

Boundary Element Procedure for 3D Electromagnetic Transmission Problems with Large Conductivity

M. Maischak¹, Z. Nezhi², J. E. Ospino³, E. P. Stephan²

¹Department of Mathematics Sciences, Brunel University, U.K.

²Institute for Applied Mathematics, Leibniz University of Hannover, Hannover, Germany

³Departamento de Matemáticas y Estadística, Fundación Universidad del Norte, Barranquilla, Colombia.

e-mail: jospino@uninorte.edu.co

Abstract:

We consider the scattering of time periodic electro-magnetic fields by metallic obstacles, the eddy current problem. In this interface problem different sets of Maxwell equations must be solved in the obstacle and outside, while the tangential components of both electric and magnetic fields are continuous across the interface. We describe an asymptotic procedure, which applies for large conductivity and reflects the skin effect in metals. The key to our method is to introduce a special integral equation procedure for the exterior boundary value problem corresponding to perfect conductors. The asymptotic procedure leads to a great reduction in complexity for the numerical solution since it involves solving only the exterior boundary value problem. Furthermore we introduce a new fem/bem coupling procedure for the transmission problem and consider the implementation of the Galerkin elements for the perfect conductor problem and present numerical experiments.

Key words: Boundary element; asymptotic expansion; skin effect.

1 Introduction

We present asymptotic expansions with respect to inverse powers of conductivity for the electrical and magnetical fields and report the algorithm of MacCamy and Stephan [12] which allows to compute the expansion terms of the electrical field in the exterior domain by solving successively only exterior problems (so-called perfect conductor problems) with different data on the interface between conductor (metal) and isolator (air). We solve these exterior problems numerically by applying the Galerkin boundary element method to first kind boundary integral equations which were originally introduced by MacCamy and Stephan in [11]. This system of integral equations on the interface Σ results from a single layer potential ansatz for the electrical field and has unknown densities namely a vector field and a scalar function on Σ which we approximate with lower order Raviart Thomas elements and continuous piecewise linear functions on a regular, triangular mesh on Σ . As in the two dimensional case, investigated by Hariharan [4, 5] and MacCamy and Stephan [13], the asymptotic procedure gives for the computation of the solution of the transmission problem a great reduction in complexity since it involves solving only the exterior problem and furthermore only a few expansion terms must be computed. We describe in detail how to implement the boundary element method for the perfect

conductor problem. As an alternative to the asymptotic expansions for the solution of the transmission problem we introduce a new finite element/boundary element Galerkin coupling procedure which converges quasi-optimally in the energy norm.

2 Asymptotic expansion for large conductivity and skin effect

Let Ω_- be a bounded region in \mathbb{R}^3 representing a metallic conductor and $\Omega_+ := \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega_-}$ representing air. The parameters $\varepsilon_0, \mu_0, \sigma_0$ denote permittivity, permeability and conductivity. is assumed to have zero conductivity in Ω_+ with ε, μ, σ in Ω_- . Let the incident electric and magnetic fields, \mathbf{E}^0 and \mathbf{H}^0 , satisfy Maxwell's equations in air. The total fields \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{H} satisfy the same Maxwell's equations as \mathbf{E}^0 and \mathbf{H}^0 in Ω_+ but a different set of equations in Ω_- . Across the interface $\Sigma := \partial\Omega_- = \partial\Omega_+$, which is assumed to be a regular analytic surface, the tangential components of both \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{H} are continuous. $\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}^0$ and $\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}^0$ represent the scattered fields. All fields are time-harmonic with frequency ω . As in [12] we neglect conduction (displacement) currents in air (metal). Then, with appropriate scaling, the eddy current problem is (see [22, 25]).

Problem ($\mathbf{P}_{\alpha\beta}$): Given $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$, find \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{H} such that;

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{E} &= \mathbf{H}, \quad \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{H} = \alpha^2 \mathbf{E} \quad \text{in } \Omega_+ \quad (\text{air}) \\ \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{E} &= \mathbf{H}, \quad \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{H} = i\beta^2 \mathbf{E} \quad \text{in } \Omega_- \quad (\text{metal}) \\ \mathbf{E}_T^+ &= \mathbf{E}_T^-, \quad \mathbf{H}_T^+ = \mathbf{H}_T^-, \quad \text{on } \Sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) - i\alpha \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) = O\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right) \quad \text{with } r = |\mathbf{x}|, \quad \text{as } |\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here $\alpha^2 = \omega^2 \mu_0 \varepsilon_0$ and $\beta^2 = \omega \mu \sigma - i\omega^2 \mu \varepsilon$ are dimensionless parameters, and $\beta^2 = \omega \mu \sigma > 0$ if displacement currents are neglected in metal ($\varepsilon = 0$). The subscript T denotes tangential component and the superscripts plus and minus denote limits from Ω_+ and Ω_- . At higher frequencies the constant β is usually large leading to the *perfect conductor approximation*. Formally this means solving only the Ω_+ equation and requiring that $\mathbf{E}_T = 0$ on Σ . If we let \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{H} denote the scattered fields, we obtain

Problem ($\mathbf{P}_{\alpha\infty}$): Given $\alpha > 0$, find \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{H} such that;

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{E} &= \mathbf{H}, \quad \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{H} = \alpha^2 \mathbf{E} \quad \text{in } \Omega_+ \\ \mathbf{E}_T &= -\mathbf{E}_T^0, \quad \text{on } \Sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Remark 1. There exists at most one solution of problem ($\mathbf{P}_{\alpha\beta}$) for any $\alpha > 0$ and $0 < \beta \leq \infty$ (see [18]).

Remark 2. There exists a sequence $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, such that if $\alpha \neq \alpha_k$ then $\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{H}$, $\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{H} = \alpha^2 \mathbf{E}$ in Ω_+ , $\mathbf{E}_T \equiv 0$ on Σ implies $\mathbf{E} \equiv \mathbf{H} \equiv 0$ in Ω_+ .

We are interesting in an asymptotic expansion of the solution of problem ($\mathbf{P}_{\alpha\beta}$) with respect to inverse powers of conductivity. With τ denoting the distance from Σ measured

into Ω_- along the normal to Σ the expansions reads:

$$\mathbf{E} \sim \mathbf{E}^0 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}_n \beta^{-n} \quad \text{in } \Omega_+ \quad (3)$$

$$\mathbf{H} \sim \mathbf{H}^0 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{H}_n \beta^{-n} \quad \text{in } \Omega_+ \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbf{E} \sim e^{-\sqrt{-i}\beta\tau} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}_n \beta^{-n} \quad \text{in } \Omega_- \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbf{H} \sim e^{-\sqrt{-i}\beta\tau} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{H}_n \beta^{-n} \quad \text{in } \Omega_- \quad (6)$$

Here \mathbf{E}_n and \mathbf{H}_n are independent of β which is proportional to $\sqrt{\sigma}$. The exponential in (5) and (6) represents *the skin effect*. Next we present from [12] these expansions for the half-space case where the various coefficients can be computed recursively. Note \mathbf{E}_0 and \mathbf{H}_0 in (3) and (4) is simply the perfect conductor approximation, that is, the solution of $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha\infty})$. \mathbf{E}_n and \mathbf{H}_n in (3) and (4) can be calculated successively by solving a sequence of problems of the same form as $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha\infty})$ but with boundary values determined from earlier coefficients. The \mathbf{E}_n and \mathbf{H}_n in (5) and (6) are obtained by solving ordinary differential equations in the variable x_3 .

