

The paradoxical zero reflection at zero energy

Zafar Ahmed¹, Vibhu Sharma², Mayank Sharma³,

Ankush Singhal⁴, Rahul Kaiwart⁵, Pallavi Priyadarshini⁶

¹*Nuclear Physics Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai 400085, India*

^{2,3}*Amity Institute of Applied Sciences,*

Amity University, Noida, UP, 201313, India

⁴*Department of Physics, UM-DAE-CBS, Mumbai, 400098, India*

^{5,6}*Human Resource Development Division,*

*Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai 400085, India**

(Dated: March 8, 2022)

Abstract

Usually, the reflection probability $R(E)$ of a particle of zero energy incident on a potential which converges to zero asymptotically is found to be 1: $R(0) = 1$. But earlier, a paradoxical phenomenon of zero reflection at zero energy ($R(0) = 0$) has been revealed as a threshold anomaly. Extending the concept of Half Bound State (HBS) of 3D, here we show that in 1D when a symmetric (asymmetric) attractive potential well possesses a zero-energy HBS, $R(0) = 0$ ($R(0) \ll 1$). This can happen only at some critical values q_c of an effective parameter q of the potential well in the limit $E \rightarrow 0^+$. We demonstrate this critical phenomenon in two simple analytically solvable models which are square and exponential wells. However, in numerical calculations even for these two models $R(0) = 0$ is observed only as extrapolation to zero energy from low energies, close to a precise critical value q_c . By numerical investigation of a variety of potential wells, we conclude that for a given potential well (symmetric or asymmetric), we can adjust the effective parameter q to have a low reflection at a low energy.

*Electronic address: 1:zahmed@barc.gov.in, 2: svibhu876@gmail.com, 2: mayank.edu002@gmail.com, 4:ankush.singhal@cbs.ac.in, 5:rahul.kaiwart@gmail.com, 6:ppriyadarshini@gmail.com

I. INTRODUCTION

Usually, the reflection probability $R(E)$ of a particle of zero (extremely low) energy incident on a one-dimensional potential which converges to zero asymptotically is found to be 1: $R(0) = 1$, the single Dirac delta and the square well potentials are the simplest examples [1-6]. This observation is also intuitive, for a zero-energy particle the tunnel effect is negligible such that the transmission probability is close to zero. Earlier, a paradoxical phenomenon that $R(0) = 0$ has been proposed and proved as a threshold anomaly [7] for a potential which is at the threshold of binding a state at $E = 0$. This paradoxical result may be understood in terms of wave packet scattering from an attractive potential. A wave packet with zero average kinetic energy, localized to one side of the potential, will spread in both directions. When the low energy components scatter against the potential, they are transmitted and this would appear simply as wave packet spreading preferentially to the other direction.

Here we show that it is rather a critical effect which occurs when a scattering potential well becomes critical: possesses a Half Bound State (HBS) at $E = 0$. We extend the concept of HBS to one dimension. HBS is discussed [1-3,8] in low energy scattering from a three dimensional central potential in terms of scattering length. In two analytically solvable models, we show that both HBS at $E = 0$ and $R(0)$ occurs when an effective parameter q of the potential takes a critical discrete value q_c . However in numerical calculation of even these two models, we show that $R(0) = 0$ is achieved as an extrapolation from low energies to zero energy that too when q equals q_c very accurately. In this regard, very low reflection at very low energies is no less surprising and we show that it is plausible and it could even be more practical than $R(0) = 0$.

Three dimensional zero angular momentum (s-wave) Schrödinger equation for a central potential $V(r)$ is written as

$$\frac{d^2 w(r)}{dr^2} + \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} [E - V(r)] w(r) = 0, \quad w(r) = r\psi(r). \quad (1)$$

One demands $w(0) = 0$ so that the wave function $\psi(r)$ is regular at origin. When E is very small and $V(r)$ vanishes at large distances such that $[E - V(r)] \approx 0$, the solution of (1) for $r \rightarrow \infty$ can be given as $w(r) \sim Ar + B$ and the scattering length [1-3,8] is defined as $a_s = -B/A$. It has been shown [9] that when the depth of a potential is increased, $a_s(V_0)$ varies from positive to negative and *vice versa* by becoming discontinuous ($\pm\infty$) at certain




