arXiv:1605.06783v1 [math.DG] 22 May 2016

A CONFORMALLY INVARIANT VARIATIONAL PROBLEM FOR TIME-LIKE CURVES

OLIMJON ESHKOBILOV AND EMILIO MUSSO

Abstract. We study the conformally invariant variational problem for time-like curves in the n-dimensional Einstein universe defined by the conformal strain functional. We prove that the stationary curves are trapped into an Einsetin universe of dimension 2, 3 or 4. We study the linearly-full stationary curves in a four-dimensional Einstein universe and we show that they can be integrated by quadratures in terms of elliptic functions, elliptic integrals and Jacobi's theta functions.

1. Introduction

Lorentzian conformal geometry began in 1918 with the seminal work of H.Weyl [38]. In the 1980s, it has been considerably developed in connection with the twistor approach to gravity by Penrose and Rindler [31] and it is widely used in the cyclic cosmological models in general relativity [29, 30, 36]. It is also related to regularization of the Kepler problem [14] and Lie sphere geometry [4, 6, 19]. The purpose of the present paper is to investigate a variational problem for time-like curves in the conformal compactification $\mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$ of the Minkowski n-space. In the literature, $\mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$ is often referred to as the *compact n-dimensional Einstein universe* [2, 11, 14]. The choice of working within the compact model is motivated by the fact that, in this case, the conformal group is a group of matrices. This greatly simplifies the computational aspects. However, our considerations apply as well in the non-compact case. It 'should be noted that any Lorentzian space-form or any Friedmann–Lemaitre–Robertson–Walker cosmological model can be realized via a conformal embedding, as an open domain of $\mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$ [17].

Date: Version of March 1, 2022.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C50, 53A30.

Key words and phrases. Conformal Lorenztian geometry, timelike curves, conformal invariants, Einstein universe, conformal Lorentzian compactification, conformal strain.

Authors partially supported by PRIN 2010-2011 "Varietà reali e complesse: geometria, topologia e analisi armonica" and by GNSAGA of INDAM..

Lorentzian conformal geometry shares, at least on a formal level, many common features, with Riemannian conformal geometry, a classical topic in differential geometry. The Lorentzian conformal geometry of the Einstein universe can be developed in analogy with the conformal geometry of a sphere (Möbius geometry). For instance, the existence of a canonical conformal invariant arc-element along a generic curve in S^n is a well known fact that goes back to the works [10, 16, 23, 34, 37] of Fialkov, Haantjes, Liebmann, Takasu and Vessiot, all published in the first decades of the past century. In [9] the construction of the conformal arc-element has been extended to time-like curves in a 3-dimensional Einstein universe. In this paper we show how to define a conformal invariant arc-element for a time-like curve in an Einstein universe of arbitrary dimension. The integral of the conformal arc-element defines the simplest conformal invariant variational problem on the space of generic time-like curves of $\mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$, called the conformal strain functional. This is the Lorentzian counterpart of the conformal arc-length functional in Möbius geometry [21, 22, 24, 26, 27, 28] and generalizes the homonymous functional for time-like curves in $\mathcal{E}^{1,2}$, previously considered in [9]. Proceeding in analogy with [24] and using the method of moving frames we deduce the variational equations satisfied by the stationary curves, referred to as conformal world-lines. We prove that conformal world-lines in $\mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$ are trapped into a totally geodesic Einstein universe of dimension 2, 3 or 4. This is the Lorentzian analogue of a similar result in Möbius geometry [24]. The 2-dimensional case is rather trivial since the trajectories are orbits of 1-parameter groups of conformal transformations. The 3-dimensional case is more challenging and it has been partially investigated in [9]. Here, we focus on the world-lines of $\mathcal{E}^{1,3}$ which are not trapped in a lower dimensional totally umbilical Einstein universe (linearly-full world lines). We prove that their trajectories can be explicitly integrated by quadratures in terms of elliptic functions and elliptic integrals.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we collect from the literature few basic facts about conformal Lorentzian geometry [2, 14] and we reformulate in the Lorentzian context the classical approach to the conformal geometry of curves in the Möbius space [10, 16, 25, 32, 33, 34, 35]. We define the conformal strain and the conformal line-element, which is the Lorentzian analogue of the conformal arc element of a curve in S^n [21, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28]. In Section 3, we use the moving frame method to compute the Euler-Lagrange equations of the conformal strain functional (Theorem 2). Consequently we show that the trajectory of a conformal world-line lies in a totally umbilical Einstein

universe of dimension 2, 3 or 4 (Theorem 3). In Section 4 we study linearly full conformal world-lines of a four-dimensional Einstein universe. Given such a world-line we build a canonical lifting to the conformal group, the canonical conformal frame and we define the three conformal curvatures (Proposition 4). Then we use the variational equation to show that the curvatures are either constants or else can be expressed in terms of Jabobi's elliptic functions (Proposition 6). In the first case the trajectory is an orbit of a 1-parameter group of conformal transformations. Leaving aside the constant curvature case, we prove that the conformal equivalence classes of world lines can be parameterized by three real parameters (Proposition 7). Then we define the momentum operator, that is an element of the Lie algebra of the conformal group, intrinsically defined by the world-line. The existence of the momentum is a consequence of the conformal invariance of the functional and of the Nöther conservation theorem (Remark 8). We prove that the momentum operator is either a regular or an exceptional element of the conformal Lie algebra (Proposition 8). Then we define the integrating factors of an eigenvalue of the momentum and we build the principal vectors of the eigenvalues (Proposition 9). The explicit computation of the integrating factors is rather technical and is considered in the Appendix. In Section 5 we use the integrating factors and the principal vectors to integrate by quadratures the trajectory of a linearly full conformal world-line with non-constant curvatures (Theorem 10 and Theorem 11). At the end of the section we briefly comment the theoretical aspects underlying the integration by quadratures, we explain why a linearly full world-line with non-constant curvatures, can't be closed and we show that the trajectory of a world-line is invariant by the action of an infinite cyclic group of conformal transformations.

2. Conformal geometry of a time-like curve

2.1. **The Einstein universe and its restricted conformal group.** We denote by $\mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$ the n-dimensional sub-manifold of $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}, n > 1$, defined by the equations $x_0^2 + x_1^2 = 1$ and $x_2^2 + \cdots + x_{n+1}^2 = 1$. As a manifold, $\mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$ is the Cartesian product $S^1 \times S^{n-1}$. The restriction of the quadratic form

$$g = -dx_0^2 - dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + \cdots + dx_{n+1}^2$$

induces a Lorentzian pseudo-metric g_e on $\mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$. The normal bundle of $\mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$ is spanned by the restrictions of the vector fields $\mathbf{n}_1 = x_0\partial_{x_0} + x_1\partial_{x_1}$ and $\mathbf{n}_2 = x_2\partial_{x_2} + \cdots + x_{n+1}\partial_{x_{n+1}}$. Thus, contracting $dx_0 \wedge \ldots dx_{n+1}$ with $\mathbf{n}_1$ and $\mathbf{n}_2$, we get a volume form on $\mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$ which in turn defines an orientation. The vector field $-x_1\partial_{x_0} + x_0\partial_{x_1}$ is tangent to $\mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$ and

induces a unit time-like vector field on $\mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$. We time-orient $\mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$ by requiring that such a vector field is future-oriented.

Definition 1. The Lorentzian manifold $(\mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}, g_e)$, with the above specified orientation and time-orientation, is called the *n-dimensional Einstein universe*.

In order to describe the conformal geometry of $\mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$ it is convenient to consider in $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ the coordinates

$$y_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_0+x_{n+1}), \quad y_1 = x_1, \dots, y_n = x_n, \quad y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-x_0+x_{n+1}).$$

The corresponding basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ is denoted by $(E_0, \dots, E_{n+1})$ and is said the *standard (light-cone) basis* of $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$. With respect to $(y_0, \dots, y_{n+1})$ the scalar product associated to g can be written as

$$\langle Y, Y' \rangle = -(y_0 y'_{n+1} + y_{n+1} y'_0) - y_1 y'_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n} y_j y'_j. \tag{2.1}$$

In addition, $dV = dy_0 \wedge \dots \wedge y_{n+1}$ is a positive volume form. From now on we will use the light-cone coordinates and the elements $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ are thought of as column vectors constructed from the components of V with respect to $(E_0, \dots, E_{n+1})$. For each $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$, $V \neq 0$, we denote by $[V]$ the oriented line spanned by V. The map $V \in \mathcal{E}^{1,n-1} \to [V]$ allow us to identify $\mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$ and the manifold of the isotropic oriented lines through the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ (null rays). Using such an identification, the connected component of the identity $\mathrm{A}^{\uparrow}_{+}(2,n)$ of the pseudo-orthogonal group of (2.1) acts transitively and effectively on the left of $\mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$ by $\mathbf{X}[V] = [\mathbf{X} \cdot V]$. The action preserves the oriented, time-oriented conformal Lorentzian structure of $\mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$. It is a classical result that, if $n > 2$, every restricted conformal transformation of $\mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$ is induced by a unique element of $\mathrm{A}^{\uparrow}_{+}(2,n)$ [8, 11]. For this reason, we call $\mathrm{A}^{\uparrow}_{+}(2,n)$ the *restricted conformal group of the n-dimensional Einstein universe*.

Remark 1. To distinguish the connected component of the identity we proceed as in [14] : we consider the cone $\mathcal{C} \subset \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$ of all isotropic bi-vectors, ie the non-zero decomposable elements $V \wedge W$ of $\bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$ such that $\langle V, V \rangle = \langle W, W \rangle = \langle V, W \rangle = 0$. The function

$$\mathfrak{V} : V \wedge W \in \mathcal{C} \to dV(V, W, E_1, \dots E_n, E_{n+1} - E_0) \in \mathbb{R}$$

never vanishes and the half cones

$$\mathcal{C}_+ = \{V \wedge W \in \mathcal{C} : \mathfrak{V}(V \wedge W) > 0\}, \quad \mathcal{C}_- = \{V \wedge W \in \ : \mathfrak{V}(V \wedge W) < 0\}$$

are the two connected components of $\mathcal{C}$. Then, $\mathrm{A}^{\uparrow}_{+}(2,n)$ is the group of all pseudo-orthogonal matrices $\mathbf{B}$ of the scalar product (2.1) such that $\det(\mathbf{B}) = 1$ and $\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathcal{C}_+ = \mathcal{C}_+$.

We put

$$\mathtt{m} = (\mathtt{m}_{ji}), \quad \mathtt{m}_{ji} = \langle \mathrm{E}_j, \mathrm{E}_i \rangle, \quad i,j = 0, \ldots, n+1. \tag{2.2}$$

Then the column vectors $B_0, \ldots B_{n+1}$ of a matrix $\mathbf{B} \in \mathrm{A}^{\uparrow}_{+}(2,n)$ constitute a *light-cone basis* of $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$, ie a positive-oriented basis such that

$$\langle B_i, B_j \rangle = \mathtt{m}_{ji}, \quad i,j = 0, \ldots n+1, \quad B_0 \wedge (B_1 + B_2) \in \mathcal{C}_+.$$

This allow us to identify $\mathrm{A}^{\uparrow}_{+}(2,n)$ and the manifold of all light-cone basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$. We use the notation $\mathbb{R}^{2,n}$ to denote $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ equipped with the scalar product (2.1), the volume form dV and the positive half cone $\mathcal{C}_+$. Differentiating the $\mathbb{R}^{2,n}$-valued maps

$$\mathcal{B}_j : B \in \mathrm{A}^{\uparrow}_{+}(2,n) \to B_j \in \mathbb{R}^{2,n}, \quad j = 0, \ldots, n+1,$$

yields

$$d\mathcal{B}_j = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \mu^i_j \mathcal{B}_i,$$

where μ^i_j are left-invariant 1-forms. The conditions $\langle B_j, B_i \rangle = \mathtt{m}_{ji}$ imply that $\mu = (\mu^i_j)$ takes values in the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{a}(2,n) = \{X \in \mathfrak{gl}(n+2,\mathbb{R}) / {}^t X \cdot \mathtt{m} + \mathtt{m} \cdot X = 0\}.$$

of $\mathrm{A}^{\uparrow}_{+}(2,n)$. Consequently we can write

$$\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \mu^0_0 & -\mu^1_{n+1} & \mu_{n+1} & 0 \\ \mu^1_0 & 0 & {}^t\mu_1 & \mu^1_{n+1} \\ \mu_0 & \mu_1 & \widetilde{\mu} & \mu_{n+1} \\ 0 & -\mu^1_0 & {}^t\mu_0 & -\mu^0_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\mu_0 =^t (\mu^2_0, \ldots, \mu^n_0), \quad \mu_1 =^t (\mu^2_1, \ldots, \mu^n_1), \quad \mu_{n+1} =^t (\mu^2_{n+1}, \ldots, \mu^n_{n+1})$$

and ${}^t\widetilde{\mu} + \widetilde{\mu} = 0$. The left-invariant 1-forms μ^0_0, μ^j_0, μ^j_{n+1}, $j = 1, \ldots, n$ and μ^i_j, $1 \leq i < j = 1, \ldots n$ are linearly independent and span the dual of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}(2,n)$. They satisfy the *Maurer-Cartan equations*

$$d\mu^i_j = -\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \mu^i_k \wedge \mu^k_j, \quad i,j = 0, \ldots, n+1. \tag{2.3}$$

