

ADDITIVE POSETS, CW-COMPLEXES, AND GRAPHS

VLADIMIR TURAEV

ABSTRACT. We introduce and study additive posets. We show that the top homology group (with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$) of a finite dimensional CW-complex carries a structure of an additive poset invariant under subdivisions. Applications to CW-complexes and graphs are discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper started with the following elementary question: which 1-dimensional homology classes of graphs are realizable by embedded circles? For example, if a graph X is a wedge of circles, then these circles are embedded in X and represent generators of the homology group $H = H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. All other elements of H cannot be realized by embedded circles. An analysis of this phenomenon leads us to the formalism of additive posets. The notion of an additive poset introduced here combines the concepts of an abelian group and a poset in a way different from partially ordered groups. A basic example of an additive poset is provided by the power set of a set with the order determined by the inclusion and the addition determined by the symmetric difference. We develop the theory of additive posets and, in particular, define their distinguished elements called atoms and tiles.

Additive posets naturally arise in the study of graphs and, more generally, CW-complexes. For a CW-complex X of finite dimension $n \geq 0$, each element of the top homology group $H = H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is uniquely represented by an n -cycle, that is by a finite collection of n -cells of X such that every $(n-1)$ -cell of X is incident to an even number of n -cells in this collection (counting with multiplicities). For $a, b \in H$, we set $a \leq b$ if the collection of n -cells representing a is contained in the collection of n -cells representing b . This defines a partial order in H and turns H into an additive poset preserved under subdivisions of X . We call H the homology poset of X . We prove that for $n \geq 2$, each finite additive poset is realizable as the homology poset of an n -dimensional CW-complex (Theorem 10.1). For $n = 1$, i.e., for graphs, a similar claim does not hold: some finite additive posets are not realizable as the homology posets of graphs (Theorem 12.2).

As a geometric application, we estimate from below the number of n -cells of an n -dimensional CW-complex X in terms of the partial order in $H = H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. This improves the standard estimate (the number of n -cells) $\geq \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} H$. We also consider the problem of realization of elements of H by embeddings of closed n -manifolds into X . We show that only tiles of H can be realized by such embeddings (Theorem 9.2). Only atoms of H can be realized by embeddings of closed connected n -manifolds into X . For $n = 1$, we have a more precise statement: a 1-dimensional homology class of a graph can be realized by an embedded circle if and only if this homology class is an atom (Theorem 11.2).

¹AMS Subject Classification: 05C10, 05C38, 06A11, 57Q05

2. ADDITIVE POSETS AND THEIR MORPHISMS

2.1. Additive posets. Recall that a (non-strict) partial order in a set P is a binary relation \leq over P such that for all $a, b, c \in P$, we have: $a \leq a$ (reflexivity); if $a \leq b$ and $b \leq a$, then $a = b$ (antisymmetry); if $a \leq b$ and $b \leq c$, then $a \leq c$ (transitivity). A set endowed with a partial order is called a partially ordered set or a poset.

By an *additive poset* we mean a pair (an abelian group A , a partial order \leq in A) such that for any $a, b, c \in A$, the following two conditions are met:

- (*) if $b \leq a$ and $c \leq a$, then $b + c \leq a$;
- (**) if $a \leq b$ and $a \leq c$, then $a \leq a + b + c$.

We will denote the zero element of A by 0 and will usually denote an additive poset (A, \leq) simply by A .

Lemma 2.1. *For any element a of an additive poset, $a + a = 0$ and $0 \leq a$.*

Proof. By the definition of a partial order, $a \leq a$. Condition (*) implies that $a + a \leq a$. A second application of (*) yields $a + a + a \leq a$. Also, an application of Condition (**) to the relation $a \leq a$ yields $a \leq a + a + a$. Therefore $a + a + a = a$. Consequently, $a + a = 0$ and $0 = a + a \leq a$. \square

Lemma 2.1 shows that all nonzero elements of an additive poset have order two. This allows us to treat additive posets as vector spaces over the field $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1\}$. Lemma 2.1 implies that the zero vector is the (unique) least element of an additive poset. We will often use the following corollary of this fact: if a vector a in an additive poset satisfies $a \leq 0$, then $a = 0$.

The partial order in an additive poset A restricted to any subgroup of A turns the latter into an additive poset called an *additive subposet* of A .

2.2. Examples. 1. Any $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -vector space A carries the *trivial partial order* \leq_t defined by $0 \leq_t a \leq_t a$ for all $a \in A$ (and no other relations). The pair (A, \leq_t) is an additive poset.

2. Each set I gives rise to an additive poset 2^I whose elements are arbitrary subsets of I . The partial order in 2^I is determined by the inclusion: $X \leq Y$ if $X \subset Y$ for $X, Y \in 2^I$. Addition in 2^I is the symmetric difference: $X + Y = (X \setminus Y) \cup (Y \setminus X)$ for $X, Y \in 2^I$. The zero element of 2^I is the empty set. All properties of an additive poset are straightforward. We call 2^I the *additive powerset* of I .

The additive powerset 2^I can be described in terms of maps $I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Such maps bijectively correspond to subsets of I by assigning to every set $X \subset I$ its characteristic map $I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ carrying all elements of X to 1 and all elements of $I \setminus X$ to 0. Addition in 2^I corresponds in this language to the addition of functions. The partial order in 2^I is formulated in this language as follows: two maps $a, b : I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $a \leq b$ if and only if $a(i) \leq_t b(i)$ for all $i \in I$, where \leq_t is the trivial partial order in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

3. Finite subsets of a set I form an additive subposet of 2^I denoted 2_f^I . It can be equivalently defined in terms of the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -vector space $A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) i$. We identify $2_f^I = A$ by assigning to each finite set $J \subset I$ the vector $\sum_{i \in J} i \in A$. The addition and partial order in 2_f^I correspond to the addition in A and the following partial order in A : a vector $\sum_{i \in I} a_i i$ is smaller than or equal to a vector $\sum_{i \in I} b_i i$ (with $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$) if and only if $a_i \leq_t b_i$ for all i . If I is a finite set, then $2^I = 2_f^I$.

4. Given a set I , the finite subsets of I with an even number of elements form an additive subposet of 2_f^I denoted by 2_{ev}^I .

5. Let R be a Boolean ring that is a ring such that $a^2 = a$ for all $a \in R$. It is known that such a ring is commutative and $a + a = 0$ for all $a \in R$. The canonical partial order in R is defined by $a \leq b$ if $a = ab$. It is easy to check that the underlying additive group of R with this partial order is an additive poset.

6. For additive posets A and B , consider the direct sum of their underlying abelian groups with the cartesian partial order: $(a, b) \leq (a', b')$ if $a \leq a'$ and $b \leq b'$ where $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$. This gives an additive poset denoted $A \oplus B$.

2.3. Morphisms of additive posets. A *morphism* of additive posets $A \rightarrow B$ is a group homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ which is *order-preserving* in the sense that for all $a, b \in A$ satisfying $a \leq b$, we have $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(b)$. Additive posets and their morphisms form a category with the obvious composition of morphisms. An isomorphism in this category is a bijective map between additive posets which is both a group isomorphism and a poset isomorphism.

For example, a map g from a set I to a set J induces a morphism of additive posets $g^* : 2^J \rightarrow 2^I$ carrying each subset of J to its pre-image under g . If g is injective, then it induces a morphism of additive posets $g_* : 2^I \rightarrow 2^J$ carrying each subset of I to its image under g . If g is a bijection, then g^* and g_* are mutually inverse isomorphisms of additive posets.

2.4. Remarks. 1. The partial order in a nonzero additive poset A is not translation invariant. Indeed, for any nonzero $a \in A$ we have $0 \leq a$. If the order is translation invariant, then $a = a + 0 \leq a + a = 0$, i.e., $a = 0$, a contradiction.

2. Three elements a, b, c of an additive poset satisfy the relations $a \leq b$, $a \leq c$, and $a \leq b + c$ if and only if $a = 0$. The “if” part is obvious. The “only if” part: by (**), the first two relations imply that $a \leq a + b + c$. If $a \leq b + c$, then another application of (**) gives

$$a \leq a + (a + b + c) + (b + c) = (a + a) + (b + b) + (c + c) = 0.$$

Consequently, $a = 0$.

3. Given an additive poset A , consider the partial binary operation \setminus on A defined by $a \setminus b = a + b$ for $a, b \in A$ such that $b \leq a$. This is a difference operation in the sense of [KC]. If A has a greatest element, then the underlying poset of A with the operation \setminus is a difference poset in the sense of [NP, KC].

3. A CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIVE POSETS

3.1. Construction. We show how to construct additive posets from families of linear functionals. We start with a lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let A be a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -vector space and let (B, \leq) be an additive poset. Any set S of linear maps $A \rightarrow B$ determines a binary relation \preceq in A as follows: $a \preceq b$ for $a, b \in A$ if (and only if) $s(a) \leq s(b)$ for all $s \in S$. If $\bigcap_{s \in S} \text{Ker } s = 0$, then (A, \preceq) is an additive poset.*

Proof. Reflexivity and transitivity of \preceq follow from the corresponding properties of \leq . To prove the antisymmetry of \preceq , consider any $a, b \in A$ such that $a \preceq b$ and $b \preceq a$. Then $s(a) \leq s(b)$ and $s(b) \leq s(a)$ for all $s \in S$. Since \leq is a partial order, $s(a) = s(b)$ for all $s \in S$. Therefore $a - b \in \bigcap_{s \in S} \text{Ker } s = 0$ so that $a = b$.