FIG. 1: Depiction of half bound state $\psi_*(x)$ (10) (solid lines) at $E = 0$ in one dimensional square well potentials (dashed lines) when their depth is increasing and admitting three critical values in (a,b,c). We have taken $a = 1$, $2\mu = 1 = \hbar^2$, so the depth of the well is $V_0 = q_c^2$. Here we consider $q_c = n\pi/2, n = 1, 2, 3$ where in addition to 1 HBS at $E = 0$, the wells have 1, 2, and 3 bound states in (a,b,c) for $E < 0$, respectively. These bound states are not shown here. These critical square well potentials are shown to have $R(0) = 0$ in the section II-A.

discrete values say $V_{00}, V_{01}, V_{02}, \dots$. For $V_0 = V_{0n}$, $|a_s|$ is very large, then by increasing the depth V_0 slightly the potential can be made to possess a weakly bound state at an energy slightly below $E = 0$. So an infinite scattering length is a signature that the potential well possesses a HBS at $E = 0$ or it is at the threshold of possessing one more bound state at $E < 0$. Further, $a_s = \pm\infty$ implies $A = 0$ and the wave function becomes constant and parallel to r -axis, asymptotically: $w(r \geq L) = B$. This non-normalizable state is called half bound state [1-3,8] and we can also characterize it with the Neumann boundary condition that $w'(L) = 0$, where L may be finite for a short-ranged potential or infinite for a potential that converges to zero asymptotically. As pointed out in [7], Wigner [11] has called such a state as resonance near threshold, Schiff [12] calls it a bound state near continuum which causes resonance in the scattering cross-sections due to a central potential. Using the attractive exponential potential well: $V(r) = -V_0 \exp(-r/a)$, the resonance in scattering cross section has been demonstrated [5] when the strength parameter $\sqrt{8\mu V_0 a^2}/\hbar$ coincides with the zeros of the cylindrical Bessel function $J_0(z)$.

The one-dimensional time-independent Schrödinger equation is written as

$$\frac{d^2\psi(x)}{dx^2} + \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2}[E - V(x)]\psi(x) = 0., \quad (2)$$

where μ is the mass of the particle and \hbar is the Planck constant divided by 2π . Let us define

$$k = \sqrt{\frac{2\mu E}{\hbar^2}}, E > 0, \quad \kappa = \sqrt{\frac{-2\mu E}{\hbar^2}}, E < 0, \quad q = \sqrt{\frac{2\mu V_0 a^2}{\hbar^2}}, V_0 > 0, \quad (3)$$

which are useful in the sequel. Here, V_0, a and q are the depth, the width and the effective strength parameters of the potential well, respectively. Let $u(x)$ and $v(x)$ be two linearly independent real solutions of (2) such that their wronskian $W(x) = u(x)v'(x) - u'(x)v(x)$ is constant (position-independent) for all real values of x . We may choose $u(0) = 1, u'(0) = 0; v(0) = 0, v'(0) = 1$ [3] to start numerical integration of (2) on both sides left and right. Let the scattering attractive potential $V(x)$ be non-symmetric and converging to zero at $x = \pm\infty$. Let $x = -L_2$ and $x = L_1$ be the distances where $V(x)$ is extremely small. We propose to give the condition for HBS at $E = 0$ as

$$\psi'(-L_2) = 0 = \psi'(L_1). \quad (4)$$

In contrast to the bound states, HBS do not vanish asymptotically; they instead saturate to become constant (parallel) there (see Figs., 1,2). A slight increase (decrease) in depth of the well can make this state bound (unbound). If $V(x)$ is symmetric ($L_1 = L_2 = L$), the solutions $u(x)$ and $v(x)$ are of definite parity (even and odd, respectively). The conditions for HBS are

$$u(0) = 1, u'(L) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad v(0) = 0, v'(L) = 0. \quad (5)$$

If $V(x)$ is not symmetric, we have HBS at $E = 0$ such that

$$u'(-L_2) = 0 = u'(L_1) \quad \text{or} \quad v'(-L_2) = 0 = v'(L_1). \quad (6)$$

Imposition of these boundary conditions on the second order differential equation (2) yields the critical values q_c of the effective parameter q (3) of the well for a HBS at $E = 0$. A scattering potential well which is such that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(x)dx < 0$, has at least one [10] bound state for howsoever small value of q . So a HBS has at least one node. Amusingly the node less HBS is nothing but constant: $\psi(x) = C$ which exists when the depth of the potential is set equal to zero ! For the symmetric case, $x = 0$ is the node and for the non-symmetric case the node could be found at $x = l$, where $-L_2 < l < L_1$. If at $E = 0$ the well has the solitary HBS of \mathcal{N} -nodes, it will have \mathcal{N} number of bound state for $E < 0$.