For the ease of the reader we present here for the half-space case $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{R}_+^3$ i.e. $x_3 > 0$ and $\Omega_- = \mathbb{R}_-^3$ i.e. $x_3 < 0$ a formal procedure to compute $\mathbf{E}_n, \mathbf{H}_n$ which was given by MacCamy and Stephan [12]. They substitute in (3)-(6) into $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha\beta})$ for $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^2$ and equate coefficients of β^{-n} . Here we give a short description of their approach.

Let $\chi = e^{\sqrt{-i}\beta x_3}$ and decompose fields \mathbf{F} into tangential and normal components

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathfrak{F} + f\mathbf{e}_3, \quad \mathfrak{F} = \mathcal{F}^1\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathcal{F}^2\mathbf{e}_2, \quad (7)$$

with orthogonal component $\mathfrak{F}^\perp = \mathbf{e}_3 \times \mathfrak{F}$, and unit vectors \mathbf{e}_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

Then one computes with the surface gradient $grad_T$ for the rotation

$$\text{curl } \mathbf{F} = \mathfrak{F}_{x_3}^\perp - (\text{grad}_T f)^\perp - (\text{div } \mathfrak{F}^\perp)\mathbf{e}_3 \quad (8)$$

and

$$\text{curl}(\chi\mathbf{F}) = \chi[\sqrt{-i}\beta\mathfrak{F}^\perp + \mathfrak{F}_{x_3}^\perp - (\text{grad}_T f)^\perp - (\text{div } \mathfrak{F}^\perp)\mathbf{e}_3]. \quad (9)$$

Now setting $\mathbf{E}_n = \mathcal{E}_n + \ell_n\mathbf{e}_3$ one obtains for $x_3 < 0$

$$\text{curl } \mathbf{E} \sim \chi\left\{\sqrt{-i}\beta\mathcal{E}_0^\perp + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}[\sqrt{-i}\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^\perp + \mathcal{E}_{n,x_3}^\perp - (\text{grad}_T \ell_n)^\perp - (\text{div } \mathcal{E}_n^\perp)\mathbf{e}_3]\beta^{-n}\right\}, \quad (10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{curl curl } \mathbf{E} &\sim \chi \left\{ i\beta^2 \mathcal{E}_0 - \sqrt{-i}\beta \mathcal{E}_{0,x_3} + \sqrt{-i}\beta \text{div } \mathcal{E}_0 \mathbf{e}_3 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[i\beta \mathcal{E}_{n+1} - \sqrt{-i}\mathcal{E}_{n+1,x_3} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \sqrt{-i}\text{div } \mathcal{E}_{n+1} \mathbf{e}_3 - \sqrt{-i}\beta \mathcal{E}_{n,x_3} - \mathcal{E}_{n,x_3,x_3} + \text{div } \mathcal{E}_{n,x_3} \mathbf{e}_3 + \sqrt{-i}\beta \text{grad } \ell_n \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + (\text{grad}_T \ell_n)_{x_3} + \text{div grad } \ell_n \mathbf{e}_3 \right] \beta^{-n} + \text{grad div } \beta^{-n} \mathbf{e}_3 \right\} \\
&= \chi [i\beta^2 \mathcal{E}_0 + i\beta^2 \ell_0 \mathbf{e}_3 + i\beta \mathcal{E}_1 + i\beta \ell_1 \mathbf{e}_3 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (i\mathcal{E}_{n+2} + i\ell_{n+2} \mathbf{e}_3) \beta^{-n}] \sim i\beta^2 \mathbf{E}.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Hence, equating coefficients of β^2 and β , respectively yields $\ell_0 \equiv 0$, $i\ell_1 = \sqrt{-i}\text{div } \mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{E}_{0,x_3} = 0$ implying $\mathcal{E}_0(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \mathcal{E}_0(x_1, x_2, 0)$.

As coefficients of β^0 one obtains

$$-\sqrt{-i}\mathcal{E}_{1,x_3} + \sqrt{-i}\text{grad } \ell_1 = 0,$$

$$\sqrt{-i}\text{div } \mathcal{E}_1 + \text{div } \mathcal{E}_{0,x_3} - \text{grad div } \mathcal{E}_0 = i\ell_2.$$

Now the gauge condition $\text{div } \mathcal{E}_0 = 0$ implies $\ell_1 \equiv 0$ and $\text{div } \mathcal{E}_{0,x_3} = 0$, hence $\mathcal{E}_{1,x_3} = 0$ and $\sqrt{-i}\text{div } \mathcal{E}_1 = i\ell_2$.

Thus $\mathcal{E}_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \mathcal{E}_1(x_1, x_2, 0)$.

Equating coefficients of β^{-1} in (11) gives

$$-\sqrt{-i}\mathcal{E}_{2,x_3} - \sqrt{-i}\mathcal{E}_{2,x_3} + \sqrt{-i}\text{grad } \ell_2 = 0,$$

$$\sqrt{-i}\text{div } \mathcal{E}_2 - \text{grad div } \mathcal{E}_1 = i\ell_3.$$

Setting

$$\mathbf{H} = \chi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{H}_n + h_n \mathbf{e}_3) \beta^{-n} \tag{12}$$

MacCamy and Stephan obtain in [12] with $\ell_1 = 0$, $h_0 = 0$ $\mathcal{E}_0 = 0$:

$$\sqrt{-i}\mathcal{E}_1^\perp + \mathcal{E}_{0,x_3}^\perp = \mathcal{H}_0, \quad \sqrt{-i}\mathcal{H}_0^\perp = i\mathcal{E}_1, \quad h_0 = \text{div } \mathcal{E}_0^\perp = 0. \tag{13}$$

and

$$\sqrt{-i}\mathcal{E}_2^\perp + \mathcal{E}_{1,x_3}^\perp = \mathcal{H}_1, \quad \sqrt{-i}\mathcal{H}_1^\perp + \mathcal{H}_{0,x_3}^\perp = i\mathcal{E}_2 \tag{14}$$

$$h_1 = -\text{div } \mathcal{E}_1^\perp, \quad -\text{div } \mathcal{H}_0^\perp = i\ell_2. \tag{15}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_{0,x_3} \equiv \mathcal{E}_{1,x_3} \equiv 0 \tag{16}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_0 \equiv \sqrt{-i}\mathcal{E}_1^\perp \quad \text{in } x_3 < 0$$

For $x_3 > 0$, we have with $\text{curl } \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{H}$ yields

$$\text{curl } \mathbf{E}^0 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{curl } \mathbf{E}_n \beta^{-n} = \mathbf{H}^0 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{H}_n \beta^{-n}$$

Equating coefficients of β^{-n} one finds in $x_3 > 0$

$$\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{E}^0 = \mathbf{H}^0, \quad \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{E}_n = \mathbf{H}_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

(and correspondingly due to $\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{H} = \alpha^2 \mathbf{E}$)

$$\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{H}^0 = \alpha^2 \mathbf{E}^0, \quad \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{H}_n = \alpha^2 \mathbf{E}_n, \quad n \geq 0.$$

With the above relations the recursion process goes as follows. First one use (6.10) for $n = 0$ and (6.13), in [12], to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{E}_0 = \mathbf{H}_0, \quad \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{H}_0 = \alpha^2 \mathbf{E}_0 \quad \text{in} \quad x_3 > 0 \\ \mathbf{E}_0^+ = -(\mathbf{E}_T^0)^-, \quad \text{on} \quad x_3 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now $(\mathbf{E}_0, \mathbf{H}_0)$ is just the solution of $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha\infty})$ which we can solve by the boundary integral equation procedure introduce in MacCamy and Stephan and revisited below. But from $(1)_3$ we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}_0^- = \mathcal{H}_0^+ = (\mathbf{H}_0)_T^+ \quad \text{on} \quad x_3 = 0. \quad (17)$$

Now the right side of (17) is known and easily computed. Then $(1)_3$ and (17) yield

$$(\mathbf{E}_1)_T^+ = (\mathbf{E}_1)_T^- = \mathcal{E}_1^- = -\sqrt{i}(\mathcal{H}_0^+)^- = -\sqrt{i}((\mathbf{H}_0)_T^+)^{\perp}. \quad (18)$$

Therefore by (6.10), in [12], we have a new again solvable problem for $(\mathbf{E}_1, \mathbf{H}_1)$ which is just like $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha\infty})$, that is

$$\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{E}_1 = \mathbf{H}_1, \quad \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{H}_1 = \alpha^2 \mathbf{E}_1 \quad \text{in} \quad x_3 > 0,$$

but with new boundary values for \mathbf{E}_T as given by (18).