We verify Condition (*) in the definition of an additive poset. If $a, b, c \in A$ satisfy $b \preceq a$ and $c \preceq a$, then for any $s \in S$, we have $s(b) \leq s(a)$ and $s(c) \leq s(a)$.

Since s is an additive map and (B, \leq) is an additive poset,

$$s(b + c) = s(b) + s(c) \leq s(a).$$

Consequently, $b + c \leq a$. Condition $(**)$ is verified similarly: if $a, b, c \in A$ satisfy $a \leq b$ and $a \leq c$, then for all $s \in S$, we have $s(a) \leq s(b)$ and $s(a) \leq s(c)$. Since s is an additive map and (B, \leq) is an additive poset,

$$s(a) \leq s(a) + s(b) + s(c) = s(a + b + c).$$

Consequently, $a \leq a + b + c$. \square

Applying Lemma 3.1 to $B = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ with the trivial partial order \leq_t , we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let A be a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -vector space and $A^* = \text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Any set $S \subset A^*$ determines a binary relation \leq in A as follows: $a \leq b$ for $a, b \in A$ if (and only if) $s(a) \leq_t s(b)$ for all $s \in S$. If $\bigcap_{s \in S} \text{Ker } s = 0$, then the pair (A, \leq) is an additive poset.*

To ensure the condition $\bigcap_{s \in S} \text{Ker } s = 0$ in Theorem 3.2, it suffices to require that S generates A^* as a vector space.

3.2. Examples. In the following examples, A is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -vector space.

1. For $S = A^*$, Theorem 3.2 yields the trivial partial order in A . Indeed, for any distinct nonzero vectors $a, b \in A$, there is a homomorphism $s : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ such that $s(a) = 1$ and $s(b) = 0$. This shows that $a \not\leq b$ and leaves only the relations $0 \leq a \leq a$ for all $a \in A$.

2. For a nonzero vector $a_0 \in A$, consider the set $S \subset A^*$ consisting of all homomorphisms $A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ which carry a_0 to 1. Applying Theorem 3.2 we obtain a partial order in A which turns A into an additive poset. This partial order may be directly defined by $0 \leq a \leq a \leq a_0$ for all $a \in A$.

3. For a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -vector subspace H of A and a nonzero vector $a_0 \in H$, let $S \subset A^*$ consist of all homomorphisms $A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ which either carry a_0 to 1 or carry H to 0. Applying Theorem 3.2 we obtain a partial order in A which turns A into an additive poset. This partial order may be directly defined by $0 \leq a \leq a$ for all $a \in A$ and $a \leq a_0$ for all $a \in H$. For $H = A$, we obtain the previous example.

4. TAILS AND INDEPENDENCE

4.1. Tails. For any element a of an additive poset A , the set $A_a = \{b \in A \mid b \leq a\}$ is a subgroup of A as follows from the relation $0 \leq a$ and Condition $(*)$ in the definition of an additive poset. The subgroup A_a is called the *tail* of a . We have $a \in A_a$ and $A_0 = \{0\}$.

We say that a subgroup B of A is *full* if $A_b \subset B$ for all $b \in B$. Clearly, the tail of any element of A is a full subgroup of A .

4.2. Independence. We say that elements a, b of an additive poset A are *independent* (from each other) if $a \leq a + b$. Since $a + b \leq a + b$, Condition $(*)$ in Section 2.1 implies that for independent a, b , we have

$$b = a + (a + b) \leq a + b = b + a.$$

Thus, the relation of independence is symmetric. Independent nonzero vectors $a, b \in A$ are incomparable in the sense that neither $a \leq b$ nor $b \leq a$. Indeed, if, for

example, $a \leq b$, then applying $(**)$ to the relations $a \leq b$ and $a \leq a + b$, we obtain that $a \leq 0$, which contradicts the assumption $a \neq 0$.

Example: elements of the additive powerset 2^I of a set I are independent if and only if they are disjoint as subsets of I . The same holds for 2_f^I and 2_{ev}^I .

Lemma 4.1. *Let A^a be the set of all elements of an additive poset A independent from $a \in A$. Then A^a is a full subgroup of A and $A^a \cap A_a = \{0\}$. Also, $A^b \subset A^a$ for all $a \leq b$.*

Proof. The definition of independence implies that $0 \in A^a$. For any $b, c \in A^a$, we have $a \leq a + b$ and $a \leq a + c$. By Condition $(**)$ from Section 2.1,

$$a \leq a + (a + b) + (a + c) = a + b + c.$$

Thus, $b + c \in A^a$, i.e., A^a is a subgroup of A . The equality $A^a \cap A_a = \{0\}$ holds because all nonzero elements of A^a are incomparable with a .

To prove that A^a is full, we should check that $A_b \subset A^a$ for all $b \in A^a$. Pick any $c \in A_b$. Then $c \leq b \leq a + b$, and therefore $c \leq a + b$. Applying Condition $(**)$ to the relations $c \leq b$ and $c \leq a + b$, we obtain that

$$c \leq c + b + (a + b) = a + c.$$

Thus, $c \in A^a$.

To prove that $A^b \subset A^a$ for $a \leq b$, pick any $c \in A^b$. Then $a \leq b \leq b + c$. Thus, both $a \leq b$ and $a \leq b + c$. Applying $(**)$, we get $a \leq a + c$ so that $c \in A^a$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Any pairwise independent nonzero vectors in an additive poset are linearly independent (over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$).*

Proof. If the vectors in question are not linearly independent, then some of them, say, $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ satisfy $b_1 + \dots + b_n = 0$ where $n \geq 1$. For $n = 1$, this contradicts the assumption $b_1 \neq 0$. Suppose that $n \geq 2$. Since the vectors b_2, \dots, b_n are independent from b_1 , their sum is also independent from b_1 , see Lemma 4.1. Then

$$b_1 \leq b_1 + (b_2 + \dots + b_n) = 0.$$

Consequently, $b_1 = 0$ which contradicts the assumptions of the lemma. \square

For elements a, b of a poset P , one writes $a < b$ if $a \leq b$ and $a \neq b$. A *chain of length $n \geq 1$ in P* is a sequence $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in P$ such that $a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n$.

Lemma 4.3. *For any element a of an additive poset A and any integer $n \geq 1$, there is a bijective correspondence between chains of length n in A starting with a and sequences of n pairwise independent nonzero vectors in A^a . The correspondence carries a chain*

$$(4.2.1) \quad a = a_0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n$$

into the sequence b_1, \dots, b_n where $b_i = a_{i-1} + a_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. The inverse correspondence carries a sequence $b_1, \dots, b_n \in A$ into the chain (4.2.1) defined by $a_i = a + b_1 + \dots + b_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. Consider a chain (4.2.1) in A and set $b_i = a_{i-1} + a_i \in A$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We claim that b_1, \dots, b_n are pairwise independent nonzero vectors of A^a . That $b_i \neq 0$ for all i follows from the assumption $a_{i-1} < a_i$ which implies that $a_{i-1} \neq a_i$. Since $a_{i-1} \leq a_i$, Condition $(*)$ in the definition of an additive poset implies that

$$b_i = a_{i-1} + a_i \leq a_i = a_{i-1} + b_i.$$

Thus, $b_i \leq a_i$ and b_i is independent from a_{i-1} for all $i \geq 1$. Now, for any $j = 1, \dots, i-1$, we have $a_j \leq a_{i-1}$. Since b_i is independent from a_{i-1} , Lemma 4.1 implies that b_i is independent from a_j . Since $b_j \leq a_j$, Lemma 4.1 implies that b_i is independent from b_j . Note also that b_i is independent from $a_0 = a$ so that $b_i \in A^a$. This proves our claim above.

Conversely, consider a sequence b_1, \dots, b_n of pairwise independent nonzero vectors in A^a . Set $a_0 = a$ and $a_i = a + b_1 + \dots + b_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. This yields a chain (4.2.1) in A . Indeed, by the assumptions, the vectors a, b_1, \dots, b_{i-1} are independent from b_i for all i , and therefore, by Lemma 4.1, their sum a_{i-1} is independent from b_i . Thus, $a_{i-1} \leq a_{i-1} + b_i = a_i$. Also, $a_{i-1} \neq a_i$ because $b_i \neq 0$. It is clear that the correspondences above are mutually inverse. \square

As an exercise, the reader may prove that for any independent elements a, b of an additive poset A , we have $A_{a+b} \supset A_a + A_b$ and $A^{a+b} = A^a \cap A^b$.

5. ATOMS AND TILES

5.1. Atoms. One says that an element a of a poset P *covers* an element $b \in P$ if $b < a$ and there is no $c \in P$ such that $b < c < a$. An element of an additive poset is an *atom* if it covers 0. In other words, an element a of an additive poset is an atom if $a \neq 0$ and its tail consists solely of 0 and a . For example, all nonzero vectors in an additive poset with trivial partial order are atoms.