To illustrate an HBS, one can readily check for $V(x) = -2 \operatorname{sech}^2 x$ there is one node less ground state $\psi_0(x) = B \operatorname{sech} x$ ($\operatorname{sech} x = 2/[\exp(x) + \exp(-x)]$) at $E = -1$, whereas




FIG. 2: The same as in Fig. 1, $\psi_*(x)$ for the exponential well (11), where $q_c = 2.40$ (first zero of $J_0(z)$), 3.83 (First zero of $J_1(z)$) and 5.52 (second zero of $J_0(z)$). For these potentials, $R(0) = 0$ has been demonstrated in the section II-B

$\psi_*(x) = A \tanh x$ is a HBS at $E = 0$. In one dimension HBS is usually ignored. Henceforth, we propose to denote HBS as $\psi_*(x)$ against the notation $\psi_n(x)$ for the bound states.

Let us denote $u(L_1) = u_1, v(L_1) = v_1, u'(L_1) = u'_1, v'(L_1) = v'_1$ and $u(-L_2) = u_2, v(-L_2) = v_2, u'(-L_2) = u'_2, v'(-L_2) = v'_2$. Following the Appendix of Senn [7] for reflection amplitude we write

$$r(E) = \frac{B}{A} = -\frac{[u'_2 v'_1 - u'_1 v'_2] + ik[v_2 u'_1 + u_1 v'_2] - ik[u_2 v'_1 + v_1 u'_2] + k^2[u_1 v_2 - u_2 v_1]}{[u'_2 v'_1 - u'_1 v'_2] - ik[v_2 u'_1 - u_1 v'_2] + ik[u_2 v'_1 - v_1 u'_2] - k^2[u_1 v_2 - u_2 v_1]} e^{-2ika}. \quad (7)$$

The reflection probability (factor) is given by $R(E) = |r(E)|^2$. Ordinarily, when $E = 0$, $r(0) = -\frac{u'_2 v'_1 - u'_1 v'_2}{u_2 v'_1 - u_1 v'_2} = -1$, provided $v'_1, v'_2 \neq 0$. But when at $E = 0$ and half the bound state condition: (5) or (6) is satisfied, $r(0) = 0/0$ (indeterminate). In order to find limit of $r(E)$ as $E \rightarrow 0^+$, in Eq. (7), we can first set $[u'_2 v'_1 - u'_1 v'_2] = 0$ due to the HBS connection (5,6), cancel k , then using $u'_1 = 0 = u'_2$ and $v'_1 = 0 = v'_2$ (5,6) again, one finds $\lim_{E \rightarrow 0} r(0) = \frac{u_1 v'_2 - u_2 v'_1}{u_1 v'_2 + u_2 v'_1}$, $\lim_{E \rightarrow 0} r(0) = \frac{v_2 u'_1 - v_1 u'_2}{v_2 u'_1 + v_1 u'_2}$, respectively. Eventually, when $V(-x) = V(x)$, $u(x)$ and $v(x)$ acquire definite parity (even and odd, respectively) and we have $u_1 = u_2, v_1 = -v_2; u'_1 = -u'_2, v'_1 = v'_2$ yielding $R(0) = 0$ [7]. This completes our rephrasing of zero reflection at zero energy when an attractive well possesses a half bound state at zero energy.

