

# A SIMONS' TYPE FORMULA FOR CMC SURFACES IN HOMOGENEOUS 3-MANIFOLDS

NINGWEI CUI

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we give a Simons' type formula for the cmc surfaces in homogeneous 3-manifolds  $E(\kappa, \tau)$ ,  $\tau \neq 0$ . As an application, we give a rigidity result in the case of  $\kappa > 4\tau^2$  for the cmc surfaces under a pinching assumption of the second fundamental form.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the simply connected 3-dimensional homogeneous Riemannian manifolds have the isometry groups of dimension 3, 4 and 6. When the dimension of the isometry group is 6, then we have a space form. When the dimension of the isometry group is 3, then the manifold has the geometry of the Lie group  $Sol_3$ . We denote  $E(\kappa, \tau)$ ,  $\kappa \neq 4\tau^2$ , as the homogeneous 3-manifolds whose isometry groups are of dimension 4, which are fibrations over 2-dimensional simply connected space forms  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$  of constant curvature  $\kappa$ . In other words, there exists a Riemannian submersion  $\Pi : E(\kappa, \tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ , where the constant number  $\tau$  is the bundle curvature. The fibers are geodesics and there exists a one-parameter family of translations along the fibers, generated by a unit Killing vector field  $\xi$ . When  $\tau = 0$ , we get a product manifold  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa) \times \mathbb{R}$ . When  $\tau \neq 0$ , the manifolds are of three types: the Berger sphere  $\widetilde{S}_{\kappa, \tau}^3$  ( $\kappa > 0$ ), the Heisenberg Group  $Nil_3$  ( $\kappa = 0$ ) and  $\widetilde{PSL}_2$  ( $\kappa < 0$ ). The so called *Hopf cylinder* is defined as the preimage  $\Pi^{-1}(\gamma)$  of a regular closed curve  $\gamma$  in  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ . When considering  $\widetilde{S}_{\kappa, \tau}^3$  and  $\widetilde{PSL}_2$  (the fibers are circles), the corresponding Hopf cylinder is also called the *Hopf torus*. For more details on  $E(\kappa, \tau)$ , we refer to [10]. The surface with constant mean curvature  $H$  is called the cmc  $H$ -surface. There are a lot of researches on the geometry of  $E(\kappa, \tau)$ , for instance ([1], [2], [4], [5], [9-11], [13], [17-21]), among others. A study on the cmc  $H$ -surfaces in more general spaces can be found in [14].

In this paper we focus on  $E(\kappa, \tau)$ ,  $\tau \neq 0$ , and we get a Simons' type formula for cmc  $H$ -surfaces which can be stated as follows. Notice that the method used in this paper is elementary.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $f : M \rightarrow E(\kappa, \tau)$  ( $\tau \neq 0$ ) be an immersion of a compact cmc  $H$ -surface. Denote  $\Phi := A - HI$  and the angle function by  $C := \langle \xi, N \rangle$ , where  $N$  is a unit normal vector field on  $M$  and  $A$  is the second fundamental form. Then it holds the Simons' type formula*

$$(1) \quad \int_M \left\{ |\Phi|^4 - [2(H^2 + \tau^2) + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)(5C^2 - 1)]|\Phi|^2 + 2(\kappa - 4\tau^2)(H^2 + \tau^2)(3C^2 - 1) \right\} d\sigma \geq 0,$$

where the equality holds if and only if  $f$  is of parallel second fundamental form, and in this case  $M$  is a Hopf cylinder with  $|A|^2 = 2(2H^2 + \tau^2)$ .

---

*Mathematics subject classification:* Primary 53C24; Secondary 53C20, 53C42.

*Key words and phrases:* Homogeneous manifold, cmc surfaces, Hopf cylinder, Hopf torus, Berger sphere, contact angle, Simons' formula.

This work is partially supported by NSFC (No. 11401490).

