arXiv:1605.08708v2 [math.AT] 25 Aug 2017

Binary operations for homotopy groups with coefficients

ARKOWITZ Martin

Department of Mathematics, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755, USA;
Email: martin.arkowitz@dartmouth.edu

Accepted for publication in Science China - Mathematics

Abstract We define and study binary operations for homotopy groups with coefficients. We give conditions to prove that certain binary operations are the homomorphic image of the generalized Whitehead product. This allows carrying over properties of the generalized Whitehead product to these operations. We discuss two classes of binary operations, the Whitehead products and the Torsion products. We introduce a new class of operations called Ext operations and determine some of its properties. We compare the Torsion product to the Whitehead product in a special case. We prove that the smash product of two Moore spaces has the homotopy type of a wedge of two Moore spaces.

Keywords homotopy groups with coefficients, binary operations, the generalized Whitehead product, Whitehead products, Torsion products

MSC 55Q05, 55Q35

1 Introduction

Products, such as the cup product for cohomology groups or the Whitehead product for homotopy groups, are important binary operations in Algebraic Topology. The cup product is defined for cohomology groups with coefficients, whereas the Whitehead product is usually defined for integral homotopy groups. In spite of the fact that the concept of homotopy groups with coefficients has been available for some time, there has been very little work on binary operations for homotopy groups with coefficients (two exceptions are [**4**] and [**6**]). Our object in this paper is to discuss in some detail such binary operations and to provide a unifying method for studying them.

The following is a brief outline of the paper. We shall refer to homotopy groups with coefficients as homotopy groups and binary operations as operations. After a

preliminary section, the generalized Whitehead product and generalized Whitehead product map are recalled. We show that the map can be extended to a map of a cone into a product of suspensions. We next define the general notion of an operation for homotopy groups. A class of operations, called basic operations, is defined and it is proved that these are homomorphic images of the generalized Whitehead product. We consider operations that have been restricted further (special operations) with a view to studying two particular classes of operations, the Whitehead products and the Torsion products. In the case when an operation is the image of a generalized Whitehead product, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for it to be a special operation. This is then applied to Whitehead and Torsion products. In the final section, we discuss a number of topics related to earlier sections. We first compare the Torsion product to Neisendorfer's Whitehead product [**6**] when all coefficient groups are cyclic of the same odd prime power order. We consider in more detail the class of operations called Ext operations which were introduced earlier in the paper. Next we establish a homotopy equivalence between the smash product of two Moore spaces and the wedge of two (different) Moore spaces. Finally we briefly discuss the difference between using Moore spaces or Co-Moore spaces to obtain coefficients.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we present our notation and assumptions. All spaces are assumed to be based and of the homotopy type of based CW-complexes and all groups are assumed to be abelian. Maps and homotopies are to preserve base points. The base point is generically denoted by $*$. We let $[f]$ denote the homotopy class of the map f and $f \simeq g$ signifies that f and g are homotopic, but notationally we often ignore the distinction between maps and homotopy classes. For example, an expression containing a mix of maps and homotopy classes refers to the homotopy class determined by the expression. We write ΣX for the (reduced) suspension of the space X and $CX = X \times I/\{*\} \times I \cup X \times \{1\}$ for the (reduced) cone. Also $X \vee Y$ denotes the wedge and $X \wedge Y$ the smash product. Furthermore, the join $X * Y$ is the quotient of $X \times Y \times I$ with the equivalence relations $(x, y, 0) \sim (x, y', 0)$ and $(x, y, 1) \sim (x', y, 1)$ and base point given by $\{*\} \times \{*\} \times I$. We use " $\approx$ " for isomorphism of groups and " $\equiv$ " for same homotopy type. We let $[X, Y]$ be the set of homotopy classes of maps from X to Y. A map f induces a homomorphism f_* of homology groups and a homomorphism $f_\#$ of homotopy groups. For homomorphisms of groups $h : G' \to G$ and $k : H \to H'$, we let $h^* : \mathrm{Hom}(G, H) \to \mathrm{Hom}(G', H)$ and $k_* : \mathrm{Hom}(G, H) \to \mathrm{Hom}(G, H')$ be the induced homomorphisms. We denote by $\mu' : A * B \to \Sigma(A \wedge B)$ the homotopy equivalence obtained by collapsing the subset $(A \times \{*\} \times I) \cup (\{*\} \times B \times I)$ to a point. The homotopy inverse of μ' is denoted $\mu : \Sigma(A \wedge B) \to A * B$. Let G be a group and n an integer ≥ 2. A **Moore space** $M(G, n)$ is a simply-connected space with a single non-vanishing reduced homology group G in degree n. The **nth homotopy group of X with coefficients** G, denoted $\pi_n(X; G)$, is defined to be $[M(G, n), X]$. If $(X; A)$ is a pair of spaces then the homotopy group $\pi_n(X, A; G)$ is defined as the set of homotopy classes of maps $(CM(G, n-1), M(G, n-1)) \to (X, A)$. We shall refer several times to the Universal

Coefficient Theorem for homotopy groups:

Theorem There is a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}(G, \pi_{n+1}(X)) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \pi_n(X; G) \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathrm{Hom}(G, \pi_n(X)) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\eta[f] = f_{\#} : G \to \pi_n(X)$ ([**4**], p. 30). When X is replaced by a pair of spaces, the sequence is also exact.

3 Generalized Whitehead products

Let A, B, and X be spaces and let $\alpha \in [\Sigma A, X]$ and $\beta \in [\Sigma B, X]$. The generalized Whitehead product [**1**] of α and β is an element $[\alpha, \beta] \in [\Sigma(A \wedge B), X]$ and is defined as follows. Let α be represented by $f : \Sigma A \to X$ and β represented by $g : \Sigma B \to X$ and let $p_1 : A \times B \to A$ and $p_2 : A \times B \to B$ be the projections. Then $f' = f(\Sigma p_1)$ and $g' = g(\Sigma p_2)$ map $\Sigma(A \times B)$ to X. We define $c = (f', g') = f'^{-1} g'^{-1} f' g'$, the commutator of f' and g'. Let $j : A \vee B \to A \times B$ be the inclusion map and $q : A \times B \to A \wedge B$ the quotient map. Clearly $(\Sigma j)^*(c) = 0$. Thus there is a unique element $[\alpha, \beta]$ such that $(\Sigma q)^*[\alpha, \beta] = c$. When A and B are spheres, this is just the ordinary Whitehead product. Next let $\iota_1 \in [\Sigma A, \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B]$ and $\iota_2 \in [\Sigma B, \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B]$ be the inclusions. Then $[\iota_1, \iota_2] \in [\Sigma(A \wedge B), \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B]$ is called the **universal element** for the generalized Whitehead product. If f represents α and g represents β, then $[\alpha, \beta] = (f, g)_*[\iota_1, \iota_2]$, where $(f, g) : \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B \to X$ is the map determined by f and g and $(f, g)_*$ is the induced map $[\Sigma(A \wedge B), \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B] \to [\Sigma(A \wedge B), X]$. Thus any generalized Whitehead product is the image of the universal element. We choose a map $\widetilde{k} : \Sigma(A \wedge B) \to \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B$ in the homotopy class $[\iota_1, \iota_2]$ and call it the **generalized Whitehead product map**.