Remark 2. Let $\mathbb{M}^{1,n-1}$ be *Minkowski n-space*, i.e., the affine space $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the Lorentzian scalar product

$$(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}) = -p_1q_1 + p_2q_2 + \cdots + p_nq_n.$$

The map

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{p}) = [{}^t(1, p_1, \ldots, p_n, \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}))] \in \mathcal{E}^{1,n-1} \tag{2.4}$$

is a conformal embedding whose image is said the *Minkowski-chamber* of $\mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$ Let $\mathrm{P}^{\uparrow}_{+}(1,n-1) = \mathbb{M}^{1,n-1} \rtimes \mathrm{SO}^{\uparrow}_{+}(1,n-1)$ be the restricted Poincaré group of $\mathbb{M}^{1,n-1}$. For each $(\mathbf{p},\mathrm{L}) \in \mathrm{P}^{\uparrow}_{+}(1,n-1)$ we put ${}^*\mathbf{p} = (-p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)$. The matrix

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{p},\mathrm{L}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{p} & \mathrm{L} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}{}^*\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{p} & {}^*\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathrm{L} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

belongs to $\mathrm{A}^{\uparrow}_{+}(2,n)$ and

$$\mathbf{J} : (\mathbf{p},\mathrm{L}) \in \mathrm{P}^{\uparrow}_{+}(1,n-1) \to \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{p},\mathrm{L})) \in \mathrm{A}^{\uparrow}_{+}(2,n) \tag{2.5}$$

is a faithful representation. In a similar way, one can build conformal embeddings of the de-Sitter or anti de-Sitter n-spaces into $\mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$. Also the Robertson-Walker n-spaces can be conformally mapped as open sub-manifolds of the Einstein universe [17].

Definition 2. A $(2+h)$-dimensional vector subspace $\mathbb{W} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2,n}$, $h = 1, ..., n-1$ is said *Lorentzian* if the restriction of $\langle -,-\rangle$ to $\mathbb{W}$ is non-degenerate, of signature $(2,h)$. The set $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{W})$ of all null rays belonging to $\mathbb{W}$ is a h-dimensional totally umbilical Lorentzian sub-manifold of $\mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$. We call $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{W})$ a *h-dimensional Lorentzian cycle* of $\mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$. If we equip $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{W})$ with the induced conformal structure we get a Lorentzian conformal space equivalent to a h-dimensional Einstein universe.

2.2. **Time-like curves.** Let $\gamma : I \subset \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$ be a parameterized time-like curve, $n \geq 3$. A *null-lift* is a map $\Gamma : I \to \mathbb{R}^{2,n}$ such that $\gamma(t) = [\Gamma(t)]$, for every $t \in I$. Since γ is a time-like immersion, its null-lifts satisfy

$$\Gamma \wedge \Gamma'|_t \neq 0, \quad \langle \Gamma|_t, \Gamma|_t = \langle \Gamma|_t, \Gamma'|_t\rangle = 0, \quad \langle \Gamma'|_t, \Gamma'|_t\rangle < 0,$$

for every $t \in I$. For each $k = 1, ..., n+1$, the *k-th osculating space* of γ at $\gamma(t)$ is the linear subspace $\mathcal{T}^k(\gamma)|_t \subset \mathbb{R}^{2,n}$ spanned by the vectors $\Gamma|_t, \Gamma'|_t, \ldots, \Gamma^k|_t$. Obviously the definition does not depend on the choice of the null lift. Putting together all the osculating spaces we get the k-th osculating sheaf

$$\mathcal{T}^k(\gamma) = \{(t,V) \in I \times \mathbb{R}^{2,n} : V \in \mathcal{T}^k(\gamma)|_t\}.$$

Lemma 1. *$\mathcal{T}^2(\gamma)|_t$ is a 3-dimensional Lorentzian subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{2,n}$, for every $t \in I$.*

Proof. Choose Γ so that $\langle \Gamma', \Gamma' \rangle = -1$. Differentiating $\langle \Gamma, \Gamma' \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \Gamma', \Gamma' \rangle = -1$ we get $\langle \Gamma, \Gamma'' \rangle = 1$ and $\langle \Gamma', \Gamma'' \rangle = 0$. This implies that $\Gamma|_t, \Gamma'|_t$ and $\Gamma''|_t$ are linearly independent, for each $t \in I$. We put

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \langle \Gamma'', \Gamma'' \rangle)\Gamma - \Gamma'', \quad A_2 = \Gamma' \quad A_3 = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \langle \Gamma'', \Gamma'' \rangle)\Gamma + \Gamma''.$$

Then, $(A_1|_t, A_2|_t, A_3|_t)$ is a basis of $\mathcal{T}^2(\gamma)|_t$ such that

$$\langle A_i|_t, A_j|_t \rangle = 0, \quad i \neq j,$$
$$\langle A_1|_t, A_1|_t \rangle = \langle A_2|_t, A_2|_t \rangle = -\langle A_3|_t, A_3|_t \rangle = -1.$$

This yields the result. □

The Lemma implies that $\mathcal{T}^k(\gamma)|_t$ is a Lorentzian subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{2,n}$, for every $k \geq 2$ and every $t \in I$. The Lorentzian cycle $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{T}^k(\gamma)|_t)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{E}^k(\gamma)|_t$. We call $\mathcal{E}^k(\gamma)|_t$ the *k-th osculating cycle* of γ at $\gamma(t)$. The orthogonal complement $\mathcal{N}^k(\gamma)|_t$ of $\mathcal{T}^k(\gamma)|_t$ is a space-like vector subspace such that $\mathbb{R}^{2,n} = \mathcal{T}^k(\gamma)|_t \oplus \mathcal{N}^k(\gamma)|_t$. We call $\mathcal{N}^k(\gamma)|_t$ the *k-th normal space* at $\gamma(t)$ and we define the *k-th normal sheaf* by

$$\mathcal{N}^k(\gamma) = \{(t, V) \in I \times \mathbb{R}^{2,n} : V \in \mathcal{N}^k(\gamma)|_t\}.$$

The orthogonal projection of $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ onto $\mathcal{N}^k(\gamma)|_t$ is denoted by $pr_{(k)}|_t$.

Definition 3. The *conformal strain* of a time-like curve is the quartic differential

$$\mathcal{Q}_\gamma = \frac{\langle pr_{(2)}(\Gamma'''), pr_{(2)}(\Gamma''') \rangle}{|\langle \Gamma', \Gamma' \rangle|} dt^4 \tag{2.6}$$

The conformal strain is independent on the choice of the null lift and, in addition, if γ and $\widetilde{\gamma}$ are two *equivalent time-like curves*[1] then $\mathcal{Q}_{\widetilde{\gamma}} = h^*(\mathcal{Q}_\gamma)$.

Definition 4. If $\mathcal{Q}(\gamma)|_t = 0$, the point $\gamma(t)$ is said to be a *conformal vertex*. A time-like curve without conformal vertices is said *generic*. If $\mathcal{Q}_\gamma = 0$, the curve is said *totally degenerate*.

Remark 3. If γ is totally degenerate then $\mathcal{E}^1(\gamma)|_t$ is constant and the trajectory of γ is contained in a 1-dimensional conformal cycle.

It can be shown [9] that $\mathcal{E}^1(\gamma)|_t$ has second order analytic contact with γ at $\gamma(t)$. Moreover, $\gamma(t)$ is a conformal vertex if and only if the order of contact is strictly bigger than 2. This highlights the fact that the

[1] ie, $\widetilde{\gamma} = B \cdot (\gamma \circ h)$, where $B \in \mathrm{A}^{\uparrow}_{+}(1, n+1)$ and h is a change of parameter.

conformal strain measures the infinitesimal distorsion of the curve from its osculating cycle.

Definition 5. If γ is non-degenerate then there exist a unique null lift, referred to as the *canonical lift* such that $\mathcal{Q}_\gamma = \langle \Gamma'', \Gamma\rangle^2 dt^4$. The smooth positive function $\upsilon_\gamma = |\langle \Gamma', \Gamma'\rangle|^{1/2}$ is the *conformal strain density* and the exterior differential 1-form $\sigma_\gamma = \upsilon_\gamma dt$ is called the *conformal arc element* of γ. By construction, σ_γ is invariant under the action of the restricted conformal group and orientation-preserving changes of parameter. In particular, each generic time-like curve can be parameterized is such a way that $\upsilon_\gamma = 1$. In this case, we say that the curve is *parameterized by conformal parameter*, which is usually denoted by u. Given a smooth map $f : I \to \mathbb{R}^h$, we define the *derivative of f with respect to the conformal arc element* by $\dot{f} = \upsilon_\gamma^{-1} f'$.

Remark 4. The 1-form σ_γ is the Lorentzian analogue of the conformal arc element of a curve in the 3-dimensional round sphere [21, 24, 26, 28] and generalizes the analogue notion for generic time-like curves in the 3-dimensional Einstein universe [9].

Let γ be non-degenerate and Γ be its canonical lift. Then, $\dim(\mathcal{T}^3(\gamma)|_t) = 4$, for every t. Hence $\mathcal{T}^3(\gamma)$ is a vector bundle. Furthermore, the cross sections

$$M_0 = \Gamma, \quad M_1 = \frac{1}{|\langle \dot{\Gamma}, \dot{\Gamma}\rangle|^{1/2}}\dot{\Gamma}, \quad M_2 = \frac{pr_{(2)}(\dddot{\Gamma})}{\langle pr_{(2)}(\ddot{\Gamma}), pr_{(2)}(\dddot{\Gamma})\rangle)^{1/2}}, \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$M_{n+1} = -\frac{1}{\langle \Gamma, \ddot{\Gamma}\rangle}\ddot{\Gamma} + \frac{\langle \dot{\Gamma}, \ddot{\Gamma}\rangle}{\langle \Gamma, \ddot{\Gamma}\rangle}\dot{\Gamma} + \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{\langle \ddot{\Gamma}, \ddot{\Gamma}\rangle}{\langle \Gamma, \ddot{\Gamma}\rangle^2} - \frac{\langle \ddot{\Gamma}, \dot{\Gamma}\rangle^2}{\langle \Gamma, \ddot{\Gamma}\rangle^2}\Big)\Gamma \tag{2.8}$$

give rise to a *canonical trivialization* (M_0, M_1, M_2, M_{n+1}) of $\mathcal{T}^3(\gamma)$. The canonical trivialization satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle M_0, M_0\rangle = \langle M_{n+1}, M_{n+1}\rangle = \langle M_0, M_1\rangle = \langle M_0, M_2\rangle = 0,\\ &\langle M_1, M_{n+1}\rangle = \langle M_2, M_{n+1}\rangle = \langle M_1, M_2\rangle = 0,\\ &\langle M_0, M_{n+1}\rangle = \langle M_1, M_1\rangle = -\langle M_2, M_2\rangle = -1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

In particular, $M_0|_t \wedge (M_1|_t + M_2|_t)$ is an isotropic bi-vector, for every $t \in I$. If we revert the orientation along the curve, this bi-vector change sign. Thus, each non-degenerate curve possesses an *intrinsic orientation* such that $M_0|_t \wedge (M_1|_t + M_2|_t) \in \mathcal{C}_+$, for every $t \in I$.

From now on we implicitly assume that the time-like curves are non-degenerate and equipped with their intrinsic orientations. In addition,

if γ is such a curve we use the notation Γ to denote its canonical null lifting.

Definition 6. The scalar product (2.1) induces a metric structure on the vector bundle $\mathcal{N}^3(\gamma)$. The *covariant derivative* of a cross section $V : I \to \mathcal{N}^3(\gamma)$ with respect to the conformal line-element is defined by

$$D(V) = pr_{(3)}(\dot{V}) : I \to \mathcal{N}^3(\gamma). \tag{2.10}$$

The normal bundles $\mathcal{N}^2(\gamma)$ and $\mathcal{N}^3(\gamma)$ possess two *canonical cross sections*, denoted by W_γ and S_γ respectively. The cross section S_γ is defined by

$$S_\gamma = pr_{(3)}(\dot{M}_2). \tag{2.11}$$

We set

$$h_1 = \langle \dot{M}_1, M_{n+1} \rangle, \quad h_2 = \sqrt{\langle S_\gamma, S_\gamma \rangle}. \tag{2.12}$$

and we define W_γ by

$$W_\gamma = (\dot{h_1} - 3h_2\dot{h_2})M_2 - (h_2^2 - 2h_1)S_\gamma + D^2(S_\gamma). \tag{2.13}$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{T}^4(\gamma)|_t = \mathrm{span}(M_0|_t, M_1|_t, M_2|_t, S_\gamma|_t, B_{n+1}|_t),$$
$$\mathcal{T}^5(\gamma)|_t = \mathrm{span}(M_0|_t, M_1|_t, M_2|_t, S_\gamma|_t, D(S_\gamma)|_t, B_{n+1}|_t),$$

for every $t \in I$.

