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#### Abstract

Elliptic and parabolic integro-differential model problems are considered in the whole space. By verifying Hörmander condition, the existence and uniqueness is proved in $L_{p}$-spaces of functions whose regularity is defined by a scalable, possibly nonsymmetric, Levy measure. Some rough probability density function estimates of the associated Levy process are used as well.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\sigma \in(0,2)$ and $\mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$ be the class of all nonnegative measures $\pi$ on $\mathbf{R}_{0}^{d}=\mathbf{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\int|y|^{2} \wedge 1 d \pi<\infty$ and

$$
\sigma=\inf \left\{\alpha<2: \int_{|y| \leq 1}|y|^{\alpha} d \pi<\infty\right\} .
$$

In addition we assume that for $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{|y|>1}|y| d \pi & <\infty \text { if } \sigma \in(1,2), \\
\int_{R<|y| \leq R^{\prime}} y d \pi & =0 \text { if } \sigma=1 \text { for all } 0<R<R^{\prime}<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this paper we consider the parabolic Cauchy problem with $\lambda \geq 0$

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} u(t, x) & =L u(t, x)-\lambda u(t, x)+f(t, x) \text { in } E=[0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^{d},  \tag{1.1}\\
u(0, x) & =0,
\end{align*}
$$

and the elliptic problem with $\lambda>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda u(x)-L u(x)=g(x), x \in \mathbf{R}^{d} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\lambda \geq 0$ and $\lambda>0$ and integrodifferential operator
$L \varphi(x)=L^{\pi} \varphi(x)=\int\left[\varphi(x+y)-\varphi(x)-\chi_{\sigma}(y) \nabla \varphi(x) y\right] \pi(d y), \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$,
where $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}, \chi_{\sigma}(y)=0$ if $\sigma \in[0,1), \chi_{\sigma}(y)=1_{\{|y| \leq 1\}}(y)$ if $\sigma=1$ and $\chi_{\sigma}(y)=1$ if $\sigma \in(1,2)$. The symbol of $L$ is

$$
\psi(\xi)=\psi^{\pi}(\xi)=\int\left[e^{i \xi \cdot y}-1-i \chi_{\sigma}(y) \xi \cdot y\right] \pi(d y), \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}
$$

Note that $\pi(d y)=d y /|y|^{d+\sigma} \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$ and, in this case, $L=L^{\pi}=c(\sigma, d)(-\Delta)^{\sigma / 2}$, where $(-\Delta)^{\sigma / 2}$ is a fractional Laplacian. Let $\pi_{0} \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}\left|\psi^{\pi_{0}}(\xi)\right| \leq\left|\psi^{\pi}(\xi)\right| \leq c_{2}\left|\psi^{\pi_{0}}(\xi)\right|, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $0<c_{1} \leq c_{2}$. Given $\pi_{0} \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}, p \in[1, \infty)$, we denote $H_{p}^{\pi_{0}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ (resp. $\left.\mathcal{H}_{p}^{\pi_{0}}(E)\right)$ the closure in $L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.L_{p}(E)\right)$ of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.C_{0}^{\infty}(E)\right)$ with respect to the norm

$$
|f|_{\pi_{0}, p}=|f|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}+\left|L^{\pi_{0}} f\right|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}, \text { resp. }|g|_{\pi_{0}, p}=|g|_{L_{p}(E)}+\left|L^{\pi_{0}} g\right|_{L_{p}(E)}
$$

If $f_{n} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right), f_{n} \rightarrow f$ and $L^{\pi} f_{n} \rightarrow g$ in $L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ we denote $g=L^{\pi} f$.
In this note, under certain "scalability" assumptions (see Assumption $\mathbf{D}(\kappa, l)$ below), we prove the existence and uniqueness of (1.1) and (1.2) in $H_{p}^{\pi_{0}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{H}_{p}^{\pi_{0}}(E)\right)$. Moreover the following estimates hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{\mathcal{H}_{p}^{\pi_{0}}} \leq C|f|_{L_{p}(E)},|u|_{H_{p}^{\pi_{0}}} \leq C|f|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The symbol $\psi^{\pi}(\xi)$ is not smooth in $\xi$ and the standard Fourier multiplier results do not apply in this case. In order to prove (1.4), we associate to $L^{\pi}$
a family of balls and verify Hörmander condition (see Theorem 5 and (5.5) below) for it, and apply Calderon-Zygmund theorem. As an example, we consider $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$ defined in radial and angular coordinates $r=|y|, w=y / r$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(\Gamma)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{|w|=1} \chi_{\Gamma}(r w) a(r, w) j(r) r^{d-1} S(d w) d r, \Gamma \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{R}_{0}^{d}\right), \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S(d w)$ is a finite measure on the unit sphere on $\mathbf{R}^{d}$. In 9], the parabolic equation (1.1) was considered, with $\pi$ in the form (1.5) with $a=$ $1, j(r)=r^{-d-\sigma}$, and such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{|w|=1} \chi_{\Gamma}(r w) r^{-1-\sigma} \rho_{0}(w) S(d w) d r \\
\leq & \pi(\Gamma)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{|w|=1} \chi_{\Gamma}(r w) r^{-1-\sigma} a(r, w) S(d w) d r \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{|w|=1} \chi_{\Gamma}(r w) r^{-1-\sigma} S(d w) d r, \Gamma \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{R}_{0}^{d}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and (1.3) holds with $\psi^{\pi_{0}}(\xi)=|\xi|^{\sigma}, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$. In this case, $\mathcal{H}_{p}^{\pi_{0}}(E)=H_{p}^{\sigma}(E)$ is the fractional Sobolev space. The solution estimate (1.4) for (1.1) was derived in (9], using $L^{\infty}-B M O$ type estimate. In [3], the elliptic problem (1.2) was studied for $\pi$ in the form (1.5) with $S(d w)=d w$ being a Lebesgue measure on the unit sphere in $\mathbf{R}^{d}$, with $0<c_{1} \leq a \leq c_{2}$, and a set of technical assumptions on $j(r)$. The inequality (1.4) for (1.2) was obtained using sharp function estimate based on the solution Hölder norm estimate (following the idea in [1], where (1.2) was considered in $H_{p}^{\sigma}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ with $\pi$ as in (1.5) with $j(r)=r^{-d-\sigma}$ and $0<c_{1} \leq a \leq c_{2}$.

The note is organized as follows. In Section 2, the main theorem is stated, and an example of the form (1.5) considered. In Section 3, the essential technical results are presented. The main theorem is proved in Section 4.

## 2. Notation and Main Results

Denote $E=[0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^{d}, \mathbf{N}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}, \mathbf{R}_{0}^{d}=\mathbf{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}$. If $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$, we write

$$
x \cdot y=\sum_{i=1}^{d} x_{i} y_{i},|x|=(x \cdot x)^{1 / 2}
$$

For a function $u(t, x)$ on $E$, we denote its partial derivatives by $\partial_{t} u(t, x)=$ $\partial u / \partial t, \partial_{i} u=\partial u / \partial x_{i}$, and $D^{\gamma} u=\partial^{|\gamma|} u / \partial x_{1}^{\gamma_{1}} \ldots \partial x_{d}^{\gamma_{d}}$, where multiindex $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{d}\right) \in \mathbf{N}^{d}, \nabla u=\left(\partial_{1} u, \ldots, \partial_{d} u\right)$ denotes the gradient of $u$ with respect to $x$. For $k \in \mathbf{N}$, we denote $D^{k} u=\left(\partial^{\gamma} u\right)_{|\gamma|=k}$.

Let $L_{p}(T)=L_{p}(E)$ is the space of $p$-integrable functions with norm, $p \geq 1$,

$$
|f|_{L_{p}(T)}=\left(\int_{0}^{T} \int|f(t, x)|^{p} d x d t\right)^{1 / p},|f|_{L_{\infty}(T)}=\operatorname{ess} \sup _{(t, x) \in E}|f(t, x)| .
$$

Similar space of functions on $\mathbf{R}^{d}$ is denoted $L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$.
Let $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ be the Schwartz space of smooth real valued rapidly decreasing functions. For $s \in \mathbf{N}$, we define the Sobolev space $H_{p}^{n}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.H_{p}^{n}(E)\right)$ as closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ (resp. $C_{0}^{\infty}(E)$ ) with respect to the norm

$$
|f|_{n, p}=\sum_{|\beta| \leq n}\left|D^{\beta} f\right|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}, \text { resp. }|g|_{n, p}=\sum_{|\beta| \leq n}\left|D^{\beta} f\right|_{L_{p}(E)}
$$

For $\sigma \in(0,2)$ and $v \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$, we define the fractional Laplacian

$$
\partial^{\sigma} v(x)=\int \nabla_{y}^{\sigma} v(x) \frac{d y}{|y|^{d+\sigma}}, x \in \mathbf{R}^{d},
$$

where

$$
\nabla_{y}^{\sigma} v(x)=v(x+y)-v(x)-(\nabla v(x), y) \chi_{\sigma}(y)
$$

with $\chi_{\sigma}(y)=1_{\{|y| \leq 1\}} 1_{\sigma=1}+1_{\{\sigma \in(1,2)\}}$ is the integrand in the definition of $L^{\pi}$.

Given $\pi_{0} \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}, p \in[1, \infty)$, we denote $H_{p}^{\pi_{0}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ (resp. $\left.\mathcal{H}_{p}^{\pi_{0}}(E)\right)$ the closure in $L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.L_{p}(E)\right)$ of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ (resp. $\left.C_{0}^{\infty}(E)\right)$ with respect to the norm

$$
|f|_{\pi_{0}, p}=|f|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}+\left|L^{\pi_{0}} f\right|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}, \text { resp. }|g|_{\pi_{0}, p}=|g|_{L_{p}(E)}+\left|L^{\pi_{0}} g\right|_{L_{p}(E)} .
$$

If $f_{n} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right), f_{n} \rightarrow f$ and $L^{\pi} f_{n} \rightarrow g$ in $L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ we denote $g=L^{\pi} f$. Notice that $f_{n} \rightarrow 0, L^{\pi} f_{n} \rightarrow h$ in $L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ implies that $h=0$. Indeed,

$$
\int \varphi L^{\pi} f_{n}=\int f_{n} L^{\pi^{*}} \varphi \rightarrow 0, \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)
$$

where $\pi^{*}(\Gamma)=\pi(-\Gamma), \Gamma \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{R}_{0}^{d}\right)$, i.e. $\pi^{*} \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$ as well. Note that if $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$, then for any $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$,

$$
\left|L^{\pi} f\right|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} \leq\left|\int_{|y| \leq 1} \ldots\right|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}+\left|\int_{|y|>1} \ldots\right|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C|f|_{2, p}
$$

that is $H_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) \subseteq H_{p}^{\pi}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ and the embedding is continuous. The same holds for $H_{p}^{2}(E) \subseteq H_{p}^{\pi}(E)$.

We denote $\mathfrak{A}=\cup_{\sigma \in(0,2)} \mathfrak{A}^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$.
We denote Fourier transform and its inverse

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F} v(\xi) & =\hat{v}(\xi)=\int v(x) e^{-i 2 \pi x \cdot \xi} d x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d} \\
\mathcal{F}^{-1} v(x) & =\int v(\xi) e^{i 2 \pi x \cdot \xi} d \xi, x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}, v \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote $C_{b}^{\infty}(E)$ the space of bounded infinitely differentiable in $x$ functions whose derivatives are bounded.
$C=C(\cdot, \ldots, \cdot)$ denotes constants depending only on quantities appearing in parentheses. In a given context the same letter is (generally) used to denote different constants depending on the same set of arguments.

We also introduce an auxiliary Levy measure $\mu_{0}$ on $\mathbf{R}_{0}^{d}$ such that the following assumption holds.

Assumption $\mathbf{A}_{0}$. Let $\mu^{0} \in \mathfrak{A}, \chi_{\{|y| \leq 1\}} \mu^{0}(d y)=\mu^{0}(d y)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int|y| \mu^{0}(d y)+\int|\xi|^{2}[1+\zeta(\xi)]^{d+3} \exp \left\{-\phi_{0}(\xi)\right\} d \xi \leq N_{0} \text { if } \sigma \in(0,1) \\
& \int|y|^{2} \mu^{0}(d y)+\int|\xi|^{4}[1+\zeta(\xi)]^{d+3} \exp \left\{-\phi_{0}(\xi)\right\} d \xi \leq N_{0} \text { if } \sigma \in[1,2)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{0}(\xi) & =\int_{|y| \leq 1}[1-\cos (2 \pi \xi \cdot y)] \mu^{0}(d y) \\
\zeta(\xi) & =\int_{|y| \leq 1} \chi_{\sigma}(y)|y|[(|\xi||y|) \wedge 1] \mu^{0}(d y), \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, we assume that for any $\xi \in S_{d-1}=\left\{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}:|\xi|=1\right\}$,

$$
\int_{|y| \leq 1}|\xi \cdot y|^{2} \mu^{0}(d y) \geq c_{1}>0
$$

For $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}=\cup_{\sigma \in(0,2)} \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$ and $R>0$, we denote

$$
\pi_{R}(\Gamma)=\int \chi_{\Gamma}(y / R) \pi(d y), \Gamma \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{R}_{0}^{d}\right)
$$

Definition 1. We say that a continuous function $\kappa:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is a scaling function if $\lim _{R \rightarrow 0} \kappa(R)=0, \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \kappa(R)=\infty$ and there is a nondecreasing continuous function $l(\varepsilon), \varepsilon>0$, such that $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} l(\varepsilon)=0$ and

$$
\kappa(\varepsilon r) \leq l(\varepsilon) \kappa(r), r>0, \varepsilon>0
$$

We call $l(\varepsilon), \varepsilon>0$, a scaling factor of $\kappa$.
For a scaling function $\kappa$ with a scaling factor $l$ and $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$ we introduce the following

Assumption $\mathbf{D}(\kappa, l)$. (i) For every $R>0$,

$$
\tilde{\pi}_{R}(d y)=\kappa(R) \pi_{R}(d y) \geq 1_{\{|y| \leq 1\}} \mu^{0}(d y)
$$

with $\mu^{0}=\mu^{0 ; \pi}$ satisfying Assumption $\mathbf{A}_{0}$. If $\sigma=1$ we, in addition assume that $\int_{R<|y| \leq R^{\prime}} y \mu^{0}(d y)=0$ for any $0<R<R^{\prime} \leq 1$. Here $\tilde{\pi}_{R}(d y)=$ $\kappa(R) \pi_{R}(d y)$.
(ii) There exist $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ and a constant $N>0$ such that

$$
\int_{|z| \leq 1}|z|^{\alpha_{1}} \tilde{\pi}_{R}(d z)+\int_{|z|>1}|z|^{\alpha_{2}} \tilde{\pi}_{R}(d z) \leq N \forall R>0
$$

where $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in(0,1]$ if $\sigma \in(0,1) ; \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in(1,2]$ if $\sigma \in(1,2) ; \alpha_{1} \in(1,2]$ and $\alpha_{2} \in[0,1)$ for $\sigma=1$.
(iii) Let $\gamma(t)=\inf (s>0: l(s)>t), t>0$. With $I_{1}=\{t>0: \gamma(t) \leq 1\}, I_{2}=$ $\{t>0: \gamma(t)>1\}$ we have

$$
\int_{I_{1}}\left[t \gamma(t)^{-\alpha_{1}}+1_{\sigma \in(1,2)} \gamma(t)^{-1}\right] d t \leq N_{1}<\infty
$$

and

$$
\int_{I_{2}}\left[\gamma(t)^{-\left(1+\alpha_{2}\right)}+\gamma(t)^{-2 \alpha_{2}}\right] d t \leq N_{1}<\infty
$$

The main result of this paper for (1.2) is
Theorem 1. Let $p>1, \pi_{0}, \pi \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}, \lambda>0$. Assume there is a scaling function $\kappa$ such that $\boldsymbol{D}(\kappa, l)$ hold for both, $\pi$ and $\pi_{0}$.

Then for each $f \in L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ there is a unique $u \in H_{p}^{\pi_{0}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ solving (1.1). Moreover, there is $C=C\left(d, p, \kappa, l, N_{0}, N, N_{1}, c_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|L^{\pi_{0}} u\right|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} & \leq C|f|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}, \\
|u|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} & \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}|f|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The main result for (1.1) is
Theorem 2. Let $p \in(1, \infty), \pi_{0}, \pi \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$. Assume there is a scaling function $\kappa$ such that $\boldsymbol{D}(\kappa, l)$ hold for both, $\pi$ and $\pi_{0}$.