For the complete algorithm see [12]. Note, with $\lambda = \sqrt{-i}$ we have $\mathcal{E}_1^-(x_1, x_2, 0) = -\frac{1}{\lambda}(\mathbf{n} \times \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{E}_0)$ yielding in $x_3 < 0$

$$\mathbf{E}_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \int_0^{-\tau} e^{\lambda\beta\tilde{x}_3} \mathcal{E}_1^-(x_1, x_2, 0) d\tilde{x}_3 = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2\beta}(\mathbf{n} \times \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{E}_0)[e^{-\lambda\beta\tau} - 1]$$

A comparison with Peron's results (see Chapter 5 in [20]) shows that $\mathbf{W}_j^{cd}(y_\alpha, h_\rho) = e^{-\sqrt{-i}\beta\tau} \mathbf{E}_j$, $j \geq 0$, in Ω^{cd} , $\lambda Y_3 = \sqrt{-i}\beta\tau$ and $w_j = \ell_j$. Furthermore we see that the first terms in the asymptotic expansion of the electrical field for a smooth surface Σ derived by Peron coincide with those for the half-space $x_3 = 0$ investigated by MacCamy and Stephan, namely $\ell_0 = w_0 = 0$, $\ell_1 = w_1 = 0$, $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathbf{W}_0^{cd} = 0$.

Remark 3. Since due Theorem 5 in Chapter 3 of [19] there exists only one solution of the electromagnetic transmission problem for a smooth interface this solution can be compute by the boundary integral equation procedure below, when we assume that (19) holds. Then for the electrical field \mathbf{E} obtained via the boundary integral equation system we have that in the tubular region $\Omega_{\pm}(\delta) = \{x \in \Omega_{\pm}, \operatorname{dist}(x, \Sigma) < \delta\}$ there holds for the remainders $\mathbf{E}_m^{is(cd)}$ obtained by truncating (3) and (5) at $n = m$

$$\|\mathbf{E}_{m,\rho}^{is}\|_{\mathbf{W}(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega^{is})} \leq C_1 \rho^{-m-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{E}_{m,\rho}^{cd}\| \leq C_2 e^{C_3\tau}$$

for constants $C_1, C_2, C_3 > 0$, independent of ρ .

3 A boundary integral equation method of the first kind

Next we describe the integral equation procedure for $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha\beta})$ and $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha\infty})$ from [12, 25]. Throughout the section we require that

$$\alpha \neq \alpha_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (19)$$

This methods, like others, are based on the Stratton-Chu formulas from [22]. To describe these we need some notation. We will let \mathbf{n} denote the exterior normal to Σ . Given any vector field \mathbf{v} defined on Σ we have

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_T + v_N \mathbf{n}, \quad \mathbf{v}_T = \mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{n}) \quad (20)$$

where \mathbf{v}_T , which lies in the tangent plane, is the tangential component of \mathbf{v} .

We define the simple layer potential \mathcal{V}_κ for density ψ (correspondingly for a vector field) for the surface Σ by

$$\mathcal{V}_\kappa(\psi) = \int_\Sigma \psi(\mathbf{y}) G_\kappa(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) ds_y, \quad G_\kappa(r) = \frac{e^{i\kappa r}}{4\pi r}. \quad (21)$$

For a vector field \mathbf{v} on Σ we define $\mathcal{V}_\kappa(\mathbf{v})$ by (21) with \mathbf{v} replacing ψ .

We collect in the following lemma some of the well-known results about the simple layer potential \mathcal{V}_κ .

Remark 4. [12, Lemma 2.1] For any complex κ , $0 \leq \arg \kappa \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ and any continuous ψ on Σ ; there holds:

- (i) $\mathcal{V}_\kappa(\psi)$ is continuous in \mathbb{R}^3 ,
- (ii) $\Delta \mathcal{V}_\kappa(\psi) = -\kappa^2 \mathcal{V}_\kappa(\psi)$ in $\Omega_- \cup \Omega_+$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{V}_\kappa(\psi)(\mathbf{x}) = O\left(\frac{e^{i\kappa|\mathbf{x}|}}{|\mathbf{x}|}\right)$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$,

(iv)

$$\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_\kappa(\psi)}{\partial \mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})\right)^\pm = \mp \frac{1}{2} \psi(\mathbf{x}) + \int_\Sigma K_\kappa(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \psi(\mathbf{y}) ds_y, \quad \text{on } \Sigma,$$

where $K_\kappa(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = O(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^{-1})$ as $\mathbf{y} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}$.

(v)

$$(\mathbf{n} \times \text{curl } \mathcal{V}_\kappa(\mathbf{v})(\mathbf{x}))^\pm = \pm \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma \mathbf{K}_\kappa(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{y}) ds_y,$$

where the matrix function \mathbf{K}_κ satisfies $\mathbf{K}_\kappa(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = O(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^{-1})$ as $\mathbf{y} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}$.

For problem (1)₂, in Ω_- the Stratton-Chu formula gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} &= \mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{i}\beta}(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{H}) - \text{curl } \mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{i}\beta}(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E}) + \text{grad } \mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{i}\beta}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{E}), \\ \mathbf{H} &= \text{curl } \mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{i}\beta}(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{H}) - \text{curl curl } \mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{i}\beta}(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E}). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Similarly, for problem (1)₁, in Ω_+

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E} &= \mathcal{V}_\alpha(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{H}) - \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{V}_\alpha(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E}) + \operatorname{grad} \mathcal{V}_\alpha(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{E}), \\ \mathbf{H} &= \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{V}_\alpha(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{H}) - \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{V}_\alpha(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E}).\end{aligned}\tag{23}$$

For given $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{H}$, $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{E}$ (23) yield a solution of $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha\infty})$. But we know only $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E}$. The standard treatment of $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha\infty})$ starts from (23), sets $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{H} = 0$ and $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0$ and replaces $-\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E}$ by an unknown tangential field \mathbf{L} yielding

$$\mathbf{E} = \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{V}_\alpha(\mathbf{L}), \quad \mathbf{H} = \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{V}_\alpha(\mathbf{L}).\tag{24}$$

Then the boundary condition yields an integral equation of the second kind for \mathbf{L} in the tangent space to Σ .

The method (24) is analogous to solving the Dirichlet problem for the scalar Helmholtz equation with a double layer potential. But having found \mathbf{L} it is hard to determine \mathbf{H}_T , or equivalently $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{H}$, on Σ . Note calculating $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{H}$ on Σ involves finding a second normal derivative of $\mathcal{V}_\alpha(\mathbf{L})$.

The method in [12] for $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha\infty})$ is analogous to solving the scalar problems with a simple layer potential (see [9]). MacCamy and Stephan use (23) but this time they set $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E} = 0$ and replace $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{H}$ and $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{E}$ by unknowns \mathbf{J} and M . Thus they take

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathcal{V}_\alpha(\mathbf{J}) + \operatorname{grad} \mathcal{V}_\alpha(M), \quad \mathbf{H} = \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{V}_\alpha(\mathbf{J}).\tag{25}$$

If they can determine \mathbf{J} then in this case they can use Remark 4 to determine $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{H}$, hence \mathbf{H}_T on Σ .

