

FEDOSOV DG MANIFOLDS ASSOCIATED WITH LIE PAIRS

MATHIEU STIÉNON AND PING XU

Dedicated to the memory of our colleague and friend John Roe

ABSTRACT. Given any pair (L, A) of Lie algebroids, we construct a differential graded manifold $(L[1] \oplus L/A, Q)$, which we call Fedosov dg manifold. We prove that the cohomological vector field Q constructed on $L[1] \oplus L/A$ by the Fedosov iteration method arises as a byproduct of the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt map established in [18]. Finally, using the homological perturbation lemma, we establish a quasi-isomorphism of Dolgushev–Fedosov type: the differential graded algebras of functions on the dg manifolds $(A[1], d_A)$ and $(L[1] \oplus L/A, Q)$ are homotopy equivalent.

CONTENTS

Introduction	2
Terminology and notations	4
1. Preliminaries	5
1.1. Lie algebroids and Lie pairs	5
1.2. Chevalley–Eilenberg differentials, connections, and representations	6
1.3. Torsion-free connections	8
1.4. Universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebroid	8
1.5. Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt isomorphisms	9
2. Fedosov dg manifolds for Lie pairs	10
2.1. First construction by way of the PBW map	10
2.2. Second construction by way of Fedosov’s iteration method	11
2.3. Equivalence of the two constructions	15
3. Dolgushev–Fedosov quasi-isomorphisms	20
3.1. Contraction of the Fedosov dg manifold	20
3.2. Matched pairs	21
4. Application: the ‘formal exponential map’ of Emmerich–Weinstein	24
Appendix A. Homological perturbation	25
Acknowledgements	26
References	27

Research partially supported by NSF grants DMS-1707545, DMS-1406668 and DMS-1101827, and NSA grant H98230-14-1-0153.

INTRODUCTION

Fedosov resolutions — we call them Fedosov dg manifolds later in the paper — played a key role in globalizing Kontsevich’s formality theorem to smooth manifolds [9]. One can expect deformation quantization of geometric objects other than smooth manifolds to require the development of analogues of Fedosov resolutions for these other geometric objects. The leaf space of a foliation on a smooth manifold is one instance of such other geometric objects. In general, the leaf space may not be a smooth manifold — it is in a certain sense a noncommutative manifold. However, it can be considered as a particular example of Lie pair.

By a Lie pair (L, A) , we mean an inclusion $A \hookrightarrow L$ of Lie algebroids over a smooth manifold M . Lie pairs arise naturally in a number of areas of mathematics such as Lie theory, complex geometry, and foliation theory. For instance, a complex manifold X determines a Lie pair over \mathbb{C} with $L = T_X \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $A = T_X^{0,1}$. A foliation \mathcal{F} on a smooth manifold M determines a Lie pair over \mathbb{R} : this time L is the tangent bundle to M and A is the integrable distribution $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ on M tangent to the foliation \mathcal{F} . A \mathfrak{g} -manifold also gives rise to a Lie pair in natural way [20].

The purpose of this paper is to construct analogues of Fedosov resolutions for Lie pairs. More precisely, for any Lie pair (L, A) , we present two equivalent constructions of a dg manifold, called Fedosov dg manifold, and we establish a quasi-isomorphism of Dolgushev–Fedosov type. The first construction relies on the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt map introduced in [18], a generalized symmetrization map, while the second construction is based on Fedosov’s iteration method.

Given a Lie pair (L, A) , the quotient L/A is naturally an A -module [8]. When L is the tangent bundle to a manifold M and A is an integrable distribution on M , the infinitesimal A -action on L/A reduces to the classical Bott flat connection [5]. In [18], together with Laurent-Gengoux, we showed that, for any Lie pair over \mathbb{R} , each choice of (1) a splitting of the short exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow L \rightarrow L/A \rightarrow 0$$

and (2) an L -connection ∇ on L/A extending the Bott A -connection determines an exponential map

$$\exp : L/A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{A}.$$

Here \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{A} are local Lie groupoids corresponding to the Lie algebroids L and A , respectively. Considering the (fiberwise) infinite-order jet of this exponential map, we obtained an isomorphism of filtered R -coalgebras (with $R = C^\infty(M)$)

$$\text{pbw} : \Gamma(S(L/A)) \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)},$$

which we called Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt map. In particular, if L is a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and A is the trivial Lie algebra of dimension 0, there exists a natural choice of connection and the resulting pbw map is precisely the symmetrization map $S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. These PBW maps arising from Lie pairs admit an explicit recursive characterization valid for Lie pairs over any field \mathbb{k} of characteristic zero and not just \mathbb{R} . Hence these PBW maps can be considered as algebraic formal exponential maps.

Transferring the canonical infinitesimal action of L on the coalgebra $\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}$ — this is an infinitesimal action by coderivations — through the map pbw, we obtain a *flat* L -connection ∇^\sharp on $S(L/A)$:

$$\nabla_l^\sharp(s) = \text{pbw}^{-1}(l \cdot \text{pbw}(s)),$$

for all $l \in \Gamma(L)$ and $s \in \Gamma(S(L/A))$. The covariant Chevalley–Eilenberg differential

$$d_L^{\nabla^i} : \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}((L/A)^\vee)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^{\bullet+1} L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}((L/A)^\vee))$$

of the induced flat L -connection on the dual bundle $\hat{S}((L/A)^\vee)$ is a derivation of degree $(+1)$ of the algebra $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}((L/A)^\vee))$ of smooth functions on the graded manifold $L[1] \oplus L/A$. As a consequence, $(L[1] \oplus L/A, d_L^{\nabla^i})$ is a dg manifold. We prove that, when ∇ is torsion-free, the homological vector field $d_L^{\nabla^i}$ coincides with a homological vector field Q constructed by Fedosov’s iteration method. We elect to call a dg manifold $(L[1] \oplus L/A, Q)$ constructed in this way a *Fedosov dg manifold*.

It is a well known theorem of Dolgushev [9] that, for a smooth manifold M , the Fedosov dg manifold $T_M[1] \oplus T_M$ (associated with the Lie pair (L, A) where L is the tangent bundle to M and A is its trivial subbundle of rank 0) gives rise to a resolution $\Omega^\bullet(M; \hat{S}(T_M^\vee))$ of $C^\infty(M)$. Our second main theorem extends this result to Lie pairs. Note that, for a Lie pair (L, A) , the space of functions on the Fedosov dg manifold $(L[1] \oplus L/A, Q)$ is the differential graded algebra $(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)), Q)$ while the space of functions on the dg manifold $(A[1], d_A)$ is the differential graded algebra $(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee), d_A)$. We construct an explicit quasi-isomorphism of Dolgushev–Fedosov type from $(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee), d_A)$ to $(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)), Q)$. More precisely, using homological perturbation, we establish a contraction of $(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)), Q)$ onto $(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee), d_A)$:

$$(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee), d_A) \xleftarrow[\sigma]{\check{\tau}} (\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)), d_L^{\nabla^i}) \rhd_{\check{h}}.$$

As an application, we obtain an alternative proof of a theorem of Emmrich–Weinstein [11, Theorem 1.6]. Given a smooth manifold M and a torsion-free affine connection ∇ on it, Emmrich–Weinstein [11] constructed a dg manifold $(T_M[1] \oplus T_M, Q)$ using Fedosov’s iteration method (see [9, 12]). Emmrich–Weinstein [11] explained that (1) the derivation

$$Q : \Omega^\bullet(M; \hat{S}(T_M^\vee)) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet+1}(M; \hat{S}(T_M^\vee))$$

determines a formal flat (nonlinear) Ehresmann connection on some neighborhood of the zero section of $TM \rightarrow M$ and (2) the leaves of this flat Ehresmann connection are transversal to the zero section. Hence, this formal flat Ehresmann connection induces a ‘formal exponential map’ EXP — see [11, Section 7]. Emmrich–Weinstein proved that the map EXP coincides with the infinite-order jet of the geodesic exponential map \exp associated with the affine connection ∇ — see [11, Theorem 1.6]. Their proof resorted to an indirect argument involving analytic manifolds. In this paper, we present a simple and direct proof based on (1) our result that the homological vector fields Q and d^{∇^i} are equal, (2) the contraction

$$C^\infty(M) \xleftarrow[\sigma]{\check{\tau}} (\Omega^\bullet(M; \hat{S}(T_M^\vee)), d^{\nabla^i}) \rhd_{\check{h}}$$

mentioned earlier, and (3) the geometric interpretation of the PBW map described at length in [18]. Indeed, when $L = T_M$ and A is its trivial subbundle of rank 0, the map

$$\check{\tau} : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \Omega^0(M; \hat{S}(T_M^\vee))$$

is precisely the pull-back by the formal exponential map EXP studied by Emmrich–Weinstein [11].

In fact, we obtain an extension of the Emmrich–Weinstein theorem to the context of matched pairs — see Theorem 3.6. A matched pair of Lie algebroids $L = A \bowtie B$ is a Lie pair (L, A) admitting

a splitting $j : B \rightarrow L$ of the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow L \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$, whose image $j(B)$ happens to be a Lie subalgebroid of L . In the special case of matched pairs, we obtain an explicit formula for the map $\check{\tau}$ — see Equation (19) — generalizing Emmrich–Weinstein’s interpretation of $\check{\tau}$ (the pull-back by EXP in the terminology of [11]) as the infinite-order jet of an exponential map.

