arXiv:1605.05000v2 [quant-ph] 3 Mar 2017

Lower bounds of concurrence for N-qubit systems and the detection of k-nonseparability of multipartite quantum systems

Xianfei Qi, Ting Gao*
College of Mathematics and Information Science, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang 050024, China

Fengli Yan†
College of Physics Science and Information Engineering, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang 050024, China

Concurrence, as one of entanglement measures, is a useful tool to characterize quantum entanglement in various quantum systems. However, the computation of the concurrence involves difficult optimizations and only for the case of two qubits an exact formula was found. We investigate the concurrence of four-qubit quantum states and derive analytical lower bound of concurrence using the multiqubit monogamy inequality. It is shown that this lower bound is able to improve the existing bounds. This approach can be generalized to arbitrary qubit systems. We present an exact formula of concurrence for some mixed quantum states. For even-qubit states, we derive an improved lower bound of concurrence using a monogamy equality for qubit systems. At the same time, we show that a multipartite state is k-nonseparable if the multipartite concurrence is larger than a constant related to the value of k, the qudit number and the dimension of the subsystems. Our results can be applied to detect the multipartite k-nonseparable states.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum entanglement represents one of the most striking features of quantum systems that has no classical counterpart. During the past two decades, researchers have paid concentrated effort on it and made impressive progress [1–4]. An important aspect of the research on quantum entanglement is entanglement detection and quantification. Concurrence is one of the most important entanglement measures. It was introduced by Wootters [5] who established concurrence as an entanglement measure for two-qubit states. Later, generalization to the bipartite higher-dimensional systems [6] was proposed. A landmark result in the theory of entanglement measures was the derivation of an elegant formula for the concurrence of an arbitrary mixed states in a system of two qubits by Wootters [7]. For arbitrary high-dimensional mixed states, analytical formulas of concurrence are available only for some highly symmetric states [8–10] due to the extremizations involved in the computation.

Because the exact formulas of concurrence are very difficult to be found, it is of great significance to get the lower bounds of concurrence. An analytic lower bound for the concurrence of mixed bipartite quantum states in arbitrary dimensions was derived [11] and this bound can be tightened by numerical optimization over some parameters. In [12] authors derived an analytical lower bound for the concurrence of bipartite quantum states in arbitrary dimension and established a functional relation relating concurrence, the Peres-Horodecki criterion, and the realignment criterion. A lower bound of concurrence based on local uncertainty relations criterion was derived [13] and was further improved [14]. Based on Wootters' idea, lower bounds on the concurrence for bipartite systems were presented [15]. In [16] the authors proposed a method to construct a hierarchy of lower bounds of concurrence for arbitrary dimensional bipartite mixed states in terms of the concurrence of all the lower-dimensional mixed states extracted from the given mixed states.

The concurrence is generalized to multipartite quantum systems [17]. Calculation of concurrence for multipartite quantum states is more difficult, and quite less has been known about the concurrence of multipartite mixed state. In [18], the authors derived an analytical lower bound for the concurrence for tripartite quantum mixed states. As a generalization of the Wootters formula, lower bounds of the concurrence for higher dimensional systems as well as for multipartite systems were derived in [19]. In terms of the monogamy inequality [20, 21] of concurrence, analytical lower bounds of concurrence of qubit systems were presented in [22].

The separability problem for multipartite and high-dimensional systems is also important in quantum information theory. This problem is more complicated than bipartite systems owing to the complicated structure of multipartite entangled states. For instance, one can discuss it with the notions of k-partite separability and k-nonseparability.

*Electronic address: gaoting@hebtu.edu.cn
†Electronic address: flyan@hebtu.edu.cn

Although some k-separability criteria have been introduced (see e.g. [23–29]), the number of computable measure quantifying multipartite entanglement is rare. Ma *et al* [30, 31] defined a generalized concurrence called the genuine multipartite entanglement (GME) concurrence as an entanglement measure that distinguishes genuine multipartite entanglement from partial entanglement. Gao *et al* [32] defined k-ME concurrence, which satisfies important characteristics of an entanglement measure, such as the entanglement monotone, vanishing on k-separable states, invariant under local unitary transformations, convexity, subadditivity, and being strictly greater than zero for all k-nonseparable states. Combining k-ME concurrence with permutation invariance, a lower bound was given on entanglement measure by using the permutation-invariance part of a state and that lower bound can apply to arbitrary multipartite systems [33]. At the same time, the concept of "the permutationally invariant part of a density matrix" is proven to be more powerful because of its basis-dependent property [33].