Theorem 3.1 *There is a map $\Lambda : C(A * B) \to \Sigma A \times \Sigma B$ such that, if $\Lambda | A * B : A * B \to \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B$ is denoted by λ, then*

1. *$\lambda\mu \simeq \widetilde{k} : \Sigma(A \wedge B) \to \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B$,*
2. *Λ induces $\overline{\lambda} : \Sigma(A * B) \to \Sigma A \wedge \Sigma B$ such that $\overline{\lambda} \simeq \sigma(\Sigma\mu') : \Sigma(A * B) \to \Sigma A \wedge \Sigma B$, where $\sigma : \Sigma^2(A \wedge B) \to \Sigma A \wedge \Sigma B$ is the homeomorphism given by $\sigma((a, b), t, u) = ((a, t), (b, u))$, for $a \in A$, $b \in B$, and $t, u \in I$.*

Proof The function Λ was defined by D. Cohen ([**3**], Theorem 2.4) as follows:

$$\Lambda((a, b, t), u) = \begin{cases} ((a, u), (b, 1 - 2t(1 - u))) & \text{if } 0 \le t \le \frac{1}{2} \\ ((a, 1 - 2(1 - t)(1 - u)), (b, u)) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \le t \le 1, \end{cases}$$

for $a \in A$, $b \in B$, and $t, u \in I$. The proof of (1) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.1 and the proof of Theorem 2.4 of [**1**]. For the proof of (2) we define a

(linear) homotopy between $\overline{\lambda}$ and $\sigma(\Sigma\mu')$:

$$\Phi_s(x) = \begin{cases} ((a,(1-s)u+st),(b,(1-s)(1-2t(1-u))+su)) & \text{if } 0 \le t \le \frac{1}{2} \\ ((a,(1-s)(1-2(1-t)(1-u))+st),(b,u)), & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \le t \le 1. \end{cases}$$

where $x = ((a,b,t),u) \in \Sigma(A * B)$ and $s \in I$.

□

4 Binary operations

Let G_1, G_2, and G_3 be groups and q_1, q_2, and q_3 be integers. A **(binary) operation** of type $\{G_1, G_2, G_3; q_1, q_2, q_3\}$ is function T which, for every space X and integers $q_1, q_2 \ge 2$, assigns to each $\alpha \in \pi_{q_1}(X; G_1)$ and $\beta \in \pi_{q_2}(X; G_2)$, an element $T(\alpha,\beta) \in \pi_{q_3}(X; G_3)$ (also written $T_X(\alpha,\beta)$) such that if $f : X \to Y$ is a map, then $f_\# T_X(\alpha,\beta) = T_Y(f_\#(\alpha), f_\#(\beta))$. In the examples, q_3 will be a simple function of q_1 and q_2 such as $q_1 + q_2 + C$, for some constant C. Let $M_i = M(G_i, q_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, or 3, let $\iota_1 \in \pi_{q_1}(M_1 \vee M_2; G_1)$ and $\iota_2 \in \pi_{q_2}(M_1 \vee M_2; G_2)$ be the inclusions, and let $[f] = \alpha \in \pi_{q_1}(X; G_1)$ and $[g] = \beta \in \pi_{q_2}(X; G_2)$. Then $(f,g)_\# T(\iota_1, \iota_2) = T(\alpha,\beta)$. We call $T(\iota_1, \iota_2) \in \pi_{q_3}(M_1 \vee M_2; G_3)$ the **universal element** for T.

Next let the set of all operations of type $\{G_1, G_2, G_3; q_1, q_2, q_3\}$ be denoted $\mathbf{O} = \mathbf{O}\{G_1, G_2, G_3; q_1, q_2, q_3\}$. If T and T' are two such operations, then $T + T'$ defined by $(T + T')(\alpha,\beta) = T(\alpha,\beta) + T'(\alpha,\beta)$ is also in $\mathbf{O}$. Thus $\mathbf{O}$ is an abelian group. Furthermore, the function from $\mathbf{O}$ to $\pi_{q_3}(M_1 \vee M_2; G_3)$ which sends T to $T(\iota_1, \iota_2)$ is easily seen to be an isomorphism.

Definition 4.1 *Let $\partial : \pi_{q_3+1}(M_1 \times M_2, M_1 \vee M_2; G_3) \to \pi_{q_3}(M_1 \vee M_2; G_3)$ be the boundary homomorphism in the homotopy sequence of $(M_1 \times M_2, M_1 \vee M_2)$, let T be an operation as above, and assume that $q_1, q_2 \ge 3$. Then T is called a* **basic operation** *if $T(\iota_1, \iota_2) \in \operatorname{Im} \partial$, the image of ∂.*

Next let $\bar{M}_1 = M(G_1, q_1 - 1)$ and $\bar{M}_2 = M(G_2, q_2 - 1)$, so $\Sigma\bar{M}_1 = M_1$ and $\Sigma\bar{M}_2 = M_2$.

Theorem 4.2 *If T is a basic operation and $q_3 < q_1 + q_2 + \min(q_1, q_2) - 3$, then there exists a unique element $\theta_T \in \pi_{q_3}(\Sigma(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2); G_3)$ such that $T(\alpha,\beta) = [\alpha,\beta]\,\theta_T = h^*[\alpha,\beta]$, for every space X, where $\alpha \in [\Sigma\bar{M}_1, X]$, $\beta \in [\Sigma\bar{M}_2, X]$, $[h] = \theta_T$, and $h^* : [\Sigma(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2), X] \to \pi_{q_3}(X; G_3)$ is induced by h. Furthermore, h is a suspension and so h^* is a homomorphism.*

