

HERMITIAN RANKS OF COMPACT COMPLEX MANIFOLDS

DANIELE ANGELLA AND ADRIANO TOMASSINI

ABSTRACT. We investigate degenerate special-Hermitian metrics on compact complex manifolds, in particular, degenerate Kähler and locally conformally Kähler metrics on special classes of non-Kähler manifolds.

INTRODUCTION

In this note, we continue in studying special-Hermitian structures on compact complex manifolds, in view of a (far-to-be-obtained) possible classification of compact complex non-Kähler manifolds.

In particular, we focus on the existence of degenerate special-Hermitian metrics. We investigate here degenerate Kähler metrics and degenerate locally conformally Kähler metrics, by introducing the notion of special-Hermitian ranks, as a first development of the Kähler rank by R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson and by I. Chiose in the non-Kähler setting. We investigate here classes of non-Kähler examples.

R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson provided an intrinsic characterization of the Kähler condition. In [HL83, Theorem (14)], they proved that, on a compact complex manifold X , one and only one of the following facts holds: (i) there is a positive $(1, 1)$ -form being closed; (namely, a Kähler metric); (ii) there is a (non-trivial) positive bidimension- $(1, 1)$ -current being component of a boundary.

This let them to introduce a notion of Kähler rank for compact complex surfaces, in terms of the foliated set

$$\mathcal{B}(X) := \{x \in X : \exists \varphi \in P_{\text{bdy}}^\infty(X) \text{ such that } \varphi_x \neq 0\} ,$$

where $P_{\text{bdy}}^\infty(X)$ denotes the subcone of smooth currents in the cone of positive bidimension- $(1, 1)$ -currents on X being a boundary.

By [CT13, Corollary 4.3], the Kähler rank of a compact complex surface X is equal to the maximal rank that a non-negative closed $(1, 1)$ -form may attain at some point of X . (See also [FGV16, Definition 1.2].) This allows I. Chiose to extend the notion of Kähler rank to higher-dimensional compact complex manifolds, [Chi13, Definition 1.1], by setting

$$(0.1) \quad \text{Kr}(X) := \max \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \omega \in \wedge^{1,1} X \text{ s.t. } \omega \geq 0, d\omega = 0, \text{ and } \omega^k \neq 0 \right\} .$$

By relaxing the Kähler condition, several notions of special-Hermitian metrics can be defined: *e.g.* Hermitian-symplectic, balanced in the sense of Michelsohn [Mic82], pluri-closed [Bis89], astheno-Kähler [JY93], Gauduchon [Gau77], strongly-Gauduchon [Pop13], and others. The notion in Equation (0.1) can be restated for some of these metrics: we will consider *e.g.* the SKT case (1.2).

In particular, we introduce and study the *Hermitian locally conformally Kähler rank*. It is defined in Equation (1.1). Essentially, we replace the condition of $d\omega = 0$ in Equation (0.1) by

Date: March 8, 2022.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32Q99, 32C35.

Key words and phrases. complex manifold, non-Kähler geometry, Hermitian metric, degenerate metric, Kähler rank, pluri-closed rank.

The first author is supported by the Project PRIN “Varietà reali e complesse: geometria, topologia e analisi armonica”, by the Project FIRB “Geometria Differenziale e Teoria Geometrica delle Funzioni”, by SIR2014 project RBSI14DYEB “Analytic aspects in complex and hypercomplex geometry”, and by GNSAGA of INdAM. The second author is supported by Project PRIN “Varietà reali e complesse: geometria, topologia e analisi armonica” and by GNSAGA of INdAM.

$d\omega - \vartheta \wedge \omega = 0$ for some d -closed 1-form $\vartheta = 0$. By the Poincaré Lemma, ϑ is locally d -exact: $\vartheta \stackrel{\text{loc}}{=} dg$. Then $\exp(-g)\omega$ is a local conformal change of ω being Kähler.

Both the Kähler and the lcK conditions are cohomological in nature. Moreover, cohomologies of nilmanifolds (namely, compact quotients of connected simply-connected nilpotent Lie groups,) can be often reduced as Lie algebra invariants. It follows that the Kähler rank and the lcK rank of nilmanifolds is often encoded in the Lie algebra, see Lemma 2.1. This allows us to study explicitly the Kähler rank and the lcK rank of 6-dimensional nilmanifolds. (With the possible exception of nilmanifolds associated to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_7 = (0, 0, 0, 12, 13, 23)$ in the notation of Salamon [Sal01], see [Rol11].) This is done in Section 3. Compare also [FGV16, Section 4.2], where the same results have been obtained independently.

As a further example, we consider a non-Kähler manifold obtained as a torus-suspension in [Mag12]. The investigation of these manifolds was suggested by Valentino Tosatti and may deserve further studies in non-Kähler geometry.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Valentino Tosatti for useful discussions. Many thanks also to the anonymous Referee for valuable comments.