**Remark 1.2.** *It is well known that the compact minimal immersed surface  $M$  in the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^3$  satisfies the Simons' integral inequality*

$$\int_M |A|^2(|A|^2 - 2)d\sigma \geq 0,$$

*where the equality holds if and only if  $M$  is the great 2-sphere or the Clifford torus ([7]).*

**Remark 1.3.** *For the minimal surface in  $E(\kappa, \tau)$  ( $\tau \neq 0$ ),  $H = 0$ , the corresponding Simons' type formula was recently given in [13].*

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

For an immersed surface  $M$  in  $E(\kappa, \tau)$ , define the *contact angle*  $\beta(p)$  at  $p \in M$  by the angle between the vector  $\xi(p)$  and the tangent space  $T_p M$ . By definition, the contact function  $C = \sin \beta$ . Since the distribution  $\langle \xi \rangle^\perp$  in  $E(\kappa, \tau)$  is not integrable (see [3]), we consider the set  $\mathcal{W} = \{p \in M : \beta(p) \neq \pm \frac{\pi}{2}\} \subset M$ , whose complementary  $\mathcal{W}^C = \{p \in M : \beta(p) = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}\}$  has empty interior by Frobenius Theorem. In this paper we will work by making use of the method of moving frames on  $\mathcal{W}$  and then extend the formulas to the whole surface by the continuity.

**2.1. Adapted frame.** In this section we introduce the method of choosing an appropriate orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  on  $\mathcal{W} \subset M$  to study its geometry. It is well known that  $E(\kappa, \tau)$  admits an orthonormal frame  $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$  with  $f_3 = \xi$ , satisfying (see [10])

$$[f_1, f_2] = -2\tau f_3, \quad [f_2, f_3] = -\kappa/(2\tau)f_1 \quad \text{and} \quad [f_3, f_1] = -\kappa/(2\tau)f_2.$$

It is straightforward to verify that the coframe  $\{w^1, w^2, w^3\}$  of  $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$  satisfies

$$dw^1 = \kappa/(2\tau)w^2 \wedge w^3, \quad dw^2 = \kappa/(2\tau)w^3 \wedge w^1 \quad \text{and} \quad dw^3 = 2\tau w^1 \wedge w^2,$$

and the Levi-Civita connection 1-forms are given by

$$w_2^1 = (\kappa/\tau - \tau)w^3, \quad w_3^1 = -\tau w^2 \quad \text{and} \quad w_3^2 = \tau w^1.$$

An interesting observation (see Lemma 1 in [8]) is that, if one rotates  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  but leaves  $f_3$  fixed, the relation of  $w_3^1$  and  $w_3^2$  will not change ( $w_2^1$  may change). Thus, the connection 1-forms can be summarized as

$$(2) \quad w_2^1, \quad w_3^1 = -\tau w^2 \quad \text{and} \quad w_3^2 = \tau w^1.$$

If one studies a surface  $M$  isometrically immersed in  $E(\kappa, \tau)$  one can firstly choose  $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$  by rotating  $f_1, f_2$  and leaving  $f_3$  fixed at  $p \in \mathcal{W}$  such that  $f_1 \in T_p M$ , and then choose the local orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ , called the *adapted frame*, where

$$(3) \quad e_1 = f_1, \quad e_2 = \sin \beta f_2 + \cos \beta f_3 \quad \text{and} \quad e_3 = -\cos \beta f_2 + \sin \beta f_3,$$

so that  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are tangent to the surface. This frame was firstly introduced for  $\mathbb{S}^3$  in [12] and for  $E(\kappa, \tau)$  in [8].

**2.2. Structure equations.** In this section we study the structure equations under the adapted frame in the open set  $\mathcal{W} \subset M$  in  $E(\kappa, \tau)$ . The corresponding coframe  $\{\theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3\}$  is given by

$$(4) \quad \theta^1 = w^1, \quad \theta^2 = \sin \beta w^2 + \cos \beta w^3 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta^3 = -\cos \beta w^2 + \sin \beta w^3.$$

The structure equations of  $E(\kappa, \tau)$  are given by

$$\bar{\Omega}_B^A = d\theta_B^A + \theta_C^A \wedge \theta_B^C \quad \text{and} \quad d\theta^A = -\theta_B^A \wedge \theta^B, \quad \text{with} \quad \theta_B^A + \theta_A^B = 0.$$