The Dolgushev–Fedosov type resolutions for Lie pairs, which we establish in the present work, play a crucial role in the proof of two results expounded in a subsequent work [22]: a formality theorem and a Kontsevich–Duflo type theorem for Lie pairs. While the spaces of polyvector fields and polydifferential operators on a smooth manifold both carry obvious dgla structures, there is generally no such obvious L_∞ algebra structure on either of the spaces of polyvector fields and polydifferential operators associated with a Lie pair. However, there exist natural L_∞ algebra structures on the spaces of polyvector fields and polydifferential operators on a dg foliation of the Fedosov dg manifold arising from the Lie pair. Our Dolgushev–Fedosov resolutions for Lie pairs allow for the homotopy transfer of these L_∞ structures from the Fedosov dg manifold to the Lie pair. This was done in [2], where the dg foliation of the Fedosov dg manifold is called Fedosov dg Lie algebroid. The Fedosov dg manifold construction was recently extended to \mathbb{Z} -graded manifolds by Liao–Stiénon [19] (see also [21]).

TERMINOLOGY AND NOTATIONS

Natural numbers. We use the symbol \mathbb{N} to denote the set of positive integers and the symbol \mathbb{N}_0 for the set of nonnegative integers.

Field \mathbb{k} and ring R . We use the symbol \mathbb{k} to denote the field of either real or complex numbers. The symbol R always denotes the algebra of smooth functions on M with values in \mathbb{k} .

Completed symmetric algebra. Given a module \mathcal{M} over a ring, the symbol $\hat{S}(\mathcal{M})$ denotes the m-adic completion of the symmetric algebra $S(\mathcal{M})$, where \mathfrak{m} is the ideal of $S(\mathcal{M})$ generated by \mathcal{M} .

Duality pairing. For every vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$, we define a duality pairing

$$\Gamma(\hat{S}(E^\vee)) \times \Gamma(S(E)) \rightarrow R$$

by

$$\langle \nu_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \nu_p | v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_q \rangle = \begin{cases} \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} \prod_{k=1}^p \langle \nu_k | v_{\sigma(k)} \rangle & \text{if } p = q, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Multi-indices. Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a smooth vector bundle of finite rank r , let $(\partial_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, r\}}$ be a local frame of E and let $(\chi_j)_{j \in \{1, \dots, r\}}$ be the dual local frame of E^\vee . Thus, we have $\langle \chi_i | \partial_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$. Given

a multi-index $I = (I_1, I_2, \dots, I_r) \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$, we adopt the following multi-index notations:

$$\begin{aligned} I! &= I_1! \cdot I_2! \cdots I_r! \\ |I| &= I_1 + I_2 + \cdots + I_r \\ \partial^I &= \underbrace{\partial_1 \odot \cdots \odot \partial_1}_{I_1 \text{ factors}} \odot \underbrace{\partial_2 \odot \cdots \odot \partial_2}_{I_2 \text{ factors}} \odot \cdots \odot \underbrace{\partial_r \odot \cdots \odot \partial_r}_{I_r \text{ factors}} \\ \chi^I &= \underbrace{\chi_1 \odot \cdots \odot \chi_1}_{I_1 \text{ factors}} \odot \underbrace{\chi_2 \odot \cdots \odot \chi_2}_{I_2 \text{ factors}} \odot \cdots \odot \underbrace{\chi_r \odot \cdots \odot \chi_r}_{I_r \text{ factors}} \end{aligned}$$

We use the symbol e_k to denote the multi-index all of whose components are equal to 0 except for the k -th which is equal to 1. Thus $\chi^{e_k} = \chi_k$.

Shuffles. A (p, q) -shuffle is a permutation σ of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, p+q\}$ such that

$$\sigma(1) < \sigma(2) < \cdots < \sigma(p) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(p+1) < \sigma(p+2) < \cdots < \sigma(p+q).$$

The symbol \mathfrak{S}_p^q denotes the set of (p, q) -shuffles.

Graduation shift. Given a graded vector space $V = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} V^{(k)}$, the notation $V[i]$ denotes the graded vector space obtained by shifting the grading on V according to the rule $(V[i])^{(k)} = V^{(i+k)}$. Accordingly, if $E = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} E^{(k)}$ is a graded vector bundle over M , the notation $E[i]$ denotes the graded vector bundle obtained by shifting the degree in the fibers of E according to the above rule.

Dg manifolds. A dg manifold is a \mathbb{Z} -graded manifold endowed with a homological vector field, i.e. a vector field Q of degree $(+1)$ such that $[Q, Q] = 0$. Dg manifolds are also known as Q -manifolds. For details and further references, see [1, 25, 26].

1. PRELIMINARIES

1.1. Lie algebroids and Lie pairs.

Lie algebroids. We use the symbol \mathbb{k} to denote either of the fields \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} . A *Lie algebroid* over \mathbb{k} is a \mathbb{k} -vector bundle $L \rightarrow M$ together with a bundle map $\rho : L \rightarrow T_M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{k}$ called *anchor* and a Lie bracket $[-, -]$ on sections of L such that $\rho : \Gamma(L) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M) \otimes \mathbb{k}$ is a morphism of Lie algebras and

$$[X, fY] = f[X, Y] + (\rho(X)f)Y$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(L)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{k})$. In this paper ‘Lie algebroid’ always means ‘Lie algebroid over \mathbb{k} ’ unless specified otherwise. A \mathbb{k} -vector bundle $L \rightarrow M$ is a Lie algebroid if and only if $\Gamma(L)$ is a *Lie–Rinehart algebra* [29] over the commutative ring $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{k})$.

Lie pairs. By a *Lie pair* (L, A) , we mean an inclusion $A \hookrightarrow L$ of Lie algebroids over a smooth manifold M .

Examples 1.1.

- (1) Let \mathfrak{h} be a Lie subalgebra of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Then $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is a Lie pair over the one-point manifold $\{*\}$.
- (2) Let X be a complex manifold. Then $(T_X \otimes \mathbb{C}, T_X^{0,1})$ is a Lie pair over X .
- (3) Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation on a smooth manifold M . Then $(T_M, T_{\mathcal{F}})$ is a Lie pair over M .

Matched pairs. A matched pair of Lie algebroids $L = A \bowtie B$ is a Lie pair (L, A) together with a splitting $j : B \rightarrow L$ of the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow L \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$, whose image $j(B)$ happens to be a Lie subalgebroid of L — see [23, 27, 24] for more details.

Examples 1.2.

- (1) If X is a complex manifold, then $T_X \otimes \mathbb{C} = T_X^{0,1} \bowtie T_X^{1,0}$ is a matched pair of complex Lie algebroids over X .
- (2) Let G be a Poisson Lie group and let P be a Poisson G -space, i.e. a Poisson manifold (P, π) endowed with a G -action $G \times P \rightarrow P$ which happens to be a Poisson map. According to Lu [23], the cotangent Lie algebroid $A = (T_P^\vee)_\pi$ and the transformation Lie algebroid $B = P \ltimes \mathfrak{g}$ form a matched pair of Lie algebroids over the manifold P .

1.2. Chevalley–Eilenberg differentials, connections, and representations. Let L be a Lie algebroid over a smooth manifold M , and R be the algebra of smooth functions on M valued in \mathbb{k} . The Chevalley–Eilenberg differential

$$d_L : \Gamma(\Lambda^k L^\vee) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^{k+1} L^\vee)$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (d_L \omega)(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k) &= \sum_{i=0}^n \rho(v_i) (\omega(v_0, \dots, \widehat{v}_i, \dots, v_k)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j} \omega([v_i, v_j], v_0, \dots, \widehat{v}_i, \dots, \widehat{v}_j, \dots, v_k) \end{aligned}$$

and the exterior product make $\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \Gamma(\Lambda^k L^\vee)$ into a differential graded commutative algebra.

The following proposition is an immediate consequence of the definitions.

Proposition 1.3. *Let L be a Lie algebroid and let A and B be two vector subbundles of L such that $L = A \oplus B$. Let $p : L \twoheadrightarrow A$ and $q : L \twoheadrightarrow B$ denote the canonical projections, let $p^\top : A^\vee \hookrightarrow L^\vee$ and $q^\top : B^\vee \hookrightarrow L^\vee$ denote their respective dual maps, and set*

$$\Omega^{u,v} = \Gamma(p^\top (\Lambda^u A^\vee) \wedge q^\top (\Lambda^v B^\vee)).$$

- (1) *If neither A nor B is a Lie subalgebroid of L , then*

$$d_L(\Omega^{u,v}) \subset \Omega^{u+2,v-1} \oplus \Omega^{u+1,v} \oplus \Omega^{u,v+1} \oplus \Omega^{u-1,v+2}.$$

- (2) *If A is a Lie subalgebroid of L , i.e. if (L, A) is a Lie pair, then*

$$d_L(\Omega^{u,v}) \subset \Omega^{u+1,v} \oplus \Omega^{u,v+1} \oplus \Omega^{u-1,v+2}.$$

- (3) *If both A and B are Lie subalgebroids of L , i.e. if $L = A \bowtie B$ is a matched pair, then*

$$d_L(\Omega^{u,v}) \subset \Omega^{u+1,v} \oplus \Omega^{u,v+1}.$$

Now let $E \xrightarrow{\varpi} M$ be a vector bundle over \mathbb{k} . The traditional description of a (linear) L -connection on E is in terms of a covariant derivative

$$\Gamma(L) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E) : (l, e) \mapsto \nabla_l e$$

characterized by the following two properties:

$$\nabla_{f \cdot l} e = f \cdot \nabla_l e, \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla_l(f \cdot e) = \rho(l)f \cdot e + f \cdot \nabla_l e, \quad (2)$$

for all $l \in \Gamma(L)$, $e \in \Gamma(E)$, and $f \in R$.

