

# Numerical schemes of the time tempered fractional Feynman-Kac equation

W.H. Deng<sup>a</sup>, Z.J. Zhang<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Gansu Key Laboratory of Applied Mathematics and Complex Systems, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, P.R. China*

---

## Abstract

This paper focuses on providing the computation methods for the backward time tempered fractional Feynman-Kac equation, being one of the models recently proposed in [Wu, Deng, and Barkai, Phys. Rev. E, 84 (2016) 032151]. The discretization for the tempered fractional substantial derivative is derived, and the corresponding finite difference and finite element schemes are designed with well established stability and convergence. The performed numerical experiments show the effectiveness of the presented schemes.

*Keywords:* tempered fractional substantial derivative, Feynman-Kac equation, finite difference approximation, finite element approximation

---

## 1. Introduction

Anomalous diffusion is one of the most ubiquitous phenomena in nature, being detected in almost all the scientific fields. In particular, based on the continuous time random walk (CTRW) model with the power law waiting time distribution having divergent first moment and/or the power law jump length distribution having divergent second moment, the corresponding fractional subdiffusive and/or superdiffusive equations are derived [22, 27] (for their numerical methods, see, e.g., [11, 12, 13, 20, 23, 30, 33]). But most of the time, the more practical choice for modelling the motion of the particles should use the distributions of the waiting time and jump length with semi-heavy tails, due to the finite life span and/or the bounded physical space of the biological particles. These can be realized by tempering the distributions with heavy tails, e.g., truncating the heavy tail of the power law distribution. What tempering does is to introduce a scale. Exponentially tempering the power-law distributions seems to be the most popular choice [6, 21], since it has both the mathematical and technique advantages [3, 25]; and the probability densities of the tempered stable process solve the tempered fractional diffusion equation.

---

\*Corresponding author.

*Email addresses:* dengwh@lzu.edu.cn (W.H. Deng), zhjzhang14@lzu.edu.cn (Z.J. Zhang)

There are many physical quantities that can be used to characterize the motion features of a Brownian particle, e.g., the Brownian functionals [19]. With the rapid development of the research of anomalous diffusion, the functionals of the non-Brownian particle naturally attract the interests of scientists [5, 31, 32]. The functional is defined as  $A = \int_0^t U(x_0(\tau))d\tau$ , where  $U(x_0)$  is a prescribed function and  $x_0(t)$  is a random process generated by a non-Brownian particle. The choice of  $U(x_0)$  depends on the concrete applications. For example, in the kinetic studies of chemical reactions that take place exclusively in some given domain [2, 4, 5], we take  $U(x_0) = 1$  in this particular domain and zero otherwise. For inhomogeneous disorder dispersive systems,  $U(x_0)$  is taken as  $x_0$  or  $x_0^2$  [5], etc. In particular, based on the sub-diffusive CTRW, a widely investigated process being continually used to characterize the motion of particles in disordered systems [26], the fractional Feynman-Kac equation is derived in [5, 31]. More recently, taking the tempered power law function as the waiting time and/or jump length distribution(s) in the CTRW model, the tempered Feynman-Kac equations are also derived in [32], which govern the distribution of the functionals of the tempered anomalous diffusion.

In this paper, we consider the numerical schemes of the backward tempered fractional Feynman-Kac equation proposed in [32, eq. 15], i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G_{x_0}(p, t) &= \left[ \lambda^\gamma D_t^{1-\gamma, \lambda} - \lambda \right] \left[ G_{x_0}(p, t) - e^{-pU(x_0)t} \right] \\ &\quad - pU(x_0)G_{x_0}(p, t) + K_\gamma D_t^{1-\gamma, \lambda} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_0^2} G_{x_0}(p, t), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $G_{x_0}(p, t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-pA} G_{x_0}(A, t) dA$ ,  $\text{Re}(p) > 0$  is the Laplace transform of  $G_{x_0}(A, t)$ , being the probability density function (PDF) of  $A = \int_0^t U([x_0(\tau)])d\tau$  at time  $t$  for a process starting at  $x_0(0)$ ;  $U(x_0) \geq 0$  (then  $A \geq 0$ ) is a prescribed function; the diffusion coefficient  $K_\gamma > 0$  and the tempered parameter  $\lambda \geq 0$  are constants,  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ ; and the operator  $D_t^{1-\gamma, \lambda}$  on the right-hand side of (1) is the tempered fractional substantial derivative, being defined as,

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^{1-\gamma, \lambda} G_{x_0}(p, t) &= \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \left[ \lambda + pU(x_0) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right] \int_0^t \frac{e^{-(t-\xi)(\lambda + pU(x_0))}}{(t-\xi)^{1-\gamma}} G_{x_0}(p, \xi) d\xi, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

which is a time-space coupled operator. Moreover, if  $\lambda = 0$  and  $p \neq 0$ , Eq. (1) reduces to the standard backward fractional Feynman-Kac equation studied in [7, 10]; if  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $p = 0$ , Eq. (1) reduces to the time tempered fractional equation originally proposed in [21] and numerically solved in [14]; if  $\lambda = p = 0$ , Eq. (1) reduces to the anomalous subdiffusive equation discussed in, e.g., [8, 16, 33, 35].

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Sec. 2, we derive an equivalent form of (1) and give the discretization of the tempered fractional substantial derivative. The finite difference scheme and the related theoretical analysis for solving the model (1) are provided in Sec. 3. Then the finite element

approximation and its numerical analysis for the model (1) are presented in Sec. 4. Numerical simulation results are reported in Sec. 5 to confirm the effectiveness of the given scheme. And we conclude the paper in the last section.

## 2. Equivalent form of (1) and discretization of the tempered fractional substantial derivative

This section focuses on deriving the equivalent form of (1) and the discretization of the tempered fractional substantial derivative. Under the assumption that the solution of (1) is sufficiently regular, we firstly derive its equivalent form. For  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , assuming that  $v(t)$  is regular enough, then  ${}_0I_t^\gamma v(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^t (t-\xi)^{\gamma-1} v(\xi) d\xi$ ,  ${}_0D_t^\gamma v(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( {}_0I_t^{1-\gamma} v(t) \right)$ , and  ${}_0^C D_t^\gamma v(t) = {}_0I_t^{1-\gamma} \left( \frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial t} \right)$  are, respectively, defined as the Riemann-Liouville integral, the Riemann-Liouville derivative, and the Caputo derivative [24, 34]; and there hold that

$${}_0D_t^\gamma {}_0I_t^\gamma v(t) = v(t) \quad (3)$$

and

$${}_0I_t^\gamma {}_0D_t^\gamma v(t) = v(t). \quad (4)$$

See Appendix A for the proof of Eqs. (3) and (4). And it is easy to check that Eq. (2) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & D_t^{1-\gamma, \lambda} G_{x_0}(p, t) \\ &= \left[ \lambda + pU(x_0) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right] \left( e^{-(\lambda+pU(x_0))t} {}_0I_t^\gamma \left( e^{(\lambda+pU(x_0))t} G_{x_0}(p, t) \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

In fact, we can further rewrite it as

**Lemma 2.1.** *For  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , there holds*

$$D_t^{1-\gamma, \lambda} G_{x_0}(p, t) = e^{-(\lambda+pU(x_0))t} {}_0D_t^{1-\gamma} \left( e^{(\lambda+pU(x_0))t} G_{x_0}(p, t) \right). \quad (6)$$

See Appendix B for the proof of Eq. (6). By Lemmas 2.1 and Eq. (4), if  $G_{x_0}(p, t)$  with respect to  $t$  lies in  $C^1[0, T]$ , then model (1) has the following equivalent form

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-(\lambda+pU(x_0))t} {}_0^C D_t^\gamma \left( e^{(\lambda+pU(x_0))t} G_{x_0}(p, t) \right) - \lambda^\gamma G_{x_0}(p, t) \\ &= K_\gamma \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_0^2} G_{x_0}(p, t) + f(x_0, p, t), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where  $f(x_0, p, t) = -\lambda^\gamma e^{-pU(x_0)t} + \lambda e^{-(\lambda+pU(x_0))t} {}_0I_t^{1-\gamma}(e^{\lambda t})$ .