1. HERMITIAN RANKS

In this section, we recall the definitions of Kähler rank for compact complex surfaces by R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson, and for compact complex manifolds by I. Chiose. On the same lines, we also introduce the notions of lcK rank and pluri-closed rank.

1.1. Kähler rank. Let X be a compact complex surfaces. Denote by $P_{\text{bdy}}(X)$ the cone of positive bidimension-(1,1)-currents on X being a boundary, and denote by $P_{\text{bdy}}^\infty(X)$ the subcone of smooth currents. On a compact complex surface X , the cone $P_{\text{bdy}}^\infty(X)$ coincides with the cone $P_{\text{bdy}_{1,1}}^\infty(X)$ of positive bidimension-(1,1)-currents on X being component of a boundary, and any form $\varphi \in P_{\text{bdy}}^\infty(X)$ is simple, (*i.e.* of rank less or equal than one,) at every point of X , [HL83, Proposition (37)]. Set:

$$\mathcal{B}(X) := \{x \in X : \exists \varphi \in P_{\text{bdy}}^\infty(X) \text{ such that } \varphi_x \neq 0\} .$$

The open subset $\mathcal{B}(X) \subseteq X$ carries an intrinsically defined complex analytic foliation \mathcal{F} , which is characterized by the property that $\varphi|_{\mathcal{F}} = 0$ for any $\varphi \in P_{\text{bdy}}^\infty(X)$, [HL83, Theorem 40]. The *Kähler rank* of the compact complex surface X [HL83, Definition 41] is defined to be: (a) two, when X admits Kähler metrics; (that is, the open subset $\mathcal{B}(X)$ in X is empty); (b) one, when the complement of the open subset $\mathcal{B}(X)$ in X is contained in a complex curve and non-empty; (c) zero, otherwise.

The Kähler rank of compact complex surfaces is a bimeromorphic invariant, [CT13, Corollary 4.1]. Surfaces with even first Betti number have Kähler rank two, [Lam99, Buc99]. Elliptic non-Kähler surfaces have Kähler rank one, [HL83, page 187]. Non-elliptic non-Kähler surfaces are in class VII: under the GSS conjecture, their minimal model is one of the following: (i) Inoue surfaces: Kähler rank is one, [HL83, §10]; (ii) Hopf surface: Kähler rank is one or zero according to the type, [HL83, §9]; (iii) Kato surfaces: Kähler rank is zero, [CT13].

Now, let X be a compact complex manifold of complex dimension $n \geq 2$. Notice that, when $n = 2$, the Kähler rank, as in [HL83, Definition 41], is equal to the maximal rank that a non-negative closed (1,1)-form may attain at some point of X , thanks to [CT13, Corollary 4.3]. Then, the following definition by I. Chiose is coherent. Compare also [FGV16, Definition 1.2].

Definition 1.1 ([Chi13, Definition 1.1]). *Let X be a compact complex manifold of complex dimension n . The Kähler rank of X is defined to be*

$$\text{Kr}(X) := \max \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \omega \in \wedge^{1,1} X \text{ s.t. } \omega \geq 0, d\omega = 0, \text{ and } \omega^k \neq 0 \right\} \in \{0, \dots, n\} .$$

Remark 1.2. Note that, by [Chi13, Theorem 0.2], for compact complex manifolds of complex dimension 3 with maximal Kähler rank, the “tamed-to-compatible” conjecture, [LZ09, page 678], [ST10, Question 1.7], holds.

1.2. Locally conformally Kähler rank. Now, we consider *locally conformally Kähler* structures [DO98]. Such a structure is given by (ϑ, ω) , where ϑ is a closed 1-form and ω is a Hermitian metric satisfying $d_\vartheta\omega = 0$, where

$$d_\vartheta := d - \vartheta \wedge .$$

Note that, locally, $\vartheta \stackrel{\text{loc}}{=} df$ for some smooth function f . Therefore $\exp(-f)\omega$ is a local Kähler structure being a locally conformally transformations of ω .

We now admit degenerate metrics, and we introduce the following rank. (We add the adjective “Hermitian” in order to avoid confusion with the notion of lcK rank introduced in [GOPP06], which regards the Lee form ϑ .)

Definition 1.3. Let X be a compact complex manifold of complex dimension n . The Hermitian locally conformally Kähler rank of X is defined to be

$$(1.1) \quad \text{HlcKr}(X) := \max \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \vartheta \in \wedge^1 X \text{ s.t. } d\vartheta = 0, \right. \\ \left. \exists \omega \in \wedge^{1,1} X \text{ s.t. } \omega \geq 0, d_\vartheta\omega = 0, \text{ and } \omega^k \neq 0 \right\} \\ \in \{0, \dots, n\} .$$

Clearly, since $d_0 = d$, it holds $\text{Kr}(X) \leq \text{HlcKr}(X) \leq \dim X$. Moreover, if X admits a locally conformally Kähler metric, then clearly $\text{HlcKr}(X) = \dim X$.

