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#### Abstract

We study possible values of the global dimension of endomorphism algebras of 2-term silting complexes. We show that for any algebra $A$ whose global dimension gl.dim $A \leq 2$ and any 2-term silting complex $\mathbf{P}$ in the bounded derived category $D^{b}(A)$ of $A$, the global dimension of $\operatorname{End}_{D^{b}(A)}(\mathbf{P})$ is at most 7 . We also show that for each $n>2$, there is an algebra $A$ with $\operatorname{gl} \operatorname{dim} A=n$ such that $D^{b}(A)$ admits a 2-term silting complex $\mathbf{P}$ with gl.dim $\operatorname{End}_{D^{b}(A)}(\mathbf{P})$ infinite.


## Introduction

Let $A$ be a finite dimensional algebra over a field $k$. Let $T$ be a (classical) tilting module in the category $\bmod A$ of finite dimensional right $A$-modules; that is the projective dimension $\operatorname{pd} T$ is at most 1, we have $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1}(T, T)=0$ and there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow T_{1} \rightarrow T_{2} \rightarrow 0$ with $T_{1}, T_{2}$ in add $T$, the additive closure of $T$. Let $B=\operatorname{End}_{A}(T)$. Then, it is a well-known fact (see for example [8, III, Section 3.4] for a more general statement) that $\operatorname{gl} \cdot \operatorname{dim} B \leq \operatorname{gl} \cdot \operatorname{dim} A+1$, where gl.dim $A$ denotes the global dimension of $A$.

In this paper we investigate to which extent this generalizes to the following setting. We now consider a 2-term silting complex $\mathbf{P}$ in the bounded homotopy category of finitely generated projective $A$-modules, $K^{b}(\operatorname{proj} A)$. This is just a map between projective $A$-modules, considered as a complex, with the property that $\operatorname{Hom}_{K^{b}(\operatorname{proj} A)}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}[1])=0$ where [1] denotes the shift functor, and such that $\mathbf{P}$ generates $K^{b}(\operatorname{proj} A)$ as a triangulated category. Note that $K^{b}(\operatorname{proj} A)$ can be considered to be a full triangulated subcategory of the derived category $D^{b}(A)$.

The concept of silting complexes originated from [11], and has more recently been studied by many authors, often motivated by combinatorial aspects related to mutations, as in [2]. Moreover, the case of 2-term silting is of particular interest, see e.g. [1], [4] and [12].

In the setting of 2-term silting, we have the following theorem:
Theorem 0.1. Let $B=\operatorname{End}_{D^{b}(A)}(\mathbf{P})$, for a 2-term silting complex $\mathbf{P}$ in $K^{b}(\operatorname{proj} A)$. Then the following hold.
(a) If gl.dim $A=1$, then gl.dim $B \leq 3$.
(b) If $\operatorname{gl} \cdot \operatorname{dim} A=2$, then $\operatorname{gl} \cdot \operatorname{dim} B \leq 7$.

Moreover, for each $n>2$, there is an algebra $A$, with $\operatorname{gl} \operatorname{dim} A=n$, such that $K^{b}(\operatorname{proj} A)$ admits a 2-term silting complex $\mathbf{P}$ with gl.dim $\operatorname{End}_{D^{b}(A)}(\mathbf{P})=\infty$.

Note that the projective presentation of a tilting $A$-module $T$ as defined above, gives rise to a 2-term silting complex $\mathbf{P}_{T}$ in $K^{b}(\operatorname{proj} A)$, and that we have an isomorphism of algebras $\operatorname{End}_{A}(T) \cong$ $\operatorname{End}_{D^{b}(A)}\left(\mathbf{P}_{T}\right)$.

The situation in part (a) was studied in [6]. In this case $B$ is a called a silted algebra, and it was proved that silted algebras are so-called shod algebras [7], in particular this implies that gl.dim $B \leq 3$, by [9].

[^0]The main body of this paper is a proof of (b), an example that the global dimension of $B$ actually can be 7 in this case, and a class of examples that justifies the last statement of Theorem 0.1

We also prove that with a stronger assumption on $\mathbf{P}$, we actually get that gl.dim $B$ is bounded by gl. $\operatorname{dim} A$. More precisely, we show the following.

Theorem 0.2. With the above notation, and assuming in addition that $\operatorname{pd} H^{0}(\mathbf{P}) \leq 1$, we have gl. $\operatorname{dim} B \leq 2(\operatorname{gl} . \operatorname{dim} A)+2$.

In the first section, we recall some notation and background concerning 2-term silting complexes and their endomorphism algebras. In the second section, we prove some preliminary general results. Then, in Section 3 and 4, we prove respectively Theorem 0.2 and Theorem 0.1 while in the last section, we give some examples.

## 1. Background and notation

Let $A$ be a finite dimensional algebra with $\operatorname{gl} \operatorname{dim} A=d$. Then $K^{b}(\operatorname{proj} A)=D^{b}(A):=\mathcal{D}$. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be a 2-term silting complex in $\mathcal{D}$ and let $B=\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P})$. We recall some classical notation (see e.g. [3]) and some results from [5], which will be used freely in the remaining of the paper.

Recall that a pair of subcategories $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ of $\bmod A$, is called a torsion pair, if the following hold:

- $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(X, Y)=0$ if and only if $Y$ is in $\mathcal{Y}$, and
- $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(X, Y)=0$ if and only if $X$ is in $X$.

