

BACH-FLAT NONCOMPACT STEADY QUASI-EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS

M. RANIERI AND E. RIBEIRO JR

ABSTRACT. The goal of this article is to study the geometry of Bach-flat noncompact steady quasi-Einstein manifolds. We show that a Bach-flat noncompact steady quasi-Einstein manifold (M^n, g) with positive Ricci curvature such that its potential function has at least one critical point must be a warped product with Einstein fiber. In addition, the fiber has constant curvature if $n = 4$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1958, René Thom posed the following well-known question: “*Are there any best Riemannian structures on a smooth manifold?*”. The best Riemannian structures on a given manifold are those of constant curvature. In this spirit, a Riemannian manifold of dimension greater than 2 with constant Ricci curvature is called *Einstein*. Hilbert and Einstein proved that the critical metrics of the total scalar curvature functional restricted to the set of smooth Riemannian structures on a compact manifold of unitary volume are Einstein. We remark that Einstein manifolds are not only fascinating in themselves but are also related to many important topics of Riemannian geometry. For a comprehensive reference on such a subject, we refer the reader to [6].

A classical problem in Riemannian geometry is to construct new explicit examples of Einstein metrics. According to “Besse’s book” [6], a promising way for that purpose is that of warped products. The m -Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor, which appeared previously in [2] and [20], is useful as an attempt to better understand Einstein warped product. More precisely, the m -Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor is given by

$$(1.1) \quad Ric_f^m = Ric + \nabla^2 f - \frac{1}{m} df \otimes df,$$

where f is a smooth function on M^n and $\nabla^2 f$ stands for the Hessian of f . We highlight that it is also used to study the weighted measure $d\mu = e^{-f} dx$, where dx is the Riemann-Lebesgue measure determined by the metric.

A complete Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) , $n \geq 2$, will be called *m-quasi-Einstein manifold*, or simply *quasi-Einstein manifold*, if there exist a smooth potential function f on M^n

Date: September 21, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C25, 53C20, 53C21; Secondary 53C65.

Key words and phrases. Einstein manifolds; warped product; Bach-flat metrics.

E. Ribeiro was partially supported by CNPq/Brazil.

M. Ranieri was partially supported by CAPES/Brazil.

and a constant λ satisfying the following fundamental equation

$$(1.2) \quad Ric_f^m = Ric + \nabla^2 f - \frac{1}{m} df \otimes df = \lambda g,$$

where $\nabla^2 f$ stands for the Hessian of f .

It is easy to see that a ∞ -quasi-Einstein manifold means a gradient Ricci soliton. Ricci solitons model the formation of singularities in the Ricci flow and correspond to self-similar solutions, i.e., solutions which evolve along symmetries of the flow, see [10] and references therein for more details on this subject. On the other hand, when m is a positive integer it corresponds to a warped product Einstein metric, see, for instance, [12, 17]. We also remark that 1-quasi-Einstein manifolds are more commonly called *static metrics* and such metrics have connections to scalar curvature, the positive mass theorem and general relativity. Recall that a quasi-Einstein metric g on a manifold M^n will be called *expanding*, *steady* or *shrinking*, respectively, if $\lambda < 0$, $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda > 0$. Moreover, a quasi-Einstein manifold will be called *trivial* if its potential function f is constant, otherwise it will be *nontrivial*. Notice that the triviality implies that M^n is an Einstein manifold.

According to [5, 6, 12, 17] and [22] the remarkable motivation to study quasi-Einstein metrics on a Riemannian manifold is its direct relation with the existence of Einstein warped product, which also have different properties compared with the gradient Ricci solitons. In this sense, it is important to recall that, on a quasi-Einstein manifold, there is an indispensable constant μ such that

$$(1.3) \quad \Delta f - |\nabla f|^2 = m\lambda - m\mu e^{\frac{2}{m}f}.$$

See [5, 17, 22] and [24] for a comprehensive treatment of this feature.