Then for each $f \in L_{p}(E)$ there is a unique $u \in \mathcal{H}_{p}^{\pi_{0}}(E)$ solving (1.1). Moreover, there is $C=C\left(d, p, \kappa, l, N_{0}, N, N_{1}, c_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|L^{\pi_{0}} u\right|_{L_{p}(E)} & \leq C|f|_{L_{p}(E)} \\
|u|_{L_{p}(E)} & \leq\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \wedge T\right)|f|_{L_{p}(E)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1. Assumption $\boldsymbol{D}(\kappa, l)$ holds for both, $\pi, \pi_{0}$, means that $\kappa, l$, and the parameters $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, N, N_{1}, N_{0}, c_{1}$ are the same.
2.1. Example. Let $\mu(d t)$ be a measure on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\int_{0}^{\infty}(1 \wedge t) \mu(d t)<$ $\infty$, and let

$$
\phi(r)=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1-e^{-r t}\right) \mu(d t), r \geq 0
$$

be Bernstein function (see [4], 3]). Let

$$
j(r)=\int_{0}^{\infty}(4 \pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{r^{2}}{4 t}\right) \mu(d t), r>0 .
$$

We consider $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}=\cup_{\sigma \in(0,2)} \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$ defined in radial and angular coordinates $r=|y|, w=y / r$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(\Gamma)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{|w|=1} \chi_{\Gamma}(r w) a(r, w) j(r) r^{d-1} S(d w) d r, \Gamma \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{R}_{0}^{d}\right), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S(d w)$ is a finite measure on the unite sphere on $\mathbf{R}^{d}$. If $S(d w)=d w$ is the Lebesgue measure on the unit sphere,then

$$
\pi(\Gamma)=\pi^{J, a}(\Gamma)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} \chi_{\Gamma}(y) a(|y|, y /|y|) J(y) d y, \Gamma \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{R}_{0}^{d}\right)
$$

where $J(y)=j(|y|), y \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$. Let $\pi_{0}=\pi^{J, 1}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{0}(\Gamma)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} \chi_{\Gamma}(y) J(y) d y, \Gamma \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{R}_{0}^{d}\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume
H. (i) There is $N>0$ so that

$$
N^{-1} \phi\left(r^{-2}\right) r^{-d} \leq j(r) \leq N \phi\left(r^{-2}\right) r^{-d}, r>0 .
$$

(ii) There are $0<\delta_{1} \leq \delta_{2}<1$ and $N>0$ so that for $0<r \leq R$

$$
N^{-1}\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{\delta_{1}} \leq \frac{\phi(R)}{\phi(r)} \leq N\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{\delta_{2}}
$$

G. There is $\rho_{0}(w),|w|=1$, such that $\rho_{0}(w) \leq a(r, w) \leq 1, r>0,|w|=1$, and for every $|\xi|=1$,

$$
\int_{|w|=1}|\xi \cdot w|^{2} \rho_{0}(w) S(d w) \neq 0
$$

For example, in [4] and 3] among others the following specific Bernstein functions satisfying $\mathbf{H}$ are listed:
(0) $\phi(r)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} r^{\alpha_{i}}, \alpha_{i} \in(0,1), i=1, \ldots, n$;
(1) $\phi(r)=\left(r+r^{\alpha}\right)^{\beta}, \alpha, \beta \in(0,1)$;
(2) $\phi(r)=r^{\alpha}(\ln (1+r))^{\beta}, \alpha \in(0,1), \beta \in(0,1-\alpha)$;
(3) $\phi(r)=[\ln (\cosh \sqrt{r})]^{\alpha}, \alpha \in(0,1)$.

The following statement holds.
Remark 2. Let $\pi, \pi_{0}$ be given by (2.1) and (2.2). Assume $\boldsymbol{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{G}$ hold.
a) If $2 \delta_{1}>1$, then Theorems圆 (resp. 11) hold in $H_{p}^{\pi_{0}}(E)$ (resp. $H_{p}^{\pi_{0}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ ).
b) If $2 \delta_{2}<1$ and $2 \delta_{1}>\delta_{2}$, then Theorems 圆 (resp. 1) hold in $H_{p}^{\pi_{0}}(E)$ (resp. $H_{p}^{\pi_{0}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ ).

Proof. We verify that the assumptions of Theorems 2 and 1 hold. Indeed, $\mathbf{H}$ implies that there are $0<c \leq C$ so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c r^{-d-2 \delta_{1}} \leq j(r) \leq C r^{-d-2 \delta_{2}}, r \leq 1, \\
& c r^{-d-2 \delta_{2}} \leq j(r) \leq C r^{-d-2 \delta_{1}}, r>1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $2 \delta_{1} \leq \sigma \leq 2 \delta_{2}$. In this case $\kappa(R)=j(R)^{-1} R^{-d}, R>0$, is a scaling function: $\kappa(\varepsilon R) \leq l(\varepsilon) \kappa(R), \varepsilon, R>0$, with

$$
l(\varepsilon)= \begin{cases}C_{1} \varepsilon^{2 \delta_{1}} & \text { if } \varepsilon \leq 1, \\ C_{1} \varepsilon^{2 \delta_{2}} & \text { if } \varepsilon>1\end{cases}
$$

for some $C_{1}>0$. Hence

$$
\gamma(t)=l^{-1}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C_{1}^{-1 / 2 \delta_{1}} t^{1 / 2 \delta_{1}} \text { if } t \leq C_{1}, \\
C_{1}^{-1 / 2 \delta_{2}} t^{1 / 2 \delta_{2}} \text { if } t>C_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We see easily that $\alpha_{1}$ is any number $>2 \delta_{2}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ is any number $<2 \delta_{1}$. The measure $\mu^{0}$ for $\pi$ is

$$
\mu^{0}(d y)=\mu^{0, \pi}(d y)=c_{1} \int \chi_{d y}(r w) \chi_{\{r \leq 1\}} r^{-1-2 \delta_{1}} \rho_{0}(w) S(d w) d r ;
$$

and $\mu^{0}$ for $\pi_{0}$ is

$$
\mu^{0}(d y)=\mu^{0, \pi_{0}}(d y)=c_{1}^{\prime} \int \chi_{d y}(r w) \chi_{\{r \leq 1\}} r^{-1-2 \delta_{1}} d w d r .
$$

Integrability conditions $\mathbf{D}(\kappa, l)$ (iii) easily follow from a) or b).

## 3. Auxiliary results

In this section we present some auxiliary results.
3.1. Some $L_{p}$ estimates. We start with the following observation.

Remark 3. If $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$, then for any $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$,

$$
\left|L^{\pi} f\right|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} \leq\left|\int_{|y| \leq 1} \ldots\right|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}+\left|\int_{|y|>1} \ldots\right|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C|f|_{2, p}
$$

Hence $H_{p}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) \subseteq H_{p}^{\pi}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ and the embedding is continuous. The same holds for $H_{p}^{2}(E) \subseteq H_{p}^{\pi}(E)$.

We will use the following equality for Sobolev norm estimates.
Lemma 1. (Lemma 2.1 in [5]) For $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x+y)-u(x)=C \int k^{(\alpha)}(y, z) \partial^{\alpha} u(x-z) d z, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $C=C(\alpha, d)$ and

$$
k^{(\alpha)}(z, y)=|z+y|^{-d+\alpha}-|z|^{-d+\alpha} .
$$

Moreover, there is a constant $C=C(\alpha, d)$ such that for each $y \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$

$$
\int\left|k^{(\alpha)}(z, y)\right| d z \leq C|y|^{\alpha}
$$

Corollary 1. Let $\alpha \in(0,1), p \geq 1$. Then
(i) for $y \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\partial^{\alpha} u\right|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C|u|_{H_{p}^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}, u \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
|u(\cdot+y)-u|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C\left|\partial^{\alpha} u\right|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}|y|^{\alpha},  \tag{3.3}\\
|u(\cdot+y)-u-y \cdot \nabla u|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C\left|\partial^{\alpha} \nabla u\right|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}|y|^{1+\alpha}, \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

$u \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$.
(ii) for any $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\partial^{\alpha}[u(\varepsilon \cdot)]=\varepsilon^{\alpha}\left(\partial^{\alpha} u\right)(\varepsilon x), \partial^{\alpha} \nabla[u(\varepsilon \cdot)]=\varepsilon^{1+\alpha}\left(\partial^{\alpha} \nabla u\right)(\varepsilon x), x \in \mathbf{R}^{d},
$$

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. Since for $x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\partial^{\alpha} u(x)\right| \leq & \int_{|y| \leq 1} \int_{0}^{1}|y \cdot \nabla u(x+s y)| d s \frac{d y}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \\
& +\int_{|y|>1}[|u(x+y)|+u(x)] \frac{d y}{\left.|y|\right|^{d+\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(3.2) follows. Applying generalized Minkowski inequality to (3.1), we derive easily (3.3). Similarly, using

$$
u(x+y)-u(x)-y \cdot \nabla u(x)=\int_{0}^{1} y \cdot[\nabla u(x+s y)-\nabla u(x)] d s
$$

and (3.3) we derive (3.4).
Changing the variable of integration,

$$
\partial^{\alpha}[u(\varepsilon \cdot)](x)=\varepsilon^{\alpha} \int[u(\varepsilon x+y)-u(\varepsilon x)] \frac{d y}{|y|^{d+\alpha}}=\varepsilon^{\alpha}\left(\partial^{\alpha} u\right)(\varepsilon x), x \in \mathbf{R}^{d} .
$$

Corollary 2. Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$ and

$$
\int_{|z| \leq 1}|z|^{\alpha_{1}} \pi(d z)+\int_{|z|>1}|z|^{\alpha_{2}} \pi(d z) \leq N,
$$

where $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in(0,1]$ if $\sigma \in(0,1) ; \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in(1,2]$ if $\sigma \in(1,2) ; \alpha_{1} \in(1,2]$ and $\alpha_{2} \in(0,1]$ for $\sigma=1$.

Then there is a constant $C=C(N)$ such that for any $v \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$, (assuming $\partial^{\gamma}=\nabla$ if $\gamma=1$ ),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|L^{\pi} v\right|_{L_{1}} & \leq C\left(\left|\partial^{\alpha_{1}} v\right|_{L_{1}}+|v|_{L_{1}}\right) \\
\left|L^{\pi} v\right|_{L_{1}} & \leq C\left(|\nabla v|_{L_{1}}+\left|\partial^{\alpha_{2}} v\right|_{L_{1}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\sigma \in(0,1)$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|L^{\pi} v\right|_{L_{1}} & \leq C\left(\left|\partial^{\alpha_{1}-1} \nabla v\right|_{L_{1}}+|v|_{L_{1}}\right), \\
\left|L^{\pi} v\right|_{L_{1}} & \leq C\left(\left|D^{2} v\right|_{L_{1}}+\left|\partial^{\alpha_{2}} v\right|_{L_{1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\sigma=1$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|L^{\pi} v\right|_{L_{1}} & \leq C\left(\left|\partial^{\alpha_{1}-1} \nabla v\right|_{L_{1}}+|\nabla v|_{L_{1}}\right), \\
\left|L^{\pi} v\right|_{L_{1}} & \leq C\left(\left|D^{2} v\right|_{L_{1}}+\left|\partial^{\alpha_{2}-1} \nabla v\right|_{L_{1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\sigma \in(1,2)$.

Proof. By Corollary [1, for $|y| \leq 1$

$$
\left|v(\cdot+y)-v-\chi_{\sigma}(y) y \cdot \nabla u\right|_{L_{1}} \leq\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\left|\partial^{\alpha_{1}} v\right|_{L_{1}}|y|^{\alpha_{1}} & \text { if } \sigma \in(0,1) \\
\left|\partial^{\alpha_{1}-1} \nabla v\right|_{L_{1}}|y|^{\alpha_{1}} & \text { if } \sigma \in[1,2)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and for $|y|>1$,

$$
\left|v(\cdot+y)-v-\chi_{\sigma}(y) y \cdot \nabla u\right|_{L_{1}} \leq\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
2|v|_{L_{1}} & \text { if } \sigma \in(0,1], \\
2|\nabla v|_{L_{1}}|y|^{\alpha_{2}} & \text { if } \sigma \in(1,2) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

On the other hand, for $|y| \leq 1$

$$
\left|v(\cdot+y)-v-\chi_{\sigma}(y) y \cdot \nabla u\right|_{L_{1}} \leq \begin{cases}|\nabla v|_{L_{1}}|y|^{\alpha_{1}} & \text { if } \sigma \in(0,1), \\ \left|D^{2} v\right|_{L_{1}}|y|^{\alpha_{1}} & \text { if } \sigma \in[1,2),\end{cases}
$$

and for $|y|>1$,

$$
\left|v(\cdot+y)-v-\chi_{\sigma}(y) y \cdot \nabla u\right|_{L_{1}} \leq\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\left|\partial^{\alpha_{2}} v\right|_{L_{1}} & \text { if } \sigma \in(0,1], \\
2\left|\partial^{\alpha_{2}-1} \nabla v\right|_{L_{1}}|y|^{\alpha_{2}} & \text { if } \sigma \in(1,2) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The statement follows.

In addition, the following holds.
Lemma 2. For any $\beta \in[0,1], a \geq 0,|z| \leq 1$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|x| \geq a}|u(x+z)-u(x)| d x \\
\leq & 2^{1-\beta}\left(\int_{|x| \geq(a-1) \vee 0}|u(x)| d x\right)^{1-\beta}\left(\int_{|x| \geq(a-1) \vee 0}|\nabla u(x)| d x\right)^{\beta}|z|^{\beta},
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. For $\beta \in[0,1], x, z \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$,

$$
|u(x+z)-u(x)| \leq|u(x+z)-u(x)|^{1-\beta}\left(\int_{0}^{1}|\nabla u(x+s z)| d s\right)^{\beta}|z|^{\beta}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |u(x+z)-u(x)-z \cdot \nabla u(x)| \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{1}|\nabla u(x+s z)-\nabla u(x)| d s|z|
\end{aligned}
$$

By Hölder inequality, for $|z| \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|x| \geq a}|u(x+z)-u(x)| d x \\
\leq & \int_{|x| \geq a}|u(x+z)-u(x)|^{1-\beta}\left(\int_{0}^{1}|\nabla u(x+s z)| d s\right)^{\beta} d x|z|^{\beta} \\
\leq & \left(\int_{|x| \geq a}|u(x+z)-u(x)| d x\right)^{1-\beta}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{|x| \geq a}|\nabla u(x+s z)| d s d x\right)^{\beta}|z|^{\beta} \\
\leq & \left(2 \int_{|x| \geq(a-1) \mathrm{V} 0}|u(x)| d x\right)^{1-\beta}\left(\int_{|x| \geq(a-1) \mathrm{V} 0}|\nabla u(x)| d x\right)^{\beta}|z|^{\beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 3. For any $\beta \in[0,1], a \geq 0,|z| \leq 1$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|x| \geq a}|u(x+z)-u(x)-z \cdot \nabla u(x)| d x \\
\leq & 2^{1-\beta}\left(\int_{|x| \geq(a-1) \vee 0}|\nabla u(x)| d x\right)^{1-\beta}\left(\int_{|x| \geq(a-1) \mathrm{V} 0}\left|D^{2} u(x)\right| d x\right)^{\beta}|z|^{1+\beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. For $\beta \in[0,1], x, z \in \mathbf{R}^{d},|z| \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |u(x+z)-u(x)-z \cdot \nabla u(x)| \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{1}|\nabla u(x+s z)-\nabla u(x)| d s|z|,
\end{aligned}
$$

and the claim follows by Lemma 2 .
3.2. Density estimates. We start with the following simple statement about the existence of a probability density function (pdf).
Lemma 3. Let $\mu^{0}$ be a nonnegative measure on $\mathbf{R}_{0}^{d}$ such that $\chi_{|y| \leq 1} \mu^{0}(d y)=$ $\mu^{0}(d y)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int|y| d \mu^{0} & \leq K_{0} \text { if } \sigma \in(0,1) \\
\int|y|^{2} d \mu^{0} & \leq K_{0} \text { if } \sigma \in\{1,2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\eta$ be a r.v. such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E} e^{i 2 \pi \xi \cdot \eta}=\exp \left\{\psi_{0}(\xi)\right\}, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\psi_{0}(\xi)=\int\left[e^{-i 2 \pi \xi \cdot y}-1-\chi_{\sigma}(y) i 2 \pi \xi \cdot y\right] \mu^{0}(d y), \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}
$$

Assume $n \geq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int|\xi|^{n}\left[1+1_{n \geq 1} \zeta(\xi)\right]^{d+3} \exp \left\{\phi_{0}(\xi)\right\} d \xi \leq K_{0} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{0}(\xi)=\operatorname{Re} \psi_{0}(\xi), \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$ and

$$
\zeta(\xi)=\int_{|y| \leq 1} \chi_{\sigma}(y)|y|[(|\xi||y|) \wedge 1] \mu^{0}(d y), \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}
$$

Then $\eta$ has a pdf $p_{0}(x), x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$, such that

$$
\sup _{x}\left|\partial^{\beta} p_{0}(x)\right|+\int\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\partial^{\beta} p_{0}(x)\right| d x \leq C \forall|\beta| \leq n
$$

for some $C=C\left(d, K_{0}\right)$.
Proof. By Proposition I.2.5 in [7], $\eta$ has a continuos bounded density

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}(x)=\int e^{-i 2 \pi x \cdot \xi} \exp \left\{\psi_{0}(\xi)\right\} d \xi \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

if

$$
\int \exp \left\{-\phi_{0}(\xi)\right\} d \xi<\infty
$$

The assumption (3.6) implies that for any multiindex $|\beta| \leq n$,

$$
\partial^{\beta} p_{0}(x)=\int e^{-i 2 \pi x \cdot \xi}(-i 2 \pi \xi)^{\beta} \exp \left\{\psi_{0}(\xi)\right\} d \xi, x \in \mathbf{R}^{d},
$$

is a bounded continuous function. The function $\left(1+|x|^{2}\right) \partial^{\beta} p_{0}$ is integrable if

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(-i 2 \pi x_{j}\right)^{d+1}\left(-i 2 \pi x_{k}\right)^{2} \partial^{\beta} p_{0}(x)  \tag{3.8}\\
= & \int \partial_{\xi_{j}}^{d+1} \partial_{\xi_{k}}^{2}\left[e^{-i 2 \pi x \cdot \xi}\right](-i 2 \pi \xi)^{\beta} \exp \left\{\psi_{0}(\xi)\right\} d \xi \\
= & (-1)^{d+3} \int e^{-i x \cdot \xi} \partial_{\xi_{j}}^{d+1} \partial_{\xi_{k}}^{2}\left[(-i 2 \pi \xi)^{\beta} \exp \left\{\psi_{0}(\xi)\right\}\right] d \xi
\end{align*}
$$

is bounded for all $j, k$. Since $\partial^{\mu} \psi_{0}(\xi)$ is bounded for $|\mu| \geq 2$ and

$$
\left|\nabla \psi_{0}(\xi)\right| \leq C(1+\zeta(\xi)), \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}
$$

the boundedness of (3.8) follows from assumption (3.6). Therefore $p_{0}(x)$ has $n$ bounded continuous derivatives and for any multiindex $|\beta| \leq n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\partial^{\beta} p_{0}(x)\right| d x \leq C \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C=C\left(d, K_{0}\right)$.
We will need the following tail estimate.

