

# GREEN'S FORMULA AND VARIATIONAL INEQUATIONS

MARC ATTEIA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, I give an extension to the banachic - non linear- case of the work of B. Hanouzet and J.L. Joly which is quoted below in the bibliography and which was elaborated in the hilbertian-linear- case.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_0, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{T}$  be five reflexive Banach spaces such that :

(\*)  $\mathcal{B}_0$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}_1$ ) is a dense vectorial subspace of  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $j_0$  (resp.  $j_1$ ) is continuous injection from  $\mathcal{B}_0$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}_1$ ) into  $\mathcal{L}$ .

(\*\*)  $\mathcal{B}_0$  is a vectorial subspace of  $\mathcal{B}_1$ , embedded with the norm of  $\mathcal{B}_1$ , which is closed in  $\mathcal{B}_1$ .

We shall denote by  $j$  the (continuous) injection of  $\mathcal{B}_0$  into  $\mathcal{B}_1$ .

(\*\*\*)  $\Gamma$  is the (closed) image of  $\mathcal{B}_1$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  by a linear mapping  $\gamma_0$  such that :

$$\text{Im } j = \ker \gamma_0.$$

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{B}', \mathcal{B}'_0, \mathcal{B}'_1, \mathcal{T}'$  the topological duals of  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_0, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{T}$  respectively.

Then,  ${}^t j_0$  (resp.  ${}^t j_1$ ) is a (linear and continuous) injective mapping from  $\mathcal{L}'$  into  $\mathcal{B}'_0$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}'_1$ ) with a dense image for the strong topology.

As :  $j_0 = j_1 j$ , we have :  ${}^t j_0 = {}^t j {}^t j_1$ . We set :  $\rho = {}^t j$ .

As  $\text{Im}(j)$  is closed,  $\text{Im}({}^t j)$  is also closed.

${}^t \gamma_0$  is a (linear and continuous) injective mapping from  $\mathcal{T}'$  into  $\mathcal{B}'_1$  (embedded with its strong topology).

Moreover,  $\text{Im}({}^t \gamma_0)$  is closed (as  $\text{Im}(\gamma_0)$  is closed) and we have :

$$\text{Im}({}^t \gamma_0) = \ker({}^t j).$$

So, we have the following scheme :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \mathcal{T}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T} & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \uparrow & & \\ \mathcal{L}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}'_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \uparrow & \nearrow & \\ & & \mathcal{B}'_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}_0 & & \end{array}$$

Below, we denote by  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}}$  (resp.  $\|\cdot\|_1, \|\cdot\|_0, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{T}}$ ) the norm of  $\mathcal{L}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_0, \mathcal{T}$ ) and by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{L}}$  (resp.  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{T}}$ ) the duality bracket between  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{L}'$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}'_1, \mathcal{B}_0$  and  $\mathcal{B}'_0, \mathcal{T}$  and

$\mathcal{T}'$  ).

So, we have the following scheme :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{L}' & \longrightarrow & {}^t j_1 \mathcal{L}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}'_1 \\ & \searrow & \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ & & {}^t j_1 \mathcal{L}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}'_0 \end{array}$$

The restriction of  $\rho$  to  ${}^t j_1 \mathcal{L}'$  is injective and surjective as  ${}^t j_0 = \rho \circ {}^t j_1$  and  ${}^t j_0$  ( ${}^t j_1$ ) are injective.

The restriction of  $\rho$  to  ${}^t j_0 \mathcal{L}'$  is bijective. Its inverse denoted by  $\bar{\omega}$  is bijective.

(We don't know, however, if  $\bar{\omega}$  is continuous).

**Example 1 :**

$\forall u, v \in H^1(0, 1)$ , let :  $a(u, v) = \int_0^1 (u'v' + uv) dt = \langle Au, v \rangle_1$ .

