admit Hermitian metrics as above, see Proposition 1.4. Notwithstanding, there are examples of 6-dimensional manifolds with $\Delta^1 = 0$, see [AFR15, LUV14].

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to Ionut Chiose, Georges Dloussky, Akira Fujiki, and Andrei Teleman for valuable comments, useful conversations, and for their interest in the subject. In particular, Ionut Chiose pointed out to us some improvements and mistakes in a previous draft. Thanks also to the anonymous Referees for their comments and suggestions.

1. NON-KÄHLERNESS 1ST DEGREE FOR HIGHER-DIMENSIONAL COMPLEX MANIFOLDS

Let X be a compact complex manifold of complex dimension n endowed with a Hermitian metric g . Denote by ω its associated $(1, 1)$ -form. Recall that

$$\mathcal{D}: \mathcal{C}^\infty(X; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \wedge^{2n} X \otimes \mathbb{C}, \quad \mathcal{D}(f) := d d^c f \wedge \omega^{n-1}$$

is an elliptic differential operator with index zero and 1-dimensional kernel, [Gau75].

Define the Hermitian degree

$$\text{deg}: H_{BC}^{1,1}(X) \rightarrow \frac{\wedge^{2n} X \otimes \mathbb{C}}{\text{im } \mathcal{D}} \simeq \mathbb{C}, \quad \text{deg}([\alpha]) := \frac{\alpha \wedge \omega^{n-1}}{\omega^n}.$$

Lemma 1.1. *Let X be a compact complex manifold of complex dimension n endowed with a Hermitian metric g . Suppose that its associated $(1, 1)$ -form ω satisfies the following condition:*

- (a): ω^{n-2} is the $(n-2, n-2)$ -component of a d -closed $(2n-4)$ -form.

If $[d\alpha] \in H_{BC}^{1,1}(X)$ is such that $\text{deg}([d\alpha]) = 0$, then $[d\alpha] = 0$.

Proof. By the hypothesis: take $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X; \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$d\alpha \wedge \omega^{n-1} = d d^c f \wedge \omega^{n-1}.$$

Set $\alpha' := \alpha - d^c f$. Note that $[d\alpha] = [d\alpha']$ in $H_{BC}^{1,1}(X)$, and that $d\alpha'$ is primitive. Hence use Weil identity to write $*d\alpha' = \frac{1}{(n-2)!} J d\alpha' \wedge \omega^{n-2} = \frac{1}{(n-2)!} d\alpha' \wedge \omega^{n-2}$.

Under the assumption (a), take $\beta \in \wedge^{2n-4}$ such that: (here $\pi_{\wedge^{n-2, n-2} X}$ denotes the natural projections onto $\wedge^{n-2, n-2} X$)

$$\omega^{n-2} = \pi_{\wedge^{n-2, n-2} X} \beta \quad \text{with} \quad d\beta = 0.$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|d\alpha'\|^2 &= \int_X d\alpha' \wedge \overline{*(d\alpha')} = -\frac{1}{(n-2)!} \int_X d\alpha' \wedge d\bar{\alpha}' \wedge \omega^{n-2} \\
&= -\frac{1}{(n-2)!} \int_X d\alpha' \wedge d\bar{\alpha}' \wedge \pi_{\wedge^{n-2}, n-2} \beta \\
&= -\frac{1}{(n-2)!} \int_X d\alpha' \wedge d\bar{\alpha}' \wedge \beta \\
&= \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \int_X \alpha' \wedge d\bar{\alpha}' \wedge d\beta = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

thus giving $d\alpha' = 0$. \square

Remark 1.2. Note that the condition (a) in Lemma 1.1 yields that $dd^c\omega^{n-2} = 0$. That is, ω is *astheno-Kähler* in the sense of J. Jost and S.-T. Yau, [JY93]. Note that the condition is trivially satisfied in case $2n = 4$. In a sense, condition (a) is the $(n-2)$ -degree counterpart of Hermitian-symplectic condition in the sense of [ST10]. Note that a Hermitian metric satisfying $d\omega^{n-2} = 0$ is actually Kähler, [GH80].

