ENDOMORPHISM ALGEBRAS OF 2-TERM SILTING COMPLEXES

ASLAK BAKKE BUAN AND YU ZHOU

ABSTRACT. We study possible values of the global dimension of endomorphism algebras of 2-term silting complexes. We show that for any algebra *A* whose global dimension gl.dim $A \le 2$ and any 2-term silting complex **P** in the bounded derived category $D^b(A)$ of *A*, the global dimension of $\operatorname{End}_{D^b(A)}(\mathbf{P})$ is at most 7. We also show that for each n > 2, there is an algebra *A* with gl.dim A = n such that $D^b(A)$ admits a 2-term silting complex **P** with gl.dim $\operatorname{End}_{D^b(A)}(\mathbf{P})$ infinite.

INTRODUCTION

Let *A* be a finite dimensional algebra over a field *k*. Let *T* be a (classical) tilting module in the category mod *A* of finite dimensional right *A*-modules; that is the projective dimension pd *T* is at most 1, we have $\text{Ext}_A^1(T, T) = 0$ and there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \rightarrow 0$ with T_1, T_2 in add *T*, the additive closure of *T*. Let $B = \text{End}_A(T)$. Then, it is a well-known fact (see for example [8, III, Section 3.4] for a more general statement) that gl.dim $B \leq$ gl.dim A + 1, where gl.dim *A* denotes the global dimension of *A*.

In this paper we investigate to which extent this generalizes to the following setting. We now consider a 2-term silting complex **P** in the bounded homotopy category of finitely generated projective *A*-modules, $K^b(\text{proj }A)$. This is just a map between projective *A*-modules, considered as a complex, with the property that $\text{Hom}_{K^b(\text{proj }A)}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}[1]) = 0$ where [1] denotes the shift functor, and such that **P** generates $K^b(\text{proj }A)$ as a triangulated category. Note that $K^b(\text{proj }A)$ can be considered to be a full triangulated subcategory of the derived category $D^b(A)$.

The concept of silting complexes originated from [11], and has more recently been studied by many authors, often motivated by combinatorial aspects related to mutations, as in [2]. Moreover, the case of 2-term silting is of particular interest, see e.g. [1], [4] and [12].

In the setting of 2-term silting, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 0.1. Let $B = \operatorname{End}_{D^b(A)}(\mathbf{P})$, for a 2-term silting complex \mathbf{P} in $K^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$. Then the following hold.

- (a) If gl.dim A = 1, then gl.dim $B \le 3$.
- (b) If gl.dim A = 2, then gl.dim $B \le 7$.

Moreover, for each n > 2, there is an algebra A, with gl.dim A = n, such that $K^b(\text{proj } A)$ admits a 2-term silting complex **P** with gl.dim $\text{End}_{D^b(A)}(\mathbf{P}) = \infty$.

Note that the projective presentation of a tilting *A*-module *T* as defined above, gives rise to a 2-term silting complex \mathbf{P}_T in $K^b(\text{proj } A)$, and that we have an isomorphism of algebras $\text{End}_A(T) \cong \text{End}_{D^b(A)}(\mathbf{P}_T)$.

The situation in part (a) was studied in [6]. In this case *B* is a called a *silted algebra*, and it was proved that silted algebras are so-called shod algebras [7], in particular this implies that gl.dim $B \le 3$, by [9].

This work was supported by FRINAT grant number 231000, from the Norwegian Research Council. Support by the Institut Mittag-Leffler (Djursholm, Sweden) is gratefully acknowledged.

The main body of this paper is a proof of (b), an example that the global dimension of B actually can be 7 in this case, and a class of examples that justifies the last statement of Theorem 0.1.

We also prove that with a stronger assumption on \mathbf{P} , we actually get that gl.dim *B* is bounded by gl.dim *A*. More precisely, we show the following.

Theorem 0.2. With the above notation, and assuming in addition that $pd H^0(\mathbf{P}) \le 1$, we have gl.dim $B \le 2(gl.dim A) + 2$.

In the first section, we recall some notation and background concerning 2-term silting complexes and their endomorphism algebras. In the second section, we prove some preliminary general results. Then, in Section 3 and 4, we prove respectively Theorem 0.2 and Theorem 0.1, while in the last section, we give some examples.

1. BACKGROUND AND NOTATION

Let *A* be a finite dimensional algebra with gl.dim A = d. Then $K^b(\text{proj } A) = D^b(A) := \mathcal{D}$. Let **P** be a 2-term silting complex in \mathcal{D} and let $B = \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P})$. We recall some classical notation (see e.g. [3]) and some results from [5], which will be used freely in the remaining of the paper.

Recall that a pair of subcategories (X, \mathcal{Y}) of mod *A*, is called a *torsion pair*, if the following hold:

- Hom_A(X, Y) = 0 if and only if Y is in \mathcal{Y} , and

- Hom_A(X, \mathcal{Y}) = 0 if and only if X is in \mathcal{X} .

For a given torsion pair (X, \mathcal{Y}) and an object M in mod A, there is a (unique) exact sequence

$$0 \to tM \to M \to M/tM \to 0$$

with tM in X and M/tM in Y. This is called the *canonical sequence* of M. Furthermore, for an A-module X we let add X denote the additive closure of X in mod A, and we let Fac X denote the full subcategory of all quotients of modules in add X. The first notion is also used for a complex X in \mathcal{D} .