Lemma 5.1. *An element a of an additive poset A covers an element $b \in A$ if and only if $a + b$ is an atom and $a + b \leq a$.*

Proof. Suppose that $a + b$ is an atom and $a + b \leq a$. Then $a + b \neq 0$ and so $a \neq b$. By Condition (*), the relations $a + b \leq a$ and $a \leq a$ imply that $b \leq a$. Thus $b < a$. If there is $c \in A$ such that $b < c < a$, then applying Lemma 4.3 to the chain $b < c < a$ we obtain that $b + c$ and $c + a$ are independent nonzero vectors. Hence

$$0 < b + c < (b + c) + (c + a) = a + b.$$

This contradicts the assumption that $a + b$ is an atom. Thus, a covers b .

Conversely, suppose that a covers b . Then $b < a$ and, in particular, $a \neq b$. Therefore $a + b \neq 0$. By Condition (*), the relations $a \leq a$ and $b \leq a$ imply that $a + b \leq a$. It remains to prove that $a + b$ is an atom. If not, then there is $c \in A$ such that $0 < c < a + b$. Combining with $a + b \leq a$, we obtain that $c < a$. Using Condition (*) and the relations $b < a$ and $c < a$, we obtain that $b + c \leq a$. Since $c \neq a + b$, we have $b + c \neq a$. Thus $b + c < a$. Next, using Condition (**), we deduce from $c < a + b$ and $c < a$ that $c \leq b + c$. Thus, b and c are independent and consequently, $b \leq b + c$. Since $c \neq 0$, we have $b \neq b + c$. Thus, $b < b + c < a$. This contradicts the assumption that a covers b . Hence, $a + b$ is an atom. \square

Lemma 5.1 may be rephrased in terms of the Hasse diagrams. The Hasse diagram of a poset P is a directed graph with the set of vertices P which has an edge directed from $a \in P$ to $b \in P$ if and only if a covers b . By the Hasse diagram of an additive poset we mean the Hasse diagram of the underlying poset. Lemma 5.1 implies that the elements of an additive poset A covered by a vector $a \in A$ bijectively correspond to the atoms of the additive poset $A_a \subset A$. The correspondence carries any $b \in A$ covered by a to $a + b \in A_a$. The inverse correspondence carries an atom $c \in A_a$ to $a + c$. Similarly, the elements of A covering a vector $b \in A$ bijectively correspond

to the atoms of $A^b \subset A$. The correspondence carries any $a \in A$ covering b to $a + b \in A^b$. The inverse correspondence carries an atom $c \in A^b$ to $b + c$.

5.2. Tiles. An element a of an additive poset A is a *tile* if $a \neq 0$ and any two distinct atoms belonging to $A_a \subset A$ are independent. Clearly, all atoms are tiles. All nonzero elements of the tail of a tile are tiles.

Theorem 5.2. *Let a be a tile in an additive poset A . Let I be the set of all atoms of A_a . The additive subposet of A_a additively generated by I is isomorphic to 2_f^I .*

Proof. For each finite set $J \subset I$, put $\varphi(J) = \sum_{j \in J} j \in A_a$. This defines an additive homomorphism $\varphi : 2_f^I \rightarrow A_a$. It is injective as directly follows from the assumption that a is a tile and Lemma 4.2. We need only to verify that φ carries the partial order in 2_f^I determined by the inclusion of sets into the partial order in $\varphi(2_f^I)$ induced by that of A . Observe that if finite sets $J, L \subset I$ are disjoint, then all elements of J are independent from elements of L . By the first claim of Lemma 4.1, the vectors $\varphi(J)$ and $\varphi(L)$ are independent from each other. Thus, $\varphi(J) \leq \varphi(J) + \varphi(L) = \varphi(J \cup L)$. Consequently, for any finite sets $J \subset K \subset I$, we have $\varphi(J) \leq \varphi(K)$. Conversely, suppose that $\varphi(J) \leq \varphi(K)$ for some finite sets $J, K \subset I$. For any $j_0 \in J$, we have

$$j_0 \leq \sum_{j \in J} j = \varphi(J) \leq \varphi(K).$$

Therefore $j_0 \leq \varphi(K)$. If $j_0 \notin K$, then the vectors j_0 and $\varphi(K) = \sum_{k \in K} k$ are independent and therefore incomparable. This contradicts the relation $j_0 \leq \varphi(K)$. Thus, $j_0 \in K$. This proves that $J \subset K$. \square

5.3. Examples. For a set I , the atoms of the additive posets 2^I and 2_f^I are the one-element subsets of I . All nonzero elements of 2^I and 2_f^I are tiles. The atoms of 2_{ev}^I are the two-element subsets of I . The additive poset 2_{ev}^I has no tiles other than its atoms.

5.4. Remark. For a finite set I , the additive poset 2_{ev}^I is isomorphic to an additive powerset if and only if $|I| \leq 2$. Indeed, if $I = \emptyset$ or $|I| = 1$, then $2_{ev}^I = \{0\} = 2^\emptyset$. If $|I| = 2$, then $2_{ev}^I = 2^J$ where J is a one-element set. If $|I| = 3$ or, more generally, if $|I| \geq 3$ is odd, then 2_{ev}^I does not have a greatest element while any additive powerset has a greatest element. If $|I| \geq 4$, then 2_{ev}^I has nonzero elements that are not tiles while all nonzero elements of a finite additive powerset are tiles.

6. FINITE ADDITIVE POSETS

An additive poset is *finite* if its underlying set is finite. In this section, we discuss properties of finite additive posets.

6.1. Atoms as generators. The following theorem shows that every element of a finite additive poset expands in a canonical way as a sum of the atoms.

Theorem 6.1. *Let A be a finite additive poset and let $\mu : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the Möbius function of the partial order in A . Then any $a \in A$ expands $a = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{A}} \mu(b, a) b$ where $\mathcal{A} \subset A$ is the set of atoms of A .*

Proof. The function μ is uniquely characterized by the following properties: $\mu(a, b) = 0$ for all $a, b \in A$ such that $a \not\leq b$ and $\mu(a, a) = 1$ for all $a \in A$; $\mu(a, b) = -\sum_{a \leq c < b} \mu(a, c)$ for all $a, b \in A$ with $a < b$. Given a map f from A to an abelian group B , one defines a map $f_\bullet : A \rightarrow B$ by

$$f_\bullet(a) = \sum_{b \leq a} f(b) \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

The Möbius inversion formula says that

$$(6.1.1) \quad f(a) = \sum_{b \in A} \mu(b, a) f_\bullet(b) \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

We apply these formulas to the identity map $f = \text{id} : A \rightarrow A$. The map id_\bullet carries any $a \in A$ to $\sum_{b \in A_a} b$. If a is an atom, then $\text{id}_\bullet(a) = a$. If a is not an atom, then $\text{id}_\bullet(a) = 0$ because the vector $\sum_{b \in A_a} b \in A_a$ is invariant under all automorphisms of the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -vector space A_a and $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} A_a \geq 2$. Now, Formula (6.1.1) directly implies the claim of the theorem. \square

Corollary 6.2. *Any finite additive poset is additively generated by its atoms.*

The following theorem yields a different kind of expansions of elements of a finite additive poset as sums of atoms.

Theorem 6.3. *Every nonzero element a of a finite additive poset A expands as a sum of pairwise independent atoms of A . The atoms in any such expansion of a belong to the tail of a .*

Proof. One says that a chain $a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n$ in a poset is *saturated* if a_i covers a_{i-1} for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Lemma 5.1 implies that under the bijective correspondence of Lemma 4.3, saturated chains in A starting with $a_0 = 0$ correspond to sequences of pairwise independent atoms b_1, \dots, b_n in $A^0 = A$. The maximal element a_n of the chain is computed by $a_n = b_1 + \dots + b_n$. Since A is finite, for any $a \in A$ there is a saturated chain with maximal element a . For the corresponding pairwise independent atoms b_1, \dots, b_n , we have $a = b_1 + \dots + b_n$.

To prove the second claim of the theorem consider an expansion of a as a sum of pairwise independent atoms $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ with $n \geq 1$. If $n = 1$, then $a_1 = a \leq a$. If $n \geq 2$, then Lemma 4.1 implies that a_1 is independent from $b = a_2 + \dots + a_n$ so that $a_1 \leq a_1 + b = a$. Similarly, $a_i \leq a$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. \square

6.2. Remarks. 1. For any atom $a \in A$, the expansions of a in both Theorems 6.1 and 6.3 are just $a = a$.

2. The expansion in Theorem 6.3 is not necessarily unique. For instance, given four distinct elements i, j, k, l of a set I , the element $\{i, j, k, l\}$ of the additive poset 2_{ev}^I expands as a sum of pairwise independent atoms in three ways:

$$\{i, j, k, l\} = \{i, j\} + \{k, l\} = \{i, k\} + \{j, l\} = \{i, l\} + \{j, k\}.$$

6.3. Tiles re-examined. We discuss the tiles of finite additive posets.

Theorem 6.4. *Let A be a finite additive poset. The following conditions on a nonzero vector $a \in A$ are equivalent:*

- (i) a is a tile;
- (ii) the tail A_a of a is isomorphic to an additive powerset;
- (iii) all atoms of A_a are pairwise independent and their sum is equal to a ;

(iv) the expansion of a as a sum of pairwise independent atoms of A is unique up to permutation of the summands.

Proof. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) follows from Theorem 5.2 and the fact that the atoms of A_a additively generate A_a . Note also that the set I of atoms of A_a is finite and so $2_f^I = 2^I$.