Remark 1.4. An L -connection ∇ on E induces a covariant derivative

$$\nabla : \Gamma(L) \times \Gamma(S(E)) \rightarrow \Gamma(S(E))$$

through the Leibniz rule

$$\nabla_l(b_1 \odot \cdots \odot b_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_1 \odot \cdots \odot b_{k-1} \odot \nabla_l b_k \odot b_{k+1} \odot \cdots \odot b_n,$$

for all $l \in \Gamma(L)$ and $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \Gamma(E)$.

Remark 1.5. A covariant derivative

$$\nabla : \Gamma(L) \times \Gamma(S(E)) \rightarrow \Gamma(S(E))$$

induces a covariant derivative

$$\nabla : \Gamma(L) \times \Gamma(\hat{S}(E^\vee)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\hat{S}(E^\vee))$$

through the relation

$$\rho(l) \langle \sigma | s \rangle = \langle \nabla_l \sigma | s \rangle + \langle \sigma | \nabla_l s \rangle$$

for all $l \in \Gamma(L)$, $s \in \Gamma(SE)$, and $\sigma \in \Gamma(\hat{S}(E^\vee))$.

A representation of a Lie algebroid L on a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ is a flat L -connection ∇ on E , i.e. a covariant derivative $\nabla : \Gamma(L) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ satisfying

$$\nabla_{a_1} \nabla_{a_2} e - \nabla_{a_2} \nabla_{a_1} e = \nabla_{[a_1, a_2]} e, \quad (3)$$

for all $a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(L)$ and $e \in \Gamma(E)$. A vector bundle endowed with a representation of the Lie algebroid L is called an L -module. More generally, given a left R -module \mathcal{M} , by an *infinitesimal action* of L on \mathcal{M} , we mean a \mathbb{k} -bilinear map $\nabla : \Gamma(L) \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, $(a, e) \mapsto \nabla_a e$ satisfying Equations (1), (2), and (3). In other words, ∇ is a representation of the Lie–Rinehart algebra $(\Gamma(L), R)$ [29].

Example 1.6 ([8]). Let (L, A) be a Lie pair. The Bott representation of A on the quotient L/A is the flat connection defined by

$$\nabla_a^{\text{Bott}} q(l) = q([a, l]), \quad \forall a \in \Gamma(A), l \in \Gamma(L),$$

where q denotes the canonical projection $L \twoheadrightarrow L/A$. Thus the quotient L/A of a Lie pair (L, A) is an A -module.

The Chevalley–Eilenberg covariant differential associated to a representation ∇ of a Lie algebroid $L \rightarrow M$ of rank n on a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ is the operator

$$d_L^\nabla : \Gamma(\Lambda^k L^\vee \otimes E) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^{k+1} L^\vee \otimes E)$$

that takes a section $\omega \otimes e$ of $\Lambda^k L^\vee \otimes E$ to

$$d_L^\nabla(\omega \otimes e) = (d_L \omega) \otimes e + \sum_{j=1}^n (\nu_j \wedge \omega) \otimes \nabla_{\nu_j} e,$$

where v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n and $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n$ are any pair of dual local frames for the vector bundles L and L^\vee . Because the connection ∇ is flat, d_L^∇ is a coboundary operator: $d_L^\nabla \circ d_L^\nabla = 0$.

1.3. Torsion-free connections. Let (L, A) be a pair of Lie algebroids over \mathbb{k} . Consider the short exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} L \xrightarrow{q} L/A \longrightarrow 0. \quad (4)$$

An L -connection ∇ on L/A is said to extend the Bott A -representation on L/A (see Example 1.6) if

$$\nabla_{i(a)} q(l) = \nabla_a^{\text{Bott}} q(l) = q([i(a), l]), \quad \forall a \in \Gamma(A), l \in \Gamma(L).$$

Given an L -connection ∇ on L/A , its torsion is the bundle map $T^\nabla : \Lambda^2 L \rightarrow L/A$ defined by

$$T^\nabla(l_1, l_2) = \nabla_{l_1} q(l_2) - \nabla_{l_2} q(l_1) - q([l_1, l_2]), \quad \forall l_1, l_2 \in \Gamma(L).$$

If ∇ is an L -connection on L/A extending the Bott A -representation on L/A , its torsion descends to a bundle map

$$\beta^\nabla : \Lambda^2(L/A) \rightarrow L/A,$$

making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda^2 L & \xrightarrow{T^\nabla} & L/A \\ q \downarrow & \nearrow \beta^\nabla & \\ \Lambda^2(L/A) & & \end{array}$$

commute. According to [18, Lemma 5.2], if ∇ is torsion-free, it must be an extension of the Bott A -representation on L/A . Torsion-free L -connections on L/A always exist — see [18, Proposition 5.3].

1.4. Universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebroid. Let L be a Lie \mathbb{k} -algebroid over a smooth manifold M and let R denote the algebra of smooth functions on M taking values in \mathbb{k} . By $\mathcal{U}(L)$ we denote the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebroid L — see [29]. There is a natural ascending filtration on $\mathcal{U}(L)$:

$$\dots \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\leq n-1}(L) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\leq n}(L) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\leq n+1}(L) \hookrightarrow \dots \quad (5)$$

When the base M of the Lie algebroid L is the one-point space so that the only fiber of the vector bundle L is a Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} , the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebroid is the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} . When the Lie algebroid L is the tangent bundle $T_M \rightarrow M$, its universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(L)$ is the algebra of differential operators on M . In general, when L is a Lie algebroid over \mathbb{R} , its universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(L)$ can canonically be identified with the algebra of source-fiberwise differential operators on \mathcal{L} invariant under left translations [7] — here \mathcal{L} is any local Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid L . Similarly, $\bigotimes_R^\bullet \mathcal{U}(L)$ can be identified with the left invariant polydifferential operators on \mathcal{L} .

The universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(L)$ of the Lie algebroid $L \rightarrow M$ is a coalgebra over R — see [30]. Its comultiplication

$$\Delta : \mathcal{U}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes_R \mathcal{U}(L)$$

is compatible with its filtration (5) and characterized by the identities

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(1) &= 1 \otimes 1; \\ \Delta(b) &= 1 \otimes b + b \otimes 1, \quad \forall b \in \Gamma(L); \\ \Delta(u \cdot v) &= \Delta(u) \cdot \Delta(v), \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{U}(L),\end{aligned}$$

where $1 \in R$ denotes the constant function on M with value 1 while the symbol \cdot denotes the multiplication in $\mathcal{U}(L)$. We refer the reader to [30] for the precise meaning of the last equation above. Explicitly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(b_1 \cdot b_2 \cdot \dots \cdot b_n) &= 1 \otimes (b_1 \cdot b_2 \cdot \dots \cdot b_n) + \sum_{\substack{p+q=n \\ p, q \in \mathbb{N}}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_p^q} (b_{\sigma(1)} \cdot \dots \cdot b_{\sigma(p)}) \otimes (b_{\sigma(p+1)} \cdot \dots \cdot b_{\sigma(n)}) \\ &\quad + (b_1 \cdot b_2 \cdot \dots \cdot b_n) \otimes 1,\end{aligned}$$

for all $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \Gamma(L)$.

1.5. Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt isomorphisms. Let (L, A) be a Lie pair over \mathbb{k} . Writing $\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)$ for the left ideal of $\mathcal{U}(L)$ generated by $\Gamma(A)$, the quotient $\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}$ is automatically a filtered R -coalgebra since

$$\Delta(\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)) \subseteq \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes_R (\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)) + (\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)) \otimes_R \mathcal{U}(L)$$

and the filtration (5) on $\mathcal{U}(L)$ descends to a filtration

$$\dots \hookrightarrow \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)} \right)^{\leq n-1} \hookrightarrow \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)} \right)^{\leq n} \hookrightarrow \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)} \right)^{\leq n+1} \hookrightarrow \dots$$

of $\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}$.

Likewise, deconcatenation defines an R -coalgebra structure on $\Gamma(S(L/A))$. The comultiplication

$$\Delta : \Gamma(S(L/A)) \rightarrow \Gamma(S(L/A)) \otimes_R \Gamma(S(L/A))$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(b_1 \odot b_2 \odot \dots \odot b_n) &= 1 \otimes (b_1 \odot b_2 \odot \dots \odot b_n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{p+q=n \\ p, q \in \mathbb{N}}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_p^q} (b_{\sigma(1)} \odot \dots \odot b_{\sigma(p)}) \otimes (b_{\sigma(p+1)} \odot \dots \odot b_{\sigma(n)}) \\ &\quad + (b_1 \odot b_2 \odot \dots \odot b_n) \otimes 1,\end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \Gamma(L/A)$. The symbol \odot denotes the symmetric product in $\Gamma(S(L/A))$.

The following theorem, which was obtained in [18], is an extension of the classical Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt isomorphism to Lie pairs.

Theorem 1.7 ([18, Theorem 2.1]). *Let (L, A) be a Lie pair. Given a splitting $j : L/A \rightarrow L$ of the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow L \rightarrow L/A \rightarrow 0$, and a L -connection ∇ on L/A extending the Bott A -representation, there exists a unique isomorphism of filtered R -coalgebras*

$$\text{pbw} : \Gamma(S(L/A)) \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}$$

satisfying

$$\text{pbw}(f) = f, \quad (6)$$

$$\text{pbw}(b) = j(b), \quad (7)$$

$$\text{pbw}(b^{n+1}) = j(b) \cdot \text{pbw}(b^n) - \text{pbw}(\nabla_{j(b)}(b^n)) \quad (8)$$

for all $f \in R$, $b \in \Gamma(L/A)$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 1.8. Equation (8) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pbw}(b_0 \odot \cdots \odot b_n) &= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n \left(j(b_i) \cdot \text{pbw}(b_0 \odot \cdots \odot \widehat{b_i} \odot \cdots \odot b_n) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \text{pbw}(\nabla_{j(b_i)}(b_0 \odot \cdots \odot \widehat{b_i} \odot \cdots \odot b_n)) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

for all $b_0, \dots, b_n \in \Gamma(L/A)$.