With the surface gradient $\operatorname{grad}_T \psi = (\operatorname{grad} \psi)_T$ on Σ , the boundary condition in (1) and (25) imply, by continuity of \mathcal{V}_α ,

$$\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{n} \times \mathcal{V}_\alpha(\mathbf{J}) + \mathbf{n} \times \operatorname{grad} \mathcal{V}_\alpha(M) = -\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E}^0$$

or equivalently

$$\mathcal{V}_\alpha(\mathbf{J})_T + \operatorname{grad}_T \mathcal{V}_\alpha(M) = -\mathbf{E}_T^0.\tag{26}$$

We note that for any field \mathbf{v} defined in a neighbourhood of Σ one can define the surface divergence div_T by

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = \operatorname{div}_T \mathbf{v} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \mathbf{n}.$$

As shown in [12, Lemma 2.3], there holds for any differentiable tangential field \mathbf{v} , $\operatorname{div} \mathcal{V}_\kappa(\mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{V}_\kappa(\operatorname{div}_T \mathbf{v})$ on Σ .

Setting $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{E} = 0$ on Σ yields therefore with (25)

$$0 = \operatorname{div} \mathbf{E} = \operatorname{div} \mathcal{V}_\alpha(\mathbf{J}) + \operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad} \mathcal{V}_\alpha(M)$$

and $\operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad} \mathcal{V}_\alpha(M) = -\alpha^2 \mathcal{V}_\alpha(M)$ gives immediately

$$\mathcal{V}_\alpha(\operatorname{div}_T \mathbf{J}) - \alpha^2 \mathcal{V}_\alpha(M) = 0.\tag{27}$$

4 FE/BE coupling

Next we present a coupling method for the interface problem $(P_{\alpha\beta})$ (see [1, 2, 6, 7, 19]). Integration by parts gives in Ω_- for the first equation in $(P_{\alpha\beta})$ with $\gamma_N \mathbf{E} = (\text{curl } \mathbf{E}) \times \mathbf{n}$, $\gamma_D \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{n})$

$$\int_{\Omega_-} \text{curl } \mathbf{E} \cdot \text{curl } \bar{\mathbf{v}} d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\Omega_-} i\beta^2 \mathbf{E} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{v}} d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\Sigma} \gamma_N^- \mathbf{E} \cdot \gamma_D^- \bar{\mathbf{v}} ds = 0. \quad (28)$$

Therefore with $\gamma_N^- \mathbf{E} = \gamma_N^+ \mathbf{E} + \gamma_N \mathbf{E}^0$ and setting $\mathbf{E} = \mathcal{V}_\alpha(\mathbf{J}) + \text{grad } \mathcal{V}_\alpha(M)$ in Ω_+ we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_-} \text{curl } \mathbf{E} \cdot \text{curl } \bar{\mathbf{v}} d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\Omega_-} i\beta^2 \mathbf{E} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{v}} d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\Sigma} \gamma_N^+ (\mathcal{V}_\alpha(\mathbf{J}) + \text{grad } \mathcal{V}_\alpha(M)) \cdot \gamma_D^+ \bar{\mathbf{v}} ds = \int_{\Sigma} \gamma_N \mathbf{E}^0 \cdot \gamma_D^+ \bar{\mathbf{v}} ds.$$

Note that $\gamma_N^+ (\mathcal{V}_\alpha(\mathbf{J}) + \text{grad } \mathcal{V}_\alpha(M)) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{J} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{K}_\alpha(\mathbf{J})$ where \mathbf{K}_α is a smoothing operator.

As shown in [12, Lemma 4.5] there exists a continuous map $J_\alpha(\mathbf{J})_T$ from $\mathbf{H}^r(\Sigma)$ into $H^{r+1}(\Sigma)$, for any real number r with

$$\text{div}_T \mathcal{V}_\alpha(\mathbf{J})_T = \mathcal{V}_\alpha(\text{div}_T \mathbf{J}) + J_\alpha(\mathbf{J})_T. \quad (29)$$

As shown in [11] the system of boundary operators on Σ (which is equivalent to (26) and (27))

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_\alpha(\mathbf{J})_T + \text{grad}_T \mathcal{V}_\alpha(M) &= -\mathbf{E}_T^0 \\ -J_\alpha(\mathbf{J})_T - (\Delta_T + \alpha^2) \mathcal{V}_\alpha(M) &= \text{div}_T \mathbf{E}_T^0. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

is strongly elliptic as a mapping from $\mathbf{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma) \times H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ into $\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma) \times H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$, where $\text{grad}_T(\text{div}_T)$ denote the surface gradient (surface divergence) and Δ_T the Laplace-Beltrami operator on Σ . Now, our fem/bem coupling method is based on the variational formulation: For given incident field \mathbf{E}^0 on Σ find $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbf{H}(\text{curl}, \Omega_-)$, $\mathbf{J} \in \mathbf{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ and $M \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_-} \text{curl } \mathbf{E} \cdot \text{curl } \bar{\mathbf{v}} d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\Omega_-} i\beta^2 \mathbf{E} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{v}} d\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathbf{J} + \mathbf{K}_\alpha(\mathbf{J})) \cdot \gamma_D^+ \bar{\mathbf{v}} ds &= \int_{\Sigma} \gamma_N \mathbf{E}^0 \cdot \gamma_D^+ \bar{\mathbf{v}} ds \\ \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{V}_\alpha(\mathbf{J})_T \cdot \bar{\mathbf{j}} dS + \int_{\Sigma} \text{grad}_T \mathcal{V}_\alpha(M) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{j}} dS &= - \int_{\Sigma} \mathbf{E}_T^0 \cdot \bar{\mathbf{j}} dS, \\ - \int_{\Sigma} J_\alpha(\mathbf{J})_T \bar{m} dS - \int_{\Sigma} (\Delta_T + \alpha^2) \mathcal{V}_\alpha(M) \bar{m} dS &= \int_{\Sigma} \text{div}_T \mathbf{E}_T^0 \bar{m} dS, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

$\forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}(\text{curl}, \Omega_-)$, $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$, $m \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$.

In order to formulate a conforming Galerkin scheme for (31) we take subspaces $\mathbf{H}_h^1 \subset \mathbf{H}(\text{curl}, \Omega_-)$, $\mathbf{H}_h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \subset \mathbf{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$, $H_h^{\frac{1}{2}} \subset H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ with mesh parameter h and look for $\mathbf{E}_h \in \mathbf{H}_h^1$, $\mathbf{J}_h \in \mathbf{H}_h^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $M_h \in H_h^{\frac{1}{2}}$ such that

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{E}_h, \mathbf{J}_h, M_h), (\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{j}_h, m_h) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}, (\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{j}_h, m_h) \rangle \quad (32)$$

where \mathcal{A} is the operator given by the left hand side in (31), $\mathcal{F} = (\gamma_N \mathbf{E}^0, -\mathbf{E}_T^0, \text{div}_T \mathbf{E}_T^0)$.

Theorem 1. 1. System (31) has a unique solution $(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{J}, M)$ in $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{H}(\text{curl}, \Omega_-) \times \mathbf{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma) \times H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$.

2. The Galerkin system (32) is uniquely solvable in $\mathbf{X}_h = \mathbf{H}_h^1 \times \mathbf{H}_h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \times H_h^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and there exists $C > 0$, independent of h ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\| \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}_h \|_{\mathbf{H}(\text{curl}, \Omega_-)} + \| \mathbf{J} - \mathbf{J}_h \|_{\mathbf{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)} + \| M - M_h \|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)} \\ &\leq C \inf_{(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{j}, m) \in \mathbf{X}_h} \left\{ \| \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{v} \|_{\mathbf{H}(\text{curl}, \Omega_-)} + \| \mathbf{J} - \mathbf{j} \|_{\mathbf{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)} + \| M - m \|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where $(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{J}, M)$ and $(\mathbf{E}_h, \mathbf{J}_h, M_h)$ solve (31)-(32) respectively.