Qian [20] proved that shrinking quasi-Einstein manifolds must be compact. Moreover, from Kim-Kim [17] the converse statement remains true. Thereby, it is now well-known that a quasi-Einstein manifold is compact if and only if $\lambda > 0$. An example of nontrivial quasi-Einstein manifold with $\lambda > 0$, $m > 1$ and $\mu > 0$ was obtained in [19]. Some examples of expanding quasi-Einstein manifolds with arbitrary μ as well as steady quasi-Einstein manifolds with $\mu > 0$ were constructed in [3, 6] and [24]. At the same time, Case [13] has shown that steady quasi-Einstein manifolds with $\mu \leq 0$ are trivial. See also [23] for further results related.

In order to proceed it is important to remember that the Bach tensor on a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) , $n \geq 4$, which was introduced to study conformal relativity in [1], is defined in terms of the components of the Weyl tensor W_{ijkl} as follows

$$(1.4) \quad B_{ij} = \frac{1}{n-3} \nabla^k \nabla^l W_{ikjl} + \frac{1}{n-2} R_{kl} W_i{}^k{}_j{}^l,$$

while for $n = 3$ it is given by

$$(1.5) \quad B_{ij} = \nabla^k C_{kij},$$

where C_{ijk} stands for the Cotton tensor. We say that (M^n, g) is Bach-flat when $B_{ij} = 0$. It is straightforward to check that locally conformally flat metrics as well as Einstein metrics are Bach-flat. In addition, for dimension $n = 4$, it is well-known that half-conformally flat or locally conformally to an Einstein manifold implies Bach-flat. However, Leistner

and Nurowski [18] obtained a large class of Bach-flat examples which are not conformally Einstein; for more details we address to [6].

Recently, Cao and Chen [8] have studied Bach-flat gradient Ricci solitons. They obtained a stronger classification for shrinking gradient Ricci solitons under the Bach-flat assumption. Afterward, Cao, Catino, Chen, Mantegazza and Mazzieri [7] were able to show that any n -dimensional ($n \geq 4$) complete Bach-flat gradient steady Ricci soliton with positive Ricci curvature such that the scalar curvature R attains its maximum at some interior point must be isometric to the Bryant soliton. For more details, we refer the reader [7, 8] and [9]. The Bach-flat assumption was also studied in another special metrics, see, for instance [4, 11, 15] and [21].

In light of the previous results, it is natural to ask what occurs on quasi-Einstein manifolds. As it was previously mentioned a quasi-Einstein manifold is compact if and only if $\lambda > 0$. In that case, Chen and He [14] proved that a Bach-flat shrinking quasi-Einstein manifold is either Einstein or a finite quotient of a warped product with $(n-1)$ -dimensional Einstein fiber. In this paper, mainly inspired by [9] as well as [7], we shall focus our attention on Bach-flat steady quasi-Einstein manifolds. In particular, the manifold must be noncompact. More precisely, we shall provide a classification result for Bach-flat noncompact steady quasi-Einstein manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. A crucial ingredient here that should be emphasized is a pinching estimate for the function $u = e^{-\frac{f}{m}}$ (cf. Lemma 3).

After these preliminary remarks we may announce our first result as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let $(M^n, g, f, m > 1)$, $n \geq 4$, be a Bach-flat noncompact steady quasi-Einstein manifold with positive Ricci curvature such that f has at least one critical point. Then M^n has harmonic Weyl tensor and $W_{ijkl}\nabla^l f = 0$.*

At the same time, it is worth to point out that 4-dimensional manifolds have special behavior; see [6] for more information about this specific dimension. In such a dimension we have established the following result.

Theorem 2. *Let $(M^4, g, f, m > 1)$ be a 4-dimensional Bach-flat noncompact steady quasi-Einstein manifold with positive Ricci curvature such that f has at least one critical point. Then M^4 is locally conformally flat.*

Next, as an application of Theorems 1 and 2, jointly with Theorem 1.2 in [16], we have the following classification result.

Corollary 1. *Let $(M^n, g, f, m > 1)$, $n \geq 4$, be a Bach-flat noncompact steady quasi-Einstein manifold with positive Ricci curvature such that f has at least one critical point. Then (M^n, g) is a warped product with*

$$g = dt^2 + \psi^2(t)g_L \quad \text{and} \quad f = f(t),$$

where g_L is Einstein of non-negative Ricci curvature. In addition, the fiber has constant curvature if $n = 4$.