Proof Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Sigma(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2) & \longrightarrow & C\Sigma(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2) & \xrightarrow{p'} & \Sigma^2(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda\mu} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Lambda(C\mu)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \overline{\lambda}(\Sigma\mu)} \\
\Sigma\bar{M}_1 \vee \Sigma\bar{M}_2 & \longrightarrow & \Sigma\bar{M}_1 \times \Sigma\bar{M}_2 & \xrightarrow{p} & \Sigma\bar{M}_1 \wedge \Sigma\bar{M}_2,
\end{array}$$

where each row is a cofiber sequence, the squares commute, and p and p' are projections. By the Blakers-Massey Theorem ([**4**], p. 49), if $r < q_1 + q_2 + \min(q_1, q_2) - 3$, then both $p'_{\#} : \pi_{r+1}(C\Sigma(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2), \Sigma(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2); G_3) \to \pi_{r+1}(\Sigma^2(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2); G_3)$ and $p_{\#} : \pi_{r+1}(\Sigma\bar{M}_1 \times \Sigma\bar{M}_2, \Sigma\bar{M}_1 \vee \Sigma\bar{M}_2; G_3) \to \pi_{r+1}(\Sigma\bar{M}_1 \wedge \Sigma\bar{M}_2; G_3)$ are isomorphisms. Therefore the exact homotopy sequences with coefficients of the pairs $(C\Sigma(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2), \Sigma(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2))$ and $(\Sigma\bar{M}_1 \times \Sigma\bar{M}_2, \Sigma\bar{M}_1 \vee \Sigma\bar{M}_2)$, together with the homomorphism of the first sequence into the second sequence determined by the map $\Lambda(C\mu)$, yield the following commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_{q_3+1}(\Sigma^2(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2); G_3) & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & \pi_{q_3}(\Sigma(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2); G_3) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle (\overline{\lambda}\Sigma\mu)_{\#} = \sigma_{\#}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\lambda\mu)_{\#} = \widetilde{k}_{\#}} \\
\pi_{q_3+1}(\Sigma\bar{M}_1 \wedge \Sigma\bar{M}_2; G_3) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \pi_{q_3}(\Sigma\bar{M}_1 \vee \Sigma\bar{M}_2; G_3),
\end{array}$$

where $p_{\#}^{-1} : \pi_{q_3+1}(\Sigma\bar{M}_1 \wedge \Sigma\bar{M}_2; G_3) \to \pi_{q_3+1}(\Sigma\bar{M}_1 \times \Sigma\bar{M}_2, \Sigma\bar{M}_1 \vee \Sigma\bar{M}_2; G_3)$ and $\partial : \pi_{q_3+1}(\Sigma\bar{M}_1 \times \Sigma\bar{M}_2, \Sigma\bar{M}_1 \vee \Sigma\bar{M}_2; G_3) \to \pi_{q_3}(\Sigma\bar{M}_1 \vee \Sigma\bar{M}_2; G_3)$. Then $\delta = \partial\, p_{\#}^{-1}$ and δ' is similarly defined. Clearly δ' and $\sigma_{\#}$ are isomorphisms. In addition, it follows from the exact sequence of $(\Sigma\bar{M}_1 \times \Sigma\bar{M}_2, \Sigma\bar{M}_1 \vee \Sigma\bar{M}_2)$ that δ is one-one. Thus $\bar{k}_{\#}$ is one-one and $\operatorname{Im}\widetilde{k}_{\#} = \operatorname{Im}\delta = \operatorname{Im}\partial p_{\#}^{-1} = \operatorname{Im}\partial$. But $T(\iota_1, \iota_2) \in \operatorname{Im}\partial$. Thus there is a unique $\theta_T = [h] \in \pi_{q_3}(\Sigma(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2); G_3)$ with $T(\iota_1, \iota_2) = [\iota_1, \iota_2]\,\theta_T = h^*[\iota_1, \iota_2]$. If $f : \Sigma\bar{M}_1 \to X$ and $g : \Sigma\bar{M}_2 \to X$ represent α and β respectively, then $[\alpha, \beta]\,\theta_T = (f, g)_{\#}[\iota_1, \iota_2]\,\theta_T = (f, g)_{\#}T(\iota_1, \iota_2) = T(\alpha, \beta)$. The second assertion of the theorem is a consequence of the generalized suspension theorem since the dimension of $M(G_3, q_3 - 1)$ is $\leq q_3$ and $q_3 < q_1 + q_2 + \min(q_1, q_2) - 3$. □

Remark 4.3 If G_3 is a free-abelian group, then the conclusion of Theorem 4.2 holds when $q_3 = q_1 + q_2 + \min(q_1, q_2) - 3$. This is also true for the conclusion of subsequent results in which this strict inequality appears. This is because the Blakers-Massey Theorem holds in this case.

Corollary 4.4 *Let T be an operation of type $\{G_1, G_2, G_3; q_1, q_2, q_3\}$ and let $\alpha, \alpha' \in \pi_{q_1}(X; G_1)$ and $\beta, \beta' \in \pi_{q_2}(X; G_2)$. Consider the following statements:*

1. *T is basic;*
2. *$j_{\#}T(\iota_1, \iota_2) = 0$, where $j : M_1 \vee M_2 \to M_1 \times M_2$ is the inclusion;*

3. *T is bi-additive: $T(\alpha+\alpha',\beta)=T(\alpha,\beta)+T(\alpha',\beta)$ and $T(\alpha,\beta+\beta')=T(\alpha,\beta)+T(\alpha,\beta')$;*

4. *$T(\alpha,0)=0$ and $T(0,\beta)=0$.*

Then (1) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (2) and (3)$\Longrightarrow$ (4) $\Longrightarrow$(2). If in addition $q_3<q_1+q_2+\min(q_1,q_1)-3$, then (2) $\Longrightarrow$ (3), and in this case all four statements are equivalent.

Proof

(1) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (2): This is an immediate consequence of the exactness of the homotopy sequence of the pair $(M_1\times M_2, M_1\vee M_2)$.

(3)$\Longrightarrow$ (4): $T(\alpha,0)=T(\alpha,0+0)=T(\alpha,0)+T(\alpha,0)$ and so $T(\alpha,0)=0$. $T(0,\beta)=0$ is similar.

(4)$\Longrightarrow$ (2): Let $j_k: M_k\to M_1\times M_2$ be the inclusions and $p_k: M_1\times M_2\to M_k$ be the projections, $k=1,2$. Then

$$j_{\#}T(\iota_1,\iota_2)=T(j_1,j_2)\in\pi_{q_3}(M_1\times M_2;G_3).$$

But $T(j_1,j_2)=0 \iff p_{1\#}T(j_1,j_2)=0$ and $p_{2\#}T(j_1,j_2)=0$. However by (4), $p_{1\#}T(j_1,j_2)=T(p_1j_1,0)=0$ and similarly $p_{2\#}T(j_1,j_2)=0$. This proves (2).

(2) $\Longrightarrow$ (3): Since $q_3<q_1+q_2+\min(q_1,q_1)-3$ and since T is basic, (3) follows from Theorem 4.2 and the bi-additivity of the generalized Whitehead product ([**1**], p. 14). □

Next let $\mathbf{BO}=\mathbf{BO}\{G_1,G_2,G_3;q_1,q_2,q_3\}$ be the set of all basic operations of type $\{G_1,G_2,G_3;q_1,q_2,q_3\}$. Clearly $\mathbf{BO}\subseteq\mathbf{O}$ is a subgroup.

