

# The Minc-type bound and the eigenvalue inclusion sets of the general product of tensors

Chunli Deng<sup>a</sup>, Hongmei Yao<sup>b</sup>, Changjiang Bu<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>College of Automation, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin 150001, PR China

<sup>b</sup>College of Science, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin 150001, PR China

---

## Abstract

In this paper, we give the Minc-type bound for spectral radius of nonnegative tensors. We also present the bounds for the spectral radius and the eigenvalue inclusion sets of the general product of tensors.

*Keywords:* General product of tensors, Spectral radius, Minc-type bound, Eigenvalue inclusion set

*AMS classification:* 15A69, 15A18

---

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{C}^{[m,n]}$  ( $\mathbb{R}_+^{[m,n]}$ ) be the set of order  $m$  dimension  $n$  tensors (nonnegative tensors) over complex number field  $\mathbb{C}$  (real number field  $\mathbb{R}$ ). For  $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{[m,n]}$ , denote

$$r_i(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n |a_{i i_2 \dots i_m}|, \quad r(\mathcal{A}) = \min_{i \in [n]} r_i(\mathcal{A}), \quad R(\mathcal{A}) = \max_{i \in [n]} r_i(\mathcal{A}),$$

where  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

For  $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{[m,n]}$ ,  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{A}x^{m-1}$  is a column vector of dimension  $n$ , whose the  $i$ th component is

$$(\mathcal{A}x^{m-1})_i = \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{i i_2 \dots i_m} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_m}, \quad i \in [n].$$

In 2005, Qi [1] and Lim [2] proposed the concept of eigenvalue of tensors, inde-

---

*Email address:* buchangjiang@hrbeu.edu.cn (Changjiang Bu)

pendently. For  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{[m,n]}$ , if there exists a number  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and a nonzero vector  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that

$$\mathcal{A}x^{m-1} = \lambda x^{[m-1]},$$

then  $\lambda$  is called the eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $x$  is called the eigenvector of  $\mathcal{A}$  corresponding to  $\lambda$ , where  $x^{[m-1]} = (x_1^{m-1}, \dots, x_n^{m-1})^T$ . Let  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$  denote the set of eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{A}$ . The spectral radius of  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined as  $\rho(\mathcal{A}) = \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})\}$ .

In 2013, Shao [3] introduced a general product of tensors as follows: Let  $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{[m,n]}$  ( $m \geq 2$ ) and  $\mathcal{B} = (b_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}) \in \mathbb{C}^{[k,n]}$  ( $k \geq 1$ ), then  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} = (c_{i\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{m-1}})$  is an order  $(m-1)(k-1) + 1$  dimension  $n$  tensor with entries:

$$c_{i\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{m-1}} = \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{i i_2 \dots i_m} b_{i_2 \alpha_1} \dots b_{i_m \alpha_{m-1}}, i \in [n], \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1} \in [n]^{k-1},$$

where  $[n]^{k-1} = \underbrace{[n] \times \dots \times [n]}_{k-1}$ . Clearly, when  $\mathcal{B} = x \in \mathbb{C}^{[1,n]}$ , we have  $\mathcal{A}x = \mathcal{A}x^{m-1}$ .

In this paper, we use  $\mathcal{A}x$  instead of  $\mathcal{A}x^{m-1}$ .

A tensor  $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[m,n]}$  is called weakly irreducible [7], if for any nonempty proper subset  $I \subset [n]$ , there exist  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m$  satisfied

$$a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} > 0, \text{ where } i_1 \in I, i_j \in [n] \setminus I, j \in \{2, \dots, m\}.$$