We prove that (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Under an identification of A_a with the additive powerset of a finite set I , the atoms of A_a correspond to singletons while the vector $a \in A_a$, being the maximal element of A_a , corresponds to the set I itself. It is clear that the singletons are pairwise disjoint and their union is I . This proves (iii).

We prove that (iii) \Rightarrow (iv). Given an expansion of a as a sum of pairwise independent atoms a_1, \dots, a_k of A , we have $a_1, \dots, a_k \in A_a$ by Theorem 6.3. We need only to show that all atoms of A_a appear among a_1, \dots, a_k . Let b_1, \dots, b_l be the atoms of A_a not appearing among a_1, \dots, a_k . By assumption, the atoms $a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_l$ are pairwise independent and their sum is equal to a . Since $a = a_1 + \dots + a_k$, we have $b_1 + \dots + b_l = 0$. By Lemma 4.2, we have $l = 0$.

We now prove that (iv) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose that a has a unique expansion as a sum of pairwise independent atoms a_1, \dots, a_n of A with $n \geq 1$. By Theorem 6.3, $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A_a$. Pick any atom $c \in A_a$. If $a = c$, then a is an atom and (i) is clear. Suppose that $a \neq c$ and set $b = a + c \neq 0$. Since $c \leq a = c + b$, the atom c is independent from b . Pick an expansion of b as a sum of pairwise independent atoms $b_1, \dots, b_m \in A_b$ with $m \geq 1$. Lemma 4.1 implies that c is independent from b_j for all j . Thus, the atoms c, b_1, \dots, b_m are pairwise independent and their sum is equal to $c + b = a$. By assumption, the sets of atoms $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ and $\{c, b_1, \dots, b_m\}$ must coincide. Thus, $c = a_i$ for some i . Therefore, all atoms of A_a belong to the set $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$. This implies that a is a tile. \square

6.4. Example. Let $a_0 \in H \subset A$ be as in Example 3.2.3 and $\dim(H) \geq 2$. Then $A_{a_0} = H$ and the set of atoms of A_{a_0} is $\mathcal{A} = H \setminus \{a_0, 0\}$. The expansion of a_0 in Theorem 6.1 is $a_0 = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} a$. Theorem 6.3 yields an expansion of a_0 as a sum of two atoms: any $b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $a_0 + b \in \mathcal{A}$. The vector a_0 is a tile iff $\dim(H) = 2$.

7. INVARIANTS OF FINITE ADDITIVE POSETS

7.1. Invariants. We consider four numerical invariants of finite additive posets: the height, the width, the weight, and the dimension. The first two are defined for any finite poset P . The *height* $h(P)$ is the maximal length of a chain in P . The *width* $w(P)$ is the cardinality of a biggest antichain in P where an antichain is a subset consisting of pairwise incomparable elements. The height $h(A)$ and width $w(A)$ of a finite additive poset A are the height and width of the underlying poset. The *weight* $wt(A)$ of A is the number of atoms of A . The *dimension* $\dim(A)$ of A is the dimension of A as a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -vector space. Clearly, $\dim(A) = \log_2 |A|$ where the vertical bars stand for the number of elements of a set.

Theorem 7.1. *For any finite additive poset A , we have*

$$h(A) \leq \dim(A) \leq wt(A) \leq w(A).$$

Proof. By definition, $n = h(A)$ is the maximal integer such that A has a chain of length n . Since $0 \in A$ is the least element of A , a chain of length n in A must start with 0 . Lemma 4.3 implies that n is the maximal integer such that there are n pairwise independent nonzero vectors in $A^0 = A$. By Lemma 4.2, $n \leq \dim(A)$.

The inequalities $\dim(A) \leq wt(A) \leq w(A)$ follow from the fact that the atoms of A generate A as a vector space and form an antichain. \square

7.2. Examples. 1. Let I be a finite set with n elements. Then

$$h(2^I) = \dim(2^I) = wt(2^I) = n$$

and, by Sperner's theorem (see [En]), $w(2^I) = \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$.

2. By Theorem 7.1, if P is the underlying poset of a finite additive poset, then $h(P) \leq \log_2(|P|) \leq w(P)$. For example, for an integer $n \geq 1$, the set $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ with partial order $0 \leq 1 \leq \dots \leq n$ has the height n . Since $n \leq \log_2(n+1)$ only for $n = 1$, the poset $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ with $n \geq 2$ does not underlie an additive poset.

7.3. The weight function. Let A be a finite additive poset. Any invariant ψ of finite additive posets determines two functions on A by $\psi(a) = \psi(A_a)$ and $co - \psi(a) = \psi(A^a)$ for all $a \in A$. In particular, these definitions apply to the invariants from Section 7.1. We briefly discuss the functions associated with $\psi = wt$.

We define the *weight* of $a \in A$ by $wt(a) = wt(A_a)$. Thus, $wt(a)$ is the number of atoms of A that are smaller than or equal to a . In other words, $wt(a)$ is the number of edges in the Hasse diagram of A directed from a to other vertices. If $a, b \in A$ satisfy $a < b$, then $wt(a) < wt(b)$. Indeed, since $A_a \subset A_b$, all atoms of A_a are also atoms of A_b . By Corollary 6.2, A_b is generated by its atoms. Since $b \in A_b \setminus A_a$, we have $A_b \neq A_a$, and thus at least one atom of A_b does not belong to A_a .

We define the *coweight* of $a \in A$ by $cowt(a) = wt(A^a)$. Thus, $cowt(a)$ is the number of atoms of A independent from a or, equivalently, the number of edges of the Hasse diagram of A directed to a . Since $A_a \cap A^a = \{0\}$, we have $wt(a) + cowt(a) \leq wt(A)$. If $a, b \in A$ satisfy $a < b$, then $cowt(a) > cowt(b)$. This is shown as in the previous paragraph using that $A^a \supset A^b$ and $a + b \in A^a \setminus A^b$.

8. PLAIN ADDITIVE POSETS

We introduce a class of plain additive posets.

8.1. Embeddings. An *embedding* of an additive poset A into an additive poset B is an isomorphism of A onto an additive subposet of B . In other words, an embedding $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is a group monomorphism such that for any $a, b \in A$, the relation $a \leq b$ holds in A if and only if $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(b)$ in B . We say that A *embeds* in B if there is an embedding $A \rightarrow B$.

We say that an additive poset A is *plain* if it embeds in the additive powerset 2^I for some finite set I . Then A is finite and $\dim(A) \leq \dim(2^I) = |I|$.

8.2. Separating functionals. It is useful to reformulate plainness in terms of linear functionals. By a linear functional on an additive poset A , we mean a group homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. A linear functional $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is *order-preserving* if it is a morphism of additive posets $A \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \leq_t)$. In other words, f is order-preserving if for any $a, b \in A$ such that $a \leq b$, we have $f(a) \leq_t f(b)$.

We say that a set $S \subset A^* = \text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is *separating* if all elements of S are order-preserving and for any $a, b \in A$ with $a \not\leq b$, there is $s \in S$ such that $s(a) = 1$ and $s(b) = 0$. Taking here $b = 0$ we obtain that for any nonzero $a \in A$, there is $s \in S$ such that $s(a) = 1$. In other words, $\bigcap_{s \in S} \text{Ker } s = 0$.

Theorem 8.1. *The following conditions on a finite additive poset A are equivalent:*

- (i) A is plain;
- (ii) The set of all order-preserving linear functionals on A is separating;
- (iii) There exists a separating subset of A^* ;
- (iv) The partial order in A is obtained as in Theorem 3.2 from a set $S \subset A^*$ such that $\bigcap_{s \in S} \text{Ker } s = 0$.

Proof. We prove that (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose that there is an embedding $\varphi : A \rightarrow 2^I$, where I is a finite set. For each $i \in I$, consider the map $s_i : 2^I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ which carries a set $J \subset I$ to 1 if $i \in J$ and to 0 if $i \notin J$. It is clear that s_i is an order-preserving linear map. Hence, $s_i \varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is an order-preserving linear functional. To check (ii), pick any $a, b \in A$ with $a \not\leq b$. Then $\varphi(a) \not\subseteq \varphi(b)$, i.e., the set $\varphi(a) \subset I$ is not contained in the set $\varphi(b) \subset I$. For any $i \in \varphi(a) \setminus \varphi(b)$, we have $s_i \varphi(a) = 1$ and $s_i \varphi(b) = 0$.

The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is obvious. We show that (iii) \Rightarrow (iv). Let $S \subset A^*$ be a separating set. As we know, $\bigcap_{s \in S} \text{Ker } s = 0$. We claim that the given partial order \leq in A coincides with the partial order \preceq in A determined by S as in Theorem 3.2. Indeed, pick any $a, b \in A$. If $a \leq b$, then $s(a) \leq_t s(b)$ for all $s \in S$ because all $s \in S$ are order-preserving. By the definition of \preceq , we have $a \preceq b$. If $a \not\leq b$, then, since S is separating, there is $s \in S$ such that $s(a) = 1$ and $s(b) = 0$. By the definition of \preceq , we have $a \not\preceq b$. Therefore, $\leq = \preceq$.