Corollary 4.5 *If $q_3<q_1+q_2+\min(q_1,q_2)-3$, then there is an isomorphism from* **BO** *to $\pi_{q_3}(\Sigma(\bar{M}_1\wedge\bar{M}_2);G_3)$.*

Proof For $T\in\mathbf{BO}$, we have $T(\iota_1,\iota_2)=\widetilde{k}_{\#}(\theta)$, for $\theta\in\pi_{q_3}(\Sigma(\bar{M}_1\wedge\bar{M}_2);G_3)$. Conversely given θ, we define T by $T(\iota_1,\iota_2)=\widetilde{k}_{\#}(\theta)$. It suffices to prove that T is basic, that is, $j\widetilde{k}\theta\simeq 0$, by Corollary 4.4. But $j\widetilde{k}\simeq j\lambda\mu\simeq 0$ since $j\lambda\mu$ factors through $C\Sigma(\bar{M}_1\wedge\bar{M}_2)$ (see the diagram in the proof of Theorem 4.2). □

We have seen that if T is basic and $q_3<q_1+q_2+\min(q_1,q_2)-3$, then T is bi-additive. The following corollary gives additional properties with this hypothesis.

Corollary 4.6 *If $T\in\mathbf{BO}$ and $q_3<q_1+q_2+\min(q_1,q_2)-3$, then*

1. $T(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ *if* X *is an H-space;*

2. $ET(\alpha, \beta) = 0$, *where* $E : \pi_{q_3}(X; G_3) \to \pi_{q_3+1}(\Sigma X; G_3)$ *is the suspension homomorphism;*

3. *If* $q_3 \leq q_1 + q_2 - 3$, *then* $T(\alpha, \beta) = 0$, *for all* α *and* β.

Proof The generalized Whitehead product satisfies the first two properties (see [**1**], p. 13), and so (1) and (2) follow from Theorem 4.2. Property (3) follows since the dimension of $M(G_3, q_3)$ is $\leq q_3 + 1$ and $\Sigma(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2)$ is $(q_1 + q_2 - 2)$-connected, and so θ_T is nullhomotopic. □

The inequality in property (3) of Corollary 4.6 cannot be improved. That is, there are non-trivial operations with $q_3 = q_1 + q_2 - 2$. To see this, let $q = q_1 + q_2$, let $G_1 = G_2 = \mathbb{Z}$ and $G_3 = \mathbb{Z}_k$, for some integer $k > 1$ (so that $M_1 = S^{q_1}$ and $M_2 = S^{q_2}$). Set $(Y, X) = (S^{q_1} \times S^{q_2}, S^{q_1} \vee S^{q_2})$ and consider the homomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}_k, \pi_q(Y, X)) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \pi_{q-1}(Y, X; \mathbb{Z}_k) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{q-2}(X; \mathbb{Z}_k),$$

where λ is the monomorphism of the Universal Coefficient Theorem and ∂ is the boundary homomorphism. Furthermore, ∂ is a monomorphism. This follows from the exact homotopy sequence with coefficients $\mathbb{Z}_k$ of $(S^{q_1} \times S^{q_2}, S^{q_1} \vee S^{q_2})$ and the fact that $j_\# : \pi_i(S^{q_1} \vee S^{q_2}) \to \pi_i(S^{q_1} \times S^{q_2})$ has a right inverse. In addition, $\pi_q(Y, X)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ and so the Ext term is $\mathrm{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}_k, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_k$. Thus the monomorphism $\partial\lambda$ maps these k elements into $\pi_{q-2}(M_1 \vee M_2; \mathbb{Z}_k)$ and hence determines k basic homotopy operations. Since $q - 2 < q_1 + q_2 + \min(q_1, q_2) - 3$, all of these operations are bi-additive and have the properties listed in Corollary 4.6. We shall refer to these operations of type $\{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_k; q_1, q_2, q_1 + q_2 - 2\}$ as **Ext** operations. For more about them, see §6.

5 Whitehead and Torsion products

We next define a class of basic operations. The purpose is to unify Hilton's treatment of Whitehead products and Torsion products in ([**4**], pp. 110-120). Let T be a basic operation of type $\{G_1, G_2, G_3; q_1, q_2, q_3\}$ and let $\omega \in \pi_{q_3}(M_1 \vee M_2; G_3)$ be the universal element for T. Furthermore, let $(Y, X) = (M_1 \times M_2, M_1 \vee M_2)$ and $Z = M_1 \wedge M_2$. We give three conditions:

1. $H_{q_3+1}(Z) \approx G_3$, and we let $\phi : G_3 \to H_{q_3+1}(Z) = H_{q_3+1}(M_1 \wedge M_2)$ be the isomorphism of the Künneth Theorem (see Remark 5.2 (1)).

2. T is basic, and so there is a unique $\xi \in \pi_{q_3+1}(Y, X; G_3)$ such that $\partial(\xi) = \omega$,

3. There is a homomorphism $\widehat{\eta}$ which is defined by the following diagram

$$\pi_{q_3+1}(Y,X;G_3) \xrightarrow{p_\#} \pi_{q_3+1}(Z;G_3) \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathrm{Hom}(G_3,\pi_{q_3+1}(Z)),$$
$$\widehat{\eta}$$

where $p : Y = M_1 \times M_2 \to Z = M_1 \wedge M_2$ is the projection and η is the epimorphism in the Universal Coefficient Theorem. Then the third condition is that the following composition is equal to the identity map

$$G_3 \xrightarrow{\widehat{\eta}(\xi)} \pi_{q_3+1}(M_1 \wedge M_2) \xrightarrow{h} H_{q_3+1}(M_1 \wedge M_2) \xrightarrow{\phi^{-1}} G_3,$$

where h is the Hurewicz homomorphism.

Definition 5.1 *Any operation which satisfies these three conditions will be called a* **special operation**.

Remark 5.2 1. From the Künneth Theorem we have that there are two possibilities for a special operation:
(a) $q_3 = q_1 + q_2 - 1$ and $G_3 = G_1 \otimes G_2$. In this case assume $q_1, q_2 \geq 3$.
(b) $q_3 = q_1 + q_2$ and $G_3 = G_1 * G_2 = \mathrm{Tor}(G_1, G_2)$. In this case assume $q_1, q_2 \geq 4$.