Lemma 4. Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}$. Assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|z| \leq 1}|z|^{\alpha_{1}} \pi(d z)+\int_{|z|>1}|z|^{\alpha_{2}} \pi(d z) \leq N \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in(0,1]$ if $\sigma \in(0,1) ; \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in(1,2]$ if $\sigma \in(1,2) ; \alpha_{1} \in(1,2]$ and $\alpha_{2} \in[0,1)$ if $\sigma=1$. Let $\zeta_{t}$ be the associated Levy process, that is

$$
\mathbf{E} e^{i 2 \pi \xi \cdot \zeta_{t}}=\exp \{\psi(\xi) t\}, t \geq 0
$$

with

$$
\psi(\xi)=\int\left[e^{i 2 \pi \xi \cdot y}-1-i 2 \pi \chi_{\sigma}(y) y \cdot \xi\right] d \pi, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}
$$

Let $t>0$ and $\mathcal{L}_{t}(d y)$ be the distribution measure of $\zeta_{t}$ on $\mathbf{R}^{d}$. Then for each $\delta>0$ there is a constant $C=C(\delta, N)$ such that

$$
\mathcal{L}_{t}(\{|y|>\delta\}) \leq C t .
$$

Proof. Recall

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \int \chi_{\sigma}(y) y q(d s, d y)+\int_{0}^{t} \int\left(1-\chi_{\sigma}(y)\right) y p(d s, d y), t \geq 0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$p(d s, d y)$ is Poisson point measure with

$$
\mathbf{E} p(d s, d y)=\pi(d y) d s, q(d s, d y)=p(d s, d y)-\pi(d y) d s
$$

Now, $\zeta_{t}=\bar{\zeta}_{t}+\tilde{\zeta}_{t}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\zeta}_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{|y| \leq 1} \chi_{\sigma}(y) y q(d s, d y)+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{|y| \leq 1}\left(1-\chi_{\sigma}(y)\right) y p(d s, d y), \\
& \tilde{\zeta}_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{|y|>1} \chi_{\sigma}(y) y q(d s, d y)+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{|y|>1}\left(1-\chi_{\sigma}(y)\right) y p(d s, d y),
\end{aligned}
$$

$t \geq 0$.
Case 1: $\sigma \in(0,1)$. In this case (3.10) holds with $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in(0,1]$. Then

$$
\left|\bar{\zeta}_{t}\right|^{\alpha_{1}}=\sum_{s \leq t}\left[\left|\bar{\zeta}_{s-}+\Delta \bar{\zeta}_{s}\right|^{\alpha_{1}}-\left|\bar{\zeta}_{s-}\right|^{\alpha_{1}}\right] \leq \sum_{s \leq t}\left|\Delta \bar{\zeta}_{s}\right|^{\alpha_{1}}
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{E}\left|\bar{\zeta}_{t}\right|^{\alpha_{1}} \leq t \int_{|y| \leq 1}|y|^{\alpha_{1}} \pi(d y) \leq N t
$$

Similarly, $\mathbf{E}\left|\tilde{\zeta}_{t}\right|^{\alpha_{2}} \leq N t$.
Case 2: $\sigma \in(1,2)$. In this case, $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in(1,2]$. Then

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[\bar{\zeta}_{t}^{2}\right]=\int_{|y| \leq 1}|y|^{2} \pi(d y) t \leq N t
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[\tilde{\zeta}_{t}\right] \leq 2 t \int_{|y|>1}|y|^{\alpha_{2}} \pi(d y) \leq N t
$$

Case 3: $\sigma=1$. In this case, $\alpha_{1} \in(1,2]$ and $\alpha_{2} \in[0,1)$. Similarly as above, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\left[\bar{\zeta}_{t}^{2}\right] & =t \int_{|y| \leq 1}|y|^{2} \pi(d y) \leq N t \\
\mathbf{E}\left[\tilde{\zeta}_{t}^{\alpha_{2}}\right] & \leq N t
\end{aligned}
$$

The statement is proved.
Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$ and $p(d t, d y)$ be a Poisson point measure on $[0, \infty) \times \mathbf{R}_{0}^{d}$ such that $\mathbf{E} p(d t, d y)=\pi(d y) d t$. Let $q(d t, d y)=p(d t, d y)-\pi(d y) d t$. We associate to $L^{\pi}$ the stochastic process with independent increments

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{t}=Z_{t}^{\pi}=\int_{0}^{t} \int \chi_{\sigma}(y) y q(d s, d y)+\int_{0}^{t} \int\left(1-\chi_{\sigma}(y)\right) y p(d s, d y), t \geq 0 . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Ito formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E} e^{i 2 \pi \xi \cdot \cdot Z_{t}^{\pi}}=\exp \left\{\psi^{\pi}(\xi) t\right\}, t \geq 0, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\psi^{\pi}(\xi):=\int\left[\exp (i 2 \pi \xi \cdot y)-1-i 2 \pi y \cdot \xi \chi_{\sigma}(y)\right] \pi(d y)
$$

Let $\kappa(R), R>0$, be a scaling function, $Z_{t}=Z_{t}^{R}$ be the stochastic process with independent increments associated with $\tilde{\pi}_{R}=\kappa(R) \pi_{R}$, i.e.,

$$
\mathbf{E} e^{i 2 \pi \xi \cdot Z_{t}^{R}}=\exp \left\{\psi^{\tilde{\pi}_{R}}(\xi) t\right\}
$$

with

$$
\psi^{\tilde{\pi}_{R}}(\xi)=\int\left[e^{i 2 \pi \xi \cdot y}-1-i 2 \pi \chi_{\sigma}(y) y \cdot \xi\right] d \tilde{\pi}_{R}, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d} .
$$

Note $Z_{t}^{R}$ and $R^{-1} Z_{\kappa(R) t}^{\pi}, t>0$, have the same distribution.
Lemma 5. Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}, \kappa$ be a scaling function with scaling factor l. Assume

$$
\tilde{\pi}_{R}(d y)=\kappa(R) \pi_{R}(d y) \geq 1_{\{|y| \leq 1\}} \mu^{0}(d y)
$$

with $\mu^{0}$ satisfying the assumptions of Lemma 3 (in particular, (3.6) with $n \geq 0$ and the constant $K_{0}$ ), and Let

$$
\psi_{0}(\xi)=\int\left[e^{-2 \pi \xi \cdot y}-1-\chi_{\sigma}(y) \xi \cdot y\right] \mu^{0}(d y), \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d} .
$$

a) For each $t>0, R>0$, we have $Z_{t}^{R}=\eta_{t}+\eta_{t}^{\prime}$ (in distribution), $\eta_{t}$ and $\tilde{\eta}_{t}$ are independent with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E} e^{i 2 \pi \xi \cdot \eta_{t}}=\exp \left\{\psi_{0}(\xi \gamma(t))\right\}, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mu_{\gamma(t)^{-1}}^{0} \leq t \tilde{\pi}_{R}$, where $\gamma(t)=l^{-1}(t)=\inf (s: l(s) \geq t)$. Moreover, $\eta_{t}=$ $\gamma(t) \eta$ (in distribution), where $\eta$ is a r.v. in Lemma 图.
b)For every $t>0, R>0$, the process $Z_{t}^{R}$ (equivalently $R^{-1} Z_{\kappa(R) t}^{\pi}$ ) has a bounded continuous probability density function

$$
p^{R}(t, x)=\gamma(t)^{-d} \int p_{0}\left(\frac{x-y}{\gamma(t)}\right) P_{t, R}(d y), x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}
$$

where $P_{t, R}(d y)$ is the distribution measure of $\eta_{t}^{\prime}$ on $\mathbf{R}^{d}$ and $p_{0}$ is pdf of $\eta$. Moreover, $p^{R}(t, x)$ has $n$ bounded continuous derivatives such that for any multiindex $|\beta| \leq n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int\left|\partial^{\beta} p^{R}(t, x)\right| d x & \leq \gamma(t)^{-|\beta|} \int\left|\partial^{\beta} p_{0}(x)\right| d x \\
\sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}}\left|\partial^{\beta} p^{R}(t, x)\right| & \leq \gamma(t)^{-d-|\beta|} \sup _{x}\left|\partial^{\beta} p_{0}(x)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

and for any $\alpha \in(0,1)$ such that $|\beta|+\alpha<n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left|\partial^{\alpha} \partial^{\beta} p^{R}(t, x)\right| d x \leq \gamma(t)^{-|\beta|-\alpha} \int\left|\partial^{\alpha} \partial^{\beta} p_{0}(x)\right| d x \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

c) Assume, in addition, that there exist $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ and a constant $N>0$ such that

$$
\int_{|z| \leq 1}|z|^{\alpha_{1}} \tilde{\pi}_{R}(d z)+\int_{|z|>1}|z|^{\alpha_{2}} \tilde{\pi}_{R}(d z) \leq N \forall R>0
$$

where $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in(0,1]$ if $\sigma \in(0,1) ; \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in(1,2]$ if $\sigma \in(1,2) ; \alpha_{1} \in(1,2]$ and $\alpha_{2} \in[0,1)$ if $\sigma=1$. Then for each $a>0$ there is $C=C\left(d, a, N, K_{0}, n\right)$ such that for any multiindex $|\beta| \leq n, R>0, t>0$,

$$
\int_{|x|>a}\left|\partial^{\beta} p^{R}(t, x)\right| d x \leq C\left(\gamma(t)^{2-|\beta|}+t \gamma(t)^{-|\beta|}\right) .
$$

Proof. a) Let $R>0, t>0$. Since $l\left(l^{-1}(t)\right)=t$, we have $\kappa(R) t \geq \kappa\left(R l^{-1}(t)\right)=$ $\kappa(R \gamma(t))$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\pi}_{R} t \geq \kappa(R \gamma(t)) \pi_{R \gamma(t) / \gamma(t)} \geq \mu_{\gamma(t)^{-1}}^{0} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mu_{\gamma(t)^{-1}}^{0}(d y)=\mu^{0}\left(\gamma(t)^{-1} d y\right)$ is the Levy measure of a random variable, denoted $\eta_{t}$, such that (3.14) holds. Let

$$
\psi^{t \tilde{\pi}_{R}}(\xi)=\psi_{0}(\xi \gamma(t))+\psi^{\prime}(\xi), \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}
$$

The inequality (3.16) implies that (see e.g. [2]) $\psi^{\prime}=\psi^{\Pi_{t}}$ with $\Pi_{t}=\tilde{\pi}_{R} t-$ $\mu_{\gamma(t)^{-1}}^{0}$ and $\exp \left\{\psi^{\prime}(\xi)\right\}$ is characteristic function of a random variable $\eta_{t}^{\prime}$ independent of $\eta_{t}$. Obviously the distribution of $Z_{t}^{R}$ coincides with the distribution of the sum $\eta_{t}+\eta_{t}^{\prime}$. If $\eta$ is a r.v. with characteristic function (3.5), then $\eta_{t}=\gamma(t) \eta$ in distribution.
b) First we prove the existence of the probability density function of $\eta$ whose characteristic function is $\exp \left\{\psi_{0}(\xi)\right\}$. Note that $\phi_{0}(\xi)=\operatorname{Re} \psi_{0}(\xi), \xi \in$ $\mathbf{R}^{d}$. Let $t>0$. By part a), $Z_{t}^{R}=\gamma(t) \eta+\eta_{t}^{\prime}$ (in distribution), $\eta$ and $\eta_{t}^{\prime}$ are independent. The pdf of $\eta \gamma(t)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}(t, x)=\gamma(t)^{-d} p_{0}(x / \gamma(t)), x \in \mathbf{R}^{d} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $P_{t, R}(d y)$ be the distribution measure of $\eta_{t}^{\prime}$ on $\mathbf{R}^{d}$. Since $\eta \gamma(t)$ and $\eta_{t}^{\prime}$ are independent, $Z_{t}^{R}$ has a density

$$
p^{R}(t, x)=\int p_{0}(t, x-y) P_{t, R}(d y), x \in \mathbf{R}^{d} .
$$

According to (3.17) (see (3.9) as well), for any $|\beta| \leq n$,

$$
\partial^{\beta} p^{R}(t, x)=\int \partial^{\beta} p_{0}(t, x-y) P_{t, R}(d y)
$$

and, according to Corollary 1 (ii),

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{x, R}\left|\partial^{\beta} p^{R}(t, x)\right| & \leq \gamma(t)^{-d-|\beta|} \sup _{x}\left|\partial^{\beta} p_{0}(x)\right|<\infty  \tag{3.18}\\
\int\left|\partial^{\beta} p^{R}(t, x)\right| d x & \leq \gamma(t)^{-|\beta|} \int\left|\partial^{\beta} p_{0}(x)\right| d x<\infty
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, see Corollary (1) (3.15) follows.
c) Let $a>0,|\beta| \leq n$.

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{|x|>a}\left|\partial^{\beta} p^{R}(t, x)\right| d x & =\gamma(t)^{-d-|\beta|} \int_{|x|>a}\left|\int\left(\partial^{\beta} p_{0}\right)\left(\frac{x-y}{\gamma(t)}\right) P_{t, R}(d y)\right| d x \\
& \leq \iint_{|x-y|>a / 2} \ldots+\iint_{|y|>a / 2} \ldots \\
& \leq C\left[\gamma(t)^{2-|\beta|} \int|x|^{2}\left|\partial^{\beta} p_{0}(x)\right| d x+t \gamma(t)^{-|\beta|}\left|\partial^{\beta} p_{0}\right|_{L_{1}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

because by Lemma 4 there is $C=C(N, a)$ such that

$$
P_{t, R}(|y|>a / 2) \leq C t .
$$

We will need some estimates involving the operators $L^{\pi}$.
Lemma 6. Let $\pi_{0} \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}, \kappa$ be a scaling function with scaling factor l, and $\boldsymbol{D}(\kappa, l)(i)$-(ii) hold for $\pi_{0}$. Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$ be such that

$$
\int_{|z| \leq 1}|z|^{\alpha_{1}} \tilde{\pi}_{R}(d z)+\int_{|z|>1}|z|^{\alpha_{2}} \tilde{\pi}_{R}(d z) \leq N \forall R>0
$$

for some $N_{2}>0$, where $\tilde{\pi}_{R}(d y)=\kappa(R) \pi_{R}(d y)$, and $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ are exponents in assumption $\boldsymbol{D}(\kappa, l)$ for $\pi_{0}$. Let $R>0$ and $p^{R}(t, x), x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$, be pdf of $R^{-1} Z_{\kappa(R) t}^{\pi_{0}}$ (see Lemma (5), $\gamma(t)=l^{-1}(t), t>0$, and $L^{0, R}$ be the operator corresponding to Levy measure $\kappa(R) \pi_{0}(R d y)$. Then there is $C=C\left(d, N_{0}, N\right)$ such that
a)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{|x|>2}\left|L^{\tilde{\pi}_{R}} p^{R}(t, x)\right| d x  \tag{3.19}\\
\leq & C\left[1+1_{\sigma \in(1,2)} \gamma(t)^{-1}+\gamma(t)^{-\alpha_{1}}\left(\gamma(t)^{2}+t\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

for all $t>0$;
b) denoting $I_{2}=\{t>0: \gamma(t)>1\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|L^{\tilde{\pi}_{R}} \nabla p^{R}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L_{1}} \leq C \gamma(t)^{-\left(1+\alpha_{2}\right)}, t \in I_{2} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

c)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|L^{\tilde{\pi}_{R}} L^{0, R} p^{R}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L_{1}} \leq C \gamma(t)^{-2 \alpha_{2}}, t \in I_{2} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. a) For any $t>0$,

$$
\int_{|x|>2}\left|L^{\tilde{\pi}_{R}} p^{R}(t, x)\right| d x \leq \iint_{|x|>2}\left|\nabla_{z}^{\sigma} p^{R}(t, x)\right| d x \pi(d z)
$$