For a given torsion pair $(\mathcal{X}, \boldsymbol{y})$ and an object $M$ in $\bmod A$, there is a (unique) exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow t M \rightarrow M \rightarrow M / t M \rightarrow 0
$$

with $t M$ in $\mathcal{X}$ and $M / t M$ in $\mathcal{Y}$. This is called the canonical sequence of $M$. Furthermore, for an $A$-module $X$ we let add $X$ denote the additive closure of $X$ in $\bmod A$, and we let Fac $X$ denote the full subcategory of all quotients of modules in add $X$. The first notion is also used for a complex $X$ in $\mathcal{D}$.

For a 2-term silting complex $\mathbf{P}$, consider the full subcategories of $\bmod A$ given by

- $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P})=\left\{X \in \bmod A \mid \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, X[1])=0\right.$, and
- $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P})=\left\{Y \in \bmod A \mid \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, Y)=0\right.$.

Furthermore, let $B=\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P})$. The following summarizes results from [5] which will be essential later in this paper.

Proposition 1.1. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be a 2-term silting complex in $K^{b}(\operatorname{proj} A)$. Then the following hold.
(a) The pair $(\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P}), \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P}))$ is a torsion pair in $\bmod A$.
(b) $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P})=\operatorname{Fac} H^{0}(\mathbf{P})$.
(c) The category $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P})=\{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{D} \mid \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}[i])=0$ for $i \neq 0\}$ is an abelian category with short exact sequences coinciding with the triangles in $\mathcal{D}$ whose vertices are in $C(\mathbf{P})$.
(d) Let $\mathbf{X}$ be in $\mathcal{D}$. Then we have that $\mathbf{X}$ is in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P})$ if and only if $H^{0}(\mathbf{X})$ is in $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P}), H^{-1}(\mathbf{X})$ is in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P})$ and $H^{i}(\mathbf{X})=0$ for $i \neq-1,0$.
(e) $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},-): C(\mathbf{P}) \rightarrow \bmod B$ is an equivalence of (abelian) categories.

For full subcategories $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ of $\mathcal{D}$, we let $\mathcal{X} * \mathcal{Y}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}$ with objects $Z$ appearing in a triangle

$$
X \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X[1]
$$

with $X$ in $\mathcal{X}$ and $Y$ in $\mathcal{Y}$. It follows from the octahedral axiom that we have $(\mathcal{X} * \mathcal{Y}) * \mathcal{Z}=$ $\mathcal{X} *(\mathcal{Y} * \mathcal{Z})$, for three full subcategories $\mathcal{X}, \boldsymbol{y}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$. The subcategory $\mathcal{X}$ is called extension
closed if $X * \mathcal{X}=\mathcal{X}$. We will need the following fact, which follows from [10] Propositions 2.1 and 2.4].

Lemma 1.2. Let $\mathcal{X}_{i}$ be subcategories of $\mathcal{D}$, with $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathcal{X}_{i}, \mathcal{X}_{j}\right)=0=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathcal{X}_{i}, \mathcal{X}_{j}[1]\right)$ for $i<j$. Then $X_{1} * \mathcal{X}_{2} * \cdots * X_{n}$ is closed under extensions and direct summands.

## 2. Preliminaries

Now, fix a 2-term silting complex $\mathbf{P}$ in $K^{b}(\operatorname{proj} A)$, and let $\mathcal{P}=\operatorname{add} \mathbf{P}$. In this section we include some general observations on projective objects and projective dimensions in $C(\mathbf{P})$.

For each $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ in $\mathcal{P}$, given by $P_{0}^{-1} \xrightarrow{p_{0}} P_{0}^{0}$, consider the canonical exact sequence of $H^{-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{0}\right)$ relative to the torsion pair $(\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P}), \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P}))$ :

$$
0 \rightarrow t H^{-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{0}\right) \rightarrow H^{-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{0}\right) \rightarrow H^{-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{0}\right) / t H^{-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

So $t H^{-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{0}\right)$ is a submodule of $P_{0}^{-1}$ and we denote by $\pi: P_{0}^{-1} \rightarrow P_{0}^{-1} / t H^{-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{0}\right)$ the canonical epimorphism. Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{0}$ be the complex $P_{0}^{-1} / t H^{-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{p}_{0}} P_{0}^{0}$, where $\widetilde{p}_{0}$ is the unique homomorphism such that the diagram

commutes.
Let $\mathcal{P}_{C}=\mathcal{P} \cap C(\mathbf{P})$.
Lemma 2.1. Let $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ be in $\mathcal{P}$. Then $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ is in $\mathcal{P}_{C}$ if and only if $\mathbf{P}_{0} \cong \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{0}$.
Proof. We have by definition that $\mathbf{P}_{0} \cong \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{0}$ if and only if $t H^{-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{0}\right)=0$ if and only if $H^{-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{0}\right)$ is in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P})$ if and only if $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{P}, H^{-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{0}\right)\right)=0$. It is straightforward to check that $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{P}, H^{-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{0}\right)\right)=$ 0 if and only if $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}_{0}[-1]\right)=0$. Moreover, we have that $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}_{0}[-1]\right)=0$ if and only if $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ is in $C(\mathbf{P})$, and the statement follows from this.