It remains to prove that (iv) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose that the partial order in A is obtained as in Theorem 3.2 from a set $S \subset A^*$ such that $\bigcap_{s \in S} \text{Ker } s = 0$. Consider the map $\varphi : A \rightarrow 2^S$ carrying any $a \in A$ to

$$\varphi(a) = \{s \in S \mid s(a) = 1\} \subset S.$$

The map φ is an additive homomorphism: for any $a, b \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(a+b) &= \{s \in S \mid s(a+b) = 1\} = \{s \in S \mid s(a) + s(b) = 1\} \\ &= \{s \in S \mid s(a) = 1, s(b) = 0 \text{ or } s(a) = 0, s(b) = 1\} \\ &= \{s \in S \mid s(a) = 1\} + \{s \in S \mid s(b) = 1\} = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b). \end{aligned}$$

The condition $\bigcap_{s \in S} \text{Ker } s = 0$ ensures that if $a \neq 0$, then $\varphi(a) \neq \emptyset$. Thus, φ is a monomorphism. Next, consider any $a, b \in A$. If $a \leq b$, then $s(a) \leq_t s(b)$ for all $s \in S$. Thus, $s(a) = 1 \Rightarrow s(b) = 1$. Consequently, $\varphi(a) \subseteq \varphi(b)$. If $a \not\leq b$, then there is $s \in S$ such that $s(a) = 1$ and $s(b) = 0$. Then $s \in \varphi(a)$ and $s \notin \varphi(b)$. Consequently, $\varphi(a) \not\subseteq \varphi(b)$. We conclude that the map $\varphi : A \rightarrow 2^S$ is an embedding of additive posets. \square

8.3. Complexity. The *complexity* $c(A)$ of a plain additive poset A is the smallest integer $n \geq 0$ such that A embeds in the additive powerset 2^I for an n -element set I . Note that all additive subposets of A are plain and have complexity $\leq c(A)$. If A is isomorphic to 2^I for a finite set I , then $c(A) = \dim(A) = |I|$. If A is not isomorphic to an additive powerset, then $c(A) \geq \dim(A) + 1$.

Theorem 8.2. *For any plain additive poset A , we have $c(A) = \min_S |S|$ where S runs over all separating subsets of A^* and*

$$(8.3.1) \quad \binom{c(A)}{\lfloor c(A)/2 \rfloor} \geq w(A),$$

where $w(A)$ is the width of A .

Proof. An embedding $A \rightarrow 2^I$ yields a separating subset of A^* consisting of $|I|$ elements, see the proof of the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) in Theorem 8.1. Hence $\min_S |S| \leq c(A)$. Also, for any separating set $S \subset A^*$, there is an embedding $A \rightarrow 2^S$, see the proof of the implications (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (i) in Theorem 8.1. Thus, $c(A) \leq \min_S |S|$. To prove (8.3.1), it is enough to observe that if A embeds in 2^I , then $w(A) \leq w(2^I) = \binom{|I|}{\lfloor |I|/2 \rfloor}$, cf. Example 7.2.1. \square

8.4. Example. We compute $c(2_{ev}^I)$ for any finite set I . If $I = \emptyset$ or $|I| = 1$, then $2_{ev}^I = 2^\emptyset$ and $c(2_{ev}^I) = 0$. If $|I| = 2$, then $2_{ev}^I = 2^K$, where K is a one-element set, and $c(2_{ev}^I) = 1$. Assume that $|I| \geq 3$, pick $i \in I$, and set $K = I \setminus \{i\}$. The map $2_{ev}^I \rightarrow 2^K, J \mapsto J \cap K$ is a group isomorphism and therefore

$$\dim(2_{ev}^I) = \dim(2^K) = |K| = |I| - 1.$$

Since 2_{ev}^I is an additive subposet of 2^I and 2_{ev}^I is not isomorphic to an additive powerset (Remark 5.4), we have

$$|I| \geq c(2_{ev}^I) \geq \dim(2_{ev}^I) + 1 = |I|.$$

We conclude that $c(2_{ev}^I) = |I|$.

9. HOMOLOGY POSETS

9.1. Basics. We define the structure of an additive poset in the top-dimensional homology of any finite-dimensional CW-complex. We first recall the terminology, see [LW]. A k -ball D^k with $k = 1, 2, \dots$ is a ball in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^k . To attach D^k to a topological space Y along a (continuous) map $\varphi : \partial D^k \rightarrow Y$, one takes the disjoint union $Y \amalg D^k$ and identifies each point of the sphere $\partial D^k = S^{k-1}$ with its image under φ . One similarly attaches families of disjoint k -balls to Y along maps of their boundary spheres to Y . For an integer $n \geq 0$, an n -dimensional CW-complex X is a Hausdorff topological space endowed with a filtration

$$X^{(0)} \subset X^{(1)} \subset \dots \subset X^{(n)} = X,$$

where $X^{(0)}$ is a discrete set of points and for $k = 1, \dots, n$, the space $X^{(k)}$ is obtained by attaching a family of k -balls to $X^{(k-1)}$. One calls $X^{(k)}$ the k -skeleton of X . The space $X^{(k)} \setminus X^{(k-1)}$ is a disjoint union of copies of $\text{Int}(D^k) = D^k \setminus S^{k-1}$ called the (open) k -cells of X . Let I_k be the set of all k -cells of X . For any cells $e \in I_k$ and $e' \in I_{k-1}$, one defines a residue $[e : e'] \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ as follows. Compose the attaching map $S^{k-1} \rightarrow X^{(k-1)}$ of e with the map $X^{(k-1)} \rightarrow S^{k-1}$ obtained by collapsing $X^{(k-1)} \setminus e'$ to a point and identifying the result with S^{k-1} . Then $[e : e']$ is the degree mod 2 of the composed map $S^{k-1} \rightarrow S^{k-1}$.

The top homology $H = H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ of X is the subspace of the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -vector space 2^{I_n} consisting of all sets $E \subset I_n$ such that $\sum_{e \in E} [e : e'] = 0$ for all $e' \in I_{n-1}$. Such sets E are called (cellular) n -cycles. The partial order in 2^{I_n} determined by the inclusion of sets restricts to a partial order in H and turns H into an additive poset. We call H the homology poset of X .

The homology poset of a CW-complex X is invariant under subdivisions of X . Recall that a CW-complex Y is a subdivision of X if X and Y share the same underlying topological space and every open cell of X is a union of a finite number of open cells of Y (of the same or smaller dimension). For any n -cycle E of X , the n -cells of Y lying in the n -cells belonging to E form an n -cycle of Y . This defines an isomorphism of additive posets $H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_n(Y; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.

9.2. Representation of homology classes. We relate the partial order in homology to the problem of representation of homology classes by manifolds. For $n \geq 0$, by a closed n -manifold we mean a non-empty compact n -dimensional topological manifold with void boundary. Given a closed n -manifold M , we let $[M] \in H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ be its fundamental class. A homology class $a \in H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ of a CW-complex X is *represented* by a (continuous) map $i : M \rightarrow X$ if $i_*([M]) = a$.

Theorem 9.1. *Let X be an n -dimensional CW-complex with $n \geq 0$. Let $a, b \in H = H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ be homology classes represented respectively by maps $i : M \rightarrow X$ and $j : N \rightarrow X$, where M, N are closed n -manifolds. If $i(M) \cap j(N) = \emptyset$, then a and b are independent elements of the additive poset H .*

Proof. Since M and N are compact, so are their images $i(M) \subset X$ and $j(N) \subset X$. Since X is Hausdorff, both $i(M)$ and $j(N)$ are closed. If they are disjoint, then they have disjoint open neighborhoods $i(M) \subset U$ and $j(N) \subset V$ (we use the fact that all CW-complexes are normal). Taking a sufficiently small subdivision of X , we can assume that all n -cells of X meeting $i(M)$ are contained in U and all n -cells of X meeting $j(N)$ are contained in V . Then the homology classes $a = i_*([M])$ and $b = j_*([N])$ are represented by disjoint n -cycles. The union of these n -cycles represents $a + b$. By the definition of the partial order in $H = H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, we have $a \leq a + b$, so that a and b are independent. \square

An *embedding* of a closed manifold M into a CW-complex X is an injective (continuous) map $M \rightarrow X$. Since M is compact and X is Hausdorff, such a map is a homeomorphism onto its image.

Theorem 9.2. *Let X be an n -dimensional CW-complex with $n \geq 0$. If a homology class $a \in H = H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is represented by an embedding of a closed n -manifold M into X , then a is a tile. Moreover, if M is connected, then a is an atom.*

Proof. Let $i : M \rightarrow X$ be an embedding representing a . As in the proof of Theorem 9.1, the set $i(M)$ is closed in X . Therefore, for any (open) n -cell e of X , the set $e \cap i(M)$ is closed in e . It is also open in e , as directly follows from the assumption that i is an embedding and M is a closed n -manifold. Since e is connected, either $e \cap i(M) = \emptyset$ or $e \subset i(M)$. It is clear then that the n -cells of X contained in $i(M)$ form an n -cycle, say E , representing $a = i_*([M]) \in H$. Since $M \neq \emptyset$ and i is an embedding, $E \neq \emptyset$ and so $a \neq 0$.

We claim that if M is connected, then a is an atom. We need to show that any $b \in H$ satisfying $b < a$ is equal to 0. Such b is represented by an n -cycle formed by some (but not all) n -cells of X contained in $i(M)$. These n -cells are contained in $i(M \setminus \{x\})$ for some $x \in M$. Hence, b lies in the image of the homomorphism

$$H_n(M \setminus \{x\}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H = H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

induced by the restriction of i to $M \setminus \{x\}$. Since M is a connected n -dimensional manifold, $H_n(M \setminus \{x\}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Therefore $b = 0$.