2.3. **First and second-order frames.** A *first-order frame* along γ is a smooth map

$$A = (A_0, \dots A_{n+1}) : I \to \mathrm{A}^{\uparrow}_{+}(2, n)$$

such that A_0 is a null lift and $A_0' \in \mathrm{Span}(A_0, A_1)$. First-order frame fields do exist along any time-like curve. If A is a first-order frame, then any other is given by

$$\widetilde{A} = A \cdot X(r, x, y, R),$$

where

$$r, x : I \to \mathbb{R}, x > 0, \quad y : I \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \quad R : I \to \mathrm{SO}(n-1)$$

are smooth functions and

$$X(r, x, y, R) = \begin{pmatrix} r & -x & {}^t y \cdot R & \frac{{}^t y \cdot y - x^2}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & x/r \\ 0 & 0 & R & y/r \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.14}$$

Definition 7. A first-order frame is said of the *second-order* if

$$A_0 = M_0, \quad A_1 = M_1, \quad A_2 = M_2, \quad A_{n+1} = M_{n+1}.$$

Second-order frames do exist along any generic, time-like curve with its intrinsic orientation. Note that $(A_3, \dots A_n)$ is a trivialization of the third-order normal bundle of γ.

If A is a second order frame field, then

$$A' = A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -h_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & h_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -{}^t s & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & s & \phi & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \upsilon_\gamma, \tag{2.15}$$

where $\phi = (\phi_i^j)_{i,j=3,\dots,n} : I \to \mathfrak{o}(n-2)$ is a smooth map, h_1 is as in (2.12) and $s = {}^t(s^3, \dots, s^n)$ is defined by

$$S_\gamma = \sum_{j=3}^{n} s^j A_j.$$

If $V = \sum_{j=3}^{n} V^j A_j$ is a cross-section of $\mathcal{N}^3(\gamma)$, then

$$DV = \sum_{j=3}^{n} (\dot{V}^j + \sum_{i=3}^{n} \phi_i^j V^i) A_j.$$

We then have

$$DS_\gamma = \sum_{j=3}^{n} s^j_{(1)} A_j, \quad D^2(S_\gamma) = \sum_{j=3}^{n} s^j_{(2)} A_j, \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$W_\gamma = (\dot{h}_1 - 3\, {}^t s \cdot \dot{s}) A_2 + \sum_{j=3}^{n} (\dot{s}^j_{(2)} + (2h_1 - {}^t s \cdot s) s^j) A_j, \tag{2.17}$$

where $s_{(1)}, s_{(2)} : I \to \mathbb{R}^{n-3}$ are given by

$$s^j_{(1)} = \dot{s}^j + \sum_{i=3}^{n} \phi_i^j s^i, \quad s^j_{(2)} = \dot{s}^j_{(1)} + \sum_{i=3}^{n} \phi_i^j s^i_{(1)}.$$

2.4. **The strain functional and conformal world-lines.** We have seen that we may construct, for a generic time-like curve, a canonical line-element σ_γ on I. If $K \subset I$ is a closed interval in I, we can define the *total strain functional*

$$\mathcal{S}_K(\gamma) = \int_K \sigma_\gamma$$

on the space of smooth, generic, time-like immersions of I into $\mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$.

Definition 8. We say that γ is a *conformal world-line* if for any closed interval $K \subset I$ and any smooth variation

$$\mathbf{g} : (t, \tau) \in I \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to \gamma_\tau(t) \in \mathcal{E}^{1,n-1},$$

with $\gamma_0 = \gamma$ and[2] $\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{g}) \subset K$, we have

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\mathcal{S}_K(\gamma_\tau)\right) |_t = 0.$$

3. The variational equations

The purpose of this section is to prove the following

Theorem 2. *A generic time-like curve equipped with its intrinsic orientation is a conformal world-line if and only if W_γ vanishes identically.*

Proof. First we prove that a generic time-like curve satisfying $W_\gamma = 0$ is a conformal world line. Without loss of generality we assume that γ is parameterized by conformal parameter. Keep in mind that $W_\gamma = 0$ if and only if

$$\dot{h}_1 = 3h_2\dot{h}_2, \quad D^2(S_\gamma) = (h_2^2 - 2h_1)S_\gamma. \tag{3.1}$$

Let fix a second-order frame $A : I \to \mathrm{A}^{\uparrow}_{+}(2, n)$ and

$$\mathbf{g} : (u, \tau) \in I \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to \gamma_\tau(u) \in \mathcal{E}^{1,n-1}$$

be a compactly supported variation of γ such that $\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{g}) \subseteq K$. Eventually shrinking the interval $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, we may assume that γ_τ is generic and equipped with its intrinsic orientation, for every τ. Then, there is a differentiable map

$$\mathcal{A} : (u, \tau) \in I \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to \mathcal{A}_\tau(u) \in \mathrm{A}^{\uparrow}_{+}(2, n)$$

such that $\mathcal{A}_0 = A$ and $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ is a second-order frame along γ_τ, for every $\tau \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. We then have

$$\mathcal{A}^{-1} d\mathcal{A} = Q du + \Lambda dt,$$

[2] $\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{g})$ denotes the support of the variation, ie the closure of the set of all $t \in I$ such that $\gamma_\tau(t) \neq \gamma(t)$ for some $\tau \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$.

where

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -m & v & 0 & 0 \\ v & 0 & 0 & 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -{}^t p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p & \psi & 0 \\ 0 & v & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_0^0 & -\lambda_{n+1}^1 & \lambda_{n+1}^2 & {}^t\lambda_{n+1} & 0 \\ \lambda_0^1 & 0 & \lambda_1^2 & {}^t\lambda_1 & \lambda_{n+1}^1 \\ \lambda_0^2 & \lambda_1^2 & 0 & -{}^t\lambda_2 & \lambda_{n+1}^2 \\ \lambda_0 & \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \lambda & \lambda_{n+1} \\ 0 & -\lambda_0^1 & \lambda_0^2 & \lambda_0 & -\lambda_0^0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The entries

$$\begin{aligned} &v, m, \lambda_0^0, \lambda_0^1, \lambda_0^2, \lambda_{n+1}^1, \lambda_{n+1}^2 : I \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to \mathbb{R}, \\ &p, \lambda_0, \lambda_2, \lambda_2, \lambda_{n+1} : I \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to \mathbb{R}^{n-2}, \\ &\psi, \lambda : I \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to \mathfrak{o}(n-2). \end{aligned}$$

of Q and Λ are smooth maps. Their restrictions to $I \cong I \times \{0\}$ are denoted with the same symbols with an over-bar. Note that $\mathrm{supp}(\overline{\Lambda}) \subseteq K$. The cross section

$$V_{\mathbf{g}} = \sum_{a=2}^{n} \overline{\lambda}_0^a A_a : I \to \mathcal{N}^2(\gamma)$$

does not depend on the choice of A and $\mathcal{A}$. We call $V_{\mathbf{g}}$ the *infinitesimal variation* of $\mathbf{g}$. From $\dot{A} = A \cdot \overline{Q}$ and taking into account (2.15), we obtain $\overline{v} = 1$, $\overline{m}_1 = h_1$, $\overline{p} = s$ and $\overline{\psi} = \phi$. From the Maurer-Cartan equations we have

$$\partial_u \Lambda - \partial_t Q = \Lambda \cdot Q - Q \cdot \Lambda.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} &(\partial_t v)|_I = \overline{\lambda}_0^0 + \dot{\overline{\lambda}}_0^1, \\ &\overline{\lambda}_0^0 = \frac{1}{2}(\dot{\overline{\lambda}}_2^0 - \dot{\overline{\lambda}}_0^1 - \overline{\lambda}_{n+1} \cdot s - h_1 \overline{\lambda}_1^2), \\ &\dot{\overline{\lambda}}_0 = \overline{\lambda}_1 + \overline{\lambda}_0^2 s - \phi \cdot \overline{\lambda}_0, \\ &\dot{\overline{\lambda}}_1 = -h_1 \overline{\lambda}_0 - \overline{\lambda}_{n+1} - \overline{\lambda}_1^2 s - \phi \cdot \overline{\lambda}_1, \\ &\dot{\overline{\lambda}}_{n+1} = h_1 \overline{\lambda}_1 + \overline{\lambda}_2 - \overline{\lambda}_0^2 s - \phi \cdot \overline{\lambda}_{n+1}, \\ &\dot{\overline{\lambda}}_0^2 = \overline{\lambda}_1^2 - s \cdot \overline{\lambda}_0. \end{aligned}$$

From these equalities, integrating by parts and taking into account that $\mathrm{supp}(\overline{\lambda}_j^i) \subset K$, $i,j = 0,\dots,n+1$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\mathcal{S}_K(\gamma_t)\right)|_{t=0} = \int_K (\partial_t v|_I)du = \int_K (\overline{\lambda}_0^0 + \dot{\overline{\lambda}}_0^1)du = \int_K \overline{\lambda}_0^0 du =$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2}\int_K (s\cdot(-\dot{\overline{\lambda}}_1 - h_1\overline{\lambda}_0 - \overline{\lambda}_1^2 s - \phi\cdot\overline{\lambda}_1) + h_1(\dot{\overline{\lambda}}_0^2 + s\cdot\overline{\lambda}_0))du =$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\int_K (-\dot{s}\cdot\overline{\lambda}_1 + h_1 s\cdot\overline{\lambda}_0 + (s\cdot s)\overline{\lambda}_1^2 + s\cdot\phi\cdot\overline{\lambda}_1 + \dot{h}_1\overline{\lambda}_0^2 + h_1 s\cdot\overline{\lambda}_0)du =$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2}\int_K (\dot{s}\cdot(\dot{\overline{\lambda}}_0 + \overline{\lambda}_0^2 s + \phi\cdot\overline{\lambda}_0))du + \frac{1}{2}\int_K (s\cdot\phi\cdot(\dot{\overline{\lambda}}_0 + \overline{\lambda}_0^2 s + \phi\cdot\overline{\lambda}_0))du$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2}\int_K (k_1 s\cdot\overline{\lambda}_0 + ({}^t s\cdot s)(\dot{\overline{\lambda}}_0^2 - s\cdot\overline{\lambda}_0) + \dot{h}_1\overline{\lambda}_0^2 + h_1 s\cdot\overline{\lambda}_0)du =$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\int_K (\overline{\lambda}_0^2(\dot{h}_1 - 3^t s\cdot\dot{s}) + \overline{\lambda}_0\cdot(\dot{s}_{(1)} + \phi\cdot s_{(1)} - (({}^t s\cdot s) - 2h_1)s))du =$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\int_K (\overline{\lambda}_0^2(\dot{h}_1 - 3^t s\cdot\dot{s}) + \overline{\lambda}_0\cdot(s_{(2)} + ((2h_1 - {}^t s\cdot s))s))du = \frac{1}{2}\int_K \langle V_{\mathbf{g}}, W_\gamma\rangle du.$$

This proves the result.

Next we show that for each $u_0 \in I$ there exist an open interval $J \subset I$ containing u_0 such that for every smooth function $\rho : I \to \mathbb{R}$ with compact support $K \subset J$ and every $j = 2,\dots,n$, there exist a compactly supported variation $\mathbf{g}$ such that $V_{\mathbf{g}} = \rho A_j$. This clearly implies that a conformal world-line satisfies $W_\gamma = 0$.
Using the conformal invariance of the functional we may suppose, without loss of generality, that $\gamma(u)$ belongs to the Minkowski chamber, for every u lying in an open interval $J \subset I$ containing u_0. Then, $\gamma|_J = \mathbf{j}\circ\alpha$ where $\alpha : J \to \mathbb{M}^{1,n-1}$ is a time-like curve of the Minkowski space. Let $\mathbf{t} : J \to \mathbb{M}^{1,n-1}$ be the future-directed time-like unit tangent vector along α and

$$N(\alpha) = \{(u,\mathbf{v}) \in J\times\mathbb{M}^{1,n-1} :^* \mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{t}|_u = 0\}$$

be the normal bundle of α, equipped with the metric covariant derivative[3] $\nabla(\mathbf{v}) = pr(\dot{\mathbf{v}})$. Let $(\mathbf{b}_2,\dots,\mathbf{b}_n)$ be a flat orthogonal trivialization of $N(\alpha)$ such that $(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{b}_2,\dots,\mathbf{b}_n)$ is positive-oriented. Then $(\alpha,\mathbf{t},\mathbf{b}_2,\dots,\mathbf{b}_n) : J \to P_+^\uparrow(1,n-1)$ is a lift of α to the restricted Poincaré group and[4]

$$F = \mathbf{J}\circ(\alpha,\mathbf{t},\mathbf{b}_2,\dots,\mathbf{b}_n) : J \to \mathrm{A}_+^\uparrow(2,n)$$

[3] $pr|_u$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathbb{M}^{1,n-1}$ onto $N(\alpha)|_u$

[4] $\mathbf{J}$ is the faithful representation of $P_+^\uparrow(1,n)$ into the conformal group.

is a first-order frame field along $\gamma|_J$. Let $A : J \to \mathrm{A}^{\uparrow}_{+}(2,n)$ be a second order frame along γ. We then have $A = F \cdot X(r,x,y,R)$, where

$$x, r : J \to \mathbb{R}, r > 0, \quad y : J \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \quad R = (R^i_j)_{i,j=2,\dots n} : J \to \mathrm{SO(n-1)}$$

are smooth maps and $X(r,x,y,R)$ is as in (2.14). We put

$$m =^t (m^2, \dots, m^n) = \frac{\rho}{r}^t (R^2_j, \dots, R^n_j).$$

It is now an easy matter to check that the variation

$$\gamma_\tau(u) = \mathbf{j} \circ (\alpha|_u + \tau \sum_{j=2}^{n} m^j(u) \mathbf{b}_j|_u), \quad (u,\tau) \in J \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon),$$
$$\gamma_\tau(u) = \gamma(u), \quad (u,\tau) \in (I-J) \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon),$$

satisfies the required properties. This concludes the proof of the Theorem. □

The next theorem shows that conformal world-lines lie in an Einstein universe of dimension 2,3 or 4.