Then :  $\forall u, v \in H^1(0, 1)$ ,  $a(u, v) = \langle Lu, v \rangle_0$ , thus :  $L = \rho A$ , and :

$\forall u, v \in C^\infty(0, 1)$ ,  $\int_0^1 (u'v' + uv) dt = \int_0^1 (-u'' + u) \cdot v dt + u'(1)v(1) - u'(0)v(0)$ .

i.e.  $\langle Au, v \rangle_1 = \langle \pi Lu, v \rangle_1 = \langle \gamma_0 u, \gamma_0 v \rangle_{\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{T}}$ .

If,  $v \in \mathcal{D}(0, 1)$ , then :  $\langle \pi Lu, v \rangle_1 = \int_0^1 (-u'' + u) v dt = \left\langle (-u'' + u), v \right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,1), \mathcal{D}(0,1)}$ .

**Example 2 :**

Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

$\forall u, v \in \mathcal{B}_1$ ,  $h(u, v) = \int_0^1 (|u'|^{p-1} \text{sign}(u') \cdot v') dt = \langle Gu, v \rangle_1$  and :

$\forall u \in \mathcal{B}_1, \forall v \in \mathcal{B}_0$ ,  $h(u, v) = \int_0^1 (|u'|^{p-1} \text{sign}(u') \cdot v') dt = \langle \rho Gu, v \rangle_0$

where :

$\rho Gu$  is the restriction of  $Gu$  to  $\mathcal{B}'_0$ .

Formally, we can write :

$$\langle Gu, v \rangle_1 = \langle \pi \rho Gu, v \rangle_1 + \langle \gamma_G u, \gamma_0 v \rangle_{\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{T}}$$

We do not need to precise, here, the definition of  $\mathcal{B}_0$  and  $\mathcal{B}_1$ .

However, if  $p > 1$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{u \in \mathcal{D}'(0, 1) ; u, u' \in L^p(0, 1) \text{ and } u(0) = 0\}$

and  $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{v \in \mathcal{B}_1 ; \gamma_1 v = 0\}$  with :  $\forall v \in C^1(0, 1)$ ,  $\gamma_1 v = v'(1)$

we deduce that, if  $u$  is sufficiently regular,  $\gamma_G u = (|u'|^{p-1} \text{sign}(u'))(1)$ .

(cf. " Quelques méthodes de résolution de problèmes aux limites non linéaires", de J.L. Lions, p.25).

## 2. GREEN'S FORMULA.

We recall that  $\bar{\omega}$  is a linear mapping from  ${}^t j_0 \mathcal{L}'$  into  $\mathcal{B}'_1$  such that :

$$\forall l' \in \mathcal{L}', \quad \bar{\omega} {}^t j_0 l' = {}^t j_1 l'.$$

Let  $G$  be an operator (which is linear or not) continuous from  $\mathcal{B}_1$  into  $\mathcal{B}'_1$ . We set :

$$\mathcal{B}_G = \{u \in \mathcal{B}_1 ; \rho G u \in {}^t j_0 \mathcal{L}'\}$$

Then :

$$\forall u \in \mathcal{B}_G, \exists l' \in \mathcal{L}' \text{ such that : } {}^t j (G u - {}^t j_1 l') = \theta_{\mathcal{B}'_0}$$

where  $\theta_{\mathcal{B}'_0}$  is the neutral element of  $\mathcal{B}'_0$

Thus, we deduce that :

$$G u - {}^t j_0 l' = G u - \bar{\omega} {}^t j_0 l' \in \ker {}^t j = \text{Im } \gamma_0$$

So :

$$G u - \bar{\omega} {}^t j_0 l' = G u - \bar{\omega} \rho G u = {}^t \gamma_0 z', \text{ with } z' \in \mathcal{T}'$$

We shall set, in the following :

$$\forall u \in \mathcal{B}_G, \gamma_G u = {}^t \gamma_0^{-1} (I'_1 - \bar{\omega} \rho) G u \in \mathcal{T}'$$

where  $I'_1$  is the identity of  $\mathcal{B}'_1$ .