In 1988, Minc [10] gave the bounds for spectral radius of nonnegative matrices as follows: Let  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[2,n]}$ , and  $r_i(A) \neq 0, i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then

$$\min_{i \in [n]} \left( \frac{1}{r_i(A)} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} r_j(A) \right) \leq \rho(A) \leq \max_{i \in [n]} \left( \frac{1}{r_i(A)} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} r_j(A) \right), \quad (1)$$

and since  $r_i(A^2) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} r_j(A)$ , the inequality (1) could be written by

$$\min_{i \in [n]} \frac{r_i(A^2)}{r_i(A)} \leq \rho(A) \leq \max_{i \in [n]} \frac{r_i(A^2)}{r_i(A)}.$$

In [4], Chang et al. gave the Perron-Frobenius theorem for nonnegative irre-

ducible tensor  $\mathcal{A}$ , and the Collatz-Wielandt Theorem of  $\mathcal{A}$  is given as follows:

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n} \min_{i \in [n]} \frac{(\mathcal{A}x)_i}{x_i^{m-1}} = \rho(\mathcal{A}) = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n} \max_{i \in [n]} \frac{(\mathcal{A}x)_i}{x_i^{m-1}}. \quad (2)$$

In [5], Friedland et al. also showed the Collatz-Wielandt Theorem for nonnegative weakly irreducible tensors. There are still some other results on spectral radius of tensors, see [4, 9, 11].

In [1], Qi gave the Geršgorin-type eigenvalue inclusion sets for real symmetric tensors. In [12], Bu et al. gave the Brualdi-type eigenvalue inclusion sets of tensors via digraph.

Inspired by the above, in this paper, we give the Minc-type bound for spectral radius of nonnegative tensors. Further, the generalized Collatz-Wielandt Theorem for nonnegative weakly irreducible tensors is showed. We also present the bound for the spectral radius and the eigenvalue inclusion sets of the general product of tensors.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** [3] For  $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{[m,n]}$  and an invertible diagonal matrix  $D = \text{diag}(d_{11}, d_{22}, \dots, d_{nn})$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = D^{-(m-1)} \mathcal{A} D$  is an order  $m$  dimension  $n$  tensor with entries

$$b_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} = d_{i_1 i_1}^{-(m-1)} a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} d_{i_2 i_2} \cdots d_{i_m i_m}.$$

In this case,  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are called diagonal similar and  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \sigma(\mathcal{B})$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** [5] Let  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[m,n]}$  be a weakly irreducible tensor. Then  $\rho(\mathcal{A})$  is an eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and there exists a unique positive eigenvector corresponding to  $\rho(\mathcal{A})$  up to a multiplicative constant.

**Lemma 2.3.** [6] Let  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[m,n]}$ . Then

$$r(\mathcal{A}) \leq \rho(\mathcal{A}) \leq R(\mathcal{A}).$$

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{[m,n]}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = (b_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}) \in \mathbb{C}^{[k,n]}$ ,  $m \geq 2$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . Then

(1)

$$r_i(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}) \leq r_i(\mathcal{A})(R(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}, i \in [n].$$

(2) If  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are nonnegative tensors,

$$r_i(\mathcal{AB}) = \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{ii_2 \dots i_m} r_{i_2}(\mathcal{B}) \cdots r_{i_m}(\mathcal{B}), i \in [n].$$

*Proof.* By general tensor product, we know  $\mathcal{AB} = (c_{i\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{m-1}})$  is an order  $(m-1)(n-1)+1$  dimension  $n$  tensor, where

$$c_{i\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{m-1}} = \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{ii_2 \dots i_m} b_{i_2 \alpha_1} \cdots b_{i_m \alpha_{m-1}}, \alpha_j \in [n]^{k-1}, j \in [m-1].$$