Theorem 3. *The trajectory of a conformal world-line is contained in a m-dimensional Lorentzian cycle, with* $2 \leq m \leq 4$.

Proof. Let γ be a conformal world-line parameterized by conformal parameter. We consider a second-order frame field $A = (A_0, \dots A_{n+1})$ along γ. Then

$$\mathcal{T}^5(\gamma)|_u = \mathrm{Span}(A_0|_u, A_1|_u, A_2|_u, S_\gamma|_u, DS_\gamma|_u, A_{n+1}|_u),$$

for every $u \in I$. From (2.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\dot{A}_0 = A_1, \quad \dot{A}_1 = -h_1 A_0 - A_{n+1}, \\ &\dot{A}_2 = A_0 + S_\gamma, \quad \dot{A}_{n+1} = -h_1 A_1 + A_2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\dot{A}_j = -s_j A_2 + \sum_{k=3}^{n} \phi^k_j A_k, \quad j = 3, \dots, n. \tag{3.3}$$

The first equation in (3.1) implies

$$(h_2)^2 = \frac{2}{3} h_1 + c_1, \quad c_1 \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.4}$$

The remaining equations in (3.1) can be written as

$$\dot{s} = -\phi \cdot s + s_{(1)}, \quad \dot{s}_{(1)} = -(\frac{4}{3} h_1 - c_1) s - \phi \cdot s_{(1)} \tag{3.5}$$

or, equivalently, in the form

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{du}(S_\gamma) = DS_\gamma - (\frac{2}{3}h_1 + c_1)A_2, \\ \frac{d}{du}(DS|_\gamma) = -(\frac{4}{3}h_1 - c_1)S_\gamma - \frac{1}{3}\dot{h}_1 A_2. \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

From (3.5) we see that $s \wedge s_{(1)}$ is a solution of the linear system

$$\frac{d}{du}(s \wedge s_{(1)}) = -(\phi \cdot s) \wedge s_{(1)} - s \wedge (\phi \cdot s_{(1)}).$$

Hence, two possibilities may occur :

- Case I : $s|_u \wedge s_{(1)}|_u \neq 0$, for every $u \in I$
- Case II : $s \wedge s_{(1)}$ vanishes identically.

Case I. The vectors fields S_γ and DS_γ are everywhere linearly independent. Then, the osculating spaces $\mathcal{T}^5(\gamma)|_u$ are six-dimensional. Using (3.2) and (3.6) we obtain

$$\frac{d}{du}(A_0 \wedge A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge S_\gamma \wedge DS_\gamma \wedge A) = 0.$$

Hence, $\mathcal{T}^5(\gamma)|_u$ coincides with a fixed 6-dimensional Lorentzian subspace $\mathbb{W}_\gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2,n}$, for each $u \in I$. This implies that the trajectory of γ is contained in the 4-dimensional Lorentzian cycle $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{W}_\gamma)$.

Case II. Since $(s, s_{(1)})$ is a solution of the linear system (3.5), there are two possibilities :

- Case II.1 : $s = s_{(1)} = 0$;
- Case II.2 : $s^t \cdot s +^t s_{(1)} \cdot s_{(1)} > 0$.

Case II.1. If $s = \dot{s} = 0$, then (3.2) implies

$$\frac{d}{du}(A_0 \wedge A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge A_{n+1}) = 0.$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{T}^3(\gamma)|_u$ coincides with a fixed 4-dimensional Lorentzian subspace $\mathbb{W}_\gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2,n}$, for every $u \in I$. Hence, the trajectory of γ is contained in the 2-dimensional Lorentzain cycle $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{W}_\gamma)$.
Case II.2 If $s^t \cdot s +^t s_{(1)} \cdot s_{(1)} > 0$ and $s \wedge s_{(1)} = 0$, then $\mathrm{Span}(S_\gamma|_u, DS_\gamma|_u)$ is a 1-dimensional space-like subspace, for every $u \in I$. Thus

$$\mathcal{P} = \{(u, V) \in I \times \mathbb{R}^{2,n} : V \in \mathrm{Span}(S_\gamma|_u, DS_\gamma|_u)\}$$

is a rank 1 vector bundle. Let P be a unit-length cross section of $\mathcal{P}$. The identity $s \wedge s_{(1)} = 0$ implies that S_γ and DS_γ are both proportional to P. We put $\widetilde{I} = I - I_*$, where I_* is the discrete set $\{u \in I : S_\gamma|_u = 0\}$. On $\widetilde{I}$ the fifth and fourth-order osculating bundles are spanned by

$A_0, A_1, A_2, P, A_{n+1}$. This implies the existence of a smooth function $f : \widetilde{I} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\dot{P}|_{\widetilde{I}} = fP|_{\widetilde{I}}, \quad \mathrm{mod}(A_0, A_1, A_2, A_{n+1}),$$

From (3.2) and taking into account the previous identity we get

$$\frac{d}{du}(A_0 \wedge A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge P \wedge A_{n+1})|_{\widetilde{I}} = f(A_0 \wedge A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge P \wedge A_{n+1})|_{\widetilde{I}}. \tag{3.7}$$

Let $\mathrm{Gr}_5(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$ be the Grassmannian of the 5-dimensional vector subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$. From (3.7) it follows that the map

$$u \in I \to \mathrm{Span}(A_0|_u \wedge A_1|_u \wedge A_2|_u \wedge P|_u \wedge A_{n+1}|_u) \in \mathrm{Gr}_5(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$$

is constant on $\widetilde{I}$. By continuity is constant on I. Then, the fourth-order osculating spaces coincide with a fixed 5-dimensional Lorentzian subspace $\mathbb{W}_\gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2,n}$. Hence, the trajectory of γ is contained in the 3-dimensional Lorentzain cycle $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{W}_\gamma)$. □

This theorem shows that if γ is a conformal world-line then three possibilities may occur :

- γ is trapped in a 4-dimensional Einstein universe and there are no 3-dimensional Lorentzian cycles containing the trajectory of γ. If this occurs, we say that γ is a *linearly full conformal world-line*.
- the trajectory is trapped in a 3-dimensional Lorentzian cycle but does not lie in any 2-dimensional Lorentzian cycle.
- the trajectory is trapped in a 2-dimensional Lorentzian cycle.

4. Linearly full conformal world-lines

From now on we limit ourselves to consider linearly full conformal world-lines of the four-dimensional Einstein universe. We also suppose that the parametrization is by the conformal parameter and that the orientation is the intrinsic one. These assumptions will be implicitly assumed throughout the subsequent discussions.

4.1. **Canonical frames and conformal curvatures.**

Proposition 4. *Let $\gamma : I \to \mathcal{E}^{1,3}$ be a conformal world-line. Then, there exist a unique second-order conformal frame $B : I \to A_+^{\uparrow}(2,3)$ such that*

$$\dot{B} = B \cdot \mathcal{K}, \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$\mathcal{K} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -k_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -k_2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & k_2 & 0 & -k_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & k_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.2}$$

and $k_1, k_2, k_3 : I \to \mathbb{R}$ are smooth functions with $k_2 > 0$ and $k_3 \neq 0$. In addition, if k_1, k_2 and k_3 are non-constant then there exist $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $c_3 \neq 0$ such that

$$k_1 = \frac{3}{2}k_2^2 + c_1, \quad k_3 = c_3 k_2^{-2}, \quad \dot{k}_2^2 + k_2^4 + c_3^2 k_2^{-2} + 2c_1 k_2^2 + c_2 = 0. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. Let (M_0, M_1, M_2, M_5) be the canonical trivialization of $\mathcal{T}^3(\gamma)$ and $S_\gamma : I \to \mathcal{N}^3(\gamma)$ be the cross section defined as in (2.11). We set

$$B_3 = S_\gamma / \sqrt{\langle S_\gamma, S_\gamma \rangle}$$

and we pick the unique unit cross section $B_4 : I \to \mathcal{N}^4(\gamma)$ such that $\det(M_0, M_1, M_2, M_3, B_4, M_5) > 0$. Putting

$$B_0 = M_0, \quad B_1 = M_1, \quad B_2 = M_2, \quad B_5 = M_5,$$

the map $B = (B_0, \ldots, B_5)$ is a second-order frame along γ. In view of (2.15) we have

$$\dot{B}_0 = B_1, \quad \dot{B}_1 = -k_1 B_0 - B_5, \quad \dot{B}_5 = k_1 B_1 + B_2 \tag{4.4}$$

where[5] $k_1 = h_1$. Since D is a metric covariant derivative, DB_3 is a multiple of B_4 and hence $DB_3 = k_3 B_4$, for some non-zero function k_3. From (2.15) we have

$$\dot{B}_2 = B_0 + k_2 B_3, \tag{4.5}$$

where $k_2 = \sqrt{\langle S_\gamma, S_\gamma \rangle} > 0$. Keeping in mind (3.6) we get

$$\dot{B}_3 = -k_2 B_2 + k_3 B_4. \tag{4.6}$$

Furthermore, since B takes values in $\mathrm{A}^{\uparrow}_{+}(2,4)$ and using (4.4)-(4.6) we obtain

$$\dot{B}_4 = -k_3 B_3. \tag{4.7}$$

Combining (4.4)-(4.7) we deduce that B satisfies (4.1).

[5] cfr (2.12) for the definition of h_1

Suppose that the functions k_1, k_2 and k_3 are non-constant. The first and second covariant derivatives of S_γ can be written as

$$DS_\gamma = \dot{k}_2 B_3 + k_2 k_3 B_4, \quad D^2 S_\gamma = (\ddot{k}_2 - k_2 k_3^2) B_3 + (2\dot{k}_2 k_3 + k_3 \dot{k}_2) B_4.$$

Then, from variational equation $W_\gamma = 0$ we get

$$\ddot{k}_2 = k_2(k_3^2 + k_2^2 - 2k_1), \quad k_2 \dot{k}_3 + 2k_3 \dot{k}_2 = 0 \quad \dot{k}_1 = 3k_2 \dot{k}_2. \tag{4.8}$$

The third and the second equations in (4.8) imply the existence of two constants c_1 and $c_3 \neq 0$ such that

$$k_1 = \frac{3}{2} k_2^2 + c_1, \quad k_3 = c_3 k_2^{-2}. \tag{4.9}$$

Substituting (4.9) into (4.8) we find

$$\ddot{k}_2 = -2k_2^3 + c_3^2 k^{-3} - 4k_2 - 2c_1 k_2.$$

Then there exist a constant c_2 such that

$$\dot{k}_2^2 + k_2^4 + c_3^2 k_2^{-2} + 2c_1 k_2^2 + c_2 = 0.$$

□

Definition 9. We call B the *canonical conformal frame*. The functions k_1, k_2, k_3 are said the *conformal curvatures*. The constants c_1, c_2, c_3 are referred to as the *characters* of the world-line.

Remark 5. Conversely, if $k_1, k_2, k_3 : I \to \mathbb{R}$ are smooth functions satisfying (4.3), by solving the linear system (4.1) with initial condition $\mathrm{B}(u_0) \in \mathrm{A}_+^\uparrow(2,4)$, we get a smooth map $\mathrm{B} : I \to \mathrm{A}_+^\uparrow(2,4)$. Then, $\gamma = [B_0]$ is a linearly full conformal world-line with conformal curvatures k_1, k_2, k_3 and canonical conformal frame B. Any other world-line $\widetilde{\gamma}$ with the same curvatures is congruent to γ with respect to the restricted conformal group, ie there exist $\mathbf{X} \in \mathrm{A}_+^\uparrow(2,4)$ such that $\widetilde{\gamma} = \mathbf{X} \cdot \gamma$.

Remark 6. The sign ambiguity of the third curvature can be removed with the following reasoning : if the third conformal curvature of γ is k_3 and if $\mathbf{X}$ is an orientation-preserving and time-reversing conformal transformation, then $\mathbf{X} \cdot \gamma(-u)$ is a conformal world-line with conformal curvatures $k_1(-u), k_2(-u)$ and $-k_3(-u)$. Therefore, up to a time-reversing conformal transformation, the curvature k_3 is positive.