**Remarks :**

(\*)  $\gamma_G$  is a mapping from  $\mathcal{B}_G$  into  $\mathcal{T}'$  which is not necessarily linear, but  ${}^t \gamma_0^{-1} (I'_1 - \bar{\omega} \rho)$  is a linear mapping which is definite on  $G(\mathcal{B}_G)$ .

(\*\*)  $(u \in \mathcal{B}_G) \iff \chi_1^{-1} J_1^* G u \in \mathcal{B}_{J_1}$ .

Then :

$$\forall u \in \mathcal{B}_G, \forall y \in \mathcal{B}_1, \langle G u, y \rangle_1 = \langle \bar{\omega} \rho G u, y \rangle_1 + \langle \gamma_G u, \gamma_0 y \rangle_{\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{T}}$$

Thus, we have the following scheme :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & \mathcal{T}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T} & \\ & & & \downarrow & & \uparrow & \\ E' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}'_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L} & \longrightarrow & E \\ & & & \searrow & \downarrow & & \uparrow & & \nearrow & & \\ & & & & \mathcal{B}'_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}_0 & & & & \end{array}$$

So :

$$l_0 = l_1 \circ j, \quad {}^t l_0 = \rho \circ {}^t l_1, \quad l_1 = k \circ j_1, \quad l_0 = k \circ j_0$$

with  $\forall e' \in E', \bar{\omega} {}^t l_0 e' = {}^t l_1 e'$

**Example :**

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = W^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad \mathcal{B}_0 = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad \mathcal{L} = L^p(\Omega), \quad E = \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$$

Now, we suppose that  $j_1$  (resp.  $j_0, k$ ) is a weakly dense injection (and weakly continuous) from  $\mathcal{B}_1$  into  $\mathcal{L}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}_0$  into  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  into  $E$ ).

Then :  ${}^t j_1$  (resp.  ${}^t j_0, {}^t k$ ) is injective and its image is weakly (strongly) dense in  $\mathcal{B}'_1$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}'_0, \mathcal{L}'$ ) when those vector spaces are Banach spaces (reflexive or not).

Let us set :

$$\mathcal{B}_{G,E} = \{u \in \mathcal{B}_1 ; \rho G u \in {}^t l_0 E'\} \subset \mathcal{B}_G$$

So :

$$\begin{aligned} \forall u \in \mathcal{B}_{G,E}, \exists e' \in E', \text{ such that : } & {}^t j (G u - {}^t l_1 e') = \theta_{\mathcal{B}'_0} \\ \implies & G u - {}^t l_1 e' = G u - \bar{\omega}_E {}^t l_0 e' \in \ker {}^t j = \text{Im } \gamma_0 \\ \implies & G u - \bar{\omega} {}^t l_0 e' = G u - \bar{\omega}_E \rho G u = {}^t \gamma_0 z', \quad z' \in \mathcal{T}' \end{aligned}$$

Now, we set :

$$\forall u \in \mathcal{B}_{G,E}, \quad \gamma_G u = {}^t\gamma_0^{-1} (I'_1 - \bar{\omega}_E \rho) G u \in \mathcal{T}'$$

**Remark :**

$$\forall e' \in E', \quad \bar{\omega}_E {}^tj_0 {}^tk e' = {}^tj_1 {}^tk e' = \bar{\omega} {}^tj_0 {}^tk e'$$

Thus :  $\bar{\omega}_E$  is the restriction of  $\bar{\omega}$  to  ${}^tj_0 {}^tk E'$  which is contained in  ${}^tj_0 \mathcal{L}'$ , and :

$$\forall u \in \mathcal{B}_{G,E}, \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{B}_1, \quad \langle Gu, y \rangle_1 = \langle \bar{\omega}_E \rho G u, y \rangle_1 + \langle \gamma_{G,E} u, \gamma_0 y \rangle_{\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{T}}$$

where  $\gamma_{G,E}$  is the restriction of  $\gamma_G$  to  $\mathcal{B}_{G,E} \subset \mathcal{B}_G$ .

