

ON M – TERMS APPROXIMATIONS BESOV CLASSES IN LORENTZ SPACES

G. AKISHEV

Abstract. In this paper we consider Lorentz space with a mixed norm of periodic functions of many variables. We obtain the exact estimation of the best M -term approximations of Nikol'ski's, Besov's classes in the Lorentz space with the mixed norm.

Keywords: Lorentz space, and Besov's class, and approximation

MSC: 41A10 and 41A25

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{T}^m = [0, 2\pi)^m$ and $\theta_j, p_j \in [1, +\infty)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. Let $L_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ denotes the space of Lebesgue measurable functions $f(\bar{x})$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^m$, which have 2π – period with respect to each variable such that

$$\|f\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}} = \|\dots\|f\|_{p_1, \theta_1} \dots \|f\|_{p_m, \theta_m} < +\infty,$$

where

$$\|g\|_{p, \theta} = \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} (g^*(t))^{\theta} t^{\frac{\theta}{p}-1} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\theta}},$$

where g^* a non-increasing rearrangement of the function $|g|$ (see. [1]).

It is known that if $\theta_j = p_j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, then $L_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}}(\mathbb{T}^m) = L_{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ the Lebesgue measurable space of functions $f(\bar{x})$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^m$, which have 2π – period with respect to each variable with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\bar{p}} = \left[\int_0^{2\pi} \left[\dots \left[\int_0^{2\pi} |f(\bar{x})|^{p_1} dx_1 \right]^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}} \dots \right]^{\frac{p_m}{p_{m-1}}} dx_m \right]^{\frac{1}{p_m}} < +\infty,$$

where $\bar{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$, $1 \leq p_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ (see [2], p. 128).

Any function $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^m) = L(\mathbb{T}^m)$ can be expanded to the Fourier series

$$\sum_{\bar{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^m} a_{\bar{n}}(f) e^{i\langle \bar{n}, \bar{x} \rangle},$$

where $a_{\bar{n}}(f)$ Fourier coefficients of $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^m)$ with respect to multiple trigonometric system $\{e^{i\langle \bar{n}, \bar{x} \rangle}\}_{\bar{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^m}$, and $\mathbb{Z}^m$ is the space of points in $\mathbb{R}^m$ with integer coordinates.

For a function $f \in L(\mathbb{T}^m)$ and a number $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+ = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ let us introduce the notation

$$\delta_0(f, \bar{x}) = a_0(f), \quad \delta_s(f, \bar{x}) = \sum_{\bar{n} \in \rho(s)} a_{\bar{n}}(f) e^{i\langle \bar{n}, \bar{x} \rangle},$$

where $\langle \bar{y}, \bar{x} \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^m y_j x_j$,

$$\rho(s) = \left\{ \bar{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m : [2^{s-1}] \leq \max_{j=1, \dots, m} |k_j| < 2^s \right\},$$

where $[a]$ is the integer part of the number a .

Let us consider Nikol'skii, Besov classes (see [2], [3]). Let $1 < p_j < +\infty, 1 < \theta_j < +\infty, j = 1, \dots, m, 1 \leq \tau \leq \infty$, and $r > 0$

$$H_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}}^r = \left\{ f \in L_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}}(\mathbb{T}^m) : \sup_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{sr} \|\delta_s(f)\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}} \leq 1 \right\},$$

$$B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}, \tau}^r = \left\{ f \in L_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}}(\mathbb{T}^m) : \left(\sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{sr\tau} \|\delta_s(f)\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}}^\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

It is known that for $1 \leq \tau \leq \infty$ the following holds

$$B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}, 1}^r \subset B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}, \tau}^r \subset B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}, \infty}^r = H_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}}^r.$$

Let $f \in L_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ and $\{\bar{k}^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^M$ be a system of vectors $\bar{k}^{(j)} = (k_1^{(j)}, \dots, k_m^{(j)})$ with integer coordinates. Consider the quantity

$$e_M(f)_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}} = \inf_{\bar{k}^{(j)}, b_j} \left\| f - \sum_{j=1}^M b_j e^{i\langle \bar{k}^{(j)}, \bar{x} \rangle} \right\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}},$$

where b_j are arbitrary numbers. The quantity $e_M(f)_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}}$ is called the best M – term approximation of a function $f \in L_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}}(\mathbb{T}^m)$. For a given class $F \subset L_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ let

$$e_M(F)_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}} = \sup_{f \in F} e_M(f)_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}}.$$

The best M – term approximation was defined by S.B.Stechkin [4]. Estimations of M – term approximations of different classes were provided by R.S. Ismagilov [5], E.S. Belinsky [6], V.E. Maiorov [7], B.S. Kashin [8], R. DeVore [9], V.N. Temlyakov [10], A.S. Romanyuk [11], Dinh Dung [12], Wang Heping and Sun Yongsheng [13], L. Q.Duan and G.S. Fang [14], W.Sickel and M. Hansen [15], S.A. Stasyuk [16], [17] and others (see bibliography in [18], [19], [20]).

For the case $p_1 = \dots = p_m = p$ and $q_1 = \dots = q_m = \theta_1 = \dots = \theta_m = q$ R.A. DeVore and V.N. Temlyakov [20] proved the following theorem.

Theorem A. *Let $1 \leq p, q, \tau \leq \infty$ and $r(p, q) = m \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right)_+$ if $1 \leq p \leq q \leq 2$, or $1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$ and $r(p, q) = \max \left\{ \frac{m}{p}, \frac{m}{2} \right\}$ in other cases. Then for $r > r(p, q)$ the following holds*

$$e_M(B_{p, \tau}^r)_q \asymp M^{-\frac{r}{m} + \left(\frac{1}{p} - \max \left\{ \frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{2} \right\} \right)_+},$$

where $a_+ = \max \{a; 0\}$.