Definition 10. The sign of the third curvature is said the *conformal helicity* of the world-line. By the previous remark we see that is not restrictive to consider conformal world-lines with positive helicity. From now on this additional assumption is implicitly assumed.

Remark 7. If k_1, k_2 and k_3 are constant, then the variational equations imply $k_1 = (k_2^2 + k_3^2)/2$. In this case $\mathcal{K}$ is a fixed element of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}(2,4)$ and γ is congruent to the orbit through $[{}^t(1,0,0,0,0)]$ of the 1-parameter group of conformal transformations $u \in \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Exp}(u\mathcal{K}) \in A_+^{\uparrow}(2,4)$. Therefore, from a theoretical point of view the determination of the conformal world-lines with constant curvatures is reduced to the calculation of the exponentials of the matrices $u\mathcal{K}$. From a computational point of view this is an elementary but not completely straightforward matter and requires a detailed analysis of the possible orbit types of the infinitesimal generator $\mathcal{K}$.

4.2. **Conformal curvatures in terms of Jacobi's elliptic functions.** From now on we suppose that the conformal curvatures are non-constant.

Lemma 5. *If c_1, c_2, c_3 are the characters of γ then the third-order polynomial $Q_1(t) = t^3 + 2c_1t^2 + c_2t + c_3^2$ has three distinct real roots e_1, e_2, e_3 such that $e_1 < 0 < e_2 < e_3$.*

Proof. Let e_1, e_2, e_3 be the roots of Q_1. Then,

$$\dot{k}_2^2 + k_2^4 + c_3^2 k_2^{-2} + 2c_1 k_2^2 + c_2 = 0$$

implies

$$(k_2\dot{k}_2)^2 = -(k_2^2 - e_1)(k_2^2 - e_2)(k_2^2 - e_3) \tag{4.10}$$

and

$$c_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(e_1+e_2+e_3), \quad c_2 = e_1e_2+e_1e_3+e_2e_3, \quad c_3^2 = -e_1e_2e_3. \tag{4.11}$$

If two roots are complex conjugate each other, say e_2 and e_3, then the third equation of (4.11) implies $e_1 < 0$. Hence the right hand side of (4.10) is strictly negative. This contradicts the fact that k_2 is non constant. If Q_1 has a double root, say $e_2 = e_3$, then the third equation of (4.11) implies $e_1 < 0$. So, as in the previous case, the right hand side of (4.10) is strictly negative. But this can't occur. Therefore, the roots are real and distinct. We choose the ordering $e_1 < e_2 < e_3$. Using again the third equation of (4.11) we see that two possibilities may occur : either $e_1 < e_2 < e_3 < 0$ or else $e_1 < 0 < e_2 < e_3$. In the first case the right hand side of (4.10) is negative. But, as in the previous cases, this conclusion is impossible. Thus the Lemma is proved. □

Definition 11. We say that e_1, e_2, e_3 are the *phase parameters* of the world-line. Note that, according to (4.11), the characters can be read off from the phase parameters.

We put

(4.12)
$$\ell_1 = e_2\ell_3, \quad \ell_2 = e_1\ell_4, \quad \ell_3 = e_3 - e_1, \quad \ell_4 = e_3 - e_2, \quad m = \ell_4/\ell_3,$$

and we denote by $K(m)$ and $\mathrm{sn}(-, m)$ the complete integral of the first kind and the Jacobi's *sn*-function with parameter m[6].

Proposition 6. *Let γ be a conformal world-line with phase parameters $e_1 < 0 < e_2 < e_3$, then*

$$k_2(u) = \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1 - \ell_2 \mathrm{sn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u + u_0, m)}{\ell_3 - \ell_4 \mathrm{sn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u + u_0, m)}}, \tag{4.13}$$

and

$$k_1 = \frac{3}{2}k_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}(e_1 + e_2 + e_3), \quad k_3 = \sqrt{-e_1e_2e_3}\,k_2^{-2}, \tag{4.14}$$

where u_0 is a constant.

Proof. Put $f(u) = k_2(u/\sqrt{\ell_3})$ then, using (4.10), we get

$$\dot{f}^2 = -\frac{4}{e_3 - e_1}(f - e_1)(f - e_2)(f - e_3).$$

If $e_1 < 0 < e_2 < e_3$, the general solution of the equation above (cfr. [3] pag. 77) is

$$f(u) = \frac{\ell_1 - \ell_2 \mathrm{sn}^2(u + u_0, m)}{\ell_3 - \ell_4 \mathrm{sn}^2(u + u_0, m)},$$

where u_0 is a constant. This implies (4.13). We conclude the proof by observing that (4.14) is an immediate consequence of (4.9) and (4.11). □

This proposition has two consequences : k_2 is a strictly positive, even periodic function with period

$$\omega = 2K(m)/\sqrt{\ell_3} \tag{4.15}$$

and the parameterizations by the conformal parameter of a world-line are defined on the whole real line. In addition, with a shift of the independent variable the constant u_0 in (4.13) can be put equal to zero. This means that a conformal world line admits a *canonical parametrization* by conformal parameter such that $k_2(0) = \sqrt{\ell_1/\ell_3}$.

[6]The parameter m is the square of the modulus k of the elliptic function. The reader pay attention to the fact that in the literature is also used the notation $\mathrm{sn}(-, k)$ to denote the sn-function with modulus k.

Definition 12. We say that γ is the *standard configuration* of a world-line if γ is parameterized by the canonical parameter and if, in addition, its canonical frame satisfies the initial condition $B|_0 = \mathrm{Id}|_{6\times 6}$. Clearly, each world-line is conformally equivalent to a unique standard configuration.

To summarize what has been said, we state the following Proposition :

Proposition 7. *The standard configurations of world-lines are in one-to-one correspondence with the point of the domain*

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\mathbf{e} = (e_1, e_2, e_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : e_1 < 0 < e_2 < e_3\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3.$$

In other words, for each $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, e_2, e_3) \in \mathcal{F}$ *there exist a unique world-line* γ *in its standard configuration with phase parameters* (e_1, e_3, e_3).

4.3. **The momentum operator.** Let γ be a standard configuration of a conformal world-line with curvatures k_1, k_2, k_3. We denote by $\mathcal{H} : Y \to \mathfrak{a}(2,4)$ the map

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & -(k_2^2 - k_1) & \dot{k}_2 & k_2 k_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -k_2 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -(k_2^2 - k_1) \\ 0 & -k_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dot{k}_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_2 k_3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.16}$$

Then, (4.13) and (4.14) imply

$$\dot{\mathcal{H}} = [\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}]. \tag{4.17}$$

This equation together with $B' = B \cdot \mathcal{K}$ implies

$$B|_u \cdot \mathcal{H}|_u \cdot B^{-1}|_u = \mathcal{H}|_0, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.18}$$

Definition 13. We put $\mathfrak{m} = \mathcal{H}|_0$ and we say that $\mathfrak{m}$ is the *momentum operator* of γ

Remark 8. We give a brief explanation of the conceptual origin of the momentum operator. The first step is the construction of the *momentum space* and of the *Euler-Lagrange exterior differential system* [15, 13]. In our specific situation, the momentum space is the 19-dimensional manifold $Z = A^{\uparrow}_{+}(2,4) \times \mathfrak{K}$, where

$$\mathfrak{K} = \{\mathfrak{k} = (\mathfrak{k}_1, \mathfrak{k}_2, \mathfrak{k}_3, \dot{\mathfrak{k}}_2) \in \mathbb{R}^4, \mathfrak{k}_2 > 0, \mathfrak{k}_3 > 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^4.$$

The restricted conformal group acts freely on the left of Z by

$$L_Y(X, \mathfrak{k}) = (Y \cdot X, \mathfrak{k}), \quad \forall X, Y \in A^{\uparrow}_{+}(2,4), \forall \mathfrak{k} \in \mathfrak{K}.$$

The Euler-Lagrange differential system is the $A^{\uparrow}_{+}(2,4)$-invariant Pfaffian differential ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \Omega^*(Z)$ generated by the 1-forms

$$\mu^2_0, \quad \mu^3_0, \quad \mu^4_0, \quad \mu^2_1, \quad \mu^3_1, \quad \mu^4_1, \quad \mu^3_5, \quad \mu^4_5, \quad \mu^4_2, \quad \mu^0_0$$
$$\mu^2_5 - \mu^1_0, \quad \mu^1_5 - \mathfrak{k}_1\mu^1_0, \quad \mu^3_2 - \mathfrak{k}_2\mu^1_0, \quad \mu^4_3 - \mathfrak{k}_3\mu^1_0$$

and

$$d\dot{\mathfrak{k}}_2 - \mathfrak{k}_2(\mathfrak{k}^2_3 + \mathfrak{k}^2_2 - 2\mathfrak{k}_1)\mu^1, \quad d\mathfrak{k}_2 - \dot{\mathfrak{k}}_2\mu^1_0, \quad d\mathfrak{k}_1 - 3\mathfrak{k}_2\dot{k}_2\mu^1_0, \quad \mathfrak{k}_2 d\mathfrak{k}_3 + 2\mathfrak{k}_2\dot{\mathfrak{k}}_2\mu^1_0.$$

The independence condition of the system is the invariant 1-form μ^1_0. The integral curves of $(\mathcal{I}, \mu^1_0)$ can be build as follows : let γ be a conformal world-line[7] with curvatures k_1, k_2, k_3 and canonical frame B, the lifting $\mathfrak{b} = (B, k_1, k_2, k_3, \dot{k}_2) : \mathbb{R} \to Z$ of γ to Z is said the *extended frame* along γ. Proposition 4 tell us that the integral curves of $(\mathcal{I}, \mu^1_0)$ are the extended frames of the world-lines. Using the Maurer-Cartan equations (2.3) we see that

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{2}(\mu^1_0 + \mu^2_5 + (\mathfrak{k}^2_2 - \mathfrak{k}_1)\mu^2_0 - \dot{\mathfrak{k}}_2\mu^3_0 - \mathfrak{k}_2\mathfrak{k}_3\mu^4_0 + \mathfrak{k}_2\mu^3_1) \tag{4.19}$$

is an invariant contact 1-form such that the integral curves of its characteristic vector field[8] X_ζ are the extended frames of the world-lines. We can think of ζ as a map into the dual space $\mathfrak{a}(2,4)^*$ of the conformal Lie-algebra. Denote by $\natural : \mathfrak{a}^*(4,2) \to \mathfrak{a}(2,4)$ the pairing defined by the Killing form, then $\zeta^\natural = \natural \circ \zeta : Z \to \mathfrak{a}(2,4)$ is an equivariant map that, in our context, plays the role of the Legendre transformation. If $\mathfrak{b}$ is the extended frame of γ, then $\mathcal{H}$ coincides with $\zeta^\natural \circ \mathfrak{b}$. The momentum map of action of $A^{\uparrow}_{+}(2,3)$ on (Z, ζ) is given by

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{m}} : (X, \mathfrak{k}) \in Z \to ad^*_X(\zeta|_{(B,\mathfrak{k})}) \in \mathfrak{a}^*(2,4).$$

The *Nöther conservations theorem* for a Hamiltonian action on a contact manifold says that $\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}$ is constant along the characteristic curves. Thus, if $\mathfrak{b}$ is the extended frame of a world-line γ then $\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_\gamma = \widehat{\mathfrak{m}} \circ \mathfrak{b}$ is constant and $\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}^\natural_\gamma$ is the momentum operator of γ. This explains the geometrical origin of the momentum operator of a world-line.

Hereafter we will adopt the following notations:

- $P_\mathfrak{m}$ is the characteristic polynomial of $\mathfrak{m}$;
- $\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m}$ is the spectrum of $\mathfrak{m}$, viewed as an endomorphism of $\mathbb{C}^6$;
- for each $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m}$, $n_1(\lambda)$ is the multiplicity of λ as root of $P_\mathfrak{m}$ and $n_2(\lambda)$ is the complex dimension of the $\mathfrak{m}$-eigenspace $\mathbb{V}_\lambda$ of λ.

[7]Remember that γ is linearly full, with positive helicity and parameterized by the conformal parameter

[8]ie the vector field defined by $\zeta(X_\zeta) = 1$, $\iota_{X_\zeta} d\zeta = 0$.

Definition 14. A conformal world-line is said to be *regular, exceptional or singular* depending on whether $\mathfrak{m}$ is a regular, exceptional or singular element of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}(2,4)$. In other words, γ is regular if $\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m}$ consists of six elements, is exceptional if $\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m}$ has less that six elements and $n_2(\lambda) = 1$, for every $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m}$ and is singular if $n_2(\lambda) > 1$, for some λ.