Here and from now on, we assume  $1 \leq A, B, \dots \leq 3$ ,  $1 \leq i, j, \dots \leq 2$  and we will use the Einstein summation convention. Since, when restricted to  $M$ ,  $\theta^3 = 0$  we get that  $\theta_i^3 \wedge \theta^i = 0$ . The Cartan's lemma thus implies  $\theta_i^3 = h_{ij} \theta^j$  with smooth functions  $h_{ij} = h_{ji}$ . We now immediately deduce the structure equations of  $M$

$$(5) \quad d\theta^i = -\theta_j^i \wedge \theta^j, \quad \text{with} \quad \theta_j^i + \theta_i^j = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_j^i := d\theta_j^i + \theta_k^i \wedge \theta_j^k = \bar{\Omega}_j^i - \theta_3^i \wedge \theta_j^3,$$

where the last equation is called the *Gauss equation*. For  $A = 3$  and  $B = i$  one gets the *Codazzi equations*:

$$(6) \quad \bar{\Omega}_i^3 = d\theta_i^3 + \theta_k^3 \wedge \theta_i^k = d(h_{ij} \theta^j) + h_{kj} \theta^j \wedge \theta_i^k = h_{ij|k} \theta^k \wedge \theta^j,$$

where

$$(7) \quad h_{ij|k} \theta^k := dh_{ij} - h_{kj} \theta_i^k - h_{ik} \theta_j^k.$$

By using the classical notation  $\bar{\Omega}_B^A = \frac{1}{2} \bar{R}_{BCD}^A \theta^C \wedge \theta^D$  and  $\Omega_j^i = \frac{1}{2} R_{jkl}^i \theta^k \wedge \theta^l$  we get that the Gauss equation (5) and Codazzi equation (6) are respectively given by

$$R_{jkl}^i = \bar{R}_{jkl}^i + h_{ik} h_{jl} - h_{ij} h_{kl},$$

$$h_{ij|k} - h_{ik|j} = -\bar{R}_{ijk}^3.$$

Throughout this paper, for simplicity of notation we denote  $\beta_i = e_i(\beta)$ ,  $\beta_{ij} = e_j e_i(\beta)$  and  $\beta_{ijk} = e_k e_j e_i(\beta)$ . The following lemma was actually proved in [8], that gives us the Levi-Civita connection on the surface  $M$  and the second fundamental form under the adapted frame. For the readers' convenience we give a proof here.

**Lemma 2.1.** ([8]) *Let  $\nabla$  be the Levi-Civita connection on  $M$ . Then*

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_1 = -(2H + \beta_2) \tan \beta e_2, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_2 = -(2\tau + \beta_1) \tan \beta e_1,$$

$$(8) \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_1 = (\beta_1 + 2\tau) \tan \beta e_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_{e_1} e_2 = (2H + \beta_2) \tan \beta e_1,$$

where  $H := (h_{11} + h_{22})/2$  is the mean curvature. Moreover, the coefficients of the second fundamental form of  $M$  are given by

$$(9) \quad h_{11} = 2H + \beta_2, \quad h_{12} = h_{21} = -\tau - \beta_1 \quad \text{and} \quad h_{22} = -\beta_2.$$

Also, we have the relation

$$(10) \quad \cos \beta w_2^1(e_1) = 2H + \beta_2.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\theta^3 = 0$  on  $M$  we have

$$\cos \beta w^2 = \sin \beta w^3,$$

which together with the expression (4) for  $\theta^2$  gives us

$$(11) \quad \theta^1 = w^1, \quad \cos \beta \theta^2 = w^3 \quad \text{and} \quad \sin \beta \theta^2 = w^2.$$

So, from equations (2) and (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta^1 &= dw^1 = -w_2^1 \wedge w^2 - w_3^1 \wedge w^3 = -\sin \beta w_2^1 \wedge \theta^2, \\ d\theta^2 &= \sin \beta dw^2 + \cos \beta dw^3 = \sin \beta (w_2^1 - \tau \cos \beta \theta^2) \wedge \theta^1, \\ d\theta^3 &= d\beta \wedge \theta^2 - \cos \beta dw^2 + \sin \beta dw^3 = d\beta \wedge \theta^2 + \cos \beta w_1^2 \wedge \theta^1 + \tau(1 + \sin^2 \beta) \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2. \end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain

