## Concavity of Perelman's $\mathcal{W}$ -functional over the space of Kähler potentials

## NEFTON PALI

## Abstract

In this short note we observe that the concavity of Perelman's Wfunctional over a neighborhood of a Kähler-Ricci soliton inside the space of Kähler potentials is a direct consequence of author's solution of the variational stability problem for Kähler-Ricci solitons. Independently, we provide a rather simple proof of this fact based on some elementary formulas obtained in our previous work.

Let  $(X, J, \omega)$  be a compact Kähler-Ricci soliton and let  $\omega_t := \omega + i\partial\overline{\partial}\varphi_t$  be a family of Kähler metrics with  $\varphi_0 = 0$ . We denote by  $f_t$  the unique function such that  $i\partial\overline{\partial}f_t = \omega_t - \operatorname{Ric}(\omega_t)$  and  $\int_X e^{-f_t}\omega_t^n = n!$ . We consider the Riemannian metric  $g_t := -\omega_t J$  and the positive volume form  $\Omega_t := e^{-f_t}\omega_t^n/n!$ . We observe that Perelman's  $\mathcal{W}$ -functional [Per] satisfies the identity  $\mathcal{W}(g_t, \Omega_t) = 2 \int_X f_t \Omega_t$ . The monotony of this quantity along the Kähler-Ricci flow was discovered in [Pal1]. The inequality

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\Big|_{t=0}\mathcal{W}(g_t,\Omega_t)\leqslant 0,\tag{1}$$

follows immediately form the existence of an identification map  $\omega_t \longleftrightarrow J_t \equiv$  complex structure compatible with  $\omega$  (see lemma 30 in [Pal2]), from the diffeomorphism invariance of  $\mathcal{W}$  and from author's solution of the variational stability problem for Kähler-Ricci solitons [Pal2, Pal3]. These facts imply also that the equality hold in (1) if and only if  $\dot{\varphi}_0 = \psi + \bar{\psi}$  with  $(\nabla_{g_0} \psi)_J^{1,0}$  holomorphic vector field (See proposition 2 and lemma 22 in [Pal2]).

We provide now an independent proof of the inequality (1) and of the identification of the kernel of the left hand side. The first variation of the quantity  $\mathcal{W}_t := \mathcal{W}(g_t, \Omega_t)$  follows form a computation quite similar to one given in section 4 of [Pal1]. We include it here for readers convenience. We introduce the  $\Omega$ -divergence operator acting on vector fields  $\xi$  as

$$\operatorname{div}^{\Omega} \xi := \frac{d(\xi \neg \Omega)}{\Omega}.$$

We define the weighted real Laplacian  $\Delta_g^{\Omega} u := -\operatorname{div}^{\Omega} \nabla_g u$ , acting on functions u. We can assume without loss of generality that the potential  $\varphi_t$  is normalized in a way that  $\int_X \dot{\varphi}_t \Omega_t \equiv 0$ . (Indeed we can replace the potential  $\varphi_t$  with  $\tilde{\varphi}_t = \varphi_t - \int_0^t ds \int_X \dot{\varphi}_s \Omega_s$ ). We consider now the function

$$\dot{\Omega}_t^* := \dot{\Omega}_t / \Omega_t = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{g_t} \dot{\varphi}_t - \dot{f}_t.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Time deriving the normalizing condition  $\int_X \Omega_t \equiv 1$  we obtain

$$\int_X \dot{\Omega}_t^* \Omega_t \equiv 0. \tag{3}$$

Time deriving the identity  $\omega_t = \operatorname{Ric}(\Omega_t)$  we obtain  $i\partial\overline{\partial}\dot{\varphi}_t = -i\partial\overline{\partial}\dot{\Omega}_t^*$ . This combined with the normalization of  $\varphi_t$  and with (3) implies