Then, for all  $i \in [n]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_i(\mathcal{AB}) &= \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1} \in [n]^{k-1}} |c_{i\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{m-1}}| \\ &= \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1} \in [n]^{k-1}} \left| \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{ii_2 \dots i_m} b_{i_2 \alpha_1} \cdots b_{i_m \alpha_{m-1}} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n \left( \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1} \in [n]^{k-1}} |a_{ii_2 \dots i_m}| |b_{i_2 \alpha_1}| \cdots |b_{i_m \alpha_{m-1}}| \right) \\ &= \left( \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n |a_{ii_2 \dots i_m}| \left( \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1} \in [n]^{k-1}} |b_{i_2 \alpha_1}| \cdots |b_{i_m \alpha_{m-1}}| \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1} \in [n]^{k-1}} |b_{i_2 \alpha_1}| \cdots |b_{i_m \alpha_{m-1}}| &= \left( \sum_{\alpha_1 \in [n]^{k-1}} |b_{i_2 \alpha_1}| \right) \left( \sum_{\alpha_2 \in [n]^{k-1}} |b_{i_3 \alpha_2}| \right) \\ &\cdots \left( \sum_{\alpha_{k-1} \in [n]^{k-1}} |b_{i_m \alpha_{m-1}}| \right) \\ &= r_{i_2}(\mathcal{B}) r_{i_3}(\mathcal{B}) \cdots r_{i_m}(\mathcal{B}) \\ &\leq (R(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
r_i(\mathcal{AB}) &\leq \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n |a_{ii_2 \dots i_m}| r_{i_2}(\mathcal{B}) r_{i_3}(\mathcal{B}) \cdots r_{i_m}(\mathcal{B}) \\
&\leq (R(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1} \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n |a_{ii_2 \dots i_m}| \\
&= r_i(\mathcal{A})(R(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

So (1) of this lemma holds.

When  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are nonnegative tensors, clearly, we obtain

$$r_i(\mathcal{AB}) = \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{ii_2 \dots i_m} r_{i_2}(\mathcal{B}) \cdots r_{i_m}(\mathcal{B}),$$

Thus we prove (2). □

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{[m,n]}$ . Then*

$$R(\mathcal{A}^k) \leq (R(\mathcal{A}))^{\mu_k}.$$

$$\text{where } \mu_k = \begin{cases} \frac{(m-1)^k - 1}{m-2}, & m > 2, \\ k, & m = 2. \end{cases}$$

### 3. The Minc-type bound for spectral radius of nonnegative tensors

In this section, we give the bounds for spectral radius of nonnegative tensors and the generalized Collatz-Wielandt Theorem of nonnegative weakly irreducible tensors.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[m,n]}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[k,n]}$ , and  $r_i(\mathcal{B}) \neq 0$ ,  $i \in [n]$ . Then*

$$\min_{i \in [n]} \frac{r_i(\mathcal{AB})}{(r_i(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}} \leq \rho(\mathcal{A}) \leq \max_{i \in [n]} \frac{r_i(\mathcal{AB})}{(r_i(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $D = \text{diag}(r_1(\mathcal{B}), r_2(\mathcal{B}), \dots, r_n(\mathcal{B}))$  be an invertible diagonal matrix. By Lemma 2.1,  $D^{-(m-1)}\mathcal{AD}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  are diagonal similar, then  $\rho(\mathcal{A}) = \rho(D^{-(m-1)}\mathcal{AD})$ . From Lemma 2.3, we get

$$r(D^{-(m-1)}\mathcal{AD}) \leq \rho(\mathcal{A}) = \rho(D^{-(m-1)}\mathcal{AD}) \leq R(D^{-(m-1)}\mathcal{AD}).$$

Using the general product of tensors, we have

$$(D^{-(m-1)}\mathcal{A}D)_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} = a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} (r_{i_1}(\mathcal{B}))^{-(m-1)} r_{i_2}(\mathcal{B}) \cdots r_{i_m}(\mathcal{B}),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} r_i(D^{-(m-1)}\mathcal{A}D) &= \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{i i_2 \dots i_m} (r_i(\mathcal{B}))^{-(m-1)} r_{i_2}(\mathcal{B}) \cdots r_{i_m}(\mathcal{B}) \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{i i_2 \dots i_m} r_{i_2}(\mathcal{B}) \cdots r_{i_m}(\mathcal{B})}{(r_i(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\min_{i \in [n]} \frac{\sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{i i_2 \dots i_m} \prod_{j=2}^m r_{i_j}(\mathcal{B})}{(r_i(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}} \leq \rho(\mathcal{A}) \leq \max_{i \in [n]} \frac{\sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{i i_2 \dots i_m} \prod_{j=2}^m r_{i_j}(\mathcal{B})}{(r_i(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}}. \quad (3)$$