We find that the single Dirac delta well potential [3] in any case yields $R(0) = 1$ and becomes a trivial exception to the zero reflection at zero energy. In section II, we present two illustrations of attractive potentials possessing zero energy bound state and $R(0) = 0$. In section III, we explore low reflection at a low energy in various attractive potential wells

II. ILLUSTRATIONS: $R(0) = 0$ AND HBS AT ZERO ENERGY

A. Square well potential:

The most common square well potential is given as $V(-a < x < a) = -V_0, V(x) = 0$ (otherwise) its reflection factor is written as [1-6]

$$R(E) = \frac{\sin^2 2q\sqrt{1+\epsilon}}{4\epsilon(\epsilon+1) + \sin^2 2q\sqrt{1+\epsilon}}, \quad \epsilon = E/V_0. \quad (8)$$

Ordinarily, $R(0) = \frac{\sin^2 2q}{\sin^2 2q} = 1$, unless and until $q = n\pi/2 = q_c, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. It is in these special cases that $R(0)$ becomes indeterminate ($0/0$) and then one has to take $\lim_{E \rightarrow 0^+} R(E)$ properly by L'Hospital rule (see [21]): where differentiation of the numerator and the denominator with respect to E (separately) yields

$$\lim_{E \rightarrow 0^+} R(E) = \lim_{E \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{n\pi \sin(2n\pi\sqrt{1+\epsilon})}{2\sqrt{1+\epsilon}(8\epsilon+4) + n\pi \sin(2n\pi\sqrt{1+\epsilon})} = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (9)$$

For $E = 0$, the solution of Schrödinger equation can be given as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_*(x) &= \begin{cases} A \sin \frac{n\pi x}{2a}, & |x| < a \\ A \operatorname{sgn}(x) \sin(n\pi/2), & |x| \geq a, n(\text{odd}) \end{cases} \\ \psi_*(x) &= \begin{cases} A \cos \frac{n\pi x}{2a}, & |x| < a \\ A \cos(n\pi/2), & |x| \geq a, n(\text{even}) \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $\operatorname{sgn}(x) = -1, x < 0, \operatorname{sgn}(x) = +1, x > 0$. So $\psi_*(x)$ is a HBS satisfying the conditions (5), here $L = a$. In Fig.1 we plot first three ($E = 0$) HBS for $q_c = n\pi/2, n = 1, 2, 3$. This potential may be dismissed to be a very special one, for instance it has energy oscillations in $R(E)$. So below, we present the exponential potential as a nontrivial example.

B. The exponential potential well

This symmetric attractive potential which vanishes asymptotically is expressed as

$$V(x) = -V_0 \exp(-2|x|/a), \quad a, V_0 > 0. \quad (11)$$

The exponential potential is also a commonly discussed central potential for both bound and scattering states [3,5]. Its reflection amplitude is given in terms of the cylindrical Bessel functions $J_\nu(z)$ [13] as [6]

$$r(E) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{q}{2}\right)^{-2ika} \frac{\Gamma(1+ika)}{\Gamma(1-ika)} \left(\frac{J_{ika}(q)}{J_{-ika}(q)} + \frac{J'_{ika}(q)}{J'_{-ika}(q)} \right). \quad (12)$$

Here $\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty x^{z-1} \exp(-zx) dx$, $\mathcal{R}(z) > 0$ [13]. It may be readily checked that the limit of $r(E)$ as $E \rightarrow 0^+$ is -1, until q is a zero of the function $J_0(z)$. In this case $r(0) = 0/0$ is indeterminate. In order to get to the correct limit one can Maclaurian expand $J_\nu(z)$ about $\nu = 0$ so for very small values of ν , one can write $J_\nu(z) \approx J_0(z) + \frac{\nu\pi}{2} Y_0(z)$ [13], where $Y_0(z)$ is zeroth order Neumann function. We also use a result that $J'_0(z) = -J_1(z)$, $Y'_0(z) = -Y_1(z)$ [13]. So for values of $E \rightarrow 0^+$ we can write

$$r(E) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{J_0(q) + ikaY_0(q)}{J_0(q) - ikaY_0(q)} + \frac{J_1(q) + ikaY_1(q)}{J_1(q) - ikaY_1(q)} \right), \quad E \sim 0. \quad (13)$$

Clearly if $J_0(q) = 0$ or $J_1(q) = 0$ i.e. q coincides with the well known [13] zeros of $J_0(z)$, and $J_1(z)$; $r(0) = 0$. Therefore, the critical values $q = q_c$ are the zeros of the cylindrical Bessel functions J_0 and J_1 .