In this paper, we investigate multipartite concurrence for qubit systems and the detection of multipartite k-nonseparable states. We provide an analytical lower bound of concurrence for four-qubit states based on monogamy inequality. The result is generalized to multipartite case. We present an exact formula of concurrence for some mixed quantum states. For even-qubit states, we derive an improved lower bound of concurrence using a monogamy equality for qubit systems. At the same time, we show that if the multipartite concurrence is larger than a constant related to the value of k, the qudit number and the dimension of the subsystems, then the state must be k-nonseparable.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Before we state the main results, an introduction of the concepts and notations that will be used in this paper is necessary. Throughout the paper, we consider a multipartite quantum system with state space $H = H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes H_N$, where H_i $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, N)$ denotes d-dimensional Hilbert spaces. A k-partition $A_1 \mid A_2 \mid \cdots \mid A_k$ (of $\{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$) means that the set $\{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k\}$ is a collection of pairwise disjoint sets, and the union of all sets in $\{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k\}$ is $\{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$ (disjoint union $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} A_i = \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$). An N-partite pure state is called k-separable if there is a k-partition $A_1 \mid A_2 \mid \cdots \mid A_k = j_1^1 \cdots j_{n_1}^1 \mid j_1^2 \cdots j_{n_2}^2 \mid \cdots \mid j_1^k \cdots j_{n_k}^k$ such that

$$|\psi\rangle = |\psi_1\rangle_{A_1} |\psi_2\rangle_{A_2} \cdots |\psi_k\rangle_{A_k},$$

where $|\psi_i\rangle_{A_i}$ is the state of subsystems A_i and disjoint union $\bigcup_{t=1}^{k} A_t = \bigcup_{t=1}^{k} \{j_1^t, j_2^t \cdots j_{n_t}^t\} = \{1, 2, \cdots, N\}$. An N-partite mixed state ρ is k-separable if it can be written as a convex combination of k-separable pure states, where pure states might be k-separable with respect to different partitions. In general, k-separable mixed states are not separable with regard to any specific partition. A k-nonseparable mixed state is defined to be one that cannot be written as a convex combination of k-separable pure states. Specially, if an N-partite state is not two-separable (biseparable), then it is called genuinely N-partite entangled. It is called fully separable, iff it is N-separable.

The concurrence of a pure state $|\psi\rangle \in H = H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes H_N$ is defined as [11, 34]

$$C(|\psi\rangle) = 2^{1-N/2} \sqrt{2^N - 2 - \sum_{\alpha} \mathrm{Tr}\rho_\alpha^2}, \tag{1}$$

where the multi-index α runs over all $(2^N - 2)$ subsets of the N subsystems, and ρ_α are the reduced density matrices of all 1 to $N-1$ partite subsystems. C vanishes exactly for N-separable states. The concurrence is extended to mixed state ρ by the so-called convex roof construction,

$$C(\rho) \equiv \min_{\{p_i, |\psi_i\rangle\}} \sum_i p_i C(|\psi_i\rangle), \tag{2}$$

where the minimization is meant as an optimization over all possible ensemble realizations $\rho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$, $p_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_i p_i = 1$. The decomposition attaining the minimum value is said to be the optimal decomposition.

The concurrence [7] of a two-qubit mixed state ρ is given by

$$C(\rho) = \max\{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 - \lambda_4, 0\} \tag{3}$$

with the numbers λ_i $(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are the square roots of the eigenvalues of the non-Hermitian matrix $\rho(\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y)\rho^*(\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y)$ in nonincreasing order, where $*$ denotes complex conjugation in the standard basis and σ_y is the Pauli matrix.

For N-qubit quantum states, a monogamy inequality in terms of squared concurrence was derived in [21]

$$C^2_{A_1|A_2A_3\ldots A_N}(\rho) \geq \sum_{i=2}^{N} C^2_{A_1A_i}(\rho), \tag{4}$$

where $C^2_{A_1|A_2A_3\ldots A_N}(\rho)$ quantifies bipartite entanglement in the bipartition $A_1|A_2A_3\ldots A_N$ and $C^2_{A_1A_i}(\rho)$ characterizes two-qubit entanglement of the reduced state $\rho_{A_1A_i}=\mathrm{Tr}_{A_2\ldots A_{i-1}A_{i+1}\ldots A_N}(\rho)$, $i=2,\ldots,N$.

III. THE LOWER BOUND OF CONCURRENCE FOR FOUR-QUBIT SYSTEMS

We start to discuss the case of four-qubit systems and set up the following theorem. Some explicit examples indicate that the lower bound given by this theorem can be able to improve the known bounds based on detailed comparisons with Theorem 1 in [22].