Lemma 2.2. With notation as above, the following hold.
(a) There is a triangle in $\mathcal{D}$ :

$$
t H^{-1}(\mathbf{P})[1] \rightarrow \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \rightarrow t H^{-1}(\mathbf{P})[2]
$$

(b) The object $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}$ is a projective generator for $C(\mathbf{P})$.

Proof. The triangle in (a) exists by the construction of $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}$.
Note that $H^{0}(\widetilde{\mathbf{P}})=H^{0}(\mathbf{P})$ is in $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P})$ and $H^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathbf{P}})=H^{-1}(\mathbf{P}) / t H^{-1}(\mathbf{P})$ is in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P})$. Then by Proposition $1.1(\mathrm{~d})$, we have $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \in C(\mathbf{P})$. Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},-)$ to this triangle yields an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{P}})
$$

as $B$-modules. Now (b) follows from Proposition 1.1(e).

For any integer $i$, we let $\mathcal{D}^{\leq i}(\mathbf{P})=\left\{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{D} \mid \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}[j])=0\right.$ for $\left.j>i\right\}$, and we let $\mathcal{D}^{\geq i}(\mathbf{P})=\left\{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{D} \mid \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}[j])=0\right.$ for $\left.j<i\right\}$.

Lemma 2.3. With notation as above, we have: $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P}) \subset \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[d+1]$.

Proof. By [2, Proposition 2.23], we have

$$
C(\mathbf{P}) \subset \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}(\mathbf{P}) \subset \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[l-1] * \mathcal{P}[l]
$$

for some $l>0$. For any $\mathbf{M}$ in $C(\mathbf{P})$, by Proposition $1.1(\mathrm{~d})$, we have $H^{i}(\mathbf{M})=0$ for $i \neq-1,0$. So there is a complex $\mathbf{X}$ of projective $A$-modules, which is equivalent to $\mathbf{M}$, and such that $H^{i}(\mathbf{X})=0$ for $i>0$ or $i<-d-1$. So

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{P}[i]) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{P}[i])=0, i \geq d+2
$$

which implies that $\mathbf{M}$ is in $\mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[d+1]$.
Lemma 2.4. For a complex $\mathbf{X}$ in $C(\mathbf{P}) \cap\left(\mathcal{P}_{C} * \mathcal{P}_{C}[1] * \ldots \mathcal{P}_{C}[m]\right)$ for some $m \geq 0$, we have $\operatorname{pd} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X})_{B} \leq m$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{X}_{0}=\mathbf{X}$. There are triangles

$$
\mathbf{X}_{i+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{O}_{i} \xrightarrow{g_{i}} \mathbf{X}_{i} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_{i+1}[1], \quad 0 \leq i \leq m-1
$$

where $\mathbf{O}_{i}$ is in $\mathcal{P}_{C}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{i}$ is in $\mathcal{P}_{C} * \mathcal{P}_{C}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}_{C}[m-i]$. Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}[i])=0$ for all $i>0$, we have that $g_{i}$ is a right $\mathcal{P}$-approximation of $\mathbf{X}_{i}$. By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma2.2(b), each $\mathbf{O}_{i}$ is projective in $C(\mathbf{P})$. Assume that $\mathbf{X}_{i}$ is in $C(\mathbf{P})$ for some $0 \leq i \leq m-1$. Then, since $g_{i}$ is a right $\mathcal{P}$-approximation and $\mathbf{O}_{i}$ is projective in $C(\mathbf{P})$, we have that $g_{i}$ is an epimorphism in $C(\mathbf{P})$. So $\mathbf{X}_{i+1}$ is the kernel of $g_{i}$, by Proposition 1.1(c). Note that $\mathbf{X}_{0} \in C(\mathbf{P})$. Then by induction on $i$, we have that $\mathbf{X}_{i} \in C(\mathbf{P})$ for all $0 \leq i \leq m$ and

$$
\operatorname{pd}_{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}_{i}\right)_{B} \leq \operatorname{pd} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}_{i+1}\right)_{B}+1 . . . . ~}^{\text {. }}
$$

Therefore $\operatorname{pd} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X})_{B} \leq \operatorname{pd}_{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}_{m}\right)_{B}+m=m$ since $\mathbf{X}_{m} \in \mathcal{P}_{C}$ is projective in $C(\mathbf{P})$.

We end this section by considering the following special case. Recall from [13], that a 2-term silting complex $\mathbf{P}$ in $K^{b}(\operatorname{proj} A)$ is a tilting complex if $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}[-1])=0$.

Proposition 2.5. If the 2-term silting complex $\mathbf{P}$ is a tilting complex, then gl.dim $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}) \leq$ $\operatorname{gl.dim} A+1$.

Proof. If $\mathbf{P}$ is tilting, then $\mathbf{P}$ is in $C(\mathbf{P})$. So we infer that $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}_{C}$. It follows from Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4that gl.dim $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}) \leq \operatorname{gl} \cdot \operatorname{dim} A+1$.

Note that the classical situation (as in [8, III, section 3.4]) where $\mathbf{P}$ is the projective resolution of a classical tilting module, is covered by this result.