For a 2-term silting complex \mathbf{P} , consider the full subcategories of mod A given by

- $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P}) = \{X \in \text{mod} A \mid \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, X[1]) = 0, \text{ and } \}$

- $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P}) = \{Y \in \text{mod} A \mid \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, Y) = 0.$

Furthermore, let $B = \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P})$. The following summarizes results from [5] which will be essential later in this paper.

Proposition 1.1. Let **P** be a 2-term silting complex in $K^b(\text{proj } A)$. Then the following hold.

- (a) The pair $(\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P}), \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P}))$ is a torsion pair in mod A.
- (b) $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P}) = \operatorname{Fac} H^0(\mathbf{P}).$
- (c) The category $C(\mathbf{P}) = {\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{D} | \text{Hom}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}[i]) = 0 \text{ for } i \neq 0}$ is an abelian category with short exact sequences coinciding with the triangles in \mathcal{D} whose vertices are in $C(\mathbf{P})$.
- (d) Let **X** be in \mathcal{D} . Then we have that **X** is in $C(\mathbf{P})$ if and only if $H^0(\mathbf{X})$ is in $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P})$, $H^{-1}(\mathbf{X})$ is in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P})$ and $H^i(\mathbf{X}) = 0$ for $i \neq -1, 0$.
- (e) $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, -): C(\mathbf{P}) \to \operatorname{mod} B$ is an equivalence of (abelian) categories.

For full subcategories X and \mathcal{Y} of \mathcal{D} , we let $X * \mathcal{Y}$ denote the full subcategory of \mathcal{D} with objects Z appearing in a triangle

$$X \to Z \to Y \to X[1]$$

with X in X and Y in \mathcal{Y} . It follows from the octahedral axiom that we have $(X * \mathcal{Y}) * \mathcal{Z} = X * (\mathcal{Y} * \mathcal{Z})$, for three full subcategories X, \mathcal{Y} and \mathcal{Z} . The subcategory X is called *extension*

closed if X * X = X. We will need the following fact, which follows from [10, Propositions 2.1 and 2.4].

Lemma 1.2. Let X_i be subcategories of \mathcal{D} , with $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(X_i, X_j) = 0 = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(X_i, X_j[1])$ for i < j. Then $X_1 * X_2 * \cdots * X_n$ is closed under extensions and direct summands.

2. Preliminaries

Now, fix a 2-term silting complex **P** in $K^b(\text{proj } A)$, and let $\mathcal{P} = \text{add } \mathbf{P}$. In this section we include some general observations on projective objects and projective dimensions in $C(\mathbf{P})$.

For each \mathbf{P}_0 in \mathcal{P} , given by $P_0^{-1} \xrightarrow{p_0} P_0^0$, consider the canonical exact sequence of $H^{-1}(\mathbf{P}_0)$ relative to the torsion pair $(\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P}), \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P}))$:

$$0 \to tH^{-1}(\mathbf{P}_0) \to H^{-1}(\mathbf{P}_0) \to H^{-1}(\mathbf{P}_0)/tH^{-1}(\mathbf{P}_0) \to 0.$$

So $tH^{-1}(\mathbf{P}_0)$ is a submodule of P_0^{-1} and we denote by $\pi: P_0^{-1} \to P_0^{-1}/tH^{-1}(\mathbf{P}_0)$ the canonical epimorphism. Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_0$ be the complex $P_0^{-1}/tH^{-1}(\mathbf{P}_0) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{p}_0} P_0^0$, where \widetilde{p}_0 is the unique homomorphism such that the diagram



commutes.

Let $\mathcal{P}_C = \mathcal{P} \cap C(\mathbf{P})$.

Lemma 2.1. Let \mathbf{P}_0 be in \mathcal{P} . Then \mathbf{P}_0 is in \mathcal{P}_C if and only if $\mathbf{P}_0 \cong \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_0$.

Proof. We have by definition that $\mathbf{P}_0 \cong \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_0$ if and only if $tH^{-1}(\mathbf{P}_0) = 0$ if and only if $H^{-1}(\mathbf{P}_0)$ is in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P})$ if and only if Hom $(\mathbf{P}, H^{-1}(\mathbf{P}_0)) = 0$. It is straightforward to check that Hom $(\mathbf{P}, H^{-1}(\mathbf{P}_0)) = 0$ if and only if Hom $(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}_0[-1]) = 0$. Moreover, we have that Hom $(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}_0[-1]) = 0$ if and only if \mathbf{P}_0 is in $C(\mathbf{P})$, and the statement follows from this.

Lemma 2.2. *With notation as above, the following hold.*

(a) There is a triangle in \mathcal{D} :

 $tH^{-1}(\mathbf{P})[1] \to \mathbf{P} \to \widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \to tH^{-1}(\mathbf{P})[2].$

(b) The object $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ is a projective generator for $C(\mathbf{P})$.

Proof. The triangle in (a) exists by the construction of \mathbf{P} .

Note that $H^0(\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}) = H^0(\mathbf{P})$ is in $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P})$ and $H^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}) = H^{-1}(\mathbf{P})/tH^{-1}(\mathbf{P})$ is in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P})$. Then by Proposition 1.1 (d), we have $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \in C(\mathbf{P})$. Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, -)$ to this triangle yields an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{P})\cong\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{P})$$

as *B*-modules. Now (b) follows from Proposition 1.1 (e).

For any integer *i*, we let $\mathcal{D}^{\leq i}(\mathbf{P}) = {\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{D} \mid \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}[j]) = 0 \text{ for } j > i}$, and we let $\mathcal{D}^{\geq i}(\mathbf{P}) = {\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{D} \mid \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}[j]) = 0 \text{ for } j < i}.$

Lemma 2.3. With notation as above, we have: $C(\mathbf{P}) \subset \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[d+1]$.