Let's briefly introduce the deriving process of Eq. (7). One can firstly rewrite model (1) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \lambda + pU(x_0) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) G_{x_0}(p, t) \\ &= D_t^{1-\gamma, \lambda} \left( \lambda^\gamma + K_\gamma \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_0^2} \right) G_{x_0}(p, t) - \left[ \lambda^\gamma D_t^{1-\gamma, \lambda} - \lambda \right] e^{-pU(x_0)t}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\left( \lambda + pU(x_0) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) G_{x_0}(p, t) = e^{-(\lambda+pU(x_0))t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( e^{(\lambda+pU(x_0))t} G_{x_0}(p, t) \right).$$

Then using Lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( e^{(\lambda+pU(x_0))t} G_{x_0}(p, t) \right) \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= {}_0D_t^{1-\gamma} \left( e^{(\lambda+pU(x_0))t} \left( \lambda^\gamma + K_\gamma \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_0^2} \right) G_{x_0}(p, t) \right) \\ &- e^{(\lambda+pU(x_0))t} \left( \lambda^\gamma D_t^{1-\gamma, \lambda} e^{-pU(x_0)t} - \lambda e^{-pU(x_0)t} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Acting  ${}_0I_t^{(1-\gamma)}$  on both sides of (9), and taking  $v(t)$  in (4) as  $e^{(\lambda+pU(x_0))t} G_{x_0}(p, t)$ , it yields

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-(\lambda+pU(x_0))t} {}_0^C D_t^\gamma \left( e^{(\lambda+pU(x_0))t} G_{x_0}(p, t) \right) - \lambda^\gamma G_{x_0}(p, t) \\ &= K_\gamma \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_0^2} G_{x_0}(p, t) + f(x_0, p, t) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_0, p, t) &= -e^{-(\lambda+pU)t} {}_0I_t^{1-\gamma} \left[ e^{(\lambda+pU)t} \left( \lambda^\gamma D_t^{1-\gamma, \lambda} e^{-pUt} - \lambda e^{-pUt} \right) \right] \\ &= -\lambda^\gamma e^{-pUt} + \lambda e^{-(\lambda+pU)t} {}_0I_t^{1-\gamma} (e^{\lambda t}). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

This process can also be similarly inversed. One can develop the numerical scheme of (1) based on the form (7); since for the given initial and boundary conditions:

$$G_{x_0}(p, 0) = \phi(p, x_0), \quad G_a(p, t) = \psi_l(p, t), \quad G_b(p, t) = \psi_r(p, t), \quad (12)$$

one can let

$$\begin{aligned} G_{x_0}(p, t) &= W_{x_0}(p, t) + \phi(p, x_0) e^{-(\lambda+pU(x_0))t} \\ &+ \frac{(x_0 - a)}{(b - a)} \left[ \psi_r(p, t) e^{(\lambda+pU(b))t} - \phi(p, b) \right] e^{-(\lambda+pU(x_0))t} \\ &+ \frac{(b - x_0)}{(b - a)} \left[ \psi_l(p, t) e^{(\lambda+pU(a))t} - \phi(p, a) \right] e^{-(\lambda+pU(x_0))t} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

to obtain a model with respect to  $W_{x_0}(p, t)$  satisfying  $W_{x_0}(p, 0) = W_a(p, t) = W_b(p, t) = 0$ . So, without loss of generality, we assume that  $\phi(p, x_0) = \psi_l(p, t) = \psi_r(p, t) = 0$ .

Now, based on Eq. (7), we consider the discretization of its time dependent operator. Let  $0 = t_0 < \dots < t_n < \dots < t_N = T$  with  $t_n = n\tau$  be the time partition of  $[0, T]$ , and denote

$$\mathcal{S}^{1+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ v \mid v \in L^1(\mathbb{R}); \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |\omega|)^{1+\gamma} |\mathcal{F}[v(t)](\omega)| d\omega < \infty \right\}.$$

Here  $\mathcal{F}[v(t)](\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega t} v(t) dt$  denotes the Fourier transform of  $v(t)$ . In the following, we assume that  $|pU|$  is bounded.

Note that for  $v(0) = 0$ , one has  ${}_0^C D_t^\gamma v(t) = {}_0 D_t^\gamma v(t)$  and the Grünwald approximation [20, 24]:

$${}_0 D_t^\gamma v(t_n) = \frac{1}{\tau^\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^n g_k^{(\gamma)} v(t_{n-k}) + \mathcal{O}(\tau), \quad \text{if } \tilde{v}(t) \in \mathcal{S}^{1+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}), \quad (14)$$

where  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k^{(\gamma)} z^k = (1 - z)^\gamma$ , and  $\tilde{v}(t)$  is the zero extension of  $v(t)$  for  $t \leq 0$ . By Lemma 2.1, it holds that

$$e^{-(\lambda + pU(x_0))t} {}_0^C D_t^\gamma \left( e^{(\lambda + pU(x_0))t} G_{x_0}(p, t) \right) = D_t^{\gamma, \lambda} G_{x_0}(p, t); \quad (15)$$

and one may desire:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. D_t^{\gamma, \lambda} G_{x_0}(p, t) \right|_{t=t_n} \\ &= \frac{e^{-(\lambda + pU(x_0))t_n}}{\tau^\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^n g_k^{(\gamma)} e^{(\lambda + pU(x_0))t_{n-k}} G_{x_0}(p, t_{n-k}) + \mathcal{O}(\tau) \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau^\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^n g_k^{(\gamma, \lambda)} e^{-pU(x_0)k\tau} G_{x_0}(p, t_{n-k}) + \mathcal{O}(\tau), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where  $g_k^{(\gamma, \lambda)} = e^{-k\lambda\tau} g_k^{(\gamma)}$  satisfying  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k^{(\gamma, \lambda)} z^k = (1 - ze^{-\lambda\tau})^\gamma$ . In fact, currently  $D_t^{\gamma, \lambda} G_{x_0}(p, t)$  can be regarded as the tempered fractional derivative given in [25] with the tempered parameter  $\lambda + pU(x_0)$ . Therefore, the proof of (16) is similar to [20, Theorem 2.4] and [25, Theorem 5.1]. And for the properties of the coefficient  $g_k^{(\gamma, \lambda)}$ , it is easy to check that

**Lemma 2.2.** *For  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , it follows that*

$$g_0^{(\gamma, \lambda)} = 1, \quad g_k^{(\gamma, \lambda)} = e^{-\lambda\tau} \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma + 1}{k} \right) g_{k-1}^{(\gamma, \lambda)} \quad k \geq 1, \quad (17)$$

$$g_k^{(\gamma, \lambda)} < 0, \quad \sum_{k=0}^n g_k^{(\gamma, \lambda)} > (1 - e^{-\lambda\tau})^\gamma. \quad (18)$$

### 3. Finite difference scheme and the stability and convergence analysis

In this section, we derive the finite difference scheme of Eq. (7) and present the detailed stability and convergence analysis.

#### 3.1. Derivation of the difference scheme

Let  $a = x_{0,0} < \dots < x_{0,m} < \dots < x_{0,M} = b$  with  $x_{0,m} = mh$ ,  $h = \frac{b-a}{M}$  be the space partition. And denote  $G_m^n$  as the numerical approximation of  $G_{x_{0,m}}(p, t_n)$ ,  $\Omega := (a, b)$ . For  $G_{x_0}(p, \cdot) \in C^4(\overline{\Omega})$ , the second order central difference formula for the spatial derivative is given as

$$\left. \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_0^2} G_{x_0}(p, t) \right|_{(x_{0,m}, t_n)} \approx \frac{G_{x_{0,m-1}}(p, t_n) - 2G_{x_{0,m}}(p, t_n) + G_{x_{0,m+1}}(p, t_n)}{h^2} \quad (19)$$

with the local truncation error  $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$ . And from (16), one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ e^{-(\lambda + pU(x_{0,m}))t} {}^C_0 D_t^\gamma \left( e^{(\lambda + pU(x_{0,m}))t} G_{x_{0,m}}(p, t) \right) - \lambda^\gamma G_{x_{0,m}}(p, t) \right] \Big|_{t=t_n} \\ & \approx \frac{1}{\tau^\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^n g_k^{(\gamma, \lambda)} e^{-pU(x_{0,m})k\tau} G_{x_{0,m}}(p, t_n - k\tau) - e^{-\gamma\lambda\tau} \lambda^\gamma G_{x_{0,m}}(p, t_n) \\ & \approx \frac{1}{\tau^\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^n d_k^{(\gamma, \lambda)} e^{-pU(x_{0,m})k\tau} G_{x_{0,m}}(p, t_n - k\tau) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

with the local truncation error  $\mathcal{O}(\tau)$ , where  $e^{-\gamma\lambda\tau} = 1 - \gamma\lambda\tau + \mathcal{O}(\tau^2)$  has been used, and  $d_0^{(\gamma, \lambda)} = 1 - e^{-\gamma\lambda\tau}(\lambda\tau)^\gamma$ ,  $d_k^{(\gamma, \lambda)} = g_k^{(\gamma, \lambda)}$  for  $k \geq 1$ .

