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#### Abstract

An Euclidean greedy embedding of a graph is a straight-line embedding in the plane, such that for every pair of vertices $s$ and $t$, the vertex $s$ has a neighbor $v$ with smaller distance to $t$ than $s$. This drawing style is motivated by greedy geometric routing in wireless sensor networks.

A Christmas cactus is a connected graph in which every two simple cycles have at most one vertex in common and in which every cutvertex is part of at most two biconnected blocks. It has been proved that Christmas cactus graphs have an Euclidean greedy embedding. This fact has played a crucial role in proving that every 3 -connected planar graph has an Euclidean greedy embedding. The proofs construct greedy embeddings of Christmas cactuses of exponential size, and it has been an open question whether exponential area is necessary in the worst case for greedy embeddings of Christmas cactuses. We prove that this is indeed the case. ${ }^{1}$


## 1 Introduction

Consider a graph $G=(V, E)$ and a straight-line embedding of $G$ in the Euclidean plane. For simplicity, we identify each vertex with the corresponding point in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. An embedding of $G$ is greedy if for every pair $s, t \in V$, vertex $s$ has a neighbor $v$ in $G$, for which it is $|v t|<|s t|$, where $|p q|$ denotes the Euclidean distance between points $p$ and $q$. Equivalently, every pair $s, t \in V$ is joined by a distance-decreasing, or greedy, path.

Greedy embeddings are motivated by geometric routing in wireless sensor networks. Given such an embedding, we can use vertex coordinates as addresses. To route a message to a destination, a vertex can simply forward the message to a neighbor that is closer to the destination, and a successful delivery is guaranteed.
The existence of greedy embeddings has been studied for various graph classes. Papadimitriou and Ratajczak [8] conjectured that every 3-connected planar graph has a greedy embedding in the Euclidean plane. This conjecture has been proved independently by Leighton and Moitra (5) and Angelini et al. [2]. Both proofs use the fact that 3 -connected planar graphs have a spanning Christmas cactus subgraph. A Christmas cactus is a connected graph in which every two simple cycles have at most one vertex in common and in which every cutvertex is part of at most two biconnected blocks. The authors show that every Christmas cactus has a greedy embedding. However, both constructions produce embeddings of exponential size in the worst case.
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Figure 1: Family of Christmas cactuses that requires exponential area for every greedy embedding. (a) Cactus $G_{k}$ for $k=4 ;(\mathrm{b})$ cactus $F_{k}$ constructed by attaching the roots of 30 copies of $G_{k}$ to a cycle of size 31 .

In order for the greedy embedding to be practical for geometric routing, it must be possible to represent vertex coordinates using only few bits, otherwise, message headers containing the destination address would be too big [3]. Goodrich and Strash [4] showed how to construct an Euclidean greedy embedding of a Christmas cactus, in which the coordinates of every vertex can be encoded using only $O(\log n)$ bits. In the presented encoding scheme for the vertex coordinates, their positions in the Euclidean plane are not stored explicitly, and the drawings might still have exponential size. Angelini et al. [1] proved that some trees require exponential aspect ratio of the edge lengths. It was open whether this bound also holds for Christmas cactuses. In this note we prove Moitra's conjecture that Euclidean greedy embeddings of Christmas cactuses require exponential area in the worst case [5].

## 2 Exponential worst case resolution

We now present a family of Christmas cactuses that requires exponential aspect ratio of edge lengths in every greedy embedding. For an integer $k \geq 1$, consider the Christmas cactus $G_{k}$ with root $r_{i}$ in Fig. 1a. We then construct the cactus $F_{k}$ by attaching the roots of 30 copies of $G_{k}$ to a cycle of size 31 ; see Fig. 1b. We shall prove that the aspect ratio of edge lengths in every greedy embedding of $F_{k}$ is at least $2^{k}$. The following fact follows from Lemma 3 in 7 .

Fact 1. Every greedy embedding of $F_{k}$ contains a greedy embedding of $G_{k}$, in which every pair of vectors from $\bigcup_{i}\left\{\overrightarrow{u_{i} u_{i+1}}, \overrightarrow{u_{i} v_{i+1}}, \overrightarrow{v_{i} w_{i+1}}\right\}$ forms an angle of less than $12^{\circ}$.