Proof. By [2, Proposition 2.23], we have

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P}) \subset \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}(\mathbf{P}) \subset \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[l-1] * \mathcal{P}[l]$$

for some l > 0. For any **M** in $C(\mathbf{P})$, by Proposition 1.1 (d), we have $H^i(\mathbf{M}) = 0$ for $i \neq -1, 0$. So there is a complex **X** of projective *A*-modules, which is equivalent to **M**, and such that $H^i(\mathbf{X}) = 0$ for i > 0 or i < -d - 1. So

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{P}[i]) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{P}[i]) = 0, \ i \ge d+2,$$

which implies that **M** is in $\mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[d+1]$.

Lemma 2.4. For a complex **X** in $C(\mathbf{P}) \cap (\mathcal{P}_C * \mathcal{P}_C[1] * \cdots \mathcal{P}_C[m])$ for some $m \ge 0$, we have pd Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X})_{$B \le m$}.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{X}_0 = \mathbf{X}$. There are triangles

$$\mathbf{X}_{i+1} \to \mathbf{O}_i \xrightarrow{s_i} \mathbf{X}_i \to \mathbf{X}_{i+1}[1], \quad 0 \le i \le m-1$$

where \mathbf{O}_i is in \mathcal{P}_C and \mathbf{X}_i is in $\mathcal{P}_C * \mathcal{P}_C[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}_C[m-i]$. Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}[i]) = 0$ for all i > 0, we have that g_i is a right \mathcal{P} -approximation of \mathbf{X}_i . By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 (b), each \mathbf{O}_i is projective in $C(\mathbf{P})$. Assume that \mathbf{X}_i is in $C(\mathbf{P})$ for some $0 \le i \le m - 1$. Then, since g_i is a right \mathcal{P} -approximation and \mathbf{O}_i is projective in $C(\mathbf{P})$, we have that g_i is an epimorphism in $C(\mathbf{P})$. So \mathbf{X}_{i+1} is the kernel of g_i , by Proposition 1.1 (c). Note that $\mathbf{X}_0 \in C(\mathbf{P})$. Then by induction on i, we have that $\mathbf{X}_i \in C(\mathbf{P})$ for all $0 \le i \le m$ and

 $\operatorname{pd}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}_i)_B \leq \operatorname{pd}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}_{i+1})_B + 1.$

Therefore $\operatorname{pd} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X})_B \leq \operatorname{pd} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}_m)_B + m = m$ since $\mathbf{X}_m \in \mathcal{P}_C$ is projective in $C(\mathbf{P})$.

We end this section by considering the following special case. Recall from [13], that a 2-term silting complex **P** in $K^b(\text{proj } A)$ is a *tilting complex* if $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}[-1]) = 0$.

Proposition 2.5. If the 2-term silting complex **P** is a tilting complex, then $gl.dim End_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}) \leq gl.dim A + 1$.

Proof. If **P** is tilting, then **P** is in $C(\mathbf{P})$. So we infer that $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_C$. It follows from Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 that gl.dim End_{\mathcal{D}}(**P**) \leq gl.dim A + 1.

Note that the classical situation (as in [8, III, section 3.4]) where \mathbf{P} is the projective resolution of a classical tilting module, is covered by this result.

3. The partial tilting case

Throughout this section, we assume that $\operatorname{pd} H^0(\mathbf{P})_A \leq 1$, that is: $H^0(\mathbf{P})$ is a partial tilting *A*-module. Then we have that $Q = H^{-1}(\mathbf{P})$ is projective as an *A*-module, and $\mathbf{P} \cong H^0(\mathbf{P}) \oplus Q[1]$. Consider the canonical exact sequence of Q relative to the torsion pair $(\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P}), \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P}))$:

$$0 \to tQ \to Q \to Q/tQ \to 0$$

As before, we let d = gl.dim A. We first prove two technical lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. With the above notation, we have

$$tQ \in \operatorname{add} H^0(\mathbf{P}) * \operatorname{add} H^0(\mathbf{P})[1] * \cdots * \operatorname{add} H^0(\mathbf{P})[d-1].$$

Proof. We first note that $tQ \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P})$, so by definition $\operatorname{Hom}_{D^b(A)}(\mathbf{P}, tQ[i]) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. In particular, we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{D^b(A)}(Q[1], tQ[i]) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. For i = 0, since both Q and tQ are A-modules, we also have that $\operatorname{Hom}_{D^b(A)}(Q[1], tQ) = 0$. It follows from $T(\mathbf{P}) \in C(\mathbf{P})$ that by Proposition 1.1, we have $tQ \in \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[d+1]$. Therefore, using $\mathbf{P} \cong H^0(\mathbf{P}) \oplus Q[1]$, we get that tQ is in add $H^0(\mathbf{P}) * \operatorname{add} H^0(\mathbf{P})[1] * \cdots * \operatorname{add} H^0(\mathbf{P})[d+1]$. By the canonical sequence of Q, we have $\operatorname{pd}(tQ)_A \leq \operatorname{pd}(Q/tQ)_A - 1 \leq d-1$. Hence, it follows that $\operatorname{Hom}(tQ, \mathbf{P}[d]) = 0 = \operatorname{Hom}(tQ, \mathbf{P}[d+1])$. The claim of the lemma follows.