Remark 1.3 (Ionut Chiose). Condition (a) is satisfied *e.g.* when

$$(b): d\omega^{n-2} \in \text{im } dd^c.$$

Proof. Condition (b) assures that $\partial\omega^{n-2} = \partial\bar{\partial}\eta$ where $\eta \in \wedge^{n-2, n-3}X$. Then $\beta := \partial\eta + \omega^{n-2} + \bar{\partial}\eta$ is a $(2n-4)$ -form such that $d\beta = 0$ and whose $(n-2, n-2)$ -component is ω^{n-2} . \square

The following generalizes the result in [ATo11], see also [EFV12], in proving that conditions (a) and (b) are not satisfied for 6-dimensional nilmanifolds with invariant structures.

Proposition 1.4. *On a 6-dimensional non-torus nilmanifold endowed with a left-invariant complex structure, there is no left-invariant metric with the property (a) in Lemma 1.1.*

Proof. In dimension 6, condition (a) is equivalent to have a Hermitian-symplectic structure. This is proven to be impossible on 6-dimensional nilmanifold in [ATo11, Theorem 3.3], except the torus; see also [EFV12, Theorem 1.3]. \square

Remark 1.5. Note that there are examples of 6-dimensional nilmanifolds such that $\Delta^1 = 0$, see [AFR15, LUV14].

Lemma 1.6. *Suppose that the Hermitian $(1,1)$ -form ω on a complex manifold X satisfies either condition (b) in Lemma 1.1, or condition*

$$(a'): \omega^{n-2} \text{ is the } (n-2, n-2)\text{-component of a } d\text{-exact } (2n-4)\text{-form.}$$

Then a product of a d^c -closed and d -exact form $d\nu$ with ω^{n-2} is exact.

Proof. Indeed, in case the assumption (b) is true, then $d\omega^{n-2} = dd^c\mu$, giving

$$\int_X d\nu \wedge \omega^{n-2} = - \int_X \nu \wedge d\omega^{n-2} = - \int_X \nu \wedge dd^c\mu = \int_X dd^c\nu \wedge \mu = 0,$$

which proves the statement.

In case the assumption (a') is true, let $\omega^{n-2} = (d\kappa)^{(n-2, n-2)}$, and

$$\int_X d\nu \wedge \omega^{n-2} = \int_X (d\nu)^{(2,2)} \wedge \omega^{n-2} = \int_X (d\nu)^{(2,2)} \wedge d\kappa$$

because $d\nu$ is both d -closed and d^c -closed, whence $(d\nu)^{(2,2)}$ is d -closed, and $d\kappa$ is exact. \square

Theorem 1.7. *Let X be a compact complex manifold endowed with a Hermitian metric g . Suppose that the associated $(1,1)$ -form ω satisfies either condition (b) in Lemma 1.1 or condition (a') in Lemma 1.6. Then $\Delta^1 = 0$.*

Proof. We claim that, under the hypotheses, the sequence

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_{dR}^1(X; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H_A^1(X) \xrightarrow{\deg \circ d} \mathbb{C}$$

is exact.

Indeed, take $[\alpha]_{dR} \in H_{dR}^1(X; \mathbb{C})$ yielding a zero class in Aeppli cohomology, that is, $\alpha = \partial f + \bar{\partial}g$ for $f, g \in C^\infty(X; \mathbb{C})$. Since $d\alpha = 0$, then $\partial\bar{\partial}(f-g) = 0$. By the maximum principle, we get $f-g$ constant.

Then $\alpha = d f$ yields the zero class in de Rham cohomology. We have that (1) is a complex, and it remains to show that all classes in $H_A^1(X)$ of degree 0 come from $H_{dR}^1(X)$.

Let $[\alpha]_A \in H_A^1(X)$ satisfy $\deg[d\alpha]_{BC} = 0$. Choose a representative $\alpha \in \wedge^1 X \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Let $\rho = d\alpha$ be decomposed as $\rho = \rho^{(1,1)} + \rho^{(2,0)} + \rho^{(0,2)}$. Without restricting the generality we may assume that ρ is real, giving $\rho^{(2,0)} = \overline{\rho^{(0,2)}}$. Since α is dd^c-closed, $d\alpha$ is both d and d^c-closed, hence the forms $\rho^{(1,1)}$, $\rho^{(2,0)}$ and $\rho^{(0,2)}$ are closed. Since ρ is d^c-closed and d-exact, the form $\rho \wedge \rho^{(0,2)}$ is also d^c-closed and d-exact. However, a product of a d^c-closed and d-exact 4-form $d\nu$ with ω^{n-2} is exact by Lemma 1.6 above. This gives

$$0 = \int_X \rho \wedge \rho^{(0,2)} \wedge \omega^{n-2} = \int_X \rho^{(0,2)} \wedge \rho^{(2,0)} \wedge \omega^{n-2} = \int_X |\rho^{(0,2)}|^2 \omega^n.$$

This implies that $\rho = d\alpha$ is of type (1, 1). By Lemma 1.1, we can replace the representative α by $\alpha - d^c f$ in Aeppli cohomology in such a way that $d(\alpha - d^c f) = 0$, thus proving the claim.