For bound states, let us insert (11) in (2), the two linearly independent solutions are well known [3,5,6] as $\psi(x) = J_{\pm\kappa a}(q \exp(-|x|/a))$. For very small values of z , $J_\nu(z) \approx \frac{(z/2)^\nu}{\Gamma(1+\nu)}$. So we note that choosing $J_{\kappa a}(z)$, we get $\psi(x \sim \infty) \sim \exp(-\kappa x)$ and $\psi(x \sim -\infty) \sim \exp(\kappa x)$ the correct asymptotic behaviour of bound states. Since the potential is symmetric, we can choose two linearly independent solutions $u(x)$ and $v(x)$ which are of even and odd parity respectively such that $u(0) = C_1$, $u'(0) = 0$; $v(0) = 0$, $v'(0) = C_2$. For even parity states we write

$$u(x) = A J_{\kappa a}(q \exp(-|x|/a)), \quad J'_{\kappa a}(q) = 0 \quad (J_{\kappa a}(q) \neq 0). \quad (14)$$

For odd parity states we write

$$v(x) = \text{sgn}(x) B J_{\kappa a}(q \exp(-|x|/a)), \quad J_{\kappa a}(q) = 0 \quad (J'_{\kappa a}(q) \neq 0), \quad (15)$$

where $\text{sgn}(x) = -1, x < 0$; $\text{sgn}(x) = 1, x > 0$. Notice that in both the cases conditions of continuity and differentiability of the eigenstates are satisfied under the given eigenvalue conditions. For fixed value of q the equations

$$J'_{\kappa_n a}(q) = 0, \quad J_{\kappa_n a}(q) = 0, \quad \kappa_n = \sqrt{\frac{-2\mu E_n}{\hbar^2}} \quad (16)$$

yield the eigenvalues E_n of even and odd parity eigenstates, respectively. In the reflection amplitude (13), if we replace k by $i\kappa_n$, it is instructive to check that the Eqs. (15,16) represent the negative energy physical poles of $r(E)$. The bound state eigenvalues are the common poles of the reflection and transmission amplitudes [15] of a one-dimensional potential well.




FIG. 3: Taking $2\mu/\hbar^2 = 1(eVA^{02})^{-1}$, $q = \sqrt{V_0}$, we plot reflectivity at $E = 0.01eV$ namely $R(0.01)$ as a function of q to show very low or zero-reflection at a very low energy. (a): for square well when q is in the vicinity of $\pi/2, \pi, 3\pi/2$, (b): for the exponential well when q is slightly around 2.40, 3.83, 5.52 (first zero of $J_0(z)$, first zero of $J_1(z)$, second zero of $J_0(z)$).

From the solutions (15,16) we can identify the zero-energy HBS as of odd and even parity

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_*(x) &= A \operatorname{sgn}(x) J_0(q \exp(-|x|/a)), \quad \text{when } \psi_*(0) = J_0(q) = 0, \quad \text{and} \\ \psi_*(x) &= B J_0(q \exp(-|x|/a)), \quad \text{when } \psi_*(0) \neq 0, \quad J'_0(q) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

respectively.

Further, we suggest that one can *now* study at least two more examples: (i) Soliton potential $V_S(x) = -\nu(\nu - 1)\operatorname{sech}^2 x$ [3,4,6] which is known to be reflectionless for all positive energies: $R(E) = \sin^2 \nu\pi / (\sin^2 \nu\pi + \sinh^2 \pi k)$ whenever $\nu = 2, 3, 4, \dots$, we would like to point out that at these values of ν these potentials have a half bound-state at $E = 0$ (with number of nodes 1, 2, 3, ..., respectively) similar to the ones plotted in Figs. 1, 2 and consequently $\lim_{E \rightarrow 0^+} R(E) = 0$ (see [21] again) can be found to exist there. We would like to remark the HBS usually goes unmentioned in the literature even for a solvable potential [3,4,6]. (ii) Ginocchio's [14] potential is an advanced level versatile two parameter (ν, λ) extension of $V_S(x)$ which may now be checked to have $E = 0$ as a HBS and $R(0) = 0$, whenever $\nu = 2, 3, 4, \dots$. Here too the HBS have number of nodes as 1, 2, 3, ..., respectively.