Lemma 3.2. With the above notation, we have $C(\mathbf{P}) \subset \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[d] * \text{add } H^0(\mathbf{P})[d+1]$.

Proof. Using that $\mathbf{P} \cong H^0(\mathbf{P}) \oplus Q[1]$ in combination with Lemma 2.3, we only need to prove that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{X}, Q[d+2]) = 0$ for $\mathbf{X} \in C(\mathbf{P})$. This follows from $\operatorname{pd} H^i(\mathbf{X})_A \leq d$ for i = -1, 0 and $H^i(\mathbf{X}) = 0$ for $i \neq -1, 0$.

We can now prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.3. If $pd(H^0(\mathbf{P}))_A \le 1$, then $gl.dim B \le 2 gl.dim A + 2$.

Proof. Let **X** be an object in $C(\mathbf{P})$ with

$$\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{P} * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[i] * \operatorname{add} H^0(\mathbf{P})[i+1] * \cdots * \operatorname{add} H^0(\mathbf{P})[d+1]$$

for some $0 \le i \le d$. Then there is a triangle

$$\mathbf{X}_1 \to \mathbf{E} \xrightarrow{s_{\mathbf{X}}} \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{X}_1[1]$$

where $g_{\mathbf{X}}$ is a right \mathcal{P} -approximation of \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{X}_1 is in

$$\mathcal{P} * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[i-1] * add H^0(\mathbf{P})[i] * \cdots * add H^0(\mathbf{P})[d].$$

Then Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(**P**, $g_{\mathbf{X}}$) is an epimorphism and Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(**P**, **E**) is projective in mod *B*.

Recall that $Q = H^{-1}(\mathbf{P})$. Then, by Lemma 2.2 there is a triangle

$$F[1] \to \mathbf{E} \to \widetilde{\mathbf{E}} \to F[2]$$

where *F* is in add $tQ \subset \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P}) \subset C(\mathbf{P})$ and **E** is projective in $C(\mathbf{P})$. So $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F[1], \mathbf{X}) = 0$ since $\mathbf{X} \in C(\mathbf{P})$. It follows that the map $g_{\mathbf{X}}$ factors through the map $\mathbf{E} \to \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}$. Then, by the octahedral axiom, we have the following commutative diagram of triangles:



Then we have that

$$\mathbf{X}' \in \operatorname{add} \mathbf{X}_1 * \operatorname{add} F[2] \subset \left(\mathcal{P} * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[i-1] * \operatorname{add} H^0(\mathbf{P})[i] * \cdots * \operatorname{add} H^0(\mathbf{P})[d]\right) \times \left(\operatorname{add} H^0(\mathbf{P}) * \cdots * \operatorname{add} H^0(\mathbf{P})[d-1]\right)[2] = \left(\mathcal{P} * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[i-1] * \operatorname{add} H^0(\mathbf{P})[i] * \cdots * \operatorname{add} H^0(\mathbf{P})[d]\right) * \operatorname{add} H^0(\mathbf{P})[d+1]$$

where the inclusion is due to Lemma 3.1, and the equality follows from

$$\mathcal{P} * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[i-1] * \operatorname{add} H^0(\mathbf{P})[i] * \cdots * \operatorname{add} H^0(\mathbf{P})[d]$$

being closed under extensions by Lemma 1.2. Applying $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, -)$ to the above diagram, we obtain a commutative diagram



Using that the map $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, g_{\mathbf{X}})$ is an epimorphism in mod *B*, it follows that the map $\widetilde{g}_{\mathbf{X}}$ is an epimorphism in $C(\mathbf{P})$. Then \mathbf{X}' is the kernel of $\widetilde{g}_{\mathbf{X}}$ in $C(\mathbf{P})$. Hence

pd Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(**P**, **X**)_{*B*} \leq pd Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(**P**, **X**')_{*B*} + 1.

Using induction on *i* and Lemma 3.2, we have that for $\mathbf{X} \in C(\mathbf{P})$, there is \mathbf{X}' such that

(1)
$$\mathbf{X}' \in C(\mathbf{P}) \cap \left(\text{add } H^0(\mathbf{P}) * \text{add } H^0(\mathbf{P})[1] * \cdots * \text{add } H^0(\mathbf{P})[d+1] \right)$$

and pd Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X})_{*B*} \leq pd Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}')_{*B*} + *d* + 1. By Lemma 2.4 and equation (1), we have pd Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}')_{*B*} \leq *d* + 1. It then follows that pd Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X})_{*B*} \leq 2*d* + 2, and hence gl.dim *B* \leq 2*d* + 2.

4. The case of global dimension 2.

In this section, we consider the case when gl.dim $A \le 2$. Our aim is to prove part (b) of Theorem 0.1, stating that in this case we have that the global dimension is at most 7 for the endomorphism algebra of any 2-term silting complex.

We prepare by showing four technical lemmas. Let $\mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]} = (\mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1]) \cap C(\mathbf{P})$.

Lemma 4.1. If **X** is in $\mathcal{P}_C^{[0,1]}$, then pd Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(**P**, **X**)_{*B*} ≤ 1 .

Proof. Since **X** is in $\mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1]$, there is a triangle $\mathbf{O}_1 \to \mathbf{O}_0 \to \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{O}_1[1]$ with $\mathbf{O}_0, \mathbf{O}_1 \in \mathcal{P}$. Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, -)$ to this triangle, we get a long exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}[-1]) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{O}_{1}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{O}_{0}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{O}_{1}[1])$$

where the first term is zero since **X** is in $C(\mathbf{P})$, and the last term is zero since $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}[1]) = 0$. Therefore, $\text{pd} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X})_B \leq 1$.