It is immediate that Equations (6), (7), and (9) together define inductively a unique R -linear map pbw .

The following lemma will be needed later on.

Lemma 1.9. For all Y, Z in $\Gamma(L/A)$, we have

$$\text{pbw}(Y \odot Z) = j(Y) \cdot j(Z) - j(\nabla_{j(Y)}Z) + \frac{1}{2} j \circ \beta^\nabla(Y, Z).$$

Remark 1.10. When $L = T_M$ and A is the trivial Lie subalgebroid of L of rank 0, the pbw map of Theorem 1.7 is the inverse of the so-called ‘complete symbol map,’ which is an isomorphism from the space $\mathcal{U}(T_M)$ of differential operators on M to the space $\Gamma(S(T_M))$ of fiberwise polynomial functions on T_M^\vee . The complete symbol map was generalized to arbitrary Lie algebroids over \mathbb{R} by Nistor–Weinstein–Xu [28]. It played an important role in quantization theory [13, 28, 16, 17].

2. FEDOSOV DG MANIFOLDS FOR LIE PAIRS

Given a Lie pair (L, A) with quotient $B = L/A$, the graded manifold $L[1] \oplus B$ can be endowed with a homological vector field. We give two equivalent constructions of this homological vector field.

2.1. First construction by way of the PBW map. Making use of the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt isomorphism pbw of Theorem 1.7, one can endow the graded manifold $L[1] \oplus B$ with a homological vector field.

Recall that every choice of a splitting $j : B \rightarrow L$ of the short exact sequence of vector bundles (4) and an L -connection ∇ on B extending the Bott A -connection determines a Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt map

$$\text{pbw} : \Gamma(SB) \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)},$$

which is an isomorphism of filtered R -coalgebras according to Theorem 1.7.

Being a quotient of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(L)$ by a left ideal, the R -coalgebra $\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}$ is naturally a left $\mathcal{U}(L)$ -module. Hence $\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}$ is endowed with a canonical infinitesimal L -action

by coderivations. Pulling back this infinitesimal action through pbw, we obtain an infinitesimal L -action on $\Gamma(S(B))$ by coderivations. The latter defines a *flat* L -connection ∇^\sharp on $S(B)$:

$$\nabla_l^\sharp(s) = \text{pbw}^{-1}(l \cdot \text{pbw}(s)), \quad (10)$$

for all $l \in \Gamma(L)$ and $s \in \Gamma(SB)$.

The L -connection ∇^\sharp on $S(B)$ induces an L -connection on the dual bundle $\hat{S}(B^\vee)$ — see Remark 1.5. We denote the corresponding Chevalley–Eilenberg differential by

$$d_L^{\nabla^\sharp} : \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^{\bullet+1} L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)). \quad (11)$$

Since the covariant derivative

$$\nabla_l^\sharp : \Gamma(SB) \rightarrow \Gamma(SB)$$

is a *coderivation* of $\Gamma(SB)$ for all $l \in \Gamma(L)$, the covariant derivative

$$\nabla_l^\sharp : \Gamma(\hat{S}(B^\vee)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\hat{S}(B^\vee))$$

is a derivation of the symmetric algebra $\Gamma(\hat{S}(B^\vee))$. Note however that ∇_l^\sharp need not be a derivation of $\Gamma(SB)$ for any $l \in \Gamma(L)$. Therefore, the operator $d_L^{\nabla^\sharp}$ on $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee))$ is a derivation of degree $(+1)$ satisfying $d_L^{\nabla^\sharp} \circ d_L^{\nabla^\sharp} = 0$, i.e. it is a homological vector field on $L[1] \oplus B$.

Proposition 2.1. *Given a Lie pair (L, A) with quotient $B = L/A$, the choice of (1) a splitting $j : L/A \rightarrow L$ of the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow L \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ and (2) an L -connection ∇ on B extending the Bott representation determines an operator $d_L^{\nabla^\sharp}$ as above making $(L[1] \oplus B, d_L^{\nabla^\sharp})$ a dg manifold.*

Remark 2.2. *The Kapranov dg manifolds of [18, Theorem 5.7] inspired the construction of the dg manifold of Proposition 2.1. Indeed, the Kapranov dg manifold $(A[1] \oplus B, D)$ constructed in [18, Theorem 5.7] is a dg submanifold of the dg manifold $(L[1] \oplus B, d_L^{\nabla^\sharp})$ of Proposition 2.1 as can be readily observed by comparing Equation (10) with [18, Equation (46)].*

We will see in Theorem 2.7 that, when ∇ is torsion-free, the homological vector field $d_L^{\nabla^\sharp}$ is exactly the homological vector field Q constructed by Fedosov’s iteration method as described in the next section.

2.2. Second construction by way of Fedosov’s iteration method. First we need to introduce some operators.

Let r be the rank of the bundle B , let $q : L \rightarrow B$ be the canonical projection, and let $q^\top : B^\vee \rightarrow L^\vee$ denote the dual map. Given a local frame $\{\chi_k\}_{k=1}^r$ for the vector bundle B^\vee and a multi-index $J = (J_1, J_2, \dots, J_r) \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$, we use the symbol χ^J to denote

$$\chi^J = \underbrace{\chi_1 \odot \dots \odot \chi_1}_{J_1 \text{ factors}} \odot \underbrace{\chi_2 \odot \dots \odot \chi_2}_{J_2 \text{ factors}} \odot \dots \odot \underbrace{\chi_r \odot \dots \odot \chi_r}_{J_r \text{ factors}}.$$

The multi-index $(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ having its single nonzero entry in m -th position is denoted e_m .

Consider the endomorphism δ of the vector bundle $\Lambda L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)$ defined by

$$\delta(\omega \otimes \chi^J) = \sum_{m=1}^r (q^\top(\chi_m) \wedge \omega) \otimes J_m \chi^{J-e_m},$$

for all $\omega \in \Lambda L^\vee$ and $J \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$ — we declare that $J_m \chi^{J-e_m} = 0$ if $J_m = 0$.

The operator δ is a derivation of degree $(+1)$ of the bundle of graded commutative algebras $\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)$ and satisfies $\delta^2 = 0$. The resulting cochain complex

$$\dots \longrightarrow \Lambda^{n-1} L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee) \xrightarrow{\delta} \Lambda^n L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee) \xrightarrow{\delta} \Lambda^{n+1} L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee) \longrightarrow \dots$$

deformation retracts onto the trivial complex

$$\dots \longrightarrow \Lambda^{n-1} A^\vee \xrightarrow{0} \Lambda^n A^\vee \xrightarrow{0} \Lambda^{n+1} A^\vee \longrightarrow \dots$$

Choose a splitting $i \circ p + j \circ q = \text{id}_L$ of the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} L \xrightarrow{q} B \longrightarrow 0 \quad (12)$$

$\xleftarrow[p]{\quad} \quad \xleftarrow[j]{\quad}$

and its dual

$$0 \longrightarrow B^\vee \xrightarrow{q^\top} L^\vee \xrightarrow{i^\top} A^\vee \longrightarrow 0 .$$

$\xleftarrow[j^\top]{\quad} \quad \xleftarrow[p^\top]{\quad}$

Consider the chain maps

$$\tau : \Lambda^\bullet A^\vee \rightarrow \Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)$$

and

$$\sigma : \Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee) \rightarrow \Lambda^\bullet A^\vee$$

respectively defined by

$$\tau(\alpha) = p^\top(\alpha) \otimes 1,$$

for all $\alpha \in \Lambda^\bullet A^\vee$, and

$$\sigma(\omega \otimes \chi^J) = \begin{cases} \omega \otimes \chi^J & \text{if } v = 0 \text{ and } |J| = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

for all $\omega \in p^\top(\Lambda^u A^\vee) \wedge q^\top(\Lambda^v B^\vee) \subset \Lambda^{u+v} L^\vee$ and all multi-indices $J \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$.

Finally, denoting any pair of dual local frames for B and B^\vee by $(\partial_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, r\}}$ and $(\chi_j)_{j \in \{1, \dots, r\}}$ respectively, consider the operator

$$h : \Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\bullet-1} L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)$$

defined by

$$h(\omega \otimes \chi^J) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{v+|J|} \sum_{k=1}^r (\iota_{j(\partial_k)} \omega) \otimes \chi^{J+e_k} & \text{if } v \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } v = 0 \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

for all $\omega \in p^\top(\Lambda^u A^\vee) \wedge q^\top(\Lambda^v B^\vee) \subset \Lambda^{u+v} L^\vee$ and all multi-indices $J \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$.

Remark 2.3. Unlike δ , the operator h is not a derivation of the bundle of graded commutative algebras $\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)$.