2. BACKGROUND AND KEY LEMMAS

In this section we shall present a couple of lemmas that will be useful in the proof of our main results. We begin recalling that the Weyl curvature W_{ijkl} is defined by the following decomposition formula

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{ijkl} &= W_{ijkl} + \frac{1}{n-2}(R_{ik}g_{jl} + R_{jl}g_{ik} - R_{il}g_{jk} - R_{jk}g_{il}) \\ &\quad - \frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)}(g_{jl}g_{ik} - g_{il}g_{jk}), \end{aligned}$$

where R_{ijkl} stands for the Riemann curvature tensor. Moreover, the Cotton tensor C_{ijk} is given by

$$(2.2) \quad C_{ijk} = \nabla_i R_{jk} - \nabla_j R_{ik} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}(\nabla_i R g_{jk} - \nabla_j R g_{ik}).$$

It is easy to check that C_{ijk} is skew-symmetric in the first two indices and trace-free in any two indices. We also remember that W_{ijkl} and C_{ijk} are related as follows

$$(2.3) \quad -\frac{(n-3)}{(n-2)}C_{ijk} = \nabla^l W_{ijkl}.$$

Moreover, taking into account (2.3) we may extend the definition of the Bach tensor for $n \geq 3$ by

$$(2.4) \quad B_{ij} = \frac{1}{n-2}(\nabla^k C_{kij} + R_{kl}W_{ikjl}).$$

Since $W \equiv 0$ in dimension three, for $n = 3$ we have

$$B_{ij} = \nabla^k C_{kij}.$$

Following the notation employed in [14], in the spirit of [8], we recall that the covariant 3-tensor D is given by

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} D_{ijk} &= \frac{1}{n-2}(R_{jk}\nabla_i f - R_{ik}\nabla_j f) + \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)}(R_{il}\nabla^l f g_{jk} - R_{jl}\nabla^l f g_{ik}) \\ &\quad - \frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)}(g_{jk}\nabla_i f - g_{ik}\nabla_j f). \end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to check that the tensor D_{ijk} is skew-symmetric in their first two indices and trace-free in any two indices:

$$(2.6) \quad D_{ijk} = -D_{jik} \quad \text{and} \quad g^{ij}D_{ijk} = g^{ik}D_{ijk} = 0.$$

In order to set the stage for the proof to follow let us recall some useful results obtained in [14]. Indeed, taking into account (2.5) we shall show a relation between the Cotton tensor and the Weyl tensor on a quasi-Einstein manifold.

Lemma 1 (Chen-He [14]). *Let (M^n, g, f) be a quasi-Einstein manifold. Then we have:*

$$(2.7) \quad C_{ijk} = \frac{m+n-2}{m}D_{ijk} - W_{ijkl}\nabla^l f.$$

We also need of the following results by Chen-He [14].

Lemma 2 (Chen-He [14]). *Let (M^n, g, f) be a quasi-Einstein manifold. Assume that Σ is a level set of f with $\nabla f(p) \neq 0$. Then we have:*

$$(2.8) \quad |D|^2 = \frac{2|\nabla f|^4}{(n-2)^2} \sum_{a,b=2}^n |h_{ab} - \frac{H}{n-1}g_{ab}|^2 + \frac{m^2}{2(n-1)(n-2)(m-1)^2} |\nabla^\Sigma R|^2,$$

where h_{ab} stands for the second fundamental form of Σ and H is its mean curvature.

The next result shows that the vanishing of the tensor D_{ijk} implies interesting rigidity properties about the geometry of the level surfaces of the potential function.

Proposition 1 (Chen-He [14]). *Let $(M^n, g, f, m > 1)$ be a quasi-Einstein manifold with $D_{ijk} = 0$. Let c be a regular value of f and $\Sigma = \{p \in M \mid f(p) = c\}$ be a level hypersurface of f . We also consider $e_1 = \frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}$ and choose an orthonormal frame $\{e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ tangent to Σ . Then:*