Moreover, in the case of $m \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) < r < \frac{m}{p}$, $1 < p \leq 2 < q < \infty$ S.A. Stasyuk [16] proved $e_M(B_{p, \tau})_q \asymp M^{-\frac{q}{2} \left(\frac{r}{m} - \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \right)}$. In the case $r = \frac{m}{p}$ obtained $e_M(B_{p, \tau})_q \asymp M^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\log M)^{1 - \frac{1}{\tau}}$ (see [17]).

The main goal of the present paper is to find the order of the quantity $e_M(F)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}}$ for the class $F = B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}, \tau}^r$.

The notation $A(y) \asymp B(y)$ means that there exist positive constants C_1, C_2 such that $C_1 A(y) \leq B(y) \leq C_2 A(y)$. If $A \leq C_2 B$ or $A \geq C_2 B$, then we write $A << B$ or $A >> B$.

2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

To prove the main results the following auxiliary propositions are used.

Theorem B ([21]). *Let $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then there exist positive numbers $C_1(p), C_2(p)$ such that for any function $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^m)$ the following inequality holds:*

$$\|f\|_p << \left\| \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |\delta_s(f)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_p << \|f\|_p.$$

Theorem C ([22]). *Let $\bar{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_m)$, $n_j \in \mathbb{N}, j = 1, \dots, m$ and*

$$T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{x}) = \sum_{|k_j| \leq n_j, j=1, \dots, m} c_{\bar{k}} e^{i\langle \bar{k}, \bar{x} \rangle}.$$

Then for $1 \leq p_j < q_j < \infty, 1 \leq \theta_j^{(1)}, \theta_j^{(2)} < +\infty, j = 1, \dots, m$ the following inequality holds

$$\|T_{\bar{n}}\|_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}^{(2)}} << \prod_{j=1}^m n_j^{\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}} \|T_{\bar{n}}\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}^{(1)}}.$$

Let Ω_M be a set containing no more than M vectors $\bar{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_m)$ with integer coordinates, and $P(\Omega_M, \bar{x})$ be any trigonometric polynomial, which consists of harmonics with “indices” in Ω_M .

Lemma 1 (see [18]). *Let $2 < q_j < +\infty, j = 1, \dots, m$. Then for any trigonometric polynomial $P(\Omega_N)$ and for any natural number $M < N$ there exists trigonometric polynomial $P(\Omega_M)$, such that the following estimation holds*

$$\|P(\Omega_N) - P(\Omega_M)\|_{\bar{q}} << (NM^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|P(\Omega_N)\|_2,$$

and, moreover, $\Omega_M \subset \Omega_N$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Let us prove the main results.

Theorem 1. *Let $\bar{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_m), \bar{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_m), \bar{\theta}^{(1)} = (\theta_1^{(1)}, \dots, \theta_m^{(1)}), \bar{\theta}^{(2)} = (\theta_1^{(2)}, \dots, \theta_m^{(2)}), 1 < p_j \leq 2 < q_j < \infty, 1 < \theta_j^{(1)}, \theta_j^{(2)} < \infty, j = 1, \dots, m, 1 \leq \tau \leq \infty$.*

1. *If $\sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}) < r < \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$, then*

$$e_M \left(B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}^{(1)}, \tau}^r \right)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}^{(2)}} \asymp M^{-\left(2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{q_j} - 1\right) \left(r - \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}\right)\right)}.$$

2. *If $r = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$, then*

$$e_M \left(B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}^{(1)}, \tau}^r \right)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}^{(2)}} \asymp M^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\log_2 M)^{1 - \frac{1}{\tau}},$$

for $M > 1$.

3. *If $r > \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$, then*

$$e_M \left(B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}^{(1)}, \tau}^r \right)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}^{(2)}} \asymp M^{-\frac{1}{m} \left(r + \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_j}\right)\right)}.$$

Proof. Firstly, we are going to consider the upper bound in the first item. Taking into account the inclusion $B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1), \tau}^r \subset H_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1)}^r$, $1 \leq \tau < +\infty$, it suffices to prove it for the class $H_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1)}^r$.

Let $1 < p_j \leq 2 < q_j < \infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, and $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of natural numbers. For a number $M \in \mathbb{N}$ choose a natural number n such that $2^{nm} < M \leq 2^{(n+1)m}$. For a function $f \in H_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1)}^r$, it is known that

$$\|\delta_s(f)\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1)} \leq 2^{-sr}, \quad 1 < p_j < \infty, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

We will seek an approximation polynomial $P(\Omega_M, \bar{x})$ in the form

$$P(\Omega_M, \bar{x}) = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \delta_s(f, \bar{x}) + \sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} P(\Omega_{N_s}, \bar{x}), \quad (1)$$

where the polynomials $P(\Omega_{N_s}, \bar{x})$ will be constructed for each $\delta_s(f, \bar{x})$ in accordance with Lemma 1, and the number $\alpha > 1$ will be chosen during the construction.

Let $\sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}) < r < \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$. Suppose

$$N_s = \left[2^{nm} 2^{s(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j} - r)} 2^{-n\alpha(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j} - r)} \right] + 1,$$

where $[y]$ integer part of the number y .

Now we are going to show that polynomials (1) have no more than M harmonics (in terms of order). By definition of the number N_s , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \#\{\bar{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_m) : [2^{s-1}] \leq \max_{j=1, \dots, m} |k_j| < 2^s\} + \sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} N_s \leq C 2^{nm} + \\ & + \sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} \left(2^{nm} 2^{s(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j} - r)} 2^{-n\alpha(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j} - r)} + 1 \right) << 2^{nm} + (\alpha - 1)n << 2^{nm} \asymp M, \end{aligned}$$

where $\#A$ denotes the number of elements of the set A .