By Lemma 2.4, it yields

$$r_i(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}) = \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{i i_2 \dots i_m} r_{i_2}(\mathcal{B}) \cdots r_{i_m}(\mathcal{B}),$$

combining inequality (3), we have

$$\min_{i \in [n]} \frac{r_i(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})}{(r_i(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}} \leq \rho(\mathcal{A}) \leq \max_{i \in [n]} \frac{r_i(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})}{(r_i(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}}.$$

□

**Remark** :In Theorem 3.1, we consider two cases as follows.

**Case 1.** When  $k = 1$ , let  $\mathcal{B} = x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$  ( $\mathbb{R}_{++}$  is the set of positive numbers),  $r_i(\mathcal{A}x)$  and  $r_i(x)$  be written by  $(\mathcal{A}x)_i$  and  $x_i$ , respectively. Thus we obtain

$$\min_{i \in [n]} \frac{(\mathcal{A}x)_i}{x_i^{m-1}} \leq \rho(\mathcal{A}) \leq \max_{i \in [n]} \frac{(\mathcal{A}x)_i}{x_i^{m-1}},$$

which is given in [6].

**Case 2.** When  $k = 2$ , let  $\mathcal{B}$  be an identity matrix, then

$$r(\mathcal{A}) \leq \rho(\mathcal{A}) \leq R(\mathcal{A}),$$

which is also given in [6].

If we set  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$  in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following theorem, which extends the Minc-type bound for spectral radius to tensors.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[m, n]}$ . If  $r_i(\mathcal{A}) \neq 0$ , for all  $i \in [n]$ , then

$$\min_{i \in [n]} \frac{r_i(\mathcal{A}^2)}{(r_i(\mathcal{A}))^{m-1}} \leq \rho(\mathcal{A}) \leq \max_{i \in [n]} \frac{r_i(\mathcal{A}^2)}{(r_i(\mathcal{A}))^{m-1}}.$$

**Example 3.3.** Let  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[3, 2]}$ , where  $a_{111} = 3$ ,  $a_{112} = 1$ ,  $a_{121} = 2$ ,  $a_{122} = 1$ ,  $a_{211} = 0$ ,  $a_{212} = 4$ ,  $a_{221} = 2$ ,  $a_{222} = 3$ . Then

$$r_1(\mathcal{A}) = 7, \quad r_2(\mathcal{A}) = 9, \quad r_1(\mathcal{A}^2) = 417, \quad r_2(\mathcal{A}^2) = 621,$$

$$\frac{r_1(\mathcal{A}^2)}{(r_1(\mathcal{A}))^2} = \frac{417}{49}, \quad \frac{r_2(\mathcal{A}^2)}{(r_2(\mathcal{A}))^2} = \frac{621}{81}.$$

From Lemma 2.3, we have

$$7 \leq \rho(\mathcal{A}) \leq 9.$$

From Theorem 3.2, we have

$$7.6667 \approx \frac{621}{81} \leq \rho(\mathcal{A}) \leq \frac{417}{49} \approx 8.5102.$$

This example shows that the bound in Theorem 3.2 is better than the bound in Lemma 2.3 for some tensors.