It follows that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_A^1(X) - b_1 = 1 - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{coker}(\deg \circ d) \in \{0, 1\}$$

according to the parity of b_1 . Indeed, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_A^1(X)$ is always even.

Note that the natural map $H_{BC}^1(X) \rightarrow H_{dR}^1(X; \mathbb{C})$ is always injective. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \Delta^1 &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_A^1(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{BC}^1(X) - 2b_1 \\ &\leq (1 - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{coker}(\deg \circ d)) \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since Δ^1 has to be even, this yields $\Delta^1 = 0$. \square

Remark 1.8. The same statement can be proven under the hypotheses that the Hermitian (1, 1)-form ω satisfies condition (a) in Lemma 1.1 and that $H_{BC}^{2,0}(X) = 0$. In this case, the exactness at $H_A^1(X)$ follows directly by Lemma 1.1, noting that $[d\alpha]_{BC} \in H_{BC}^2(X) = H_{BC}^{1,1}(X)$. The condition $H_{BC}^{2,0}(X) = 0$ may hold, for example, for a hypothetical complex structure on the six-sphere with $H_{\partial}^{2,0}(X) = 0$, see e.g. [Uga00, McH1]. In low dimension, the condition is satisfied by the Bombieri-Inoue and Inoue surfaces, by the secondary Kodaira surfaces, and by the Calabi-Eckmann structure on $S^1 \times S^3$, see e.g. [ADT16].

REFERENCES

- [Aep65] A. Aeppli, On the cohomology structure of Stein manifolds, *Proc. Conf. Complex Analysis (Minneapolis, Minn., 1964)*, Springer, Berlin, 1965, pp. 58–70. (Cited on p. 1.)
- [Ang13] D. Angella, The cohomologies of the Iwasawa manifold and of its small deformations, *J. Geom. Anal.* **23** (2013), no. 3, 1355–1378. (Cited on p. 2.)
- [ADT16] D. Angella, G. Dloussky, A. Tomassini, On Bott-Chern cohomology of compact complex surfaces, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **195** (2016), no. 1, 199–217. (Cited on p. 2, 4.)
- [AFR15] D. Angella, M. G. Franzini, F. A. Rossi, Degree of non-Kählerianity for 6-dimensional nilmanifolds, *Manuscripta Math.* **148** (2015), no. 1–2, 177–211. (Cited on p. 2, 3.)
- [AK12] D. Angella, H. Kasuya, Bott-Chern cohomology of solvmanifolds, to appear in *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, [arXiv:1212.5708v3 \[math.DG\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1212.5708v3). (Cited on p. 2.)
- [AK17] D. Angella, H. Kasuya, Cohomologies of deformations of solvmanifolds and closedness of some properties, *North-Western European Journal of Mathematics* **3** (2017), 75–105. (Cited on p. 2.)
- [ATa17] D. Angella, N. Tardini, Quantitative and qualitative cohomological properties for non-Kähler manifolds, to appear in *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145** (2017), no. 1, 273–285. (Cited on p. 1.)
- [ATo11] D. Angella, A. Tomassini, On cohomological decomposition of almost-complex manifolds and deformations, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **9** (2011), no. 3, 403–428. (Cited on p. 2, 3.)
- [ATo13] D. Angella, A. Tomassini, On the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -Lemma and Bott-Chern cohomology, *Invent. Math.* **192** (2013), no. 1, 71–81. (Cited on p. 1.)
- [ATo15] D. Angella, A. Tomassini, Inequalities à la Frölicher and cohomological decompositions, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **9** (2015), no. 2, 505–542. (Cited on p. 1.)
- [BC65] R. Bott, S. S. Chern, Hermitian vector bundles and the equidistribution of the zeroes of their holomorphic sections, *Acta Math.* **114** (1965), no. 1, 71–112. (Cited on p. 1.)
- [Buc99] N. Buchdahl, On compact Kähler surfaces, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **49** (1999), no. 1, vii, xi, 287–302. (Cited on p. 2.)
- [CS15] K. Chan, Y.-H. Suen, A Frölicher-type inequality for generalized complex manifolds, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **47** (2015), no. 2, 135–145. (Cited on p. 1.)
- [DGMS75] P. Deligne, Ph. Griffiths, J. Morgan, D. P. Sullivan, Real homotopy theory of Kähler manifolds, *Invent. Math.* **29** (1975), no. 3, 245–274. (Cited on p. 1.)
- [EFV12] N. Enrietti, A. Fino, L. Vezzoni, Tamed symplectic forms and strong Kähler with torsion metrics, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **10** (2012), no. 2, 203–223. (Cited on p. 2, 3.)
- [Gau75] P. Gauduchon, La constante fondamentale d’un fibré en droites au-dessus d’une variété hermitienne compacte, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* **281** (1975), no. 11, A393–A396. (Cited on p. 2.)