Next, by the property of the norm we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - P(\Omega_M)\|_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} & \leq \left\| \sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} (\delta_s(f) - P(\Omega_{N_s})) \right\|_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} + \\ & + \left\| \sum_{\alpha n \leq s < +\infty} \delta_s(f) \right\|_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} = J_1(n) + J_2(n). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Let us estimate $J_2(n)$. Applying the inequality of different metrics for trigonometric polynomials (see Theorem C), we can obtain

$$J_2(n) \leq \sum_{\alpha n \leq s < +\infty} \|\delta_s(f)\|_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} << \sum_{\alpha n \leq s < +\infty} 2^{s \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j})} \|\delta_s(f)\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1)}.$$

Therefore, taking into account $f \in H_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1)}^r$ and $\sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}) < r$, we get

$$J_2(n) << \sum_{\alpha n \leq s < +\infty} 2^{-s(r - \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}))} << 2^{-n\alpha(r - \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}))}. \quad (3)$$

Let us estimate $J_1(n)$. Using the property of the quasi-norm, Lemma 1 and the inequality of different metrics (see Theorem C), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1(n) &= \left\| \sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} (\delta_s(f) - P(\Omega_{N_s})) \right\|_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} << \sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} \|\delta_s(f) - P(\Omega_{N_s})\|_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} << \\
&<< \sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} (N_s^{-1} 2^{sm})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\delta_s(f)\|_2 << \\
&<< \sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} (N_s^{-1} 2^{sm})^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{s \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{2})} \|\delta_s(f)\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1)} << \\
&<< \sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} N_s^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2^{s \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}} 2^{-sr} << \\
&<< 2^{-\frac{nm}{2}} 2^{\frac{n\alpha}{2} (\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j} - r)} \sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} 2^{s (\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j} - r) \frac{1}{2}} << 2^{-\frac{nm}{2}} 2^{n\alpha (\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j} - r)}. \tag{4}
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\alpha = m(2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{q_j})^{-1}$. Then from the inequality (4), we get

$$J_1(n) \leq C 2^{-nm(2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{q_j})^{-1} (r - \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}))} \asymp M^{-(2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{q_j})^{-1} (r - \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}))}. \tag{5}$$

For $\alpha = m(2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{q_j})^{-1}$, using the inequality (3) and taking into account $2^{nm} \asymp M$ we obtain

$$J_2(n) << M^{-(2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{q_j})^{-1} (r - \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}))}. \tag{6}$$

By (5) and (6), we get from the inequality (2) the following

$$\|f - P(\Omega_M)\|_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} << M^{-(2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{q_j})^{-1} (r - \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}))}$$

for any function $f \in H_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1)}^r$ in the case of $\sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}) < r < \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$.

From the inclusion $B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1), \tau}^r \subset H_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1)}^r$ and the definition of M - term approximation, it follows that

$$e_M \left(B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1), \tau}^r \right)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} << M^{-(2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{q_j})^{-1} (r - \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}))}$$

in the case of $\sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}) < r < \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$.

Let us consider the lower bound. We will use the well-known formula ([23], p.25)

$$e_M(f)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} = \inf_{\Omega_M} \sup_{P \in L^\perp, \|P\|_{\bar{q}', \bar{\theta}(2)'} \leq 1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^m} f(\bar{x}) P(\bar{x}) d\bar{x} \right|, \tag{7}$$

where $\bar{q}' = (q'_1, \dots, q'_m)$, $\bar{\theta}(2)' = (\theta_1^{(2)'}, \dots, \theta_m^{(2)'})$, $\frac{1}{q_j} + \frac{1}{q_j'} = 1$, $\frac{1}{\theta_j^{(2)}} + \frac{1}{\theta_j^{(2)'}} = 1$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, and $L_M^\perp$ is the set of functions that are orthogonal to the subspace of trigonometric polynomials with harmonics in the set Ω_M .

Consider the function

$$F_{\bar{q},n}(\bar{x}) = \sum_{\substack{\max_{j=1,\dots,m} |k_j| \leq 2 \\ [nm(2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{q_j})^{-1}]}} e^{i\langle \bar{k}, \bar{x} \rangle}.$$

Let Ω_M be a set of M vectors with integer coordinates. Suppose

$$g(\bar{x}) = F_{\bar{q},n}(\bar{x}) - \sum_{\bar{k} \in \Omega_M}^* e^{i\langle \bar{k}, \bar{x} \rangle},$$

where the sum $\sum_{\bar{k} \in \Omega_M}^*$ contains those terms in the function $F_{\bar{q},n}(\bar{x})$ with indices only in Ω_M . By the inequality

$$\left\| \sum_{\substack{\max_{j=1,\dots,m} |k_j| \leq 2^l}} e^{i\langle \bar{k}, \bar{x} \rangle} \right\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1)} << 2^{l \sum_{j=1}^m (1 - \frac{1}{p_j})} \quad (8)$$

and the Parseval's equality for $1 < q'_j < 2, j = 1, \dots, m$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{\bar{q}', \bar{\theta}(2)'} &\leq \|F_{\bar{q},n}\|_{\bar{q}', \bar{\theta}(2)'} + (2\pi)^{\sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{q_j} - \frac{1}{2})} \left\| \sum_{\bar{k} \in \Omega_M}^* e^{i\langle \bar{k}, \bar{x} \rangle} \right\|_2 << \\ &<< (2^{\frac{nm}{2}} + M^{\frac{1}{2}}) << 2^{\frac{nm}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Now we consider the function

$$P_1(\bar{x}) = C_2 2^{-\frac{nm}{2}} g(\bar{x}). \quad (10)$$

Then (9) implies follows that the function P_1 satisfies the assumptions of the formula (7) for some constant $C_2 > 0$.