### 3. AN ORDER ON $\mathcal{L}$ AND ITS INDUCED ORDER ON $\mathcal{B}_1$ (RESP. $\mathcal{B}_0$ ).

Let  $C^+$  be a closed convex cone in  $\mathcal{L}$  with  $\theta_{\mathcal{L}}$  as its vertex.

Then :

$$\mathcal{L} = C^+ - C^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{\mathcal{L}} = C^+ \cap (-C^+)$$

Let :

$$C_m^+ = \{u \in \mathcal{B}_m ; j_m u \in C^+\} \quad \text{for } m = 0, 1$$

We have :

$$\mathcal{B}_m = C_m^+ - C_m^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{\mathcal{B}_m} = C_m^+ \cap (-C_m^+) \quad \text{for } m = 0, 1$$

**Hypotheses :**

We suppose that :

(\*) for  $m = 0, 1$ ,  $j_m C_m^+$  is dense in  $C^+$  (for the topology of  $\mathcal{L}$ ).

(\*\*)  $\mathcal{B}_m$  is nested for the order induced by that of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

If  $u \in [C^+]$  (resp.  $C_1^+, C_0^+$ ), we shall write :  $u \geq 0$  (and  $u \leq 0$  if  $u \in (-C^+) = C^-$  (resp.  $-C_m^+ = C_m^-$ ) for  $m = 0, 1$ )

We shall denote by  $D^+$  (resp.  $D_1^+, D_0^+$ ) the polar cone of  $C^+$  (resp.  $C_1^+, C_0^+$ ) for the duality between  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{L}'$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}'_1, \mathcal{B}_0$  and  $\mathcal{B}'_0$ ).  
So :

$$D^+ = \{x^* \in \mathcal{L}' ; \forall x \in C^+, \langle x^*, x \rangle \geq 0\}$$

$D^+$  (resp.  $D_m^+$  for  $m = 0, 1$ ) is a weakly (and strongly) closed cone as  $\mathcal{B}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}_m$  for  $m = 0, 1$ ) is reflexive.

If  $u^* \in D^+$  (resp.  $D_m^+$  for  $m = 0, 1$ ), we shall write  $u^* \geq 0$  and  $u^* \leq 0$  if  $u \in (-D^+) = D^-$  (resp.  $-D_m^+ = D_m^-$ ) for  $m = 0, 1$ .

**Proposition 1.**  $D_m^+$  is the adherence of  ${}^tj_m D^+$  in  $\mathcal{B}'_m$  for  $m = 0, 1$ .

*Proof.* First, we remark that :  ${}^tj_m D^+ \subset D_m^+$  (we have :  ${}^tj_0 D^+ = \rho {}^tj_1 D^+$ ).

Indeed :  $\forall l' \in D^+, \forall u \in C_m^+, \langle {}^tj_m l', u \rangle_m = \langle l', j_m u \rangle_{\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{L}} \geq 0$ .

Let us suppose that :  ${}^tj_m D^+ \subsetneq D_m^+$  and let :  $u' \in D_m^+ \setminus \overline{{}^tj_m D^+}$ .

As  $\overline{{}^tj_m D^+}$  is a closed convex cone (with  $\theta_{\mathcal{B}'_m}$  as its vertex)

and as  $\mathcal{B}_m$  is reflexive, there exists  $u \in \mathcal{B}_m$  such that :

$$\forall l' \in D^+, \quad \langle {}^tj_m l', u \rangle_m \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle u', u \rangle_m < 0$$

Thus, we have :

$$\forall l' \in D^+ , \langle l', j_m u \rangle \geq 0 \text{ and : } \langle u', u \rangle_m < 0$$

So :

$$(j_m u \geq 0 , u \geq 0 \text{ and : } \langle u', u \rangle_m < 0) \text{ what is impossible.}$$

□

**Lemma 2.**  $\bar{\omega}$  is an homomorphism for the oder structures which are definite on  ${}^t j_0 \mathcal{L}'$  and  ${}^t j_1 \mathcal{L}'$  respectively by  ${}^t j_0 D^+$  and  ${}^t j_1 D^+$  .