The maps δ , σ , τ , and h respect the exhaustive, complete, descending filtrations

$$\mathcal{F}_0 \supset \mathcal{F}_1 \supset \mathcal{F}_2 \supset \mathcal{F}_3 \supset \cdots$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_0 \supset \mathcal{F}_1 \supset \mathcal{F}_2 \supset \mathcal{F}_3 \supset \cdots$$

on $\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee$ and $\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_m = \bigoplus_{k \geq m} \Lambda^k A^\vee$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_m = \prod_{k+p \geq m} (\Lambda^k L^\vee \otimes S^p(B^\vee)).$$

Most significantly, the maps δ , σ , τ , and h satisfy the following five identities:

$$\sigma\tau = \text{id}, \quad \text{id} - \tau\sigma = h\delta + \delta h, \quad h\tau = 0, \quad \sigma h = 0, \quad h^2 = 0. \quad (15)$$

Hence, we have proved the following

Proposition 2.4. *The maps δ , h , σ , and τ defined above determine a filtered contraction*

$$(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee, 0) \xrightleftharpoons[\sigma]{\tau} (\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee), -\delta) \curvearrowright_h.$$

A straightforward computation leads to the following

Lemma 2.5. *Let (L, A) be a Lie pair, let ∇ be an L -connection on B extending the Bott A -representation, and let β^∇ be its torsion — see Section 1.3. Then $\beta^\nabla = 0$ if and only if $\delta d_L^\nabla + d_L^\nabla \delta = 0$.*

Consider the four maps $\delta_{\mathfrak{h}}$, $\sigma_{\mathfrak{h}}$, $h_{\mathfrak{h}}$, and $\tau_{\mathfrak{h}}$

$$\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee \otimes B) \xrightleftharpoons[\tau_{\mathfrak{h}}]{\sigma_{\mathfrak{h}}} \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee) \otimes B) \xrightleftharpoons[h_{\mathfrak{h}}]{\delta_{\mathfrak{h}}} \Gamma(\Lambda^{\bullet+1} L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee) \otimes B)$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(\omega \otimes \sigma \otimes b) &= \delta(\omega \otimes \sigma) \otimes b & \sigma_{\mathfrak{h}}(\omega \otimes \sigma \otimes b) &= \sigma(\omega \otimes \sigma) \otimes b \\ h_{\mathfrak{h}}(\omega \otimes \sigma \otimes b) &= h(\omega \otimes \sigma) \otimes b & \tau_{\mathfrak{h}}(\alpha \otimes b) &= \tau(\alpha) \otimes b, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee)$, $\omega \in \Gamma(\Lambda L^\vee)$, $\sigma \in \Gamma(\hat{S}(B^\vee))$, and $b \in \Gamma(B)$.

It follows immediately from the identities (15) that

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{h}}\tau_{\mathfrak{h}} = \text{id}, \quad \text{id} - \tau_{\mathfrak{h}}\sigma_{\mathfrak{h}} = h_{\mathfrak{h}}\delta_{\mathfrak{h}} + \delta_{\mathfrak{h}}h_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad h_{\mathfrak{h}}\tau_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0, \quad \sigma_{\mathfrak{h}}h_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0, \quad h_{\mathfrak{h}}^2 = 0.$$

We are now ready to present a second construction of a holomorphic vector field Q on the graded manifold $L[1] \oplus B$, which relies on Fedosov's iteration method.

Proposition 2.6. *Let (L, A) be a Lie pair. Given a splitting $i \circ p + j \circ q = \text{id}_L$ of the short exact sequence (12) and a torsion-free L -connection ∇ on B , there exists a unique 1-form valued in the formal vertical vector fields on B :*

$$X^\nabla \in \Gamma(L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}^{\geq 2}(B^\vee) \otimes B)$$

satisfying $h_{\mathfrak{h}}(X^\nabla) = 0$ and such that the derivation

$$Q : \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^{\bullet+1} L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee))$$

defined by

$$Q = -\delta + d_L^\nabla + X^\nabla$$

satisfies $Q^2 = 0$. Here X^∇ acts on the algebra $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee))$ as a derivation in a natural fashion. As a consequence, $(L[1] \oplus B, Q)$ is a dg manifold.

Proof. Suppose there exists such a X^∇ and consider its decomposition $X^\nabla = \sum_{k=2}^\infty X_k$, where $X_k \in \Gamma(L^\vee \otimes S^k(B^\vee) \otimes B)$. Then $Q = -\delta + d_L^\nabla + X_2 + X_{\geq 3}$ with $X_{\geq 3} = \sum_{k=3}^\infty X_k$ and

$$\begin{aligned} Q^2 &= \delta^2 - (\delta d_L^\nabla + d_L^\nabla \delta) + \{d_L^\nabla d_L^\nabla - \delta X_2 - X_2 \delta\} \\ &\quad + \{d_L^\nabla X^\nabla + X^\nabla d_L^\nabla + X^{\nabla^2} - \delta X_{\geq 3} - X_{\geq 3} \delta\} \\ &= \delta^2 - [\delta, d_L^\nabla] + \{R^\nabla - [\delta, X_2]\} + \{[d_L^\nabla + \tfrac{1}{2}X^\nabla, X^\nabla] - [\delta, X_{\geq 3}]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us write $\Lambda^p \otimes S^q$ for $\Gamma(\Lambda^p L^\vee \otimes S^q B^\vee)$.

Since

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \Lambda^{p+1} \otimes S^{q-1} \\ & \nearrow^{-\delta} & \\ \Lambda^p \otimes S^q & \xrightarrow{d_L^\nabla} & \Lambda^{p+1} \otimes S^q \\ & \searrow^{X_2} & \\ & & \Lambda^{p+1} \otimes S^{q+1} \\ & \searrow^{X_{\geq 3}} & \\ & & \Lambda^{p+1} \otimes \hat{S}^{\geq q+2}, \end{array}$$

we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \Lambda^{p+2} \otimes S^{q-2} \\ & \nearrow^{\delta^2} & \\ & \nearrow^{[\delta, d_L^\nabla]} & \\ \Lambda^p \otimes S^q & \xrightarrow{R^\nabla - [\delta, X_2]} & \Lambda^{p+2} \otimes S^q \\ & \searrow^{[d_L^\nabla + \frac{1}{2}X^\nabla, X^\nabla] - [\delta, X_{\geq 3}]} & \\ & & \Lambda^{p+2} \otimes \hat{S}^{\geq q+1}. \end{array}$$

Since $\delta^2 = 0$ and $[\delta, d_L^\nabla] = 0$ (by Lemma 2.5), we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \Lambda^p \otimes S^q & & \\
 & \swarrow R^\nabla - [\delta, X_2] & \downarrow Q^2 & \searrow [d_L^\nabla + \frac{1}{2}X^\nabla, X^\nabla] - [\delta, X_{\geq 3}] & \\
 \Lambda^{p+2} \otimes S^q & & & & \Lambda^{p+2} \otimes \hat{S}^{\geq q+1} \\
 & \nwarrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & \\
 & & \Lambda^{p+2} \otimes \hat{S}^{\geq q} & &
 \end{array}$$

The requirement $Q^2 = 0$ is thus equivalent to the pair of equations

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\delta, X_2] &= R^\nabla \\
 [\delta, X_{\geq 3}] &= [d_L^\nabla + \frac{1}{2}X^\nabla, X^\nabla]
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\sigma_{\mathfrak{h}}(X_2) = 0$ and $\sigma_{\mathfrak{h}}(X_{\geq 3}) = 0$ since $X_2, X_{\geq 3} \in \Gamma(L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}^{\geq 2}(B^\vee) \otimes B)$ and also that $h_{\mathfrak{h}}(X_2) = 0$ and $h_{\mathfrak{h}}(X_{\geq 3}) = 0$ as $h_{\mathfrak{h}}(X^\nabla) = 0$. Therefore, since $\delta_{\mathfrak{h}}h_{\mathfrak{h}} + h_{\mathfrak{h}}\delta_{\mathfrak{h}} = \text{id} - \tau_{\mathfrak{h}}\sigma_{\mathfrak{h}}$, we obtain $h_{\mathfrak{h}}\delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(X_2) = X_2$ and $h_{\mathfrak{h}}\delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(X_{\geq 3}) = X_{\geq 3}$.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_2 &= h_{\mathfrak{h}}\delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(X_2) = h_{\mathfrak{h}}([\delta, X_2]) = h_{\mathfrak{h}}(R^\nabla) \\
 X_{\geq 3} &= h_{\mathfrak{h}}\delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(X_{\geq 3}) = h_{\mathfrak{h}}([\delta, X_{\geq 3}]) = h_{\mathfrak{h}}[d_L^\nabla + \frac{1}{2}X^\nabla, X^\nabla].
 \end{aligned}$$

Projecting the second equation onto $\Gamma(L^\vee \otimes S^{k+1}(B^\vee) \otimes B)$, we obtain

$$X_2 = h_{\mathfrak{h}}(R^\nabla) \tag{16}$$

$$X_{k+1} = h_{\mathfrak{h}}\left(d_L^\nabla \circ X_k + X_k \circ d_L^\nabla + \sum_{\substack{p+q=k+1 \\ 2 \leq p, q \leq k-1}} X_p \circ X_q\right), \quad \text{for } k \geq 2. \tag{17}$$

The successive terms of $X^\nabla = \sum_{k=2}^\infty X_k$ can thus be computed sequentially starting from $X_2 = h_{\mathfrak{h}}(R^\nabla)$. Therefore, if it exists, the derivation X^∇ is uniquely determined by the torsion-free connection ∇ and the splitting $j : B \rightarrow L$.

Now, defining X_k inductively by the relations (16) and (17) and setting $X^\nabla = \sum_{k=2}^\infty X_k$, we have $h_{\mathfrak{h}}(X^\nabla) = h_{\mathfrak{h}}(X_2 + X_{\geq 3}) = h_{\mathfrak{h}}^2(R^\nabla + \delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(X_{\geq 3})) = 0$ since $h_{\mathfrak{h}}^2 = 0$. Moreover, we have $X_2 = h_{\mathfrak{h}}(R^\nabla) \in \Gamma(L^\vee \otimes S^2(B^\vee) \otimes B)$ as $R^\nabla \in \Gamma(\Lambda^2 L^\vee \otimes B^\vee \otimes B)$. Making use of Equation (17), one proves by induction on k that $X_k \in \Gamma(L^\vee \otimes S^k(B^\vee) \otimes B)$. This completes the proof of the existence of X^∇ . \square

We elect to call a dg manifold $(L[1] \oplus B, Q)$ constructed in this way a *Fedosov dg manifold*.