Suppose now that M has $m \geq 2$ connected components M_1, \dots, M_m . For $k = 1, \dots, m$, set $a_k = i_*([M_k]) \in H$. Then

$$a = i_*([M]) = i_*([M_1] + \dots + [M_m]) = a_1 + \dots + a_m.$$

By Theorem 9.1 and the previous paragraph, this is an expansion of a as a sum of pairwise independent atoms. To prove that a is a tile, it is enough to show that every atom $b \leq a$ coincides with one of the atoms a_1, \dots, a_m . By the above,

$a = i_*([M])$ is represented by the n -cycle E consisting of all n -cells of X contained in $i(M)$. The atom b is then represented by an n -cycle $F \subset E$. The set F splits as a disjoint union $\coprod_{k=1}^m F_k$ where F_k is the set of n -cells of X which belong to F and are contained in $i(M_k)$. Since the sets $\{i(M_k)\}_{k=1}^m$ are closed and pairwise disjoint, the assumption that F is an n -cycle implies that F_k is an n -cycle for all k . The arguments above show that either $F_k = \emptyset$ represents $0 \in H$ or F_k includes all n -cells of X contained in $i(M_k)$ and represents $a_k \in H$. Consequently, the homology class b represented by F is a sum of several classes a_k . Since these classes are independent, $a_k \leq b$ for all k in question. Since b is an atom, $b = a_k$ for some k . \square

9.3. Examples. 1. Let X be a wedge of several n -dimensional spheres with $n \geq 1$. The homology classes of these spheres form a basis, I , of $H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. It is clear from the definitions that the homology poset of X is nothing but 2_f^I .

2. Consider a CW-complex Y obtained by gluing several n -balls along their boundary spheres. The homology poset of Y is 2_{ev}^I , where I is the set of n -balls forming Y .

9.4. Remarks. 1. In general, the partial order in the homology poset is not preserved under homotopy equivalences of CW-complexes. Consider, for instance, the CW-complex Y obtained by gluing three n -balls along their boundary spheres. Then $H_n(Y; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ with trivial partial order. Collapsing one of the balls into a point, we turn Y into a wedge X of two n -spheres, and the partial order in $H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is non-trivial as is clear from Example 9.3.1.

2. The definition of the homology poset of a CW-complex readily extends to CW-pairs and relative CW-complexes. We do not pursue this line.

10. GEOMETRIC REALIZATION OF ADDITIVE POSETS

10.1. Realization theorem. A CW-complex is *finite* if it has a finite number of cells. Clearly, the homology poset of a finite CW-complex X is plain and its complexity is smaller than or equal to the number of top-dimensional cells of X .

Theorem 10.1. *For any plain additive poset A and any integer $n \geq 2$, there is a finite n -dimensional CW-complex whose homology poset is isomorphic to A .*

The rest of this section presents a proof of Theorem 10.1.

10.2. Cohomological computations. Consider an n -dimensional CW-complex X . In Section 9.1 we used inclusions of n -cycles to define a partial order in $H = H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Here we compute this order in cohomological terms. Using the standard evaluation of cohomology classes on homology classes, we identify $H^n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ with $H^* = \text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Each (open) n -cell e of X gives rise to a homotopy class of maps $s_e : X \rightarrow S^n$ obtained by collapsing $X \setminus e$ to a point and identifying the resulting quotient space of X with the n -sphere S^n via a homeomorphism. Set

$$X_e = (s_e)^*(z) \in H^n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = H^*,$$

where z is the non-zero element of $H^n(S^n; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. We let $S_X \subset H^*$ be the set of all vectors in H^* associated in this way with the n -cells of X . Note that different n -cells of X may give rise to the same vector and that $\cap_{s \in S_X} \text{Ker } s = 0$. Indeed, any non-zero $h \in H$ is represented by a non-empty cellular n -cycle E in X , and then $X_e(h) = 1$ for all $e \in E$. By Theorem 3.2, the set S_X determines a partial

order in H turning H into an additive poset. It follows from the definitions that this partial order in H coincides with the partial order defined in Section 9.1.

We say that a pair (a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -vector space B , a set $S \subset B$) is *realized* by an n -dimensional CW-complex X if there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -vector spaces $H^n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow B$ carrying S_X onto S . The pair (B, S) is *realizable* if it is realized by a finite n -dimensional CW-complex. For example, the pair (a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -vector space B , a basis of B) is realized by a wedge of n -spheres.

Lemma 10.2. *For any $n \geq 2$, every pair $(B, S \subset B \setminus \{0\})$ where B is a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -vector space and S generates B as a vector space, is realizable.*

Proof. We define two moves M_1, M_2 transforming S . The move M_1 adds to S a new element of the form $a + b$ for some distinct $a, b \in S$. The move M_2 takes two distinct $a, b \in S$, adds $a + b$ to S , and deletes b from S . Note that in both cases $a + b \neq 0$. If $a + b \in S$ before the move, then M_1 keeps S and M_2 deletes b from S .

The lemma is a consequence of the following two claims:

- (a) For any set $S \subset B \setminus \{0\}$ generating B as a vector space, there is a sequence of moves M_1, M_2 transforming a basis of B into S ;
- (b) If a pair $(B, S \subset B \setminus \{0\})$ is realizable and a set $S' \subset B \setminus \{0\}$ is obtained from S by M_1 or M_2 , then the pair (B, S') is realizable.

We prove (a). Since the set S generates B , it contains a basis S_0 of B . Each $s \in S$ expands uniquely as a sum (without repetitions) of vectors of S_0 . We call the number of summands the *size* of s . Using M_1, M_2 , we can consecutively add the vectors of $S \setminus S_0$ to S_0 and thus transform S_0 into S . For example, if $s \in S \setminus S_0$ expands as $s = s_1 + s_2 + s_3$ with $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in S_0$, then we first apply M_1 adding $s_1 + s_2$ and then apply M_2 adding $s_1 + s_2 + s_3$ and deleting $s_1 + s_2$. To avoid interactions between the moves, we first add to S_0 the vectors of $S \setminus S_0$ of the maximal size, then the vectors of the maximal size minus 1, etc.

We now prove (b). Suppose that the pair (B, S) is realized by a finite n -dimensional CW-complex X . To simplify notation, we identify B with $H^n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and S with S_X . Pick any distinct $a, b \in S$. Then $a = X_e$ and $b = X_f$ where e and f are distinct (open) n -cells of X . Consider their closures $\bar{e} \supset e$ and $\bar{f} \supset f$ in X . Subdividing if necessary the $(n-1)$ -skeleton $X^{(n-1)}$ of X (such subdivisions keep $H^n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and S_X) we can assume that X has at least one 0-cell x_e lying in $\bar{e} \setminus e$ and at least one 0-cell x_f lying in $\bar{f} \setminus f$. Consider an n -ball D viewed as a CW-complex with one 0-cell $x \in \partial D$, one $(n-1)$ -cell $\partial D \setminus \{x\}$, and one n -cell $\text{Int}(D) = D \setminus \partial D$. Pick an embedding $i : D \hookrightarrow \bar{e}$ such that $i(x) = x_e$, $i(D \setminus \{x\}) \subset e$, and $e' = e \setminus i(D)$ is an n -cell. Similarly, pick an embedding $j : D \hookrightarrow \bar{f}$ such that $j(x) = x_f$, $j(D \setminus \{x\}) \subset f$, and $f' = f \setminus j(D)$ is an n -cell. We form a quotient space Y of X by identifying $i(d) = j(d)$ for all $d \in D$. The space Y is a finite CW-complex whose $(n-1)$ -skeleton is obtained from $X^{(n-1)} \amalg \partial D$ by the identification $x_e = x = x_f$. The n -cells of Y are the images under the projection $X \rightarrow Y$ of the n -cells of X distinct from e, f together with e', f' , and the n -cell $g = i(\text{Int } D) = j(\text{Int } D)$. Considered up to homotopy equivalence, Y either coincides with X (if $x_e = x_f \in X$) or is obtained from X by adjoining an arc connecting x_e to x_f (if $x_e \neq x_f$). Since $n \geq 2$, in both cases the projection $X \rightarrow Y$ induces an additive isomorphism $H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n(Y; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Identifying these two groups along this isomorphism, we obtain that $Y_c = X_c$ for all n -cells c of X distinct from e, f and

$$Y_{e'} = X_e = a, \quad Y_{f'} = X_f = b, \quad Y_g = a + b.$$

Thus, S_Y is obtained from $S = S_X$ by the move M_1 . This proves the part of Claim (b) concerning M_1 .