Lemma 4.2. If **X** is in $C(\mathbf{P}) \cap (\mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]} * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[1] * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2])$, then $\operatorname{pd} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X})_{B} \leq 3$.

Proof. By $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]} * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[1] * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2]$, there are triangles

$$\mathbf{L} \to \mathbf{D}_1 \to \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{L}[1]$$

and

$$\mathbf{D}_3 \to \mathbf{D}_2 \to \mathbf{L} \to \mathbf{D}_3[1]$$

with $\mathbf{D}_1, \mathbf{D}_2, \mathbf{D}_3 \in \mathcal{P}_C^{[0,1]}$ and $\mathbf{L} \in \mathcal{P}_C^{[0,1]} * \mathcal{P}_C^{[0,1]}[1] \subset \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \mathcal{P}[2]$. Applying Hom_{\mathcal{D}}($\mathbf{P}, -$) to triangle (2), we obtain a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{X}[-2]) &\to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{L}[-1]) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{D}_{1}[-1]) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{X}[-1]) \\ &\to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{L}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{D}_{1}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{X}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{L}[1]). \end{aligned}$$

We have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}[i]) = 0$ for i = -1 or i = -2, since **X** is in $C(\mathbf{P})$. Furthermore, we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{D}_1[-1]) = 0$, by $\mathbf{D}_1 \in C(\mathbf{P})$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{L}[1]) = 0$ by $\mathbf{L} \in \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \mathcal{P}[2]$. From this it follows that we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{L}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{D}_1) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}) \to 0$$

and that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{L}[-1]) = 0$. Using this short exact sequence, it follows that

(4)
$$\operatorname{pd}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X})_B \le \max\{\operatorname{pd}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{D}_1)_B, \operatorname{pd}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{L})_B + 1\}.$$

Applying Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(**P**, –) to the triangle (3), we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{L}[-1]) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{D}_3) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{D}_2) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{L}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{D}_3[1])$$

where the last term is zero due to $\mathbf{D}_3 \in \mathcal{P}_C^{[0,1]}$. As above, we obtain that

(5)
$$\operatorname{pd}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{L})_B \le \max\{\operatorname{pd}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{D}_2)_B,\operatorname{pd}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{D}_3)_B+1\}.$$

Now, combining the inequalities (4) and (5) with Lemma 4.1, we obtain $pd \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X})_B \leq 3$.

Lemma 4.3. If **N** is in $\mathcal{D}^{\geq -1}(\mathbf{P}) \cap (\mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \mathcal{P}[2])$, then there is an object $\widetilde{\mathbf{N}} \in C(\mathbf{P})$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{N}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{N}})$ as B-modules and $\widetilde{\mathbf{N}} \in \operatorname{add} \mathbf{N} * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2]$.

Proof. Since $(\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}(\mathbf{P}), \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}(\mathbf{P}))$ is a *t*-structure (see [12, Lemma 5.10]), there is a triangle

$$\mathbf{M} \to \mathbf{N} \to \mathbf{N} \to \mathbf{M}[1]$$

with $\mathbf{M} \in \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}(\mathbf{P})[1]$ and $\mathbf{\widetilde{N}} \in \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}(\mathbf{P})$. Then $\mathbf{M} \in \mathcal{P}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[l]$ for some *l* by [2, Proposition 2.23]. Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, -)$ to the triangle (6), we have a long exact sequence

 $\cdots \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{M}[i]) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{N}[i]) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{N}}[i]) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{M}[i+1]) \to \cdots$

Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{M}[i]) = 0$ for $i \ge 0$ by $\mathbf{M} \in \mathcal{P}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[l]$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{N}}[i]) = 0$ for i < 0 by $\widetilde{\mathbf{N}} \in \mathcal{D}^{\ge 0}(\mathbf{P})$, and also $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{N}[i]) = 0$ for $i \neq -1, 0$ by the assumption $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{D}^{\ge -1}(\mathbf{P}) \cap (\mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \mathcal{P}[2])$, we have that

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{N}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{N}})$

as B-modules,

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{N}[i]) = 0, \text{ for } i > 0,$$

and

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{M}[i])=0,\,\text{for}\,\,i<-1.$

Thus, we obtain $\widetilde{\mathbf{N}} \in \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}(\mathbf{P}) \cap \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}(\mathbf{P}) = C(\mathbf{P})$ and $\mathbf{M} \in \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}(\mathbf{P})[1] \cap \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}(\mathbf{P})[1] = C(\mathbf{P})[1]$. Then by Lemma 2.3, we have $\widetilde{\mathbf{N}} \in \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \mathcal{P}[2] * \mathcal{P}[3]$. Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(-, \mathbf{P})$ to the triangle (6), we obtain a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{P}[i]) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{P}[i]) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{P}[i]) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{P}[i+1]) \to \cdots$$

We have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{P}[i]) = 0$ for i > 2 by $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \mathcal{P}[2]$, and we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{N}}, \mathbf{P}[i]) = 0$ for i > 3 by $\widetilde{\mathbf{N}} \in \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \mathcal{P}[2] * \mathcal{P}[3]$. From this it follows that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{P}[i]) = 0$ for i > 2, and hence $\mathbf{M} \in (\mathcal{P}[1] * \mathcal{P}[2]) \cap C(\mathbf{P})[1] = \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[1]$. Therefore we have that

$$\mathbf{N} \in \operatorname{add} \mathbf{N} * \operatorname{add} \mathbf{M}[1] \subset \operatorname{add} \mathbf{N} * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2].$$