Theorem 1. For any 4-qubit mixed quantum state ρ, the concurrence $C(\rho)$ satisfies

$$C^2(\rho)\geq\frac{7}{8}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\sum_{j>i}^{4}C^2_{ij}(\rho). \tag{5}$$

Proof. We begin with pure state. The concurrence of a four-qubit pure state $|\psi\rangle$ can be equivalently written as

$$C^2(|\psi\rangle)=\frac{C^2_{1|234}+C^2_{2|134}+C^2_{3|124}+C^2_{4|123}+C^2_{12|34}+C^2_{13|24}+C^2_{14|23}}{4}. \tag{6}$$

By inequality [35, 36]

$$C^2_{12|34}+C^2_{13|24}+C^2_{14|23}\geq\frac{3}{4}(C^2_{1|234}+C^2_{2|134}+C^2_{3|124}+C^2_{4|123}), \tag{7}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}C^2(|\psi\rangle)&\geq\frac{7}{16}(C^2_{1|234}+C^2_{2|134}+C^2_{3|124}+C^2_{4|123})\\&\geq\frac{7}{8}(C^2_{12}+C^2_{13}+C^2_{14}+C^2_{23}+C^2_{24}+C^2_{34}),\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where the monogamy inequality has been used in the second inequality. Thus, (5) holds for pure state $|\psi\rangle$.

Let $\rho=\sum_k p_k|\psi_k\rangle\langle\psi_k|$ be an optimal pure state decomposition for ρ to achieve the minimum value of (2). We have

$$\begin{aligned}C^2(\rho)&=\left\{\sum_k p_kC(|\psi_k\rangle)\right\}^2\\&\geq\frac{7}{8}\left\{\sum_k p_k\sqrt{\sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant 3}\sum_{i<j\leqslant 4}C^2_{ij}(|\psi_k\rangle)}\right\}^2\\&\geq\frac{7}{8}\sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant 3}\sum_{i<j\leqslant 4}\left(\sum_k p_kC_{ij}(|\psi_k\rangle)\right)^2\\&\geq\frac{7}{8}\sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant 3}\sum_{i<j\leqslant 4}C^2_{ij}(\rho),\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where the Minkowski inequality $(\sum_j(\sum_i x_{ij})^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq\sum_i(\sum_j x^2_{ij})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and convexity of concurrence have been used in the second inequality and the third inequality, respectively. The proof is complete.

Example 1. Consider the family of four-qubit states,

$$\rho=\frac{1-t}{16}I_{16}+t|W_4\rangle\langle W_4|,$$

which is a mixture of four-qubit W state and white noise. Here, $|\mathrm{W}_4\rangle=(|0001\rangle+|0010\rangle+|0100\rangle+|1000\rangle)/2$ and I_{16} is the 16×16 identity matrix. By using the formula of concurrence for two-qubit states (3), we get

$$C_{12}(\rho)=C_{13}(\rho)=C_{14}(\rho)=C_{23}(\rho)=C_{24}(\rho)=C_{34}(\rho)=\max\left\{0,\frac{t-\sqrt{1-t^2}}{2}\right\}.$$

From Theorem 1, the lower bound of concurrence for this quantum state is given by

$$C^2(\rho) \geq \frac{21}{4} C_{12}^2(\rho).$$

The lower bound for this quantum state presented in [22] is $3C_{12}^2(\rho)$. Hence, our bound is better than the one gives in [22].

Example 2. Let us consider the four-qubit state which is the mixture of the Dicke state with two excitations and white noise,

$$\rho = \frac{1-t}{16} I_{16} + t|D_4^2\rangle\langle D_4^2|.$$

Here, $|\mathrm{D}_4^2\rangle = (|0011\rangle + |0101\rangle + |0110\rangle + |1001\rangle + |1010\rangle + |1100\rangle)/\sqrt{6}$. From (3), we have

$$C_{12}(\rho) = C_{13}(\rho) = C_{14}(\rho) = C_{23}(\rho) = C_{24}(\rho) = C_{34}(\rho) = \max\left\{0, \frac{5t-3}{6}\right\}.$$

From the lower bound in [22], one has $C^2(\rho) \geq 3C_{12}^2(\rho)$, while the lower bound of concurrence by Theorem 1 is

$$C^2(\rho) \geq \frac{21}{4} C_{12}^2(\rho).$$

Note that from the lower bound in [31], ρ is entangled for $t > 0.636364$, while by the lower bound in [22], ρ is entangled for $t > 0.618034$. Therefore, our bound is better than the one in [22, 31] and detects entanglement better the lower bound [31].

Example 3. We consider the one-parameter four-qubit state

$$\rho = \frac{1-a}{16} I_{16} + a|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|,$$

where $|\psi\rangle = (|0011\rangle + |0101\rangle + |0110\rangle + |1010\rangle)/2$. It follows from (3),

$$C_{12}(\rho) = C_{14}(\rho) = C_{23}(\rho) = C_{34}(\rho) = \max\left\{\frac{a-\sqrt{1-a}}{2}, 0\right\}$$

and $C_{13}(\rho) = C_{24}(\rho) = 0$. From Theorem 1, the lower bound of concurrence gives

$$C^2(\rho) \geq \frac{7}{2} C_{12}^2(\rho).$$

From the lower bound in [22], one has $C^2(\rho) \geq 2C_{12}^2(\rho)$. It shows that our bound is better than that in [22].