$$(12) \quad \theta_2^1 = \sin \beta (w_2^1 - \tau \cos \beta \theta^2), \quad \cos \beta w_2^1(e_2) = -\beta_1 - \tau(1 + \sin^2 \beta),$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_1} e_1 &= \theta_1^2(e_1) e_2 = -\sin \beta w_2^1(e_1) e_2, & \nabla_{e_2} e_2 &= \theta_2^1(e_2) e_1 = -(2\tau + \beta_1) \tan \beta e_1, \\ (13) \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_1 &= \theta_1^2(e_2) e_2 = (2\tau + \beta_1) \tan \beta e_2 & \text{and} \quad \nabla_{e_1} e_2 &= \theta_2^1(e_1) e_1 = \sin \beta w_2^1(e_1) e_1. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using equations (2), (3) and (11) we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{D}e_3 &= \bar{D}(-\cos \beta f_2 + \sin \beta f_3) \\ &= \sin \beta d\beta f_2 - \cos \beta (w_2^1 f_1 + w_2^3 f_3) + \cos \beta d\beta f_3 + \sin \beta (w_3^1 f_1 + w_3^2 f_2) \\ &= (-\cos \beta w_2^1 - \tau \sin^2 \beta \theta^2) e_1 + (d\beta + \tau \theta^1) e_2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{D}$  is the Levi-Civita connection on  $E(\kappa, \tau)$ . On the other hand,  $\bar{D}e_3 = \theta_3^1 e_1 + \theta_3^2 e_2$ , whence

$$\theta_3^1 = -\cos \beta w_2^1 - \tau \sin^2 \beta \theta^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_3^2 = d\beta + \tau \theta^1.$$

Hence, from the second equation of (12) and  $\theta_i^3 = h_{ij} \theta^j$ , we get

$$(14) \quad h_{11} = \cos \beta w_2^1(e_1), \quad h_{12} = \cos \beta w_2^1(e_2) + \tau \sin^2 \beta = -\tau - \beta_1 \quad \text{and} \quad h_{22} = -\beta_2.$$

Since by the definition  $2H = h_{11} + h_{22}$ , we get (10) and then (8) and (9) follow from (10), (13) and (14) immediately.  $\square$

By using the formula of the Riemannian curvature tensor in [10], one can obtain the Codazzi equations under the adapted frame. See the proof in [8].

**Lemma 2.2.** ([8]) *The Codazzi equations under the adapted frame are given by*

$$(15) \quad \beta_1 w_2^1(e_1) \cos \beta = \beta_2 (2\tau + \beta_1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \cos \beta e_2(w_2^1(e_1)) + \sin \beta \cos \beta (w_2^1(e_1))^2 + \beta_{11} + 2(\tau + \beta_1)(2\tau + \beta_1) \tan \beta \\ (16) \quad &+ (\kappa - 4\tau^2) \sin \beta \cos \beta. \end{aligned}$$

At the end of this section, we shall derive some identities which will be useful in the next section. From (10) and (15), we have  $\beta_1(2H + \beta_2) = \beta_2(2\tau + \beta_1)$ , that is

$$(17) \quad \tau \beta_2 = H \beta_1.$$

According to Lemma 2.1, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{12} &= e_2 e_1 \beta = [e_2, e_1] \beta + \beta_{21} = \nabla_{e_2} e_1 \beta - \nabla_{e_1} e_2 \beta + \beta_{21} \\ &= (2\tau + \beta_1) \tan \beta \beta_2 - (2H + \beta_2) \tan \beta \beta_1 + \beta_{21} = \beta_{21}. \end{aligned}$$

By (17), if  $H$  is a constant, we have  $\tau \beta_{21} = H \beta_{11}$  and  $\beta_1 \beta_{21} = \beta_2 \beta_{11}$ . Then a similar way shows that

$$\beta_{112} = e_2 e_1(\beta_1) = [e_2, e_1] \beta_1 + \beta_{121} = \nabla_{e_2} e_1 \beta_1 - \nabla_{e_1} e_2 \beta_1 + \beta_{121} = \beta_{121}.$$

Thus by (17) again, for the later use, we deduce that

$$(18) \quad \beta_{12} = \beta_{21} = (H/\tau)\beta_{11}, \quad \beta_{22} = (H/\tau)\beta_{12} = (H/\tau)\beta_{21} = (H/\tau)^2\beta_{11}$$

and

$$(19) \quad \beta_{122} = (H/\tau)\beta_{112} = (H/\tau)\beta_{121} = (H/\tau)^2\beta_{111}.$$

### 3. SIMONS' TYPE FORMULA FOR CMC SURFACES

In this section we consider the tensor  $\Phi := A - HI$  with constant  $H$ . By Lemma 2.1 and (17), we have