1.3. Pluri-closed rank. Finally, we consider *pluri-closed metrics* [Bis89], namely, Hermitian metrics ω such that $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega = 0$, also called *SKT metrics*. We define the following.

Definition 1.4. Let X be a compact complex manifold of complex dimension n . The pluri-closed rank of X is defined to be

$$(1.2) \quad \text{SKTr}(X) := \max \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \omega \in \wedge^{1,1} X \text{ s.t. } \omega \geq 0, \partial\bar{\partial}\omega = 0, \text{ and } \omega^k \neq 0 \right\} \\ \in \{0, \dots, n\} .$$

Note that, $\text{Kr}(X) \leq \text{SKT}(X)$. Moreover, by [Gau77, Théorème 1], any compact Hermitian manifold admit a unique *Gauduchon metric* in the conformal class up to scaling, that is, a metric ω satisfying $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-1} = 0$, where $n = \dim X$. In particular, it follows that, on compact complex surfaces, the pluri-closed rank is always maximum, equal to 2.

2. SPECIAL-HERMITIAN RANKS OF HOMOGENEOUS MANIFOLDS OF SOLVABLE LIE GROUPS

In this section, we investigate the Kähler and the Hermitian lcK ranks of homogeneous manifolds of solvable Lie groups.

Let $X = \Gamma \backslash G$ be a solvmanifold, namely, a compact quotient of a connected simply-connected solvable Lie group G by a co-compact discrete subgroup Γ . Assume that X is endowed with an invariant complex structure, (that is, the complex structure is induced by a complex structure on G being invariant with respect to the action of G on itself given by left-translations.) Then $\wedge^{\bullet, \bullet} \mathfrak{g}^* \hookrightarrow \wedge^{\bullet, \bullet} X$ is a sub-complex.

In the definition of special-Hermitian ranks, we can restrict to invariant metrics: set the *invariant Kähler rank* and the *invariant Hermitian lcK rank* to be

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Kr}(\mathfrak{g}) &:= \max \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \omega \in \wedge^{1,1} \mathfrak{g}^* \text{ s.t. } \omega \geq 0, d\omega = 0, \text{ and } \omega^k \neq 0 \right\}, \\ \text{HlcKr}(\mathfrak{g}) &:= \max \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \vartheta \in \wedge^1 \mathfrak{g}^* \text{ s.t. } d\vartheta = 0, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \exists \omega \in \wedge^{1,1} \mathfrak{g}^* \text{ s.t. } \omega \geq 0, d_{\vartheta}\omega = 0, \text{ and } \omega^k \neq 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

They have the advantage to be easier to be computed. In general, it holds

$$\text{Kr}(\mathfrak{g}) \leq \text{Kr}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{HlcKr}(\mathfrak{g}) \leq \text{HlcKr}(X).$$

In fact, we prove that equalities hold, under the assumption that the map $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H_{\bar{\partial}}^{\bullet,\bullet}(X)$ induced by the inclusion $\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet} X$ is an isomorphism. The assumption holds true, *e.g.* when G is nilpotent and the complex structure is either holomorphically-parallelizable, or Abelian, or nilpotent, or rational, [Con07, Rol11]. It holds true also when X is a compact complex surface being diffeomorphic to a solvmanifold, [ADT14].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $X = \Gamma \backslash G$ be a solvmanifold. Assume that the map $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H_{\bar{\partial}}^{\bullet,\bullet}(X)$ induced by the inclusion $\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet} X$ is an isomorphism. Then the Hermitian locally conformally Kähler rank $\text{HlcKr}(X)$ and the invariant Hermitian locally conformally Kähler rank $\text{HlcKr}(\mathfrak{g})$ are equal. In particular, the Kähler rank $\text{Kr}(X)$ and the invariant Kähler rank $\text{Kr}(\mathfrak{g})$ are equal.*

Proof. Clearly, $\text{HlcKr}(\mathfrak{g}) \leq \text{HlcKr}(X)$. Let ϑ be a d -closed 1-form, and $\omega \geq 0$ be a $(1,1)$ -form satisfying $d_{\vartheta}\omega = 0$ such that $\omega^k \neq 0$. We show that there exist an invariant d -closed 1-form $\hat{\vartheta}$ and an invariant $(1,1)$ -form $\hat{\omega} \geq 0$ satisfying $d_{\hat{\vartheta}}\hat{\omega} = 0$ such that $\hat{\omega}^k \neq 0$.

By the assumption and by the Frölicher spectral sequence, the average map

$$\mu: \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet} X \rightarrow \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^*, \quad \mu(\alpha) := \int_X \alpha \lrcorner \eta(m)$$

(here, η is a bi-invariant volume forms, thanks to Milnor,) induces the identity in de Rham cohomology. In particular, $\hat{\vartheta} := \mu(\vartheta)$ is an invariant d -closed 1-form, and there exists f smooth function such that $\hat{\vartheta} = \vartheta + df$.