Lemma 4.4. Let $\mathbf{X} \in C(\mathbf{P}) \cap (\mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[t] * \mathcal{H}[t+1])$ for some t with $0 \le t \le 3$, where $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[2-t]$ (and $\mathcal{H} = 0$ for t = 3). Then for each r with $0 \le r \le \min\{t+1,3\}$, there is an object $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_r \in C(\mathbf{P})$ such that

$$\operatorname{pd}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{X})_B \leq \operatorname{pd}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{X}_r)_B + r$$

and

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_r \in \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[t-r] * \mathcal{H}[t+1-r] * \mathcal{P}_C^{[0,1]}[3-r] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}_C^{[0,1]}[2]$$

where $\mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[t-r]$ is taken to be 0 when r = t+1 and $\mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[3-r] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2]$ is taken to be 0 when r = 0.

Proof. Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_0 = \mathbf{X}$. Then $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_0$ satisfies the conditions in the lemma. Assume that $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r-1}$ satisfying the conditions. By

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r-1} \in \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \dots * \mathcal{P}[t - (r-1)] * \mathcal{H}[t+1 - (r-1)] * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[3 - (r-1)] * \dots * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2],$$

there is a triangle

 $\mathbf{X}_r \to \mathbf{P}_0 \to \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r-1} \to \mathbf{X}_r[1]$

with $\mathbf{P}_0 \in \mathcal{P}, \mathbf{X}_r \in \mathcal{P} * \cdots * \mathcal{P}[t-r] * \mathcal{H}[t+1-r] * \mathcal{P}_C^{[0,1]}[3-r] * \cdots * \mathcal{P}_C^{[0,1]}[1] \subset \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \mathcal{P}[2]$. The inclusion follows from Lemma 1.2. Applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, -)$ to this triangle, we have a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}_{r}[i]) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}_{0}[i]) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}_{r-1}[i]) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}_{r}[i+1]) \to \cdots$$

Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r-1}[i]) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$ by $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r-1} \in C(\mathbf{P})$, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}_{r}[1]) = 0$ by $\mathbf{X}_{r} \in \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \mathcal{P}[2]$, and also $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}_{0}[i]) = 0$ for i < -1 by **P** being 2-term, we have a short exact sequence

 $0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}_{r}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}_{0}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r-1}) \to 0$

and

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}_{r}[i]) = 0 \text{ for } i < -1.$$

Then pd Hom_{\mathcal{D}}($\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r-1}$)_B \leq pd Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}_r)_B+1 and by Lemma 4.3, there is an object $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_r \in C(\mathbf{P})$ such that Hom_{\mathcal{D}}($\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_r$)_B \cong Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}_r)_B and

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r} \in \operatorname{add} \mathbf{X}_{r} \ast \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2] \subset \mathcal{P} \ast \mathcal{P}[1] \ast \cdots \ast \mathcal{P}[t-r] \ast \mathcal{H}[t+1-r] \ast \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[3-r] \ast \cdots \ast \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[1] \ast \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2].$$

Now we prove the main result in this section.

Theorem 4.5. If gl.dim $A \le 2$, then gl.dim $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}) \le 7$ for any 2-term silting complex \mathbf{P} in $K^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{X} \in C(\mathbf{P})$. Then by Lemma 2.3, we have that $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \mathcal{P}[2] * \mathcal{P}[3]$. By Lemma 4.4, (taking t = 3, r = 2 and hence $\mathcal{H} = 0$), there is an $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}} \in C(\mathbf{P})$ such that $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}} \in \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[1] * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2]$, and

(7)
$$\operatorname{pd}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{X})_B \le \operatorname{pd}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},\widetilde{\mathbf{X}})_B + 2.$$

Then there is a triangle

$$\mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Y} \to \widetilde{\mathbf{X}} \to \mathbf{Z}[1]$$

with $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1]$ and $\mathbf{Z} \in \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]} * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[1]$. Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, -)$ to this triangle, we have a long exact sequence

 $\cdots \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Z}[i]) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Y}[i]) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}[i]) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Z}[i+1]) \to \cdots$

Since Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(**P**, $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}[i]$) = 0 for $i \neq 0$ by $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}} \in C(\mathbf{P})$, and Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(**P**, **Z**[i]) = 0 for $i \neq -1, 0$ by $\mathbf{Z} \in C(\mathbf{P}) * C(\mathbf{P})[1]$, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Y}[i]) = 0 \text{ for } i < -1.$$

Then we have that

(8)
$$\operatorname{pd}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X})_B \le \max\{\operatorname{pd}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Y})_B, \operatorname{pd}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Z})_B + 1\}$$

and $\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z} \in \mathcal{D}^{\geq -1}(\mathbf{P})$. By Lemma 4.3, there are objects $\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}} \in C(\mathbf{P})$ such that:

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P} * \mathcal{P}[1] * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2] \qquad \qquad \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}} \in \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]} * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[1] * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2]$$

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{Y}}_B) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Y})_B \qquad \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}})_B \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Z})_B$$

By Lemma 4.4, (taking t = 1, r = 2 and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2]$), there is an object $\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}'} \in C(\mathbf{P})$ such that $\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}'} \in \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]} * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[1] * \mathcal{P}_{C}^{[0,1]}[2]$ and

(9)
$$\operatorname{pd}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Y})_B \le \operatorname{pd}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Y}')_B + 2.$$