Now, for $|z| \leq 1$, by Lemmas 2 and 5,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|x|>2}\left|\nabla_{z}^{\sigma} p^{R}(t, x)\right| d x \\
\leq & C|z|^{\alpha_{1}}\left(\int_{|x|>1}\left|p^{R}(t, x)\right| d x\right)^{1-\alpha_{1}}\left(\int_{|x|>1}\left|\nabla p^{R}(t, x)\right| d x\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \\
\leq & C|z|^{\alpha_{1}}\left[\gamma(t)^{2}+t\right] \gamma(t)^{-\alpha_{1}} \text { if } \sigma \in(0,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

and by Corollary 3 and Lemma 5,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|x|>2}\left|\nabla_{z}^{\sigma} p^{R}(t, x)\right| d x \\
\leq & C|z|^{\alpha_{1}}\left(\int_{|x|>1}\left|\nabla p^{R}(t, x)\right| d x\right)^{2-\alpha_{1}}\left(\int_{|x|>1}\left|D^{2} p^{R}(t, x)\right| d x\right)^{\alpha_{1}-1} \\
\leq & C|z|^{\alpha_{1}}\left[t+\gamma(t)^{2}\right] \gamma(t)^{\alpha_{1}} \text { if } \sigma \in[1,2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $|z|>1$,

$$
\int_{|x|>2}\left|\nabla_{z}^{\sigma} p^{R}(t, x)\right| d x \leq 2 \text { if } \sigma \in(0,1]
$$

and

$$
\int_{|x|>2}\left|\nabla_{z}^{\sigma} p^{R}(t, x)\right| d x \leq C\left(1+|z| \int_{|x|>2}\left|\nabla p^{R}(t, x)\right| d x\right) \text { if } \sigma \in(1,2)
$$

Hence by Lemma (5) c),

$$
\iint_{|x|>2}\left|\nabla_{z}^{\sigma} p^{R}(t, x)\right| d x \pi(d z) \leq C\left[1+\gamma(t)^{-\alpha_{1}}\left(\gamma(t)^{2}+t\right)\right]
$$

if $\sigma \in(0,1]$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iint_{|x|>2}\left|\nabla_{z}^{\sigma} p^{* R}(t, x)\right| d x \pi(d z) \leq & C \gamma(t)^{-\alpha_{1}}\left(\gamma(t)^{2}+t\right) \\
& +C\left(1+\gamma(t)^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\sigma \in(1,2)$.
b) Let $t \in I_{2}$. By Corollary 2 and Lemma 5 b),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|L^{\tilde{\pi}_{R}} \nabla p^{R}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L_{1}} & \leq C\left(\left|D^{2} p^{R}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L_{1}}+\left|\partial^{\alpha_{2}} \nabla p^{R}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L_{1}}\right) \\
& \leq C\left[\gamma(t)^{-2}+\gamma(t)^{-\left(1+\alpha_{2}\right)}\right] \leq C \gamma(t)^{-\left(1+\alpha_{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\sigma \in(0,1)$. Similarly,

$$
\left|L^{R} \nabla p^{R}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L_{1}} \leq C\left[\gamma(t)^{-3}+\gamma(t)^{-\left(1+\alpha_{2}\right)}\right] \leq C \gamma(t)^{-\left(1+\alpha_{2}\right)}
$$

if $\sigma=1$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|L^{R} \nabla p^{R}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L_{1}} & \leq C\left(\left|D^{3} p^{R}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L_{1}}+\left|\partial^{\alpha_{2}-1} D^{2} p^{R}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L_{1}}\right) \\
& \leq C\left[\gamma(t)^{-3}+\gamma(t)^{-\left(1+\alpha_{2}\right)}\right] \leq C \gamma(t)^{-\left(1+\alpha_{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\sigma \in(1,2)$.
c) Let $t \in I_{2}$, i.e., $\gamma(t)>1$. By Corollary 2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|L^{\tilde{\pi}_{R}} L^{0, R} p^{R}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L_{1}} \\
\leq & C\left(\left|L^{0, R} \nabla p^{R}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L_{1}}+\left|L^{0, R} \partial^{\alpha_{2}} p^{R}(t, \cdot) v\right|_{L_{1}}\right) \\
\leq & C\left(\left|D^{2} p^{R}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L_{1}}+\left|\partial^{\alpha_{2}} \nabla p^{R}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L_{1}}+\left|\partial^{\alpha_{2}} \partial^{\alpha_{2}} p^{R}(t, \cdot) v\right|_{L_{1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\sigma \in(0,1)$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|L^{\tilde{\pi}_{R}} L^{0, R} p^{R}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L_{1}} \\
\leq & C\left(\left|L^{0, R} D^{2} p^{R}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L_{1}}+\left|L^{0, R} \partial^{\alpha_{2}} p^{R}(t, \cdot) v\right|_{L_{1}}\right) \\
\leq & C\left(\left|D^{4} p^{R}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L_{1}}+\left|\partial^{\alpha_{2}} D^{2} p^{R}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L_{1}}+\left|\partial^{\alpha_{2}} \partial^{\alpha_{2}} p^{R}(t, \cdot) v\right|_{L_{1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\sigma=1$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|L^{\tilde{\pi}_{R}} L^{0, R} p^{R}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L_{1}} \\
\leq & C\left(\left|L^{0, R} D^{2} p^{R}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L_{1}}+\left|L^{0, R} \partial^{\alpha_{2}-1} \nabla p^{R}(t, \cdot) v\right|_{L_{1}}\right) \\
\leq & C\left(\left|D^{4} p^{R}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L_{1}}+\left|\partial^{\alpha_{2}-1} D^{3} p^{R}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L_{1}}+\left|\partial^{\alpha_{2}-1} \partial^{\alpha_{2}-1} D^{2} p^{R}(t, \cdot) v\right|_{L_{1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\sigma \in(1,2)$. The estimate (3.21) follows by Lemma 5 .
3.3. Estimates of $\psi^{\pi}$. We present now some properties of the functions $\psi^{\pi}(\xi), \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$, with $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$.

Lemma 7. Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$ and $\kappa(R), R>0$, be a scaling function, and $\tilde{\pi}_{R}(d y)=\kappa(R) \pi(R d y)$.
a) Assume there is $N_{1}>0$ so that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int(|y| \wedge 1) \tilde{\pi}_{R}(d y) & \leq N_{2} \text { if } \sigma \in(0,1)  \tag{3.22}\\
\int\left(|y|^{2} \wedge 1\right) \tilde{\pi}_{R}(d y) & \leq N_{2} \text { if } \sigma=1 \\
\int_{|y| \leq 1}|y|^{2} \tilde{\pi}_{R}(d y)+\int_{|y|>1}|y| \tilde{\pi}_{R}(d y) & \leq N_{2} \text { if } \sigma \in(1,2)
\end{align*}
$$

for any $R>0$. Then there is a constant $C_{1}$ so that for all $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int[1-\cos (2 \pi \xi y)] \pi(d y) & \leq C_{1} N_{2} \kappa\left(|\xi|^{-1}\right)^{-1} \\
\int\left|\sin (2 \pi \xi \cdot y)-2 \pi \chi_{\sigma}(y) \xi \cdot y\right| \pi(d y) & \leq C_{1} N_{2} \kappa\left(|\xi|^{-1}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

assuming $\kappa\left(|\xi|^{-1}\right)^{-1}=0$ if $\xi=0$.
b) Assume there is a $n_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|y| \leq 1}|\xi \cdot y|^{2} \tilde{\pi}_{R}(d y) \geq n_{1} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $R>0$ and $\xi \in S_{d-1}=\left\{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}:|\xi|=1\right\}$. Then there is a constant $c_{2}=c_{2}(l)>0$ such that

$$
\int[1-\cos (2 \pi \xi y)] \pi(d y) \geq c_{2} n_{1} \kappa\left(|\xi|^{-1}\right)^{-1}
$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$, assuming $\kappa\left(|\xi|^{-1}\right)^{-1}=0$ if $\xi=0$.
Proof. The following simple trigonometric estimates hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
|\sin x-x| & \leq \frac{|x|^{3}}{6}, 1-\cos x \leq \frac{1}{2} x^{2}, x \in \mathbf{R}  \tag{3.24}\\
1-\cos x & \geq \frac{x^{2}}{\pi} \text { if }|x| \leq \pi / 2
\end{align*}
$$

a) Let $\xi \neq 0$. Denoting $\hat{\xi}=\xi /|\xi|$, and using (3.24),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int|1-\cos (2 \pi \hat{\xi}|\xi| y)| \pi(d y) & =\kappa\left(|\xi|^{-1}\right)^{-1} \int|1-\cos (2 \pi \hat{\xi} \cdot y)| \tilde{\pi}_{|\xi|^{-1}}(d y) \\
& \leq \kappa\left(|\xi|^{-1}\right)^{-1} 2 \pi^{2} \int\left(|y|^{2} \wedge 1\right) \tilde{\pi}_{|\xi|^{-1}}(d y)
\end{aligned}
$$

and there is $C_{1}$ so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int\left|\sin (2 \pi \xi \cdot y)-2 \pi \chi_{\sigma}(y) \xi \cdot y\right| \pi(d y) \\
= & \kappa\left(|\xi|^{-1}\right)^{-1} \int\left|\sin (2 \pi \hat{\xi} \cdot y)-2 \pi \chi_{\sigma}(y) \hat{\xi} \cdot y\right| \tilde{\pi}_{|\xi|^{-1}}(d y) \\
\leq & C_{1} N_{2} \kappa\left(|\xi|^{-1}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$.
b) By (3.24), for all $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int[1-\cos (2 \pi \xi \cdot y)] \pi(d y) \\
= & \int[1-\cos (2 \pi \hat{\xi} \cdot y)] \pi_{|\xi|^{-1}}(d y) \geq \int_{|y| \leq \frac{1}{4}} 4 \pi|\hat{\xi} \cdot y|^{2} \pi_{|\xi|^{-1}}(d y) \\
= & 4^{-1} \int_{|4 y| \leq 1} \pi|\hat{\xi} \cdot 4 y|^{2} \pi_{|\xi|^{-1}}(d y)=4^{-1} \kappa\left(|4 \xi|^{-1}\right)^{-1} \int_{|y| \leq 1} \pi|\hat{\xi} \cdot y|^{2} \tilde{\pi}_{|4 \xi|^{-1}}(d y) \\
\geq & n_{1} 4^{-1} \pi \kappa\left(|\xi|^{-1}\right)^{-1} \frac{\kappa\left(|\xi|^{-1}\right)}{\kappa\left(|4 \xi|^{-1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $l$ be a scaling factor of $\kappa$, i.e., $\kappa(\varepsilon R) \leq l(\varepsilon) \kappa(R), \varepsilon, R>0$. Then

$$
\frac{\kappa\left(|\xi|^{-1}\right)}{\kappa\left(|4 \xi|^{-1}\right)} \geq \frac{1}{l\left(4^{-1}\right)}>0 \forall \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}
$$

The claim follows.
For $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\psi}(\xi) & =\tilde{\psi}^{\pi}(\xi)=\int[\cos (2 \pi \xi y)-1] \pi(d y)=\operatorname{Re} \psi^{\pi}(\xi) \\
\varphi(\xi) & =\varphi^{\pi}(\xi)=\int\left[\sin (2 \pi \xi \cdot y)-2 \pi \chi_{\sigma}(y) \xi \cdot y\right] \pi(d y)=\operatorname{Im} \psi^{\pi}(\xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$. An obvious consequence of Lemma 7 (note $\psi^{\pi^{*}}(\xi)=\psi^{\pi}(-\xi), \xi \in$ $\mathbf{R}^{d}$ ) is the following
Corollary 4. Let $\kappa$ be a scaling function with scaling factor $l$ and both assumptions, (3.22) and (3.23), of Lemma 7 hold for $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$. Then there is constant $c=c\left(n_{1}, N_{1}, l\right)>0$ such that

$$
c\left|\psi^{\pi}(\xi)\right| \leq\left|\tilde{\psi}^{\pi}(\xi)\right| \leq\left|\psi^{\pi}(\xi)\right|, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}
$$

and $\left|\varphi^{\pi}(\xi)\right| \leq c^{-1}\left|\tilde{\psi}^{\pi}(\xi)\right|, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$.
Note that it implies

$$
c\left|\psi^{\pi^{*}}(\xi)\right| \leq\left|\tilde{\psi}^{\pi}(\xi)\right|=\left|\tilde{\psi}^{\pi}(-\xi)\right| \leq\left|\psi^{\pi^{*}}(\xi)\right|, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}
$$

where $\pi^{*}(d y)=\pi(-d y)$.

## 4. Proof of the main results

In this section we prove the main results in three steps. First we prove the existence and uniqueness of classical solutions for smooth input functions. Then we derive $|u|_{L^{\pi_{0}}}$-norm estimates with constants independent of the regularity of the input function. Finally, continuity estimate of $L^{\pi}$ with
respect to $|u|_{L^{\pi_{0}}}$-norm allows to pass to the limit and derive the results for the input function $f \in L_{p}$.
4.1. Existence and uniqueness for smooth input functions. For $E=$ $[0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^{d}$, we denote by $\tilde{C}^{\infty}(E)$ the space of all measurable functions $f$ on $E$ such that for any multiindex $\gamma \in \mathbf{N}_{0}^{d}$ and for all $1 \leq p<\infty$

$$
\sup _{(t, x) \in E}\left|D^{\gamma} f(t, x)\right|+\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left|D^{\gamma} f(t, \cdot)\right|_{L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)}<\infty
$$

Similarly, let $\tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ be the space of all measurable functions $f$ on $\mathbf{R}^{d}$ such that for any multiindex $\gamma \in \mathbf{N}_{0}^{d}$ and for all $1 \leq p<\infty$

$$
\sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}}\left|D^{\gamma} f(x)\right|+\left|D^{\gamma} f\right|_{L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)}<\infty
$$

Next we suppose that $f \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}(E)$ and derive some estimates for the solution.
Lemma 8. Let $f \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}(E)$ then there is unique $u \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}(E)$ solving (1.1). Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \mathbf{E} f\left(s, x+Z_{t-s}^{\pi}\right) d s,(t, x) \in E \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $p \in[1, \infty]$ and any mutiindex $\gamma \in \mathbf{N}_{0}^{d}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|D^{\gamma} u\right|_{L_{p}(E)} & \leq \rho_{\lambda}\left|D^{\gamma} f\right|_{L_{p}(E)}  \tag{4.2}\\
\left|D^{\gamma} u(t)\right|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} & \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left|D^{\gamma} f(s)\right|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} d s, t \geq 0 \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho_{\lambda}=(1 / \lambda) \wedge T$.
Proof. Denote $Z_{t}=Z_{t}^{\pi}, t \geq 0$. Uniqueness. Let $u_{1}, u_{2} \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}(E)$ solve (1.1) and $u=u_{1}-u_{2}$. Then $u$ solve (1.1) with $f=0$. Let $(t, x) \in E$. By Ito formula for $e^{\lambda(t-s)} u\left(t-s, x+Z_{s}\right), 0 \leq s \leq t$, we have

$$
-u(t, x)=\mathbf{E} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\lambda(t-s)}\left[-\partial_{t}+L^{\pi}-\lambda\right] u\left(t-s, x+Z_{s}\right) d s=0
$$

Hence $u(t, x)=0$ for all $(t, x) \in E$.
Existence. Let $f \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}(E)$. Set

$$
u(t, x)=\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \mathbf{E} f\left(s, x+Z_{t-s}^{\pi}\right) d s,(t, x) \in E
$$

Then

$$
D^{\gamma} u(t, x)=\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \mathbf{E} D^{\gamma} f\left(s, x+Z_{t-s}^{\pi}\right) d s,(t, x) \in E
$$

and (4.3) follows.
By Hölders inequality for $\lambda>0$,

$$
\left|D^{\gamma} u\right|_{L_{p}(T)}^{p} \leq \lambda^{-p} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{t} \lambda e^{-\lambda(t-s)}\left|D^{\gamma} f(s, \cdot)\right|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}^{p} d s d t \leq \lambda^{-p}\left|D^{\gamma} f\right|_{L_{p}(T)}^{p}
$$

By Hölder inequality for $\lambda \geq 0$,

$$
\left|D^{\gamma} u\right|_{L_{p}(T)}^{p} \leq \int_{0}^{T} t^{p-1} \int_{0}^{t}\left|D^{\gamma} f(s, \cdot)\right|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}^{p} d s d t \leq \frac{T^{p}}{p}\left|D^{\gamma} f\right|_{L_{p}(T)}^{p}
$$

We fix $s \in[0, T], x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$, and applying Ito formula with $e^{-\lambda r} f(s, x+\cdot)$ and $Z_{r}, 0 \leq r \leq t-s$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{-\lambda(t-s)} f\left(s, x+Z_{t-s}\right) \\
= & f(s, x)+\int_{0}^{t-s} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{0}} e^{-\lambda r}\left[f\left(s, x+Z_{r}+y\right)-f\left(s, x+Z_{r-}\right)\right] q(d r, d y) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t-s} e^{-\lambda r}\left(L^{\pi}-\lambda\right) f\left(s, x+Z_{r}\right) d r
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking expectation on both sides, and integrating with respect to $s$, we obtain by Fubini's theorem for each $(t, x) \in E$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \mathbf{E} f\left(s, x+Z_{t-s}\right) d s \\
= & \int_{0}^{t} f(s, x) d s+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t-s} e^{-\lambda r} \mathbf{E}\left[L^{\pi}-\lambda\right] f\left(s, x+Z_{r}\right) d r d s \\
= & \int_{0}^{t} f(s, x) d s+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{s}^{t} e^{-\lambda(r-s)} \mathbf{E}\left[L^{\pi}-\lambda\right] f\left(s, x+Z_{r-s}\right) d r d s \\
= & \int_{0}^{t} f(s, x) d s+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{r} e^{-\lambda(r-s)} \mathbf{E}\left[L^{\pi}-\lambda\right] f\left(s, x+Z_{r-s}\right) d s d r
\end{aligned}
$$