Proof. First we note that system (31) is strongly elliptic in \mathbf{X} which follows by considering \mathcal{A} as a system of pseudodifferential operators (cf. [11]). The only difference to [11] is that here we have additionally the first equation in (31). If we note $\Delta \mathbf{E} = \text{curl curl} \mathbf{E} - \text{grad div} \mathbf{E}$ and take $\text{div} \mathbf{E} = 0$ we have that the principal symbol of \mathcal{A} has the form (with $|\xi|^2 = \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2$)

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A})(\xi)(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{J}, M)^t = \begin{pmatrix} |\xi|^2 + \xi_3^2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & |\xi|^2 + \xi_3^2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & |\xi|^2 + \xi_3^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{|\xi|} & 0 & i\xi_1 \frac{1}{|\xi|} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{|\xi|} & i\xi_2 \frac{1}{|\xi|} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & |\xi| \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_1 \\ E_2 \\ E_3 \\ J^1 \\ J^2 \\ M \end{pmatrix} \quad (34)$$

where $(E_1, E_2) = \mathbf{E}_T$ and E_3 is perpendicular to $x_3 = 0$.

Obviously the two subblocks are strongly elliptic (see [11] for the lower subblock). Assuming that $(\alpha, \sqrt{i}\beta)$ is not an eigenvalue of $P_{\alpha\beta}$ we have existence and uniqueness of the exact solution. Due to the strong ellipticity of \mathcal{A} there exists a unique Galerkin solution and the a priori error estimate holds due to the abstract results by Stephan and Wendland [21]. \square

5 Galerkin procedure for the perfect conductor problem $(P_{\alpha\infty})$

Next we consider the implementation of the Galerkin methods (see [3, 19, 23, 25]) and present corresponding numerical experiments for the integral equations (26) and (27). These experiments are performed with the program package *Maiprogs*, cf. Maischak [15, 17], which is a Fortran-based program package used for finite element and boundary element simulations [16]. Initially developed by M. Maischak, *Maiprogs* has been extended for electromagnetics problem by Teltscher [24] and Leydecker [10].

We will investigate the exterior problem $(P_{\alpha\infty})$ by performing the integral equations procedure (26) and (27):

Testing against arbitrary functions $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ and $m \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ in (26) and (27), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{J})_T \cdot \bar{\mathbf{j}} \, dS + \int_{\Sigma} \text{grad}_T \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(M) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{j}} \, dS &= - \int_{\Sigma} \mathbf{E}_T^0 \cdot \bar{\mathbf{j}} \, dS, \\ - \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(\text{div}_T \mathbf{J}) \cdot \bar{m} \, dS + \alpha^2 \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(M) \cdot \bar{m} \, dS &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Partial integration in the second term of (35)₁

$$\int_{\Sigma} \text{grad}_T \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(M) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{j}} \, dS = - \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(M) \cdot \text{div}_T \bar{\mathbf{j}} \, dS$$

shows that the formulation (35) is symmetric: By definition of symmetric bilinear forms a, c , of

the bilinear form b and linear form ℓ through

$$\begin{aligned}
a(\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{j}) &:= \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{J})_T \cdot \bar{\mathbf{j}} \, dS, \\
b(\mathbf{J}, m) &:= - \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(\operatorname{div}_T \mathbf{J}) \cdot \bar{m} \, dS \\
&= - \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(m) \cdot \operatorname{div}_T \bar{\mathbf{J}} \, dS, \\
c(M, m) &:= \alpha^2 \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(M) \cdot \bar{m} \, dS, \\
\ell(\mathbf{j}) &:= - \int_{\Sigma} \mathbf{E}_T^0 \cdot \bar{\mathbf{j}} \, dS
\end{aligned}$$

the variational formulation has the form: Find $(\mathbf{J}, M) \in \mathbf{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma) \times H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
a(\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{j}) + b(\mathbf{j}, M) &= \ell(\mathbf{j}) \\
b(\mathbf{J}, m) + c(M, m) &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

for all $(\mathbf{j}, m) \in \mathbf{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma) \times H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$.

We now proceed to finite dimensional subspaces $\mathcal{R}_h \subset \mathbf{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ of dimension n and $\mathcal{M}_h \subset H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ of dimension m , and seek approximations $\mathbf{J}_h \in \mathcal{R}_h$ and $M_h \in \mathcal{M}_h$ for \mathbf{J} and M , such that

$$\begin{aligned}
a(\mathbf{J}_h, \mathbf{j}) + b(\mathbf{j}, M_h) &= \ell(\mathbf{j}), \\
b(\mathbf{J}_h, m) + c(M_h, m) &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

for all $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{R}_h$ and $m \in \mathcal{M}_h$.

Let $\{\boldsymbol{\psi}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a basis of \mathcal{R}_h and $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^m$ be a basis of \mathcal{M}_h . \mathbf{J}_h and M_h are of the forms

$$\mathbf{J}_h := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \boldsymbol{\psi}_i \quad \text{and} \quad M_h := \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j \varphi_j. \tag{38}$$

Inserting (38) in (37) provides

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i a(\boldsymbol{\psi}_i, \boldsymbol{\psi}_k) + \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j b(\boldsymbol{\psi}_k, \varphi_j) &= \ell(\boldsymbol{\psi}_k) \\
\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i b(\boldsymbol{\psi}_i, \varphi_l) + \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j c(\varphi_j, \varphi_l) &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\psi}_k$ and φ_l , $1 \leq k \leq n$, $1 \leq l \leq m$.

With matrices and vectors

$$\begin{aligned}
A &:= (a(\boldsymbol{\psi}_i, \boldsymbol{\psi}_k))_{i,k} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, \\
B &:= (b(\boldsymbol{\psi}_i, \varphi_l))_{i,l} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}, \\
C &:= (c(\varphi_j, \varphi_l))_{j,l} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}, \\
\boldsymbol{\lambda} &:= (\lambda_i)_i \in \mathbb{C}^n, \\
\boldsymbol{\mu} &:= (\mu_j)_j \in \mathbb{C}^m, \\
\boldsymbol{\ell} &:= (\ell(\boldsymbol{\psi}_k))_k \in \mathbb{C}^n.
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

(39) has also the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B^t \\ B & C \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\ell} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{41}$$

We have considered with $\{\boldsymbol{\psi}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ a basis of \mathcal{R}_h and $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^m$ a basis of \mathcal{M}_h . These functions, are chosen as piecewise polynomials. To win these bases, we consider suitable basis functions locally on the element of a grid, i.e. on each component grid.

If we start from a grid

$$\{\Sigma_k\}_{k=1}^N \quad \text{with} \quad \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq N} \Sigma_k = \Sigma$$

with N elements, and let $\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_i\}_{i=1}^{\widehat{n}}$ and $\{\widehat{\varphi}_j\}_{j=1}^{\widehat{m}}$ respectively bases on a square reference element $\widehat{\Sigma}$. The local basis functions on an element Σ_k are each $\{\boldsymbol{\psi}_i\}_{i=1}^{n_k}$ or $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^{m_k}$.

It should therefore be calculated first

$$A := (a(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{j_s}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{i_z}))_{i_z, j_s} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n},$$

where $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{j_s}$ or $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{i_z}$ are the basics function of \mathcal{R}_h and

$$a(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{j_s}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{i_z}) = \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{j_s})_T \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}_{i_z} \, dS = \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\Sigma_k} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{j_s})_T \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}_{i_z} \, dS,$$

We test each local basis function against any other local basis function and sum the result to the test value of the global basis functions, which include these local basis functions.