Example 4. Consider the four-qubit mixed state $\rho = \frac{1-t}{16} I_{16} + t|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$, where $|\psi\rangle = (|0000\rangle + |0011\rangle + |1100\rangle + |1111\rangle)/2$. We have

$$C_{12}(\rho) = C_{34}(\rho) = \max\left\{0, \frac{3t-1}{2}\right\}$$

and $C_{13} = C_{14} = C_{23} = C_{24} = 0$. By our Theorem 1, the lower bound of concurrence is

$$C^2(\rho) \geq \frac{7}{4} C_{12}^2(\rho),$$

while the lower bound mentioned in [22] is $C^2(\rho) \geq C_{12}^2(\rho)$. This lower bound can detect entanglement of ρ when $t > \frac{1}{3}$. Note that, when $t = 1$, $C(|\psi\rangle) = \frac{\sqrt{7}}{2}$, namely, the state $|\psi\rangle$ saturates the lower bound. Therefore, our bound is better than the one in [22].

Note. The definition of concurrence in [22] is different from (1) up to a constant factor $2^{1-N/2}$. In above examples, the difference of the constant factor in defining the concurrence for pure states has already been taken into account.

IV. THE LOWER BOUNDS OF CONCURRENCE FOR ARBITRARY QUBIT SYSTEMS

In this section, we generalize the result of 4-qubit to any N-qubit systems. In the following theorems, a lower bound for arbitrary qubit states is first presented, and then for even-qubit states, a better lower bound is provided with the aid of a monogamy equality.

Theorem 2. For any N-qubit ($N \geq 5$) mixed state ρ, the concurrence $C(\rho)$ satisfies

$$C^2(\rho) \geq \frac{N}{2^{N-2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j>i}^{N} C_{ij}^2(\rho). \tag{10}$$

Proof. The concurrence of an N-qubit pure state $|\psi\rangle$ can be equivalently written as

$$C^2(|\psi\rangle) = \frac{1}{2^{N-1}} \left(\sum_{\{1\}} C_{\{1\}|\{N-1\}}^2(|\psi\rangle) + \sum_{\{2\}} C_{\{2\}|\{N-2\}}^2(|\psi\rangle) + \ldots + \sum_{\{N-1\}} C_{\{N-1\}|\{1\}}^2(|\psi\rangle) \right), \tag{11}$$

where $C_{\{j\}|\{N-j\}}$ is the concurrence of the state obtained by tracing out j qubits from the state $|\psi\rangle$ and $\sum_{\{j\}}$ is taken over all combinations $\{j\}$ of j indices.

From inequality [35]

$$\sum_{\{2\}} C_{\{2\}|\{N-2\}}^2(|\psi\rangle) \geq \frac{N-2}{2} \sum_{\{1\}} C_{\{1\}|\{N-1\}}^2(|\psi\rangle), \tag{12}$$

we get

$$C^2(|\psi\rangle) \geq \frac{N}{2^{N-1}} \sum_{\{1\}} C_{\{1\}|\{N-1\}}^2(|\psi\rangle) \geq \frac{N}{2^{N-2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j>i}^{N} C_{ij}^2(|\psi\rangle). \tag{13}$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 1, (10) is also right for any N-qubit ($N \geq 5$) mixed state ρ.

In [37], a monogamy equality for qubit entanglement has been proven, we get an improved lower bound of concurrence for even-qubit by using this equality.

Theorem 3. For any even-qubit ($N \geq 6$) mixed state ρ, the concurrence $C(\rho)$ satisfies

$$C^2(\rho) \geq \frac{N-2}{2^{N-3}} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j>i}^{N} C_{ij}^2(\rho). \tag{14}$$

Proof. For any N-qubit pure state, an exact monogamy relation was presented in [37],

$$2|H(|\psi\rangle)|^2 = \sum_{\{1\}} C_{\{1\}|\{N-1\}}^2(|\psi\rangle) - \sum_{\{2\}} C_{\{2\}|\{N-2\}}^2(|\psi\rangle) + \cdots + (-1)^N \sum_{\{N-1\}} C_{\{N-1\}|\{1\}}^2(|\psi\rangle), \tag{15}$$

where $H(|\psi\rangle)$ is a well known polynomial invariant, and defined by $H(|\psi\rangle) = \langle\psi|\tilde{\psi}\rangle$, $|\tilde{\psi}\rangle = \sigma_y^{\otimes n}|\psi^*\rangle$ [38].