## 3. The partial tilting case

Throughout this section, we assume that $\operatorname{pd} H^{0}(\mathbf{P})_{A} \leq 1$, that is: $H^{0}(\mathbf{P})$ is a partial tilting $A$ module. Then we have that $Q=H^{-1}(\mathbf{P})$ is projective as an $A$-module, and $\mathbf{P} \cong H^{0}(\mathbf{P}) \oplus Q[1]$. Consider the canonical exact sequence of $Q$ relative to the torsion pair $(\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P}), \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P}))$ :

$$
0 \rightarrow t Q \rightarrow Q \rightarrow Q / t Q \rightarrow 0
$$

As before, we let $d=\operatorname{gl} \cdot \operatorname{dim} A$. We first prove two technical lemmas.
Lemma 3.1. With the above notation, we have

$$
t Q \in \operatorname{add} H^{0}(\mathbf{P}) * \operatorname{add} H^{0}(\mathbf{P})[1] * \cdots * \operatorname{add} H^{0}(\mathbf{P})[d-1]
$$

Proof. We first note that $t Q \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P})$, so by definition $\operatorname{Hom}_{D^{b}(A)}(\mathbf{P}, t Q[i])=0$ for $i \neq 0$. In particular, we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{D^{b}(A)}(Q[1], t Q[i])=0$ for $i \neq 0$. For $i=0$, since both $Q$ and $t Q$ are $A$-modules, we also have that $\operatorname{Hom}_{D^{b}(A)}(Q[1], t Q)=0$. It follows from $T(\mathbf{P}) \in C(\mathbf{P})$ that by Proposition 1.1, we have $t Q \in \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[d+1]$. Therefore, using $\mathbf{P} \cong H^{0}(\mathbf{P}) \oplus Q[1]$, we get that $t Q$ is in add $H^{0}(\mathbf{P}) * \operatorname{add} H^{0}(\mathbf{P})[1] * \cdots * \operatorname{add} H^{0}(\mathbf{P})[d+1]$. By the canonical sequence of $Q$, we have $\operatorname{pd}(t Q)_{A} \leq \operatorname{pd}(Q / t Q)_{A}-1 \leq d-1$. Hence, it follows that $\operatorname{Hom}(t Q, \mathbf{P}[d])=0=$ $\operatorname{Hom}(t Q, \mathbf{P}[d+1])$. The claim of the lemma follows.

Lemma 3.2. With the above notation, we have $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P}) \subset \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[d] * \operatorname{add} H^{0}(\mathbf{P})[d+1]$.
Proof. Using that $\mathbf{P} \cong H^{0}(\mathbf{P}) \oplus Q[1]$ in combination with Lemma 2.3, we only need to prove that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{X}, Q[d+2])=0$ for $\mathbf{X} \in C(\mathbf{P})$. This follows from $\operatorname{pd} H^{i}(\mathbf{X})_{A} \leq d$ for $i=-1,0$ and $H^{i}(\mathbf{X})=0$ for $i \neq-1,0$.

We can now prove the main result of this section.
Theorem 3.3. If $\operatorname{pd}\left(H^{0}(\mathbf{P})\right)_{A} \leq 1$, then $\operatorname{gl} \operatorname{dim} B \leq 2 \operatorname{gl} \cdot \operatorname{dim} A+2$.
Proof. Let $\mathbf{X}$ be an object in $C(\mathbf{P})$ with

$$
\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{P} * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[i] * \operatorname{add} H^{0}(\mathbf{P})[i+1] * \cdots * \operatorname{add} H^{0}(\mathbf{P})[d+1]
$$

for some $0 \leq i \leq d$. Then there is a triangle

$$
\mathbf{X}_{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \xrightarrow{g_{\mathbf{X}}} \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_{1}[1]
$$

where $g_{\mathbf{X}}$ is a right $\mathcal{P}$-approximation of $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{1}$ is in

$$
\mathcal{P} * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[i-1] * \operatorname{add} H^{0}(\mathbf{P})[i] * \cdots * \operatorname{add} H^{0}(\mathbf{P})[d]
$$

Then $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, g_{\mathbf{X}}\right)$ is an epimorphism and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{E})$ is projective in $\bmod B$.
Recall that $Q=H^{-1}(\mathbf{P})$. Then, by Lemma 2.2 there is a triangle

$$
F[1] \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{E}} \rightarrow F[2]
$$

where $F$ is in add $t Q \subset \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P}) \subset C(\mathbf{P})$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}$ is projective in $C(\mathbf{P})$. So $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F[1], \mathbf{X})=0$ since $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P})$. It follows that the map $g_{\mathbf{X}}$ factors through the map $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}$. Then, by the octahedral axiom, we have the following commutative diagram of triangles:


Then we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \quad \in & \operatorname{add} \mathbf{X}_{1} * \operatorname{add} F[2] \\
\subset & \left(\mathcal{P} * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[i-1] * \operatorname{add} H^{0}(\mathbf{P})[i] * \cdots * \operatorname{add} H^{0}(\mathbf{P})[d]\right) \\
& *\left(\operatorname{add} H^{0}(\mathbf{P}) * \cdots * \operatorname{add} H^{0}(\mathbf{P})[d-1]\right)[2] \\
= & \left(\mathcal{P} * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[i-1] * \operatorname{add} H^{0}(\mathbf{P})[i] * \cdots * \operatorname{add} H^{0}(\mathbf{P})[d]\right) * \operatorname{add} H^{0}(\mathbf{P})[d+1]
\end{aligned}
$$

where the inclusion is due to Lemma 3.1, and the equality follows from

$$
\mathcal{P} * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[i-1] * \operatorname{add} H^{0}(\mathbf{P})[i] * \cdots * \operatorname{add} H^{0}(\mathbf{P})[d]
$$

being closed under extensions by Lemma 1.2 Applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},-)$ to the above diagram, we obtain a commutative diagram