III. LOW REFLECTION AT LOW ENERGIES

In the scattering from two-piece semi-infinite step-barrier potentials which are such that $[V(-\infty) = -V_0, V(\infty) = 0, V_0 > 0]$, an interesting existence of a parameter dependent single deep minimum in reflectivity $R(E)$ at a very low energy has been revealed [16,17]. However, it seems much earlier [18,19], very low reflection of electrons of very low energies has been

measured when electrons cross a semi-infinite surface (step) barrier. So we understand that the result $R(0) = 0$ for the attractive wells could be observed similarly.

The models discussed above in the section II(A,B) are analytically tractable so finding the limit of $R(E)$ as $E \rightarrow 0^+$ is plausible. For practical investigation one would like to actually know the possibility of low reflection at a low energy around the critical q values of the models of square and exponential wells discussed above. In all the calculations, we shall be using $2\mu = 1 = \hbar^2$, where E and a in arbitrary units. This choice also means that the mass of the particle is roughly 4 times of the mass of electron ($\mu = 4m_e$), wherein mass and energies are measured in electron volt (eV) and lengths in Angstrom (A^0) so we have $2\mu/\hbar^2 = 1(eVA^0)^{-1}$. In Fig. 3, we plot $R(E = 0.01)$ notice extremely low reflectivity around the critical values $q = q_c$ (obtained analytically for $R(0)$ in II(A,B)).

Next important point is to know the behaviour of $R(E)$ when we approach a critical value of the effective parameter q for instance the first zero of $J_0(q) = 0$ which is 2.4048255... In Table I, we present this scenario and find that when we are approaching so accurate a value of $q = 2.4048255$, we get $R(10^{-5}) = 0.1920 \times 10^{-7}$. The Table I, displays a very slow convergence (numerically) to the result $R(0) = 0$, though, this limit has been shown analytically in Eq.(17). For several attractive potential wells, we have used Eq. (7) and an interesting Matlab recipe [20] for quantum propagation in 1D systems based on the method of transfer matrices. We to conclude that a numerical method of obtaining $R(E)$, will attain $R(0) = 0$ as an extrapolation from low energies to $E = 0$. Further, Fig. 3 for the square and the exponential wells indicates that slightly around the critical value of $q = q_c$ (where $R(0) = 0$) one can find low reflectivity at a low energy ($E = 0.01eV$).

In Fig. 4, we present a numerically solved model of low reflection at a low energy. We use the recipe [19] for the numerical computation of $R(E)$ at a low energy ($E = 0.1eV$) for the case of the parabolic well : $V(x < -a) = 0, V(-a < x < 0) = V_0(1 - x^2/a^2), V(0 < x < b) = V_0(1 - x^2/b^2), V(x > b) = 0$. See in Fig. 4, (a) for the symmetric case we find $R(0.1) = 10^{-6}$ when $q = 2.24$, (b) for the asymmetric case $R(0.1)$ is less than 10^{-3} when $q = 2.13$, notice that in symmetric case the reflection is much less than that of asymmetric case for the fixed low energy $E = 0.1eV$.

We consider a family of potential wells: $V_\alpha(|x| \geq a) = 0, V_\alpha(|x| \leq a) = -V_0[1 + \alpha(x - a)/(2a)]$, which change from symmetric square well to an asymmetric triangular well as α varies from 0 to 1. For the case $\alpha = 0$, we have the square well which in Fig. 3(a) ($a = 1A^0$) already shows critical values of q_c at or around which $R(0.01)$ is very low. The case of thick




FIG. 4: We plot reflectivity at $E = 0.1eV$ namely $R(0.1)$ as a function of q to of the parabolic well show very small reflection for the (a): symmetric case ($a = 1A^0 = b$) when $q = 2.24$ and (b) asymmetric case ($a = 1A^0, b = 1.1A^0$) when $q = 2.13$

but asymmetric well (Fig. 5(a)) sustains the similar characteristics but with higher minima. The more asymmetric case of triangular well ($\alpha = 1$) in Fig. 5(b) does display low reflection around the critical values q_c but these minima in $R(0.01)$ become larger than those in the cases of $\alpha = 0, 0.5$ suggesting again that symmetry of a well favours the phenomenon of low reflection at a low energy more.