From (4.18) it follows that $P_\mathfrak{m}$ coincides with the characteristic polynomial of $\mathcal{H}|_u$, for every $u \in \mathbb{R}$. Keeping in mind (4.3) we get

$$P_\mathfrak{m}(t) = t^6 + 2c_1t^4 + (c_2+1)t^2 + c_3^2 = Q_1(t^2) + t^2. \tag{4.20}$$

Let $Q_2(t)$ be the third-order polynomial $Q_1(t) + t^2$. Since the roots of $Q_1(t)$ are the phase parameters $e_1 < 0 < e_2 < e_3$, we infer that three possibilities may occur :

- Q_2 has three distinct real roots ρ_1, ρ_2, ρ_3 such that $e_1 < \rho_1 < 0 < e_2 < \rho_2 < \rho_3 < e_3$.
- Q_2 has one negative real root ρ_1 with $e_1 < \rho_1$ and two complex conjugate roots $\rho_2 = \mu + i\nu$, $\nu > 0$ and $\rho_3 = \mu - i\nu$.
- Q_2 has one simple real root ρ_1 such that $e_1 < \rho_1 < 0$ and a double real root $\rho_2 = \rho_3$, $e_2 < \rho < e_3$.

We put[9]

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_0 = i\sqrt{|\rho_1|}, \quad \lambda_1 = -i\sqrt{|\rho_1|}, \quad \lambda_2 = \sqrt{\rho_2}, \\ &\lambda_3 = -\sqrt{\rho_2}, \quad \lambda_4 = \sqrt{\rho_3}, \quad \lambda_5 = -\sqrt{\rho_3}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

In the first two cases the eigenvalues of $P_\mathfrak{m}$ are simple and

$$\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m} = \{\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_5\}. \tag{4.22}$$

While, in the third case we have

$$\mathcal{S}_\mathfrak{m} = \{\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_3\} \tag{4.23}$$

and the two real eigenvalues λ_2 and λ_3 are the double roots of $P_\mathfrak{m}$.

Proposition 8. *A conformal world-line is either regular or exceptional.*

[9] If $z \in \mathbb{C} - \mathbb{R}^+$, then $\sqrt{z}$ is the determination of the square root with positive imaginary part.

Proof. For every $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ we define $L_\lambda = (L^0_\lambda, \dots L^5_\lambda) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}^6$ by

$$\begin{cases} L^0_\lambda = \lambda(\lambda^2 - k_2^2)(\lambda^2 + k_1 - k_2^2), \\ L^1_\lambda = \lambda(\lambda - k_2\dot{k}_2), \\ L^2_\lambda = -\lambda^2(\lambda^2 - k_2^2), \\ L^3_\lambda = \lambda(\lambda\dot{k}_2 - k_2), \\ L^4_\lambda = k_2k_3(\lambda^2 - k_2^2), \\ L^5_\lambda = \lambda(\lambda^2 - k_2^2). \end{cases} \tag{4.24}$$

The map L_λ is real-analytic and periodic, with period ω. Let D_λ be its zero set. If $\lambda \notin \mathbb{R}$ then $D_\lambda = \emptyset$ and, if $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$D_\lambda = \begin{cases} D^+_\lambda = \{n\omega + p_\lambda, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}, & \lambda > 0, \\ D^-_\lambda = \{n\omega - p_\lambda, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}, & \lambda < 0, \end{cases} \tag{4.25}$$

where $p_\lambda \in (0, \omega)$ is given by

$$p_\lambda = \mathrm{sn}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}, m\right), \quad \alpha = \sqrt{\frac{\ell_2 - \lambda^2\ell_4}{\ell_1 - \lambda^2\ell_3}}. \tag{4.26}$$

The λ-eigenspace $\mathcal{V}_\lambda|_u$ of $\mathcal{H}|_u$ is spanned by $L_\lambda|_u$, for every $u \notin D_\lambda$. Since D_λ is a discrete set, this implies that $\dim(\mathcal{V}_j|_u) = 1$, for every $u \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathcal{H}|_u$ belongs to the same adjoint orbit, for every u. Thus, also the eigenspaces of the momentum are 1-dimensional. This yields the result. □




FIGURE 1. The graphs of the functions s_λ (on the left) and r_λ (on the right), $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

4.4. **Integrating factors and principal vectors.** Let γ be the standard configuration of a linearly full conformal string with non-constant curvatures. Denote by $e_1 < 0 < e_2 < e_3$ its phase parameters and by $B : \mathbb{R} \to A^{\uparrow}_{+}(2,4)$ its canonical frame. For each $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ we consider the functions

$$r_\lambda = \frac{k_2\dot{k}_2 + \lambda}{k_2^2 - \lambda^2}, \quad s_\lambda = \frac{\lambda^2 + k_2^2 - 2\lambda k_2\dot{k}_2}{(\lambda^2 - k_2^2)^2}. \tag{4.27}$$

If $\lambda \notin \mathbb{R}$, the functions r_λ and s_λ are periodic, complex-valued and real-analytic; if $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, r_λ and s_λ are periodic, real-valued and real-analytic on the complement of the discrete set $\widetilde{D}_\lambda = D^+_\lambda \cup D^-_\lambda$; their absolute values tend to infinity when u approaches one of the points of $\widetilde{D}_\lambda$ (see Figure 1). For notational consistency, we put $\widetilde{D}_\lambda = \emptyset$ when $\lambda \notin \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 15. A primitive $\delta_\lambda : \mathbb{R} - \widetilde{D}_\lambda \to \mathbb{C}$ of r_λ is said an *integrating factor of the first kind* for the eigenvalue λ if

- $\delta_\lambda|_0 = 0$;
- $e^{-\delta_\lambda} L_\lambda : \mathbb{R} - \widetilde{D}_\lambda \to \mathbb{C}^6$ extends to a real-analytic map $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}^6$.




FIGURE 2. The graphs of the functions $\|L_\lambda\|^2$ (on the left) and $e^{-\delta_\lambda}$ (on the right), $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 9. The integrating factors of the first kind are quasi-periodic functions, with quasi-period 2ω. If $\lambda \notin \mathbb{R}$, the function δ_λ is a regular, complex-valued function. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the integrating factor δ_λ is real-analytic on the complement of the discrete set D_λ and its imaginary part is locally constant. The function e^{δ_λ} is real-valued, with singularities at the points of D_λ (see Figure 2). The singularities of $e^{-\delta_\lambda}$ compensate the zeroes of the functions L^j_λ so that the products $e^{-\delta_\lambda} L^j_\lambda$ are regular, real-analytic maps (see Figure 3). The evaluation of the

integrating factors in terms of elliptic integrals and theta functions is analyzed in the appendix. The explicit expression of the integrating factor of the first kind for a non-real eigenvalue is given in (6.4) while the integrating factor of a real eigenvalue can be found in (6.7).




FIGURE 3. The graphs of the functions $e^{-\delta_\lambda} L^0_\lambda$ (on the left) and $e^{-\delta_\lambda} L^3_\lambda$ (on the right), $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let λ be a multiple root of $P_{\mathfrak{m}}$. We set

$$\widehat{D}_\lambda = \{-\text{sign}(\lambda)p + n\omega : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

and we define $T_\lambda = (T^0_\lambda, \dots T^5_\lambda) : \mathbb{R} - \widehat{D}_\lambda \to \mathbb{R}^6$ by

$$\begin{cases} T^0_\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda^2 - k_2^2)(6\lambda^2 + 2c_1 + k_2^2), \\ T^1_\lambda = \frac{k_2(k_2^2 \dot{k}_2 + \lambda^2 \dot{k}_2 - 2\lambda k_2)}{\lambda^2 - k_2^2}, \\ T^2_\lambda = -2\lambda(\lambda^2 - k_2^2), \\ T^3_\lambda = \frac{k_2(\lambda^2 + k_2^2 - 2\lambda k_2 \dot{k}_2)}{\lambda^2 - k_2^2}, \\ T^4_\lambda = 0, \\ T^5_\lambda = (\lambda^2 - k_2^2). \end{cases} \tag{4.28}$$

Remark 10. The map T_λ is periodic with period ω, is real-analytic on the complement of $\widehat{D}_\lambda$ and tends to $\pm\infty$ when u tends to a point of $\widehat{D}_\lambda$. It vanishes at the point of D_λ (see Figure 4)

Definition 16. A primitive $\eta_\lambda : \mathbb{R} - \widetilde{D}_\lambda \to \mathbb{R}$ of s_λ is said an *integrating factor of the second kind* for the multiple eigenvalue λ if

- $\eta_\lambda|_0 = 0$;
- $e^{-\delta_\lambda}(T_\lambda - \eta_\lambda L_\lambda) : \mathbb{R} - \widetilde{D}_\lambda \to \mathbb{C}^6$ extends to a real-analytic map $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}^6$.




FIGURE 4. The graphs of the functions $\|T_\lambda\|^2$ (on the left) and η_λ (on the right) when $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a multiple root of $P_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Remark 11. The integrating factor of the second kind vanishes at the points of D_λ and tends to $\pm\infty$ when u tends to a point of $\widehat{D}_\lambda$ (see Figure 4). The functions $\eta_\lambda L_\lambda$ and $T_\lambda - \eta_\lambda L_\lambda$ behave in a similar way. Multiplying $T_\lambda - \eta_\lambda L_\lambda$ with $e^{-\delta_\lambda}$, the zeroes of one factor compensate the singularities of the other so that the product is a regular analytic function (see Figures 5). The formula expressing the integrating factor of the second kind is given in (6.11). Despite the apparent opacity, the formulas of the integrating factors can be easily made operative using standard programs of symbolic computation such as *Mathematica 11*.




FIGURE 5. The graphs of the functions $e^{-\delta_\lambda}(T^0_\lambda - \eta_\lambda L^0_\lambda)$ (on the left) and $e^{-\delta_\lambda}(T^3_\lambda - \eta_\lambda L^3_\lambda)$ (on the right) when $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a multiple root of $P_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Proposition 9. *Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ be an eigenvalue of the momentum, then*

$$e^{-\delta_\lambda} \sum_{j=0}^{5} L_\lambda^j B_j = \mathbf{A}_\lambda, \tag{4.29}$$

where $\mathbf{A}_\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^6$ is an $\mathfrak{m}$-eigenvector of the eigenvalue λ. If λ is a multiple root of $P_{\mathfrak{m}}$, then

$$e^{-\delta_\lambda} \sum_{j=0}^{5} (T_\lambda^j - \eta_\lambda L_\lambda^j) B_j = \mathbf{C}_\lambda, \tag{4.30}$$

where $\mathbf{C}_\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^6$ is a non-zero vector such that

$$\mathbf{C}_\lambda \wedge \mathbf{A}_\lambda \neq 0, \quad \mathfrak{m}(\mathbf{C}_\lambda) = \lambda \mathbf{C}_\lambda + \mathbf{A}_\lambda.$$

We call $\mathbf{A}_\lambda$ the principal vector *of the eigenvalue λ and $\mathbf{C}_\lambda$ the* secondary principal vector *of the multiple eigenvalue λ.*

Proof. Denote by $\mathbf{L}_\lambda : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}^6$ the map

$$\mathbf{L}_\lambda = \sum_{i=0}^{5} L_\lambda^i(u) B_i|_u. \tag{4.31}$$

Let $\mathbb{V}_\lambda$ be the 1-dimensional $\mathfrak{m}$-eigenspace of the eigenvalue λ. Then, (4.18) implies that $\mathbf{L}_\lambda|_u \in \mathbb{V}_\lambda$, for every $u \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, there exist a unique real-analytic map $\widetilde{r}_\lambda : \mathbb{R} - D_\lambda \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\dot{\mathbf{L}}_\lambda|_u = \widetilde{r}_\lambda|_u \mathbf{L}_\lambda|_u \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R} - D_\lambda. \tag{4.32}$$

From $\dot{B} = B \cdot \mathcal{K}$ and keeping in mind (4.2) we have

$$\dot{\mathbf{L}}_\lambda \equiv \frac{k_2 \dot{k}_2 + \lambda}{k_2^2 - \lambda_j^2} \mathbf{L}_\lambda \mod(B_0, B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4). \tag{4.33}$$

From (4.32) and (4.33) we have $r_\lambda = \widetilde{r}_\lambda$. Using (4.32), we deduce that $e^{-\delta_\lambda}\mathbf{L}_\lambda$ is constant on $\mathbb{R} - D_\lambda$. On the other hand, $e^{-\delta_\lambda} L_\lambda$ extends smoothly across D_λ and hence also $e^{-\delta_\lambda}\mathbf{L}_\lambda$ extends to a real-analytic map $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}^6$. This implies that $e^{-\delta_\lambda}\mathbf{L}_\lambda = \mathbf{A}_\lambda$, for some $\mathbf{A}_\lambda \in \mathbb{V}_\lambda$. This proves the first part of the statement.
Let λ be a multiple root of $P_{\mathfrak{m}}$. The map T_λ satisfies

$$\mathcal{H}|_u \cdot T_\lambda|_u = \lambda T_\lambda|_u + L_\lambda|_u, \quad T_\lambda|_u \wedge L_\lambda|_u \neq 0, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R} - D_\lambda. \tag{4.34}$$

We put

$$\mathbf{T}_\lambda = e^{-\delta_\lambda} \sum_{j=0}^{5} T_\lambda^j B_j : \mathbb{R} - D_\lambda \to \mathbb{C}^6.$$