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} |\Phi|^2 &= |A|^2 - 2H^2 = (2H + \beta_2)^2 + 2(\tau + \beta_1)^2 + \beta_2^2 - 2H^2 \\ &= 2(\tau + \beta_1)^2 + 2(H + \beta_2)^2 = 2[1 + (H/\tau)^2](\tau + \beta_1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

We now start to compute the Laplacian of  $|\Phi|^2$ . By using (17), (18) and (19), we compute that

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta|\Phi|^2 &= e_1e_1(|\Phi|^2) + e_2e_2(|\Phi|^2) - \nabla_{e_1}e_1|\Phi|^2 - \nabla_{e_2}e_2|\Phi|^2 \\ &= 4[1 + (H/\tau)^2][\beta_{11}^2 + (\tau + \beta_1)\beta_{111} + \beta_{12}^2 + (\tau + \beta_1)\beta_{122} \\ &\quad + (2H + \beta_2)(\tau + \beta_1)\tan\beta\beta_{12} + (2\tau + \beta_1)(\tau + \beta_1)\tan\beta\beta_{11}] \\ &= 4[1 + (H/\tau)^2][\beta_{11}^2 + (\tau + \beta_1)\beta_{111} + (2\tau + \beta_1)(\tau + \beta_1)\tan\beta\beta_{11}]. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we shall express  $\beta_{11}$  and  $\beta_{111}$  to the expressions involving in  $\beta_1$ . First, by Lemma 2.1 we have  $2H + \beta_2 = \cos\beta w_2^1(e_1)$  and thus we get

$$\beta_{22} = -\tan\beta\beta_2(2H + \beta_2) + \cos\beta e_2(w_2^1(e_1)).$$

So, the Codazzi equation (16) becomes

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta_{11} + \beta_{22} &= -\tan\beta[(2H + \beta_2)\beta_2 + (2H + \beta_2)^2 + 2(\tau + \beta_1)(2\tau + \beta_1)] \\ &\quad - (\kappa - 4\tau^2)\sin\beta\cos\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Again, by using (17) and (19), the equation (22) becomes

$$(23) \quad [1 + (H/\tau)^2]\beta_{11} = -2[1 + (H/\tau)^2](\tau + \beta_1)(2\tau + \beta_1)\tan\beta - (\kappa - 4\tau^2)\sin\beta\cos\beta,$$

and taking the derivative by  $e_1$  gives us

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} [1 + (H/\tau)^2]\beta_{111} &= -2[1 + (H/\tau)^2][(3\tau + 2\beta_1)\beta_{11}\tan\beta + (\tau + \beta_1)(2\tau + \beta_1)\sec^2\beta\beta_1] \\ &\quad - (\kappa - 4\tau^2)\cos(2\beta)\beta_1 \\ &= 4[1 + (H/\tau)^2](3\tau + 2\beta_1)(\tau + \beta_1)(2\tau + \beta_1)\tan^2\beta + 2(\kappa - 4\tau^2)(3\tau + 2\beta_1)\sin^2\beta \\ &\quad - 2[1 + (H/\tau)^2](\tau + \beta_1)(2\tau + \beta_1)\sec^2\beta\beta_1 - (\kappa - 4\tau^2)\cos(2\beta)\beta_1. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (23) and (24) into (21), by a straightforward computation we have

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta|\Phi|^2 &= 4[1 + (H/\tau)^2]^2\left\{\beta_{11}^2 + 2(\tau + \beta_1)^2(2\tau + \beta_1)[2(2\tau + \beta_1)\tan^2\beta - \beta_1]\right\} \\ &\quad + 4[1 + (H/\tau)^2](\kappa - 4\tau^2)(\tau + \beta_1)[4(\tau + \beta_1)\sin^2\beta - \beta_1\cos^2\beta]. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.1.**

$$(26) \quad |\nabla A|^2 = 2[1 + (H/\tau)^2]^2[\beta_{11}^2 + 4(\tau + \beta_1)^2(2\tau + \beta_1)^2\tan^2\beta].$$

*Proof.* By (7), (18) and Lemma 2.1, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{11|1} &= e_1(2H + \beta_2) - h_{21}\theta_1^2(e_1) - h_{12}\theta_1^2(e_1) \\ &= \beta_{21} - 2(\tau + \beta_1)(2H + \beta_2)\tan\beta \\ &= (H/\tau)[\beta_{11} - 2(\tau + \beta_1)(2\tau + \beta_1)\tan\beta]. \end{aligned}$$