Using that the map $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, g_{\mathbf{X}}\right)$ is an epimorphism in $\bmod B$, it follows that the map $\widetilde{g}_{\mathbf{X}}$ is an epimorphism in $C(\mathbf{P})$. Then $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}$ is the kernel of $\widetilde{g}_{\mathbf{X}}$ in $C(\mathbf{P})$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{pdHom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X})_{B} \leq \operatorname{pd}_{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)_{B}+1 . . . . ~}^{\text {. }}
$$

Using induction on $i$ and Lemma 3.2, we have that for $\mathbf{X} \in C(\mathbf{P})$, there is $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \in C(\mathbf{P}) \cap\left(\operatorname{add} H^{0}(\mathbf{P}) * \operatorname{add} H^{0}(\mathbf{P})[1] * \cdots * \operatorname{add} H^{0}(\mathbf{P})[d+1]\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\operatorname{pd} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X})_{B} \leq \operatorname{pd} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)_{B}+d+1$. By Lemma 2.4 and equation (11), we have $\mathrm{pd} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)_{B} \leq d+1$. It then follows that $\operatorname{pd}_{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X})_{B} \leq 2 d+2$, and hence gl.dim $B \leq$ $2 d+2$.

## 4. The case of global dimension 2 .

In this section, we consider the case when gl.dim $A \leq 2$. Our aim is to prove part (b) of Theorem 0.1 stating that in this case we have that the global dimension is at most 7 for the endomorphism algebra of any 2 -term silting complex.

We prepare by showing four technical lemmas. Let $\mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}=(\mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1]) \cap C(\mathbf{P})$.

Proof. Since $\mathbf{X}$ is in $\mathcal{P}_{*} \mathcal{P}$ [1], there is a triangle $\mathbf{O}_{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{O}_{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{O}_{1}[1]$ with $\mathbf{O}_{0}, \mathbf{O}_{1} \in \mathcal{P}$. Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},-)$ to this triangle, we get a long exact sequence

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}[-1]) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{O}_{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{O}_{0}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{O}_{1}[1]\right)
$$

where the first term is zero since $\mathbf{X}$ is in $C(\mathbf{P})$, and the last term is zero since $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}[1])=0$. Therefore, $\operatorname{pd~Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X})_{B} \leq 1$.
Lemma 4.2. If $\mathbf{X}$ is in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P}) \cap\left(\mathscr{P}_{C}^{[0,1]} * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[1] * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2]\right)$, then $\operatorname{pd} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X})_{B} \leq 3$.
Proof. By $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]} * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[1] * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2]$, there are triangles

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}[1] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{D}_{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{3}[1] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathbf{D}_{1}, \mathbf{D}_{2}, \mathbf{D}_{3} \in \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}$ and $\mathbf{L} \in \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]} * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[1] \subset \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \mathcal{P}[2]$. Applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},-)$ to triangle (2), we obtain a long exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}[-2]) \rightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{L}[-1]) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{D}_{1}[-1]\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}[-1]) \\
& \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{L}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{D}_{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{L}[1]) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}[i])=0$ for $i=-1$ or $i=-2$, since $\mathbf{X}$ is in $C(\mathbf{P})$. Furthermore, we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{D}_{1}[-1]\right)=0$, by $\mathbf{D}_{1} \in C(\mathbf{P})$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{L}[1])=0$ by $\mathbf{L} \in \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \mathcal{P}[2]$. From this it follows that we have a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{L}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{D}_{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow 0
$$

and that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{L}[-1])=0$. Using this short exact sequence, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{pdHom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X})_{B} \leq \max \left\{\operatorname{pdHom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{D}_{1}\right)_{B}, \operatorname{pd}_{\left.\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{L})_{B}+1\right\} . . . ~}^{\text {and }}\right. \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},-)$ to the triangle (3), we obtain an exact sequence

$$
0=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{L}[-1]) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{D}_{3}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{D}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{L}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{D}_{3}[1]\right)
$$

where the last term is zero due to $\mathbf{D}_{3} \in \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}$. As above, we obtain that

Now, combining the inequalities (4) and (5) with Lemma 4.1, we obtain pd $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X})_{B} \leq$ 3.

Lemma 4.3. If $\mathbf{N}$ is in $\mathcal{D}^{\geq-1}(\mathbf{P}) \cap(\mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \mathcal{P}[2])$, then there is an object $\widetilde{\mathbf{N}} \in C(\mathbf{P})$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{N}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{N}})$ as $B$-modules and $\widetilde{\mathbf{N}} \in \operatorname{add} \mathbf{N} * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2]$.
Proof. Since $\left(\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}(\mathbf{P}), \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}(\mathbf{P})\right)$ is a $t$-structure (see [12] Lemma 5.10]), there is a triangle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{N}} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}[1] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathbf{M} \in \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}(\mathbf{P})[1]$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{N}} \in \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}(\mathbf{P})$. Then $\mathbf{M} \in \mathcal{P}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[l]$ for some $l$ by [2, Proposition 2.23]. Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},-)$ to the triangle (6), we have a long exact sequence