By Lemma 4.2, we have $\operatorname{pd} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}})_B \leq 3$ and $\operatorname{pd} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{Y}'})_B \leq 3$. Hence, combining (7), (8) and (9), we obtain

$$pd \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X})_{B} \leq pd \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X})_{B} + 2$$

$$\leq \max\{pd \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Y})_{B}, pd \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Z})_{B} + 1\} + 2$$

$$= \max\{pd \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{Y}})_{B}, pd \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}})_{B} + 1\} + 2$$

$$\leq \max\{pd \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{Y}'})_{B} + 2, pd \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}})_{B} + 1\} + 2$$

$$\leq 7.$$

		L
L		

5. Examples

5.1. First example. We first give an example to show that the bound in Theorem 3.3 is possible. Let $n \ge 2$ and $A = \mathbf{k}Q/I$ where Q is the following quiver

$$2n + 3 \xrightarrow{a_n} \cdots \xrightarrow{a_3} 7 \xrightarrow{a_2} 5 \xrightarrow{a_1} 3$$

$$2n + 4 \xrightarrow{b_n} \cdots \xrightarrow{b_3} 8 \xrightarrow{b_2} 6 \xrightarrow{b_1} 4 \xrightarrow{d_1} 1$$

and the ideal *I* is generated by $c_1d_1 - c_2d_2$, $a_{i+1}a_i$ and b_ib_{i+1} , $1 \le i \le n-1$. Then gl.dim A = n. Let **P** be the direct sum of the following complexes in $K^b(\text{proj } A)$:

It is easily verified that **P** is a 2-term silting complex. The quiver of the endomorphism ring $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P})$ is the Dynkin quiver of type A_{2n+4} :

$$2n + 3 \xrightarrow{a_n} \cdots \xrightarrow{a_3} 7 \xrightarrow{a_2} 5 \xrightarrow{a_1} 3 \xrightarrow{a_0} 1$$
$$2n + 4 \xrightarrow{b_n} \cdots \xrightarrow{b_3} 8 \xrightarrow{b_2} 6 \xrightarrow{b_1} 4 \xrightarrow{b_0} 2$$

with the relations $a_{i+1}a_i = 0$, $b_ib_{i+1} = 0$, $1 \le i \le n-1$ and $a_0cb_0 = 0$. Hence the global dimension of End_D(**P**) is 2n + 2.

5.2. Second example. The next example shows that 7 is a possible value for the global dimension of the endomorphism algebra of a 2-term silting complex over an algebra of global dimension two. Let $A = \mathbf{k}Q/I$ with Q the following quiver

$$1 \xrightarrow{a_1} 2 \xrightarrow{a_2} 3 \xrightarrow{a_3} 4 \xrightarrow{a_4} 5 \xrightarrow{a_6} 6 \xrightarrow{a_7} 7 \xrightarrow{a_8} 8$$

and *I* the ideal generated by a_1a_2 , $a_3a_4a_6$ and a_7a_8 . Then *A* has global dimension two, and the complex **P** given by the direct sum of the complexes

is a 2-term silting complex. It is easily verified, that the quiver of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P})$ is a linearly oriented Dynkin quiver of type A_8 and the ideal of relation equals the square of the Jacobson radical. Hence the global dimension of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{P})$ is 7.

5.3. Third example. The last example shows that there is no bound on the global dimension of the endomorphism algebra of a 2-silting object over an algebra with global dimension $d \ge 3$. This example then completes the proof of Theorem 0.1.

Let first $A = \mathbf{k}Q/I$ where the quiver Q is

$$3 \xrightarrow{a} 2 \xrightarrow{e} 4$$

and $I = \langle ba, bd, abc, de \rangle$. The indecomposable projective A-modules are

$$P_1 = 1, P_2 = 1\frac{2}{3}4, P_3 = \frac{3}{2}, P_4 = \frac{4}{1\frac{2}{3}4}.$$

The integers here denote the corresponding simples, and the notation indicates the radical filtration. The global dimension of A is 3. Let **P** be the direct sum of

$$\mathbf{P}_i = \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P_i \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots, i = 1, 3, 4,$$

(concentrated in degree -1) and

$$\mathbf{P}_2 = \cdots \to 0 \to P_1 \oplus P_3 \oplus P_4 \xrightarrow{p} P_2 \to 0 \to \cdots$$

where p is a projective presentation of S_2 . Then it is easily verified that **P** is a 2-term silting complex.

By Proposition 1.1(b) we have that $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P}) = \text{Fac } H^0(\mathbf{P})$, and hence $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P}) = \text{add } S_2$. We will show the projective dimension of S_2 in $C(\mathbf{P})$ is infinite, by proving that its third syzygy equals S_2 . This implies that a minimal projective resolution of S_2 is periodic and hence infinite.

Using the notation in Section 2, we have that $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_1 = \mathbf{P}_1$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_3 = \mathbf{P}_3$. Moreover $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_4 = (P_4/S_2)[1]$, and $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_2$ is given by the complex

$$\cdots \to 0 \to P_1 \oplus P_3 \oplus (P_4/S_2) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{p}} P_2 \to 0 \to \cdots$$

Consider now the triangle

$$\operatorname{cone}(\pi)[-1] \to \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_2 \xrightarrow{\pi} S_2 \to \operatorname{cone}(\pi)$$

where π is

with π^0 being a projective cover of S_2 in mod A.