A similar computation yields

$$h_{11|2} = (H/\tau)^2\beta_{11} + 2(\tau + \beta_1)(2\tau + \beta_1)\tan\beta, \quad h_{12|1} = -\beta_{11} - 2(H/\tau)^2(\tau + \beta_1)(2\tau + \beta_1)\tan\beta$$

and the following identities hold true:

$$h_{12|2} = -h_{11|1} = -h_{22|1} \quad \text{and} \quad h_{12|1} = -h_{22|2}.$$

Thus by definition,

$$|\nabla A|^2 = h_{11|1}^2 + h_{11|2}^2 + h_{22|1}^2 + h_{22|2}^2 + 2(h_{12|1}^2 + h_{12|2}^2) = 2(h_{11|1}^2 + h_{11|2}^2 + h_{12|1}^2),$$

and Eq. (26) follows by a straightforward computation.  $\square$

By (20), (25), (26) and  $|\nabla\Phi|^2 = |\nabla A|^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\Delta|\Phi|^2 &= |\nabla\Phi|^2 - |\Phi|^2[|\Phi|^2 - 2(H^2 + \tau^2)] \\ &\quad + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)\left\{4|\Phi|^2\sin^2\beta - 2[1 + (H/\tau)^2](2\tau + \beta_1)\beta_1\cos^2\beta\right\} \\ &\quad + 2(\kappa - 4\tau^2)[1 + (H/\tau)^2]\tau\beta_1\cos^2\beta \\ &= |\nabla\Phi|^2 - |\Phi|^2[|\Phi|^2 - 2(H^2 + \tau^2)] \\ &\quad + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)[4|\Phi|^2\sin^2\beta - (|\Phi|^2 - 2(H^2 + \tau^2))\cos^2\beta] \\ &\quad + 2(\kappa - 4\tau^2)[1 + (H/\tau)^2]\tau\beta_1\cos^2\beta. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

We now deal with the last term in (27). Inspired by the computations in [13] and [18], we prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $T := f_3 - \langle f_3, N \rangle N$  be the projection of the vertical vector field  $f_3 = \xi$  on  $\mathcal{W} \subset M$ . Then*

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|T|^2 - \operatorname{div}(\nabla_T T) = 2\tau(\beta_1\cos^2\beta + 2\tau\sin^2\beta). \tag{28}$$

*Proof.* By (3), we can express  $T = f_3 - \sin\beta e_3 = \cos\beta e_2$ . Then we compute

$$\nabla_T T = \cos\beta \nabla_{e_2}(\cos\beta e_2) = -\sin\beta \cos\beta [\beta_2 e_2 + (2\tau + \beta_1)e_1] = -(1/2)\sin(2\beta)(\nabla\beta + 2\tau e_1).$$

Noticing that

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|T|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\cos^2\beta) = -\cos(2\beta)|\nabla\beta|^2 - \sin\beta\cos\beta\Delta\beta,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(\nabla_T T) &= \langle \nabla_{e_i} \nabla_T T, e_i \rangle = -\cos(2\beta)(|\nabla\beta|^2 + 2\tau\beta_1) - \sin\beta\cos\beta(\Delta\beta + 2\tau\langle \nabla_{e_i} e_1, e_i \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\Delta|T|^2 - 2\tau(\beta_1\cos^2\beta + 2\tau\sin^2\beta), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (28).  $\square$

By (27), (28) and noticing that the contact function is  $C = \sin \beta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\Phi|^2 - (\kappa - 4\tau^2)[1 + (H/\tau)^2] \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta |T|^2 - \operatorname{div}(\nabla_T T) \right) \\
 = & |\nabla \Phi|^2 - |\Phi|^2[|\Phi|^2 - 2(H^2 + \tau^2)] \\
 & + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)[4|\Phi|^2 \sin^2 \beta - (|\Phi|^2 - 2(H^2 + \tau^2)) \cos^2 \beta] \\
 & - 4(\kappa - 4\tau^2)(H^2 + \tau^2) \sin^2 \beta \\
 = & |\nabla \Phi|^2 - |\Phi|^2[|\Phi|^2 - 2(H^2 + \tau^2)] \\
 (29) \quad & + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)[|\Phi|^2(5C^2 - 1) - 2(H^2 + \tau^2)(3C^2 - 1)].
 \end{aligned}$$

Although the above formula is derived on  $\mathcal{W} \subset M$ , it can be extended to the whole  $M$  since the complimentary  $\mathcal{W}^C$  has empty interior.