The part of Claim (b) concerning M_2 is proved similarly using the same e, f, D, i as above but a different map $j : D \rightarrow \bar{f}$. Observe that X can be obtained from $X \setminus f$ by attaching the n -ball D along a map $\partial D \rightarrow X \setminus f$. We let j be the composition of the inclusion $D \hookrightarrow D \amalg (X \setminus f)$ with the projection $D \amalg (X \setminus f) \rightarrow X$. Then j restricts to a homeomorphism $\text{Int } D \approx f$. We form a quotient space Y of X by identifying $i(d) = j(d)$ for all $d \in D$. As above, Y is a finite CW-complex whose n -cells are the images under the projection $X \rightarrow Y$ of the n -cells of X distinct from e together with $e' = e \setminus i(D)$. The projection $X \rightarrow Y$ induces an additive isomorphism $H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n(Y; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, and identifying these two groups along this isomorphism we obtain that $Y_c = X_c$ for all n -cells c of X distinct from e and f , $Y_{e'} = a$, $Y_f = a + b$. Thus, S_Y is obtained from $S = S_X$ by the move M_2 . This completes the proof of Claim (b) and of the lemma. \square

10.3. Proof of Theorem 10.1. Given a plain additive poset A , Theorem 8.1 implies that the partial order in A is determined as in Theorem 3.2 by a set $S \subset A^*$ such that $\bigcap_{s \in S} \text{Ker } s = 0$. Eliminating if necessary the zero vector from S , we can assume that $S \subset A^* \setminus \{0\}$. Since the additive poset A is plain, its underlying $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -vector space is finite-dimensional. Therefore, the equality $\bigcap_{s \in S} \text{Ker } s = 0$ implies that S generates A^* . By Lemma 10.2, there is a finite n -dimensional CW-complex X and an isomorphism $H^n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow A^*$ carrying S_X onto S . The dual isomorphism $A \rightarrow H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism of the additive poset A onto the homology poset of X .

11. HOMOLOGY POSETS OF GRAPHS

11.1. Graphs and atoms. By a *graph*, we mean a 1-dimensional CW-complex. A graph Γ is obtained by attaching copies of the segment $[-1, 1]$ to a discrete set of points. The points of the latter set are called vertices of Γ and the copies of $[-1, 1]$ in question are called edges of Γ . Each edge e is attached to two (possibly, coinciding) vertices called the endpoints of e . A 1-cycle in Γ is a finite set of edges such that every vertex of Γ is incident to an even number of edges in this set (counting with multiplicities). Every element of the group $H = H_1(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is represented by a unique 1-cycle. As in Section 9, we give H the partial order $a \leq b$ whenever the 1-cycle representing $a \in H$ is contained in the 1-cycle representing $b \in H$. As we know, this partial order is invariant under subdivisions of Γ and turns H into an additive poset, the homology poset of Γ .

Lemma 11.1. *Let Γ be a graph. For any nonzero homology class $a \in H = H_1(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, there is an embedded circle in Γ representing a homology class $b \in H$ such that $b \leq a$.*

Proof. Let E be a 1-cycle in Γ representing a . If E contains a loop, that is an edge with coinciding endpoints, then this loop determines an embedding $S^1 \hookrightarrow \Gamma$ representing a nonzero homology class $b \in H$ such that $b \leq a$. Suppose that E has no loops. Pick any edge $e_1 \in E$ with endpoints x_1, x_2 . Since E is a 1-cycle, the vertex x_2 is incident to an edge $e_2 \in E \setminus \{e_1\}$. Let x_3 be the endpoint of e_2 distinct from x_2 (possibly, $x_3 = x_1$). Since E is a 1-cycle, the vertex x_3 is incident to an edge $e_3 \in E \setminus \{e_2\}$. Continuing by induction, we construct edges $e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots \in E$ such that any two consecutive edges e_{i-1}, e_i are distinct and share a vertex x_i . Since E

is a finite set, there must be indices $k < l$ such that $x_k = x_l$. We take such k, l with the smallest $l - k$. Then the edges $e_k, e_{k+1}, \dots, e_{l-1}$ are pairwise distinct and have no common vertices except that e_{i-1}, e_i share the vertex x_i for all i and e_k, e_{l-1} share the vertex $x_k = x_l$. Then the edges $e_k, e_{k+1}, \dots, e_{l-1}$ form an embedded circle representing a homology class $b \in H$ such that $b \leq a$. \square

Theorem 11.2. *Let Γ be a graph. A homology class $a \in H_1(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is represented by an embedded circle in Γ if and only if a is an atom.*

Proof. If a is represented by an embedded circle, then a is an atom by Theorem 9.2. Conversely, if a is an atom, then by Lemma 11.1, there is a homology class $b \in H_1(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ such that $b \leq a$ and b is represented by an embedded circle. The latter condition implies that $b \neq 0$. Since a is an atom, $b = a$, so that a is represented by an embedded circle. \square

11.2. Geometric tiles. To extend Theorem 11.2 to tiles, we define certain graphs called geometric tiles. Recall that a *wedge* of graphs Γ_1 and Γ_2 is a graph obtained from the disjoint union $\Gamma_1 \amalg \Gamma_2$ by identifying a vertex of Γ_1 with a vertex of Γ_2 . The wedge may depend on the choice of the vertices.

A *geometric tile of weight 1* is a graph whose underlying topological space is homeomorphic to a circle. Suppose that geometric tiles of weights $1, 2, \dots, n$ are already defined for an integer $n \geq 1$. A *geometric tile of weight $n + 1$* is a graph which is either a wedge of a geometric tile of weight n and a geometric tile of weight 1 or a disjoint union of two geometric tiles of weights $p, q \leq n$ with $p + q = n + 1$. For example, a disjoint union of $n \geq 1$ geometric tiles of weight 1 is a geometric tile of weight n . An induction on the weight $w(T)$ of a geometric tile T shows that T expands as a union of $w(T)$ embedded circles meeting only in vertices of T (or not at all). Moreover, T does not contain embedded circles other than those in this expansion (as is easily shown by induction on $w(T)$).

Given a graph Γ and a homology class $a \in H_1(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, the edges of Γ belonging to the unique 1-cycle representing a and their endpoints form a graph $\Gamma_a \subset \Gamma$ called the *support* of a .

Theorem 11.3. *Let Γ be a graph. An element of $H = H_1(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is a tile if and only if its support is a geometric tile.*

Proof. If the support Γ_a of $a \in H$ is a geometric tile, then a expands as a sum of $w(\Gamma_a)$ homology classes represented by embedded circles in Γ_a meeting only in the vertices. By Theorem 11.2, these homology classes are atoms. Clearly, they are pairwise independent and lie in the tail H_a of a . Moreover, any atom in H_a is represented by an embedded circle in Γ_a , and so is equal to one of the atoms in our expansion of a . Thus, all atoms in H_a are pairwise independent, i.e., a is a tile.

Conversely, for any $a \in H$, its tail H_a is a finite additive poset. If a is a tile, then, by Theorem 6.4, all atoms a_1, \dots, a_n of H_a are pairwise independent and their sum is equal to a . By Theorem 11.2, the atoms a_1, \dots, a_n are represented by embedded circles, respectively, $S_1, \dots, S_n \subset \Gamma_a$. Since a_1, \dots, a_n are pairwise independent and $a_1 + \dots + a_n = a$, these n circles meet only in vertices of Γ_a and their union is equal to Γ_a . We prove that Γ_a is a geometric tile by induction on n . If $n = 1$, then $a = a_1$ and $\Gamma_a = S_1$ is a circle. We explain the induction step. Set $b = a_1 + \dots + a_{n-1}$. Since $a_i \leq a$ for all i , we have $b \leq a$. Since a is a tile, so is b . By the induction assumption, the support $\Gamma_b = S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_{n-1}$ of b is a geometric tile. We claim

that the circle S_n cannot meet a connected component of Γ_b in more than one point. This easily implies that $\Gamma_a = \Gamma_b \cup S_n$ is a geometric tile. To prove the claim, suppose that S_n meets a component Δ of Γ_b in two or more vertices. Then there is an embedded arc $\alpha \subset S_n$ meeting Δ precisely in its endpoints. Pick an embedded path β in Δ connecting the endpoints of α . The union $\alpha \cup \beta$ is an embedded circle in Γ_a distinct from S_1, \dots, S_n . This is impossible because such a circle represents an atom in H_a , and all atoms of H_a belong to the list a_1, \dots, a_n . This proves the claim above and completes the proof of the theorem. \square

11.3. Remarks. 1. If a graph Γ is contained in a graph Γ' , then the inclusion homomorphism $H_1(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\Gamma'; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is an embedding of additive posets carrying atoms to atoms and tiles to tiles. A similar claim holds for CW-complexes.

2. Given a graph Γ and atoms $b, c \in H = H_1(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, one may ask whether the circles in Γ representing b and c are disjoint. A necessary condition is that b, c are independent and $b + c$ is a tile. However, this condition is insufficient because it leaves open the possibility that the circles representing b and c meet in a vertex of Γ . Consider the map $\chi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ carrying a homology class to the Euler characteristic of its support. The circles in Γ representing the atoms $b, c \in H$ are disjoint if and only if b, c are independent, $b + c$ is a tile, and $\chi(b + c) = 0$. A homology class $a \in H$ is represented by a disjoint union of embedded circles if and only if a is a tile and any two atoms $\leq a$ are represented by disjoint circles.

3. A graph is *finite* if its sets of vertices and edges are finite. All geometric tiles are finite graphs and the support of any homology class of a graph is a finite graph. The homology poset of a finite graph is plain and its complexity is smaller than or equal to the number of edges of the graph. By Section 6.1, all elements of this poset expand as sums of atoms.

12. REALIZATION BY GRAPHS

An additive poset is *realized* by a graph Γ if it is isomorphic to the homology poset of Γ . For instance, for a set I , the additive poset 2_f^I is realized by a wedge of circles, cf. Example 9.3.1.

An additive poset is *realizable* if it is realized by a certain graph.