2. We comment on the third condition. The set of special operations of a given type may be empty since the third condition may not be satisfied (assuming that the first two are). Since $q_3 < q_1 + q_2 + \min(q_1, q_2) - 3$, $p_\#$ is an epimorphism and so $\widehat{\eta}$ is an epimorphism. If, in addition, h is an isomorphism, then the set $\widehat{\eta}^{-1}(h^{-1}\phi)$ is non-empty and so the set of special operations equals $\partial\widehat{\eta}^{-1}(h^{-1}\phi)$. In the general case ($h$ not necessarily an isomorphism), $\partial(\xi) = \omega$ and $\widehat{\eta}(\xi) = \eta(p_\#\xi) = \eta(p\xi)$. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_{q_3+1}(M(G_3, q_3+1)) & \xrightarrow{(p\xi)_\#} & \pi_{q_3+1}(M_1 \wedge M_2) \\ \downarrow h' & & \downarrow h \\ G_3 = H_{q_3+1}(M(G_3, q_3+1)) & \xrightarrow{(p\xi)_*} & H_{q_3+1}(M_1 \wedge M_2), \end{array}$$

and $\eta(p\xi) = (p\xi)_\# h'^{-1}$. Therefore

$$\phi = h\widehat{\eta}(\xi) = h\eta(p\xi) = h(p\xi)_\# h'^{-1} = (p\xi)_* h' h'^{-1} = (p\xi)_*.$$

Thus the third condition is

$$p_*\xi_* = (p\xi)_* = \phi : G_3 = H_{q_3+1}(M(G_3, q_3+1)) \to H_{q_3+1}(M_1 \wedge M_2).$$

In [**4**], Hilton defined two classes of binary homotopy operations, the Whitehead products and the Torsion products. We give slightly different definitions which are equivalent to Hilton's definition. One difference is that we apply the Universal Coefficient Theorem to $M_1 \wedge M_2$ instead of to the pair $(M_1 \times M_2, M_1 \vee M_2)$. In the relevant degrees the homotopy groups of the two are isomorphic. A second difference concerns the existence of the isomorphism ϕ. In ([**4**], pp. 110, 115), $H_{q_3+1}(M_1 \wedge M_2)$ is identified with G_3, whereas we make the isomorphism explicit.

Whitehead products (with coefficients) may now be defined as special operations T satisfying 1(a) in Remark 5.2 with $G_3 = G_1 \otimes G_2$ and $q_3 = q_1 + q_2 - 1$. They are called Whitehead products of type $\{G_1, G_2; q_1, q_2\}$. It is clear that $\widehat{\eta} : \pi_{q_3+1}(M_1 \times M_2, M_1 \vee M_2; G_3) \to \mathrm{Hom}(G_3, \pi_{q_3+1}(M_1 \wedge M_2))$ is onto and $h : \pi_{q_3+1}(M_1 \wedge M_2) \to H_{q_3+1}(M_1 \wedge M_2)$ is an isomorphism by the Hurewicz Theorem. Therefore if ω is an element of the non-empty set $\partial\widehat{\eta}^{-1}(h^{-1}\phi)$, then by definition ω is the universal element of a **Whitehead product** T. There can be several different Whitehead products, in fact, since ∂ is one-one, the number of Whitehead products is just the cardinality of the set $\mathrm{Ker}\,\widehat{\eta} = \mathrm{Ker}\,\eta = \mathrm{Ext}(G_3, \pi_{q_3+2}(M_1 \wedge M_2))$ by the Universal Coefficient Theorem. Moreover, if T is a Whitehead product, then there exists a unique $\theta_T \in \pi_{q_3}(\Sigma(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2); G)$ such that $T(\alpha, \beta) = [\alpha, \beta]\,\theta_T$ by Theorem 4.2. Thus each Whitehead product T satisfies bi-additivity and the properties listed in Corollary 4.6.

Torsion products are defined as special operations T which satisfy 1(b) in Remark 5.2, with $G_3 = G_1 * G_2 = \mathrm{Tor}(G_1, G_2)$, $q_3 = q_1 + q_2$, and, in addition, $q_1, q_2 \geq 4$. They will be called Torsion products of type $\{G_1, G_2; q_1, q_2\}$. A **Torsion product** is determined by an element $\tau \in \pi_{q_3}(M_1 \vee M_2; G_3)$ such that $\tau = \partial(\zeta)$, for some $\zeta \in \pi_{q_3+1}(M_1 \times M_2, M_1 \vee M_2; G_3)$, and such that $\phi^{-1}h\widehat{\eta}(\zeta)$ is the identity homomorphism of G_3 (see [**4**], p. 115). Note that the set of Torsion products may be empty, though not if h is an isomorphism. In this case the number of Torsion products equals the cardinality of $\mathrm{Ker}\,\widehat{\eta} = \mathrm{Ker}\,\eta = \mathrm{Ext}(G_3, \pi_{q_3+2}(M_1 \wedge M_2))$. Furthermore, the hypotheses of Theorem 4.2 are satisfied, and so there exists a unique $\theta_T \in \pi_{q_3}(\Sigma(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2); G_3)$ such that $T(\alpha, \beta) = [\alpha, \beta]\,\theta_T$. As in the previous case, each Torsion product T is bi-additive and satisfies the properties listed in Corollary 4.6.

Many of these properties for Whitehead and Torsion products have been proved in ([**4**], pp. 111–113 and 116–119) directly from the definitions, though some of our results (such as bi-additivity) are more general and the proofs are shorter (see [**4**], Theorems 12.3 and 12.6).

Since $\pi_i(X; G \oplus G') \approx \pi_i(X; G) \oplus \pi_i(X; G')$, for any $i \geq 2$ and groups G and G', and since $G_1 * G_2 = 0$ if G_1 or G_2 is free-abelian, for the Torsion product we may restrict attention to the case when $G_1 = \mathbb{Z}_m$ and $G_2 = \mathbb{Z}_n$ are cyclic groups. Then $G_1 * G_2 = \mathbb{Z}_d$, where d is the greatest common divisor of m and n. In ([**4**], pp. 115–116) the following was proved: A Torsion product of type $\{\mathbb{Z}_m, \mathbb{Z}_n; q_1, q_2\}$ exists if and only if (1) d is odd or (2) m and n are even and either m or n is a multiple of 4. In particular, a Torsion product exists if $m = n = p^k$, where p is an odd prime and

$k \geq 1$.

Another approach to Whitehead and Torsion products is suggested by Theorem 4.2. In that theorem it is proved that many basic operations T can be written as $T(\alpha, \beta) = [\alpha, \beta]\, \theta_T$, for a unique $\theta_T \in \pi_{q_3}(\Sigma(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2); G_3)$. This suggests that we define an operation by $T(\alpha, \beta) = [\alpha, \beta]\, \theta$, for some $\theta \in \pi_{q_3}(\Sigma(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2); G_3)$.