Denoting

$$\begin{aligned} M_k &= \text{diag} \left( e^{-pU(x_{0,1})k\tau}, \dots, e^{-pU(x_{0,m})k\tau}, \dots, e^{-pU(x_{0,M-1})k\tau} \right), \\ H &= \frac{1}{h^2} \text{tridiag}(1, -2, 1), \quad G_h^n = (G_1^n, \dots, G_m^n, \dots, G_{M-1}^n)^T, \\ F^n &= (f(x_{0,1}, p, t^n), \dots, f(x_{0,m}, p, t^n), \dots, f(x_{0,M-1}, p, t^n))^T, \end{aligned}$$

and omitting the truncation error terms, the difference scheme of (7) can be given as

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{d_k^{(\gamma, \lambda)}}{\tau^\gamma} M_k G_h^{n-k} = K_\gamma H G_h^n + F^n. \quad (21)$$

#### 3.2. Stability and convergence

Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{v^n \mid v^n = (v_0^n, \dots, v_m^n, \dots, v_M^n), v_0^n = v_M^n = 0\}$  be the grid function on the mesh  $\{(t_n, x_{0,m}) \mid 0 \leq n \leq N, 0 \leq m \leq M\}$ . For any  $v, w \in \mathcal{V}$ , we

introduce the following notations

$$(v^n, w^n)_h = h \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} v_m^n \overline{w_m^n}, \quad \|v^n\|_h = \sqrt{(v^n, v^n)_h}, \quad (22)$$

$$|v^n|_{h,1} = \sqrt{h \sum_{m=1}^M \delta_{x_0} v_{m-\frac{1}{2}}^n \overline{\delta_{x_0} v_{m-\frac{1}{2}}^n}}, \quad \|v^n\|_{h,\infty} = \max_{1 \leq m \leq M-1} |v_m^n|, \quad (23)$$

where  $\delta_{x_0} v_{m-\frac{1}{2}}^n = \frac{v_m^n - v_{m-1}^n}{h}$ . Then similar to the proof of [28, Lemma 1.1.1], there holds

$$\|v^n\|_h \leq \frac{b-a}{\sqrt{6}} |v^n|_{h,1}, \quad \|v^n\|_{h,\infty} \leq \frac{b-a}{\sqrt{2}} |v^n|_{h,1}. \quad (24)$$

According to (24), the following norms [13]

$$\|v\|_{0,h,1} = \left[ \sum_{n=1}^N |v^n|_{h,1}^2 \tau \right]^{1/2}, \quad \|v\|_{0',h,\infty} = \sum_{n=1}^N |v^n|_{h,\infty} \tau \quad (25)$$

will be used in the analysis.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any  $L \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $Z = (Z^0, Z^1, \dots, Z^L)^T$ . Then*

$$\sum_{n=0}^L \sum_{k=0}^n d_k^{(\gamma,\lambda)} Z^{n-k} Z^n \geq 0. \quad (26)$$

Here  $Z^T$  denotes the transpose of  $Z$ .

*Proof.* By the definition of  $d_k^{(\gamma,\lambda)}$  and Lemma 2.2, one has

$$d_0^{(\gamma,\lambda)} = 1 - e^{-\gamma\lambda\tau} (\lambda\tau)^\gamma = e^{-\gamma\lambda\tau} ((e^{\lambda\tau})^\gamma - (\lambda\tau)^\gamma) > 0, \quad (27)$$

$$d_k^{(\gamma,\lambda)} \leq 0 \quad \text{for } k \geq 1, \quad (28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n d_k^{(\gamma,\lambda)} &> (1 - e^{-\tau\lambda})^\gamma - e^{-\gamma\lambda\tau} (\lambda\tau)^\gamma \\ &= e^{-\gamma\lambda\tau} ((e^{\lambda\tau} - 1)^\gamma - (\lambda\tau)^\gamma) > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where the inequality  $e^x > 1 + x, x > 0$  has been used. Define a lower triangular Toeplitz matrix  $B = (b_{k,j})_{L+1,L+1}$  with  $b_{k,j} = d_{k-j}^{(\gamma,\lambda)}, j = 0, \dots, k$ . Then matrix  $B$  is row and column diagonally-dominant with  $b_{k,k} > 0$ . Using the Gerschgorin theorem, one has

$$\sum_{n=0}^L \sum_{k=0}^n d_k^{(\gamma,\lambda)} Z^{n-k} Z^n = Z^T B Z = \frac{1}{2} Z^T (B + B^T) Z \geq 0.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.1.** *The discrete scheme (21) is unconditionally stable, i.e., for any  $1 \leq L \leq N$ , there holds*

$$K_\gamma \sum_{n=1}^L \tau \|G_h^n\|_{h,\infty}^2 \leq \frac{\tau(b-a)^4}{12K_\gamma} \sum_{n=1}^L \|F^n\|_h^2 + \tau^{1-\gamma} (b-a)^2 d_0^{(\gamma,\lambda)} \|G_h^0\|_h^2. \quad (30)$$

*Proof.* Taking an inner product of (21) with  $G_h^n$ , it yields that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{d_k^{(\gamma,\lambda)}}{\tau^\gamma} (M_k G_h^{n-k}, G_h^n)_h = K_\gamma (H G_h^n, G_h^n)_h + (F^n, G_h^n)_h. \quad (31)$$

Note that for  $\operatorname{Re}(pU(x_0)) \geq 0$ , there holds  $M_0 = I$  and

$$(M_k G_h^{n-k}, G_h^n)_h \leq \|M_k G_h^{n-k}\|_h \|G_h^n\|_h \leq \|G_h^{n-k}\|_h \|G_h^n\|_h \quad k \geq 1.$$

Putting the above estimate into (31), using (28),  $(H G_h^n, G_h^n)_h = -|G_h^n|_{h,1}^2$ , and Young's inequality, one has

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{d_k^{(\gamma,\lambda)}}{\tau^\gamma} \|G_h^{n-k}\|_h \|G_h^n\|_h + K_\gamma |G_h^n|_{h,1}^2 \leq \epsilon \|F^n\|_h^2 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon} \|G_h^n\|_h^2. \quad (32)$$

Summing up (32) for  $n$  from 1 to  $L$ , then adding  $\tau^{1-\gamma} d_0^{(\gamma,s)} \|G_h^0\|_h^2$  on both sides of the obtained result and using (24), one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau^{1-\gamma} \sum_{n=0}^L \sum_{k=0}^n d_k^{(\gamma,\lambda)} \|G_h^{n-k}\|_h \|G_h^n\|_h + K_\gamma \sum_{n=1}^L \tau |G_h^n|_{h,1}^2 \\ & \leq \tau \sum_{n=1}^L \left( \epsilon \|F^n\|_h^2 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon} \|G_h^n\|_h^2 \right) + \tau^{1-\gamma} d_0^{(\gamma,\lambda)} \|G_h^0\|_h^2 \\ & \leq \tau \sum_{n=1}^L \left( \epsilon \|F^n\|_h^2 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon} \frac{(b-a)^2}{6} |G_h^n|_{h,1}^2 \right) + \tau^{1-\gamma} d_0^{(\gamma,\lambda)} \|G_h^0\|_h^2. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Letting  $Z = (\|G_h^0\|_h, \|G_h^1\|_h, \dots, \|G_h^L\|_h)^T$  and by Lemma 3.1, it holds that

$$\sum_{n=0}^L \sum_{k=0}^n d_k^{(\gamma,\lambda)} \|G_h^{n-k}\|_h \|G_h^n\|_h \geq 0.$$

Putting the above result into (33) and choosing  $\epsilon = \frac{(b-a)^2}{12K_\gamma}$ , one has

$$K_\gamma \sum_{n=1}^L \tau |G_h^n|_{h,1}^2 \leq \frac{\tau(b-a)^2}{6K_\gamma} \sum_{n=1}^L \|F^n\|_h^2 + 2\tau^{1-\gamma} d_0^{(\gamma,\lambda)} \|G_h^0\|_h^2. \quad (34)$$

Then (30) follows after using  $\|G_h^n\|_{h,\infty} \leq \frac{b-a}{\sqrt{2}} |G_h^n|_{h,1}$ .  $\square$



**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $G_{x_0}(p, t)$  be the exact solution of the problem (7) and denote

$$\widetilde{G}^n = \left( \widetilde{G}_1^n, \dots, \widetilde{G}_m^n, \dots, \widetilde{G}_{M-1}^n \right)^T, \quad E_h^n = \widetilde{G}^n - G_h^n,$$

where  $\widetilde{G}_m^n := G_{x_0, m}(p, t_n)$ . Then

$$\|E_h\|_{0', h, \infty} < c_1 (\tau + h^2), \quad \|E_h\|_{0, h, 1} < c_2 (\tau + h^2), \quad (35)$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are constants independent of  $\tau$  and  $h$ , and their values can be simply got from Eqs. (38) and (39).