- (1) *the scalar curvature R and $|\nabla f|^2$ of (M^n, g, f) are constant on Σ ;*
- (2) *$R_{1a} = 0$ for $a \geq 2$ and e_1 is an eigenvector of Ric ;*
- (3) *on Σ , the Ricci tensor either has a unique eigenvalue or, two distinct eigenvalues with multiplicity 1 and $n-1$, moreover the eigenvalue with multiplicity 1 is in the direction of ∇f ;*
- (4) *the second form fundamental h_{ab} of Σ is $h_{ab} = \frac{H}{n-1}g_{ab}$;*
- (5) *the mean curvature H is constant on Σ ;*
- (6) *$R_{1abc} = 0$, for $a, b, c \in \{2, \dots, n\}$.*

Before preceeding, it is important to remember some classical equations concerning quasi-Einstein manifolds. First of all, considering the function $u = e^{-\frac{f}{m}}$ on M^n , we immediately get

$$\nabla u = -\frac{u}{m} \nabla f$$

as well as

$$(2.9) \quad \text{Hess} f - \frac{1}{m} df \otimes df = -\frac{m}{u} \text{Hess} u.$$

Taking into account (1.2) and (1.3), it is easy to obtain

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{u^2}{m} (R - \lambda n) + (m-1) |\nabla u|^2 = -\lambda u^2 + \mu$$

We also remember that, by Wang [24], if $\lambda \leq 0$, then $R \geq \lambda n$. Now we turn our attention for steady case. Whence, it follows from (2.10) that

$$(2.11) \quad |\nabla u|^2 \leq \frac{\mu}{m-1}$$

and also

$$(2.12) \quad u^2 R \leq m\mu.$$

In the sequel we investigate the asymptotic behavior of the function $u = e^{-\frac{f}{m}}$. More precisely, we prove a pinching estimate which plays a central role in this work.

Lemma 3. *Let $(M^n, g, f, m > 1)$ be a complete noncompact steady quasi-Einstein manifold with positive Ricci curvature such that f has at least one critical point. Then, there exist positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that the function $u = e^{-\frac{f}{m}}$ satisfies the following estimates*

$$(2.13) \quad c_1 r(x) - c_2 \leq u(x) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{m-1}} r(x) + |u(p)|,$$

where p is a critical point of f and $r(x)$ is the distance function from p .

Proof. The proof will follow [9] (cf. Proposition 2.3 in [9]). Firstly, notice that by (2.11) the upper bound in (2.13) in fact occurs for noncompact steady quasi-Einstein manifolds in general.

Now, we deal of the lower bound. To do so, we first notice that (1.2) and (2.10) yields

$$(2.14) \quad Ric = \frac{m}{u} Hess u.$$

We now assume that p is a critical point of f . So, taking into account that M^n has positive Ricci curvature and $u > 0$, we immediately deduce from (2.14) that u is a strictly convex function. We then consider any minimizing normal geodesic $\gamma(s)$, $0 \leq s \leq s_0$, for sufficiently large $s_0 > 0$, starting from the point $p = \gamma(0)$. Further, denote by $X(s) = \dot{\gamma}(s)$ the unit tangent vector along γ and $\frac{Du}{dt} = \dot{u} = \nabla_X u(\gamma(s))$. With these notations in mind, we may use (2.14) to achieve

$$(2.15) \quad \nabla_X \dot{u} = \nabla_X \nabla_X u = \frac{u}{m} Ric(X, X).$$

Remembering that $\nabla u = -\frac{u}{m} \nabla f$, it follows that a critical point of f is also critical point of u . Therefore, upon integrating (2.15) along γ , for $s \geq 1$, we arrive at

$$(2.16) \quad \dot{u}(\gamma(s)) = \int_0^s \frac{u}{m} Ric(X, X) ds \geq \int_0^1 \frac{u}{m} Ric(X, X) ds \geq c_1,$$

where

$$c_1 = \frac{c}{m} \min_{B_p(1)} u(x)$$

and $c > 0$ is the least eigenvalue of Ricci curvature on the unit geodesic ball $B_p(1)$.

Proceeding, on integrating (2.16) from 1 to s_0 we get

$$\begin{aligned} u(\gamma(s_0)) &= \int_1^{s_0} \dot{u}(s) ds + u(\gamma(1)) \\ &\geq c_1 s_0 - c_1 + u(\gamma(1)) \\ &\geq c_1 s_0 - c_2. \end{aligned}$$

This is what we wanted to prove. □

As an immediate application of Lemma 3 we have the following result.