$$\dot{\varphi}_t = -\dot{\Omega}_t^*. \tag{4}$$

Using (4) and (2) we obtain

$$2\dot{f}_t = -\Delta_{g_t} \dot{\varphi}_t + 2\dot{\varphi}_t. \tag{5}$$

We deduce the identities

$$\begin{split} \dot{\mathcal{W}}_t &= 2 \int_X \dot{f}_t \Omega_t + 2 \int_X f_t \dot{\Omega}_t^* \Omega_t \\ &= - \int_X \Delta_{g_t} \dot{\varphi}_t - 2 \int_X f_t \dot{\varphi}_t \Omega_t \\ &= \int_X \left( \langle \nabla_{g_t} \dot{\varphi}_t, \nabla_{g_t} f_t \rangle_{g_t} - 2 f_t \dot{\varphi}_t \right) \Omega_t \\ &= \int_X \left( \Delta_{g_t}^{\Omega_t} f_t - 2 f_t \right) \dot{\varphi}_t \Omega_t \\ &= \int_X \left( \Delta_{g_t}^{\Omega_t} f_t - 2 f_t + \mathcal{W}_t \right) \dot{\varphi}_t \Omega_t. \end{split}$$

We set  $g := g_0$ ,  $\Omega := \Omega_0$  and  $f := f_0$ . It is well known (see for example [Pal2]) that the Kähler-Ricci soliton condition is equivalent to the equation

$$\Delta_q^{\Omega} f - 2f + \mathcal{W}_0 = 0.$$

We deduce

$$\begin{split} \ddot{\mathcal{W}}_0 &= \int_X \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \left( \Delta_{g_t}^{\Omega_t} f_t - 2f_t + \mathcal{W}_t \right) \dot{\varphi}_0 \Omega \\ &= \int_X \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \left( \Delta_{g_t}^{\Omega_t} f_t - 2f_t \right) \dot{\varphi}_0 \Omega, \end{split}$$

thanks to the normalizing condition on  $\varphi_t$ . We notice now the following elementary identity obtained in [Pal2] section 3.2

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Delta_{g_t}^{\Omega_t} f_t = \operatorname{div}^{\Omega_t} \left( \dot{g}_t^* \nabla_{g_t} f_t \right) - \left\langle \nabla_{g_t} \dot{\Omega}_t^*, \nabla_{g_t} f_t \right\rangle_{g_t} + \Delta_{g_t}^{\Omega_t} \dot{f}_t,$$

where  $\dot{g}_t^* := g_t^{-1} \dot{g}_t = \omega_t^{-1} i \partial \overline{\partial} \dot{\varphi}_t = \partial_{T_{X,J}}^{g_t} \nabla_{g_t} \dot{\varphi}_t$ . We infer

$$2\frac{d}{dt}\Delta_{g_t}^{\Omega_t} f_t = 2\operatorname{div}^{\Omega_t} \left(\partial_{T_{X,J}}^{g_t} \nabla_{g_t} \dot{\varphi}_t \cdot \nabla_{g_t} f_t\right) + 2\left\langle \nabla_{g_t} \dot{\varphi}_t, \nabla_{g_t} f_t \right\rangle_{g_t} + \Delta_{g_t}^{\Omega_t} \left(2\dot{\varphi}_t - \Delta_{g_t} \dot{\varphi}_t\right),$$

thanks to (4) and (5). Applying now the complex weighted Bochner type identity (13.3) in [Pal2] with  $\Omega = \omega^n/n!$  we obtain

$$2\partial_{T_{X,J}}^{*_{g_t}}\partial_{T_{X,J}}^{g_t}\nabla_{g_t}\dot{\varphi}_t = \nabla_{g_t}\Delta_{g_t}\dot{\varphi}_t,$$

and thus  $2 \operatorname{div}^{\Omega_t} \partial_{T_{X,J}}^{*_{g_t}} \partial_{T_{X,J}}^{g_t} \nabla_{g_t} \dot{\varphi}_t = -\Delta_{g_t}^{\Omega_t} \Delta_{g_t} \dot{\varphi}_t$ . We deduce

$$2\frac{d}{dt}\Delta_{g_t}^{\Omega_t}f_t = 2\operatorname{div}^{\Omega_t}\partial_{T_{X,J}}^{*g_t,\Omega_t}\partial_{T_{X,J}}^{g_t}\nabla_{g_t}\dot{\varphi}_t + 2\left\langle\nabla_{g_t}\dot{\varphi}_t,\nabla_{g_t}f_t\right\rangle_{g_t} + 2\Delta_{g_t}^{\Omega_t}\dot{\varphi}_t, \quad (6)$$