From (4.34) we obtain

$$\mathfrak{m}(\mathbf{T}_\lambda) = \lambda \mathbf{T}_\lambda + \mathbf{A}_\lambda, \quad \mathbf{T}_\lambda \wedge \mathbf{A}_\lambda \neq 0. \tag{4.35}$$

Differentiating the first equation in (4.35) we get $\mathfrak{m}(\mathbf{T}'_\lambda) = \lambda \mathbf{T}'_\lambda$. Thus, there exist a unique real-analytic function $\widetilde{s}_\lambda : \mathbb{R} - D_\lambda \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathbf{T}'_\lambda = \widetilde{s}_\lambda \mathbf{A}_\lambda$. Using $\dot{B} = B \cdot \mathcal{K}$ we obtain

$$\dot{\mathbf{T}}_\lambda \equiv \frac{\lambda^2 + k_2^2 - 2\lambda k_2 \dot{k}_2}{(\lambda - k_2^2)^2} \mathbf{A}_\lambda, \quad \mathrm{mod}(B_0, B_1, B_2, B_3, B_5).$$

Then, $\widetilde{s}_\lambda = s_\lambda$. This implies that $\mathbf{T}_\lambda - \eta_\lambda \mathbf{A}_\lambda$ is constant on $\mathbb{R} - D_\lambda$. Since $e^{-\delta_\lambda}(T_\lambda - \eta_\lambda L_\lambda)$ extends smoothly across $\widetilde{D}_\lambda$, also $\mathbf{T}_\lambda - \eta_\lambda \mathbf{A}_\lambda$ extends to a smooth (real-analytic) map $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}^6$. Hence there exist $\mathbf{C}_\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^6$ such that $\mathbf{T}_\lambda - \eta_\lambda A_\lambda = \mathbf{C}_\lambda$. Using (4.35) it follows that $\mathfrak{m}(\mathbf{C}_\lambda) = \lambda \mathbf{C}_\lambda + \mathbf{A}_\lambda$ and that $\mathbf{C}_\lambda \wedge \mathbf{A}_\lambda \neq 0$. This yields the result. □

Remark 12. Since γ is a standard configuration of a world-line then $B|_0 = \mathrm{Id}_{6\times 6}$. Hence

$$\mathbf{A}_\lambda = L_\lambda|_0 \quad \mathbf{C}_\lambda = T_\lambda|_0.$$

Therefore, the principal vectors can be computed explicitly in terms of the phase parameters e_1, e_2 and e_3.

5. Integrability by quadratures

5.1. **Integrability by quadratures of the regular conformal world-lines.** Let $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{E}^{1,3}$ be the standard configuration of a regular linearly full conformal world-line. Its momentum operator has six simple roots $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_5$, ordered as in (4.21). Let δ_j be the integrating factor of the first kind and $\mathbf{A}_j$ be the principal vector of λ_j respectively. We denote by $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}(6,6)$ the matrix with column vectors $\mathbf{A}_0, \ldots, \mathbf{A}_5$ and we define [10] the real-analytic maps

$$\Delta, \Lambda : \mathbb{R} - D_\lambda \to \mathbb{C}(6,6), \quad \mathbf{X} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}(6,6)$$

by

$$\begin{cases} \Delta = (e^{-\delta_0}\varepsilon_0, \ldots e^{-\delta_5}\varepsilon_5), \\ \Lambda = (L_{\lambda_0}, \ldots, L_{\lambda_5}), \\ \mathbf{X} = \Delta \cdot \Lambda. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 10. *Let γ be as above, then*

$$\gamma = \mathtt{m} \cdot {}^t(\mathbf{A}^{-1}) \cdot \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathtt{m} \cdot E_0,$$

where $\mathtt{m}$ is the matrix representing the scalar product $\langle -, - \rangle$ with respect to the standard light-cone basis $(E_0, \ldots, E_5)$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2,4}$.

[10] $\varepsilon_j = {}^t(\delta_j^0, \ldots, \delta_j^5)$ are the column vectors of the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^6$.

Proof. Since $\mathbf{A}_j = e^{-\delta_j} B \cdot L_{\lambda_j}$, $j = 0, \dots, 5$, we have $\mathbf{A} = B \cdot \Lambda \cdot \Delta$, that is

$$B = \mathbf{A} \cdot \Delta^{-1} \cdot \Lambda^{-1}. \tag{5.1}$$

From (5.1) and keeping in mind that ${}^tB \cdot \mathtt{m} \cdot B = \mathtt{m}$ we get

$$\Lambda = \mathtt{m} \cdot \mathbf{X}^{-1} \cdot {}^t\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathtt{m} \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \Delta^{-1}. \tag{5.2}$$

Inserting (5.2) in (5.1) we have

$$B = \mathtt{m} \cdot {}^t(\mathbf{A}^{-1}) \cdot \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathtt{m}.$$

This yields the result. □

5.2. **Integrability by quadratures of the exceptional conformal world-lines.** Let $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{E}^{1,3}$ be the standard configuration of an exceptional linearly full conformal world-line. Its momentum operator has four distinct roots $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_3$, ordered as in (4.21). Then, λ_0 and λ_1 are simple purely imaginary roots and λ_2, λ_3 are real double roots of $P_{\mathtt{m}}$. For each eigenvalue λ_j, $j = 0, \dots, 3$, let δ_j be its integrating factor of the first kind and $\mathbf{A}_j$ be the corresponding principal vector. For each double root λ_j, $j = 2, 3$, let η_j be the integrating factor of the second kind and $\mathbf{C}_j$ be the secondary principal vector. We denote by $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ the matrix $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}} = (\mathbf{A}_0, \mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{A}_3, \mathbf{C}_2, \mathbf{C}_3)$. Let

$$\widetilde{\Delta}, \widetilde{\Lambda} : \mathbb{R} - D_\lambda \to \mathbb{C}(6,6), \quad \widetilde{\mathbf{X}} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}(6,6)$$

be the real-analytic maps defined by

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{\Delta} = (e^{-\delta_0}\varepsilon_0, e^{-\delta_1}\varepsilon_1, e^{-\delta_2}\varepsilon_2, e^{-\delta_3}\varepsilon_3, e^{-\delta_2}\varepsilon_4, e^{-\delta_3}\varepsilon_5), \\ \widetilde{\Lambda} = (L_{\lambda_0}, L_{\lambda_1}, L_{\lambda_2}, L_{\lambda_3}, T_{\lambda_2} - \eta_2 L_{\lambda_2}, T_{\lambda_3} - \eta_3 L\lambda_3), \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{X}} = \widetilde{\Delta} \cdot \widetilde{\Lambda} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 11. *Let γ be as above, then*

$$\gamma = \mathtt{m} \cdot {}^t(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-1}) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{X}} \cdot \mathtt{m} \cdot E_0.$$

Proof. From Proposition 4.4 it follows that the canonical frame, the principal vectors and the integrating factors satisfy

$$e^{-\delta_0} B \cdot L_{\lambda_0} = \mathbf{A}_0, \quad e^{-\delta_1} B \cdot L_{\lambda_1} = \mathbf{A}_1, \quad e^{-\delta_2} B \cdot L_{\lambda_2} = \mathbf{A}_2, \quad e^{-\delta_3} B \cdot L_3 = \mathbf{A}_3$$

and

$$e^{-\delta_2} B \cdot (T_{\lambda_2} - \eta_2 L_{\lambda_w}) = \mathbf{C}_2 \quad e^{-\delta_3} B \cdot (T_{\lambda_3} - \eta_3 L_{\lambda_3}) = \mathbf{C}_3.$$

We then have

$$B = \widetilde{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \widetilde{\Delta}^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{\Lambda}^{-1}. \tag{5.3}$$

Combining (5.3) with ${}^tB\cdot\mathfrak{m}\cdot B=\mathfrak{m}$ we obtain

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}^{-1}=\widetilde{\Delta}\cdot\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-1}\cdot\mathfrak{m}\cdot{}^t(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-1})\cdot\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\cdot\mathfrak{m}. \tag{5.4}$$

Substituting (5.4) into (5.3) we find $B=\mathfrak{m}\cdot{}^t(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-1})\cdot\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\cdot\mathfrak{m}$. We have thus proved the result. □

5.3. **Final comments.** The theoretical explanation of the integrability by quadratures lies in the Arnold-Liouville integrability of the Euler-Lagrange differential system. With this we mean the following : let $\mathfrak{m}\in\mathfrak{a}(2,4)$ be the momentum of a linearly full world-line γ with non-constant curvatures, then $\mathfrak{m}$ is either regular or exceptional. The stabilizer $A^{\uparrow}_{+}(2,4)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ of $\mathfrak{m}$ is a 3-dimensional closed subgroup, diffeomorphic to $S^1\times\mathbb{R}^2$. The inverse image of $\mathfrak{m}^\natural$ by the momentum map is a four-dimensional sub-manifold $Z_{\mathfrak{m}}\subset Z$ and the characteristic vector field X_ζ is tangent to $Z_{\mathfrak{m}}$. The world-lines with momentum $\mathfrak{m}$ are originated by the integral curves of $X_\zeta|_{Z_{\mathfrak{m}}}$. The stabilizer $A^{\uparrow}_{+}(2,4)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ acts freely on $Z_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and the quotient space $Z_{\mathfrak{m}}/A^{\uparrow}_{+}(2,4)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a circle. This implies that $Z_{\mathfrak{m}}\subset Z$ is diffeomorphic to the Cartesian product of $\mathbb{R}^2$ with a 2-dimensional torus T^2. In principle, the integration by quadratures can be achieved by a diffeomorphism $\Psi_{\mathfrak{m}}:Z_{\mathfrak{m}}\to\mathbb{R}^2\times T^2$ such that $\Psi_*(X_\zeta)$ is a linear vector field. Since the stabilizer of the momentum operator is non compact then the trajectory of γ can't be closed. Instead, if γ is trapped in a 3-dimensional Einstein universe, the stabilizer of the momentum operator can be a maximal compact abelian subgroup of $A^{\uparrow}_{+}(2,3)$. Thus, in this case, there are countably many closed world-lines with non-constant curvatures, as it has been shown in [9].
The periodicity of the conformal curvatures implies that its trajectory of γ is left unchanged by the action of the infinite cyclic subgroup generated by $B(\omega)\cdot B(0)^{-1}\in A^{\uparrow}_{+}(2,4)$.
Lastly, we note that if we know the phase parameters e_1,e_2,e_3 all the steps of the integration procedure can be implemented in *Mathematica* and are fully operative from a computational viewpoint.

6. Appendix : the integrating factors

6.1. **Integrating factors of the first kind.** Given $\lambda\in\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ we put

$$a=\ell_1-\lambda^2\ell_3,\quad b=\ell_2-\lambda\ell_4,\quad c=\frac{\lambda\ell_4}{\ell_2-\lambda^2\ell_4} \tag{6.1}$$

and

$$d=\frac{\lambda(\ell_2\ell_3-\ell_1\ell_4)}{(\ell_2-\lambda^2\ell_4)(\ell_1-\lambda^2\ell_3)}, \tag{6.2}$$

where ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3 and ℓ_4 are the constants defined as in (4.12). From (4.13) and (4.27) we obtain

(6.3)
$$r_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{du}\left(\ln \frac{a - b\mathrm{sn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u, m)}{\ell_3 - \ell_4 \mathrm{sn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u, m)}\right) + c + \frac{d}{1 - \alpha^2 \mathrm{sn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u, m)},$$

where the parameter m is as in (4.12) and α is as in (4.26).