Originally, $R(0) = 0$ was demonstrated using attractive double Dirac delta well [7] which was a double well potential with extremely thin wells. It is therefore interesting to check whether attractive double wells and multiple wells would preserve the low reflection at low energy. In this regard, we investigate two potentials of finite support such that $V(|x| \geq a) = 0$ commonly and $V_1(|x| < a) = -V_0 \sin^2(\pi x/a)$, $V_2(|x| < a) = -V_0 \sin^2(2\pi x/a)$ (see the dashed lines in the inset of Fig. 6). These being symmetric wells, in Fig. 6, we confirm very low reflection for $E = 0.01eV$ at the critical values $q = q_c$.




FIG. 5: $R(E = 0.01)$ as a function of q for the square-triangular potential well $V_\alpha(x)$ of depth $V_0 = q^2$ (a): $\alpha = 0.50$, (b): $\alpha = 1$. For $\alpha = 0$, see Fig. 3(a).




FIG. 6: $R(E = 0.01eV)$ as a function of q for the multiple well potentials $V(|x| > a) = 0$: $V_1(|x| < a) = -V_0 \sin^2(\pi x/a)$ and $V_2(x) = -V_0 \sin^2(2\pi x/a)$ in (a) and (b) respectively. The depth parameter $V_0 = q^2$.

IV. CONCLUSION

By extending the concept of zero energy half bound state (HBS) from 3D to 1D, we have re-phrased the phenomena of $R(0) = 0$ ($R(0) \ll 1$) for the symmetric (non-symmetric) attractive potential wells. We hope that this will be found both interesting and instructive. We denote HBS as $\psi_*(x)$ in distinction to the bound states $\psi_n(x)$. In a scattering potential well (s.t $V(\pm\infty) = 0$), the solitary HBS is characterized by Neumann boundary condition that $\psi'_*(\pm L) = 0$ (L may be finite or infinite, depending upon whether the well is short ranged or converging to zero asymptotically). A well having a HBS of \mathcal{N} -nodes at $E = 0$ means that it has (\mathcal{N}) number of bound states below $E = 0$. A HBS which occurs only at certain critical values q_c of strength parameter q (3) of the well with one or more number of nodes, is often ignored. We have shown that for a symmetric scattering potential well, zero reflection at zero energy occurs critically at $q = q_c$ and as a limit of $R(E)$ as $E \rightarrow 0^+$ (see Table I). For $R(0) = 0$ and its connection with HBS, we have presented two analytic illustrations of square and exponential wells and suggested two more. We have noted that the single Dirac delta potential which is devoid of HBS and has only one bound state is a trivial exception to this paradoxical phenomenon. However, we believe that it is the low reflection at a low energy which is practically more desirable. In this regard, by investigating several profiles of scattering potential wells we find that for a fixed small energy (ϵ), there exist critical values q_c at or around which the reflection $R(\epsilon)$ is very small. So we can adjust the strength parameter of a well to get a low reflection at a low energy. The low reflection at a fixed low energy could be much less in case of symmetric wells than in asymmetric ones (see Figs. 4,5).

TABLE I: The scenario of very low reflectivity at low energies when we approach the critical value of the effective parameter $q = 2.4048255\dots$ obtained analytically (16) for the exponential well (11).

q	$R(10^{-1})$	$R(10^{-2})$	$R(10^{-3})$	$R(10^{-4})$	$R(10^{-5})$
2.40	$.1695 \times 10^{-1}$	$.5423 \times 10^{-2}$	$.1251 \times 10^{-1}$	$.9150 \times 10^{-1}$	$.4956 \times 10^0$
2.404	$.1517 \times 10^{-1}$	$.2320 \times 10^{-2}$	$.9370 \times 10^{-3}$	$.3335 \times 10^{-2}$	$.2828 \times 10^{-1}$
2.4048	$.1482 \times 10^{-1}$	$.1854 \times 10^{-2}$	$.2029 \times 10^{-3}$	$.3601 \times 10^{-4}$	$.4372 \times 10^{-4}$
2.40482	$.1481 \times 10^{-1}$	$.1843 \times 10^{-2}$	$.1914 \times 10^{-3}$	$.2213 \times 10^{-4}$	$.6316 \times 10^{-7}$
2.404825	$.1481 \times 10^{-1}$	$.1840 \times 10^{-2}$	$.1886 \times 10^{-3}$	$.1919 \times 10^{-4}$	$.2215 \times 10^{-7}$
2.4048255	$.1481 \times 10^{-1}$	$.1840 \times 10^{-2}$	$.1883 \times 10^{-3}$	$.1890 \times 10^{-4}$	$.1920 \times 10^{-7}$

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

ZA would like to thank physics trainee-officers of 60th batch of HRDD of BARC for their interest in the course of Quantum Mechanics.