Let $I_N = \{1, \dots, N\}$ the index set for the grid elements, $I_{\widehat{n}} = \{1, \dots, \widehat{n}\}$ the index set for the basic functions on the reference element and $I_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$ the index set for the global basis functions. Let $\zeta : I_N \times I_{\widehat{n}} \rightarrow I_n$ the mapping from local to global basis functions such that $\zeta(k, i) = j$, if the local basis function $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{k,i}$ component of the global basis function is $\boldsymbol{\psi}_j$.

Let ζ^{-1} the set of all pairs of (k, j) with $\zeta(k, j) = i$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{j_s})_T \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}_{i_z} \, dS &= \sum_{\substack{(k,i) \in \\ \zeta^{-1}(i_z)}} \sum_{\substack{(l,j) \in \\ \zeta^{-1}(j_s)}} \int_{\Sigma_k} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{l,j})_T \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}_{k,i} \, dS \\
&= \sum_{\substack{(k,i) \in \\ \zeta^{-1}(i_z)}} \sum_{\substack{(l,j) \in \\ \zeta^{-1}(j_s)}} \int_{\Sigma_k} \int_{\Sigma_l} G_{\alpha}(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{l,j}(\mathbf{y}))^t \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}_{k,i}(\mathbf{x}) \, dS_{\mathbf{y}} \, dS_{\mathbf{x}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We are dealing in this implementation with Raviart-Thomas basis functions. The transformation of these functions requires a Peano transformation $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{k,i} = \frac{1}{|\det A_k|} A_k \widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_i$. Thus, if $A_k = (\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2)$,

$\det A_k$ is calculated by $\det A_k = (\mathbf{a}_1 \times \mathbf{a}_2) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{a}_1 \times \mathbf{a}_2}{\|\mathbf{a}_1 \times \mathbf{a}_2\|}$. The Peano-transformation of the local basis functions to the basic functions on the reference element then gives

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \sum_{\substack{(k,i) \in \\ \zeta^{-1}(i_z)}} \sum_{\substack{(l,j) \in \\ \zeta^{-1}(j_s)}} \int_{\Sigma_k} \int_{\Sigma_l} G_\alpha(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{l,j}(\mathbf{y}))^t \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}_{k,i}(\mathbf{x}) dS_{\mathbf{y}} dS_{\mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(k,i) \in \\ \zeta^{-1}(i_z)}} \sum_{\substack{(l,j) \in \\ \zeta^{-1}(j_s)}} \int_{\widehat{\Sigma}} \int_{\widehat{\Sigma}} \frac{G_\alpha(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|)}{|\det A_k \cdot \det A_l|} (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_i(\widehat{\mathbf{x}}))^t (A_k)^t \cdot A_l \widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_j(\widehat{\mathbf{y}}) dS_{\widehat{\mathbf{y}}} dS_{\widehat{\mathbf{x}}} \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

with $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{a}_k + A_k \widehat{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{a}_l + A_l \widehat{\mathbf{y}}$, and referent element $\widehat{\Sigma}$.

The calculation of the integrals with Helmholtz kernel G_α is not exact. We consider the expansion of the Helmholtz kernel in a Taylor series. There holds

$$G_\alpha(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{e^{\alpha i |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|} + \alpha i + \frac{(\alpha i)^2}{2} |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}| + \dots \right]$$

The first term are singular for $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ and the correspondingly integral are treated by analytic evaluation in *Maiprops*, cf. Maischak [14, 15, 17], but the integrals of all other summands can be calculated sufficiently well by Gaussian quadrature.

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} b(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{i_z}, \varphi_{j_s}) &= - \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{V}_\alpha(\nabla_T \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}_{i_z}) \cdot \varphi_{j_s} dS \\ &= - \sum_{\substack{(k,i) \in \\ \zeta_\psi^{-1}(i_z)}} \sum_{\substack{(l,j) \in \\ \zeta_\varphi^{-1}(j_s)}} \int_{\Sigma_l} \int_{\Sigma_k} G_\alpha(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) \nabla_T \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}_{k,i}(\mathbf{y}) \cdot \varphi_{l,j}(\mathbf{x}) dS_{\mathbf{y}} dS_{\mathbf{x}}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

with $\zeta_\psi^{-1} = \zeta$ described above, and ζ_φ^{-1} , the analogously defined map for the basic functions of \mathcal{M}_h .

While a transformation of the scalar basis functions is not required, the transformation of the surface divergence of Raviart-Thomas elements is carried out by $\nabla_T \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}_{k,i} = \frac{1}{|\det A_k|} \widehat{\nabla} \cdot \widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_i$ and we have

$$b(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{i_z}, \varphi_{j_s}) = - \sum_{\substack{(k,i) \in \\ \zeta_\psi^{-1}(i_z)}} \sum_{\substack{(l,j) \in \\ \zeta_\varphi^{-1}(j_s)}} \int_{\widehat{\Sigma}} \int_{\widehat{\Sigma}} \frac{G_\alpha(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|)}{|\det A_k|} \widehat{\nabla} \cdot \widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{k,i}(\widehat{\mathbf{y}}) \cdot \widehat{\varphi}_{l,j}(\widehat{\mathbf{x}}) dS_{\widehat{\mathbf{y}}} dS_{\widehat{\mathbf{x}}} \quad (44)$$

with $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{a}_k + A_k \widehat{\mathbf{y}}$ and $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{a}_l + A_l \widehat{\mathbf{x}}$.

The calculation of $c(\varphi_i, \varphi_j)$ is similar to the above-mentioned case.

The calculation of the right hand side appears simple at first glance, since there are no single layer potential terms. However we must compute the right hand side with quadrature.

The quadrature of an integral over \mathbf{f} on the reference element is determined by the quadrature points $\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{x,y}$, and the associated weights $w_{x,y} = w_x \cdot w_y$, which are processed in x and y direction. We perform the two-dimensional quadrature as a combination of one-dimensional quadratures in each x and y direction, and we use here the weights from the already implemented one-dimensional quadrature formula. With \tilde{n}_x quadrature points in x -direction, and \tilde{n}_y quadrature points in y -

direction, then the quadrature formula reads:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\widehat{\Sigma}}(\mathbf{f}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{n}_x} \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{n}_y} \mathbf{f}(\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{i,j}) \cdot w_i w_j. \quad (45)$$

The quadrature points on the square reference element and the corresponding weights for Gaussian quadrature are implemented in *Maiprops* already. For triangular elements, we use Duffy transformation.

We will now calculate the right hand side in the Galerkin formulation, i.e. the linear form ℓ , applied to the bases functions ψ_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$. The quadrature takes place on the reference element. We decompose the global into local basis functions and then use the Peano-transformation for the Raviart-Thomas functions. It is therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\psi_{i_r}) &= - \int_{\Sigma} (\mathbf{E}_T^0(\mathbf{x}))^t \cdot \psi_{i_r}(\mathbf{x}) dS_{\mathbf{x}} \\ &= - \sum_{\substack{(k,i) \in \\ \zeta^{-1}(i_r)}} \int_{\widehat{\Sigma}} (\mathbf{E}_T^0(\mathbf{x}))^t \cdot A_k \cdot \widehat{\psi}_{k,i}(\widehat{\mathbf{x}}) dS_{\widehat{\mathbf{x}}} \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{a}_k + A_k \widehat{\mathbf{x}}$. Applying (45) leads with $\tilde{n}_x = \tilde{n}_y := \tilde{n}$ to

$$\mathcal{Q}(\ell(\psi_i)) = - \sum_{\substack{(k,i) \in \\ \zeta^{-1}(i_r)}} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\tilde{n}} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\tilde{n}} (\mathbf{E}_T^0(\mathbf{x}_{i_1, i_2}))^t \cdot A_k \cdot \widehat{\psi}_{k,i}(\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{i_1, i_2}) \cdot w_{i_1} w_{i_2} \quad (46)$$

with $\mathbf{x}_{i,j} = \mathbf{a}_k + A_k \widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{i,j}$. As before, the task is carried out by looping through all grid components, and the values are added to the entries for each of its base function.