For even-qubit pure state, one has

$$C^2(|\psi\rangle) = \frac{1}{2^{N-2}} \left\{ \sum_{\{1\}} C_{\{1\}|\{N-1\}}^2(|\psi\rangle) + \sum_{\{2\}} C_{\{2\}|\{N-2\}}^2(|\psi\rangle) + \cdots + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{\frac{N}{2}\}} C_{\{\frac{N}{2}\}|\{\frac{N}{2}\}}^2(|\psi\rangle) \right\}. \tag{16}$$

From (12), (15) and (16), we obtain

$$C^2(|\psi\rangle) \geq \frac{2}{2^{N-2}} \sum_{\{2\}} C_{\{2\}|\{N-2\}}^2(|\psi\rangle) \geq \frac{N-2}{2^{N-2}} \sum_{\{1\}} C_{\{1\}|\{N-1\}}^2(|\psi\rangle) \geq \frac{N-2}{2^{N-3}} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j>i}^{N} C_{ij}^2(|\psi\rangle). \tag{17}$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 1, (14) holds for any even-qubit ($N \geq 6$) mixed state ρ.

V. THE DETECTION OF k-NONSEPARABILITY OF MULTIPARTITE QUANTUM SYSTEMS

It is widely known that multipartite concurrence is zero for fully separable states, i.e., multipartite state is entangled (not fully separable) if concurrence is greater than zero. In this section, we show that multipartite concurrence can also play a useful role in the k-nonseparability problem based on the norms of the correlation tensors in the generalized Bloch representation of an N-partite quantum state.

Theorem 4. Any N qudit state ρ is k-nonseparable if the concurrence $C(\rho)$ satisfies

$$C(\rho) > \begin{cases} 2^{1-N/2}\sqrt{2^N - 2^k + \frac{2^k-2}{d} - 2\sum\limits_{i=1}^{(N-1)/2}\frac{C_N^i}{d^i}} & \text{for odd } N, \\ 2^{1-N/2}\sqrt{2^N - 2^k + \frac{2^k-2}{d} - 2\sum\limits_{i=1}^{N/2-1}\frac{C_N^i}{d^i} - \frac{C_N^{N/2}}{d^{N/2}}} & \text{for even } N, \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

where $C_N^i = N!/[i!(N-i)!]$.

Proof. An N-partite state ρ acting on $H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes H_N$ ($\dim H_i = d$) can be written as [39]

$$\begin{aligned}\rho =& \frac{1}{d^N}\Big(\bigotimes_{j=1}^{N} I_d + \sum_{\{\mu_1\}}\sum_{\alpha_1}\mathcal{T}_{\alpha_1}^{\{\mu_1\}}\lambda_{\alpha_1}^{\{\mu_1\}} + \sum_{\{\mu_1\mu_2\}}\sum_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\mathcal{T}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}^{\{\mu_1\mu_2\}}\lambda_{\alpha_1}^{\{\mu_1\}}\lambda_{\alpha_2}^{\{\mu_2\}} + \sum_{\{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\}}\sum_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}\mathcal{T}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}^{\{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\}}\lambda_{\alpha_1}^{\{\mu_1\}}\lambda_{\alpha_2}^{\{\mu_2\}}\lambda_{\alpha_3}^{\{\mu_3\}} \\ &+ \cdots + \sum_{\{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_M\}}\sum_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_M}\mathcal{T}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_M}^{\{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_M\}}\lambda_{\alpha_1}^{\{\mu_1\}}\lambda_{\alpha_2}^{\{\mu_2\}}\cdots\lambda_{\alpha_M}^{\{\mu_M\}} + \cdots + \sum_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_N}\mathcal{T}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_N}^{\{1,2,\cdots,N\}}\lambda_{\alpha_1}^{\{1\}}\lambda_{\alpha_2}^{\{2\}}\cdots\lambda_{\alpha_N}^{\{N\}}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

where λ_{α_l} are the $SU(d)$ generators, $\{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_M\}$ is a subset of $\{1,2,\cdots,N\}$, $\lambda_{\alpha_l}^{\{\mu_l\}} = I_d\otimes\cdots\otimes I_d\otimes\lambda_{\alpha_l}\otimes I_d\otimes\cdots\otimes I_d$ with λ_{α_l} appearing at the μ_lth position, I_d is the $d\times d$ identity matrix, and

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_M}^{\{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_M\}} = \frac{d^M}{2^M}\mathrm{Tr}[\rho\lambda_{\alpha_1}^{\{\mu_1\}}\lambda_{\alpha_2}^{\{\mu_2\}}\cdots\lambda_{\alpha_M}^{\{\mu_M\}}],$$

which can be viewed as the entries of tensors $\mathcal{T}^{\{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_M\}}$.