Proposition 5.3 *Let the operation T of type $\{G_1, G_2, G_3; q_1, q_2, q_3\}$ be defined by $T(\alpha, \beta) = [\alpha, \beta]\, \theta$ and let $\phi : G_3 \to H_{q_3+1}(M_1 \wedge M_2) = H_{q_3+1}(\Sigma\bar{M}_1 \wedge \Sigma\bar{M}_2)$ be the Künneth isomorphism. Then T is a special operation if and only if $(\Sigma\theta)_* = \sigma_*^{-1}\phi$, where $\sigma : \Sigma^2(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2) \to \Sigma\bar{M}_1 \wedge \Sigma\bar{M}_2$ is defined in Theorem 3.1*

Proof First we show that T is basic. Let ω be the universal element of T and consider the homotopy-commutative diagram obtained from Theorem 3.1 (see also the diagram in the proof of Theorem 4.2)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
M(G_3, q_3) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \Sigma(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2) & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{k}} & \Sigma\bar{M}_1 \vee \Sigma\bar{M}_2 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow j \\
CM(G_3, q_3) & \xrightarrow{C\theta} & C\Sigma(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2) & \xrightarrow{\Lambda'} & \Sigma\bar{M}_1 \times \Sigma\bar{M}_2 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\
\Sigma M(G_3, q_3) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma\theta} & \Sigma^2(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \Sigma\bar{M}_1 \wedge \Sigma\bar{M}_2,
\end{array}$$

where $\Lambda' = \Lambda(C\mu)$. Then $j\omega = j\widetilde{k}\theta \simeq 0$ and so T is basic. If $\xi = \Lambda'(C\theta) \in \pi_{q_3+1}(\Sigma\bar{M}_1 \times \Sigma\bar{M}_2, \Sigma\bar{M}_1 \vee \Sigma\bar{M}_2; G_3)$, then $\partial(\xi) = \omega$. Also $p(\xi) = \sigma(\Sigma\theta)$, and so the third condition is

$$\sigma_*(\Sigma\theta)_* = p_*\xi_* = \phi,$$

which is equivalent to $(\Sigma\theta)_* = \sigma_*^{-1}\phi$. This completes the proof. □

Note that if T, given by the universal element $\widetilde{k}\theta$, is a special operation, then $\theta_* : H_{q_3}(M(G_3, q_3)) \to H_{q_3}(\Sigma(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2))$ is an isomorphism.

By Remark 5.2, there are only two possibilities for special operations. The following result is then a consequence of Proposition 5.3.

Corollary 5.4 *Let T be an operation of type $\{G_1, G_2, G_3; q_1, q_2, q_3\}$ with universal element $\widetilde{k}\theta$ for some $\theta \in \pi_{q_3}(\Sigma(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2); G_3)$.*

1. *Let $q_3 = q_1 + q_2 - 1$ and $G_3 = G_1 \otimes G_2$. Then T is a Whitehead product if and only if $(\Sigma\theta)_* = \sigma_*^{-1}\phi$.*
2. *Let $q_3 = q_1 + q_2$ and $G_3 = G_1 * G_2$. Then T is a Torsion product if and only if $(\Sigma\theta)_* = \sigma_*^{-1}\phi$.*

Remark 5.5 For Whitehead products ($q = q_1 + q_2 = q_3 + 1$ and $G_3 = G_1 \otimes G_2$), we claim that this corollary can identify those $\theta \in \pi_{q-1}(\Sigma(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2); G_3)$ such that $\widetilde{k}\theta$ are all the Whitehead universal elements. If $\omega \in \pi_{q-1}(M_1 \vee M_2; G_3)$ is a universal element, then $\omega = \partial(\xi)$ for $\xi \in \pi_q(M_1 \times M_2, M_1 \vee M_2; G_3)$. Thus $p\xi \in \pi_q(M_1 \wedge M_2; G_3)$ and $\sigma^{-1}(p\xi) \in \pi_q(\Sigma^2(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2); G_3)$. Because the suspension homomorphism $E : \pi_{q-1}(\Sigma(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2); G_3) \to \pi_q(\Sigma^2(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2); G_3)$ is an isomorphism, there exists a unique $\theta \in \pi_{q-1}(\Sigma(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2); G_3)$ such that $\Sigma\theta = \sigma^{-1}(p\xi)$. We will show that $\widetilde{k}\theta = \omega$. From the diagram in the proof of Proposition 5.3 we see that $\partial(\Lambda'(C\theta)) = \widetilde{k}\theta$ and furthermore, with $p_\# : \pi_q(M_1 \times M_2, M_1 \vee M_2; G_3) \to \pi_q(M_1 \wedge M_2; G_3)$,

$$p_\#(\Lambda'(C\theta)) = p(\Lambda'(C\theta)) = \sigma(\Sigma\theta) = \sigma\sigma^{-1}(p\xi) = p_\#(\xi).$$

Since $p_\#$ is an isomorphism, $\Lambda'(C\theta) = \xi$, and so

$$\widetilde{k}\theta = \partial(\Lambda'(C\theta)) = \partial(\xi) = \omega.$$

This establishes the claim.

A similar remark holds for the Torsion product.

We next consider commutativity of special operations (see also [**4**], pp. 113 -114 and 117-118).

Let T be a special operation of type $\{G_1, G_2, G_3; q_1, q_2, q_3\}$. Then $G_3 = G_1 \otimes G_2$ or $G_3 = G_1 * G_2$ and we set $G_3' = G_2 \otimes G_1$ or $G_3' = G_2 * G_1$, accordingly. Furthermore, let $t : G_3' \to G_3$ be the switching isomorphism ($G_2 \otimes G_1 \to G_1 \otimes G_2$ or $G_2 * G_1 \to G_1 * G_2$). Then there is a map $\tau : M(G_3', q_3) \to M(G_3, q_3)$ such that $\tau_* = t$.

Proposition 5.6 *With T a special operation as above, we define an operation S by*

$$S(\beta, \alpha) = (-1)^\varepsilon T(\alpha, \beta)\tau,$$

for $\alpha \in \pi_{q_1}(W; G_1)$ and $\beta \in \pi_{q_2}(W; G_2)$ for any space W, where $\varepsilon = q_1 q_2$ when $G_3 = G_1 \otimes G_2$ and $\varepsilon = q_1 q_2 + 1$ when $G_3 = G_1 * G_2$. Then S is a special operation of type $\{G_2, G_1, G_3'; q_2, q_1, q_3\}$.

Proof Let $(Y, X) = (M_1 \times M_2, M_1 \vee M_2)$, $(Y', X') = (M_2 \times M_1, M_2 \vee M_1)$, $Z = M_1 \wedge M_2$, and $Z' = M_2 \wedge M_1$. If $\rho : (Y, X) \to (Y', X')$ is the switching map, then ρ determines maps $\rho' : X \to X'$ and $\rho'' : Z \to Z'$. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\pi_{q_3+1}(Y, X; G_3) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\eta}} & \mathrm{Hom}(G_3, \pi_{q_3+1}(Z)) & \xrightarrow{h_*} & \mathrm{Hom}(G_3, H_{q_3+1}(Z)) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_\# \tau^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\rho''_\#)_* t^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\rho''_*)_* t^*} \\
\pi_{q_3+1}(Y', X'; G_3') & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\eta'}} & \mathrm{Hom}(G_3', \pi_{q_3+1}(Z')) & \xrightarrow{h'_*} & \mathrm{Hom}(G_3', H_{q_3+1}(Z')).
\end{array}$$