The electrical field can be calculated by

$$\mathbf{E}_h = \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{J}_h) + \text{grad } \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(M_h). \quad (47)$$

We have for the first term in (47) with (38)₁

$$\mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{J}_h)(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \int_{\Sigma} G_{\alpha}(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) \psi_i(\mathbf{y}) dS_{\mathbf{y}}. \quad (48)$$

Then using Peano-transformation we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(\psi_{i_s})(\mathbf{x}) &= \int_{\Sigma} G_{\alpha}(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) \psi_{i_s}(\mathbf{y}) dS_{\mathbf{y}} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(l,i) \in \\ \zeta^{-1}(i_s)}} \int_{\widehat{\Sigma}} \frac{G_{\alpha}(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|)}{|\det A_l|} A_l \widehat{\psi}_i(\widehat{\mathbf{y}}) dS_{\widehat{\mathbf{y}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

For the second term in (47) we have with

$$\text{grad } \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(\varphi_{j_z})(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\substack{(l,j) \in \\ \zeta^{-1}(j_z)}} \int_{\widehat{\Sigma}} \text{grad}_{\mathbf{x}} G_{\alpha}(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) \widehat{\varphi}_j(\widehat{\mathbf{y}}) dS_{\widehat{\mathbf{y}}} \quad (50)$$

The calculation of \mathbf{H}_T^{\pm} is done as follows (compare Remark 4 (v))

$$\mathbf{H}_T^{\pm} = [\mathbf{n} \times \text{curl } \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{J})]^{\pm} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \times \int_{\Sigma} \text{grad}_{\mathbf{x}} G_{\alpha}(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) \times \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{y}) dS_{\mathbf{y}}. \quad (51)$$

6 Numerical experiments

Example 1. Here, we consider one example to test the implementation. As domain we take the cube $\Omega_- = [-2, 2]^3$, and we now want to test the Galerkin method in (37). We choose the wave number $\alpha = 0.1$ (or $\alpha = 0.5, 1.5$), and the exact solution

$$\mathbf{J} = \frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (1-x_1)(1-x_2) \cdot n_3 \\ -(1-x_1)(1-x_2) \cdot n_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (52)$$

and

$$M = \frac{1}{8\alpha^2} (x_1 - 1) \cdot n_3 \quad (53)$$

where $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, n_3)$ denotes the outer normal vector at a point on the surface $\Sigma = \cup_{k=1}^6 \Sigma_k$. We can write each term of equation (26) as:

$$\mathcal{V}_\alpha(\mathbf{J})_T(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^6 \int_{\Sigma_k} G_\alpha(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) (\mathbf{J}_k(\mathbf{y}))^t dS_{\mathbf{y}}, \quad (54)$$

and

$$\text{grad}_T \mathcal{V}_\alpha(M)_T(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^6 \text{grad}_T \int_{\Sigma_k} G_\alpha(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) M_k(\mathbf{y}) dS_{\mathbf{y}}. \quad (55)$$

Then, from (26), (54) and (55) holds

$$\mathbf{E}_T = \sum_{k=1}^6 \left(\int_{\Sigma_k} G_\alpha(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) (\mathbf{J}_k(\mathbf{y}))^t dS_{\mathbf{y}} + \text{grad}_T \int_{\Sigma_k} G_\alpha(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) M_k(\mathbf{y}) dS_{\mathbf{y}} \right). \quad (56)$$

We use different values of α for our investigation. In Table 1 we present the results of the errors in energy norm and L^2 -norm for $\alpha = 0.1, 0.5, 1.5$ for the uniform h -version with polynomial degree $p = 1$. In Figures 1 and 2 we compare the h -version with different α . The exact norm is known by extrapolation for $\alpha = 0.1$ is $|C| = 8.580798$, for $\alpha = 0.5$ is $|C| = 1.6171534$, and for $\alpha = 1.5$ is $|C| = 1.8042380$. Here $C = \text{Re}\langle \mathbf{E}_T^0, \mathbf{J} \rangle$ and $C_h = \text{Re}\langle \mathbf{E}_T^0, \mathbf{J}_h \rangle$ (see [8]). The exact L^2 -norm is known by extrapolation for $\alpha = 0.1$ are $\|\mathbf{J}\|_{L^2} = 2.1066356$ and $\|M\|_{L^2} = 81.9249906$, for $\alpha = 0.5$ are $\|\mathbf{J}\|_{L^2} = 2.1977966$ and $\|M\|_{L^2} = 3.9588037$ and for $\alpha = 1.5$ are $\|\mathbf{J}\|_{L^2} = 2.3826646$ and $\|M\|_{L^2} = 0.7763804$.

The convergence rate η for $\alpha = 0.1$ are for the energy norm $\eta_C = 1.325363$, for L^2 -norm $\eta_{\mathbf{J}} = 1.617988$ and $\eta_M = 1.184964$. For $\alpha = 0.5$ are for the energy norm $\eta_C = 1.165255$, for L^2 -norm $\eta_{\mathbf{J}} = 0.976440$ and $\eta_M = 1.211619$ and for $\alpha = 1.5$ are for the energy norm $\eta_C = 1.552163$, for L^2 -norm $\eta_{\mathbf{J}} = 0.174124$ and $\eta_M = 0.295586$.

Let us compare our numerical convergence rates above for the boundary element methods obtained in the above example with the theoretical convergence rates predicted by Theorem 1. Note that we have implemented the boundary integral equation system (26), (27) and note the strongly elliptic system (30), where convergence is guaranteed due to Theorem 1. Nevertheless our experiments show convergence for the boundary element solution, but with suboptimal convergence rates. Theorem 1 predicts (when Raviart-Thomas elements are used to approximate \mathbf{J} and piecewise linear elements to approximate M) a convergence rate of order $\eta = \frac{3}{2}$ in the energy norm for smooth solutions \mathbf{J} and M . Our computations depend on the parameter α which is a well-known effect with boundary integral equations where it may come to spurious eigenvalues diminishing the orders of the Galerkin approximations. Due to the cube $\Omega_- = [-2, 2]^3$ the numerical solution might become singular near the edges and corners of Ω_- ; hence the Galerkin scheme converge suboptimally.

N	DOF	$ C $	$ C - C_h $	$\ \mathbf{J}\ _{\mathbf{L}^2}$	$\ M\ _{\mathbf{L}^2}$	$\ \mathbf{J} - \mathbf{J}_h\ _{\mathbf{L}^2}$	$\ M - M_h\ _{\mathbf{L}^2}$
				$\alpha = 0.1$			
1	144	8.502965	1.153119	2.085189	80.704374	0.299829	14.08929
2	576	8.568451	0.460150	2.104369	81.690279	0.097681	6.196968
3	2304	8.578833	0.033717	2.106395	81.879637	0.031823	2.725645
4	9216	8.654072	0.073274	2.117002	83.123825	0.010367	1.198835
				$\alpha = 0.5$			
1	144	1.603519	0.209552	2.149511	3.8937090	0.458159	0.714952
2	576	1.614451	0.093436	2.185426	3.9467491	0.232851	0.308704
3	2304	1.616616	0.041661	2.194608	3.9565591	0.118342	0.133293
4	9216	1.617260	0.018576	2.198619	3.9592220	0.060145	0.057554
				$\alpha = 1.5$			
1	144	1.774450	0.326497	2.350909	0.7243729	0.387707	0.279375
2	576	1.800799	0.111334	2.365011	0.7422644	0.343627	0.227618
3	2304	1.803838	0.037965	2.382843	0.7539064	0.304558	0.185450
4	9216	1.804284	0.012946	2.397906	0.7909461	0.269932	0.151093

Table 1: Errors in L^2 -norm and energy norm with respect to the degrees of freedom for $\alpha = 0.1, 0.5, 1.5$.