*Proof.* By (20), (19) and (21), the error equation can be given as

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{d_k^{(\gamma, \lambda)}}{\tau^\gamma} M_k E_h^{n-k} = K_\gamma H E_h^n + R_h^n, \quad (36)$$

where  $E_h^0 = 0$ ,  $R_h^n = (R_1^n, \dots, R_m^n, \dots, R_{M-1}^n)$ , with  $R_m^n = c_3(\tau + h^2)$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq N$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq M-1$  being introduced in (19) and (20). The application of Theorem 3.1 to (36) produces

$$K_\gamma \sum_{n=1}^N \tau \|E_h^n\|_{h, \infty}^2 \leq \frac{\tau(b-a)^4}{12K_\gamma} \sum_{n=1}^N \|R_h^n\|_h^2 \leq c_3^2 T \frac{(b-a)^5}{12K_\gamma} (\tau + h^2)^2. \quad (37)$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \tau \|E_h^n\|_{h, \infty} \right)^2 &\leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \tau \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \tau \|E_h^n\|_{h, \infty}^2 \right) \\ &\leq c_3^2 T^2 \frac{(b-a)^5}{12K_\gamma^2} (\tau + h^2)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

And from (34), it is easy to obtain

$$\|E_h\|_{0, h, 1}^2 \leq c_3^2 T \frac{(b-a)^3}{6K_\gamma^2} (\tau + h^2)^2. \quad (39)$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

#### 4. Finite element scheme and the stability and convergence analysis

In this section, we further discuss the finite element approximation for (7), including the corresponding stability and convergence analysis.

#### 4.1. Derivation of the finite element approximation

As usual, we seek the weak solution  $G_{x_0}(p, \cdot) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  of the model (7) such that for any  $\eta \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , there holds

$$\left( D_t^{\gamma, \lambda} G_{x_0}(p, t), \eta \right) - (\lambda^\gamma G_{x_0}(p, t), \eta) + K_\gamma \left( \frac{\partial G_{x_0}(p, t)}{\partial x_0}, \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_0} \right) = (f, \eta), \quad (40)$$

where  $(v, w) = \int_\Omega v \bar{w} dx_0$  denotes the complex inner product in  $L_2(\Omega)$ . Let  $a = x_{0,1} < \dots < x_{0,m} < \dots < x_{0,M} = b$  be a partition of  $\Omega$  with  $x_{0,m} = mh, h = \frac{(b-a)}{M}$ . Then we define the finite element space:

$$S_h := \left\{ v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega}) : v|_{I_m} \in P_l(I_m) \right\}, \quad (41)$$

where  $P_l(I_m)$  denotes the space of polynomials of degree no greater than  $l$  ( $l \in \mathbb{N}^+$ ) on  $I_m = (x_{0,m-1}, x_{0,m})$ . Combining with (20), the fully discrete finite element scheme can be described as: for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , find  $G_h^n \in S_h$  such that

$$\left( \frac{1}{\tau^\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^n d_k^{(\gamma, \lambda)} e^{-pUk\tau} G_h^{n-k}, \eta \right) + K_\gamma \left( \frac{\partial G_h^n}{\partial x_0}, \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_0} \right) = (f^n, \eta) \quad \forall \eta \in S_h \quad (42)$$

with  $G_h^0 = R_h \phi(p, x_0) = 0 \in S_h$ , where  $f^n = f(x_0, p, t_n)$ .

#### 4.2. Stability and convergence

For  $v(x_0, t)$  defined on  $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]$ , we introduce the following notations:

$$\|v\|_0 = \sqrt{(v, v)}, \quad |v|_1 = \sqrt{\left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_0}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_0} \right)}, \quad \|v\|_{\infty, q} = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|v(\cdot, t)\|_q, \quad (43)$$

where  $\|v\|_q$  denotes the norm in Sobolev space  $H^q(\Omega)$  ( $H^0(\Omega) := L_2(\Omega)$ ). And for  $v(a, t) = v(b, t) = 0$ , it holds that

$$\|v\|_0 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} |v|_1 = \frac{b-a}{\pi} |v|_1, \quad (44)$$

where  $\lambda_1$  denotes the smallest eigenvalue of operator  $-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_0^2}$ . Then with the time partition  $t_n, 0 \leq n \leq N$  and  $v^n := v(\cdot, t_n)$ , the following norm [12]

$$\|v\|_{0, h, 1} = \left[ \sum_{n=1}^N |v^n|_1^2 \tau \right]^{1/2} \quad (45)$$

will be used in the analysis.

For every  $t$ , define the Ritz projection  $R_h : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow S_h$  by the orthogonal relation

$$\left( \frac{\partial (R_h G_{x_0}(p, t))}{\partial x_0}, \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_0} \right) = \left( \frac{\partial G_{x_0}(p, t)}{\partial x_0}, \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_0} \right) \quad \forall \eta \in S_h. \quad (46)$$

Then for  $G_{x_0}(p, t) \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^q(\Omega)$ , we have the well known approximation property [29]:

$$\|\rho\|_0 + h \|\rho\|_1 \leq c_4 h^{\min\{l+1, q\}} \|G_{x_0}(p, t)\|_q, \quad (47)$$

where  $\rho = G_{x_0}(p, t) - R_h G_{x_0}(p, t)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *The finite element scheme (42) is unconditionally stable. And the approximate solution  $G_h^n$  satisfies the following error estimate:*

$$\|E\|_{0,h,1} \leq c_5 \left( \tau + h^{\min\{l, q-1\}} \right), \quad (48)$$

where  $E = (G_{x_0}(p, t_1) - G_h^1, \dots, G_{x_0}(p, t_n) - G_h^n, \dots, G_{x_0}(p, t_N) - G_h^N)$  with  $G_{x_0}(p, t_n)$  satisfying (40), and  $c_5$  is constant independent of  $\tau$  and  $h$ , which can be obtained from Eq. (61).

*Proof.* Taking  $\eta = G_h^n$  in (42), it yields that

$$\frac{1}{\tau^\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^n d_k^{(\gamma, \lambda)} (e^{-pUk\tau} G_h^{n-k}, G_h^n) + K_\gamma \left( \frac{\partial G_h^n}{\partial x_0}, \frac{\partial G_h^n}{\partial x_0} \right) = (f^n, G_h^n). \quad (49)$$

Note that for  $\text{Re}(pU(x_0)) \geq 0$ ,

$$(e^{-pUk\tau} G_h^{n-k}, G_h^n) \leq \|G_h^{n-k}\|_0 \|G_h^n\|_0. \quad (50)$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{\tau^\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^n d_k^{(\gamma, \lambda)} \|G_h^{n-k}\|_0 \|G_h^n\|_0 + K_\gamma |G_h^n|_1^2 \leq \epsilon \|f^n\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon} \|G_h^n\|_0^2. \quad (51)$$

Summing up (51) for  $n$  from 1 to  $L$ , then adding  $\tau^{1-\gamma} d_0^{(\gamma, s)} \|G_h^0\|_0^2$  on both sides of the obtained result, and using (44) and Lemma 3.1, one has

$$K_\gamma \sum_{n=1}^L \tau |G_h^n|_1^2 \leq \tau \sum_{n=1}^L \left( \epsilon \|f^n\|_0^2 + \frac{(b-a)^2}{4\epsilon\pi^2} |G_h^n|_1^2 \right) + \tau^{1-\gamma} d_0^{(\gamma, \lambda)} \|G_h^0\|_0^2. \quad (52)$$

Choosing  $\epsilon = \frac{(b-a)^2}{2\pi^2 K_\gamma}$ , it yields that

$$K_\gamma \sum_{n=1}^L \tau |G_h^n|_1^2 \leq \frac{\tau(b-a)^2}{\pi^2 K_\gamma} \sum_{n=1}^L \|f^n\|_0^2 + 2\tau^{1-\gamma} d_0^{(\gamma, \lambda)} \|G_h^0\|_0^2. \quad (53)$$

So, the proof of the unconditional stability of the finite element scheme (42) is completed.