If $\omega \in \pi_{q_3}(X; G_3)$ is the universal element with $\omega = \partial(\xi)$ for $\xi \in \pi_{q_3+1}(Y, X; G_3)$, then $h_*\widehat{\eta}(\xi) = \phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(G_3, H_{q_3+1}(Z))$. We show that if $\xi' = \rho_\#\tau^*(\xi)$, then $h'_*\widehat{\eta'}(\xi') = (-1)^\varepsilon \phi'$, where $\phi' : G_3' \to H_{q_3+1}(Z')$ is the Kúnneth isomorphism. We have

$$h'_*\widehat{\eta'}(\xi') = (\rho''_*)_* t^*(h_*\widehat{\eta}(\xi)) = (\rho''_*)_* t^*(\phi) = \rho''_* \phi t.$$

It follows immediately from results in ([**4**], pp. 114 and 118) that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_3 & \xrightarrow{(-1)^{\varepsilon}t^{-1}} & G_3' \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi' \\ H_{q_3+1}(Z) & \xrightarrow{\rho''_*} & H_{q_3+1}(Z'). \end{array}$$

Therefore

$$h'_*\widehat{\eta'}(\xi') = \rho''_*\phi t = (-1)^{\varepsilon}\phi' t^{-1}t = (-1)^{\varepsilon}\phi'.$$

We set $\omega' = \partial'(\xi')$, where $\partial' : \pi_{q_3+1}(Y', X'; G_3') \to \pi_{q_3}(X'; G_3')$. Thus $(-1)^{\varepsilon}\omega'$ is the universal element of an operation S of type $\{G_2, G_1, G_3'; q_2, q_1, q_3)$. Note that

$$\rho'\omega\tau = \rho'_{\#}\tau^*(\partial\xi) = \partial'(\rho_{\#}\tau^*(\xi)) = \partial'(\xi') = \omega'.$$

Let $j_1 : M_2 \to M_2 \vee M_1$ and $j_2 : M_1 \to M_2 \vee M_1$ be inclusions.Then

$$S(j_1, j_2) = (-1)^{\varepsilon}\rho' T(\iota_1, \iota_2)\tau = (-1)^{\varepsilon}T(j_2, j_1)\tau,$$

and so

$$S(\beta, \alpha) = (-1)^{\varepsilon}T(\alpha, \beta)\tau,$$

for $\alpha \in \pi_{q_1}(W; G_1)$ and $\beta \in \pi_{q_2}(W; G_2)$. The conclusion of the proposition now follows. □

Note that if the operation T is unique, then there is the following anti-commutative rule

$$T(\beta, \alpha) = (-1)^{\varepsilon}T(\alpha, \beta)\tau.$$

Corollary 5.7 *1. If T is a Whitehead product of type $\{G_1, G_2; q_1, q_2\}$, then the special operation S defined by $S(\beta, \alpha) = (-1)^{q_1 q_2}T(\alpha, \beta)\tau$ is a Whitehead product of type $(q_2, q_1; G_2, G_1)$.*

2. If T is a Torsion product of type $\{G_1, G_2; q_1, q_2\}$, then the special operation S defined by $S(\beta, \alpha) = (-1)^{q_1 q_2 + 1}T(\alpha, \beta)\tau$ is a Torsion product of type $(q_2, q_1; G_2, G_1)$.

6 Concluding remarks and results

1. Neisendorfer's approach

   We consider operations with coefficients $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$, p an odd prime and $k \geq 1$. As mentioned earlier, any operation with finite groups of coefficients of odd order can be expressed in terms of operations with these coefficients. Let $G_1 = G_2 = G_3 = \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$, so that $G_1 \otimes G_2 = G_1 * G_2 = \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$, and let $M(i) = M(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}, i)$. Then Neisendorfer proved that there is a homotopy equivalence $\delta : M(q-2) \vee M(q-1) \to M(q_1 - 1) \wedge M(q_2 - 1) = \bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2$, where $q = q_1 + q_2$ ([**6**], p. 167). We suspend and obtain (after the identification of $\Sigma(M(q-2) \vee M(q-1))$ with $M(q-1) \vee M(q)$) a homotopy equivalence $\delta' : M(q-1) \vee M(q) \to \Sigma(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2)$.

If $j_2 : M(q) \to M(q-1) \vee M(q)$ is the inclusion, then we set $\theta = \delta' j_2 : M(q) \to \Sigma(\bar{M}_1 \wedge \bar{M}_2)$ and define an operation $\mathbf{T}$ of type $\{\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}, \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}, \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}; q_1, q_2, q_1+q_2\}$ by $\mathbf{T}(\alpha,\beta) = [\alpha,\beta]\theta$. This operation was originally defined in ([**6**], Section 6.3) where many of its properties were studied in detail. It was referred to as a Whitehead product. This may seem puzzling at first since the degrees of $\mathbf{T}$ are not those of a Whitehead product. But Neisendorfer used a definition of homotopy groups with coefficients which is different from the one we use. He defined them by means of co-Moore spaces (also called Peterson spaces), that is, simply-connected spaces $C(G,n)$ with a single, non-vanishing reduced cohomology group G in degree n. Then these homotopy groups with coefficients, which we shall denote by π'_n, are defined by $\pi'_n(X;G) = [C(G,n), X]$. Clearly $C(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}, n) = M(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}, n-1)$ and so $\pi_n(X;\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}) = \pi'_{n+1}(X;\mathbb{Z}_{p^k})$. The product $\mathbf{T}$ then becomes a function $\pi'_{q_1+1}(X;\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}) \times \pi'_{q_2+1}(X;\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}) \to \pi'_{q_1+q_2+1}(X;\mathbb{Z}_{p^k})$ which are the correct degrees for a Whitehead product.

2. Ext operations

We return to the Ext operations introduced at the end of §4 and provide a simple interpretation of them. For $\alpha \in \pi_{q_1}(X)$ and $\beta \in \pi_{q_2}(X)$, let $[\alpha,\beta] \in \pi_{q_1+q_2-1}(X)$ be the ordinary Whitehead product (that is, the generalized Whitehead product with $\bar{M}_1 = S^{q_1-1}$ and $\bar{M}_2 = S^{q_2-1}$), let $M_{k,j}$ be the Moore space $M(\mathbb{Z}_k, j)$, with $k \geq 2$ and $j \geq 3$, and let $q = q_1 + q_2$. Then $M_{k,q-2}$ is the mapping cone $S^{q-2} \cup_{\mathbf{k}} CS^{q-2}$, where $\mathbf{k} : S^{q-2} \to S^{q-2}$ is a map of degree k. A projection $p : M_{k,q-2} \to S^{q-1}$ is obtained by collapsing $S^{q-2} \subseteq M_{k,q-2}$ to a point and

$$p \in \pi_{q-2}(S^{q-1};\mathbb{Z}_k) \approx \mathrm{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}_k, \pi_{q-1}(S^{q-1})) \approx \mathbb{Z}_k.$$

By applying $[-, S^{q-1}]$ to the sequence $S^{q-2} \longrightarrow M_{k,q-2} \xrightarrow{p} S^{q-1}$ we obtain an exact sequence of homotopy groups, from which it follows that p is a generator of the group.