Note that $d_{\vartheta+df} = \exp(f) \cdot d_{\vartheta}(\exp(-f) \cdot -)$. Therefore $\tilde{\omega} := \exp(f) \cdot \omega \geq 0$ is a $(1,1)$ -form satisfying $d_{\hat{\vartheta}}\tilde{\omega} = 0$ such that $\tilde{\omega}^k = \exp(kf)\omega^k \neq 0$. In particular, $[\tilde{\omega}] \in H_{d_{\hat{\vartheta}}}^2(X)$. By [Hat60], the average map μ induces the identity also in the cohomology of the twisted differential $d_{\hat{\vartheta}}$. So we get that $\hat{\omega} := \mu(\tilde{\omega}) \geq 0$ is an invariant $(1,1)$ -form satisfying $d_{\hat{\vartheta}}\hat{\omega} = 0$, and there exists α a 1-form such that $\hat{\omega} = \tilde{\omega} + d_{\hat{\vartheta}}\alpha$.

Moreover, we have

$$\hat{\omega}^k = \omega^k + d_{k\hat{\vartheta}}\varphi \quad \text{where } \varphi := \sum_{\substack{s+t=k \\ t \geq 1}} \binom{k}{s} \cdot \tilde{\omega}^s \wedge \alpha \wedge (d_{(t-1)\hat{\vartheta}}\alpha)^{t-1}.$$

That is, $[\hat{\omega}^k] = [\tilde{\omega}^k]$ in $H_{d_{k\hat{\vartheta}}}^{2k}(X)$. Therefore, since $\hat{\omega}^k$ is invariant, it follows that $\mu(\tilde{\omega}^k) = \hat{\omega}^k$. Since $\tilde{\omega}^k \geq 0$ and $\tilde{\omega}^k \neq 0$, then $\hat{\omega}^k \neq 0$.

As for the case of Kähler rank, it suffices to note that $[\vartheta] = 0$ if and only if $[\hat{\vartheta}] = 0$. \square

As a direct consequence, we have the following.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $X = \Gamma \backslash G$ be a solvmanifold. Assume that the map $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H_{\bar{\partial}}^{\bullet,\bullet}(X)$ induced by the inclusion $\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet} X$ is an isomorphism. Then the Kähler rank $\text{Kr}(X)$, (respectively, the Hermitian locally conformally Kähler rank $\text{HlcKr}(X)$,) is maximum if and only if there exists a Hermitian metric being Kähler, (respectively, locally conformally Kähler.)*

3. SPECIAL-HERMITIAN RANKS OF 6-DIMENSIONAL NILMANIFOLDS

By using Lemma 2.1, we can compute the Kähler and Hermitian lcK ranks of 6-dimensional nilmanifolds with invariant complex structures, except possibly for the nilmanifolds associated to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_7 = (0, 0, 0, 12, 13, 23)$ in the notation of Salamon [Sal01]. In fact, the assumption of the map $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{\bullet, \bullet}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H_{\bar{\partial}}^{\bullet, \bullet}(X)$ induced by the inclusion being an isomorphism is satisfied, see [Con07, Rol11].

It is well-known [Uga07, COUV] that, up to (linear-)equivalence, the invariant complex structures on 6-dimensional nilmanifolds are parametrized into the following families: there exists a global co-frame $\{\varphi^1, \varphi^2, \varphi^3\}$ of invariant $(1, 0)$ -forms such that the structure equations are

- (P): $d\varphi^1 = 0, d\varphi^2 = 0, d\varphi^3 = \rho\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2,$
where $\rho \in \{0, 1\}$;
- (I): $d\varphi^1 = 0, d\varphi^2 = 0, d\varphi^3 = \rho\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2 + \varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 + \lambda\varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2 + D\varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2,$
where $\rho \in \{0, 1\}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, D \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Im D \geq 0$;
- (II): $d\varphi^1 = 0, d\varphi^2 = \varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1, d\varphi^3 = \rho\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2 + B\varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2 + c\varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1,$
where $\rho \in \{0, 1\}, B \in \mathbb{C}, c \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$, with $(\rho, B, c) \neq (0, 0, 0)$;
- (III): $d\varphi^1 = 0, d\varphi^2 = \varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^3 + \varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^3, d\varphi^3 = \varepsilon\varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 \pm i(\varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2 - \varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1),$
where $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$.