Corollary 2. *Let $(M^n, g, f, m > 1)$ be a complete noncompact steady quasi-Einstein manifold with positive Ricci curvature such that f has at least one critical point. Then M^n is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n .*

Proof. From Eq. (2.13) we immediately have that u is a proper function. In particular, Eq. (2.14) implies that u is strictly convex and then it is well-known that M^n is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n . \square

Now, we use Lemma 3 to prove the main result of this section. It plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 4. *Let $(M^n, g, f, m > 1)$, $n \geq 4$, be a Bach-flat noncompact steady quasi-Einstein manifold with positive Ricci curvature such that f has at least one critical point. Then the tensor D vanishes identically.*

Proof. To start with, we combine (2.4) and (2.7) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (n-2)B_{ij} &= \nabla^k C_{kij} + W_{ikjl} R_{kl} \\ &= \nabla^k \left(\frac{m+n-2}{m} D_{kij} - W_{kijl} \nabla^l f \right) + W_{ikjl} R_{kl} \\ &= \frac{m+n-2}{m} \nabla^k D_{kij} - (\nabla^k W_{kijl}) \nabla^l f - W_{kijl} \nabla^k \nabla^l f + W_{ikjl} R^{kl}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using (1.2) and (2.3), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} (n-2)B_{ij} &= \frac{m+n-2}{m} \nabla^k D_{kij} + \frac{n-3}{n-2} C_{lji} \nabla^l f \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{m} W_{kijl} \nabla^k f \nabla^l f. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Recall that $\nabla u = -\frac{1}{m} u \nabla f$ and this substituted into (2.17) yields

$$(n-2)B_{ij} \nabla^i u \nabla^j u e^{-u} u^3 = \frac{m+n-2}{m} (\nabla^k D_{kij}) \nabla^i u \nabla^j u e^{-u} u^3. \tag{2.18}$$

On the other hand, a straightforward computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^k (D_{kij} \nabla^i u \nabla^j u e^{-u} u^3) &= (\nabla^k D_{kij}) \nabla^i u \nabla^j u e^{-u} u^3 + D_{kij} (\nabla^k \nabla^i u) \nabla^j u e^{-u} u^3 \\ &\quad + D_{kij} \nabla^i u (\nabla^k \nabla^j u) e^{-u} u^3 \\ &= (\nabla^k D_{kij}) \nabla^i u \nabla^j u e^{-u} u^3 + D_{kij} \left(\frac{u}{m} R^{ki} \right) \nabla^j u e^{-u} u^3 \\ &\quad + D_{kij} \left(\frac{u}{m} R^{kj} \right) \nabla^i u e^{-u} u^3, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Eq. (2.14) in the last step. Therefore, returning to Eq. (2.18) we immediately achieve

$$\begin{aligned} (n-2)B_{ij} \nabla^i u \nabla^j u e^{-u} u^3 &= \frac{m+n-2}{m} \nabla^k (D_{kij} \nabla^i u \nabla^j u e^{-u} u^3) \\ &\quad - \frac{m+n-2}{m^2} D_{kij} e^{-u} u^4 (R^{ki} \nabla^j u + R^{kj} \nabla^i u). \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Notice that $\nabla u = -\frac{u}{m} \nabla f$ substituted into (2.5) provides

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{u}{m}D_{ijk} &= \frac{1}{n-2}(R_{jk}\nabla_i u - R_{ik}\nabla_j u) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)}[R_{il}\nabla^l u g_{jk} - R_{jl}\nabla^l u g_{ik} \\
&\quad - R(g_{jk}\nabla_i u - g_{ik}\nabla_j u)].
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.20}$$

Moreover, since the tensor D is skew-symmetric in the two first indices, it is not difficult to see that $D_{kij}R^{ki}\nabla^j u = 0$ and then comparing with (2.20) we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{kij}(R^{ki}\nabla^j u + R^{kj}\nabla^i u) &= \frac{1}{2}D_{kij}R^{kj}\nabla^i u - \frac{1}{2}D_{ikj}R^{kj}\nabla^i u \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}D_{kij}(R^{ij}\nabla^k u - R^{kj}\nabla^i u) \\
&= \frac{n-2}{2m}u|D|^2.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.21}$$