Since for each $(r, x) \in E$

$$
\int_{0}^{r} e^{-\lambda(r-s)} \mathbf{E} L^{\pi} f\left(s, x+Z_{r-s}\right) d s=L^{\pi} u(r, x)
$$

it follows that for each $(t, x) \in E$,

$$
u(t, x)=\int_{0}^{t} f(s, x) d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left[L^{\pi} u(r, x)-\lambda u(r, x)\right] d r
$$

The statement follows.
Similarly we can handle the problem (1.2).
Lemma 9. Let $f \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ then there is unique $u \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ solving (1.2). Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \mathbf{E} f\left(x+Z_{t}^{\pi}\right) d t, x \in \mathbf{R}^{d} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $p \in[1, \infty]$ and any mutiindex $\gamma \in \mathbf{N}_{0}^{d}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D^{\gamma} u\right|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} \leq(1 / \lambda)\left|D^{\gamma} f\right|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Denote $Z_{t}=Z_{t}^{\pi}, t \geq 0$. Uniqueness. Let $u_{1}, u_{2} \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}(E)$ solve (1.1) and $u=u_{1}-u_{2}$. Then $u$ solve (1.1) with $f=0$. Let $x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$. By Ito formula for $e^{-\lambda t} u\left(x+Z_{t}\right), 0 \leq s \leq t$, we have

$$
e^{-\lambda t} \mathbf{E} u\left(x+Z_{t}\right)-u(x)=\mathbf{E} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda s}\left[L^{\pi} u\left(x+Z_{s}\right)-\lambda u\left(x+Z_{s}\right)\right] d s=0 .
$$

Passing to the limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain that $u(x)=0$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$.
Existence. Let $f \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. Set

$$
u(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \mathbf{E} f\left(x+Z_{t}^{\pi}\right) d t, x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}
$$

By direct estimate using Hölder inequality, as in Lemma 8, (4.5) readily follows, i.e. $u \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. We fix $x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$, and applying Ito formula with $e^{-\lambda t} f\left(x+Z_{t}\right), 0 \leq t$, we have for all $t>0, x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$,

$$
e^{-\lambda t} \mathbf{E} f\left(x+Z_{t}\right)=f(x)+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda s} \mathbf{E}\left(L^{\pi}-\lambda\right) f\left(s, x+Z_{s}\right) d s
$$

Passing to the limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$
L^{\pi} u(x)-\lambda u(x)+f(x)=0, x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}
$$

The statement follows.
An obvious consequence of Lemma 9 is
Corollary 5. Let $f \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ and

$$
u(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \mathbf{E} f\left(x+Z_{t}^{\pi}\right) d t, x \in \mathbf{R}^{d} .
$$

Then $u \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{\pi} u(x) & =L^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \mathbf{E} f\left(x+Z_{t}^{\pi}\right) d t=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \mathbf{E} L^{\pi} f\left(x+Z_{t}^{\pi}\right) d t \\
\left(L^{\pi}-\lambda\right) u(x) & =\left(L^{\pi}-\lambda\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \mathbf{E} f\left(x+Z_{t}^{\pi}\right) d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \mathbf{E}\left(L^{\pi}-\lambda\right) f\left(x+Z_{t}^{\pi}\right) d t=-f(x), x \in \mathbf{R}^{d} .
\end{aligned}
$$

4.2. $L_{2}$-estimates. We derive first some $L_{2}$-estimates independent of the regularity of $f$. Given $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$, let

$$
\tilde{\psi}=\tilde{\psi}^{\pi}=\operatorname{Re} \psi^{\pi}(\xi)=\int[\cos (2 \pi y \cdot \xi)-1] \pi(d y), \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d} .
$$

Let for $v \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ or $v \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}(E)$ we define

$$
|v|_{\tilde{\psi}^{2} 2}=\left|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \tilde{\psi}^{\pi} \hat{v}\right|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} \text { or }|v|_{\tilde{\psi}, 2 ; E}=\left|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \tilde{\psi}^{\pi} \hat{v}\right|_{L_{2}(E)} ;
$$

in the case $v \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}(E), \hat{v}$ denotes Fourier transform in $x$.

Lemma 10. Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$.
a) Let $f \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}(E)$ and $u \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}(E)$ be the unique solution to (??), defined in Lemma [8. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{\tilde{\psi}, 2 ; E} \leq|f|_{L_{2}(T)} . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{L_{2}(T)} \leq\left(\lambda^{-1} \wedge T\right)|f|_{L_{2}(T)},|u(t)|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} \leq \int_{0}^{t}|f(s)|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} d s, t \geq 0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $t \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \iint[u(s, x+y)-u(s, x)]^{2} \pi(d y) d x d s \leq\left(\int_{0}^{t}|f(s)|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} d s\right)^{2} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

b) Let $f \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ and $v \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ be the unique solution to (1.2), defined in Lemma 9. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|v|_{\tilde{\psi}_{, 2}} \leq|f|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|v|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} \leq(1 / \lambda)|f|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint[v(x+y)-v(x)]^{2} \pi(d y) d x \leq \frac{2}{\lambda}|f|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}^{2} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. a) Let $f \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}(E)$. Taking Fourier transform in $x$ in the representation (4.1) we find that

$$
\hat{u}(t, \xi)=\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \exp \left\{\psi^{\pi}(\xi)(t-s)\right\} \hat{f}(s, \xi) d s,(t, \xi) \in E .
$$

Hence, by Hölder inequality, for any $(t, \xi) \in E$,

$$
\left|\tilde{\psi}^{\pi}(\xi) \hat{u}(t, \xi)\right|^{2} \leq\left|\tilde{\psi}^{\pi}(\xi)\right| \int_{0}^{t} \exp \left\{\tilde{\psi}^{\pi}(\xi)(t-s)\right\}|\hat{f}(s, \xi)|^{2} d s
$$

and, by Fubini theorem,

$$
\left|\tilde{\psi}^{\pi} \hat{u}\right|_{L_{2}(T)}^{2} \leq|\hat{f}|_{L_{2}(T)}
$$

The inequality (4.7) is derived in Lemma 8 We derive the remaining inequalities using chain rule and integrating. For any $(t, x) \in E$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)^{2}=2 \int_{0}^{t} u(s, x)\left[L^{\pi} u(s, x)-\lambda u(s, x)+f(s, x)\right] d s \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for any $(s, x) \in E, y \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 u(s, x)\left[u(s, x+y]-u(s, x)-\chi_{\sigma}(y) y \cdot \nabla u(s, x)\right] \\
= & -[u(s, x+y)-u(s, x)]^{2}  \tag{4.13}\\
& +u(s, x+y)^{2}-u(s, x)^{2}-\chi_{\sigma}(y) y \cdot \nabla\left[u(s, x)^{2}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4.13) and integrating both sides of (4.12) in $x$, we have for any $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |u(t)|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} \iint[u(s, x+y)-u(s, x)]^{2} \pi(d y) d x d s \\
\leq & 2 \int_{0}^{t} \int f(s, x) u(s, x) d x d s \leq 2 \int_{0}^{t}|f(s)|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}|u(s)|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} \\
\leq & \left(\int_{0}^{t}|f(s)|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} d s\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and (4.8) follows.
b) Let $f \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. Taking Fourier transform in (4.4) we find that

$$
\hat{v}(\xi)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \exp \left\{\psi^{\pi}(\xi) t\right\} \hat{f}(\xi) d t, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}
$$

Hence for any $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$,

$$
\left|\tilde{\psi}^{\pi}(\xi) \hat{v}(\xi)\right|^{2} \leq\left|\tilde{\psi}^{\pi}(\xi)\right| \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left\{\tilde{\psi}^{\pi}(\xi) t\right\}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d t \leq|\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2}
$$

and

$$
\left|\tilde{\psi}^{\pi} \hat{v}\right|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}^{2} \leq|\hat{f}|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

Inequality (4.10) was derived in Lemma 9 . Multiplying both sides of (5.1) by $2 v$ and integrating as in the part a), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iint[v(x+y)-v(x)]^{2} \pi(d y) d x \\
\leq & 2|v|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}|f|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} \leq \frac{2}{\lambda}|f|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 4. For $v \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$, by Plancherel's equality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iint[v(x+y)-v(x)]^{2} \pi(d y) d x & =\iint\left|e^{i 2 \pi \xi \cdot y}-1\right|^{2} \pi(d y)|\hat{v}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi \\
& =2 \int\left(-\tilde{\psi}^{\pi}(\xi)\right)|\hat{v}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi \\
& =2|\sqrt{-\tilde{\psi} \hat{v}}|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}^{2}=2|v|_{(-\tilde{\psi})^{1 / 2}, 2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $|v|_{(-\tilde{\psi})^{1 / 2}, 2}=\left|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \sqrt{-\tilde{\psi}} \hat{v}\right|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}$.
4.3. Continuity of $L^{\pi}$ and proof of Theorem 1. We show first the $L_{2}$ continuity as follows.

Lemma 11. Let $\pi, \pi_{0} \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$. Assume there is $C_{0}>0$ so that

$$
\left|\psi^{\pi}(\xi)\right| \leq C_{0}\left|\psi^{\pi_{0}}(\xi)\right|, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|L^{\pi} \varphi\right|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C_{0}\left|L^{\pi_{0}} \varphi\right|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}, \varphi \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. By Plancherel equality,

$$
\left|L^{\pi} \varphi\right|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}=\left|\psi^{\pi} \hat{\varphi}\right|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C_{0}\left|\psi^{\pi 0} \hat{\varphi}\right|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}=C_{0}\left|L^{\pi_{0}} \varphi\right|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} .
$$

Let $\pi_{0} \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}, \kappa$ be a scaling function with a scaling factor $l$ and $\mathbf{D}(\kappa, l)(\mathrm{i})$ (ii) hold for $\pi_{0}$. Let $\gamma(t)=\inf (s>0: l(s)>t), t>0$. According to Lemma 55, for each $t>0$, the associated Levy process $Z_{t}^{\pi_{0}}$ has a bounded probability density function $p^{\pi_{0}}(t, x), x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$. Also, $\int\left|\nabla p^{\pi_{0}}(t, x)\right| d x \leq C \gamma(t)^{-1}, t>0$, if $\sigma \in(1,2)$.

Define for $v \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right), \lambda>0, \varepsilon \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} v(x) & =\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \mathbf{E} v\left(x+Z_{t}^{\pi_{0}}\right) d t=\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \int e^{-\lambda t} v(x+y) p^{\pi_{0}}(t, y) d y d t \\
& =\int v(x-y) \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} p^{\pi_{0}^{*}}(t, y) d t d y \\
& =\int v(y) \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} p^{\pi_{0}^{*}}(t, x-y) d t d y, x \in \mathbf{R}^{d} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} v(x) & =L^{\pi} K_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} v(x)=\int L^{\pi} v(x-y) \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} p^{\pi_{0}^{*}}(t, y) d t d y \\
& =\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \int L^{\pi} p^{\pi_{0}^{*}}(t, x-y) v(y) d y d t  \tag{4.15}\\
& =\int m_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(x-y) v(y) d y, v \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
m^{\varepsilon}(x)=m_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(x)=\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} L^{\pi} p^{\pi_{0}^{*}}(t, x) d t, x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}
$$

Note that according to Lemma [5b) and Corollaries 2 and 1 ,

$$
\int\left|m_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right| d x<\infty
$$

Lemma 12. Let $\pi_{0} \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}, \kappa$ be a scaling function with scaling factor $l$, and $\boldsymbol{D}(\kappa, l)$ hold for $\pi_{0}$. Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$ be such that

$$
\int_{|z| \leq 1}|z|^{\alpha_{1}} \tilde{\pi}_{R}(d z)+\int_{|z|>1}|z|^{\alpha_{2}} \tilde{\pi}_{R}(d z) \leq N \forall R>0
$$

where $\tilde{\pi}_{R}(d y)=\kappa(R) \pi_{R}(d y)$, and $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ are exponents in assumption $\boldsymbol{D}(\kappa, l)$ for $\pi_{0}$.

Then for each $p \in(1, \infty)$ there is a constant $C=C\left(d, p, \kappa, l, N_{0}, N, N_{1}, c_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\left|T_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} v\right|_{L_{p}} \leq C|v|_{L_{p}}, v \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)
$$

Proof. 1. First we prove the statement for $p=2$. Observe that

$$
\widehat{m_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}}(\xi)=\psi^{\pi}(\xi) \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \exp \left\{\psi^{\pi_{0}^{*}}(\xi) t-\lambda t\right\} d t, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}
$$

Hence by Lemma 7 and Corollary 4 there is $C=C\left(N, c_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\left|\widehat{m_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}}(\xi)\right| \leq C N_{2}\left|\psi^{\pi_{0}^{*}}(\xi)\right| \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left\{\tilde{\psi}^{\pi_{0}^{*}}(\xi) t-\lambda t\right\} d t \leq C N_{2}
$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$, and

$$
\left|T_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} v\right|_{L_{2}} \leq C|v|_{L_{2}}, v \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) .
$$

2. Since we already have an $L_{2}$-estimate, according to Theorem 3 of Chapter I in [8], it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|x| \geq 3|s|}\left|m_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(x-s)-m_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(x)\right| d x \leq C, \forall s \neq 0 . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $s \neq 0, R=|s|$. Changing the variable in (4.16), we see that we have to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{d} \int_{|x| \geq 3}\left|m_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(R(x-\hat{s}))-m_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(R x)\right| d x \leq C, \quad|\hat{s}|=1, R>0 . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p^{* R}$ be the pdf corresponding to the Levy measure $\kappa(R) \pi_{0}^{*}(R d y)$, and let $L^{R}=L^{\tilde{\pi}_{R}}$ with $\tilde{\pi}_{R}=\kappa(R) \pi(R d y)$. For $R>0$, changing the variables of integration, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(R x) & =\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t}\left(\nabla_{y}^{\sigma} p_{0}^{\pi_{0}^{*}}\right)(t, R x) \pi^{\varepsilon}(d y) d t \\
& =\int_{\varepsilon / \kappa(R)}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda \kappa(R) t}\left(\nabla_{R y}^{\sigma} p_{0}^{\pi_{0}^{*}}\right)(\kappa(R) t, R x) \widetilde{\pi}_{R}(d y) d t \\
& =\int_{\varepsilon / \kappa(R)}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda \kappa(R) t} R^{-d}\left(L^{R} p^{* R}\right)(t, x) d t, x \in \mathbf{R}^{d} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to prove (3.11) it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{|x|>3} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|L^{R} p^{* R}(t, x-\hat{s})-L^{R} p^{* R}(t, x)\right| d t d x  \tag{4.18}\\
\leq & C,|\hat{s}|=1, R>0,
\end{align*}
$$

with $C=C=C\left(d, p, \kappa, l, N_{0}, N, N_{1}\right)$.
Let $I_{1}=\{t>0: \gamma(t) \leq 1\}, I_{2}=\{t>0: \gamma(t)>1\}$. Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|x|>3} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|L^{R} p^{* R}(t, x-\hat{s})-L^{R} p^{* R}(t, x)\right| d t d x \\
\leq & \int_{|x|>3} \int_{I_{1}}\left|L^{R} p^{* R}(t, x-\hat{s})-L^{R} p^{* R}(t, x)\right| d t d x \\
& +\int_{|x|>3} \int_{I_{2}}\left|L^{R} p^{* R}(t, x-\hat{s})-L^{R} p^{* R}(t, x)\right| d t d x \\
= & A_{1}+A_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 6

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1} & =\int_{|x|>3} \int_{I_{1}}\left|L^{R} p^{* R}(t, x-\hat{s})-L^{R} p^{* R}(t, x)\right| d t d x \\
& \leq 2 \int_{|x|>2} \int_{I_{1}}\left|L^{R} p^{* R}(t, x)\right| d t d x \\
& \leq C \int_{I_{1}}\left(1+t \gamma(t)^{-\alpha_{1}}+1_{\sigma \in(1,2)} \gamma(t)^{-1}\right) d t \leq C .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Fubini theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{2} & =\int_{|x|>3} \int_{I_{2}}\left|L^{R} p^{* R}(t, x-\hat{s})-L^{R} p^{* R}(t, x)\right| d t d x \\
& \leq \int_{|x|>3} \int_{I_{2}} \int_{0}^{1}\left|L^{R} \nabla p^{* R}(t, x-r \hat{s})\right| d r d t d x \\
& \leq 2 \int_{I_{2}} \int_{|x|>2}\left|L^{R} \nabla p^{* R}(t, x)\right| d x d t \leq 2 \int_{I_{2}}\left|L^{R} \nabla p^{* R}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L_{1}} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 6

$$
\int_{I_{2}}\left|L^{R} \nabla p^{* R}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L_{1}} d t \leq C \int_{I_{2}} \gamma(t)^{-\left(1+\alpha_{2}\right)} d t \leq C .
$$