We first discuss an N-partite pure state $|\psi\rangle$. Assume that $|\psi\rangle \in H_1\otimes H_2\otimes\ldots\otimes H_N$ is k-separable with regard to k-partition $A_1|A_2|\cdots|A_k$. Let $||\cdot||$ denote the Euclidean norm for a tensor, $|A_i|$ the number of elements of A_i, and $|A|$ the minimum value of $|A_i|$. Then $\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A_1}^2) = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A_2}^2) = \cdots = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A_k}^2) = 1$, i.e.,

$$\frac{2}{d^{|A_i|}+1}\sum_{j\in\{1,2,\ldots,|A_i|\}}||\mathcal{T}^{i_j}||^2 + \frac{2^2}{d^{|A_i|}+2}\sum_{j,l}||\mathcal{T}^{i_j i_l}||^2 + \cdots + \frac{2^{|A_i|}}{d^{2|A_i|}}||\mathcal{T}^{i_1\cdots i_{|A_i|}}||^2 = 1 - \frac{1}{d^{|A_i|}},$$

where $i\in\{1,2,\ldots,k\}$. Thus we derive in a similar way to [40] that for odd N,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2^N-2}\mathrm{Tr}\rho_\alpha^2 &\geqslant 2\Big[C_N^1\frac{1}{d} + C_N^2\frac{1}{d^2} + \cdot + C_N^{\frac{N-1}{2}}\frac{1}{d^{(N-1)/2}}\Big] + (C_k^1 + C_k^2 + \cdots + C_k^{k-1})\Big(1 - \frac{1}{d^{|A|}}\Big), \\ &= 2\Big[C_N^1\frac{1}{d} + C_N^2\frac{1}{d^2} + \cdot + C_N^{\frac{N-1}{2}}\frac{1}{d^{(N-1)/2}}\Big] + (2^k-2)\Big(1 - \frac{1}{d^{|A|}}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

while for even N,

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2^N-2}\mathrm{Tr}\rho_\alpha^2 \geqslant 2\Big[C_N^1\frac{1}{d} + C_N^2\frac{1}{d^2} + \cdot + C_N^{\frac{N-2}{2}}\frac{1}{d^{(N-2)/2}}\Big] + C_N^{\frac{N}{2}}\frac{1}{d^{N/2}} + (2^k-2)\Big(1 - \frac{1}{d^{|A|}}\Big).$$

Therefore, for k-separable pure state, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}C(|\psi\rangle) &= 2^{1-N/2}\sqrt{2^N - 2 - \sum_\alpha \mathrm{Tr}\rho_\alpha^2} \\ &\leqslant \begin{cases} 2^{1-N/2}\sqrt{2^N - 2^k + \frac{2^k-2}{d^{|A|}} - 2\sum\limits_{i=1}^{(N-1)/2}\frac{C_N^i}{d^i}} & \text{for odd } N, \\ 2^{1-N/2}\sqrt{2^N - 2^k + \frac{2^k-2}{d^{|A|}} - 2\sum\limits_{i=1}^{N/2-1}\frac{C_N^i}{d^i} - \frac{C_N^{N/2}}{d^{N/2}}} & \text{for even } N. \end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

If $\rho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$ is a k-separable mixed state, then by using of the convexity of the concurrence $C(\rho) \leqslant \sum_i p_i C(|\psi_i\rangle)$ and inequality (19), we get

$$C(\rho) \leqslant \begin{cases} 2^{1-N/2}\sqrt{2^N - 2^k + \frac{2^k-2}{d} - 2\sum\limits_{i=1}^{(N-1)/2} \frac{C_N^i}{d^i}} & \text{for odd } N, \\ 2^{1-N/2}\sqrt{2^N - 2^k + \frac{2^k-2}{d} - 2\sum\limits_{i=1}^{N/2-1} \frac{C_N^i}{d^i} - \frac{C_N^{N/2}}{d^{N/2}}} & \text{for even } N. \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

Remark. Theorem obtained in [40] is the special case $k = 2$ of Theorem 4.

Example 5. We consider the quantum state in Example 4. When $k = 3$, the lower bound in (18) is $\frac{\sqrt{22}}{4}$. By using Theorem 1 and Theorem 4, the 3-partite nonseparable is detected for $t > 0.9243$.