**Remark 3.3.** *The Berger sphere is the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^3$  endowed with the metric*

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = \frac{4}{\kappa} \left[ \langle X, Y \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^3} + \left( \frac{4\tau^2}{\kappa} - 1 \right) \langle X, \xi \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^3} \langle Y, \xi \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^3} \right],$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^3}$  stands for the standard metric on  $\mathbb{S}^3$ ,  $\kappa > 0$  and  $\tau \neq 0$ . Although in this paper we assume  $\kappa \neq 4\tau^2$ , the formula (29) still holds for  $\kappa = 4\tau^2$ . By taking  $\kappa = 4\tau^2 = 4c > 0$ , the sphere is the Riemannian space form  $\mathbb{S}^3(c)$  with constant sectional curvature  $c$ . In this case, (29) reduces to

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta |\Phi|^2 = |\nabla \Phi|^2 - |\Phi|^2[|\Phi|^2 - 2(H^2 + c)],$$

which is the well known formula in [15] by observing that  $\operatorname{tr} \Phi^3 = 0$  for surfaces.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1:** The Simons' type formula (1) is a direct consequence by integrating (29) on  $M$ , no matter whether  $M$  is orientable or not. The equality holds if and only if  $|\nabla A| = |\nabla \Phi| = 0$ , and this implies that  $\sin \beta = 0$  from (23) and (26). Therefore, the vertical Killing vector field of the fibration  $\Pi : E(\kappa, \tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$  is tangent to  $M$ , so  $\Pi(M)$  is a closed curve  $\gamma$  in  $S^2$  and then  $M = \Pi^{-1}(\gamma)$  is the Hopf cylinder in  $E(\kappa, \tau)$ . In this case,  $|A|^2 = 2(2H^2 + \tau^2)$  follows from (20) immediately.  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** *The authors in [6] proved that the Hopf cylinder is the only surface in  $E(\kappa, \tau)$  ( $\tau \neq 0$ ) with parallel second fundamental form. This fact can be used to prove the case of the equality in Theorem 1.1 instead of using Eqs. (23) and (26).*

As an application, we shall use Theorem 1.1 to give a pinching result of the second fundamental form. Observe that in the case of  $\kappa > 4\tau^2$ , the equation

$$x^2 - [2(H^2 + \tau^2) + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)(5C^2 - 1)]x + 2(\kappa - 4\tau^2)(H^2 + \tau^2)(3C^2 - 1) = 0$$

has two distinct real solutions:

$$x_1 = a(\kappa, \tau, H, C) := [2(H^2 + \tau^2) + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)(5C^2 - 1) - \sqrt{\rho}]/2$$

and

$$x_2 = b(\kappa, \tau, H, C) := [2(H^2 + \tau^2) + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)(5C^2 - 1) + \sqrt{\rho}]/2,$$

where

$$\rho = 4(H^2 + \tau^2)^2 + 4(H^2 + \tau^2)(\kappa - 4\tau^2)(1 - C^2) + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)^2(5C^2 - 1)^2.$$

Obviously, it holds the relation  $a(\kappa, \tau, H, C) \leq H^2 + \tau^2 < 2(H^2 + \tau^2) \leq b(\kappa, \tau, H, C)$ . By Theorem 1.1 and the identity  $|\Phi|^2 = |A|^2 - 2H^2$ , we get immediately

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $f : M \rightarrow E(\kappa, \tau)$  ( $\kappa > 4\tau^2$  and  $\tau \neq 0$ ) be an immersion of a compact cmc  $H$ -surface. If  $a(\kappa, \tau, H, C) + 2H^2 \leq |A|^2 \leq b(\kappa, \tau, H, C) + 2H^2$ , then  $M$  is a Hopf cylinder with  $|A|^2 = 2(2H^2 + \tau^2)$ . In particular, if  $3H^2 + \tau^2 \leq |A|^2 \leq 2(2H^2 + \tau^2)$ , then  $M$  is a Hopf cylinder with  $|A|^2 = 2(2H^2 + \tau^2)$ .*