We note that Proposition 2.6 was proved independently by Batakidis–Voglaire [3].

2.3. Equivalence of the two constructions. The aim of this section is to prove the following theorem, which is one of the main result of this paper.

Theorem 2.7. *Let (L, A) be a Lie pair, let $i \circ p + j \circ q = \text{id}_L$ be a splitting of the short exact sequence (12), and let ∇ be an L -connection on B extending the Bott A -connection. If ∇ is torsion-free, then the dg manifold $(L[1] \oplus B, d_L^{\nabla^i})$ described in Proposition 2.1 coincides with the dg manifold $(L[1] \oplus B, Q)$ constructed by the Fedosov iteration described in (the proof of) Proposition 2.6.*

Consider the bundle map

$$\Theta : L \otimes SB \rightarrow SB$$

defined in [18] by the relation

$$\Theta(l; s) = \nabla_l^\sharp s - \nabla_l s - q(l) \odot s, \quad \forall l \in \Gamma(L), s \in \Gamma(SB).$$

Lemma 2.8 ([18, Lemma 5.13]). *For all $l \in \Gamma(L)$, we have $\Theta(l; 1) = 0$.*

Proposition 2.9 ([18, Lemma 5.16]). *For all $l \in \Gamma(L)$, the map $s \mapsto \Theta(l; s)$ is a coderivation of the R -coalgebra $\Gamma(SB)$ which preserves the filtration*

$$\dots \hookrightarrow \Gamma(S^{\leq n-1} B) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(S^{\leq n} B) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(S^{\leq n+1} B) \hookrightarrow \dots$$

Lemma 2.10 ([18, Lemma 5.17]). *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \Gamma(B)$, we have*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \Theta(j(b_k); b_0 \odot \dots \odot \widehat{b_k} \odot \dots \odot b_n) = 0.$$

Lemma 2.11. *Provided the L -connection ∇ on B extends the Bott representation, the bundle map Θ associated with the connection ∇ and a splitting $i \circ p + j \circ q = \text{id}_L$ satisfies the relation*

$$\Theta(l; b) = -\frac{1}{2} \beta^\nabla(q(l), b)$$

for all $l \in \Gamma(L)$ and $b \in \Gamma(B)$.

In particular, if the L -connection ∇ on B is torsion-free, we have $\Theta(l; b) = 0$ for all $l \in \Gamma(L)$ and $b \in \Gamma(B)$.

Proof. Since $\text{pbw}(b) = j(b)$ for all $b \in \Gamma(B)$, we may rewrite Lemma 1.9 as

$$j(Y) \cdot \text{pbw}(Z) = \text{pbw}(Y \odot Z + \nabla_{j(Y)} Z - \frac{1}{2} \beta^\nabla(Y, Z))$$

or

$$\nabla_{j(Y)}^\sharp Z = Y \odot Z + \nabla_{j(Y)} Z - \frac{1}{2} \beta^\nabla(Y, Z)$$

for all $Y, Z \in \Gamma(B)$.

On the other hand, according to [18, Lemma 5.11], we have

$$\nabla_{i(X)}^\sharp Z = \nabla_X^{\text{Bott}} Z$$

for all $X \in \Gamma(A)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(B)$. Furthermore, since the L -connection ∇ on B is assumed to extend the Bott representation, we have

$$\nabla_X^{\text{Bott}} Z = \nabla_{i(X)} Z$$

for all $X \in \Gamma(A)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(B)$.

Therefore, for all $l \in \Gamma(L)$ and $b \in \Gamma(B)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_l^\sharp b &= \nabla_{i \circ p(l)}^\sharp b + \nabla_{j \circ q(l)}^\sharp b \\ &= \nabla_{i \circ p(l)} b + \{q(l) \odot b + \nabla_{j \circ q(l)} b - \frac{1}{2} \beta^\nabla(q(l), b)\} \\ &= q(l) \odot b + \nabla_l b - \frac{1}{2} \beta^\nabla(q(l), b). \end{aligned}$$

The result then follows from the definition of Θ . □

Let

$$\Gamma(SB) \otimes_R \Gamma(\hat{S}(B^\vee)) \xrightarrow{\langle - | - \rangle} R$$

be the duality pairing defined by

$$\langle b_1 \odot \cdots \odot b_p | \beta_1 \odot \cdots \odot \beta_q \rangle = \begin{cases} \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} \iota_{b_1} \beta_{\sigma(1)} \cdot \iota_{b_2} \beta_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \iota_{b_p} \beta_{\sigma(p)} & \text{if } p = q \\ 0 & \text{if } p \neq q \end{cases}$$

for all $b_1, \dots, b_p \in \Gamma(B)$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_q \in \Gamma(B^\vee)$.

A straightforward computation yields the following

Lemma 2.12. *Let $(\partial_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, r\}}$ be a local frame of B and let $(\chi_j)_{j \in \{1, \dots, r\}}$ be the dual local frame of B^\vee . We have*

$$\langle \partial^I | \chi^J \rangle = I! \delta_{I,J}, \quad \forall I, J \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$$

and

$$\sigma = \sum_{I \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{1}{I!} \langle \partial^I | \sigma \rangle \chi^I, \quad \forall \sigma \in \Gamma(\hat{S}(B^\vee)).$$

Lemma 2.13. *For any $l \in \Gamma(L)$, and all $s \in \Gamma(SB)$, and $\sigma \in \Gamma(\hat{S}B^\vee)$, we have*

$$\langle s | \iota_l \delta(\sigma) \rangle = \langle q(l) \odot s | \sigma \rangle.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the relation for $s = \partial^I$ and $\sigma = \chi^J$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial^I | \iota_l \delta(\chi^J) \rangle &= \left\langle \partial^I \left| \sum_{k=1}^r \iota_{q(l)} \chi_k \cdot J_k \chi^{J-e_k} \right. \right\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^r \iota_{q(l)} \chi_k \langle \partial^I | J_k \chi^{J-e_k} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^r \iota_{q(l)} \chi_k J_k I! \delta_{I, J-e_k} = \sum_{k=1}^r \iota_{q(l)} \chi_k J! \delta_{I+e_k, J} = \sum_{k=1}^r \iota_{q(l)} \chi_k \langle \partial^{I+e_k} | \chi^J \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^r \iota_{q(l)} \chi_k \cdot \partial_k \odot \partial^I \right| \chi^J \rangle = \langle q(l) \odot \partial^I | \chi^J \rangle. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Consider the map

$$\Xi : \Gamma(S^k B^\vee) \rightarrow \Gamma(L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}^{\geq k+1}(B^\vee)), \quad \forall k \geq 0,$$

defined by

$$\langle s | \iota_l \Xi(\sigma) \rangle = \langle \Theta(l; s) | \sigma \rangle, \quad (18)$$

for all $l \in \Gamma(L)$, $s \in \Gamma(SB)$, and $\sigma \in \Gamma(\hat{S}(B^\vee))$.

The L -connections ∇ and ∇^\sharp defined on $S(B)$ induce L -connections on the dual bundle $\hat{S}(B^\vee)$ — see Remark 1.5.

Proposition 2.14. $d_L^{\nabla^\sharp} = -\delta + d_L^\nabla - \Xi$

Proof. According to Remark 1.5, we have

$$\langle \nabla_l^\sharp s | \sigma \rangle + \langle s | \nabla_l^\sharp \sigma \rangle = \rho(l) \langle s | \sigma \rangle = \langle \nabla_l s | \sigma \rangle + \langle s | \nabla_l \sigma \rangle,$$

for all $l \in \Gamma(L)$, $s \in \Gamma(SB)$, and $\sigma \in \Gamma(\hat{S}(B^\vee))$. From there, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla_l^\sharp s - \nabla_l s | \sigma \rangle &= \langle s | \nabla_l \sigma - \nabla_l^\sharp \sigma \rangle \\ \langle q(l) \odot s + \Theta(l; s) | \sigma \rangle &= \langle s | \iota_l (d_L^\nabla \sigma - d_L^{\nabla^\sharp} \sigma) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and, making use of Lemma 2.13 and Equation (18),

$$\langle s | \iota_l \delta(\sigma) + \iota_l \Xi(\sigma) \rangle = \langle s | \iota_l (d_L^\nabla \sigma - d_L^{\nabla^\sharp} \sigma) \rangle$$

or, equivalently,

$$d_L^{\nabla^\sharp} = -\delta + d_L^\nabla - \Xi. \quad \square$$

Proposition 2.15. *For every $l \in \Gamma(L)$, the operator $\iota_l \Xi$ is a derivation of the algebra the R -algebra $\Gamma(\hat{S}(B^\vee))$ which preserves the filtration*

$$\dots \hookrightarrow \Gamma(\hat{S}^{\geq n+1}(B^\vee)) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(\hat{S}^{\geq n}(B^\vee)) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(\hat{S}^{\geq n-1}(B^\vee)) \hookrightarrow \dots$$

Proof. The result follows immediately from Proposition 2.9 since the algebra $\Gamma(\hat{S}(B^\vee))$ is dual to the coalgebra $\Gamma(S(B))$ and $\iota_l \Xi$ is the transpose of $\Theta(l; -)$ according to Equation (18). \square

Therefore, Ξ may be regarded as an element of the subspace $\Gamma(L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee) \otimes B)$ of the space of derivations of the algebra $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee))$.