Example 6. Consider the family of n-qubit states,

$$\rho = \frac{1-p}{2^n} I_{2^n} + p|\mathrm{GHZ}_n\rangle\langle\mathrm{GHZ}_n|,$$

which is a mixture of n-qubit GHZ state and white noise. Here, $|\mathrm{GHZ}_n\rangle = (|00\cdots0\rangle + |11\cdots1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$. Applying Observation 1 in [19] and Proposition in [40], we get

$$C^2(\rho) \geq \frac{2^{n-1}-1}{2^{n-2}} \left[\frac{(2^{n-1}+1)p - 1}{2^{n-1}}\right]^2.$$

From this bound, the state ρ is entangled (not fully separable) for $p > 1/(2^{n-1}+1)$. For $p = 1$, this reproduces exactly concurrence of the pure n-qubit GHZ state. This means that we provide a necessary and sufficient criterion for entanglement for the family of states ρ, since it is known that these states are fully separable iff $0 \leq p \leq 1/(2^{n-1}+1)$ [41, 42]. Because concurrence of mixed state is a convex function and this bound coincides with the exact value on the point $p = 1/(2^{n-1}+1)$ and $p = 1$, so the bound equals the exact value on the whole interval $p \in [1/(2^{n-1}+1), 1]$. Namely, for the states of n-qubit GHZ state mixed with white noise, we present exact value of concurrence

$$C(\rho) = \sqrt{\frac{2^{n-1}-1}{2^{n-2}}} \frac{(2^{n-1}+1)p - 1}{2^{n-1}}, \quad p \in \left[\frac{1}{2^{n-1}+1}, 1\right]. \tag{21}$$

Applying Theorem 4, we can detect k-nonseparability of n-qubit GHZ state mixed with white noise. For instance, when $n = 4$ and $k = 3$, the 3-partite nonseparable is detected for $p > 0.8991$.

VI. CONCLUSION

In summary we have derived a new lower bound of concurrence for four-qubit states based on the monogamy inequality of entanglement. Through specific examples, we have illustrated that the new lower bound may be used to improve the previous lower bounds. We have also seen how to generalize this approach to N-qubit states to obtain the lower bound of the concurrence. Moreover, we have obtained an exact formula of concurrence for some mixed quantum states. For even-qubit states, an improved lower bound of concurrence using a monogamy equality was derived. Compared with the lower bound of the concurrence presented in [22], our advantage is easy to compute without considering the choice of parameters. At the same time, we prove that a multipartite state is k-nonseparable if the multipartite concurrence is larger than a constant related to the value of k, the qudit number and the dimension of the subsystems. Our result may support a new way to detect the multipartite k-nonseparable states.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos: 11371005, 11475054, Hebei Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos: A2014205060, A2016205145.

[1] Nielsen, M.A., Chuang, I.L.: Quantum Computation and Quantum Information. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2010)