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{M}[i]) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{N}[i]) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{N}}[i]) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{M}[i+1]) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{M}[i])=0$ for $i \geq 0$ by $\mathbf{M} \in \mathcal{P}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[l]$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{N}}[i])=0$ for $i<0$ by $\widetilde{\mathbf{N}} \in \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}(\mathbf{P})$, and also $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{N}[i])=0$ for $i \neq-1,0$ by the assumption $\mathbf{N} \in$ $\mathcal{D}^{\geq-1}(\mathbf{P}) \cap(\mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \mathcal{P}[2])$, we have that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{N}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{N}})
$$

as $B-$ modules,

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \tilde{\mathbf{N}}[i])=0, \text { for } i>0
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{M}[i])=0, \text { for } i<-1
$$

Thus, we obtain $\widetilde{\mathbf{N}} \in \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}(\mathbf{P}) \cap \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}(\mathbf{P})=C(\mathbf{P})$ and $\mathbf{M} \in \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}(\mathbf{P})[1] \cap \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}(\mathbf{P})[1]=C(\mathbf{P})[1]$. Then by Lemma 2.3, we have $\widetilde{\mathbf{N}} \in \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \mathcal{P}[2] * \mathcal{P}[3]$. Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(-, \mathbf{P})$ to the triangle (6), we obtain a long exact sequence

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{N}}, \mathbf{P}[i]) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{P}[i]) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{P}[i]) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{N}}, \mathbf{P}[i+1]) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

We have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{P}[i])=0$ for $i>2$ by $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \mathcal{P}[2]$, and we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{N}}, \mathbf{P}[i])=0$ for $i>3$ by $\widetilde{\mathbf{N}} \in \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \mathcal{P}[2] * \mathcal{P}[3]$. From this it follows that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{P}[i])=0$ for $i>2$, and hence $\mathbf{M} \in(\mathcal{P}[1] * \mathcal{P}[2]) \cap C(\mathbf{P})[1]=\mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}$ [1]. Therefore we have that

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{N}} \in \operatorname{add} \mathbf{N} * \operatorname{add} \mathbf{M}[1] \subset \operatorname{add} \mathbf{N} * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2]
$$

Lemma 4.4. Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P}) \cap(\mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[t] * \mathcal{H}[t+1])$ for some $t$ with $0 \leq t \leq 3$, where $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[2-t]$ (and $\mathcal{H}=0$ for $t=3$ ). Then for each $r$ with $0 \leq r \leq \min \{t+1,3\}$, there is an object $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r} \in C(\mathbf{P})$ such that

$$
\operatorname{pd} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X})_{B} \leq \operatorname{pd} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r}\right)_{B}+r
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r} \in \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[t-r] * \mathcal{H}[t+1-r] * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[3-r] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2]
$$

where $\mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[t-r]$ is taken to be 0 when $r=t+1$ and $\mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[3-r] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2]$ is taken to be 0 when $r=0$.
Proof. Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{0}=\mathbf{X}$. Then $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{0}$ satisfies the conditions in the lemma. Assume that $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r-1}$ satisfying the conditions. By

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r-1} \in \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[t-(r-1)] * \mathcal{H}[t+1-(r-1)] * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[3-(r-1)] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2]
$$

there is a triangle

$$
\mathbf{X}_{r} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{0} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_{r}[1]
$$

 The inclusion follows from Lemma 1.2, Applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},-)$ to this triangle, we have a long exact sequence
$\cdots \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}_{r}[i]\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}_{0}[i]\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r-1}[i]\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}_{r}[i+1]\right) \rightarrow \cdots$
Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r-1}[i]\right)=0$ for $i \neq 0$ by $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r-1} \in C(\mathbf{P}), \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}_{r}[1]\right)=0$ by $\mathbf{X}_{r} \in \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] *$ $\mathcal{P}[2]$, and also $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}_{0}[i]\right)=0$ for $i<-1$ by $\mathbf{P}$ being 2 -term, we have a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}_{r}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}_{0}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r-1}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}_{r}[i]\right)=0 \text { for } i<-1
$$

Then $\operatorname{pd} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r-1}\right)_{B} \leq \operatorname{pd} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}_{r}\right)_{B}+1$ and by Lemma4.3, there is an object $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r} \in C(\mathbf{P})$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r}\right)_{B} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}_{r}\right)_{B}$ and
$\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r} \in \operatorname{add} \mathbf{X}_{r} * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2] \subset \mathcal{P}_{*} \mathcal{P}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[t-r] * \mathcal{H}[t+1-r] * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[3-r] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[1] * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2]$.

Now we prove the main result in this section.
Theorem 4.5. If gl.dim $A \leq 2$, then gl.dim $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}) \leq 7$ for any 2-term silting complex $\mathbf{P}$ in $K^{b}(\operatorname{proj} A)$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P})$. Then by Lemma 2.3, we have that $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \mathcal{P}[2] * \mathcal{P}[3]$. By Lemma 4.4, (taking $t=3, r=2$ and hence $\mathcal{H}=0$ ), there is an $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}} \in C(\mathbf{P})$ such that $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}} \in$ $\mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[1] * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2]$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{pd~}_{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X})_{B} \leq \operatorname{pd} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{X}})_{B}+2 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there is a triangle

$$
\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[1]
$$

with $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1]$ and $\mathbf{Z} \in \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]} * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[1]$. Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},-)$ to this triangle, we have a long exact sequence

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Z}[i]) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Y}[i]) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}[i]) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Z}[i+1]) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}[i])=0$ for $i \neq 0$ by $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}} \in C(\mathbf{P})$, and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Z}[i])=0$ for $i \neq-1,0$ by $\mathbf{Z} \in C(\mathbf{P}) * C(\mathbf{P})[1]$, we have a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}) \rightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Y}[i])=0 \text { for } i<-1
$$