3.1. Kähler rank of 6-dimensional nilmanifolds. As for the Kähler rank, we have the following.

Proposition 3.1. *On 6-dimensional nilmanifolds endowed with invariant complex structures, (except possibly for the nilmanifolds associated to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_7 ,) the Kähler rank takes the following values:*

- (P): $\text{Kr}(X) = 3$ if $\rho = 0$,
 $\text{Kr}(X) = 2$ if $\rho = 1$;
- (I): $\text{Kr}(X) = 2$;
- (II): $\text{Kr}(X) = 1$ if $(\rho, B, c) \neq (1, 1, 0)$,
 $\text{Kr}(X) \geq 1$ if $(\rho, B, c) = (1, 1, 0)$;
- (III): $\text{Kr}(X) = 1$;

Proof. Thanks to Lemma 2.1, we are reduced to compute the invariant ranks. The arbitrary invariant $(1, 1)$ -form ω such that $\omega \geq 0$ is

$$(3.1) \quad \omega = ir^2\varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 + is^2\varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2 + it^2\varphi^3 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^3 \\ + (u\varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2 - \bar{u}\varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1) + (v\varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^3 - \bar{v}\varphi^3 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2) + (z\varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^3 - \bar{z}\varphi^3 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1),$$

where $r, s, t \in \mathbb{R}, u, v, z \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy

$$(3.2) \quad r^2 \geq 0, \quad s^2 \geq 0, \quad t^2 \geq 0, \\ r^2s^2 \geq |u|^2, \quad s^2t^2 \geq |v|^2, \quad r^2t^2 \geq |z|^2, \\ r^2s^2t^2 + 2\Re(i\bar{u}\bar{v}z) \geq t^2|u|^2 + r^2|v|^2 + s^2|z|^2.$$

We have

$$\frac{1}{2}\omega^2 = (r^2s^2 - |u|^2)\varphi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}} + (-ir^2v - \bar{u}z)\varphi^{12\bar{1}\bar{3}} + (is^2z - uv)\varphi^{12\bar{2}\bar{3}} \\ + (ir^2\bar{v} - u\bar{z})\varphi^{13\bar{1}\bar{2}} + (r^2t^2 - |z|^2)\varphi^{13\bar{1}\bar{3}} + (-it^2u - \bar{v}z)\varphi^{13\bar{2}\bar{3}} \\ + (-is^2\bar{z} - \bar{u}\bar{v})\varphi^{23\bar{1}\bar{2}} + (it^2\bar{u} - v\bar{z})\varphi^{23\bar{1}\bar{3}} + (s^2t^2 - |v|^2)\varphi^{23\bar{2}\bar{3}}$$

(for simplicity of notation, we shorten, *e.g.* $\varphi^{12\bar{2}\bar{3}} := \varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^3$), and

$$\frac{1}{6}\omega^3 = (ir^2s^2t^2 - ir^2|v|^2 - is^2|z|^2 - it^2|u|^2 + uv\bar{z} - \bar{u}\bar{v}z)\varphi^{12\bar{3}\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}}.$$

We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(P): } \quad \partial\omega &= -\bar{z}\rho\varphi^{12\bar{1}} - \bar{v}\rho\varphi^{12\bar{2}} + it^2\rho\varphi^{12\bar{3}}; \\ \text{(I): } \quad \partial\omega &= (-v + \lambda z - \rho\bar{z})\varphi^{12\bar{1}} + (-\bar{v}\rho + z\bar{D})\varphi^{12\bar{2}} + (it^2\rho)\varphi^{12\bar{3}} \\ &\quad + (-it^2)\varphi^{13\bar{1}} + (-it^2\lambda)\varphi^{23\bar{1}} + (-it^2\bar{D})\varphi^{23\bar{2}}; \\ \text{(II): } \quad \partial\omega &= (-is^2 + z\bar{B} - \bar{z}\rho)\varphi^{12\bar{1}} + (-cv - \bar{v}\rho)\varphi^{12\bar{2}} + (it^2\rho)\varphi^{12\bar{3}} \\ &\quad + (\bar{v})\varphi^{13\bar{1}} + (-it^2c)\varphi^{13\bar{2}} + (-it^2\bar{B})\varphi^{23\bar{1}}; \\ \text{(III): } \quad \partial\omega &= (\mp iz - \varepsilon v)\varphi^{12\bar{1}} + (\mp iv)\varphi^{12\bar{2}} + (u - \bar{u} - it^2\varepsilon)\varphi^{13\bar{1}} \\ &\quad + (is^2 \pm t^2)\varphi^{13\bar{2}} + (v)\varphi^{13\bar{3}} + (is^2 \mp t^2)\varphi^{23\bar{1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The statement follows. \square

Remark 3.2. *The results in Proposition 3.1 have been obtained independently in [FGV16, Section 4.2].*

3.2. Hermitian locally conformally Kähler rank of 6-dimensional nilmanifolds. As for the Hermitian lcK rank, we have the following.