Now, we derive the error estimate. First, we decompose the error into two terms, i.e.,  $G_{x_0}(p, t_n) - G_h^n = \rho^n + \theta^n$  with  $\rho^n = G_{x_0}(p, t_n) - R_h G_{x_0}(p, t_n)$  and  $\theta^n = R_h G_{x_0}(p, t_n) - G_h^n$ . Then for any  $\eta \in S_h$ , it follows that

$$\left( \frac{1}{\tau^\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^n d_k^{(\gamma, \lambda)} e^{-pUk\tau} \theta^{n-k}, \eta \right) + K_\gamma \left( \frac{\partial \theta^n}{\partial x_0}, \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_0} \right) = (r_1^n + r_2^n, \eta), \quad (54)$$

where  $r_1^n$  satisfying  $\|r_1^n\|_0 \leq c_6\tau$  with  $1 \leq n \leq N$  is the time directional error term introduced in (20), and the space projection error

$$\begin{aligned}
\|r_2^n\|_0 &= \left\| -\frac{1}{\tau^\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^n d_k^{(\gamma,\lambda)} e^{-pUk\tau} \rho^{n-k} \right\|_0 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\tau^\gamma} \left\| e^{-(\lambda+pU)t_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (Q_k^\gamma - Q_{k-1}^\gamma) e^{(\lambda+pU)t_{n-k}} \rho^{n-k} \right\|_0 + e^{-\gamma\lambda h} \lambda^\gamma \|\rho^n\|_0 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\tau^\gamma} \left\| e^{-(\lambda+pU)t_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Q_{n-1-k}^\gamma \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( e^{(\lambda+pU)t} \rho \right) dt \right\|_0 + e^{-\gamma\lambda h} \lambda^\gamma \|\rho^n\|_0 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\tau^\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Q_{n-1-k}^\gamma \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \left\| \left( \lambda + pU + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \rho \right\|_0 dt + e^{-\gamma\lambda h} \lambda^\gamma \|\rho^n\|_0 \\
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{Q_{n-1-k}^\gamma}{\tau^{\gamma-1}} \left( \left( \lambda + p \sup_{x_0 \in \Omega} U(x_0) \right) \|\rho\|_{\infty,0} + \left\| \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \right\|_{\infty,0} \right) + \lambda^\gamma \|\rho^n\|_0. \quad (55)
\end{aligned}$$

Here  $Q_{-1}^\gamma = 0$ ,  $Q_k^\gamma = \sum_{j=0}^k g_j^{(\gamma,0)} > 0$ , and  $\rho^0 = 0$  have been used in the third step. Since

$$(1-z)^\gamma = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k^{(\gamma,0)} z^k = Q_0^\gamma + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (Q_k^\gamma - Q_{k-1}^\gamma) z^k = (1-z) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k^\gamma z^k, \quad (56)$$

then  $Q_k^\gamma$  are the coefficients of the power series of the function  $(1-z)^{\gamma-1}$ . By the Stirling formula, it follows that [17, p. 9]

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \binom{\gamma-1}{k} = (-1)^{n-1} \binom{\gamma-1}{n-1} = \frac{n^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(2-\gamma)} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-\gamma}). \quad (57)$$

Hence

$$\tau^{1-\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Q_{n-1-k}^\gamma = \frac{t_n^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(2-\gamma)} + \tau \mathcal{O}(t_n^{-\gamma}) \leq c_7 T^{1-\gamma}. \quad (58)$$

Taking  $\eta = \theta^n$  in (54) and combining (47), (49), (53), (55) and (58), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
K_\gamma \sum_{n=1}^N \tau |\theta^n|_1^2 &\leq \frac{\tau(b-a)^2}{\pi^2 K_\gamma} \sum_{n=1}^N \|r_1^n + r_2^n\|_0^2 + 2\tau^{1-\gamma} d_0^{(\gamma,\lambda)} \|\theta^0\|_0^2 \\
&\leq \frac{2(b-a)^2}{\pi^2 K_\gamma} T \left( (b-a)c_6^2 \tau^2 + c_8^2 h^{2\min\{l+1,q\}} \right), \quad (59)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
c_8 &= \left( c_4 c_7 T^{1-\gamma} \left( \lambda + p \sup_{x_0 \in \Omega} U(x_0) \right) + c_4 \lambda^\gamma \right) \|G_{x_0}(p, t)\|_{\infty,q} \\
&\quad + c_4 c_7 T^{1-\gamma} \left\| \frac{\partial G_{x_0}(p, t)}{\partial t} \right\|_{\infty,q}. \quad (60)
\end{aligned}$$

By the triangle inequality and property (47), it yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\|E\|_{0,h,1}^2 &\leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \tau |\theta^n|_1^2 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \tau |\rho^n|_1^2 \\
&\leq \frac{4(b-a)^2}{\pi^2 K_\gamma^2} T \left( (b-a) c_6^2 \tau^2 + c_8^2 h^{2 \min\{l+1, q\}} \right) \\
&\quad + 2c_4^2 \|G_{x_0}(p, t)\|_{\infty, q}^2 T h^{2 \min\{l, q-1\}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

## 5. Numerical results

In this section, we present several examples to verify the theoretical results provided in the previous section. In the examples, the parameters are taken as  $\Omega = (0, 1)$ ,  $K_r = 1$  and  $U(x_0) = x_0$ .

**Example 5.1.** *In this example, we add a source term in model (7) such that its exact solution is*

$$G_{x_0}(p, t) = (t^2 + 1)e^{-(\lambda+px_0)t} (\sin(x_0) - x_0 \sin(1)).$$

The corresponding source term and the initial and boundary conditions can be derived from the exact solution.

By formula (13), we can let

$$G_{x_0}(p, t) = W_{x_0}(p, t) + (\sin(x_0) - x_0 \sin(1)) e^{-(\lambda+px_0)t}. \tag{62}$$

Then  $W_{x_0}(p, t)$  solves

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{-(\lambda+px_0)t} {}^C D_t^\gamma \left( e^{(\lambda+px_0)t} W_{x_0}(p, t) \right) - \lambda^\gamma W_{x_0}(p, t) \\
&= K_\gamma \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_0^2} W_{x_0}(p, t) + g(x_0, p, t),
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
g(x_0, p, t) &= e^{-(\lambda+px_0)t} (\sin(x_0) - x_0 \sin(1)) \left( \frac{2}{\Gamma(3-\gamma)} t^{2-\gamma} - \lambda^\gamma t^2 \right) \\
&\quad + K_\gamma t^2 e^{-(\lambda+px_0)t} [(p^2 t^2 - 1) \sin(x_0) - 2pt \cos(x_0) + (2pt - p^2 t^2 x_0) \sin(1)].
\end{aligned}$$

We choose  $l = 1$  for the finite element discretization. Then at every time level  $t = t_n$ , both the differential matrixes of the finite difference and the finite element schemes are tridiagonal; the speedup method can be used with the cost

Table 1: Numerical results (the  $\|\cdot\|_{0',h,\infty}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{0,h,1}$  errors) of the finite difference scheme for Example 5.1 with  $\lambda = 3, T = 1$ , and  $h = 1/2^{11}$ .

type	$\tau$	$\gamma = 0.3, p = 1 + i$		$\gamma = 0.5, p = 5$		$\gamma = 0.8, p = 10i$	
		Err	Rate	Err	rate	Err	Rate
$\ \cdot\ _{0,h,\infty}$	$1/2^7$	1.1075e-06	—	1.2351e-06	—	5.8745e-06	—
	$1/2^8$	5.5468e-07	0.9976	6.2154e-07	0.9907	2.9626e-06	0.9876
	$1/2^9$	2.7751e-07	0.9991	3.1188e-07	0.9949	1.4869e-06	0.9946
$\ \cdot\ _{0,h,1}$	$1/2^7$	2.6618e-06	—	3.5930e-06	—	1.6728e-05	—
	$1/2^8$	1.3343e-06	0.9964	1.8094e-06	0.9897	8.4359e-06	0.9877
	$1/2^9$	6.6784e-07	0.9985	9.0812e-07	0.9946	4.2324e-06	0.9951