Consider the function

$$f_n(\bar{x}) = C_3 2^{-nm(2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{q_j})^{-1}(r - \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - 1))} F_{\bar{q},n}(\bar{x}).$$

By the inequality (8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} 2^{sr} \|\delta_s(f_n)\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1)} << \\ &<< 2^{-nm(2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{q_j})^{-1}(r - \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - 1))} \sum_{s=0}^{[m(2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{q_j})^{-1}]} 2^{sr} \|\delta_s(F_{\bar{q},n})\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1)} << \\ &<< 2^{-nm(2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{q_j})^{-1}(r - \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - 1))} \sum_{s=0}^{[m(2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{q_j})^{-1}]} 2^{sr} 2^{\sum_{j=1}^m (1 - \frac{1}{p_j})} \leq C_3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the function $C_3^{-1} f_n \in B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1), 1}^r$.

For the functions (10) and (11), we have by the formula (7), the following

$$\begin{aligned} e_M(f_n)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} &>> \inf_{\Omega_M} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^m} f_n(\bar{x}) P_1(\bar{x}) d\bar{x} \right| >> \\ &>> 2^{-nm(2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{q_j})^{-1}(r - \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - 1))} 2^{-\frac{nm}{2}} (\|F_{\bar{q},n}\|_2^2 - M) >> \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&>> 2^{-nm(2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{q_j})^{-1}(r - \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - 1))} 2^{-\frac{nm}{2}} 2^{nm(2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{q_j})^{-1}} = \\
&= C 2^{-nm(2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{q_j})^{-1}(r - \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}))}.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Hence, it follows from (12) by the inclusion $B_{\vec{p}, \vec{\theta}(1), 1}^r \subset B_{\vec{p}, \vec{\theta}(1), \tau}^r$ that

$$e_M(f_n)_{\vec{q}, \vec{\theta}(2)} >> 2^{-nm(2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{q_j})^{-1}(r - \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}))}$$

in the case of $\sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}) < r < \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$.

So we have proved the first item.

Now we consider the case $r = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$. Let $f \in B_{\vec{p}, \vec{\theta}(1), \tau}^r$. Suppose

$$\alpha = m(2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{q_j})^{-1} \text{ and}$$

$$N_s = \left[2^{nm} n^{\frac{1}{\tau}-1} \|\delta_s(f_n)\|_{\vec{p}, \vec{\theta}(1)} 2^{sr} \right] + 1.$$

Then, by definition of the numbers N_s and by Holders inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \# \rho(s) + \sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} N_s << \\
&<< 2^{nm} + (\alpha - 1)n + 2^{nm} n^{\frac{1}{\tau}-1} \sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} \|\delta_s(f_n)\|_{\vec{p}, \vec{\theta}(1)} 2^{sr} << \\
&<< 2^{nm} + (\alpha - 1)n + 2^{nm} n^{\frac{1}{\tau}-1} ((\alpha - 1)n)^{\frac{1}{\tau'}} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \|\delta_s(f_n)\|_{\vec{p}, \vec{\theta}(1)}^{\tau} 2^{sr\tau} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} << \\
&<< 2^{nm} \asymp M.
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate $J_1(n)$ let $\beta = \max\{q_1, \dots, q_m\}$. Then $\beta > 2$ and $L_{\beta}(\mathbb{T}^m) \subset L_{\vec{q}, \vec{\theta}(2)}(\mathbb{T}^m)$. Therefore by applying Theorem B and by the norm property we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1(n) &= \left\| \sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} (\delta_s(f) - P(\Omega_{N_s})) \right\|_{\vec{q}, \vec{\theta}(2)} \leq C \left\| \sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} (\delta_s(f) - P(\Omega_{N_s})) \right\|_{\beta} << \\
&<< \left\| \left(\sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} |\delta_s(f) - P(\Omega_{N_s})|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\beta} << \\
&<< \left(\sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} \|\delta_s(f) - P(\Omega_{N_s})\|_{\beta}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It implies by Lemma 1 and by the inequality of different metrics (see Theorem C) that

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1(n) &<< \left(\sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} N_s^{-1} 2^{sm} \|\delta_s(f)\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} << \\
&<< \left(\sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} N_s^{-1} 2^{sm} 2^{2s \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{2})} \|\delta_s(f)\|_{\vec{p}, \vec{\theta}(1)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, since $r = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$, we have by definition of the numbers N_s and using Holders inequality, the following

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(n) &<< \left(\sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} N_s^{-1} 2^{sm} 2^{2s \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{2})} \|\delta_s(f)\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} << \\ &<< (2^{-nm} n^{1-\frac{1}{\theta}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} 2^{sr} \|\delta_s(f)\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} << \\ &<< (2^{-nm} n^{1-\frac{1}{\theta}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} 2^{sr\theta} \|\delta_s(f)\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1)}^{\tau} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\tau}} (\alpha - 1)^{\frac{1}{2\tau}} = \\ &= C 2^{-\frac{nm}{2}} n^{1-\frac{1}{\tau}} \asymp M^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\log M)^{1-\frac{1}{\tau}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$J_1(n) << M^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\log M)^{1-\frac{1}{\tau}} \quad (13)$$

in the case of $r = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$.

For the estimation of $J_2(n)$ we apply Holders inequality and taking into account that $r = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$ and $\alpha = m(2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{q_j})^{-1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_2(n) &<< \sum_{n\alpha \leq s < +\infty} 2^{s \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j})} \|\delta_s(f)\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1)} << \\ &<< \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} 2^{sr\tau} \|\delta_s(f)\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1)}^{\tau} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \left(\sum_{n\alpha \leq s < +\infty} 2^{-s\tau' \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{q_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau'}} << \\ &<< 2^{-n\alpha \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{q_j}} = C 2^{-\frac{nm}{2}} \asymp M^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $\tau' = \frac{\tau}{\tau-1}$.

By (13) and (14) the inequality (2) implies that

$$\|f - P(\Omega_M)\|_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} << M^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\log M)^{1-\frac{1}{\tau}}$$

in the case $r = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$. It proves the upper bound estimation in the second item.