Proposition 3.3. *On 6-dimensional nilmanifolds endowed with invariant complex structures, (except possibly for the nilmanifolds associated to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_7), the Hermitian locally conformally Kähler rank takes the following values:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(P): } \quad \text{HlcKr}(X) &= 3 \quad \text{if } \rho = 0, \\ &\quad \text{HlcKr}(X) = 2 \quad \text{if } \rho = 1; \\ \text{(I): } \quad \text{HlcKr}(X) &= 3 \quad \text{if } (\rho, \lambda, D) = (0, 0, -1), \\ &\quad \text{HlcKr}(X) = 2 \quad \text{if } (\rho, \lambda, D) \neq (0, 0, -1); \\ \text{(II): } \quad \text{HlcKr}(X) &= 2; \\ \text{(III): } \quad \text{HlcKr}(X) &= 1; \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By [Saw07, Main Theorem], a non-toral compact nilmanifold with a left-invariant complex structure has a locally conformally Kähler structure if and only if it is biholomorphic to a quotient of the Heisenberg group times \mathbb{R} . In particular, the only 6-dimensional non-Abelian nilpotent Lie algebra admitting lcK structures is \mathfrak{h}_3 , which appears in family (I) with parameters $\rho = 0$, $\lambda = 0$, $D = -1$.

In case (II), consider the d -closed 1-form $\vartheta := \varphi^2 + \bar{\varphi}^2$ and the d_ϑ -closed 2-form, $\Omega := i\varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 + i\varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2 \geq 0$.

In case (III), the arbitrary d -closed 1-form is $\vartheta = \vartheta_1\varphi^1 + \vartheta_3\varphi^3 + \bar{\vartheta}_1\bar{\varphi}^1 + \vartheta_3\bar{\varphi}^3$, where $\vartheta_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\vartheta_3 \in \mathbb{R}$. By straightforward computations, which we performed with the aid of Sage [S+09], we get that the arbitrary form ω in (3.1) with conditions (3.2) is d_ϑ -closed if and only if both $r^2 = 0$ and $s^2 = 0$. \square

3.3. Hermitian pluri-closed rank of 6-dimensional nilmanifolds. Finally, we consider the pluri-closed rank $\text{SKTr}(X)$ of X as defined in (1.2) in Definition 1.4.

In case of solvmanifolds X with associated Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , a notion of *invariant pluri-closed rank* $\text{SKTr}(\mathfrak{g})$ can be defined. Clearly, $\text{SKTr}(\mathfrak{g}) \leq \text{SKTr}(X)$. Note that, in this case, the argument in

the proof of Lemma 2.1 does not apply. Indeed, there we make use of the map induced by the wedge product in the Morse-Novikov cohomology, $H_{d_{\vartheta}}^2(X) \times H_{d_{\vartheta}}^2(X) \rightarrow H_{d_{2\vartheta}}^4(X)$, which in turn is a consequence of the Leibniz rule for the twisted differential operator, namely $d_{k\vartheta}(\alpha \wedge \beta) = d_{h\vartheta}\alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^{\deg \alpha} \alpha \wedge d_{(k-h)\vartheta}\beta$. But the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -operator, and the corresponding Aeppli cohomology, do not share these properties.

In the following Table 1, we show the invariant pluri-closed rank of 6-dimensional nilmanifolds, summarizing also the ranks computed in the previous sections. The results follows by computing:

	class	Kr(X)	HlcKr(X)	SKTr(g)
(P)	$\rho = 0$	3	3	3
	$\rho = 1$	2	2	2
(I)	$-\rho + D + \bar{D} - \lambda^2 = 0$	2	2	3
	$-\rho + D + \bar{D} - \lambda^2 \neq 0, (\rho, \lambda, D) \neq (0, 0, -1)$	2	2	2
	$(\rho, \lambda, D) = (0, 0, -1)$	2	3	2
(II)	$(\rho, B, c) \neq (1, 1, 0)$	1	2	2
	$(\rho, B, c) = (1, 1, 0)$	≥ 1	2	2
(III)		1	1	1

TABLE 1. Special-Hermitian ranks for 6-dimensional nilmanifolds endowed with invariant complex structures.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(P):} \quad \partial\bar{\partial}\omega &= -it^2\rho\varphi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}; \\
\text{(I):} \quad \partial\bar{\partial}\omega &= (it^2(-\rho + D + \bar{D} - \lambda^2))\varphi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}; \\
\text{(II):} \quad \partial\bar{\partial}\omega &= (-it^2(\rho + c^2 + |B|^2))\varphi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}; \\
\text{(III):} \quad \partial\bar{\partial}\omega &= (-2it^2)\varphi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}} + (-2is^2)\varphi^{13\bar{1}\bar{3}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.4. *From the results in Table 1, we note in particular the upper-semi-continuity of the Hermitian ranks. We wonder whether this property holds in general.*

4. KÄHLER RANK OF A NON-KÄHLER MANIFOLD CONSTRUCTED AS SUSPENSION

As another example, we consider here a non-Kähler manifold constructed as suspension over a torus. We consider an explicit case of a more general construction which has been investigated by G. P. Magnusson [Mag12] to disprove the abundance and Iitaka conjectures for complex non-Kähler manifolds. See also [Tos15, Example 3.1], (and the references therein,) where V. Tosatti uses the same construction to get a complex non-Kähler manifold with vanishing first Bott-Chern class, whose canonical bundle is not holomorphically torsion.