where  $\partial_{T_{X,J}}^{*g_t,\Omega_t}$  is the adjoint of  $\partial_{T_{X,J}}^{g_t}$  with respect to the volume form  $\Omega_t$ . The proof of the identity (13.3) in [Pal2] shows that at a Kähler-Ricci soliton point  $(J,\omega)$  hold the identity

$$2\operatorname{div}^{\Omega}\partial_{T_{X,J}}^{*_{g,\Omega}}\partial_{T_{X,J}}^{g}\nabla_{g} = -\left(\Delta_{g}^{\Omega}\right)^{2} - \left(B_{g,J}^{\Omega}\right)^{2},\tag{7}$$

where  $B_{g,J}^{\Omega}u := \operatorname{div}^{\Omega}(J\nabla_g u) = g(\nabla_g u, J\nabla_g f)$ . We consider now the complex weighted Laplacian

$$\Delta^{\Omega}_{g,J} = \Delta^{\Omega}_g - iB^{\Omega}_{g,J}.$$

In the Kähler-Ricci soliton case hold the identity  $[\Delta_g^{\Omega}, B_{g,J}^{\Omega}] = 0$ , (see (15.6) in [Pal2]). This combined with (7) implies

$$2\operatorname{div}^{\Omega}\partial_{T_{X,J}}^{*_{g,\Omega}}\partial_{T_{X,J}}^{g}\nabla_{g} = -\Delta_{g,J}^{\Omega}\overline{\Delta_{g,J}^{\Omega}}.$$

Using this and the identities (6) and (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \left( \Delta_{g_t}^{\Omega_t} f_t - 2f_t \right) &= \left( 2\Delta_g^{\Omega} + 2 - \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{g,J}^{\Omega} \overline{\Delta_{g,J}^{\Omega}} \right) \dot{\varphi}_0 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} P_{g,J}^{\Omega} \dot{\varphi}_0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $P_{g,J}^{\Omega} := (\Delta_{g,J}^{\Omega} - 2\mathbb{I})\overline{(\Delta_{g,J}^{\Omega} - 2\mathbb{I})}$  is a non-negative self-adjoint real elliptic operator with respect to the  $L_{\Omega}^2$ -hermitian product (see [Pal2, Pal3]). We deduce

$$\ddot{\mathcal{W}}_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \int_X P^{\Omega}_{g,J} \dot{\varphi}_0 \cdot \dot{\varphi}_0 \Omega \leqslant 0.$$

This is a particular case of Proposition 2 in [Pal2]. The equality hold if and only if  $\dot{\varphi}_0 = \psi + \bar{\psi}$  with  $(\nabla_{g_0} \psi)_J^{1,0}$  holomorphic vector field thanks to lemma 22 in [Pal2].

**Remark 1** Notice that the stability result over the space of Kähler potentials does not allow to deduce the general solution in [Pal2, Pal3]. This is because in the non Kähler-Einstein case (it has been pointed out in [Pal2] that in this case the solution is trivial), the tangent space of the embedding of the space of Kähler potentials inside the space of complex structures compatible with  $\omega$  is not orthogonal and it has positive dimensional intersection with the tangent space to the symplectic orbit. Furthermore the understanding of the orthogonal behavior of the endomorphism Hessian of  $\mathcal{W}$  in restriction to such spaces is out of reach without using the general solution in [Pal2, Pal3].

Acknowledgment. We invite the readers to compare our note with a quite recent preprint [Fon].

## References

- [Fon] FONG, F., Boltzmann's Entropy and Kähler-Ricci Solitons, arXiv:1605.08019, (2016).
- [Pal1] PALI, N., Characterization of Einstein-Fano manifolds via the Kähler-Ricci flow, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 57, (2008), no. 7, 3241-3274, arXiv:math/0607581v2, (2006).
- [Pal2] PALI, N., The Soliton-Ricci Flow vith variable volume forms, Complex Manifolds, Vol 3, Issue 1, (2016), 41-144, arXiv:1406.0806, (2014).
- [Pal3] PALI, N., Variational stability of Kähler-Ricci solitons, Advances in Mathematics 290, (2016), 15-35.
- [Per] PERELMAN, G., The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications, arXiv:math/0211159.

Nefton Pali Université Paris Sud, Département de Mathématiques Bâtiment 425 F91405 Orsay, France E-mail: nefton.pali@math.u-psud.fr