Let $r > \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$. Suppose

$$N_s = \left[2^{n(r - \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - 1))} 2^{-s(r - \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j})} \right] + 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sharp \rho(s) + \sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} N_s << \\ &<< 2^{nm} + (\alpha - 1)n + 2^{n(r - \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - 1))} \sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} 2^{-s(r - \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j})} << \end{aligned}$$

$$<< 2^{nm} + (\alpha - 1)n << 2^{nm} << M.$$

If $f \in H_{\vec{p}, \vec{\theta}(1)}^r$, then, by definition of the numbers N_s and $r > \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(n) &\leq \left(\sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} N_s^{-1} 2^{sm} 2^{2s \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{2})} \|\delta_s(f)\|_{\vec{p}, \vec{\theta}(1)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} << \\ &<< 2^{-\frac{n}{2}(r - \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - 1))} \left(\sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} 2^{s(r + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j})} \|\delta_s(f)\|_{\vec{p}, \vec{\theta}(1)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} << \\ &<< 2^{-\frac{n}{2}(r - \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - 1))} \left(\sum_{n \leq s < \alpha n} 2^{-s(r - \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j})} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} << 2^{-n(r + \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_j}))}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$J_1(n) << M^{-\frac{1}{m}(r + \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_j}))} \quad (15)$$

in the case of $r > \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$.

To estimate $J_2(n)$, we suppose $\alpha = (r + \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_j}))(r + \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{q_j} - \frac{1}{p_j}))^{-1}$ and get

$$\begin{aligned} J_2(n) &<< \sum_{n\alpha \leq s < \infty} 2^{s \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j})} \|\delta_s(f)\|_{\vec{p}, \vec{\theta}(1)} \leq \sum_{n\alpha \leq s < \infty} 2^{-s(r + \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{q_j} - \frac{1}{p_j}))} << \\ &<< 2^{-n\alpha(r + \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{q_j} - \frac{1}{p_j}))} << 2^{-n(r + \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_j}))} << M^{-\frac{1}{m}(r + \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_j}))} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

for a function $f \in H_{\vec{p}, \vec{\theta}(1)}^r$. By (15) and (14), it follows from (2) that

$$\|f - P(\Omega_M)\|_{\vec{q}, \vec{\theta}(2)} << M^{-\frac{1}{m}(r + \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_j}))}$$

for any function $f \in H_{\vec{p}, \vec{\theta}(1)}^r$ in the case of $r > \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$.

From $B_{\vec{p}, \vec{\theta}(1), \tau}^r \subset H_{\vec{p}, \vec{\theta}(1)}^r$ it follows that

$$e_M \left(B_{\vec{p}, \vec{\theta}(1), \tau}^r \right)_{\vec{q}, \vec{\theta}(2)} << M^{-\frac{1}{m}(r + \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_j}))}$$

in the case of $r > \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$. It proves the upper bound estimation in the item 3.

Let us consider the lower bound estimation in the case $r = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$. Consider the function

$$g_1(\vec{x}) = \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{\vec{k} \in \rho(s)} \prod_{j=1}^m k_j^{-1} \cos k_j x_j. \quad (17)$$

Then

$$\delta_s(g_1, \vec{x}) = \sum_{\vec{k} \in \rho(s)} \prod_{j=1}^m k_j^{-1} \cos k_j x_j.$$

It is known that for a function $d_s(\bar{x}) = \sum_{\bar{k} \in \rho(s)} \prod_{j=1}^m \cos k_j x_j$ the following relation holds

$$\|d_s\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}^{(1)}} \asymp 2^{s \sum_{j=1}^m (1 - \frac{1}{p_j})}, \quad 1 < p_j, \theta_j^{(1)} < +\infty, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Therefore by the inequality of distinct metrics (see Theorem C) and by the Marcinkiewicz theorem on multipliers, we have

$$\|\delta_s(g_1)\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}^{(1)}} << 2^{-sm} \|d_s\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}^{(1)}} \leq C 2^{-s \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}}.$$

Hence, since $r = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$ we obtain

$$\left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} 2^{sr\tau} \|\delta_s(g_1)\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}^{(1)}}^{\tau} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \leq C_1 n^{\frac{1}{\tau}}.$$

Therefore the function $f_1(\bar{x}) = C_1^{-1} n^{-\frac{1}{\tau}} g_1(\bar{x})$ belongs to the class $B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}^{(1)}, \tau}^r$, $1 < p_j < +\infty, j = 1, \dots, m$.

Now, we are going to construct a function P_1 , which satisfies the conditions of the formula (7). Let

$$v_1(\bar{x}) = \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{\bar{k} \in \rho(s)} \prod_{j=1}^m \cos k_j x_j$$

and Ω_M be an arbitrary set of vectors $\bar{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_m)$ in M with integer coordinates. Consider the function

$$u_1(\bar{x}) = \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{\bar{k} \in \rho(s) \cap \Omega_M} \prod_{j=1}^m \cos k_j x_j.$$

Suppose $w_1(\bar{x}) = v_1(\bar{x}) - u_1(\bar{x})$. Then, since $1 < q_j' = \frac{q_j}{q_j-1} < 2$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, we obtain, by the Perseval's equality, the following

$$\|w_1\|_{\bar{q}', \bar{\theta}^{(2)'}} \leq \|v_1\|_{\bar{q}', \bar{\theta}^{(2)'}} + \|u_1\|_2 \leq \|v_1\|_{\bar{q}', \bar{\theta}^{(2)'}} + CM^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By the property of quasi-norm and the estimation of the norm of the Dirichlet kernel in the Lorentz space, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_1\|_{\bar{q}', \bar{\theta}^{(2)'}} &<< \sum_{s=1}^n \|\delta_s(g_1)\|_{\bar{q}', \bar{\theta}^{(2)'}} << \\ &<< \sum_{s=1}^n 2^{s \sum_{j=1}^m (1 - \frac{1}{q_j'})} << 2^{n \sum_{j=1}^m (1 - \frac{1}{q_j'})} = C 2^{n \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{q_j'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, taking into account $\frac{1}{q_j} < \frac{1}{2}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, we get

$$\|w_1\|_{\bar{q}', \bar{\theta}^{(2)'}} << (2^{\frac{nm}{2}} + M^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq C 2^{\frac{nm}{2}}.$$