Next, upon integrating (2.19) over the ball $B_p(s)$, we use (2.21) together with the divergence theorem to deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_p(s)} B(\nabla u, \nabla u) e^{-u} u^3 dV_g &= \frac{m+n-2}{m(n-2)} \left[\int_{\partial B_p(s)} D_{kij}\nabla^i u \nabla^j u e^{-u} u^3 \nu_k d\sigma \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{n-2}{2m^2} \int_{B_p(s)} u^5 |D|^2 e^{-u} dV_g \right],
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.22}$$

where ν denotes the outward unit normal to $\partial B_p(s)$. Moreover, since g has positive Ricci curvature, then $|R_{ij}| \leq R$. This jointly with (2.20) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\partial B_p(s)} u D_{kij} \nabla^i u \nabla^j u e^{-u} u^2 \nu_k d\sigma \right| &\leq C \int_{\partial B_p(s)} |\nabla u|^3 (|R_{ij}| + R) u^2 e^{-u} d\sigma \\
&\leq 2C \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{m-1}} \right)^3 \int_{\partial B_p(s)} u^2 R e^{-u} d\sigma \\
&\leq 2C \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{m-1}} \right)^3 m\mu \int_{\partial B_p(s)} e^{-u} d\sigma,
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.23}$$

where we also have used (2.11) and (2.12). Moreover, we already know from (2.13) that

$$-u(x) \leq -c_1 r(x) + c_2,$$

where c_1 and c_2 are positive constants and r is the distance function. Thus, by (2.23) one has

$$\left| \int_{\partial B_p(s)} u D_{kij} \nabla^i u \nabla^j u e^{-u} u^2 \nu_k d\sigma \right| \leq C_1 e^{-s} \text{Area}(\partial B_p(s)).
\tag{2.24}$$

The assumption of positive Ricci curvature allows to use the Bishop-Gromov theorem to infer

$$\text{Area}(\partial B_p(s)) \leq C_2 s^{n-1}.$$

Hence, it follows from (2.24) that

$$\left| \int_{\partial B_p(s)} u D_{kij} \nabla^i u \nabla^j u e^{-u} u^2 \nu_k d\sigma \right| \leq C_3 e^{-s} s^{n-1}.$$

Therefore, by letting $s \rightarrow +\infty$ in Eq. (2.22) we achieve

$$\int_M B(\nabla u, \nabla u) e^{-u} u^3 dV_g = -\frac{m+n-2}{2m^3} \int_M u^5 |D|^2 e^{-u} dV_g.$$

Finally, since M^n is Bach-flat and $u > 0$ we conclude $D_{ijk} = 0$. This finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.

Proof. We follow the trend of Chen and He [14] (see also Cao and Chen [8]). To begin with, since M^n is Bach-flat it follows from Lemma 4 that $D_{ijk} = 0$. Therefore, we may use (2.7) to get

$$(3.1) \quad C_{ijk} = -W_{ijkl} \nabla^l f.$$

At the same time, we already know that such a metric is real analytic (cf. Proposition 2.4 in [16]). Therefore, taking into account (3.1) as well as (2.3), it suffices to show that the Cotton tensor C_{ijk} vanishes at points $p \in M^n$ such that $\nabla f(p) \neq 0$. So, we consider a regular point $p \in M^n$, with associated level set Σ . Moreover, choose any local coordinates $(\theta^2, \dots, \theta^n)$ on Σ and split the metric in the local coordinates $(f, \theta^2, \dots, \theta^n)$ as follows

$$g = \frac{1}{|\nabla f|^2} df^2 + g_{ab}(f, \theta) d\theta^a d\theta^b.$$

Letting $\partial_f = \partial_1 = \frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|^2}$ we immediately get $\nabla_1 f = 1$ and $\nabla_a f = 0$, for $a \geq 2$. From (3.1) and the symmetries of the Weyl tensor we have $C_{ij1} = 0$. Next, by Proposition 1, we have $R_{1a} = 0$ and $R_{1abc} = 0$ for any integers $2 \leq a, b, c \leq n$. Whence, it is easy to check that

$$W_{abc1} = R_{abc1} = 0$$

and use once more (3.1) to deduce $C_{abc} = -W_{abc1} |\nabla f|^2 = 0$.