Table 2: Numerical results (the  $\|\cdot\|_{0',h,\infty}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{0,h,1}$  errors, implemented with the time scheme (64)) of the finite difference scheme for Example 5.1 with  $\lambda = 3, T = 1$ , and  $h = 1/2^{11}$ .

type	$\tau$	$\gamma = 0.3, p = 1 + i$		$\gamma = 0.5, p = 5$		$\gamma = 0.8, p = 10i$	
		Err	Rate	Err	rate	Err	Rate
$\ \cdot\ _{0,h,\infty}$	$1/2^7$	1.6347e-05	—	2.0377e-05	—	6.9109e-05	—
	$1/2^8$	8.2423e-06	0.9879	1.0326e-05	0.9807	3.5002e-05	0.9814
	$1/2^9$	4.1381e-06	0.9941	5.1977e-06	0.9903	1.7610e-05	0.9911
$\ \cdot\ _{0,h,1}$	$1/2^7$	4.6496e-05	—	6.8074e-05	—	1.9591e-04	—
	$1/2^8$	2.3450e-05	0.9875	3.4511e-05	0.9800	9.9249e-05	0.9811
	$1/2^9$	1.1776e-05	0.9938	1.7378e-05	0.9898	4.9944e-05	0.9907

$\mathcal{O}(M)$ . The numerical results are given in Tables 1, 3, and 5, which well confirm the theoretical analysis. Removing the limitation of zero initial condition, instead of (16), numerically one can use the discretization:

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-(\lambda+px_0)t} {}^C_0 D_t^\gamma \left( e^{(\lambda+px_0)t} G_{x_0}(p, t) \right) \Big|_{t=t_n} \\
&= e^{-(\lambda+px_0)t} {}^C_0 D_t^\gamma \left( e^{(\lambda+px_0)t} \left( G_{x_0}(p, t) - e^{-(\lambda+px_0)t} G_{x_0}(p, 0) \right) \right) \Big|_{t=t_n} \\
&= D_t^{\gamma, \lambda} \left( G_{x_0}(p, t) - e^{-(\lambda+px_0)t} \phi(p, x_0) \right) \Big|_{t=t_n} \\
&= \frac{1}{\tau^\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^n g_k^{(\gamma, \lambda)} e^{-px_0 k \tau} G_{x_0}(p, t_{n-k}) - \frac{e^{-(\lambda+px_0)n\tau}}{\tau^\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^n g_k^{(\gamma, 0)} \phi + \mathcal{O}(\tau). \quad (64)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (64) in (20) leads to a new discretization scheme of (7). The numerical results obtained with the corresponding finite difference and finite element schemes are given in Tables 2, 4, and 6, which show the same convergence order, but with slightly big numerical errors.

**Example 5.2.** In this example, we consider the model (7) itself with

$$\phi(p, x_0) = 0, \quad \psi_l(p, t) = t, \quad \psi_r(p, t) = e^{-t} - 1.$$

Table 3: Numerical results (the  $\|\cdot\|_{0',h,\infty}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{0,h,1}$  errors) of the finite difference scheme for Example 5.1 with  $\lambda = 3$ ,  $T = 1$ , and  $\tau = h^2$ .

<i>type</i>	<i>h</i>	$\gamma = 0.3, p = 1 + i$		$\gamma = 0.5, p = 5$		$\gamma = 0.8, p = 10i$	
		Err	Rate	Err	rate	Err	Rate
$\ \cdot\ _{0,h,\infty}$	$1/2^4$	2.9913e-06	—	6.6574e-06	—	7.5610e-05	—
	$1/2^5$	7.4620e-07	2.0031	1.6625e-06	2.0016	1.8583e-05	2.0246
	$1/2^6$	1.8646e-07	2.0007	4.1552e-07	2.0004	4.6304e-06	2.0048
$\ \cdot\ _{0,h,1}$	$1/2^4$	7.9241e-06	—	2.1450e-05	—	5.3436e-04	—
	$1/2^5$	1.9817e-06	1.9995	5.3784e-06	1.9957	1.3292e-04	2.0073
	$1/2^6$	4.9547e-07	1.9999	1.3456e-06	1.9989	3.3188e-05	2.0018

Table 4: Numerical results (the  $\|\cdot\|_{0',h,\infty}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{0,h,1}$  errors, implemented with the time scheme (64)) of the finite difference scheme for Example 5.1 with  $\lambda = 3$ ,  $T = 1$ , and  $\tau = h^2$ .

<i>type</i>	<i>h</i>	$\gamma = 0.3, p = 1 + i$		$\gamma = 0.5, p = 5$		$\gamma = 0.8, p = 10i$	
		Err	Rate	Err	rate	Err	Rate
$\ \cdot\ _{0,h,\infty}$	$1/2^4$	1.1756e-05	—	2.3316e-05	—	2.7890e-04	—
	$1/2^5$	2.9399e-06	1.9996	5.8392e-06	1.9975	6.8873e-05	2.0178
	$1/2^6$	7.3505e-07	1.9998	1.4606e-06	1.9992	1.7179e-05	2.0033
$\ \cdot\ _{0,h,1}$	$1/2^4$	2.9254e-05	—	6.3071e-05	—	1.4813e-03	—
	$1/2^5$	7.3311e-06	1.9966	1.5825e-05	1.9947	3.6924e-04	2.0042
	$1/2^6$	1.8339e-06	1.9991	3.9600e-06	1.9987	9.2242e-05	2.0010

Table 5: Numerical results (the  $\|\cdot\|_{0,h,1}$ -error) of the finite element scheme for Example 5.1 with  $\lambda = 3$  and  $T = 1$ .

$\tau$	<i>h</i>	$\gamma = 0.3, p = 1 + i$		$\gamma = 0.5, p = 5$		$\gamma = 0.8, p = 10i$	
		Err	Rate	Err	rate	Err	Rate
$\tau = h$	$1/2^4$	3.1340e-04	—	2.9617e-04	—	2.8873e-03	—
	$1/2^5$	1.5555e-04	1.0106	1.4700e-04	1.0106	1.4190e-03	1.0248
	$1/2^6$	7.7477e-05	1.0055	7.3149e-05	1.0069	7.0208e-04	1.0151
$\tau = h^2$	$1/2^4$	3.0837e-04	—	2.8818e-04	—	2.7588e-03	—
	$1/2^5$	1.5410e-04	1.0008	1.4446e-04	0.9963	1.3844e-03	0.9947
	$1/2^6$	7.7037e-05	1.0002	7.2275e-05	0.9991	6.9285e-04	0.9987

Table 6: Numerical results (the  $\|\cdot\|_{0,h,1}$ -error, implemented with the time scheme (64)) of the finite element scheme for Example 5.1 with  $\lambda = 3$  and  $T = 1$ .

$\tau$	<i>h</i>	$\gamma = 0.3, p = 1 + i$		$\gamma = 0.5, p = 5$		$\gamma = 0.8, p = 10i$	
		Err	Rate	Err	rate	Err	Rate
$\tau = h$	$1/2^4$	3.1677e-03	—	2.3810e-03	—	9.9236e-03	—
	$1/2^5$	1.6769e-03	0.9176	1.2970e-03	0.8763	4.9773e-03	0.9955
	$1/2^6$	8.6229e-04	0.9595	6.7730e-04	0.9374	2.4903e-03	0.9990
$\tau = h^2$	$1/2^4$	3.5166e-03	—	2.7857e-03	—	9.8866e-03	—
	$1/2^5$	1.7676e-03	0.9924	1.4047e-03	0.9878	4.9619e-03	0.9946
	$1/2^6$	8.8499e-04	0.9981	7.0384e-04	0.9969	2.4833e-03	0.9986