Moreover :  $\bar{\omega}({}^t j_0 D^+) = {}^t j_1 D^+$  .

*Proof.*  $(l' \in \mathcal{L}' , {}^t j_m l' \geq 0) \iff (l' \in \mathcal{L}' , l' \geq 0)$  for  $m = 0, 1$  because

$$({}^t j_m l' \geq 0) \iff (\forall v \in C_m^+ , \langle {}^t j_m l', v \rangle_m = \langle l', j_m v \rangle_m \geq 0)$$

$$\iff (\forall u \in C^+ , \langle l', u \rangle \geq 0) \text{ (because } {}^t j_m C^+ \text{ is dense in } C^+).$$

$$\text{Thus : } ({}^t j_0 l' \geq 0) \implies (\bar{\omega} {}^t j_0 l' = {}^t j_1 l' \geq 0) .$$

□

**Lemma 3.**  $\forall u \in C_1^+ , \forall v' \in \rho D_1^+ , \forall w' \in (\rho)^{-1} v' ,$

$$\text{Sup} \{ \langle v', v \rangle_0 ; 0 \leq v \leq u , v \in \mathcal{B}_0 \} \leq \langle w', u \rangle_1 .$$

*Proof.*

$$\forall v \in \mathcal{B}_0 , 0 \leq v \leq u , \langle v', v \rangle_0 = \langle \rho w', v \rangle_0 = \langle w', jv \rangle_1 \leq \langle w', u \rangle_1$$

□

**Lemma 4.**  $\forall u \in C_1^+ , \forall v' \in {}^t j_0 D^+ = \rho {}^t j_1 D_1^+ , \langle \bar{\omega} v', u \rangle_1 = \text{Sup} \{ \langle v', v \rangle_0 ; 0 \leq v \leq u \}$

*Proof.* Let  $v \in C_0^+$  such that :  $u - jv \in C_1^+$  .Then :

$$\langle \bar{\omega} v', u - jv \rangle_1 = \langle {}^t j_1 l', u - jv \rangle_1 = \langle l', j_1 (u - jv) \rangle_{\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{L}} \geq 0 \text{ (where } l' \in D^+)$$

Thus :

$$\langle \bar{\omega} v', u \rangle_1 \geq \langle v', v \rangle_0 .$$

On the other hand, we know that there exists a sequence  $(v_m ; m \in \mathbb{N})$  contained in  $C_0^+$  such that :

$$\forall p \in \mathbb{N} , u - jv_p \in C_1^+ \text{ and } \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|j_0 v_p - j_1 u\|_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$$

We deduce that :

$$\forall p \in \mathbb{N} , \langle \bar{\omega} v', u \rangle_1 \geq \langle v', v_p \rangle_0$$

and :

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \langle v', v_p \rangle_0 &= \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \langle {}^t j_0 l', v_p \rangle_0 = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \langle l', j_0 v_p \rangle_{\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{L}} \\ &= \langle l', j_1 u \rangle_{\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{L}} = \langle {}^t j_1 l', u \rangle_1 = \langle \bar{\omega} v', u \rangle_1 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 5.**  $\forall u \in C_1^+ , \forall v' \in {}^t j_0 D^+ = \rho {}^t j_1 D_1^+ ,$

$$\langle \bar{\omega} v', u \rangle_1 = \text{Sup} \{ \langle v', v \rangle_0 ; 0 \leq v \leq u \} = \text{Inf} \{ \langle w', u \rangle_1 ; u' \in (\rho)^{-1} v' \}$$

*Proof.* deduced from lemmas 2 and 3 and from the fact that :  $\bar{\omega} v' \in (\rho)^{-1} v'$

□

**References.**

- [1] B. Hanouzet, J.L. Joly. *Applications bilinaires sur certains sous espaces de Sobolev*. CRAS Paris, t. 294 (1982).
- [2] J.L. Lions. *Quelques méthodes de résolution de problèmes aux limites non linéaires*. Dunod, Gauthiers Villard, 1969.  
*E-mail address: marcatteia@orange.fr*