We take  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}^k$  in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[m, n]}$ . If  $r_i(\mathcal{A}) \neq 0$ , for all  $i \in [n]$ , then

$$\min_{i \in [n]} \frac{r_i(\mathcal{A}^{k+1})}{(r_i(\mathcal{A}^k))^{m-1}} \leq \rho(\mathcal{A}) \leq \max_{i \in [n]} \frac{r_i(\mathcal{A}^{k+1})}{(r_i(\mathcal{A}^k))^{m-1}}.$$

**Remark :** Let  $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[m, n]}$  and  $r_i(\mathcal{A}) \neq 0$ , for all  $i \in [n]$ . It follows from Lemma 2.4 that  $r_i(\mathcal{A}^2) = \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{i i_2 \dots i_m} r_{i_2}(\mathcal{A}) \cdots r_{i_m}(\mathcal{A})$ . Since  $r_i(\mathcal{A}) \neq 0$ , we have

$r_i(\mathcal{A}^2) \neq 0$ . Similarly, we obtain  $r_i(\mathcal{A}^3) \neq 0, \dots, r_i(\mathcal{A}^k) \neq 0$ , for all  $i \in [n]$ . Thus, in Theorem 3.4,  $(r_i(\mathcal{A}^k))^{m-1} \neq 0$ , for all  $i \in [n]$ .

Next we give the generalized Collatz-Wielandt Theorem of nonnegative weakly irreducible tensors.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[m,n]}$  be a weakly irreducible tensor. Then*

$$\max_{\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[k,n], r_i(\mathcal{B}) \neq 0}} \min_{i \in [n]} \frac{r_i(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})}{(r_i(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}} = \rho(\mathcal{A}) = \min_{\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[k,n], r_i(\mathcal{B}) \neq 0}} \max_{i \in [n]} \frac{r_i(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})}{(r_i(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}}.$$

where  $k$  is any fixed positive integer.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is a nonnegative irreducible tensor, by Lemma 2.2,  $\rho(\mathcal{A})$  is an eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_1) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  is the eigenvector corresponding to  $\rho(\mathcal{A})$ . Then

$$\rho(\mathcal{A}) = \frac{\sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{ii_2 \dots i_m} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_m}}{x_i^{m-1}}, \text{ for all } i \in [n].$$

For any positive integer  $k$ , there exists a tensor  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[k,n]}$ , such that  $r_i(\mathcal{B}) = x_i$ , thus

$$\rho(\mathcal{A}) = \frac{\sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{ii_2 \dots i_m} r_{i_2}(\mathcal{B}) \cdots r_{i_m}(\mathcal{B})}{(r_i(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}} = \frac{r_i(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})}{(r_i(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}},$$

by Theorem 3.1, we know

$$\min_{i \in [n]} \frac{r_i(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})}{(r_i(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}} \leq \rho(\mathcal{A}) \leq \max_{i \in [n]} \frac{r_i(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})}{(r_i(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}}.$$

Then

$$\max_{\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[k,n], r_i(\mathcal{B}) \neq 0}} \min_{i \in [n]} \frac{r_i(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})}{(r_i(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}} = \rho(\mathcal{A}) = \min_{\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[k,n], r_i(\mathcal{B}) \neq 0}} \max_{i \in [n]} \frac{r_i(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})}{(r_i(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}}.$$

□

**Remark :** In Theorem 3.5, when  $\mathcal{B} = x \in \mathbb{C}^{[1,n]}$ , we obtain Collatz-Wielandt Theorem for nonnegative weakly irreducible tensors, which is showed in equality (2).



#### 4. The eigenvalue inclusion sets of the general product of tensors

In this section, the bounds for spectral radius and the eigenvalue inclusion sets of the general product of two tensors are discussed.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[m,n]}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[k,n]}$ . Then*

$$r(\mathcal{A})(r(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1} \leq \rho(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}) \leq R(\mathcal{A})(R(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[m,n]}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[k,n]}$ . By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$r_i(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}) = \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{i i_2 \dots i_m} r_{i_2}(\mathcal{B}) \cdots r_{i_m}(\mathcal{B}).$$