6.1.1. *The integrating factor of the first kind of a non-real eigenvalue.* Let λ be a non-real eigenvalue, then

$$1 - \alpha^2 \mathrm{sn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u, m) \neq 0, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$\int \frac{du}{1 - \alpha^2 \mathrm{sn}^2(u, m)} = \Pi(\alpha^2, \mathrm{am}_m(u), m),$$

where $\Pi(n, \phi, m)$ is the incomplete integral of the third kind and $\mathrm{am}_m(-)$ is the Jacobi's amplitude with parameter m. Note that in this case, the restriction of the incomplete integral of the third kind on the real axis is a regular real-analytic function. Since

$$\frac{a - b\mathrm{sn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u, m)}{\ell_3 - \ell_4 \mathrm{sn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u, m)} \notin \mathbb{R}_-, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}$$

we can evaluate the logarithm[11] of the function on the left hand side in the above formula. Thus, the integrating factor of the eigenvalue is given by

(6.4)
$$\delta_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\frac{a - b\mathrm{sn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u, m)}{\ell_3 - \ell_4 \mathrm{sn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u, m)}\right) + cu + \frac{d}{\sqrt{\ell_3}}\Pi(\alpha^2, \mathrm{am}_m(\sqrt{\ell_3}u), m).$$

6.1.2. *The integrating factor of the first kind of a real eigenvalue.* If λ is a real eigenvalue, the function r_λ is singular and the evaluation of the integrating factor requires some caution. Let w and v be the real constants

$$w = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{(\alpha^2 - m)(\alpha^2 - 1)}},$$

and

$$v = \frac{E(m)}{K(m)} - E(p, m) - \mathrm{cs}(p, m)\mathrm{dn}(p, m) - \frac{\sqrt{(\alpha^2 - m)(\alpha^2 - 1)}}{\alpha},$$

[11]We use the standard determination of the natural logarithm, with a branch cut discontinuity in the complex plane running from $-\infty$ to 0.

where $p = p_\lambda$ is as in (4.26) and $E(m), E(-,m)$ are the complete and incomplete elliptic integrals of the second kind respectively. Let f_λ be the periodic extension with period 2ω of the locally constant function

$$f_\lambda(u) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\pi}{2}, & u \in [p-\omega, p), \\ -\frac{3\pi}{2}, & u \in [p, \omega - p), \\ \frac{\pi}{2}, & u \in [\omega - p, \omega + p), \end{cases} \qquad \lambda < 0$$

and

$$f_\lambda(u) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\pi}{2}, & u \in [p-\omega, p), \\ \frac{\pi}{2}, & u \in [p, \omega + p), \end{cases}$$

if $\lambda > 0$. Denote by $\vartheta_1(-, q_m)$ the first Jacobi's theta function with nome

$$q_m = \exp(-\pi K(1-m)/K(m)).$$

Proceeding as in [20], p.71, we see that

$$g_{\lambda,1}(u) = \frac{w}{2\sqrt{\ell_3}} \ln \left(\frac{\vartheta_1(\frac{\pi}{2K(m)}(p - \frac{u}{\sqrt{\ell_3}}), q_m)}{\vartheta_1(\frac{\pi}{2K(m)}(p + \frac{u}{\sqrt{\ell_3}}), q_m)} \right) + wvu \tag{6.5}$$

is a real-valued primitive of $(1 - \alpha^2 \mathrm{sn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u, m))^{-1}$. We take

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\lambda,2}(u) = \frac{1}{2}\ln(a) + cu - \frac{1}{2}\ln(\ell_3 - \ell_4 \mathrm{sn}(\sqrt{\ell_3}u, m) + \\ + \frac{1}{2}\ln(1 - \alpha^2 \mathrm{sn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u, m)) \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

as a real-valued primitive of

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{du}\left(\ln \frac{a - b\mathrm{sn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u, m)}{\ell_3 - \ell_4 \mathrm{sn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u, m)} \right) + c.$$

Then,

$$\delta_\lambda = dg_{\lambda,1} + g_{\lambda,2} + if_\lambda, \tag{6.7}$$

is the integrating factor for the real eigenvalue λ.

6.2. **Integrating factors of the second kind.** Let λ be a multiple root of $P_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Note that λ is necessarily real. From

$$s_\lambda = \frac{\lambda^2 + k_2^2 - 2\lambda k_2 \dot{k}_2}{(\lambda^2 - k_2^2)^2} = -2\lambda \frac{k_2 \dot{k}_2}{(\lambda^2 - k_2^2)^2} + \frac{\lambda^2 + k_2^2}{k_2^2 - \lambda^2}$$

we can write

$$\int s_\lambda du = \eta_{1,\lambda} + \eta_{2,\lambda}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{1,\lambda} &= -2\lambda\int\frac{k_2\dot{k}_2}{(\lambda^2-k_2^2)^2}du=\frac{\lambda}{k_2^2-\lambda^2}=\\ &=\lambda\frac{\ell_3-\ell_4\mathrm{sn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u,m)}{(\ell_1-\lambda^2\ell_3)-(\ell_2-\lambda^2\ell_4)\mathrm{sn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u,m)}=\\ &=\frac{\lambda}{\ell_1-\lambda^2\ell_3}\frac{\ell_3-\ell_4\mathrm{sn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u,m)}{1-\alpha^2\mathrm{sn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u,m)}\end{aligned}\tag{6.8}$$

and

(6.9)

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{2,\lambda} &= \int\frac{\lambda^2+k_2^2}{(\lambda^2-k_2^2)^2}du=\mathsf{A}\int\frac{\mathrm{cn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u,m)}{1-\alpha^2\mathrm{sn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u,m)}du+\\ &+\mathsf{B}\int\frac{\mathrm{cn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u,m)\mathrm{sn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u,m)}{1-\alpha^2\mathrm{sn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u,m)}du+\mathsf{C}\int\frac{\mathrm{sn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u,m)}{1-\alpha^2\mathrm{sn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u,m)}du,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathsf{A}=\frac{\ell_3(\ell_1+\lambda^2\ell_3)}{(\ell_1-\lambda^2\ell_3)^2},\quad \mathsf{B}=-\frac{\ell_4(\ell_2+\lambda_2\ell_4)}{(\ell_1-\lambda^2\ell_3)^2},$$

and

$$\mathsf{C}=\frac{(\ell_3-\ell_4)((\ell_1-\ell_2)+\lambda^2(\ell_3-\ell_4))}{(\ell_1-\lambda^2\ell_3)^2}.$$

The integrals in the right hand side of (6.9) can be evaluated as in [3] p. 218, and, as a result, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{2,\lambda} &= \frac{\mathsf{M}}{\sqrt{\ell_3}}E(\sqrt{\ell_3}u,m)+\mathsf{N}u+\mathsf{P}(g_{\lambda,1}-i\frac{\pi}{2}\widehat{f}_\lambda)+\\ &+\frac{\mathsf{Q}}{\sqrt{\ell_3}}\frac{\mathrm{sn}(\sqrt{\ell_3}u,m)\mathrm{cn}(\sqrt{\ell_3}u,m)\mathrm{dn}(\sqrt{\ell_3}u,m)}{1-\alpha^2\mathrm{sn}^2(\sqrt{\ell_3}u,m)}\end{aligned}\tag{6.10}$$

where $g_{\lambda,1}$ is as in (6.5) and $\widehat{f}_\lambda$ is the periodic extension with period ω of the locally constant function

$$f(u)=\begin{cases}0, & u\in[0,p),\\ 1, & u\in[p,\omega)\end{cases}$$

and $\mathsf{M},\mathsf{N},\mathsf{P},\mathsf{Q}$ are the constants

$$\begin{cases}\mathsf{M}=\frac{\alpha^2}{2\alpha^2(m-\alpha^2)}\left(\mathsf{A}+\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\mathsf{B}+\frac{1}{\alpha^2-1}\mathsf{C}\right),\\ \mathsf{N}=\frac{1}{2\alpha^2}\left(\mathsf{A}+\mathsf{C}-\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\mathsf{B}\right),\\ \mathsf{P}=\frac{1}{2\alpha^2(m-\alpha^2)}\left((2m\alpha^2-\alpha^4-m)\mathsf{A}+(\alpha^4-m)\mathsf{C}+(\alpha^4-2\alpha^2+m)\mathsf{B}\right),\\ \mathsf{Q}=-\frac{\alpha^2}{2(m-\alpha^2)}\left(\mathsf{A}+\frac{1}{\alpha^2-1}\mathsf{C}+\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\mathsf{B}\right).\end{cases}$$

Summing up : the integrating factor of the second kind of a multiple root is given by

$$\eta_\lambda = \eta_{1,\lambda} + \eta_{2,\lambda} \tag{6.11}$$

where $\eta_{1,\lambda}$ and $\eta_{2,\lambda}$ are the functions defined as in (6.8) and (6.10).

References

[1] M. Akivis and V. Goldberg, *Conformal Differential Geometry and its Generalizations*, John Wiley and Sons Inc. New York, 1996.

[2] T. Barbot, V. Charette, T. Drumm, W. M. Goldman, and K. Melnick, A primier on the (2+1)-Einstein universe, in *Recent developments in pseudo-Riemannian geometry*, 179–229, ESI Lect. Math. Phys., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2008; arXiv:0706.3055[math.DG]

[3] P.F. Byrd and M.D. Friedman, *Handbook of Elliptic Integrals for Engineers and Scientist*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 67, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1971.

[4] W. Blaschke, *Vorlesungen über Differentialgeometrie. III: Differentialgeometrie der Kreise und Kugeln*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 29, Springer, Berlin, 1929.

[5] E. Cartan, Les espaces à connexion conforme, *Ann. Soc. pol. Math.* **2** (1923), 171–221.

[6] T.E. Cecil, *Lie sphere geometry: with applications to submanifolds*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.

[7] P. T. Chruściel, G. J. Galloway, D. Pollack, Mathematical general relativity: a sampler, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **47** (2010), 567–638.

[8] B. A. Dubrovin, A. T. Fomenko, and S. P. Novikov, *Modern geometry–methods and applications. Part I*, 2nd edn., GTM 93. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.

[9] A. Dzhalilov, E. Musso, and L. Nicolodi Conformal geometry of timelike curves in the (1+2)-Einstein universe, *Nonlinear Analysis* (to appear); arXiv:1603:01035v1[math.DG]

[10] A. Fialkow, The conformal theory of curves, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **51** (1942), 435–501.

[11] C. Frances, *Géometrie et dynamique lorentziennes conformes*, Thése, E.N.S. Lyon (2002).

[12] M. H. Freedman, Z.-X. He, and Z. Wang, Möbius energy of knots and unknots, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **139** (1994), no. 1, 1–50.

[13] J. D. Grant and E. Musso, Coisotropic variational problems, *J. Geom. Phys.* **50** (2004), 303–338.

[14] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Variations on a theme by Kepler*, AMS Colloquium Publications, **285**, Providence, RI, 1990.

[15] P. A. Griffiths, *Exterior differential systems and the calculus of variations*, Progress in Mathematics, 25, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1982.

[16] J. Haantjes, Conformal differential geometry. Curves in conformal euclidean spaces, *Proc. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch.* **44** (1941), 814–824.

[17] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The large scale structure of space-time*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, no. 1, Cambridge University Press, London-New York, 1973.
[18] S. Kobayashi, *Transformation Groups in Differential Geometry*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik, 70, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1971.
[19] G. Jensen, E. Musso and L. Nicolodi, *Surfaces in Classical Geometries*, Universitext, Springer International Publishing, Switzerland, 2016.
[20] D. F. Lawden, *Elliptic Functions and Applications*, Applied Mathematics Series 80, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1989.
[21] R. Langevin and J. O'Hara, Conformal arc-length as $\frac{1}{2}$-dimensional length of the set of osculating circles, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **85** (2010), no. 2, 273–312.
[22] R. Langevin and G. Solanes, The geometry of canal surfaces and the length of curves in de Sitter space, *Adv. Geom.* **11** (2011), no. 4, 58–601.
[23] H. Liebmann, Beiträge zur Inversionsgeometrie der Kurven, Münchener Berichte, 1923.
[24] M. Magliaro, L. Mari, and M. Rigoli, On the geometry of curves and conformal geodesics in the Möbius space, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **40** (2011), 133-165.
[25] A. Montesinos Amilibia, M. C. Romero Fuster, and E. Sanabria, Conformal curvatures of curves in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* **12** (2001), 369–382.
[26] E. Musso, The conformal arclength functional, *Math. Nachr.* **165** (1994), 107–131.
[27] E. Musso, Closed trajectories of the conformal arclength functional, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **410** (2013), 012031.
[28] E. Musso and L. Nicolodi, Quantization of the conformal arclength functional on space curves, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* (to appear); arXiv:1501.04101[math.DG].
[29] R. Penrose, *Cycles of time: An extraordinary new view of the universe*, Alfred A. Knopf, Inc., New York, 2010.
[30] R. Penrose, On the gravitization of quantum mechanics 2: Conformal cyclic cosmology, *Found. Phys.* **44** (2014), 873-890.
[31] R. Penrose and W. Rindler, *Spinors and space-time*, Camridge monographs on Mathematical Physics, Vol. I and II, Cambridge University Press, 1986.
[32] C. Schiemangk and R. Sulanke, Submanifolds of the Möbius space, *Math. Nachr.* **96** (1980), 165–183.
[33] R. Sulanke, Submanifolds of the Möbius space II, Frenet formula and curves of constant curvatures, *Math. Nachr.* **100** (1981), 235–257.
[34] T. Takasu, *Differentialgeometrien in den Kugelräumen. Band I. Konforme Differentialkugelgeometrie von Liouville und Möbius*, Maruzen, Tokyo, 1938.
[35] G. Thomsen, Über konforme Geometrie I: Grundlagen der konformen Flächentheorie, *Hamb. Math. Abh.* **3** (1923), 31–56.
[36] P. Tod, Penrose's Weyl curvature hypothesis and conformally-cyclic cosmology, *Jornal of Physics: Conference Series* **229** (2010), 1–5.
[37] E. Vessiot, Contribution à la géométrie conforme. Enveloppes de sphères et courbes gauches, *J. École Polytechnique* **25** (1925), 43–91.
[38] H. Weyl, *Time, Space, Matter* Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, N.Y., 1952.

(O. Eshkobilov) Dipartimento di Matematica, Universit di Torino, Via Carlo Alberto, 10 - I-10123 Torino, Italy
E-mail address: olimjon.eshkobilov@edu.unito.it

(E. Musso) Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche, Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, I-10129 Torino, Italy
E-mail address: emilio.musso@polito.it