The statement is proved.
Corollary 6. Let $\pi_{0} \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}, \kappa$ be a scaling function with scaling factor $l$, and $\boldsymbol{D}(\kappa, l)$ hold for $\pi_{0}$. Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$ be such that

$$
\int_{|z| \leq 1}|z|^{\alpha_{1}} \tilde{\pi}_{R}(d z)+\int_{|z|>1}|z|^{\alpha_{2}} \tilde{\pi}_{R}(d z) \leq N \forall R>0,
$$

where $\tilde{\pi}_{R}(d y)=\kappa(R) \pi_{R}(d y)$, and $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ are exponents in assumption $\boldsymbol{D}(\kappa)$ for $\pi_{0}$. Let $v \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ and $u \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ be the unique solution to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L^{\pi_{0}}-\lambda\right) u=v \text { in } \mathbf{R}^{d} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for each $p \in(1, \infty)$ there is a constant $C=C\left(d, p, \kappa, l, N_{0}, N, N_{1}, c_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\left|L^{\pi} u\right|_{L_{p}} \leq C|v|_{L_{p}}
$$

Proof. Let $v \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right), \lambda>0$. There is a unique $u \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ solving (4.19). According to Lemma 9,

$$
L^{\pi} u(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \mathbf{E} L^{\pi} v\left(x+Z_{t}^{\pi_{0}}\right) d t, x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}
$$

By (4.15),

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} v(x) & =\int L^{\pi} v(x-y) \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} p^{\pi_{0}^{*}}(t, y) d t d y  \tag{4.20}\\
& =\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \mathbf{E} L^{\pi} v\left(x+Z_{t}^{\pi_{0}}\right) d t, x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 12, for each $p \in(1, \infty)$ there is a constant $C=C=C\left(d, p, \kappa, l, N_{0}, N, N_{1}, c_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} v\right|_{L_{p}} \leq C|v|_{L_{p}} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Passing to the limit in (4.21) and (4.20) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$
\left|L^{\pi} u\right|_{L_{p}} \leq C|v|_{L_{p}}
$$

Now we prove the continuity of $L^{\pi}$-norm.
Proposition 1. Let $\pi_{0} \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}, \kappa$ be a scaling function with scaling factor $l$, and $\boldsymbol{D}(\kappa, l)$ hold for $\pi_{0}$. Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}$ be such that

$$
\int_{|z| \leq 1}|z|^{\alpha_{1}} \tilde{\pi}_{R}(d z)+\int_{|z|>1}|z|^{\alpha_{2}} \tilde{\pi}_{R}(d z) \leq N \forall R>0
$$

where $\tilde{\pi}_{R}(d y)=\kappa(R) \pi_{R}(d y)$, and $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ are exponents in assumption $\boldsymbol{D}(\kappa)$ for $\pi_{0}$.

Then for each $p \in(1, \infty)$ there is a constant $C=C=C\left(d, p, \kappa, l, N_{0}, N, N_{1}, c_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\left|L^{\pi} f\right|_{L_{p}} \leq C\left|L^{\pi_{0}} f\right|_{L_{p}}, f \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right), \lambda>0$. Then $v=\left(L^{\pi_{0}}-\lambda\right) f \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$, and, by Corollary 6, there is $C=C\left(d, p, \kappa, \mu^{0}, N_{2}, N, C_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\left|L^{\pi} f\right|_{L_{p}} \leq C|v|_{L_{p}}=C\left|\left(L^{\pi_{0}}-\lambda\right) f\right|_{L_{p}}
$$

Since $C$ does not depend on $\lambda>0$, the statement follows.
4.3.1. Proof of Theorem 1. Existence. Let $f \in L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. There is a sequence $f_{n} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ such that $f_{n} \rightarrow f$ in $L_{p}$. For each $n$, there is unique $u_{n} \in$ $\tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ solving (1.2). Hence

$$
\left(L^{\pi}-\lambda\right)\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right)=f_{n}-f_{m} .
$$

By Corollary 6 and Lemma 9 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|L^{\pi_{0}}\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right)\right|_{L_{p}} & \leq C\left|f_{n}-f_{m}\right|_{L_{p}} \rightarrow 0, \\
\left|u_{n}-u_{m}\right|_{L_{p}} & \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}\left|f_{n}-f_{m}\right|_{L_{p}} \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Hence there is $u \in H_{p}^{\pi_{0}}$ so that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $H_{p}^{\pi_{0}}$. Using Proposition 1, we can pass to the limit in $\left(L^{\pi}-\lambda\right) u_{n}=f_{n}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Obviously, $\left(L^{\pi}-\lambda\right) u=f$ in $L_{p}$.

Uniqueness. Assume $u_{1}, u_{2} \in H_{p}^{\pi_{0}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ solve (1.2). Then $u=u_{1}-u_{2} \in$ $H_{p}^{\pi_{0}}$ solves $\left(L^{\pi}-\lambda\right) u=0$, i.e. $\forall \varphi \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$

$$
\int \varphi\left(L^{\pi}-\lambda\right) u=\int u\left(L^{\pi^{*}}-\lambda\right) \varphi d x=0
$$

According to Lemma 9, $\int u f d x=0 \forall f \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. Hence $u=0$ a.e. The statement is proved.
4.4. Proof of Theorem 2, Let $f \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}(E)$ and $u \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}(E)$ be the solution to

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} u & =L^{\pi} u-\lambda u+f \text { in } E,  \tag{4.22}\\
u(0, \cdot) & =0 .
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma囵, the associated process $Z_{t}^{\pi}$ has a density function $p^{\pi}(t, x), x \in$ $\mathbf{R}^{d}$. Then $p^{\pi^{*}}(t, x)=p^{\pi}(t,-x), x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$, is pdf of $Z_{t}^{\pi^{*}}$. By Lemma $\mathbb{8}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(t, x) & =\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \mathbf{E} f\left(s, x+Z_{t-s}^{\pi}\right) d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{t} \int e^{-\lambda(t-s)} f(s, x-y) p^{\pi^{*}}(t-s, y) d y d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{t} \int e^{-\lambda(t-s)} p^{\pi^{*}}(t-s, x-y) f(s, y) d y d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.23) L^{\pi_{0}} u(t, x) & =\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \mathbf{E} L^{\pi_{0}} f\left(s, x+Z_{t-s}^{\pi}\right) d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{t} \int e^{-\lambda(t-s)} L^{\pi_{0}} f(s, x-y) p^{\pi^{*}}(t-s, y) d y d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{t} \int e^{-\lambda(t-s)}\left(L^{\pi_{0}} p^{\pi^{*}}\right)(t-s, x-y) f(s, y) d y d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
L^{\pi_{0}} u(t, x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int e^{-\lambda(t-s)} K(t-s, x-y) \chi_{[0, \infty)}(t-s) f(s, y) d s d y
$$

where $f(s, y)=\chi_{[0, \infty)}(s) f(s, y),(s, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1}$,

$$
K(t, x)=L^{\pi_{0}} p^{\pi^{*}}(t, x) \chi_{[0, \infty)}(t),(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1}
$$

Let $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$,

$$
K^{\varepsilon}(t, x)=L^{\pi_{0}} p^{\pi^{*}}(t, x) \chi_{[\varepsilon, \infty)}(t),(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1}
$$

and consider for $h \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d+1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.24) T_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} h(t, x) & =\int_{-\infty}^{t-\varepsilon} \int e^{-\lambda(t-s)} p^{\pi^{*}}(t-s, x-y) L^{\pi_{0}} h(s, y) d y d s \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int K_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(t-s, x-y) h(s, y) d y d s,(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(t, x)=e^{-\lambda t} K^{\varepsilon}(t, x),(t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{d}=\mathbf{R}^{d+1}$.
Claim 1. For each $p \in(1, \infty)$ there is a constant $C=C\left(d, p, l, N, N_{0}, N_{1}, c_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} h\right|_{L_{p}} \leq C|h|_{L_{p}}, h \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d+1}\right) \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $L_{p}=L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d+1}\right)$.
Proof. We will apply Calderon-Zygmund theorem (see Theorem 5 in Appendix). First we will prove the estimate in $L_{2}$. Then, according to Theorem 5 the proof reduces to verification of Hörmander condition (see below).

1. We start with $p=2$. Obviously,

$$
\left|\widehat{T_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} h}(t, \xi)\right| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)}\left|\psi^{\pi_{0}}(\xi)\right| \exp \left\{\operatorname{Re} \psi^{\pi^{*}}(\xi)(t-s)\right\}|\hat{h}(s, \xi)| d s
$$

$(t, \xi) \in E$. Hence by Hölder inequality, Fubini theorem, Lemma 7 and Corollary 园, we have $\left|\psi^{\pi_{0}}(\xi)\right| \leq C\left|\operatorname{Re} \psi^{\pi^{*}}(\xi)\right|, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$, for some $C=$ $C\left(N, c_{1}, l\right)$ and

$$
\left|\widehat{T_{\lambda}^{\bar{\varepsilon}}}\right|_{L_{2}}^{2} \leq C|h|_{L_{2}(T)}
$$

i.e., (4.25) follows (cf. the proof of Lemma (10).
2. We prove (4.25) for $p \in(1,2)$ using a version of Calderon-Zygmund theorem (Theorem 5 in Appendix). Let $\mathbb{Q}$ be the collection of sets $Q_{\delta}=$ $Q_{\delta}(t, x)=(t-\kappa(\delta), t+\kappa(\delta)) \times B_{\delta}(x),(t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{d}=\mathbf{R}^{d+1}, \delta>0$.

Note
(i) $(t, x) \notin(s-\kappa(c \delta), s+\kappa(c \delta)) \times B_{c \delta}(y) \Longleftrightarrow(t-s, x-y) \notin Q_{c \delta}(0)$ $\Longleftrightarrow|t-s| \geq \kappa(c \delta)$ or $|x-y| \geq c \delta ;$
(ii) $(\bar{s}, \bar{y}) \in Q_{\delta}(s, y) \Longleftrightarrow(\bar{s}-s, \bar{y}-y) \in Q_{\delta}(0) \Longleftrightarrow|s-\bar{s}|<\kappa(\delta)$ and $|y-\bar{y}|<\delta$.

According to Theorem 5, it is enough to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \chi_{Q_{c \delta}(0)^{c}}(t-s, x-y)\left|K_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(t-\bar{s}, x-\bar{y})-K_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(t-s, x-y)\right| d x d t \leq A \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(\bar{s}, \bar{y}) \in Q_{\delta}(s, y) \Longleftrightarrow(\bar{s}-s, \bar{y}-y) \in Q_{\delta}(0)$. Equivalently, we have to prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\int \chi_{Q_{c \delta}(0)^{c}}(t, x) \mid K_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(t-\tilde{s}, x-\tilde{y})\right)-K_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(t, x) \mid d x d t \\
= & \left.\delta^{d} \kappa(\delta) \int \chi_{Q_{c \delta}(0)^{c}}(\kappa(\delta) t, \delta x) \mid K_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon, \delta}(t-\hat{s}, x-\hat{y})\right)-K_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon, \delta}(t, x) \mid d x d t \\
\leq & A \forall|\hat{s}| \leq 1,|\hat{y}| \leq 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
K_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon, \delta}(t, x)=K_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(\kappa(\delta) t, \delta x),(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1}
$$

Fix $c>4$ such that $(\bar{c}-1, \infty) \subseteq I_{2}$ with $\bar{c}=l(1 / c)^{-1}>3$. Let $G=$ $(-\bar{c}, \bar{c}) \times B_{c}(0)$. Since $\chi_{G}(t, x) \leq \chi_{Q_{c \delta}(0)}(\kappa(\delta) t, \delta x)$, it is enough to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\delta^{d} \kappa(\delta) \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d+1}} \chi_{G^{c}}(t, x) \mid K_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon, \delta}(t-\hat{s}, x-\hat{y})\right)-K_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon, \delta}(t, x) \mid d x d t \leq A \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $|\hat{s}| \leq 1,|\hat{y}| \leq 1$. Since

$$
\delta^{d} \kappa(\delta) K_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon, \delta}(t, x)=e^{-\lambda t} L^{0, \delta} p^{\tilde{\pi}_{\delta}^{*}}(t, x) \chi_{(\varepsilon / \kappa(\delta), \infty)}(t),(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1},
$$

with $L^{0, \delta}=L^{\tilde{\pi}_{0 ; \delta}}, \tilde{\pi}_{0 ; \delta}(d y)=\kappa(\delta) \pi_{0}(\delta d y), \tilde{\pi}_{\delta}^{*}(d y)=\kappa(\delta) \pi^{*}(\delta d y)$, we rewrite (4.27) as

$$
\begin{align*}
B= & \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d+1}} \chi_{G^{c}}(t, x) \mid e^{-\lambda(t-\hat{s})} L^{0, \delta} p^{\pi_{\delta}^{*}}(t-\hat{s}, x-\hat{y}) \chi_{(\varepsilon / \kappa(\delta), \infty)}(t-\hat{s}) \\
& -e^{-\lambda t} L^{0, \delta} p^{\pi_{\delta}^{*}}(t, x) \chi_{(\varepsilon / \kappa(\delta), \infty)}(t) \mid d x d t  \tag{4.28}\\
< & A
\end{align*}
$$

Since $G^{c} \subseteq\{(t, x):|t| \leq \bar{c},|x| \geq 3\} \cup\{(t, x):|t| \geq \bar{c}\}=G_{1} \cup G_{2}$,

$$
B \leq \int_{G_{1}} \ldots+\int_{G_{2}} \ldots=B_{1}+B_{2} .
$$

By Lemma 6 a),

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{1} \leq & 2 \int_{0}^{\bar{c}+1} \int_{|x|>2}\left|L^{0, \delta} p^{\pi_{\delta}^{*}}(t, x)\right| d t d x \\
\leq & C \int_{0}^{\bar{c}+1}\left(1+t \gamma(t)^{-\alpha_{1}}+1_{\sigma \in(1,2)} \gamma(t)^{-1}\right) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Estimate of $B_{2}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{2} \\
\leq & \int_{\bar{c}}^{\infty} \int\left|L^{0, \delta} p^{\pi_{\delta}^{*}}(t, x)\right|\left|\chi_{(\varepsilon / \kappa(\delta), \infty)}(t)-\chi_{(\varepsilon / \kappa(\delta), \infty)}(t-\hat{s})\right| d t d x \\
& +\int_{\bar{c}}^{\infty} \int\left|e^{-\lambda(t-\hat{s})} L^{0, \delta} p^{\pi_{\delta}^{*}}(t-\hat{s}, x-\hat{y})-e^{-\lambda t} L^{0, \delta} p^{\pi_{\delta}^{*}}(t, x)\right| d t d x \\
= & B_{21}+B_{22} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $B_{21} \leq C$ by Lemma b). Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{-\lambda(t-\hat{s})} L^{0, \delta} p^{\pi_{\delta}^{*}}(t-\hat{s}, x-\hat{y})-e^{-\lambda t} L^{0, \delta} p^{\pi_{\delta}^{*}}(t, x) \\
= & \int_{0}^{1}\left[-\lambda e^{-\lambda(t-r \hat{s})} L^{0, \delta} p^{\pi_{\delta}^{*}}(t-r \hat{s}, x-r \hat{y})\right. \\
& \left.+e^{-\lambda(t-r \hat{s})} L^{0, \delta} \partial_{t} p^{\pi_{\delta}^{*}}(t-r \hat{s}, x-r \hat{y})\right](-\hat{s}) d r \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} e^{-\lambda(t-r \hat{s})} \hat{y} \cdot L^{0, \delta} \nabla p^{\pi_{\delta}^{*}}(t-r \hat{s}, x-r \hat{y}) d r
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & \int_{\bar{c}}^{B_{22}} \iint_{0}^{1} \lambda e^{-\lambda(t-r \hat{s})}\left|L^{0, \delta} p^{\pi_{\delta}^{*}}(t-r \hat{s}, x-r \hat{y})\right| d r d t d x \\
& +\int_{\bar{c}}^{\infty} \iint_{0}^{1}\left|L^{0, \delta} \partial_{t} p^{\pi_{\delta}^{*}}(t-r s, x-r \hat{y})\right| d r d t d x \\
& +\int_{\bar{c}}^{\infty} \iint_{0}^{1}\left|L^{0, \delta} \nabla p^{\pi_{\delta}^{*}}(t-r \hat{s}, x-r \hat{y})\right| d r d t d x \\
= & b_{1}+b_{2}+b_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 5 b),

$$
b_{1} \leq \int_{\bar{c}-1}^{\infty} \int \lambda e^{-\lambda t}\left|L^{0, \delta} p^{\pi_{\delta}^{*}}(t, x)\right| d t d x \leq C .
$$

Since $\partial_{t} p^{\pi^{*}}(t, x)=L^{\pi} p(t, x), t>0$, we have by Lemma 6 c),

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{2} & =\int_{\bar{c}}^{\infty} \iint_{0}^{1}\left|L^{0, \delta} L^{\pi_{\delta}} p^{\pi_{\delta}^{*}}(t-r s, x-r \hat{y})\right| d r d t d x \\
& \leq \int_{\bar{c}-1}^{\infty} \int\left|L^{0, \delta} L^{\pi_{\delta}} p^{\pi_{\delta}^{*}}(t, x)\right| d t d x \leq C \int_{\bar{c}-1}^{\infty} \gamma(t)^{-2 \alpha_{2}} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, by Lemma 6 b),

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{3} & \leq \int_{\bar{c}-1}^{\infty} \int\left|L^{0, \delta} \nabla p^{\pi_{\delta}^{*}}(t, x)\right| d t d x \\
& \leq C \int_{\bar{c}-1}^{\infty} \gamma(t)^{-1-\alpha_{2}} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Claim is proved for $p \in(1,2)$ by Theorem 5 ,
3. We prove the statement for $p>2$ in a standard way (by duality argument). First note that

$$
L^{\pi_{0}} p^{\pi^{*}}(t,-x)=L^{\pi_{0}^{*}} p^{\pi}(t, x),(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1}
$$

and let

$$
\tilde{K}^{\varepsilon}(t, x)=K^{\varepsilon}(t,-x)=L^{\pi_{0}^{*}} p^{\pi}(t, x) \chi_{[\varepsilon, \infty)}(t),(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{T}_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} g(s, y)=\int e^{-\lambda(s-t)} \tilde{K}^{\varepsilon}(s-t, y-x) g(t, x) d t d x,(s, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1}
$$

Let $1 / p+1 / q=1, h, g \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d+1}\right)$. Then, denoting $\tilde{g}(t, x)=g(-t, x),(t, x) \in$ $\mathbf{R}^{d+1}$, we have (by Fubini theorem and changing the variable of integration)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int T_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} h(t, x) g(t, x) d t d x \\
= & \iint e^{-\lambda(t-s)} K^{\varepsilon}(t-s, x-y) h(s, y) d s d y g(t, x) d t d x \\
= & \iint e^{-\lambda(s-t)} \tilde{K}^{\varepsilon}(s-t, y-x) g(-t, x) d t d x h(-s, y) d s d y \\
= & \int \tilde{T}_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} \tilde{g}(s, y) h(-s, y) d s d y
\end{aligned}
$$

and (4.25) holds for $\tilde{T}_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}$ and $q \in(1,2)$ (see Corollary (4). Hence by Hölder inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int T_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} h(t, x) g(t, x) d t d x\right| \\
\leq & \left|\tilde{T}_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} \tilde{g}\right|_{L_{q}}|h|_{L_{p}} \leq C|g|_{L_{q}}|h|_{L_{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and (4.25) holds for $p>2$ as well, that is for all $p \in(1, \infty)$. The claim is proved.