Proposition 6.1 *The set $\{[\iota_1,\iota_2](ip) \,|\, i = 0,1,...,k-1\}$ is equal to the set of universal elements of the k Ext operations. In particuliar, if T is an Ext operation and $\alpha \in \pi_{q_1}(X)$ and $\beta \in \pi_{q_2}(X)$, Then $T(\alpha,\beta) = [\alpha,\beta](ip)$, for some $i \in \{0,1,...,k-1\}$.*

The proof is omitted, though we make a few comments about it. One shows that $[\iota_1,\iota_2]p$ is basic as in the proof of Proposition 5.3 by taking $\xi = \Lambda'(Cp) \in \pi_{q-1}(S^{q_1} \times S^{q_2}, S^{q_1} \vee S^{q_2}; \mathbb{Z}_k)$ so $\partial\xi = [\iota_1,\iota_2]p$. Then $\eta(\xi) = 0$ and so ξ is in Ker η. Lastly, the set $\{[\iota_1,\iota_2](ip) \,|\, i = 0,1,...,k-1\}$ has k elements since $\widetilde{k}_\#$ is one-one as in Theorem 4.2.

3. Smash product of two Moore spaces

Theorem 6.2 *Let G_1 and G_2 be finitely-generated abelian groups such that neither G_1 nor G_2 has 2-torsion. Then there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$M(G_1,q_1) \wedge M(G_2,q_2) \equiv M(G_1 \otimes G_2, q_1+q_2) \vee M(G_1 * G_2, q_1+q_2+1).$$

Proof Let $M_i = M(G_i, q_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$, let $q = q_1 + q_2$, and let $G_3 = G_1 \otimes G_2$ and $\bar{G}_3 = G_1 * G_2$. It is easily seen (e.g., by a homology decomposition) that there is a map $l : M(\bar{G}_3, q) \to M(G_3, q)$ such that $M(G_1, q_1) \wedge M(G_2, q_2)$ has the homotopy type of the mapping cone $M(G_3, q) \cup_l CM(\bar{G}_3, q)$ and that l is homologically trivial. We shall show that $l = 0$. Now $l \in \pi_q(M(G_3, q); \bar{G}_3)$ and, with $M = M(G_3, q)$, we consider

$$\pi_q(M; \bar{G}_3) \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathrm{Hom}(\bar{G}_3, \pi_q(M)) \xrightarrow{h_*} \mathrm{Hom}(\bar{G}_3, H_q(M)),$$

where η is the Universal Coefficient homomorphism and h_* is induced by the Hurewicz isomorphism h. Then

$$h_*(\eta(l)) = hl_{\#} = l_* = 0,$$

and so $\eta(l) = 0$. Therefore by exactness of the Universal Coefficient Theorem, $l = \lambda(\tilde{l})$, for $\tilde{l} \in \mathrm{Ext}(\bar{G}_3, \pi_{q+1}(M(G_3, q)))$. It suffices to show that $\tilde{l} = 0$. We set $E = \mathrm{Ext}(\bar{G}_3, \pi_{q+1}(M(G_3, q)))$ and show that $E = 0$. We write $G_i = F_i \oplus T_i$, $i = 1, 2$, where F_i is a free-abelian group and T_i is a finite torsion group. Then $E = \mathrm{Ext}(T_1 * T_2, A \oplus B \oplus C \oplus D)$, where $A = \pi_{q+1}(M(F_1 \otimes F_2, q))$, $B = \pi_{q+1}(M(F_1 \otimes T_2, q))$, $C = \pi_{q+1}(M(T_1 \otimes F_2, q))$, and $D = \pi_{q+1}(M(T_1 \otimes T_2, q))$. Then each of $F_1 \otimes T_2$, $T_1 \otimes F_2$, and $T_1 \otimes T_2$ is a finite direct sum of cyclic groups of order a power of an odd prime. But $\pi_{m+1}(M(\mathbb{Z}_n, m)) = 0$ if n is odd ([**2**], p. 268). Therefore $B = C = D = 0$. Thus $E = \mathrm{Ext}(T_1 * T_2, A) = \mathrm{Ext}(T_1 * T_2, \pi_{q+1}(M(F_1 \otimes F_2, q)))$. Now $F_1 \otimes F_2$ is a direct sum of finitely many copies of $\mathbb{Z}$ and so $M(F_1 \otimes F_2, q)$ is a wedge of finitely many q-spheres S_i^q. Hence $A = \pi_{q+1}(M(F_1 \otimes F_2, q))$ is a direct sum of terms $\pi_{q+1}(S_i^q)$, that is, a direct sum of copies of $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Therefore $E = \mathrm{Ext}(T_1 * T_2, A) = 0$ and so $l = 0$. It follows that the mapping cone is a wedge of $M(G_1 \otimes G_2, q_1 + q_2)$ and $M(G_1 * G_2, q_1 + q_2 + 1)$. This completes the proof. □

Remark 6.3 Theorem 6.2 holds if either G_1 or G_2 has 2-torsion (but not both). For definiteness suppose that G_1 has 2-torsion and G_2 does not. Then $T_1 \otimes F_2$ is a finite direct sum of cyclic groups of order a power of a prime including the prime 2. Thus $C = \pi_{q+1}(M(T_1 \otimes F_2, q))$ is a finite direct sum of copies of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ ([**2**], p. 268) and it follows that $\mathrm{Ext}(T_1 * T_2, C) = 0$.

4. Moore vs. co-Moore spaces

As a final comment we observe that there are advantages and disadvantages to using either co-Moore spaces or Moore spaces for coefficients. Co-Moore spaces are the dual of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces within the context of Eckmann-Hilton duality, where homotopy groups and cohomology groups are considered dual to each other, but co-Moore spaces do not exist for every group G [**5**]. Moore spaces exist for every group, but they are not dual to Eilenberg-MacLane spaces.

References

[**1**] M. Arkowitz, The generalized Whitehead product, Pacific J. Math. **12**, (1962), 7-23.

[**2**] H-J. Baues, Algebraic homotopy, Cambridge University Press, 1989.

[**3**] D. E. Cohen, Products and carrier theory, J. London Math. Soc. **7** (1957), 219-248.

[**4**] P. J. Hilton, Homotopy theory and duality, Gordon and Breach, 1965.

[**5**] D. M. Kan and G. W. Whitehead, On the realizability of singular cohomology groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1961), 24-25.

[**6**] J. Neisendorfer, Algebraic methods in unstable homotopy theory, New Math. Monographs **12**, Cambridge University Press, 2010.