We now claim that $C_{1ab} = 0$ for all $a, b \geq 2$. To prove our claim we apply the same arguments used in [14] (p. 324). Indeed, notice that

$$C_{1ab} = \frac{1}{|\nabla f|^2} W(\nabla f, \partial_a, \nabla f, \partial_b).$$

On the other hand, from (2.1) we infer

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\nabla f|^2} W(\nabla f, \partial_a, \nabla f, \partial_b) &= \frac{1}{|\nabla f|^2} R(\nabla f, \partial_a, \nabla f, \partial_b) + \frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)} g_{ab} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(n-2)} \left(\frac{1}{|\nabla f|^2} Ric(\nabla f, \nabla f) g_{ab} + R_{ab} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Easily one verifies that $h_{ab} = \frac{\Gamma_{ab}^1}{|\nabla f|}$. Moreover, we also have $\Gamma_{ab}^1 = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla f(g_{ab})$. Hence, it follows that

$$(3.3) \quad h_{ab} = -\frac{\nabla f}{2|\nabla f|}(g_{ab}).$$

Proceeding, we invoke Proposition 1 to deduce that $|\nabla f|$ is constant on Σ , which immediately gives $[\partial_a, \nabla f] = 0$, and then $\langle \frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}, \partial_a \rangle = 0$, which implies $\nabla_{\frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}} \frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|} = 0$. By these settings we get

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{1}{|\nabla f|^2} R(\nabla f, \partial_a, \nabla f, \partial_b) = \frac{\nabla f}{(n-1)|\nabla f|} H g_{ab} - \frac{H^2}{(n-1)^2} g_{ab}.$$

In particular, by tracing (3.4) with respect to a and b we obtain

$$\frac{1}{|\nabla f|^2} Ric(\nabla f, \nabla f) = \frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|} H - \frac{H^2}{(n-1)}.$$

This substituted into (3.4) yields

$$(3.5) \quad R(\nabla f, \partial_a, \nabla f, \partial_b) = \frac{Ric(\nabla f, \nabla f)}{(n-1)} g_{ab}.$$

By using again Proposition 1 (3) we may consider $\frac{1}{|\nabla f|^2} Ric(\nabla f, \nabla f) = \eta$ and $Ric(\partial_a, \partial_b) = \kappa g_{ab}$, for $a, b \geq 2$, where η and κ are the eigenvalues of the Ricci curvature. Therefore, substituting (3.5) into (3.2) we achieve $C_{1ab} = 0$, which settles our claim.

Finally, it is not difficult to see that $C_{ijk} = 0$ whenever $\nabla f(p) \neq 0$. Besides, we already know that g is analytic, which allows us to conclude that $C_{ijk} = 0$ on M^n . So, the proof is completed. \square

3.2. Proof of Theorem 2.

Proof. First of all, we invoke Theorem 1 to conclude that $C \equiv 0$ and $W_{ijkl} \nabla^l f = 0$. Moreover, we consider a point $p \in M^4$ such that $\nabla f(p) \neq 0$. Choosing an orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ with $e_1 = \frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}$ at the point p , we have $W_{ijk1} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i, j, k \leq 4$. From now on it suffices to follow the arguments applied in the final steps of the proof of Theorem 2 in [4] (see also [8]). In fact, these steps guarantee that $W_{ijkl} = 0$ whenever $\nabla f(p) \neq 0$. Then, since g is analytic, M^4 is locally conformally flat. This is what we wanted to prove. \square

Acknowledgement. *The authors would like to thank A. Barros, G. Catino and R. Batista for fruitful conversations about this subject. Moreover, the authors want to thank the referee for his careful reading and valuable suggestions.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Bach, R.: Zur Weylschen Relativitätstheorie und der Weylschen Erweiterung des Krümmungstensorbegriffs. *Math. Z.* 9 (1921) 110-135.
- [2] Bakry, D. and Ledoux, M.: Sobolev inequalities and Myers diameter theorem for an abstract Markov generator. *Duke Math. J.* 85 (1996) 253-270.
- [3] Barros, A., Ribeiro Jr., E. and Silva, J.: Uniqueness of quasi-Einstein metrics on 3-dimensional homogeneous manifolds. *Diff. Geom. and its App.* 35 (2014) 60-73.