We first recall the construction by Yoshihara [Yos80, Example 4.1] of a complex 2-torus X with an automorphism f such that the induced automorphism on $H^0(X; K_X) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ has infinite order.

Consider the roots $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ of the equation

$$x^2 - (1 + \sqrt{-1})x + 1 = 0.$$

The minimal polynomial over \mathbb{Q} of α and $\bar{\beta}$ is

$$x^4 - 2x^3 + 4x^2 - 2x + 1 = 0.$$

In particular,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha^4 \\ \bar{\beta}^4 \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^3 \\ \bar{\beta}^3 \end{pmatrix} - 4 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 \\ \bar{\beta}^2 \end{pmatrix} + 2 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \bar{\beta} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider the following lattice in \mathbb{C}^2 :

$$\Gamma := \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \bar{\beta} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 \\ \bar{\beta}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^3 \\ \bar{\beta}^3 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Consider the torus

$$X := \mathbb{C}^2 / \Gamma.$$

The automorphism

$$f: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2, \quad f \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \\ & \bar{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

induces an automorphism of X .

Now, we recall the construction by G. P. Magnusson [Mag12] of the non-Kähler manifold M . Let

$$C := \mathbb{C} / (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau \mathbb{Z})$$

be an elliptic curve. Then M is the total space of a holomorphic fibre bundle $M \rightarrow C$ with fibre X as follows:

$$M := X \times \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Z}^2$$

where $\mathbb{Z}^2 \curvearrowright X \times \mathbb{C}$ acts as

$$(\ell, m) \cdot (z, w) := (f^m(z), w + \ell + m\tau).$$

Note that M is not Kähler, [Mag12, Proposition 1.2], because of [Fuj78, Corollary 4.10].

We claim that the Kähler rank of M is equal to 1.

In fact, note that the form

$$dw \wedge d\bar{w}$$

on \mathbb{C} yields a d -closed $(1, 1)$ -form of rank 1 on M . Whence the Kähler rank of M is greater than or equal to 1. On the other side, assume that there exists ω a d -closed $(1, 1)$ -form of rank at least 2 on M . It corresponds to a d -closed \mathbb{Z}^2 -invariant $(1, 1)$ -form of rank at least 2 on $X \times \mathbb{C}$. By the inclusion $\iota: X \ni x \mapsto (x, 0) \in X \times \mathbb{C}$, it yields a d -closed f -invariant $(1, 1)$ -form of rank at least 1 on X — say ω again. Notice that f sends invariant forms (with respect to the action of \mathbb{C}^2 on X) to invariant forms. We have $\omega = \omega_{\text{inv}} + d\eta$ where ω_{inv} is invariant, and η is a 1-form. Then $f^*\omega = f^*\omega_{\text{inv}} + df^*\eta$, where $f^*\omega_{\text{inv}}$ is invariant. We get that $f^*\omega_{\text{inv}} = \omega_{\text{inv}} \pmod{d(\wedge^1 \mathfrak{g}^*)}$. Since the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of X is Abelian, we get $f^*\omega_{\text{inv}} = \omega_{\text{inv}}$. We get that ω_{inv} is a d -closed invariant f -invariant $(1, 1)$ -form of rank at least 1 on X . But this is not possible, since the only invariant f -invariant $(1, 1)$ -forms on X are generated over \mathbb{C} by $dz^1 \wedge d\bar{z}^2$ and $dz^2 \wedge d\bar{z}^1$.

REFERENCES

- [ADT14] D. Angella, G. Dloussky, A. Tomassini, On Bott-Chern cohomology of compact complex surfaces, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, **195** (2016), no. 1, 199–217. (Cited on p. 4.)
- [Bis89] J.-M. Bismut, A local index theorem for non-Kähler manifolds, *Math. Ann.* **284** (1989), no. 4, 681–699. (Cited on p. 1, 3.)
- [Buc99] N. Buchdahl, On compact Kähler surfaces, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **49** (1999), no. 1, vii, xi, 287–302. (Cited on p. 2.)
- [COUV] M. Ceballos, A. Otal, L. Ugarte, R. Villacampa, Invariant complex structures on 6-nilmanifolds: classification, Frölicher spectral sequence and special Hermitian metrics, *J. Geom. Anal.* **26** (2016), no. 1, 252–286. (Cited on p. 5.)
- [Chi13] I. Chiose, The Kähler rank of compact complex manifolds, *J. Geom. Anal.* **26** (2016), no. 1, 603–615. (Cited on p. 1, 2, 3.)
- [CT13] I. Chiose, M. Toma, On compact complex surfaces of Kähler rank one, *Amer. J. Math.* **135** (2013), no. 3, 851–860. (Cited on p. 1, 2.)