Now, we see that for $h(t, x)=\chi_{[0, T]}(t) f(t, x)$ with $f \in \tilde{C}(E)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} h(t, x)=\int_{0}^{t-\varepsilon} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \mathbf{E} L^{\pi_{0}} f\left(s, x+Z_{t-s}^{\pi}\right) d s,(t, x) \in E \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}(E)$ solves (4.22), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{\pi_{0}} u(t, x)=\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \mathbf{E} L^{\pi_{0}} f\left(s, x+Z_{t-s}^{\pi}\right) d s \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left|T_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} h-L^{\pi_{0}} u\right|_{L_{p}} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Since the constant $C=C\left(d, p, l, N, N_{0}, N_{1}, c_{1}\right)$ in the above Claim 1 does not depend on $\varepsilon$, passing to the limit in it we get the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|L^{\pi_{0}} u\right|_{L_{p}} \leq C|f|_{L_{p}} \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We finish the proof of Theorem 22 the same way as the proof of Theorem 1. Let $f \in L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. There is a sequence $f_{n} \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}(E)$ such that $f_{n} \rightarrow f$ in $L_{p}(E)$. For each $n$, there is unique $u_{n} \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}(E)$ solving (1.1). Hence

$$
\partial_{t}\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right)=\left(L^{\pi}-\lambda\right)\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right)+f_{n}-f_{m}
$$

By (4.31) and Lemma 8 ,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|L^{\pi_{0}}\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right)\right|_{L_{p}(E)} & \leq C\left|f_{n}-f_{m}\right|_{L_{p}(E)} \rightarrow 0,  \tag{4.32}\\
\left|u_{n}-u_{m}\right|_{L_{p}(E)} & \leq\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \wedge T\right)\left|f_{n}-f_{m}\right|_{L_{p}(E)} \rightarrow 0, \\
\left|u_{n}(t)-u_{m}(t)\right|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} & \leq\left|f_{n}-f_{m}\right|_{L_{p}(E)} \forall t \in[0, T],
\end{align*}
$$

as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Hence there is $u \in \mathcal{H}_{p}^{\pi_{0}}$ so that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $\mathcal{H}_{p}^{\pi_{0}}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \leq T}\left|u_{n}(t)-u(t)\right|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)} \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, according to Proposition [1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|L^{\pi} f\right|_{L_{p}(E)} \leq C\left|L^{\pi_{0}} f\right|_{L_{p}(E)}, f \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}(E) . \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence (see (4.32)-(4.34)) we can pass to the limit in the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}(t)=\int_{0}^{t}\left[L^{\pi} u_{n}(s)-\lambda u_{n}(s)+f_{n}(s)\right] d s, 0 \leq t \leq T \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, (4.35) holds for $u$ and $f$. We proved the existence part of Theorem 2

Uniqueness. Assume $u_{1}, u_{2} \in \mathcal{H}_{p}^{\pi_{0}}$ solve (1.1). Then $u=u_{1}-u_{2} \in$ $\mathcal{H}_{p}^{\pi_{0}}$ solves (4.22) with $f=0$. Now, let $\varphi \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}(E)$, and $\tilde{\varphi}(t, x)=$ $\varphi(T-t, x),(t, x) \in E$. By Lemma 8, there is unique $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}(E)$ solving (4.22) with $f=\tilde{\varphi}$ and $\pi^{*}$ instead of $\pi$. Let $v(t, x)=\tilde{v}(T-t, x),(t, x) \in E$. Then $\partial_{t} v+L^{\pi^{*}} v-\lambda v+\varphi=0$ in $E$ and $v(T)=v(T, \cdot)=0$. Integrating by parts,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{E} \varphi u & =\int_{E} u\left(-\partial_{t} v-L^{\pi^{*}} v+\lambda v\right) \\
& =\int_{E} v\left(\partial_{t} u-L^{\pi} u+\lambda u\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\int_{E} u \varphi d x=0 \forall \varphi \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}(E)$. Hence $u=0$ a.e. Theorem 2 is proved.

## 5. Appendix

Given a function $\kappa:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$, consider the collection $\mathbb{Q}$ of sets $Q_{\delta}=Q_{\delta}(t, x)=(t-\kappa(\delta), t+\kappa(\delta)) \times B_{\delta}(x),(t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{d}=\mathbf{R}^{d+1}, \delta>$ 0 . The volume $\left|Q_{\delta}(t, x)\right|=c_{0} \kappa(\delta) \delta^{d}$. We will need the following assumptions.

A1. $\kappa$ is continuous, $\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \kappa(\delta)=0$ and $\lim _{\delta \rightarrow \infty} \kappa(\delta)=\infty$.

A2. There is a constant $C_{1}$ and a nondecreasing continuous function $l(\varepsilon), \varepsilon>0$, such that $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} l(\varepsilon)=0$ and

$$
\kappa(\varepsilon r) \leq l(\varepsilon) \kappa(r), r>0, \varepsilon>0
$$

Since $Q_{\delta}(t, x)$ not "exactly" increases in $\delta$, we present the basic estimates involving maximal functions based on the system $\mathbb{Q}=\left\{Q_{\delta}\right\}$.
5.1. Vitali Lemma, maximal functions. We start with engulfing property.

Lemma 13. Let $\boldsymbol{A}$ 2 hold. If $Q_{\delta}(t, x) \cap Q_{\delta^{\prime}}(r, z) \neq \emptyset$ with $\delta^{\prime} \leq \delta$, then there is $K_{0} \geq 3$ such that $Q_{K_{0} \delta}(t, x)$ contains both, $Q_{\delta}(t, x)$ and $Q_{\delta^{\prime}}(r, z)$, and

$$
\left|Q_{\delta}(t, x)\right| \leq\left|Q_{K_{0} \delta}(t, x)\right| \leq K_{0}^{d} l\left(K_{0}\right)\left|Q_{\delta}(t, x)\right|
$$

Proof. Let $(s, y) \in Q_{\delta}(t, x) \cap Q_{\delta^{\prime}}(r, z)$ with $\delta^{\prime} \leq \delta$. If $\left(r^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right) \in Q_{\delta^{\prime}}(r, z)$, then $\left|z^{\prime}-x\right| \leq 3 \delta$, and using A2,

$$
\left|r^{\prime}-t\right| \leq\left|r^{\prime}-r\right|+|r-s|+|s-t| \leq 2 \kappa\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)+\kappa(\delta) \leq[2 l(1)+1] \kappa(\delta)
$$

We choose $K_{0} \geq 3$ so that $[2 l(1)+1] l\left(1 / K_{0}\right) \leq 1$. By A2,

$$
[2 l(1)+1] \kappa(\delta) \leq[2 l(1)+1] l\left(1 / K_{0}\right) \kappa\left(K_{0} \delta\right) \leq \kappa\left(K_{0} \delta\right)
$$

Hence $Q_{\delta^{\prime}}(r, z) \subseteq Q_{K_{0} \delta}(t, x)$ and, obviously, $Q_{\delta}(t, x) \subseteq Q_{K_{0} \delta}(t, x)$. Also,

$$
\left|Q_{K_{0} \delta}(t, x)\right|=c_{0} K_{0}^{d} \delta^{d} \kappa\left(K_{0} \delta\right) \leq c_{0} K_{0}^{d} \delta^{d} l\left(K_{0}\right) \kappa(\delta)=K_{0}^{d} l\left(K_{0}\right)\left|Q_{\delta}(t, x)\right|
$$

Now, following 3.1.1 in [8], we prove Vitali covering lemma.
Lemma 14. Let $E \subseteq \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{d}$ be a union of a finite collection $\left\{Q^{\prime}\right\}$ of sets from the system $\left\{Q_{\delta}(t, x):(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1}, \delta>0\right\}$ and $\boldsymbol{A} \mathcal{Z}$ hold.

There is a positive $c=\frac{1}{K_{0}^{d} l\left(K_{0}\right)}$ and a disjoint subcollection $\left\{Q^{k}=Q_{\delta_{k}}\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right), 1 \leq k \leq m\right\}$ such that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left|Q^{k}\right| \geq c|E|
$$

Proof. Let $Q^{1}=Q_{\delta_{1}}\left(t_{1}, x_{1}\right)$ be the set of the collection $\left\{Q^{\prime}\right\}$ with maximal $\delta$. Let $Q^{2}=Q_{\delta_{2}}\left(t_{2}, x_{2}\right)$ be the set with maximal $\delta$ among remaining sets in $\left\{Q^{\prime}\right\}$ that do not intersect $Q^{1}$. According to Lemma 13, $Q_{K_{0} \delta_{1}}\left(t_{1}, x_{1}\right)$ contains $Q^{1}$ and all $Q_{\delta}$ in $\left\{Q^{\prime}\right\}$ that intersect $Q^{1}$ and such that $\delta \leq \delta_{1}$. Continuing we get $Q_{K_{0} \delta_{k}}\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right)$ containing $Q^{k}=Q_{\delta_{k}}\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right)$ and all $Q_{\delta}$ in $\left\{Q^{\prime}\right\}$ that intersect $Q^{k}$ and such that $\delta \leq \delta_{k}$. So we obtain a finite disjoint subcollection $\left\{Q^{k}=Q_{\delta_{k}}\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right), 1 \leq k \leq m\right\}$ such that $\cup_{k=1}^{m} Q_{K_{0} \delta_{k}}\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right) \supseteq Q_{\delta}$ for any $Q^{\delta}$ in $\left\{Q^{\prime}\right\}$. Hence $\cup_{k=1}^{m} Q_{K_{0} \delta_{k}}\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right) \supseteq E$, and by Lemma 13,

$$
|E| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left|Q_{K_{0} \delta_{k}}\right| \leq K_{0}^{d} l\left(K_{0}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left|Q^{k}\right|
$$

Remark 5. The statement of the Lemma 14 still holds if instead of $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\mathcal { Z }}$ we assume that there is a constant $C$ so that $C \kappa(\delta) \geq \kappa\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)$ whenever $\delta \geq \delta^{\prime}$.

Following [8], for a locally integrable function $f(t, x)$ on $\mathbf{R}^{d+1}$ we define

$$
\left(A_{\delta} f\right)(t, x)=\frac{1}{\left|Q_{\delta}(t, x)\right|} \int_{Q_{\delta}(t, x)} f(s, y) d s d y,(t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{d}, \delta>0
$$

and the maximal function of $f$ by

$$
\mathcal{M} f(t, x)=\sup _{\delta>0}\left(A_{\delta}|f|\right)(t, x),(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1}
$$

We use collection $\mathbb{Q}$ to define a larger, noncentered maximal function of $f$, as

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} f(t, x)=\sup _{(t, x) \in Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}|f(s, y)| d s d y,(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1}
$$

where sup is taken over all $Q \in \mathbb{Q}$ that contain $(t, x)$.
Remark 6. Let $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{2}$ hold and $K_{0}$ be a constant in Lemma 13. For a locally integrable $f$ on $\mathbf{R}^{d+1}$,

$$
\mathcal{M} f \leq \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} f \leq \frac{1}{K_{0}^{d} l\left(K_{0}\right)} \mathcal{M} f
$$

Indeed, if $(t, x) \in Q^{\prime}=Q_{\delta}\left(t^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)$, then by Lemma 13

$$
\frac{1}{\left|Q^{\prime}\right|} \int_{Q^{\prime}}|f| \leq \frac{K_{0}^{d} l\left(K_{0}\right)}{\left|Q_{K_{0} \delta}(t, x)\right|} \int_{Q_{K_{0} \delta}(t, x)}|f|
$$

Note $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} f$ is lower semicontinuous as a sup of lower semicontinuous functions.

Theorem 1.3.1 in [8] holds for $\mathbb{Q}$ (we sketch its proof).
Theorem 3. Let A2 hold and $f$ be measurable function on $\mathbf{R}^{d+1}=\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{d}$.
(a) If $f \in L_{p}, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then $\mathcal{M} f$ is finite a.e.
(b) If $f \in L_{1}$, then for every $\alpha>0$,

$$
|\{\mathcal{M} f(t, x)>\alpha\}| \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \int|f| d m
$$

(c) If $f \in L_{p}, 1<p \leq \infty$, then $\mathcal{M} f \in L_{p}$ and

$$
|\mathcal{M} f|_{L_{p}} \leq N_{p}|f|_{L_{p}}
$$

where $N_{p}$ depends only on $p, l$ and $K_{0}$.
Proof. (b) Let $E_{\alpha}=\{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} f(t, x)>\alpha\}$ and $E \subseteq E_{\alpha}$ be any compact subset. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} f$ is lower semicontinuous, $E_{\alpha}$ is open. By definition of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} f$ for each $(t, x) \in E$, there is $Q \in \mathbb{Q}$ so that $(t, x) \in Q$ and

$$
|Q| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{Q}|f|
$$

Since $E$ is compact there exist a finite number $Q_{\delta_{1}}\left(t_{1}, x_{1}\right), \ldots, Q_{\delta_{n}}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{Q}$ so that $E \subseteq \cup_{j=1}^{n} Q_{\delta_{j}}\left(t_{j}, x_{j}\right)$. By Lemma 14, there is a subcovering of disjoint sets $Q^{1}, \ldots, Q^{m}$ so that

$$
|E| \leq c \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left|Q^{k}\right| \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{Q^{k}}|f| \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \int|f| .
$$

with $c=K_{0}^{d} l\left(K_{0}\right)$. Taking sup over all such compacts $E$ we get (b).
c) Let $f_{1}=f \chi_{\{|f|>\alpha / 2\}}$. Note that $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} f \leq \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} f_{1}+\frac{\alpha}{2}$. Hence by part (b)

$$
|\{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} f>\alpha\}| \leq\left|\left\{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} f_{1}>\alpha / 2\right\}\right| \leq \frac{2 c}{\alpha} \int_{|f|>\alpha / 2}|f| d m
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} f)^{p} & =p \int_{0}^{\infty}|\{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} f>\alpha\}| \alpha^{p-1} d \alpha \leq p \frac{2 c}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{|f|>\alpha / 2}|f| \alpha^{p-1} d \alpha \\
& =2 c p \iint_{0}^{2|f|} \alpha^{p-2} d \alpha|f|=c \frac{p}{p-1} 2^{p} \int|f|^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 7. Let $f \in L_{1}$. Then

$$
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} A_{\delta} f(t, x)=f(t, x) \text { a.e. }
$$

and $|f(t, x)| \leq \mathcal{M} f(t, x)$ a.e. Moreover, for every $\alpha>0$,

$$
|\{\tilde{\mathcal{M}} f(t, x)>\alpha\}| \leq \frac{2 c}{\alpha} \int_{\{\tilde{\mathcal{M}} f(t, x)>\alpha / 2\}}|f| d m
$$