**Remark 3.6.** *When  $H = 0$ , in the case of  $\kappa > 4\tau^2$ , the authors in [13] obtained that  $M$  is a Clifford torus in the Berger sphere under the assumption that  $a(\kappa, \tau, 0, C) \leq |A|^2 \leq b(\kappa, \tau, 0, C)$ , by observing the fact that the Clifford torus is the only minimal Hopf torus in the Berger sphere (see [4] or [16]). We also mention that there exist cmc (even minimal) tori which are not Hopf tori in the Berger sphere (see [19]).*

**Remark 3.7.** *Similar to the Remark 4.8 in [13], the case of  $\kappa < 4\tau^2$  for Corollary 3.5 is still open.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] U. Abresch, H. Rosenberg, *A Hopf differential for constant mean curvature surfaces in  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$* , Acta Math. 193 (2004) 141-174.
- [2] U. Abresch, H. Rosenberg, *Generalized Hopf differentials*, Mat. Contemp. 28 (2005) 1-28.
- [3] B. Aebischer, et al. *Symplectic Geometry. An introduction based on the seminar in Bern*, 1992. Prog. Math., vol. 124, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1994.
- [4] M. Barros and A. Ferrández, *Willmore energy estimates in conformal Berger spheres*, Chaos Solitons Fractals 44 (7) (2011) 515-521.
- [5] A. Barros, E. Ribeiro, J. Silva, *Uniqueness of quasi-Einstein metrics on 3-dimensional homogeneous manifolds*, Diff. Geom. and its Appl. 35 (2014) 60-73.
- [6] Mohamed Belkhef, Franki Dillen, and Jun-Ichi Inoguchi, *Surfaces with parallel second fundamental form in Bianchi-Cartan-Vranceanu spaces, PDEs, submanifolds and affine differential geometry* (Warsaw, 2000), Banach Center Publ., vol. 57, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, (2002) 67-87.
- [7] S. S. Chern, M. do Carmo, and S. Kobayashi, *Minimal submanifolds of a sphere with second fundamental form of constant length*, Functional Analysis and Related Fields (Proc. Conf. for M. Stone, Univ. Chicago, Chicago, Ill., 1968), Springer, New York, (1970) 59-75.
- [8] N. Cui, J. N. V. Gomes, *Rigidity of cmc surface in the Berger sphere*, Archiv der Mathematik, 104 (2015) 289-300.
- [9] B. Daniel, *Isometric immersions into  $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  and applications to minimal surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 361 (12) (2009) 6255-6282.
- [10] B. Daniel, *Isometric immersions into 3-dimensional homogeneous manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. 82 (2007) 87-131.
- [11] I. Fernández, P. Mira, *A characterization of constant mean curvature surfaces in homogeneous 3-manifolds*, Differential Geom. Appl. 25 (3) (2007) 281-289.
- [12] J. N. V. Gomes, *The Gaussian curvature via the contact angle of immersed surfaces into the Euclidean three sphere*, Diff. Geom. and its Appl. 31 (2013) 691-697.
- [13] Z. Hu, D. Lyu, J. Wang, *On rigidity phenomena of compact surfaces in homogeneous 3-manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 143 (2015) 3097-3109.
- [14] William H. Meeks III and Joaquín Pérez, *Constant mean curvature surfaces in metric Lie groups, Geometric analysis: partial differential equations and surfaces*, Contemp. Math., vol. 570, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2012) 25-110.
- [15] K. Nomizu, B. Smyth, *A formula of Simons' type and hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature*, J. Diff. Geom. 3 (1969) 367-377.
- [16] U. Pinkall, *Hopf tori in  $\mathbb{S}^3$* , Invent. Math. 81 (2) (1985) 379-386.
- [17] R. Souam, E. Toubiana, *Totally umbilic surfaces in homogeneous 3-manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. 84 (3) (2009) 673-704.
- [18] F. Torralbo, F. Urbano, *On the Gauss curvature of compact surfaces in homogeneous 3-manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (7) (2010) 2561-2567.
- [19] F. Torralbo, *Compact minimal surfaces in the Berger spheres*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 41 (2012) 391-405.
- [20] F. Torralbo, *Rotationally invariant constant mean curvature surfaces in homogeneous 3-manifolds*, Diff. Geom. and its Appl. 28 (2010) 593-607.



- [21] F. Torralbo, F. Urbano, *Compact stable constant mean curvature surfaces in homogeneous 3-manifolds*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 61 (3) (2012) 1129-1156.

ADDRESS: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHWEST JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU, 610031, P.R.CHINA.

*E-mail address:* ningweicui@gmail.com