Then we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{pd~}_{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{X}})_{B} \leq \max \left\{\operatorname{pd} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Y})_{B}, \operatorname{pd} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Z})_{B}+1\right\} .10 .} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z} \in \mathcal{D}^{\geq-1}(\mathbf{P})$. By Lemma 4.3, there are objects $\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}} \in C(\mathbf{P})$ such that:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2] & \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}} \in \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]} * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[1] * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2] \\
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{B}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Y})_{B} & \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}})_{B} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Z})_{B}
\end{array}
$$

By Lemma 4.4, (taking $t=1, r=2$ and $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2]$ ), there is an object $\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P})$ such that $\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]} * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[1] * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2]$ and
 (8) and (9), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{pd~} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X})_{B} & \leq \operatorname{pd} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{X}})_{B}+2 \\
& \leq \max \left\{\operatorname{pd}_{\operatorname{Hom}}^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Y})_{B}, \operatorname{pd}_{\left.\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Z})_{B}+1\right\}+2}\right. \\
& =\max \left\{\operatorname{pd} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{Y}})_{B}, \operatorname{pd} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}})_{B}+1\right\}+2 \\
& \leq \max \left\{\operatorname{pd} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{Y}^{\prime}}\right)_{B}+2, \operatorname{pd}_{\left.\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}})_{B}+1\right\}+2}\right. \\
& \leq 7 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5. Examples

5.1. First example. We first give an example to show that the bound in Theorem 3.3 is possible. Let $n \geq 2$ and $A=\mathbf{k} Q / I$ where $Q$ is the following quiver

and the ideal $I$ is generated by $c_{1} d_{1}-c_{2} d_{2}, a_{i+1} a_{i}$ and $b_{i} b_{i+1}, 1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then gl.dim $A=n$. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be the direct sum of the following complexes in $K^{b}(\operatorname{proj} A)$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n+2, i \neq 2} P_{2 i} \\
P_{4} & \longrightarrow & P_{2} \\
P_{1} & \longrightarrow & P_{3} \\
\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n+2, i \neq 2} P_{2 i-1} & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
$$

It is easily verified that $\mathbf{P}$ is a 2-term silting complex. The quiver of the endomorphism ring $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P})$ is the Dynkin quiver of type $A_{2 n+4}$ :

with the relations $a_{i+1} a_{i}=0, b_{i} b_{i+1}=0,1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $a_{0} c b_{0}=0$. Hence the global dimension of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P})$ is $2 n+2$.
5.2. Second example. The next example shows that 7 is a possible value for the global dimension of the endomorphism algebra of a 2-term silting complex over an algebra of global dimension two. Let $A=\mathbf{k} Q / I$ with $Q$ the following quiver

and $I$ the ideal generated by $a_{1} a_{2}, a_{3} a_{4} a_{6}$ and $a_{7} a_{8}$. Then $A$ has global dimension two, and the complex $\mathbf{P}$ given by the direct sum of the complexes

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=5,7,8} P_{i} \\
P_{6} & \longrightarrow & P_{5} \\
P_{4} & \longrightarrow & P_{3} \\
\bigoplus_{i=1,2,4} P_{i} & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
$$

is a 2-term silting complex. It is easily verified, that the quiver of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P})$ is a linearly oriented Dynkin quiver of type $A_{8}$ and the ideal of relation equals the square of the Jacobson radical. Hence the global dimension of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P})$ is 7 .
5.3. Third example. The last example shows that there is no bound on the global dimension of the endomorphism algebra of a 2-silting object over an algebra with global dimension $d \geq 3$. This example then completes the proof of Theorem 0.1 .

Let first $A=\mathbf{k} Q / I$ where the quiver $Q$ is

and $I=\langle b a, b d, a b c, d e\rangle$. The indecomposable projective $A$-modules are

$$
P_{1}=1, \quad P_{2}=1 \underset{2}{2} 4, \quad P_{3}=\underset{1}{3}, \quad P_{4}=\underset{1}{2} \underset{2}{4}{ }_{2}^{4} .
$$

The integers here denote the corresponding simples, and the notation indicates the radical filtration. The global dimension of $A$ is 3 . Let $\mathbf{P}$ be the direct sum of

$$
\mathbf{P}_{i}=\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P_{i} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots, \quad i=1,3,4
$$

(concentrated in degree -1 ) and

$$
\mathbf{P}_{2}=\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P_{1} \oplus P_{3} \oplus P_{4} \xrightarrow{p} P_{2} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots
$$

where $p$ is a projective presentation of $S_{2}$. Then it is easily verified that $\mathbf{P}$ is a 2-term silting complex.

By Proposition 1.1(b) we have that $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P})=\operatorname{Fac} H^{0}(\mathbf{P})$, and hence $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P})=\operatorname{add} S_{2}$. We will show the projective dimension of $S_{2}$ in $C(\mathbf{P})$ is infinite, by proving that its third syzygy equals $S_{2}$. This implies that a minimal projective resolution of $S_{2}$ is periodic and hence infinite.