Hence the function

$$P_1(\bar{x}) = C_2^{-1} 2^{-\frac{nm}{2}} w_1(\bar{x})$$

satisfies the conditions of the formula (7). Then, by substituting the functions f_1 and P_1 into (7) and by orthogonality of a trigonometric system, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e_M(f_1)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} &>> \sum_{n_1 \leq s < n} \sum_{\bar{k} \in \rho(s)} \prod_{j=1}^m k_j^{-1} 2^{-\frac{nm}{2}} n^{-\frac{1}{\tau}} >> \\ &\geq C(\ln 2)^m \sum_{n_1 \leq s < n} 2^{-\frac{nm}{2}} n^{-\frac{1}{\tau}} = C(\ln 2)^m 2^{-\frac{nm}{2}} n^{-\frac{1}{\tau}} (n - n_1) \geq \\ &>> (\ln 2)^m 2^{-\frac{nm}{2}} n^{1-\frac{1}{\tau}} \asymp M^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\log_2 M)^{1-\frac{1}{\tau}}, \end{aligned}$$

where n_1 is a natural number such that $n_1 < \frac{n}{2}$.

So, for the function $f_1 \in B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1), \tau}^r$ it has been proved that

$$e_M(f_1)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} >> M^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\log_2 M)^{1-\frac{1}{\tau}}$$

in the case of $r = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$. Hence

$$e_M \left(B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1), \tau}^r \right)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} >> M^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\log_2 M)^{1-\frac{1}{\tau}}$$

in the case of $r = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$. It proves the lower bound estimation in the second item.

Let us prove the lower bound estimation for the case $r > \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$. Since in this case an upper bound estimation of the quantity $e_M \left(B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1), \tau}^r \right)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)}$ does not depend on τ and $B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1), 1}^r \subset B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1), \tau}^r$, $1 < \tau < +\infty$, it suffices to prove the lower bound estimation for $B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1), 1}^r$.

For a number $M \in \mathbb{N}$, we choose a natural number n such that $2^{nm} < M \leq 2^{(n+1)m}$ and $2M \leq \sharp \rho(n)$, where $\sharp \rho(n)$ denotes the number of elements in the set $\rho(n)$.

Consider the following function

$$f_3(\bar{x}) = n^{-1} \sum_{s=1}^n 2^{-s \sum_{j=1}^m (1-\frac{1}{p_j})} \sum_{\bar{k} \in \rho(s)} \prod_{j=1}^m k_j^{-\frac{r}{m}} \cos k_j x_j.$$

Then

$$\|\delta_s(f_3)\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1)} << 2^{-sr} n^{-1}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} 2^{sr} \|\delta_s(f_3)\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1)} \leq C_3$$

i.e. the function $C_3^{-1} f_3 \in B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1), 1}^r$.

Next, consider the functions

$$\begin{aligned} v_3(\bar{x}) &= \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{\bar{k} \in \rho(s)} \prod_{j=1}^m \cos k_j x_j, \\ u_3(\bar{x}) &= \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{\bar{k} \in \rho(s) \cap \Omega_M} \prod_{j=1}^m \cos k_j x_j. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $w_3(\bar{x}) = v_3(\bar{x}) - u_3(\bar{x})$. By the Perseval's equality,

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_3\|_2 &\leq M^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|v_3\|_2 &= 2^{\frac{(n-1)m}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

From these relations, we obtain, by the properties of the norm, the following

$$\|w_3\|_2 \leq \|v_3\|_2 + \|u_3\|_2 \leq C_4 2^{\frac{nm}{2}}.$$

Therefore the function $P_3(\bar{x}) = C_4^{-1} 2^{-\frac{nm}{2}} w_3(\bar{x})$ satisfies the conditions of the formula (7). Since $2 < q_j$ $j = 1, \dots, m$, we have $e_M(f_3)_2 \leq C e_M(f_3)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)}$. Now, by the formula (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}e_M(f_3)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} &>> e_M(f_3)_2 >> n^{-1} 2^{-\frac{nm}{2}} \sum_{s=1}^n 2^{-s \sum_{j=1}^m (1 - \frac{1}{p_j})} \sum_{\bar{k} \in \rho(s)} \prod_{j=1}^m k_j^{-\frac{r}{m}} >> \\ &>> n^{-1} 2^{-\frac{nm}{2}} \sum_{s=1}^n 2^{-s \sum_{j=1}^m (1 - \frac{1}{p_j})} 2^{s(m-r)} = \\ &= C n^{-1} 2^{-\frac{nm}{2}} \sum_{s=1}^n 2^{-s(r - \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j})} >> 2^{-n(r + \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_j}))}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the relation $2^{nm} \asymp M$ that

$$e_M(f_3)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} >> M^{-\frac{1}{m}(r + \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_j}))}$$

in the case $r > \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$ for the function $C_3^{-1} f_3 \in B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}^{(1)}, 1}^r$. Hence

$$e_M\left(B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}^{(1)}, 1}^r\right)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} >> M^{-\frac{1}{m}(r + \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_j}))}.$$

Therefore

$$e_M\left(B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}^{(1)}, \tau}^r\right)_{\bar{q}} >> M^{-\frac{1}{m}(r + \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_j}))}$$

in the case $r > \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p_j}$. So Theorem 1 has been proved.

Theorem 2. . Let $\bar{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$, $\bar{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_m)$, $1 < p_j < q_j \leq 2$, $1 < \theta_j^{(1)}, \theta_j^{(2)} < \infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, $1 \leq \tau \leq +\infty$.