- [4] Barros, A., Diógenes, R. and Ribeiro Jr, E.: Bach-flat critical metrics of the volume functional on 4-dimensional manifolds with boundary. *J. Geom. Analysis*. 25 (2015) 2698-2715.
- [5] Barros, A., Batista, R. and Ribeiro Jr, E.: Bounds on volume growth of geodesic balls for Einstein warped products. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 143 (2015) 4415-4422.
- [6] Besse, A. L.: Einstein Manifolds. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1987).
- [7] Cao, H. D., Catino, G., Chen, Q., Mantegazza, C. and Mazzieri, L.: Bach-flat gradient steady Ricci solitons. *Calculus of Variations and PDE*. 49 (2014) 125-138.
- [8] Cao, H.-D. and Chen, Q.: On Bach-flat gradient shrinking Ricci solitons. *Duke Math. J.* 162 (2013) 1149-1169.
- [9] Cao, H. D., and Chen, Q.: On locally conformally flat gradient steady Ricci solitons. *Trans. of the Amer. Math. Soc.* 364 (2012) 2377-2391.
- [10] Cao, H.-D.: Recent progress on Ricci soliton. *Advanced Lect. in Math.* v. 11 (2009), p. 1-38.
- [11] Cao, H.-D., Xiaofeng, S. and Yingying, Z.: On the structure of gradient Yamabe solitons. *Math. Research Lett.* 19 (2012) 767-774.
- [12] Case, J., Shu, Y. and Wei G.: Rigidity of quasi-Einstein metrics. *Diff. Geom. Appl.* 29 (2011) 93-100.
- [13] Case, J.: On the nonexistence of quasi-Einstein metrics. *Pacific J. Math.* 248 (2010) 227-284.
- [14] Chen, Q. and He, C.: On Bach flat warped product Einstein manifolds. *Pacific J. Math.* 265.2 (2013) 313-326.
- [15] Catino, G., Mastrolia, P., Monticelli, D. and Rigoli, M.: On the geometry of gradient Einstein-type manifolds. arXiv:1402.3453 [math.DG] (2014).
- [16] He, C. Petersen, P. and Wylie, W.: On the classification of warped product Einstein metrics. *Comm. in Analysis and Geom.* 20 (2012) 271-311.
- [17] Kim, D. and Kim, Y.: Compact Einstein warped product spaces with nonpositive scalar curvature. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 131 (2003) 2573-2576.
- [18] Leistner, T., and Nurowski, P.: Ambient metrics for n-dimensional pp-waves. *Comm. in Math. Phys.* 296(3) (2010) 881-898.
- [19] Lü, H., Page, D. N. and Pope, C.N.: New inhomogeneous Einstein metrics on sphere bundles over Einstein-Kähler manifolds. *Phys. Lett. B.* 593 (1-4) (2004) 218-226.
- [20] Qian, Z. M.: Estimates for weight volumes and applications. *J. Math. Oxford Ser.* 48 (1987) 235-242.
- [21] Qing, J. and Yuan, W.: A note on static spaces and related problems. *J. Geom. and Phys.* 74, (2013) 18-27.
- [22] Rimoldi, M.: A remark on Einstein warped products. *Pacific J. Math.* 252 (2011) 207-218.
- [23] Rimoldi, M.: Rigidity results for Lichnerowicz Bakry-Emery Ricci tensors. Phd Thesis, *Università degli Studi di Milano* (2011).
- [24] Wang, L. F.: On noncompact τ -quasi-Einstein metrics. *Pacific J. Math.* 254 (2011) 449-464.

(M. Ranieri) UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE ALAGOAS - UFAL, INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA, CEP 57072-970-MACEIÓ / AL, BRAZIL

E-mail address: `ranieri2011@gmail.com`

(E. Ribeiro) UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO CEARÁ - UFC, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, CAMPUS DO PICI, AV. HUMBERTO MONTE, BLOCO 914, CEP 60455-760-FORTALEZA / CE, BRAZIL

E-mail address: `ernani@mat.ufc.br`