By formula (13), one can let

$$G_{x_0}(p, t) = W_{x_0}(p, t) + \left[ (e^{-t} - 1)e^{(\lambda+p)t}x_0 + te^{\lambda t}(1 - x_0) \right] e^{-(\lambda+px_0)t}$$

to obtain a model of  $W_{x_0}(p, t)$  with

$$\begin{aligned} g = & - \left[ x_0 {}_0^C D_t^\gamma \left( (e^{-t} - 1)e^{(\lambda+p)t} \right) + (1 - x_0) {}_0^C D_t^\gamma (te^{\lambda t}) \right] e^{-(\lambda+px_0)t} \\ & + \lambda^\gamma \left( (e^{-t} - 1)x_0 e^{pt} + t(1 - x_0) - 1 \right) e^{-px_0 t} + \lambda e^{-(\lambda+px_0)t} {}_0 I_t^{1-\gamma} (e^{\lambda t}) \\ & + K_\gamma \left[ (e^{-t} - 1)(p^2 t^2 x_0 - 2pt)e^{pt} + t^2(-p^2 t x_0 + p^2 t + 2p) \right] e^{-ptx_0}, \end{aligned}$$

where for every time  $t = t_n$ ,  ${}_0 I_t^{1-\gamma}(e^{\lambda t})$  in  $g(x_0, p, t)$  can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} {}_0 I_t^{1-\gamma}(e^{\lambda t}) \Big|_{t=t_n} &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \int_0^{t_n} (t_n - \xi)^{-\gamma} e^{\lambda \xi} d\xi \\ &= \frac{t_n^{1-\gamma}}{2^{1-\gamma} \Gamma(1-\gamma)} \int_{-1}^1 (1 - \xi)^{-\gamma} e^{\frac{\lambda t_n(1+\xi)}{2}} d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

Then the Gauss Jacobi quadrature with the weight  $(1 - \xi)^{-\gamma}$  can be used to compute (65) [15, Appendix A, p. 447]. Note that

$${}_0^C D_t^\gamma \left( (e^{-t} - 1)e^{(\lambda+p)t} \right) = {}_0 I_t^{1-\gamma} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \left( (e^{-t} - 1)e^{(\lambda+p)t} \right) \right), \quad (66)$$

$${}_0^C D_t^\gamma (te^{\lambda t}) = {}_0 I_t^{1-\gamma} \left( \frac{d}{dt} (te^{\lambda t}) \right); \quad (67)$$

so, Eqs. (66) and (67) can also be handled similar to (65). The numerical results are presented in Tables 7-9, where in the finite difference scheme, the numerical solutions obtained at  $\tau = h = 1/2^{12}$  have been regarded as the exact solutions; and in the finite element scheme, the  $|\cdot|_1^*$ -errors at  $h$  denote  $\left| G_{h/2}^N - G_h^N \right|_1$ , which can be used to check the convergence order with  $|\cdot|_1$  norm. In fact, there hold

$$\begin{aligned} \left| G_{h/2}^N - G_h^N \right|_1 &\leq \left| G_{x_0}(p, t_N) - G_{h/2}^N \right|_1 + \left| G_{x_0}(p, t_N) - G_h^N \right|_1 \\ &\leq 2 \left| G_{x_0}(p, t_N) - G_h^N \right|_1. \end{aligned}$$

**Example 5.3.** If the PDF  $G_{x_0}(A, t)$  is needed, the numerical inversion of the Laplace transform (NIL) must be used. Let the exact solution of (7) be

$$G_{x_0}(p, t) = t^2 e^{-\lambda t} (x_0 - x_0^3) / (p + 2). \quad (68)$$

Then the corresponding right hand term  $f(x_0, p, t)$  can be derived from the exact solution.



Table 7: Numerical results (the  $\|\cdot\|_{h,\infty}$  and  $|\cdot|_{h,1}$  errors) of the finite difference scheme for Example 5.2 with  $p = 5i$ ,  $T = 0.5$ , and  $h = 1/2^{11}$ .

type	$\tau$	$\gamma = 0.3, \lambda = 0$		$\gamma = 0.5, \lambda = 3$		$\gamma = 0.8, \lambda = 5$	
		Err	Rate	Err	rate	Err	Rate
$\ \cdot\ _{h,\infty}$	$1/2^7$	2.1296e-04	—	6.4417e-04	—	2.6421e-03	—
	$1/2^8$	1.0480e-04	1.0229	3.1900e-04	1.0139	1.3162e-03	1.0053
	$1/2^9$	5.0722e-05	1.0470	1.5484e-04	1.0428	6.4073e-04	1.0386
$ \cdot _{h,1}$	$1/2^7$	4.7631e-04	—	1.4418e-03	—	5.9332e-03	—
	$1/2^8$	2.3441e-04	1.0229	7.1397e-04	1.0139	2.9557e-03	1.0053
	$1/2^9$	1.1345e-04	1.0470	3.4655e-04	1.0428	1.4389e-03	1.0386

Table 8: Numerical results (the  $\|\cdot\|_{h,\infty}$  and  $|\cdot|_{h,1}$  errors) of the finite difference scheme for Example 5.2 with  $p = 5i$ ,  $T = 0.5$ , and  $\tau = h^2$ .

type	$h$	$\gamma = 0.3, \lambda = 0$		$\gamma = 0.5, \lambda = 3$		$\gamma = 0.8, \lambda = 5$	
		Err	Rate	Err	rate	Err	Rate
$\ \cdot\ _{h,\infty}$	$1/2^4$	1.0019e-03	—	1.1577e-03	—	1.6511e-03	—
	$1/2^5$	2.4892e-04	2.0090	2.8575e-04	2.0184	3.8684e-04	2.0937
	$1/2^6$	6.0962e-05	2.0297	6.8576e-05	2.0590	7.1566e-05	2.4344
$ \cdot _{h,1}$	$1/2^4$	2.4312e-03	—	2.7401e-03	—	3.7190e-03	—
	$1/2^5$	6.0597e-04	2.0043	6.7931e-04	2.0121	8.7433e-04	2.0887
	$1/2^6$	1.4917e-04	2.0223	1.6489e-04	2.0426	1.6538e-04	2.4024

Table 9: Numerical results ( $|\cdot|_1^*$ -error) of the finite element scheme for Example 5.2 with  $p = 5i$ , and  $T = 0.5$ .

$\tau$	$h$	$\gamma = 0.3, \lambda = 0$		$\gamma = 0.5, \lambda = 3$		$\gamma = 0.8, \lambda = 5$	
		Err	Rate	Err	rate	Err	Rate
$\tau = h$	$1/2^4$	6.8170e-02	—	7.2097e-02	—	8.0231e-02	—
	$1/2^5$	3.4093e-02	0.9997	3.6046e-02	1.0001	4.0452e-02	0.9879
	$1/2^6$	1.7047e-02	1.0000	1.8021e-02	1.0002	2.0323e-02	0.9931
$\tau = h^2$	$1/2^4$	6.8112e-02	—	7.1635e-02	—	7.5383e-02	—
	$1/2^5$	3.4072e-02	0.9993	3.5832e-02	0.9994	3.7672e-02	1.0008
	$1/2^6$	1.7038e-02	0.9998	1.7918e-02	0.9998	1.8833e-02	1.0002




Figure 1: Simulation results of  $G_{x_0}(A, t)$  (left:  $\lambda = 0, \gamma = 0.3$ , and right:  $\lambda = 3, \gamma = 0.6$ ) for Example (5.3) with  $h = \tau = 1/2^{10}$ ,  $K_1 = 25$ ,  $K_2 = 15$ ,  $\tilde{A} = 18.4$ , and  $T = 0.5$ ; (red) real lines are for analytical solutions.

We use the Fourier series Euler summation method proposed in [1] to simulate  $G_{x_0}(A, t)$ :

$$G_{x_0}(A, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-\infty i}^{\sigma+\infty i} e^{pA} G_{x_0}(p, t) dp \approx \sum_{k=0}^{K_2} \binom{K_2}{k} 2^{-K_2} s_{K_1+k}(A),$$

where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$  and  $s_n(A)$  is the  $n$ -th partial sum:

$$s_n(A) = \frac{e^{\tilde{A}/2}}{2A} \operatorname{Re} \left( G_{x_0} \left( \frac{\tilde{A}}{2A}, t \right) \right) + \frac{e^{\tilde{A}/2}}{A} \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j \operatorname{Re} \left( G_{x_0} \left( \frac{\tilde{A}}{2A} + \frac{j\pi i}{A}, t \right) \right).$$

In practice, Abate and Whitt [1] suggest to set  $\tilde{A}$  equal to 18.4. The numerical results are plotted in Figure 1, being compared with the analytical inversion of  $G_{x_0}(A, t) = t^2 e^{-\lambda t - 2A} (x_0 - x_0^3)$  (denoted by (red) real lines). For fixed  $x_0$  and  $t$ , the finite difference scheme (21) has been used to compute  $G_{x_0}(p_j, t)$ . Here,  $p_j = \frac{\tilde{A}}{2A} + \frac{j\pi i}{A}$  and  $j = 0, \dots, K_1 + K_2$ .