Clearly,  $r_i(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}) \geq r_i(\mathcal{A})(r(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}$ , for all  $i \in [n]$ . Let  $r_j(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}) = r(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})$ ,  $j \in [n]$ . Then we get

$$r(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}) = r_j(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}) \geq r_j(\mathcal{A})(r(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1} \geq r(\mathcal{A})(r(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.3 that  $\rho(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}) \geq r(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})$ . So we obtain

$$\rho(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}) \geq r(\mathcal{A})(r(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}.$$

Similarly, we have  $\rho(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}) \leq R(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}) \leq R(\mathcal{A})(R(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}$ . Thus

$$r(\mathcal{A})(r(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1} \leq \rho(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}) \leq R(\mathcal{A})(R(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}.$$

□

**Remark :** In Theorem 4.1, when  $\mathcal{B}$  is an identity matrix, we also obtain  $r(\mathcal{A}) \leq \rho(\mathcal{A}) \leq R(\mathcal{A})$  (see [6]).

From Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 2.5, we have the following results.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{[m,n]}$ . Then*

- (1)  $\rho(\mathcal{A}^k) \leq (R(\mathcal{A}))^{\mu_k}$ ;
- (2) *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a nonnegative tensor, we have*

$$(r(\mathcal{A}))^{\mu_k} \leq \rho(\mathcal{A}^k) \leq (R(\mathcal{A}))^{\mu_k},$$

$$\text{where } \mu_k = \begin{cases} \frac{(m-1)^k - 1}{m-2}, & m > 2, \\ k & m = 2. \end{cases}$$

In[1], Qi gave the Geršgorin-type eigenvalue inclusion sets of tensors, i.e.,

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in [n]} \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - a_{i \dots i}| \leq r_i(\mathcal{A}) - |a_{i \dots i}|\}. \quad (4)$$

For  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{[m,n]}$  and  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{[k,n]}$ . By Lemma 2.4, it yields

$$r_i(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}) \leq r_i(\mathcal{A})(R(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1}. \quad (5)$$

Denote by  $c_{i \dots i}$  the diagonal elements of tensor  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}$ , then

$$c_{i \dots i} = \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{ii_2 \dots i_m} b_{i_2 i \dots i} \cdots b_{i_m i \dots i}, \quad i \in [n]. \quad (6)$$

Combining (4), (5), (6), we obtain the eigenvalue inclusion sets for  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}$  as follows.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{[m,n]}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = (b_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}) \in \mathbb{C}^{[k,n]}$ . Then*

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \mathbf{G} = \bigcup_{i \in [n]} \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - c_{i \dots i}| \leq r_i(\mathcal{A})(R(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1} - |c_{i \dots i}|\},$$

$$\text{where } c_{i \dots i} = \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{ii_2 \dots i_m} b_{i_2 i \dots i} \cdots b_{i_m i \dots i}.$$

For a tensor  $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{[m,n]}$ , we associate with  $\mathcal{A}$  a directed graph  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$  as follows,  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}} = (V(\mathcal{A}), E(\mathcal{A}))$ , where  $V(\mathcal{A}) = \{1, \dots, n\}$  is vertex set and  $E(\mathcal{A}) = \{(i, j) : a_{ii_2 \dots i_m} \neq 0, j \in \{i_2, \dots, i_m\} \neq \{i, \dots, i\}\}$  is arc set(see [8], [5]). If for each vertex  $i \in V(\mathcal{A})$ , there exists a circuit  $\gamma$ , such that  $i$  belong to  $\gamma$ , then  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$  is called weakly connected. We denote the set of circuits of  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$  by  $C(\mathcal{A})$ .