- [GH80] A. Gray, L. M. Hervella, The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **123** (1980), no. 1, 35–58. (Cited on p. 3.)
- [JY93] J. Jost, S.-T. Yau, A nonlinear elliptic system for maps from Hermitian to Riemannian manifolds and rigidity theorems in Hermitian geometry, *Acta Math.* **170** (1993), no. 2, 221–254. Correction to: “A nonlinear elliptic system for maps from Hermitian to Riemannian manifolds and rigidity theorems in Hermitian geometry”, *Acta Math.* **173** (1994), no. 2, 307. (Cited on p. 2, 3.)
- [Kod64] K. Kodaira, On the structure of compact complex analytic surfaces. I, *Amer. J. Math.* **86** (1964), 751–798. (Cited on p. 2.)
- [Lam99] A. Lamari, Courants kählériens et surfaces compactes, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **49** (1999), no. 1, vii, x, 263–285. (Cited on p. 2.)
- [LUV14] A. Latorre, L. Ugarte, R. Villacampa, On the Bott-Chern cohomology and balanced Hermitian nilmanifolds, *Int. J. Math.* **25** (2014), no. 6, 1450057. (Cited on p. 2, 3.)
- [LT95] M. Lübke, A. Teleman, *The Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1995. (Cited on p. 2.)
- [Miy74] Y. Miyaoka, Kähler metrics on elliptic surfaces, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **50** (1974), no. 8, 533–536. (Cited on p. 2.)
- [McH1] Andrew McHugh, Narrowing cohomologies on complex S^6 , to appear in *Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math.*. (Cited on p. 4.)
- [Sch07] M. Schweitzer, Autour de la cohomologie de Bott-Chern, Prépublication de l’Institut Fourier no. 703 (2007), [arXiv:0709.3528v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/0709.3528v1) [math.AG]. (Cited on p. 1.)
- [Siu83] Y. T. Siu, Every K3 surface is Kähler, *Invent. Math.* **73** (1983), no. 1, 139–150. (Cited on p. 2.)
- [ST10] J. Streets, G. Tian, A parabolic flow of pluriclosed metrics, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2010** (2010), no. 16, 3101–3133. (Cited on p. 3.)
- [Tel06] A. Teleman, The pseudo-effective cone of a non-Kählerian surface and applications, *Math. Ann.* **335** (2006), no. 4, 965–989. (Cited on p. 2.)
- [Uga00] L. Ugarte, Hodge numbers of a hypothetical complex structure on the six sphere, *Geom. Dedicata* **81** (2000), no. 1–3, 173–179. (Cited on p. 4.)

(Daniele Angella) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA “ULISSE DINI”, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI FIRENZE, VIALE MORGAGNI 67/A, 50134 FIRENZE, ITALY
E-mail address: daniele.angella@gmail.com
E-mail address: daniele.angella@unifi.it

(Adriano Tomassini) DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE MATEMATICHE, FISICHE, ED INFORMATICHE, PLESSO MATEMATICO E INFORMATICO, UNIVERSITÀ DI PARMA, PARCO AREA DELLE SCIENZE 53/A, 43124, PARMA, ITALY
E-mail address: adriano.tomassini@unipr.it

(Misha Verbitsky) INSTITUTO NACIONAL DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA, ESTRADA DONA CASTOR INA, 110, JARDIM BOTÂNICO, CEP 22460-320, RIO DE JANEIRO, RJ - BRASIL, ALSO: LABORATORY OF ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY,, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HSE,, 7 VAVILOVA STR. MOSCOW, RUSSIA.
E-mail address: verbit@impa.br