where $c$ is a constant in Theorem 3 .
Proof. Let $f \in L_{1}, \varepsilon>0$. There is $g \in C_{c}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d+1}\right)$ so that $|f-g|_{L_{1}} \leq \varepsilon$. Let $\eta>0$. Since $g$ is uniformly continuous, for all $(t, x)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|A_{\delta} g(t, x)-g(t, x)\right| \\
\leq & \frac{1}{\left|Q_{\delta}(t, x)\right|} \int_{Q_{\delta}(t, x)}|g(s, y)-g(t, x)| d s d y \leq \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\delta \leq \delta_{0}$ for some $\delta_{0}>0$. Hence $\sup _{t, x}\left|A_{\delta} g(t, x)-g(t, x)\right| \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Now for $(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim \sup _{\delta \rightarrow 0}\left|A_{\delta} f(t, x)-f(t, x)\right| \\
\leq & \lim \sup _{\delta \rightarrow 0}\left|A_{\delta} f(t, x)-A_{\delta} g(t, x)\right|+|g(t, x)-f(t, x)| \\
\leq & \mathcal{M}(f-g)(t, x)+|g(t, x)-f(t, x)| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence for any $\alpha>0$, by Theorem 3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left\{\lim \sup _{\delta \rightarrow 0}\left|A_{\delta} f(t, x)-f(t, x)\right|>\alpha\right\}\right| \\
\leq & |\{\mathcal{M}(f-g)>\alpha / 2\}|+|\{|g-f|>\alpha / 2\}| \\
\leq & \frac{2 c \varepsilon}{\alpha}+\frac{2 \varepsilon}{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varepsilon$ and $\alpha$ are arbitrary, it follows that $\lim _{\sup }^{\delta \rightarrow 0}{ }\left|A_{\delta} f(t, x)-f(t, x)\right|=$ 0 a.e. Hence for almost all $(t, x)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(t, x)| & =\left|\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} A_{\delta} f(t, x)\right| \leq \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\left|Q_{\delta}(t, x)\right|} \int_{Q_{\delta}(t, x)}|f(t, y)| d t d y \\
& \leq \sup _{\delta>0} \frac{1}{\left|Q_{\delta}(t, x)\right|} \int_{Q_{\delta}(t, x)}|f(t, y)| d t d y=\mathcal{M} f(t, x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, for $f_{1}=f \chi_{\{|f|>\alpha / 2\}}$ we have $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} f \leq \tilde{\mathcal{M}} f_{1}+\frac{\alpha}{2}$, and by Theorem (3) (b),

$$
|\{\tilde{\mathcal{M}} f>\alpha\}| \leq\left|\left\{\tilde{\mathcal{M}} f_{1}>\alpha / 2\right\}\right| \leq \frac{2 c}{\alpha} \int_{|f|>\alpha / 2}|f| d m \leq \frac{2 c}{\alpha} \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}} f>\alpha / 2}|f| d m
$$

5.2. Calderon-Zygmund decomposition. Assume A1, A2 hold. Let $F \subseteq \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{d}$ be closed and $O=F^{c}=\mathbf{R}^{d+1} \backslash F$. For $(t, x) \in O$, let

$$
D(t, x)=\inf \left\{\delta>0: Q_{\delta}(t, x) \cap F \neq \emptyset\right\} .
$$

For each $(t, x) \in O, D(t, x) \in(0, \infty)$. Let $K_{0}$ be a constant in Lemma 13 , We fix $A>1$ so that $l(1 / A)<1$ and $\varepsilon>0$ so that $l\left(2 K_{0} \varepsilon\right)<1, \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{4 A K_{0}^{3}}<$ 1. Then, denoting $D=D(t, x)$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\kappa(\varepsilon D) \leq l(2 \varepsilon) \kappa(D / 2) \leq \kappa\left(\frac{D}{2}\right), \kappa(\varepsilon D) \leq l(\varepsilon) \kappa(D) \leq \kappa(D), \\
\kappa(D) \leq l(1 / A) \kappa(A D)<\kappa(A D),
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\kappa(\varepsilon D) \leq l\left(2 K_{0} \varepsilon\right) \kappa\left(D / 2 K_{0}\right) \leq \kappa\left(D / 2 K_{0}\right) .
$$

Consider the covering $Q_{\varepsilon D(t, x)}(t, x),(t, x) \in O$, of $O$. Let

$$
Q^{k}=Q_{\varepsilon D\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right)}\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right), k \geq 1,
$$

be its maximal disjoint subcollection: for any $Q_{\varepsilon D(t, x)}(t, x)$ there is $k$ so that $Q^{\varepsilon}(t, x) \cap Q^{k} \neq \emptyset$. Let

$$
Q^{* k}=Q_{D\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right) / 2}\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right), Q^{* * k}=Q_{A D\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right)}\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right) .
$$

Note that $Q^{k} \subseteq Q^{* k} \subseteq Q_{D\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right)}\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right) \subseteq O, Q^{* * k} \cap F \neq \emptyset$. We will show that $\cup_{k} Q^{* k}=O$. Let $(t, x) \in O$ and $Q_{\varepsilon D\left(t_{k} x_{k}\right)}\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right) \cap Q_{\varepsilon D(t, x)}(t, x) \neq \emptyset$ for some $k$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{\varepsilon D\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right)}\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right) & \subseteq Q_{D\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right)}\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right) \subseteq Q_{A D\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right)}\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right), \\
Q_{\varepsilon D(t, x)}(t, x) & \subseteq Q_{D(t, x) /\left(2 K_{0}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that

$$
Q_{D(t, x) /\left(2 K_{0}\right)}(t, x) \cap Q_{A D\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right)}\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right) \neq \emptyset .
$$

We show by contradiction that $A D\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right) \geq D(t, x) / 2 K_{0}$. If not so, then $A D\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right)<D(t, x) / 2 K_{0}$, and, by Lemma 13, $Q_{D(t, x) / 2 K_{0}}(t, x)$ and $Q_{A D\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right)}\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right)$ are contained in $Q_{D(t, x) / 2}(t, x) \subseteq O$ : a contradiction to $Q_{A D\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right)}\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right) \cap F \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $A D\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right) \geq D(t, x) / 2 K_{0}$ and $2 A K_{0} \varepsilon D\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right) \geq \varepsilon D(t, x)$. Now, $Q_{\varepsilon D\left(t_{k} x_{k}\right)}\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right) \subseteq Q_{2 A K_{0} \varepsilon D\left(t_{k} x_{k}\right)}\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right)$ and $Q_{\varepsilon D\left(t_{k} x_{k}\right)}\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right) \cap Q_{\varepsilon D(t, x)}(t, x) \neq \emptyset$. Hence by Lemma 13, $Q_{\varepsilon D(t, x)}$ is contained in $Q_{2 A K_{0}^{2} \varepsilon D\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right)}\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right)$. Since $2 A K_{0}^{2} \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2 K_{0}}$, it follows by Lemma 1 that

$$
Q_{\varepsilon D(t, x)}(t, x) \subseteq Q_{2 A K_{0}^{2} \varepsilon D\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right)}\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right) \subseteq Q_{D\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right) / 2}\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right)=Q^{* k} .
$$

So we proved the following statement.
Lemma 15. Assume A1, A2 hold. Given a closed nonempty $F$, there are sequences $Q^{k}, Q^{* k}$ and $Q^{* * k}$ in $\mathbb{Q}$ having the same center but with radius expanded by the same factor $c_{1}^{* *}>c_{1}^{*}>c_{1}$ so that $Q^{k} \subseteq Q^{* k} \subseteq Q^{* * k}$ (all of them are of the form $Q_{b D\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right)}\left(t_{k}, x_{k}\right)$ with $b=c_{1}, c_{1}^{*}, c_{1}^{* *}$ correspondingly) and
(a) the sets $Q^{k}$ are disjoint.
(b) $\cup_{k} Q^{* k}=O=F^{c}$.
(c) $Q^{* * k} \cap F \neq \emptyset$ for each $k$.

Remark 7. Assume A1, A2 hold and $Q^{k} \subseteq Q^{* k} \subseteq Q^{* * k}$ be the sequences in $\mathbb{Q}$ from Lemma 15. It is easy to find a sequence of disjoint measurable sets $C^{k}$ so that $Q^{k} \subseteq C^{k} \subseteq Q^{* k}$ and $\cup_{k} C^{k}=O$. For example (see Remark, p. 15, in [8]),

$$
C^{k}=Q^{* k} \cap\left(\cup_{j<k} C^{j}\right)^{c} \cap\left(\cup_{j>k} Q^{j}\right)^{c} .
$$

Now we derive Calderon-Zygmund decomposition for $\mathbb{Q}$.
Theorem 4. Assume A1, A2 hold. Let $f \in L_{1}\left(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{d}\right), \alpha>0$ and $O_{\alpha}=\{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} f>\alpha\}$. Consider the sets $Q^{k} \subseteq C^{k} \subseteq Q^{* k} \subseteq O$ of Lemma 15 and Remark 7 associated to $O_{\alpha}$.

There is a decomposition $f=g+b$ with

$$
g(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
f(x) & \text { if } x \notin O_{\alpha},  \tag{5.1}\\
\frac{1}{\left|C^{k}\right|} \int_{C^{k}} f & \text { if } x \in C^{k}, k \geq 1,
\end{array}\right.
$$

and with $b=\sum_{k} b_{k}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{k}=\chi_{C^{k}}\left[f(x)-\frac{1}{\left|C^{k}\right|} \int_{C^{k}} f\right], k \geq 1 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(note $C^{k}$ are disjoint, $\cup_{k} C^{k}=O_{\alpha}$ ). Also,
(i) $|g(x)| \leq c \alpha$ for a.e. $x$.
(ii) support $\left(b_{k}\right) \subseteq Q^{* k}$,

$$
\int b_{k}=0 \text { and } \int\left|b_{k}\right| \leq c \alpha\left|Q^{* k}\right| .
$$

(iii) $\sum_{k}\left|Q^{* k}\right| \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \int|f|$.

Proof. The set $O_{\alpha}=\{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} f>\alpha\}$ is open. We cab apply Lemma 15 and Remark 7 to it and consider the sets $Q^{k} \subseteq C^{k} \subseteq Q^{* k} \subseteq E_{\alpha}$ with $C^{k}$ disjoint and $\cup_{k} C^{k}=E_{\alpha}$.

Define $g$ by (5.2). Hence $f=g+\sum_{k} b_{k}$ with $b_{k}$ given by (5.2). Obviously

$$
\sum_{k}\left|Q^{k}\right| \leq\left|E_{\alpha}\right|
$$

(i) By Corollary $\mathbb{Z},|f(x)| \leq \alpha$ a.e. on $O_{\alpha}^{c}=\{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} f(t, x) \leq \alpha\}$. Hence: so $|g(x)| \leq \alpha$ a.e. on $E_{\alpha}^{c}$. On the other hand, if $Q^{* * k} \in \mathbb{Q}$ is the sequence of Lemma 15, then

$$
\frac{1}{\left|Q^{* * k}\right|} \int_{Q^{* * k}}|f| \leq \alpha
$$

because $Q^{* * k} \cap O_{\alpha}^{c} \neq \emptyset$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} f(t, x) \leq \alpha$ on $O_{\alpha}^{c}$ (the definition of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ implies it). Since $\left|Q^{k}\right| \leq\left|C^{k}\right| \leq\left|Q^{* k}\right| \leq\left|Q^{* * k}\right| \leq l\left(\frac{c_{1}^{*}}{c_{1}}\right)\left|Q^{k}\right|$ and $C^{k} \subseteq Q^{* * k}$, it follows that

$$
|g| \leq \bar{c} \alpha .
$$

(ii) Only inequality is not trivial:

$$
\int\left|b_{k}\right| \leq 2 \int_{C^{k}}|f| \leq 2\left|Q^{* * k}\right| \frac{1}{\left|Q^{* * k}\right|} \int_{Q^{* * k}}|f| \leq c \alpha\left|Q^{* k}\right|
$$

(iii) We have

$$
\left|O_{\alpha}\right|=|\{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} f(t, x)>\alpha\}| \geq \sum_{k}\left|Q^{k}\right| \geq \tilde{c} \sum_{k}\left|Q^{* k}\right|
$$

and the inequality follows by Theorem 3
5.3. $L_{p}$-estimates. Let now

$$
(T f)(t, x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d+1}} K(t, x, s, y) f(s, y) d s d y,(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1}
$$

We assume that $T$ is defined and bounded on $L_{q}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
|T f|_{L_{q}} \leq C|f|_{L_{q}}, f \in L_{q} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, we assume that there is a constant $A>0$ so that (denoting $\left(s_{k}, y_{k}\right)$ the center of $Q_{k}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{(s, y) \in Q_{k}^{*}} \int_{\left(Q_{k}^{* *}\right)^{c}}\left|K(t, x, s, y)-K\left(t, x, s_{k}, y_{k}\right)\right| d t d x \leq A \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The assumption (5.4) holds if there are constants $c>1, A>0$ so that for any $Q_{\delta} \in \mathbb{Q}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d+1} \backslash Q_{c \delta}(s, y)}|K(t, x, \bar{s}, \bar{y})-K(t, x, s, y)| d x d t \leq A \forall(\bar{s}, \bar{y}) \in Q_{\delta}(s, y) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5. Let A1, A2, (5.3) and (5.5) hold. Then $T$ is bounded in $L_{p}$-norm on $L_{p} \cap L_{q}$ if $1<p<q$. More precisely,

$$
|T(f)|_{L_{p}} \leq A_{p}|f|_{L_{p}}, f \in L_{p} \cap L_{q}, 1<p<q
$$

where $A_{p}$ depends only on the constant $A$ and $p$.
Proof. As [8] says, it is enough to prove that

$$
m(|T f|>\alpha) \leq \frac{A^{\prime}}{\alpha} \int|f| d x, f \in L_{1} \cap L_{q}, \alpha>0
$$

where $A^{\prime}$ depends on $A$.
For a large constant $c^{\prime}$ (to be determined) we estimate $m\left(|T f|>c^{\prime} \alpha\right)$. For a fixed $\alpha>0$ we consider the decomposition $f=g+b$ in Theorem 4 , First note that

$$
m\left(\cup_{n} Q_{n}^{* *}\right) \leq \sum_{n} m\left(Q_{n}^{* *}\right) \leq c \sum_{n} m\left(Q_{n}^{*}\right) \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \int|f|
$$

It is enough to show that

$$
\left|\left\{|T g|>\left(c^{\prime} / 2\right) \alpha\right\}\right|+\left|\left\{|T b|>\left(c^{\prime} / 2\right) \alpha\right\}\right| \leq A^{\prime} / \alpha \int|f| d x
$$

First $g \in L_{q}$. Indeed,

$$
\int|g|^{q} d x=\int_{\left(\cup_{k} Q_{k}^{*}\right)^{c}}|g|^{q}+\int_{\cup_{k} C_{k}}|g|^{q} \leq c \alpha^{q-1} \int|f|
$$

because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\left(\cup_{k} Q_{k}^{*}\right)^{c}}|g|^{q} & \leq c \alpha^{q-1} \int_{\left(\cup_{k} Q_{k}^{*}\right)^{c}}|f| \\
\int_{\cup_{k} Q_{k}^{*}}|g|^{q} & \leq c \alpha^{q} \sum_{k}\left|Q_{k}^{*}\right| \leq c \alpha^{q-1} \int|f|
\end{aligned}
$$

By Chebyshev inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left\{|T g|>\left(c^{\prime} / 2\right) \alpha\right\}\right| \leq\left(\frac{c^{\prime} \alpha}{2}\right)^{-q}|T g|_{L_{q}}^{q} \leq\left(\frac{c^{\prime} \alpha}{2}\right)^{-q} A^{q}|g|_{L_{q}}^{q} \\
\leq & c\left(\frac{c^{\prime} \alpha}{2}\right)^{-q} A^{q} \alpha^{q-1} \int|f| \leq \frac{A^{\prime}}{\alpha}|f|_{L_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now,

$$
\int_{\left(\cup_{k} Q_{k}^{* *}\right)^{c}}|T b| \leq \sum_{k} \int_{\left(Q_{k}^{* *}\right)^{c}}\left|T b_{k}\right|
$$

Since for $x \notin Q_{k}^{*}$, denoting by $\left(s_{k}, y_{k}\right)$ the center of $Q_{k}^{*}$ (and $Q_{k}^{* *}$ ), we have. denoting $f_{k}=1 /\left|C^{k}\right| \int_{C_{k}} f$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T b_{k} & =\int_{C_{k}} K(t, x, s, y)\left[f(s, y)-f_{k}\right] d s d y \\
& =\int_{C_{k}}\left[K(t, x, s, y)-K\left(t, x, s_{k}, y_{k}\right)\right]\left[f(s, y)-f_{k}\right] d s d y
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\left(Q_{k}^{* *}\right)^{c}}\left|T b_{k}\right| d x & \leq \int\left|b_{k}\right| d s d y \sup _{(s, y) \in Q_{k}^{*}} \int_{\left(Q_{k}^{* *}\right)^{c}}\left|K(t, x, s, y)-K\left(t, x, s_{k}, y_{k}\right)\right| d t d x \\
& \leq c A \alpha\left|Q_{k}^{*}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{\left(\cup_{k} Q_{k}^{* *}\right)^{c}}|T b| \leq c A \alpha \sum_{k}\left|Q_{k}^{*}\right| \leq c A \int|f|
$$
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