From now on, we consider the embedding of $G_{k}$ from Fact 1 .
Lemma 1. For $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, it holds: $\left|u_{i+1} u_{i+2}\right|<\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{i} u_{i+1}\right|$.
Proof. We rename the vertices for brevity: $a=u_{i+2}, b=u_{i+1}, c=v_{i+1}, d=w_{i+2}, y=u_{i}$; see Fig. 22. Note that every greedy $a-d$ path as well as every greedy $d$ - $a$ path must contain $b$ and $c$. Therefore, the path $a b c d$ is greedy in both directions. Thus, the ray with origin $b$ and direction $\overrightarrow{b a}$ and the ray with origin $c$ and direction $\overrightarrow{c d}$ diverge [1]. The paths $a b d$ and $a c d$ are also greedy in both directions, therefore, $\alpha_{1}=\angle a b d>60^{\circ}$ and $\alpha_{4}=\angle a c d>60^{\circ}$.

Let $x$ be the intersection point of the lines through $a b$ and $c d$. Let $\varepsilon=12^{\circ}$. Since $G_{k}$ has been chosen according to Fact 1 , it is $\angle x b y<\varepsilon$ and $\angle x c y<\varepsilon$.
It is $\angle c b x=180^{\circ}-\angle a b c<120^{\circ}$. Similarly, $\angle b c x<120^{\circ}$. Also, $\angle b x c<\varepsilon$. Thus, by considering the triangle $b c x$ it follows: $\angle c b x>60^{\circ}-\varepsilon$ and $\angle b c x>60^{\circ}-\varepsilon$. Since it is $60^{\circ}-\varepsilon<\angle c b x<120^{\circ}$, it is $60^{\circ}-2 \varepsilon<\angle c b y<120^{\circ}+\varepsilon$. Analogously, it is $60^{\circ}-2 \varepsilon<\angle b c y<120^{\circ}+\varepsilon$. It follows:

$$
\frac{|b c|}{|b y|}=\frac{\sin \angle b y c}{\sin \angle b c y}<\frac{\sin \varepsilon}{\sin \left(60^{\circ}-2 \varepsilon\right)}<0.36 .
$$



Figure 2: Proof of Lemma 1.

Therefore, it is $|b c|<0.36|b y|$ and, analogously, $|b c|<0.36|c y|$.
Next, recall that it is $\angle b x c=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}-180^{\circ}<\varepsilon$, for $\alpha_{2}=\angle d b c$ and $\alpha_{3}=\angle a c b$. Therefore, $\angle b a c=180^{\circ}-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}>\alpha_{4}-\varepsilon>60^{\circ}-\varepsilon$. Also, since the path $a b c$ is greedy in both directions, it is $\angle b a c<90^{\circ}$. Now consider $\angle a c b=\alpha_{3}$. Since $\angle b c x>60^{\circ}-\varepsilon$, it is $\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}<120^{\circ}+\varepsilon$, and $\alpha_{3}<60^{\circ}+\varepsilon$. Therefore,

$$
\frac{|a b|}{|b c|}=\frac{\sin \angle a c b}{\sin \angle b a c}=\frac{\sin \alpha_{3}}{\sin \left(180^{\circ}-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right)}<\frac{\sin \left(60^{\circ}+\varepsilon\right)}{\sin \left(60^{\circ}-\varepsilon\right)}<1.28 .
$$

Thus, $|a b|<1.28|b c|$. It follows: $|a b|<1.28|b c|<1.28 \cdot 0.36|b y|<0.461|b y|$. Therefore, we have $\left|u_{i+1} u_{i+2}\right|<\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{i} u_{i+1}\right|$.

Theorem 1. In every greedy embedding of cactus $F_{k}$, the ratio of the longest and the shortest edge is in $\Omega\left(2^{n / 90}\right)$, where $n$ is the number of vertices of $F_{k}$.

Proof. Cactus $G_{k}$ has $3 k+2$ vertices. Thus, cactus $F_{k}$ has $n=90 k+61$ vertices. By Lemma 1 , every greedy embedding of $F_{k}$ contains an embedding of $G_{k}$, such that it is $\left|u_{k} u_{k+1}\right|<\frac{1}{2^{k}}\left|u_{0} u_{1}\right|$. Therefore, the ratio of the longest and shortest edge in every greedy embedding of $F_{k}$ is at least $2^{k}=\Omega\left(2^{n / 90}\right)$.
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