If $r > \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j})$, then

$$e_M\left(B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}^{(1)}, \tau}^r\right)_{\bar{q}, \theta^{(2)}} \asymp M^{-\frac{1}{m}(r - \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}))}.$$

Proof. For a number $M \in \mathbb{N}$ choose a natural number n such that $M \asymp 2^{nm}$. By the inequality of distinct metrics and by Holder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|f - \sum_{s=0}^n \delta_s(f)\|_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} &\leq \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \|\delta_s(f)\|_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} \leq \\ &\leq \left[\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} 2^{s\tau r} \|\delta_s(f)\|_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)}^{\tau} \right]^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \leq \left[\sum_{s=n}^{\infty} 2^{s\tau'(r - \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}))} \right]^{\frac{1}{\tau}} <<\end{aligned}$$

$$<< 2^{n(r - \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}))} \leq CM^{-\frac{1}{m}(r - \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}))}$$

for $f \in B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1), \tau}^r$, $\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{\tau} = 1$. Therefore

$$e_M(f)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} \leq \|f - \sum_{s=0}^n \delta_s(f)\|_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} << M^{-\frac{1}{m}(r - \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}))}.$$

Hence

$$e_M \left(B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1), \tau}^r \right)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} << M^{-\frac{1}{m}(r - \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}))}.$$

It proves the upper bound estimation.

For the lower bound estimation, let us consider the function

$$f_0(\bar{x}) = n^{-r + \sum_{j=1}^m (\frac{1}{p_j} - 1)} V_n(\bar{x}),$$

where $V_n(\bar{x})$ is a Valle-Poisson sum with multiplicity.

Next, following the proof in [19] (pp. 46-47) and applying Theorem B, we obtain the lower bound estimation of the quantity $e_M \left(B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1), \tau}^r \right)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)}$.

Theorem 3. . Let $\bar{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$, $\bar{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_m)$, $2 \leq p_j < q_j < \infty$, $1 < \theta_j^{(1)}, \theta_j^{(2)} < \infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, $1 \leq \tau \leq +\infty$. If $r > \frac{m}{2}$, then

$$e_M \left(B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1), \tau}^r \right)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} \asymp M^{-\frac{r}{m}}.$$

Proof. By the inclusion $B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1), \tau}^r \subset B_{2, \bar{\theta}(1), \tau}^r \subset H_{2, \bar{\theta}(1)}^r$, we have

$$e_M \left(B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1), \tau}^r \right)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} \leq e_M \left(B_{2, \bar{\theta}(1), \tau}^r \right)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} \leq e_M \left(H_{2, \bar{\theta}(1)}^r \right)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)}.$$

By Theorem 1,

$$e_M \left(H_{2, \bar{\theta}(1)}^r \right)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} << M^{-\frac{r}{m}}.$$

for $p_j = 2, j = 1, \dots, m$. Hence

$$e_M \left(B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1), \tau}^r \right)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}(2)} << M^{-\frac{r}{m}}.$$

it proves the upper bound estimation.

Let us consider the lower bound estimation. Consider Rudin-Shapiros polynomial (see [24], p.155) of the type

$$R_s(x) = \sum_{k=2^{s-1}}^{2^s} \varepsilon_k e^{ikx}, \quad x \in [0, 2\pi], \quad \varepsilon_k = \pm 1.$$

it is known that $\|R_s\|_\infty = \max_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} |R_s(x)| << 2^{\frac{s}{2}}$ (see [24], p. 155). For a given number M choose a number n such that $M \asymp 2^{nm}$. Now consider the function

$$f_0(\bar{x}) = 2^{-n(\frac{m}{2} + r)} \sum_{s=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m R_s(x_j)$$

Then, by the continuity, $f_0 \in L_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1)}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ and

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} 2^{s\tau r} \|\delta_s(f_0)\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1)}^\tau = 2^{-n(\frac{m}{2} + r)} \sum_{s=1}^n 2^{s\tau r} \left\| \prod_{j=1}^m R_s(x_j) \right\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}(1)}^\tau \leq$$

$$\leq 2^{-n(\frac{m}{2}+r)} \sum_{s=1}^n 2^{s(\frac{m}{2}+r)\tau} \leq C_0.$$

Hence, the function $C_0^{-1}f_0 \in B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}^{(1)}, \tau}^r$. Now construct a function $P(\bar{x})$, which would satisfy the conditions in the formula (7). Suppose

$$v_0(\bar{x}) = \sum_{s=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m R_s(x_j), \quad u_0(\bar{x}) = \sum_s^* \prod_{j=1}^m R_s(x_j),$$

where the sign $*$ means that the polynomial $u_0(\bar{x})$ contains only those harmonics of v_0 which have indices in Ω_M . Suppose $w_0(\bar{x}) = v_0(\bar{x}) - u_0(\bar{x})$. Then, since $1 < q'_j = \frac{q_j}{q_j-1} < 2$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, and by the Percevals equality, we have

$$\|w_0\|_{\bar{q}', \bar{\theta}^{(2)'}} \leq \|w_0\|_2 \leq C_1 2^{\frac{nm}{2}}.$$

Therefore, for the function $P_0(\bar{x}) = C_1^{-1} 2^{-\frac{nm}{2}} w_0(\bar{x})$, the inequality holds $\|P_0\|_{\bar{q}', \bar{\theta}^{(2)'}} \leq 1$. Now using the formula (7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e_M \left(B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}^{(1)}, \tau}^r \right)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}^{(2)}} &>> e_M(f_0)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}^{(2)}} >> 2^{-n(\frac{m}{2}+r)} 2^{-\frac{nm}{2}} (2^{nm} - M) >> \\ &>> 2^{-n(m+r)} 2^{nm} >> M^{-\frac{r}{m}}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$e_M \left(B_{\bar{p}, \bar{\theta}^{(1)}, \tau}^r \right)_{\bar{q}, \bar{\theta}^{(2)}} >> M^{-\frac{r}{m}}.$$

It proves Theorem 3.