Lemma 12.1. *If A is a realizable additive poset, then for any $a \in A$, the additive subposets A_a and A^a of A are realizable.*

Proof. Since A is realizable, we can identify A with the homology poset $H = H_1(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ of a graph Γ . Pick any $a \in H$ and consider the support $\Gamma_a \subset \Gamma$ of a (see Section 11.2). Observe that the inclusion homomorphism $H_1(\Gamma_a; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H$ is injective and its image is the group $H_a = \{b \in H \mid b \leq a\}$. Since the partial order in H is induced by the inclusion of cycles in Γ , the induced partial order in H_a is induced by the inclusion of cycles in Γ_a . Therefore the additive poset H_a is realized by Γ_a . Similarly, the edges of Γ not belonging to Γ_a and their endpoints form a graph $\Delta_a \subset \Gamma$. The inclusion homomorphism $H_1(\Delta_a; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H$ is injective and its image is the group $H^a \subset H$. Indeed, the support of a homology class $b \in H$ is contained in Δ_a if and only if $a \leq a + b$. Therefore the additive poset H^a is realized by Δ_a . \square

The following theorem yields examples of non-realizable additive posets.

Theorem 12.2. *Let m be a positive integer and let A_m be an m -dimensional $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -vector space with trivial partial order (see Section 2.2.1). The additive poset A_m is realizable for $m \leq 4$ and non-realizable for $m \geq 5$.*

Proof. Observe that: A_1 is realized by the graph formed by one vertex and one edge (which is a loop); A_2 is realized by the graph formed by two vertices and three connecting them edges; A_3 is realized by the complete graph on four vertices; A_4 is realized by the graph $K_{3,3}$ having six vertices three of which are connected to each of the other three by a single edge. In each of these cases, all nonempty 1-cycles are embedded circles. Therefore the partial order in the homology poset is trivial.

It remains to show that there are no graphs Γ with trivial partial order in $H = H_1(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and with $\dim(H) \geq 5$. Suppose that there is such a Γ . Pick a nonzero $a \in H$. Since the partial order in H is trivial, a is an atom and $H^a = 0$. By Theorem 11.2, the support Γ_a of a is an embedded circle in Γ . Defining the graph $\Delta = \Delta_a \subset \Gamma$ as in the proof of Lemma 12.1, we obtain that $H_1(\Delta; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = H^a = 0$. So, all connected components of Δ are trees. Let $\{T_i\}_i$ be the components of Δ meeting Γ_a (in some vertices). Clearly,

$$\dim(H) = 1 + \sum_i (|\Gamma_a \cap T_i| - 1)$$

where $|\Gamma_a \cap T_i| \geq 1$ is the number of common vertices of Γ_a and T_i . Since $\dim(H) \geq 5$, at least one of the following three conditions hold:

- (a) the circle Γ_a meets a component T of Δ in ≥ 3 vertices and meets another component T' of Δ in ≥ 2 vertices;
- (b) the circle Γ_a meets a component T of Δ in ≥ 5 vertices;
- (c) Γ_a meets four distinct components T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4 of Δ in two vertices each.

In every case, we will construct two non-empty 1-cycles in $\Gamma_a \cup \Delta$ meeting only in vertices. The homology classes $b, c \in H$ of these 1-cycles are nonzero and satisfy $b \leq b + c$. This contradicts the assumption that the partial order in H is trivial.

For any distinct points u, v of a tree, we denote by \overline{uv} an embedded path connecting u, v in this tree. Fix from now on an orientation in the circle Γ_a . For any distinct points $u, v \in \Gamma_a$ we let \widehat{uv} be the arc on Γ_a leading from u to v . If u, v also lie on the same component of Δ , then we have the embedded circle

$$S_{uv} = \widehat{uv} \cup \overline{uv} \subset \Gamma_a \cup \Delta \subset \Gamma.$$

Case (a). Let u, v, w be distinct points of $\Gamma_a \cap T$ and let x, y be distinct points of $\Gamma_a \cap T'$. The points u, v, w split Γ_a into three arcs, and at least one of them, say, \widehat{uv} contains neither x nor y . Consider the arc $\alpha \subset \Gamma_a \setminus \widehat{uv}$ with the endpoints x, y . Then the following embedded circles are disjoint:

$$S_{uv} = \widehat{uv} \cup \overline{uv} \subset \Gamma_a \cup T \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \cup \overline{xy} \subset \Gamma_a \cup T'.$$

Case (b). Let u, v, w, x, y be distinct points of $\Gamma_a \cap T$ enumerated in the cyclic order on Γ_a . If the paths \overline{uv} and \overline{xy} in the tree T meet only in vertices, then so do the circles S_{uv}, S_{xy} and we are done. Suppose that the paths \overline{uv} and \overline{xy} have a common edge. The complement of the interior of this edge in T is a union of two disjoint subtrees of T . Clearly, the points u, v lie in different subtrees and so do x, y . If v, x lie in the same subtree, then y, u lie in the other subtree and $S_{vx} \cap S_{yu} = \emptyset$. Suppose that v, y lie in the same subtree and u, x lie in the other subtree. If w lies in the same subtree as v and y , then $S_{vw} \cap S_{xu} = \emptyset$. If w lies in the same subtree as u and x , then $S_{wx} \cap S_{yv} = \emptyset$.

Case (c). For $i = 1, \dots, 4$, let u_i, v_i be the points of $\Gamma_a \cap T_i$. If there are disjoint arcs α, β on the circle Γ_a such that $\partial\alpha = \{u_i, v_i\}$ and $\partial\beta = \{u_j, v_j\}$ for some distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then the following embedded circles are disjoint:

$$\alpha \cup \overline{u_i v_i} \subset \Gamma_a \cup T_i \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \cup \overline{u_j v_j} \subset \Gamma_a \cup T_j.$$

If there are no such α, β , then up to enumeration of T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4 and permutation of the symbols u_i, v_i , the cyclic order of the points $\{u_i, v_i\}_{i=1}^4$ on Γ_a is

$$u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4.$$

Then the following two unions of arcs represent disjoint 1-cycles:

$$\widehat{u_1 u_2} \cup \overline{u_2 v_2} \cup \widehat{v_2 v_1} \cup \overline{v_1 u_1} \subset \Gamma_a \cup T_1 \cup T_2$$

and

$$\widehat{u_3 u_4} \cup \overline{u_4 v_4} \cup \widehat{v_4 v_3} \cup \overline{v_3 u_3} \subset \Gamma_a \cup T_3 \cup T_4.$$

□

Corollary 12.3. *Let A be a realizable additive poset. Then for any $a \in A$, the partial order in A^a is non-trivial or $\dim A^a \leq 4$.*

As an application, we deduce that the additive poset $A = 2^I \oplus B$ is not realizable for any set I and any $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -vector space B of dimension ≥ 5 carrying trivial partial order. Indeed, the set I represents an element $a \in 2^I \subset A$ such that $A^a = B$.

13. OPEN QUESTIONS

1. Are all finite additive posets plain? In view of Theorem 8.1, this question may be restated as follows: is it true that for any finite additive poset A , the set of order-preserving linear functionals on A is separating?

2. A *rank* of an additive poset A is a map $r : A \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ such that $r^{-1}(0) = 0$, for any independent $a, b \in A$ we have $r(a+b) = r(a) + r(b)$ and for any finite nonempty set $K \subset A$, the integer

$$\sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset K} (-1)^{|J|+1} r\left(\sum_{a \in J} a\right)$$

is nonnegative and divisible by $2^{|K|-1}$. An injective morphism of additive posets $\varphi : A \rightarrow 2_f^I$, where I is a set, determines a rank of A by $r(a) = |\varphi(a)|$ for all $a \in A$. Thus, every plain additive poset has a rank. Does every finite additive poset have a rank? Are all finite additive posets having a rank plain? Is every rank of an additive poset induced by an injective morphism into an additive powerset?

3. The additive poset A_m from Theorem 12.2 is plain, cf. Example 3.2.1. It is easy to see that $c(A_m) \leq m(m+1)/2$ for all m and $c(A_m) = m(m+1)/2$ for $m \leq 3$. Is the latter equality true for all m ?

4. If A and B are plain additive posets, then so is their direct sum $A \oplus B$ and $c(A \oplus B) \leq c(A) + c(B)$. Is this inequality an equality?

5. For any $m \geq 1$, an m -antichain in an additive poset A is an antichain in A whose elements generate a vector subspace of A of dimension $\leq m$. The m -width $w_m(A)$ of A is the maximal number of elements in an m -antichain in A . Clearly,

$$1 = w_1(A) \leq w_2(A) \leq \dots \leq w_{\dim(A)}(A) = w(A).$$

Compute the m -width for all finite additive powersets and all m .

6. Describe algebraically the class of posets realizable by graphs.

REFERENCES

- [En] K. Engel, *Sperner theory*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 65 (1997), Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, p. x+417.
- [KC] F. Kôpka, F. Chovanec, *D-posets*. Math. Slovaca 44 (1994), no. 1, 21--34.
- [LW] A. T. Lundell, S. Weingram, *The Topology of CW Complexes*. Van Nostrand, New York, 1969.
- [NP] M. Navara, P. Pták, *Difference posets and orthoalgebras*. Bull. for Studies and Exchanges on Fuzziness and its Appl. 69 (1997), 64–69.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
INDIANA UNIVERSITY
BLOOMINGTON IN47405, USA
vturaev@yahoo.com