References

-
- [1] D. Park 1964, Second Edition, *Introduction to Quantum Mechanics* (McGraw-Hill, New York), p. 359.
 - [2] F. Schwabl 1992, First Edition. *Quantm Mechanics* (Narosa, New-Delhi), p. 336.
 - [3] S. Flugge 1971, Indian Edition, *Practical Quantum Mechanics* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin), Prob. Nos. 39,75,107.
 - [4] L. D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz 1977, Third Edition, *Quantum Mechanics* (New York, Pergamon) pp. 73-80.
 - [5] D. ter Har 1964 *Selected Problems in Quantum Mechanics* Academic, New York) see the solution to Problem 6, p. 360; V. I. Kogan and V. M. Galitskiy 1963 *Problems in Quantum Mechanics* (Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ) pp. 334-337.
 - [6] F. Calogero and A. Degasperis 1982 *Spectral Transform and Soliton I* (North Holland, New-York) p. 429-437.
 - [7] P. Senn 1998 ‘Threshold anomalies in one-dimensional scattering’, Am. J. Phys. **56**, 916.

- [8] J. M. Blatt and V. F. Weisskopf 1952, First Edition, *Theoretical Nuclear Physics* (Wiley, London), p. 68.
- [9] Z. Ahmed 2010 ‘Studying the scattering length by varying the depth of the potential well’, *Am. J. Phys.* **78** 418.
- [10] K. Chadani, N. N. Khuri, A. Martin, T.T. Wu 2003 ‘Bound states in one and two spatial dimensions,’ *J. Math. Phys.* **44**, 406.
- [11] E.P. Wigner 1948 ‘On the behavior of cross sections near thresholds’, *Phys. Rev.* **78** 1002.
- [12] L.I. Schiff 1968 *Quantum Mechanics* (McGraw-Hill, New Delhi) pp. 122-129.
- [13] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun 1970 *A Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Dover, New-York), p. 362.
- [14] J.N. Ginocchio 1984 ‘A Class of Exactly Solvable Potentials ’, *Ann. of Phys.(NY)* **152** 203.
- [15] Z. Ahmed, S. Kumar, M. Sharma, V. Sharma 2016 ‘Revisiting double Dirac Delta potential’, *Euro. J. Phys.* **37** 045406.
- [16] H. Zhang and J. W. Lynn 1993 ‘New exact solution of the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation and its application to polarized neutron reflectometry’, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70** 77.
- [17] Z. Ahmed 2000 ‘Reflection from an interface’, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **33** 3161 (Also see Refs. therein).
- [18] H. Heil and J. V. Hollweg 1967 ‘Electron reflection coefficient at zero Energy’, *Phys. Rev.* **164** 881.
- [19] F. O. Goodman 1982 ‘Zero-reflection coefficients for the UVA atom-surface interaction potential’, *Surface Science* **118** L246.
- [20] O. Pujol, R. Carles and J-P Perez, 2014 ‘Quantum propagation and confinement in 1D systems using the transfer matrices’, *Eur. J. Phys.* **35** 035025.
- [21] Let $f(x, y) = y/(y+x)$, then if $y \neq 0$, $f(0, y) = 1$ and also the limit of $f(x, y)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ is 1. But if $y = 0$, $f(0, 0) = 0/0$ (undefined or indeterminate), then $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x, 0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(h, 0) = 0/1 = 0$ by differentiating *w.r.t.* x or h separately in numerator and denominator as per L’Hospital rule. The same is true of $g(x, y) = y/(y + x^2)$, $g(0, 0) = 0/0$ is not defined but by L’Hospital rule $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} g(x, 0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} g(h, 0) = 0/(2h) = 0$ because h is arbitrarily small but not zero or else one can again apply L’Hospital rule.