Then $H^0(\operatorname{cone}(\pi)[-1]) = 0$, $H^{-1}(\operatorname{cone}(\pi)[-1]) \cong H^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_2) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P})$ and $H^i(\operatorname{cone}(\pi)[-1]) = 0$ for $i \neq -1, 0$. So $\operatorname{cone}(\pi)[-1]$ is in $C(\mathbf{P})$, using Proposition 1.1 (d). Hence π is a projective cover of S_2 in $C(\mathbf{P})$ and $\operatorname{cone}(\pi)[-1]$ is its kernel in $C(\mathbf{P})$.

Note that $\operatorname{cone}(\pi)[-1] \cong H^{-1}(\operatorname{cone}(\pi)[-1]) \cong H^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_2) \cong P_1 \oplus M$, where $M = \frac{2}{134} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P})$. Consider the triangle

$$\operatorname{cone}(\pi_1)[-1] \to \mathbf{P}_1 \oplus \mathbf{P}_3 \oplus \mathbf{P}_4 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} M[1] \to \operatorname{cone}(\pi_1)$$

where π_1 is

with π_1^{-1} being the unique (up to a scalar) right minimal homomorphism from $P_1 \oplus P_3 \oplus (P_4/S_2)$ to M. Then $H^0(\operatorname{cone}(\pi_1)[-1]) \cong S_2 \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P})$, $H^{-1}(\operatorname{cone}(\pi_1)[-1]) \cong {}^2_1 \oplus M \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P})$ (since $\operatorname{Hom}_A(S_2, {}^2_1) = 0$) and $H^i(\operatorname{cone}(\pi_1)[-1]) = 0$ for $i \neq -1, 0$. So $\operatorname{cone}(\pi_1)[-1] \in C(\mathbf{P})$, hence π_1 is a projective cover of M[1] in $C(\mathbf{P})$ and $\operatorname{cone}(\pi_1)[-1]$ is its kernel.

Now consider the triangle

$$\operatorname{cone}(\pi_2)[-1] \to \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \operatorname{cone}(\pi_1)[-1] \to \operatorname{cone}(\pi_2)$$

where π_2 is

with π_2^{-1} being the identity map and π_2^0 being a projective cover of *M* in mod *A*. Then we have $H^0(\operatorname{cone}(\pi_2)[-1]) \cong S_2 \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{P})$ and $H^i(\operatorname{cone}(\pi_1)[-1]) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. Hence $\operatorname{cone}(\pi_2)[-1] \cong S_2 \in C(\mathbf{P})$ and we have a short exact sequence in $C(\mathbf{P})$:

$$0 \to S_2 \to \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} (\operatorname{cone}(\pi_1)[-1] \to 0.$$

Thus, the projective resolution of S_2 in $C(\mathbf{P})$ is periodic and hence the projective dimension is infinite. Therefore, also the global dimension of *B* is infinite, by Proposition 1.1 (e).

Now, for any *n* consider the quiver Q_n given by

$$3 \xrightarrow{a} 2 \xrightarrow{e} 4$$

$$\downarrow c_0$$

$$1_0 \xrightarrow{c_1} 1_1 \xrightarrow{c_2} 1_2 \xrightarrow{c_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{c_n} 1_n$$

with relations $I_n = \langle ba, bd, abc_0, de, c_0c_1, c_1c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}c_n \rangle$. Consider the algebra $A(n) = kQ_n/I_n$. We leave it as an exercise to check that A(n) has global dimension n + 3, and to find a 2-term silting complex **P**', such that $\operatorname{End}_{D^b(A(n))}(\mathbf{P}')$ has infinite global dimension.

References

- [1] T. Adachi, O. Iyama and I. Reiten, τ -tilting theory, Compos. Math. 150 (2015), no. 3, 415–452.
- [2] T. Aihara and O. Iyama, Silting mutation in triangulated categories, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 85 (2012), no. 3, 633–668.
- [3] I. Assem, D. Simson and A. Skowronski, *Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras. Vol. 1. Techniques of Representation Theory*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 65. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [4] T. Brüstle and D. Yang, Ordered Exchange Graphs, Advances in representation theory of algebras, 135–193, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2013.
- [5] A. B. Buan and Y. Zhou, A silting theorem, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 220 (2016), no. 7, 2748–2770.
- [6] A. B. Buan and Y. Zhou, Silted algebras, preprint arXiv:1506.03649.
- [7] F. U. Coelho and M. A. Lanzilotta, *Algebras with small homological dimensions*, Manuscripta Mathematica 100 (1999) 1–11.
- [8] D. Happel, Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite dimensional algebras, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 119, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [9] D. Happel, I. Reiten and S. O. Smalø, *Tilting in abelian categories and quasitilted algebra*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1996), no. 575.
- [10] O. Iyama and Y. Yoshino, Mutation in triangulated categories and rigid Cohen-Macaulay modules, Invent. Math. 172 (2008), no. 1, 117–168.
- [11] B. Keller and D. Vossieck, Aisles in derived categories, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Sr. A 40 (1988), no. 2, 239–253.
- [12] S. Koenig and D. Yang, Silting objects, simple-minded collections, t-structures and co-t-structures for finitedimensional algebras, Doc. Math. 19 (2014), 403–438.
- [13] J. Rickard, Morita theory for derived categories, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 39 (1989), no. 3, 436–456.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES NORWEGIAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY 7491 TRONDHEIM NOR-WAY

E-mail address: aslakb@math.ntnu.no

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES NORWEGIAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY 7491 TRONDHEIM NOR-WAY

E-mail address: yu.zhou@math.ntnu.no