Given any pair of dual local frames $(\partial_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, r\}}$ and $(\chi_j)_{j \in \{1, \dots, r\}}$ for the vector bundles B and B^\vee and any pair of dual local frames $(l_m)_{m=1}^{\text{rk}(L)}$ and $(\lambda_m)_{m=1}^{\text{rk}(L)}$ for the vector bundles L and L^\vee , we have

$$\Xi = \sum_{m=1}^{\text{rk}(L)} \sum_{k=1}^r \lambda_m \otimes \iota_{l_m} \Xi(\chi_k) \otimes \partial_k,$$

since each $\iota_{l_m} \Xi$ is a derivation of the R -algebra $\Gamma(\hat{S}(B^\vee))$, which is generated locally by χ_1, \dots, χ_r . Furthermore, for all $l \in \Gamma(L)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_l \Xi(\chi_k) &= \sum_{I \in \mathbb{N}_0^r} \frac{1}{I!} \langle \partial^I | \iota_l \Xi(\chi_k) \rangle \chi^I && \text{by Lemma 2.12,} \\ &= \sum_{I \in \mathbb{N}_0^r} \frac{1}{I!} \langle \Theta(l; \partial^I) | \chi_k \rangle \chi^I && \text{by Equation (18).} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.16.

- (1) *If the L -connection ∇ on B is torsion-free, then $\Xi \in \Gamma(L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}^{\geq 2}(B^\vee) \otimes B)$.*
- (2) *Otherwise, $\Xi \in \Gamma(L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}^{\geq 1}(B^\vee) \otimes B)$.*

Proof. If the connection ∇ is torsion-free, then $\iota_l \Xi \in \Gamma(\hat{S}^{\geq 2}(B^\vee) \otimes B)$ as $\Theta(l; \partial^I) = 0$ for $|I| \leq 1$ according to Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 2.11. However, if the torsion of ∇ is not zero, we can only say that $\iota_l \Xi \in \Gamma(\hat{S}^{\geq 1}(B^\vee) \otimes B)$ as $\Theta(l; \partial^I)$ need not vanish for $|I| = 1$. \square

We note that, for every pair of dual local frames $(\partial_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, r\}}$ and $(\chi_j)_{j \in \{1, \dots, r\}}$ for B and B^\vee , we have

$$\Xi = \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{J \in \mathbb{N}_0^r} \frac{1}{J!} \langle \partial^J | \Xi(\chi_k) \rangle \chi^J \partial_k.$$

Lemma 2.17. *For all $\lambda \in \Gamma(L^\vee)$ and $J \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$, we have*

$$h(\lambda \otimes \chi^J) = \frac{1}{1 + |J|} \sum_{k=1}^r \iota_{j(\partial_k)} \lambda \otimes \chi^{J+e_k},$$

where $(\partial_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, r\}}$ is any local frame of B and $(\chi_j)_{j \in \{1, \dots, r\}}$ is the dual local frame of B^\vee .

Proof. For $\lambda \in \Gamma(q^\top B^\vee)$, the result follows immediately from Equation (14), the very definition of h . The result holds for $\lambda \in \Gamma(p^\top A^\vee)$ as well since, for all $\alpha \in \Gamma(A^\vee)$, we have $h(p^\top(\alpha) \otimes \chi^J) = 0$ by the very definition of h and $\iota_{j(\partial_k)} p^\top(\alpha) = 0$ as $p \circ j = 0$. \square

Proposition 2.18. $h_{\mathfrak{h}}(\Xi) = 0$

Proof. Let $(\partial_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, r\}}$ be a local frame of B and let $(\chi_j)_{j \in \{1, \dots, r\}}$ be the dual local frame of B^\vee .

From

$$\Xi = \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{J \in \mathbb{N}_0^r} \frac{1}{J!} \langle \partial^J | \Xi(\chi_k) \rangle \chi^J \partial_k,$$

we obtain, using Lemma 2.17,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mathfrak{h}}(\Xi) &= \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{J \in \mathbb{N}_0^r} h \left\{ \frac{1}{J!} \langle \partial^J | \Xi(\chi_k) \rangle \chi^J \right\} \partial_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{J \in \mathbb{N}_0^r} \frac{1}{1 + |J|} \sum_{p=1}^r \frac{1}{J!} \langle \partial^J | \iota_{j(\partial_p)} \Xi(\chi_k) \rangle \chi_p \chi^J \partial_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{J \in \mathbb{N}_0^r} \frac{1}{1 + |J|} \sum_{p=1}^r \frac{1}{J!} \langle \Theta(j(\partial_p); \partial^J) | \chi_k \rangle \chi^{J+e_p} \partial_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{M \in \mathbb{N}_0^r} \frac{1}{|M|} \frac{1}{M!} \left\langle \sum_{p=1}^r M_p \Theta(j(\partial_p); \partial^{M-e_p}) \middle| \chi_k \right\rangle \chi^M \partial_k. \end{aligned}$$

It follows directly from Lemma 2.10 that

$$\sum_{p=1}^r M_p \Theta(j(\partial_p); \partial^{M-e_p}) = 0$$

for every $M = (M_1, \dots, M_r) \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$. \square

We are now ready to complete the proof of Theorem 2.7.

Proof of Theorem 2.7. Since $\Xi \in \Gamma(L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}^{\geq 2}(B^\vee) \otimes B)$ provided $\beta^\nabla = 0$ (Proposition 2.16), $h_{\mathfrak{h}}(\Xi) = 0$ (Proposition 2.18), and $d_L^{\nabla^\sharp} = -\delta + d_L^\nabla - \Xi$ (Proposition 2.14) satisfies $d_L^{\nabla^\sharp} \circ d_L^{\nabla^\sharp} = 0$, the uniqueness statement in Proposition 2.6 asserts that $X^\nabla = -\Xi$ and $Q = d_L^{\nabla^\sharp}$. \square

3. DOLGUSHEV–FEDOSOV QUASI-ISOMORPHISMS

3.1. Contraction of the Fedosov dg manifold. Our second main result, Theorem 3.1 below, extends the Dolgushev–Fedosov quasi-isomorphism [9, Theorem 3] to the context of Lie pairs. This section is devoted to its proof; Theorem 3.1 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.7 and Proposition 3.4 below.

Theorem 3.1. *Given a Lie pair (L, A) , let d^{∇^i} be the homological vector field on $L[1] \oplus B$ determined by the choice of a splitting $i \circ p + j \circ q = \text{id}_L$ of the short exact sequence (12) and an L -connection ∇ on B as in Proposition 2.1. Then the natural inclusion $(A[1], d_A) \hookrightarrow (L[1] \oplus B, Q)$ is a quasi-isomorphism of dg manifolds.*

Remark 3.2. *In particular, if L is the tangent bundle to a smooth manifold M and A is its rank-zero subbundle, Theorem 3.1 reduces to the part of [9, Theorem 3] pertaining to functions on the manifold M .*

Dolgushev established the quasi-isomorphism [9, Theorem 3] by a direct verification. Here we will prove the stronger result that the cochain complexes $(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)), d_L^{\nabla^i})$ and $(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee), d_A)$ are indeed homotopy equivalent. Homological perturbation — see Appendix A — provides a quick and easy proof of this result: the operator $\varrho = d_L^{\nabla^i} + \delta$ can be understood as a perturbation of the cochain complex $(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)), -\delta)$ appearing in the contraction of Proposition 2.4.

Lemma 3.3. *The operator $\varrho = d_L^{\nabla^i} + \delta$ is a perturbation of the cochain complex*

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^{k-1} L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)) \xrightarrow{-\delta} \Gamma(\Lambda^k L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)) \xrightarrow{-\delta} \Gamma(\Lambda^{k+1} L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

raising the descending filtration $\cdots \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{m+1} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_m \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{m-1} \subseteq \cdots$ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_m = \prod_{k+p \geq m} \Gamma(\Lambda^k L^\vee \otimes S^p B^\vee).$$

Proof. According to Proposition 2.14, we have $\varrho = d_L^{\nabla^i} + \delta = d_L^\nabla - \Xi$. Furthermore, according to Lemma 2.16 and the very definitions of δ , d_L^∇ , and Ξ , we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{-\delta} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{k+1}(L^\vee) \otimes S^{p-1}(B^\vee)) \\ \Gamma(\Lambda^k(L^\vee) \otimes S^p(B^\vee)) & \xrightarrow{d_L^\nabla} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{k+1}(L^\vee) \otimes S^p(B^\vee)) \\ & \xrightarrow{-\Xi} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{k+1}(L^\vee) \otimes \hat{S}^{\geq p}(B^\vee)) \end{array} \quad .$$

Therefore, while the differential $-\delta$ respects the filtration \mathcal{F} , the operator $\varrho = d_L^\nabla - \Xi$ raises it: $\varrho(\mathcal{F}_m) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{m+1}$. Finally, we have $(-\delta + \varrho)^2 = (d_L^{\nabla^i})^2 = 0$ since the connection ∇^i is flat. This concludes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Given a Lie pair (L, A) , let d_A denote the Chevalley–Eilenberg differential of the Lie algebroid A regarded as a homological vector field on $A[1]$ and let d^{∇^i} be the homological*

vector field on $L[1] \oplus B$ determined by the choice of a splitting $i \circ p + j \circ q = \text{id}_L$ of the short exact sequence (12) and an L -connection ∇ on B as in Proposition 2.6. Then, there exists a contraction

$$(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee), d_A) \xleftarrow[\sigma]{\check{\tau}} (\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)), d_L^{\nabla^\sharp}) \rightrightarrows_{\check{h}}.$$

where

$$\check{\tau} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (h\varrho)^k \tau, \quad \check{h} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (h\varrho)^k h, \quad \varrho = d_L^{\nabla^\sharp} + \delta,$$

and the maps δ , τ , σ , and h are those defined in Section 2.2. In particular, σ is the natural inclusion of graded manifolds $A[1] \hookrightarrow L[1] \oplus B$ defined by Equation (13).