Corollary. Let $1 < p \leq 2 < q < \infty$, $1 \leq \tau \leq \infty$ and $r = \frac{m}{p}$. Then

$$e_M \left(B_{p, \tau}^r \right)_q \asymp M^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\log M)^{1-\frac{1}{\theta}}.$$

The proof follows from the second item of Theorem 2.1 if $p_j = \theta_j^{(1)} = p$, $q_j = \theta_j^{(2)} = q$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Remark. In the case $p_j = \theta_j^{(1)} = p$, $q_j = \theta_j^{(2)} = q$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $r > m(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})$, the results of R.A. DeVore and V.N. Temlyakov [20] follow from Theorem 1 - 3. If $1 < p \leq 2 < q < \infty$ and $m(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}) < r \leq \frac{m}{p}$, the results of S.A. Stasyuk [16], [17] follow from the first and second items of Theorem 1.

The cases $p_j = \theta_j^{(1)}$, $q_j = \theta_j^{(2)}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. of Theorem 1 - 3 were announced in [25] and in of Theorem 1 the first item proved [26].

REFERENCES

- [1] Blozinski A.P. , Multivariate rearrangements and Banach function spaces with mixed norms, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 263(1) (1981), 146-167 .
- [2] Nikol'ski S. M., Approximation of classes of functions of several variables and embedding theorems, Moscow, 1977 (English transl. of 1st ed., 1975, Springer - Verlag, New York)
- [3] Besov O.V., Investigation of on family of functional spaces in connection with the theorems of imbedding and extension, Trudy Mat. Inst. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 60 (1961), 42 – 61 (in russain).
- [4] Stechkin S.B., On the absolute convergence of orthogonal series. Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR , 102(2) (1955), 37 – 40.
- [5] Ismagilov R.S., Widths of sets in linear normed spaces and the approximation of functions by trigonometric polynomials, Uspehi mathem. nauk, 29(3) (1974), 161 – 178.
- [6] Belinsky E.S., Approximation by a 'floating' system of exponents on the classes of smooth periodic functions. Mat. sb., 132(1) (1987), 20 – 27.
- [7] Maiorov V.E., On linear widths of Sobolev classes and chains of extremal subspaces, Mat. sb., 113(3) (1980), 437 – 463.

- [8] Kashin B.S., Approximation properties of complete orthonormal systems , Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov, 172 (1985), 187 – 201.
- [9] DeVore R. A., Nonlinear approximation, Acta Numerica, 7 (1998), 51 – 150.
- [10] Temlyakov V. N., Nonlinear methods approximation, Foundations Computational Mathematics, 3 (2003), 33–107.
- [11] Romanyuk A.S., On the best M – – term trigonometric approximations for the Besov classes of periodic functions of many variables, Izv. Ros. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Mat., 67(2) (2003), 61 – 100.
- [12] Dinh Dung, On asymptotic order of n - term approximations and non-linear - n widths, Vietnam Journal Math., 27(4) (1999), 363 - 367.
- [13] Wang Heping, Sun Yongsheng Representation and m - term approximation for anisotropic classes, in S.M. Nikol'skii, et al. (Eds), Theory of approximation of function and applications , Institute of Russian Academy, (2003), P. 250 - 268.
- [14] Duan L. Q., Fang G.S. Trigonometric widths and best N – term approximations of the generalized periodic Besov classes $B_{p,\theta}^\Omega$, Journal Math. Resear. and Expos., 31(1) (2011), 129 – 141.
- [15] Hansen M., Sickel W., Best m – term approximation and Lizorkin – Triebel spaces, Journal Approximation Theory, 163 (2011), 923 – 954.
- [16] Stasyuk S.A., Best m – term trigonometric approximation for the classes $B_{p,\theta}^r$ of functions of low smoothness, Ukrain. Mathem. Journal, 62(1) (2010), 114 –122.
- [17] Stasyuk S.A., Best m – term trigonometric approximation Of periodic function of several variables from Nikol'skii - Besov classes for small smoothness, Journal of Approximation Theory, 177 (2014), 1 - 16.
- [18] Akishev G., On the exact estimations of the best M – – term approximation of the Besov class, Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports , 7 (2010), 255 – 274.
- [19] Akishev G., On the order of the M – – term approximation classes in Lorentz spaces, Mathematical Journal. Almaty , 11(1) (2011), 5 - 29.
- [20] DeVore R.A., Temlyakov V.N., Nonlinear approximation by trigonometric sums, Jour. Fourier Analysis and applications , 2(1) (1995), 29–48
- [21] Lizorkin P.I., Generalized Holder spaces $B_{p,\theta}^{(r)}$ and their relations with the Sobolev spaces $L_p^{(r)}$, Sib. Mat. Zh., 9(5) (1968) , 1127 - 1152.
- [22] Akishev G., Inequalities of distinct metric of polynomials in Lorentz spaces with mixed norm, First Erjanov reading, Pavlodar state universuty, (2004), 211-215.
- [23] Korneichuk N.P., Extreme problem in the theory of approximation , Nauka, Moskow , 1976.
- [24] Kashin B.S., Sahakyan A.A., Orthogonal series, Nauka, Moscow, 1984
- [25] Akishev G., On the M – – term approximation Besov's classes, International Conference “Theory of approximation of functions and its applications” dedicated to the 7-th anniversary of corresponding member of National Academy of Ukraine, professor A.I. Stepanets (1942 – 2007) May 28 - June 3, (2012), Ukraine, Kamianets-Podilsky, 12.
- [26] Akishev G. Trigonometric widths of the Nikol'skii - Besov classes in the Lebesgue space with mixed norm. Ukr. Math. Zh. , 66(6) (2014), 723-732.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, BUKETOV KARAGANDA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSYTETSKAYA 28 , 100028, KARAGANDA , REPUBLIC KAZAKHSTAN