[2] Horodecki, R., Horodecki, P., Horodecki, M., Horodecki, K.: Quantum entanglement. Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 865–942 (2009)
[3] Gühne, O., Tóth, G.: Entanglement detection. Phys. Rep. **474**, 1–75 (2009)
[4] Eltschka, C., Siewert, J.: Quantifying entanglement resources. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **47**, 424005-1–424005-54 (2014)
[5] Hill, S., Wootters, W.K.: Entanglement of a pair of quantum bits. Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 5022–5025 (1997)
[6] Rungta, P., Bužek, V., Caves, C.M., Hillery, M., Milburn, G.J.: Universal state inversion and concurrence in arbitrary dimensions. Phys. Rev. A **64**, 042315-1–042315-13 (2001)
[7] Wootters, W.K.: Entanglement of formation of an arbitrary state of two qubits. Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2245–2248 (1998)
[8] Terhal, B.M., Vollbrecht, K.G.H.: Entanglement of formation for isotropic states. Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 2625–2628 (2000)
[9] Vollbrecht, K.G.H., Werner, R.F.: Entanglement measures under symmetry. Phys. Rev. A **64**, 062307-1–062307-15 (2001)
[10] Rungta, P., Caves, C.M.: Concurrence-based entanglement measures for isotropic states. Phys. Rev. A **67**, 012307-1–012307-9 (2003)
[11] Mintert, F., Kuś, M., Buchleitner, A.: Concurrence of mixed bipartite quantum states in arbitrary dimensions. Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 167902-1–167902-4 (2004)
[12] Chen, K., Albeverio, S., Fei, S.M.: Concurrence of arbitrary dimensional bipartite quantum states. Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 040504-1–040504-4 (2005)
[13] de Vicente, J.I.: Lower bounds on concurrence and separability conditions. Phys. Rev. A **75**, 052320-1–052320-5 (2007)
[14] Zhang, C.J., Zhang, Y.S., Zhang, S., Guo, G.C.: Optimal entanglement witnesses based on local orthogonal observables. Phys. Rev. A **76**, 012334-1–012334-6 (2007)
[15] Gerjuoy, E.: Lower bound on entanglement of formation for the qubit-qudit system. Phys. Rev. A **67**, 052308-1–052308-10 (2003)
[16] Zhao, M.J., Zhu, X. N., Fei, S.M., Li-Jost, X.Q.: Lower bound on concurrence and distillation for arbitrary-dimensional bipartite quantum states. Phys. Rev. A **84**, 062322-1–062322-5 (2011)
[17] Carvalho, A.R.R., Mintert, F., Buchleitner, A.: Decoherence and multipartite entanglement. Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 230501-1–230501-4 (2004)
[18] Gao, X.H., Fei, S.M., Wu, K.: Lower bounds of concurrence for tripartite quantum systems. Phys. Rev. A **74**, 050303-1–050303-4 (2006)
[19] Chen, Z.H., Ma, Z.H., Gühne, O., Severini, S.: Estimating entanglement monotones with a generalization of the Wootters formula. Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 200503-1–200503-5 (2012)
[20] Coffman, V., Kundu, J., Wootters, W.K.: Distributed entanglement. Phys. Rev. A **61**, 052306-1–052306-5 (2000)
[21] Osborne, T.J., Verstraete, F.: General monogamy inequality for bipartite qubit entanglement. Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 220503-1–220503-4 (2006)
[22] Zhu, X.N., Fei, S.M.: Lower bound of concurrence for qubit systems. Quantum Inf. Process. **13**, 815–523 (2014)
[23] Gabriel, A., Hiesmayr, B.C., Huber, M.: Criterion for k-separability in mixed multipartite states. Quantum Inf. Comput. **10**, 829–836 (2010)
[24] Gao, T., Hong, Y.: Detection of genuinely entangled and nonseparable n-partite quantum states. Phys. Rev. A **82**, 062113-1–062113-7 (2010)
[25] Gao, T., Hong, Y.: Separability criteria for several classes of n-partite quantum states. Eur. Phys. J. D **61**, 765–771 (2011)
[26] Gao, T., Hong, Y., Lu, Y., Yan, F.L.: Efficient k-separability criteria for mixed multipartite quantum states. Europhys. Lett. **104**, 20007-p1–20007-p6 (2013)
[27] Hong, Y., Luo, S.L., Song, H.T.: Detecting k-nonseparability via quantum Fisher information. Phys. Rev. A **91**, 042313-1–042313-6 (2015)
[28] Liu, L., Gao, T., Yan, F.L.: Separability criteria via sets of mutually unbiased measurements. Sci. Rep. **5**, 13138-1–13138-9 (2015)
[29] Hong, Y., Luo, S.L.: Detecting k-nonseparability via local uncertainty relations. Phys. Rev. A **93**, 042310-1–042310-6 (2016)
[30] Ma, Z.H., Chen, Z.H., Chen, J.L., Spengler, C., Gabriel, A., Huber, M.: Measure of genuine multipartite entanglement with computable lower bounds. Phys. Rev. A **83**, 062325-1–062325-5 (2011)
[31] Chen, Z.H., Ma, Z.H., Chen, J.L., Severini, S.: Improved lower bounds on genuine-multipartite-entanglement concurrence. Phys. Rev. A **85**, 062320-1–062320-12 (2012).
[32] Hong, Y., Gao, T., Yan, F.L.: Measure of multipartite entanglement with computable lower bounds. Phys. Rev. A **86**, 062323-1–062323-10 (2012)
[33] Gao, T., Yan, F.L., van Enk, S.J.: Permutationally invariant part of a density matrix and nonseparability of N-qubit states. Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 180501-1–180501-5 (2014)
[34] Aolita, L., Mintert, F.: Measuring multipartite concurrence with a single factorizable observable. Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 050501-1–050501-4 (2006)
[35] Gour, G., Bandyopadhyay, S., Sanders, B.C.: Dual monogamy inequality for entanglement. J. Math. Phys. **48**, 012108-1–012108-13 (2007)
[36] Gour, G., Wallach, N.R.: All maximally entangled four-qubit states. J. Math. Phys. **51**, 112201-1–112201-24 (2010)
[37] Eltschka, C., Siewert, J.: Monogamy equalities for qubit entanglement from Lorentz invariance. Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 140402-1–140402-5 (2015)
[38] Wong, A., Christensen, N.: Potential multiparticle entanglement measure. Phys. Rev. A **63**, 044301-1–044301-4 (2001)
[39] Hassan, A.S.M., Joag, P.S.: Separability criterion for multipartite quantum states based on the Bloch representation of

density matrices. Quantum Inf. Comput. **8**, 773–790 (2008)
[40] Li, M., Fei, S.M., Li-Jost, X.Q., Fan, H.: Genuine multipartite entanglement detection and lower bound of multipartite concurrence. Phys. Rev. A **92**, 062338-1–062338-6 (2015)
[41] Dür, W., Cirac, J.I.: Classification of multiqubit mixed states: separability and distillability properties. Phys. Rev. A **61**, 042314-1–042314-11 (2000)
[42] Schack, R., Caves, C.M.: Explicit product ensembles for separable quantum states. J. Mod. Opt. **47**, 387–399 (2000)