Using the notation in Section2, we have that $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{1}=\mathbf{P}_{1}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{3}=\mathbf{P}_{3}$. Moreover $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{4}=\left(P_{4} / S_{2}\right)[1]$, and $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{2}$ is given by the complex

$$
\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P_{1} \oplus P_{3} \oplus\left(P_{4} / S_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{P}} P_{2} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots
$$

Consider now the triangle

$$
\operatorname{cone}(\pi)[-1] \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{2} \xrightarrow{\pi} S_{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{cone}(\pi)
$$

where $\pi$ is

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lcccccccc}
\cdots \rightarrow 0 & \rightarrow & P_{1} \oplus P_{3} \oplus\left(P_{4} / S_{2}\right) & \rightarrow & P_{2} & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & \cdots \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & \pi^{0} \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
\cdots & \rightarrow 0 & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & S_{2} & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow
\end{array}\right]
$$

with $\pi^{0}$ being a projective cover of $S_{2}$ in $\bmod A$.
Then $H^{0}(\operatorname{cone}(\pi)[-1])=0, H^{-1}(\operatorname{cone}(\pi)[-1]) \cong H^{-1}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P})$ and $H^{i}(\operatorname{cone}(\pi)[-1])=0$ for $i \neq-1,0$. So cone $(\pi)[-1]$ is in $C(\mathbf{P})$, using Proposition [1.1(d). Hence $\pi$ is a projective cover of $S_{2}$ in $C(\mathbf{P})$ and cone $(\pi)[-1]$ is its kernel in $C(\mathbf{P})$.

Note that cone $(\pi)[-1] \cong H^{-1}(\operatorname{cone}(\pi)[-1]) \cong H^{-1}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{2}\right) \cong P_{1} \oplus M$, where $M={ }_{1}^{2} 34 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P})$. Consider the triangle

$$
\operatorname{cone}\left(\pi_{1}\right)[-1] \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{1} \oplus \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{3} \oplus \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{4} \xrightarrow{\pi_{1}} M[1] \rightarrow \operatorname{cone}\left(\pi_{1}\right)
$$

where $\pi_{1}$ is

$$
\begin{array}{lcccccccc}
\cdots \rightarrow 0 & \rightarrow & P_{1} \oplus P_{3} \oplus\left(P_{4} / S_{2}\right) & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & \cdots \\
\downarrow & & \pi_{1}^{-1} \downarrow & & & \downarrow & & \\
\cdots & \rightarrow & \rightarrow & M & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & \cdots
\end{array}
$$

with $\pi_{1}^{-1}$ being the unique (up to a scalar) right minimal homomorphism from $P_{1} \oplus P_{3} \oplus\left(P_{4} / S_{2}\right)$ to $M$. Then $H^{0}\left(\operatorname{cone}\left(\pi_{1}\right)[-1]\right) \cong S_{2} \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P}), H^{-1}\left(\operatorname{cone}\left(\pi_{1}\right)[-1]\right) \cong{ }_{1}^{2} \oplus M \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P})$ (since $\left.\operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(S_{2},{ }_{1}^{2}\right)=0\right)$ and $H^{i}\left(\operatorname{cone}\left(\pi_{1}\right)[-1]\right)=0$ for $i \neq-1,0$. So cone $\left(\pi_{1}\right)[-1] \in C(\mathbf{P})$, hence $\pi_{1}$ is a projective cover of $M[1]$ in $C(\mathbf{P})$ and cone $\left(\pi_{1}\right)[-1]$ is its kernel.

Now consider the triangle

$$
\operatorname{cone}\left(\pi_{2}\right)[-1] \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{2} \xrightarrow{\pi_{2}} \operatorname{cone}\left(\pi_{1}\right)[-1] \rightarrow \operatorname{cone}\left(\pi_{2}\right)
$$

where $\pi_{2}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P_{1} \oplus P_{3} \oplus\left(P_{4} / S_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi_{1}^{-1}} \quad M \quad \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\pi_{2}^{-1}$ being the identity map and $\pi_{2}^{0}$ being a projective cover of $M$ in $\bmod A$. Then we have $H^{0}\left(\operatorname{cone}\left(\pi_{2}\right)[-1]\right) \cong S_{2} \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P})$ and $H^{i}\left(\operatorname{cone}\left(\pi_{1}\right)[-1]\right)=0$ for $i \neq 0$. Hence cone $\left(\pi_{2}\right)[-1] \cong S_{2} \in$ $C(\mathbf{P})$ and we have a short exact sequence in $C(\mathbf{P})$ :

$$
0 \rightarrow S_{2} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{2} \xrightarrow{\pi_{2}}\left(\operatorname{cone}\left(\pi_{1}\right)[-1] \rightarrow 0\right.
$$

Thus, the projective resolution of $S_{2}$ in $C(\mathbf{P})$ is periodic and hence the projective dimension is infinite. Therefore, also the global dimension of $B$ is infinite, by Proposition 1.1(e).

Now, for any $n$ consider the quiver $Q_{n}$ given by

with relations $I_{n}=\left\langle b a, b d, a b c_{0}, d e, c_{0} c_{1}, c_{1} c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n-1} c_{n}\right\rangle$. Consider the algebra $A(n)=k Q_{n} / I_{n}$. We leave it as an exercise to check that $A(n)$ has global dimension $n+3$, and to find a 2 -term silting complex $\mathbf{P}^{\prime}$, such that $\operatorname{End}_{D^{b}(A(n))}\left(\mathbf{P}^{\prime}\right)$ has infinite global dimension.
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