Next, we apply the boundary element method above to compute the first terms in the asymptotic expansion of the electrical field considered in subsection 2 (Remark 1). In this way we obtain good results for the electrical field at some point away from the transmission surface Σ by only computing a few terms in the expansion.

Algorithm for the asymptotics of the eddy current problem:

1. First solve the exterior Problem ($\mathbf{P}_{\alpha\infty}$) by integral equations (26) and (27) i.e. (35) with given incident field $-\mathbf{E}_T^0$.
2. Compute \mathbf{H}_T^+ from (51).
3. Go back to 1: Solve the exterior problem ($\mathbf{P}_{\alpha\infty}$) with new right hand side from (18).
4. Go back to 2.




Figure 1: Errors in L2-norm for $\alpha = 0.1, 0.5$




Figure 2: Errors in L2-norm for $\alpha = 1.5$ and energy norm $|C - C_h| = O(h^\eta)$ for $\alpha = 0.1, 0.5, 1.5$.

5. $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_0 + \beta^{-1}\mathbf{E}_1 + \beta^{-2}\mathbf{E}_2 + \mathbf{R}_m$, where \mathbf{E}_0 is the solution of the step 1 and \mathbf{E}_1 and \mathbf{E}_2 are solutions of step 3.

We have $\tilde{\mathbf{E}} = \mathbf{E}_0 + \beta^{-1}\mathbf{E}_1 + \beta^{-2}\mathbf{E}_2$ and calculate the error $|\tilde{\mathbf{E}} - \mathbf{E}_{\text{exact}}(\mathbf{x}_i)|$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, where $\mathbf{x}_1 = (3, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{x}_2 = (6, 0, 0)$ and $\mathbf{x}_3 = (9, 0, 0)$. We present the results in Table 2 and in Figure 3.

DOF	$ \tilde{\mathbf{E}} - \mathbf{E}_{\text{exact}}(\mathbf{x}_1) $	$ \tilde{\mathbf{E}} - \mathbf{E}_{\text{exact}}(\mathbf{x}_2) $	$ \tilde{\mathbf{E}} - \mathbf{E}_{\text{exact}}(\mathbf{x}_3) $
144	0.4959	0.6499	0.8049
576	0.1043	0.0910	0.0347
2304	0.0998	0.0067	0.0378

Table 2: Errors for electrical field in \mathbf{x}_1 , \mathbf{x}_2 , and \mathbf{x}_3 .




Figure 3: Errors for electrical field with respect to the degrees of freedom for \mathbf{x}_1 , \mathbf{x}_2 , and \mathbf{x}_3 .

Acknowledgements: This research was supported in part by the Progama ALECOL-DAAD, Institute for Applied Mathematics, Leibniz University of Hannover, Hannover-Germany, Department of Mathematics Sciences, Brunel University, U.K and Universidad del Norte, Barranquilla-Colombia. Also We thank the anonymous referees for their suggestions.

References

- [1] H. Ammari and J. C. Nédélec. *Couplage éléments finis équations intégrales pour la résolution des équations de Maxwell en milieu hétérogène*. Équations aux dérivées partielles et applications, Gauthier-Villars, Éd. Sci. Méd. Elsevier, Paris, 1998, pp. 19-33.
- [2] H. Ammari and J. C. Nédélec. *Coupling of finite and boundary element methods for the time-harmonic Maxwell equations II. A symmetric formulation*. The Maz'ya anniversary collection, Vol. 2 (Rostock, 1998), vol. 110 of Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1999, pp. 23-32.
- [3] S. Christiansen. *Mixed boundary element method for eddy current problems*. Research report 2002-16, SAM, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland, 2002.
- [4] S. I. Hariharan and R. C. MacCamy. Low frequency acoustic and electromagnetic scattering. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 2:29–35, (1986).
- [5] S. I. Hariharan and R. C. MacCamy. Integral equation procedures for eddy current problems. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 45(1):80–99, (1982).
- [6] R. Hitmair. *Symmetric coupling for eddy current problems*. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 40 (2002), pp. 41-65.
- [7] R. Hitmair. *Coupling of finite elements and boundary elements in electromagnetic scattering*. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 41 (2003), pp. 919-944.
- [8] H. Holm, M. Maischak, and E. P. Stephan. The hp - Version of the boundary element method for Helmholtz screen problems. *Computing*, 57:105–134, (1996).
- [9] G. Hsiao and R. C. MacCamy. Solution of boundary value problems by integral equations of the first kind. *SIAM Rev.*, 15:687–705, (1973).
- [10] F. Leydecker. *hp-version of the boundary element method for electromagnetic problems-error analysis, adaptivity, preconditioners*. PhD thesis, Institut of Applied Mathematics, Hannover University, Germany, (2006).

- [11] R. C. MacCamy and E. P. Stephan. A boundary element method for an exterior problem for three-dimensional Maxwell's equations. *Applicable Analysis*, 16:141–163, (1983).
- [12] R. C. MacCamy and E. P. Stephan. Solution procedures for three-dimensional eddy current problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 101:348–379, (1984).
- [13] R. C. MacCamy and E. P. Stephan. A skin effect approximation for eddy current problems. *Archive for rational mechanics and analysis*, 90:87–98, (1985).
- [14] M. Maischak. The analytical computation of the Galerkin elements for the Laplace, Lamé and Helmholtz equation in 3D-BEM. July, (2000).
- [15] M. Maischak. Manual of the software package Maiprogs. April, (2007).
- [16] M. Maischak. Book of numerical experiments (b.o.n.e.). September, (2010).
- [17] M. Maischak. Technical manual of the program system Maiprogs. July, (2010).
- [18] C. Müller. *Foundations of mathematical theory of electromagnetic waves*. Springer-Verlag, New York, (1969).
- [19] J. E. Ospino. *Finite elements/boundary elements for electromagnetic interface problems, especially the skin effect*. PhD thesis, Institut of Applied Mathematics, Hannover University, Germany, (2011).
- [20] V. Peron. *Modélisation mathématique de phénomènes électromagnétiques dans des matériaux à fort contraste*. PhD thesis, Université de Rennes I, Rennes, France, (2009).
- [21] E. P. Stephan and W. L. Wendland. Remarks to Galerkin and least squares methods with finite elements for general elliptic problems. *Manuscripta geodaetica*, 1:93–123, (1976).
- [22] J. A. Stratton. *Electromagnetic theory*. Mc Graw-Hill, New York, (1941).
- [23] M. Taskinen and S. Vänskä. *Current and charge integral equation formulations and picards extended maxwell system*. IEEE Trans. Antennas and Propagation, 55 (2007), pp. 3495-3503.
- [24] M. Teltscher. *A posteriori Fehlerschätzer für elektromagnetische Kopplungsprobleme in drei Dimensionen*. PhD thesis, Institut of Applied Mathematics, Hannover University, Germany, (2002).
- [25] L. Weggler. *Stabilized boundar element methods for low-frequency electromagnetic scattering*. Mathematical methods in the applied sciences, 35 (2012), pp. 574-597.