In [12], Bu et al. gave the Brualdi-type eigenvalue inclusion sets via digraph as follows: Let  $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{[m,n]}$ . If  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$  is weakly connected, then

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \bigcup_{\gamma \in C(\mathcal{A})} \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \prod_{i \in \gamma} |z - a_{i \dots i}| \leq \prod_{i \in \gamma} (r_i(\mathcal{A}) - |c_{i \dots i}|)\}. \quad (7)$$

Next we give another eigenvalue inclusion sets for tensor  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{[m, n]}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = (b_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}) \in \mathbb{C}^{[k, n]}$  and  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{AB}}$  be weakly connected. Then

$$\sigma(\mathcal{AB}) \subseteq \mathbf{B} = \bigcup_{\gamma \in C(\mathcal{AB})} \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \prod_{i \in \gamma} |z - c_{i \dots i}| \leq \prod_{i \in \gamma} (r_i(\mathcal{A})(R(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1} - |c_{i \dots i}|)\} \subseteq \mathbf{G},$$

where  $c_{i \dots i} = \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{i i_2 \dots i_m} b_{i_2 i \dots i} \dots b_{i_m i \dots i}$ ,  $\mathbf{G}$  is given in Theorem 4.3.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{[m, n]}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = (b_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}) \in \mathbb{C}^{[k, n]}$  and  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{AB}}$  is weakly connected. Then combining (5), (6), (7), we obtain

$$\sigma(\mathcal{AB}) \subseteq \mathbf{B} = \bigcup_{\gamma \in C(\mathcal{AB})} \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \prod_{i \in \gamma} |z - c_{i \dots i}| \leq \prod_{i \in \gamma} (r_i(\mathcal{A})(R(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1} - |c_{i \dots i}|)\}.$$

For any  $z \in \mathbf{B}$ , if  $z \notin \mathbf{G}$ , then

$$|z - c_{i \dots i}| \leq r_i(\mathcal{A})(R(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1} - |c_{i \dots i}|, \text{ for all } i \in [n].$$

Thus

$$\prod_{i \in \gamma} |z - c_{i \dots i}| > \prod_{i \in \gamma} (r_i(\mathcal{A})(R(\mathcal{B}))^{m-1} - |c_{i \dots i}|), \text{ for all } \gamma \in C(\mathcal{A}),$$

this is a contradiction to  $z \in \mathbf{B}$ . Therefore  $z \in \mathbf{G}$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{B} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ .  $\square$

## References

## References

- [1] L. Qi, Eigenvalues of a real supersymmetric tensor, J. Symbolic Comput. 40 (2005) 1302-1324.
- [2] L.H. Lim, Singular values and eigenvalues of tensors: a variational approach, in: Proceedings of the IEEE International Workshop on Computational Advances of Multitensor Adaptive Processing, 2005, 129-132.
- [3] J.Y. Shao, A general product of tensors with applications, Linear Algebra Appl. 439 (2013) 2350-2366.
- [4] K.C. Chang, K. Pearson, T. Zhang, Perron-Frobenius Theorem for nonnegative tensors, Commun. Math. Sci. 6 (2008) 507-520.

- [5] S. Friedland, S. Gaubert, L. Han, Perron-Frobenius Theorem for nonnegative multilinear forms and extensions, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 438 (2013) 738-749.
- [6] Y. Yang and Q. Yang, Further results for Perron-Frobenius Theorem for non-negative tensors, *SAIM. J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 31 (2010) 2517-2530.
- [7] Y. Yang and Q. Yang, On some properties of nonnegative weakly tensors, [aXiv:1111.0713](https://arxiv.org/abs/1111.0713).
- [8] K. Pearson and T. Zhang, On spectral hypergraph theory of the adjacency tensor, *Graphs and Combin.* 30 (2014) 1233-1248.
- [9] W. Li and M.K. Ng, Some bounds for the spectral radius of nonnegative tensors, *Numerische Mathematik*, 130 (2015) 315-335.
- [10] H. Minc, *Nonnegative Matrices*, Wiley, New York 1988.
- [11] L. Sun, B. Zheng, Y. Wei, C. Bu, Characterizations of the spectral radius of nonnegative weakly irreducible tensors via a digraph, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 64 (2016) 737-744.
- [12] C. Bu, Y.P. Wei, L. Sun, J. Zhou, Brauldi-type eigenvalue inclusion sets of tensors, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 480 (2015) 168-175.