**Remark 5.1.** Though there have been a lot of works on the NIL (see, e.g., [9, 18] and the references therein), to ensure the validity and stability of the inversion, besides the values  $G_{x_0}(p_j, t)$  the further requirements on  $G_{x_0}(p, t)$  (even on  $G_{x_0}(A, t)$ , being hard to know in advance) and the multi-precision computation are usually needed. We will discuss these in our future work.

## 6. Conclusions

Based on the presented discretization of the tempered fractional substantial derivative, we have proposed the finite difference and finite element methods for the backward time tempered fractional Feynman-Kac equation with the detailed unconditional stability and convergence analyses. Some important ideas/techniques for the discretization of the tempered fractional substantial derivative and the proof of the stability and convergence are introduced. The effectiveness of the schemes, including unconditional stability and the order of convergence, is verified by the numerical experiments.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11271173.

## Appendix A. Proof of Eq. (4):

First,  ${}_0D_t^{1-\gamma}{}_0I_t^{1-\gamma}v(t) = v(t)$  is a direct result of [34, Property 1.18]. Note that for  $v(t) \in C^1[0, t]$ , one has [24, Eq. (2.113), P. 71]

$${}_0I_t^\gamma {}_0D_t^\gamma v(t) = v(t) - \left[ {}_0I_t^{1-\gamma} v(t) \right]_{t=0} \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}.$$

And using the integration by part, it holds that

$${}_0I_t^{1-\gamma}v(t) = \frac{t^{1-\gamma}v(0)}{\Gamma(2-\gamma)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\gamma)} \int_0^t (t-\xi)^{1-\gamma} v'(\xi) d\xi.$$

Then (4) follows from  $\left[ {}_0I_t^{1-\gamma}v(t) \right]_{t=0} = 0$ .

## Appendix B. Proof of Eq. (6):

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( e^{-(\lambda+pU(x_0))t} {}_0I_t^\gamma \left( e^{(\lambda+pU(x_0))t} G_{x_0}(p, t) \right) \right) \\ &= -(\lambda + pU(x_0)) \left( e^{-(\lambda+pU(x_0))t} {}_0I_t^\gamma \left( e^{(\lambda+pU(x_0))t} G_{x_0}(p, t) \right) \right) \\ &+ e^{-(\lambda+pU(x_0))t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( {}_0I_t^\gamma \left( e^{(\lambda+pU(x_0))t} G_{x_0}(p, t) \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

then using the definitions of the Riemann-Liouville derivative and the Caputo derivative, and (5), one arrives the desired result.

## References

- [1] J. Abate, Numerical inversion of Laplace transforms of probability distributions, ORSA J. Comput. 7 (1995) 36-43.
- [2] N. Agmon, Residence times in diffusion process, J. Chem. Phys. 81 (1984) 3644-3647.
- [3] B. Baeumer, M.M. Meerschaert, Tempered stable Lévy motion and transient super-diffusion, J. Comput. Phys. 233 (2014) 2438-2448.
- [4] A. Bar-Haim, J. Klafter, On mean residence and first passage times in finite one-dimensional systems, J. Chem. Phys. 109 (1998) 5187-5193.

- [5] S. Carmi, L. Turgeman, E. Barkai, On distributions of functionals of anomalous diffusion paths, *J. Stat. Phys.* 141 (2010) 1071-1092.
- [6] A. Cartea, D. del Castillo-Negrete, Fluid limit of the continuous-time random walk with general Lévy jump distribution functions, *Phys. Rev. E* 76 (2007) 041105.
- [7] M.H. Chen, W.H. Deng, High order algorithms for the fractional substantial diffusion equation with truncated Lévy flights, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* 37 (2015) A890-A917.
- [8] S. Chen, F. Liu, P. Zhuang, V. Anh, Finite difference approximations for the fractional Fokker-Planck equation, *Appl. Math. Model.* 33 (2009) 256-273.
- [9] A.M. Cohen, *Numerical Methods for Laplace Transform Inversion*, Springer, 2007.
- [10] W.H. Deng, M.H. Chen, E. Barkai, Numerical algorithms for the forward and backward fractional Feynman-Kac equations, *J. Sci. Comput.* 62 (2015) 718-746.
- [11] W.H. Deng, J.S. Hesthaven, Local discontinuous Galerkin methods for fractal diffusion equations, *ESAIM Math. Model. Numer. Anal.* 47 (2013) 1845-1864.
- [12] V.J. Ervin, N. Heuer, J.P. Roop, Numerical approximation of a time dependent nonlinear, space-fractional diffusion equation, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 45 (2007) 572-591.
- [13] G.H. Gao, Z.Z. Sun, Two alternating direction implicit difference schemes for two-dimensional distributed-order fractional diffusion equations, *J. Sci. Comput.* 66 (2016) 1281-1312.
- [14] E. Hanert, C. Piret, A chebyshev pseudospectral method to solve the space-time tempered fractal diffusion equation, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* 36 (2015) A1797-A1812.
- [15] J.S. Hesthaven, T. Warburton, *Nodal Discontinuous Galerkin Methods: Algorithms, Analysis, and Applications*, Springer, New York, 2007.
- [16] X. Li, C. Xu, A space-time spectral method for the time fractional diffusion equation, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 47 (2009) 2108-2131.
- [17] C. Lubich, L.H. Sloan, V. Thomée, Nonsmooth data error estimates for approximations of an evolution equation with a positive-type memory term, *Math. Comp.* 65 (1996) 1-17.
- [18] P.D. Lseger, Numerical transform inversion using Gaussian quadrature, *Probab. Engrg. Inform. Sci.* 20 (2006) 1-44.

- [19] S.N. Majumdar, Brownian functionals in physics and computer science. *Curr. Sci.* 89 (2005) 2076-2092.
- [20] M.M. Meerschaert, C. Tadjeran, Finite difference approximations for fractional advection-dispersion flow equations, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 172 (2004) 65-77.
- [21] M.M. Meerschaert, Y. Zhang, B. Baeumer, Tempered anomalous diffusion in heterogeneous systems, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 35 (2009) L17403.
- [22] R. Metzler and J. Klafter, The random walks guide to anomalous diffusion: A fractional dynamics approach, *Phys. Rep.* 339 (2000) 1-77.
- [23] H. Pang, H. Sun, Multigrid method for fractional diffusion equations, *J. Comput. Phys.* 231 (2012) 693-703.
- [24] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, 1999.
- [25] F. Sabzikar, M.M. Meerschaert, J.H. Chen, Tempered fractional calculus, *J. Comput. Phys.* 293 (2015) 14-28.
- [26] H. Scher, E.W. Montroll, Anomalous transit-time dispersion in amorphous solids, *Phys. Rev. B* 12 (1975) 2455.
- [27] W. R. Schneider, W. Wyss, Fractional diffusion and wave equations, *J. Math. Phys.* 30 (1989) 134-144.
- [28] Z.Z. Sun, *Numerical Methods of Partial Differential Equations*, 2D edn. Science Press, Beijing (2012) (in Chinese).
- [29] V. Thomée, *Galerkin Finite Element Methods for Parabolic Problems*, Springer, Berlin (2006)
- [30] W.Y. Tian, H. Zhou, W.H. Deng, A class of second order difference approximation for solving space fractional diffusion equations, *Math. Comp.* 84 (2015) 1703-1727.
- [31] L. Turgeman, S. Carmi, E. Barkai, Fractional feynman-Kac equation for non-Brownian functionals, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 103 (2009) 190201.
- [32] X.C. Wu, W.H. Deng, E. Barkai, Tempred fractional Feynman-Kac equation: Theory and examples, *Phys. Rev. E* 93 (2016) 032151.
- [33] Y.N. Zhang, Z.Z. Sun, H.W. Wu, Error estimates of crank-nicolson-type difference schemes for the subdiffusion equation, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 49 (2011) 2302-2322.
- [34] Y. Zhou, *Basic Theory of Fractional Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2014.
- [35] P. Zhuang, F. Liu, V. Anh, I. Turner, New solution and analytical techniques of the implicit numerical method for the anomalous subdiffusion equation, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 46 (2008) 1079-1095.