- [Con07] S. Console, Dolbeault cohomology and deformations of nilmanifolds, *Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina* **47** (2006), no. 1, 51–60 (2007). (Cited on p. 4, 5.)
- [DO98] S. Dragomir, L. Ornea, *Locally conformal Kähler geometry*, Progress in Mathematics, **155**, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1998. (Cited on p. 3.)
- [FGV16] A. Fino, G. Grantcharov, M. Verbitsky, Algebraic dimension of complex nilmanifolds, [arXiv:1603.01877](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.01877). (Cited on p. 1, 2, 6.)
- [Fuj78] A. Fujiki, On automorphism groups of compact Kähler manifolds, *Invent. Math.* **44** (1978), no. 3, 225–258. (Cited on p. 8.)
- [Gau77] P. Gauduchon, Le théorème de l'excentricité nulle, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* **285** (1977), no. 5, A387–A390. (Cited on p. 1, 3.)
- [GOPP06] R. Gini, L. Ornea, M. Parton, P. Piccinni, Reduction of Vaisman structures in complex and quaternionic geometry, *J. Geom. Physics* **56** (2006), 2501–2522. (Cited on p. 3.)
- [HL83] R. Harvey, H. B. Lawson, An intrinsic characterization of Kähler manifolds, *Invent. Math.* **74** (1983), no. 2, 169–198. (Cited on p. 1, 2.)
- [Hat60] A. Hattori, Spectral sequence in the de Rham cohomology of fibre bundles, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I* **8** (1960), 289–331. (Cited on p. 4.)
- [JY93] J. Jost, S.-T. Yau, A nonlinear elliptic system for maps from Hermitian to Riemannian manifolds and rigidity theorems in Hermitian geometry, *Acta Math.* **170** (1993), no. 2, 221–254 (1993). Correction to: “A nonlinear elliptic system for maps from Hermitian to Riemannian manifolds and rigidity theorems in Hermitian geometry”, *Acta Math.* **173** (1994), no. 2, 307. (Cited on p. 1.)
- [Lam99] A. Lamari, Courants kählériens et surfaces compactes, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **49** (1999), no. 1, vii, x, 263–285. (Cited on p. 2.)
- [LZ09] T.-J. Li, W. Zhang, Comparing tamed and compatible symplectic cones and cohomological properties of almost complex manifolds, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **17** (2009), no. 4, 651–684. (Cited on p. 3.)
- [Mag12] G. P. Magnusson, Automorphisms and examples of compact non Kähler manifolds, [arXiv:1204.3165](https://arxiv.org/abs/1204.3165). (Cited on p. 2, 7, 8.)
- [Mic82] M. L. Michelsohn, On the existence of special metrics in complex geometry, *Acta Math.* **149** (1982), no. 3-4, 261–295. (Cited on p. 1.)
- [Pop13] D. Popovici, Deformation limits of projective manifolds: Hodge numbers and strongly Gauduchon metrics, *Invent. Math.* **194** (2013), no. 3, 515–534. (Cited on p. 1.)
- [Rol11] S. Rollenske, Dolbeault cohomology of nilmanifolds with left-invariant complex structure, in *Complex and differential geometry*, 369–392, Springer Proc. Math., **8**, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011. (Cited on p. 2, 4, 5.)
- [S⁺09] *Sage Mathematics Software (Version 6.10)*, The Sage Developers, 2015, <http://www.sagemath.org>. (Cited on p. 6.)
- [Sal01] S. Salamon, Complex structures on nilpotent Lie algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **157** (2001), no. 2-3, 311–333. (Cited on p. 2, 5.)
- [Saw07] H. Sawai, Locally conformal Kähler structures on compact nilmanifolds with left-invariant complex structures, *Geom. Dedicata* **125** (2007), 93–101. (Cited on p. 6.)
- [ST10] J. Streets, G. Tian, A parabolic flow of pluriclosed metrics, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2010** (2010), no. 16, 3101–3133. (Cited on p. 3.)
- [Tos15] V. Tosatti, Non-Kähler Calabi-Yau manifolds, in *Analysis, complex geometry, and mathematical physics: in honor of Duong H. Phong*, 261–277, *Contemp. Math.*, **644**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015. (Cited on p. 7.)
- [Uga07] L. Ugarte, Hermitian structures on six-dimensional nilmanifolds, *Transform. Groups* **12** (2007), no. 1, 175–202. (Cited on p. 5.)
- [Yos80] H. Yoshihara, Structure of complex tori with the automorphisms of maximal degree, *Tsukuba J. Math.* **4** (1980), no. 2, 303–311. (Cited on p. 7.)

(Daniele Angella) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA “ULISSE DINI”, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI FIRENZE, VIALE MORGAGNI 67/A, 50134 FIRENZE, ITALY

E-mail address: daniele.angella@gmail.com

E-mail address: daniele.angella@unifi.it

(Adriano Tomassini) DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE MATEMATICHE, FISICHE ED INFORMATICHE, PLESSO MATEMATICO E INFORMATICO, UNIVERSITÀ DI PARMA, PARCO AREA DELLE SCIENZE 53/A, 43124 PARMA, ITALY

E-mail address: adriano.tomassini@unipr.it