Proof. We proceed by homological perturbation (see Lemma A.1). Starting from the filtered contraction of Proposition 2.4, it suffices to perturb the coboundary operator $-\delta$ by the operator ϱ (see Lemma 3.3) to obtain the new contraction

$$(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee), \vartheta) \xleftarrow[\check{\sigma}]{\check{\tau}} (\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)), -\delta + \varrho) \rightrightarrows_{\check{h}}.$$

We have $\sigma\varrho h = 0$ as, for all $n, p \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\Gamma(\Lambda^n L^\vee \otimes S^p B^\vee) \xrightarrow{h} \Gamma(\Lambda^{n-1} L^\vee \otimes S^{p+1} B^\vee) \xrightarrow{\varrho} \Gamma(\Lambda^n L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}^{\geq p+1}(B^\vee)) \xrightarrow{\sigma} 0.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\check{\sigma} := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma(\varrho h)^k = \sigma$$

and

$$\vartheta := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma(\varrho h)^k \varrho \tau = \sigma \varrho \tau = \sigma(d_L^{\nabla} - \Xi^{\nabla})(p^\top \otimes 1) = \sigma((d_L \circ p^\top) \otimes 1) = d_A.$$

The result follows immediately since $-\delta + \varrho = d_L^{\nabla^\sharp}$ (Proposition 2.14). \square

We note that a similar construction of a Fedosov resolution of the algebra of smooth functions on a manifold based on homological perturbation was described by Hans-Christian Herbig in [14].

3.2. Matched pairs. In this section, we establish an explicit expression for the quasi-isomorphism

$$\check{\tau} : \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee))$$

defined in Proposition 3.4 valid only in the special case of matched pairs. This formula can be considered as an extension to the matched pair case of the augmentation map

$$\check{\tau} : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \Omega^0(M; \hat{S}(T_M^\vee))$$

arising from the Emmerich–Weinstein ‘formal exponential map’ — see Section 4 and [11, Theorem 1.6].

Suppose the short exact sequence (12) admits a splitting $j : B \rightarrow L$ whose image $j(B)$ is a Lie subalgebroid of L — i.e. $L = A \bowtie B$ is a matched pair. Then B is a Lie algebroid and composition of the morphism of associative algebras $\mathcal{U}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L)$ induced by j with the canonical projection $\mathcal{U}(L) \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}$ yields a canonical isomorphism of left R -coalgebras $\mathcal{U}(B) \cong \frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}$.

Since $L = A \bowtie B$ is a matched pair, we have a Bott B -representation on A :

$$\nabla_b^{\text{Bott}} a = p([j(b), i(a)]), \quad \forall b \in \Gamma(B), a \in \Gamma(A).$$

The dual B -connection on A^\vee extends to the exterior algebra ΛA^\vee by derivation:

$$\nabla_b^{\text{Bott}} \alpha = i^\top(\mathcal{L}_{j(b)}(p^\top \alpha)), \quad \forall b \in \Gamma(B), \alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee).$$

One can show that, for every $b \in \Gamma(B)$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee) & \xrightarrow{\nabla_b^{\text{Bott}}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee) \\ \downarrow p^\top & & \downarrow p^\top \\ \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_{j(b)}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \end{array}$$

commutes. Since the Bott B -connection on ΛA^\vee is flat, $\Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee)$ is a left $\mathcal{U}(B)$ -module: the action

$$\mathcal{U}(B) \times \Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee) \xrightarrow{\bowtie} \Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee)$$

satisfies

$$p^\top(b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n \bowtie \alpha) = \mathcal{L}_{j(b_1)} \mathcal{L}_{j(b_2)} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{j(b_n)}(p^\top \alpha),$$

for all $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \in \Gamma(B)$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee)$.

In the matched pair case, the chain map $\check{\tau} : \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee))$ defined in Proposition 3.4 admits a simple description in terms of the splitting $j : B \hookrightarrow L$, the associated left $\mathcal{U}(B)$ -module structure \bowtie on $\Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee)$, and the map $\text{pbw} : \Gamma(S(B)) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(B)$ induced by j and ∇ .

Consider the derivation \mathcal{D} of the subalgebra $\Gamma(p^\top(\Lambda A^\vee) \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee))$ of $\Gamma(\Lambda L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee))$ defined by

$$\mathcal{D}(p^\top \alpha \otimes \chi^J) = \sum_{k=1}^r \{p^\top(\nabla_{\partial_k}^{\text{Bott}} \alpha) \otimes \chi_k \cdot \chi^J + p^\top \alpha \otimes \chi_k \cdot \nabla_{j(\partial_k)}(\chi^J)\},$$

for all $\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee)$ and $J \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$.

Remark 3.5. *A analogue of the derivation \mathcal{D} was introduced recently in [4, Section 2.1 and Remark 2.3]. It would be interesting to understand the precise relation between these two derivations.*

The remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *If the splitting*

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow[\underset{p}{\curvearrowright}]{i} L \xrightarrow[\underset{j}{\curvearrowright}]{q} B \longrightarrow 0$$

identifies B with a Lie subalgebroid of L , then $\Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee)$ is a left $\mathcal{U}(B)$ -module and the chain map

$$\check{\tau} : \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee))$$

defined in Proposition 3.4 satisfies

$$\check{\tau} = \exp(\mathcal{D}) \circ \tau$$

and

$$\check{\tau}(\alpha) = \sum_{J \in \mathbb{N}_0^r} \frac{1}{J!} p^\top(\text{pbw}(\partial^J) \bowtie \alpha) \otimes \chi^J, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee). \quad (19)$$

The following lemma is an analogue of Lemma 2.18, which is proved *mutans mutandis*.

Lemma 3.7. *For all $\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee)$ and $J \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$, we have $h\Xi(p^\top \alpha \otimes \chi^J) = 0$.*

Lemma 3.8. *For all $\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee)$ and $J \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} h\varrho(p^\top \alpha \otimes \chi^J) &= \frac{1}{1+|J|} \sum_k \{p^\top (\nabla_{\partial_k}^{\text{Bott}} \alpha) \otimes \chi_k \cdot \chi^J + p^\top \alpha \otimes \chi_k \cdot \nabla_{j(\partial_k)}(\chi^J)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{1+|J|} \mathcal{D}(p^\top \alpha \otimes \chi^J). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $L = A \bowtie B$, Proposition 1.3 asserts that, if $\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda^u A^\vee)$, then

$$d_L(p^\top \alpha) \in \Omega^{u+1,0} \oplus \Omega^{u,1},$$

where $\Omega^{u,v} = \Gamma(p^\top (\Lambda^u A^\vee) \wedge q^\top (\Lambda^v B^\vee))$. Therefore, if $\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda^u A^\vee)$, we have

$$d_L^\nabla(p^\top \alpha \otimes \chi^J) = d_L(p^\top \alpha) \otimes \chi^J + \sum_t \lambda_t \wedge (p^\top \alpha) \otimes \nabla_{l_t}(\chi^J) \in (\Omega^{u+1,0} \oplus \Omega^{u,1}) \otimes_R \Gamma(S^{|J|}(B^\vee))$$

and it follows from Equation (14) that

$$\begin{aligned} hd_L^\nabla(p^\top \alpha \otimes \chi^J) &= \frac{1}{1+|J|} \sum_k \left\{ i_{j(\partial_k)} d_L(p^\top \alpha) \otimes \chi^{J+e_k} + \sum_t (i_{j(\partial_k)} \lambda_t) \cdot p^\top \alpha \otimes \chi_k \cdot \nabla_{l_t}(\chi^J) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{1+|J|} \sum_k \left\{ \mathcal{L}_{j(\partial_k)}(p^\top \alpha) \otimes \chi^{J+e_k} + p^\top \alpha \otimes \chi_k \cdot \nabla_{\sum_t (i_{j(\partial_k)} \lambda_t) l_t}(\chi^J) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{1+|J|} \sum_k \left\{ p^\top (\nabla_{\partial_k}^{\text{Bott}} \alpha) \otimes \chi^{J+e_k} + p^\top \alpha \otimes \chi_k \cdot \nabla_{j(\partial_k)}(\chi^J) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The desired result follows from Lemma 3.7. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.6. Reasoning by induction on k , one proves that

$$\mathcal{D}^k \circ \tau(\alpha) \in \Gamma(p^\top (\Lambda A^\vee) \otimes S^k(B^\vee))$$

for all $\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Using Lemma 3.8 and reasoning by induction on k once again, one proves that

$$(h\varrho)^k \circ \tau = \frac{1}{k!} \mathcal{D}^k \circ \tau$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that

$$\check{\tau} = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (h\varrho)^k \right) \circ \tau = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \mathcal{D}^k \right) \circ \tau = \exp(\mathcal{D}) \circ \tau.$$

Set $[\alpha] = \sum_{J \in \mathbb{N}_0^r} \frac{1}{J!} p^\top (\text{pbw}(\partial^J) \bowtie \alpha) \otimes \chi^J$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee)$. We claim that $(\text{id} - h\varrho)[\alpha] = \tau(\alpha)$. It then follows from Proposition A.2 that $\check{\tau}(\alpha) = [\alpha]$ — the desired result.

It remains to establish our claim. From

$$0 = \rho(j(\partial_k)) \langle \partial^K | \chi^J \rangle = \langle \nabla_{j(\partial_k)}(\partial^K) | \chi^J \rangle + \langle \partial^K | \nabla_{j(\partial_k)}(\chi^J) \rangle,$$

we obtain

$$\nabla_{j(\partial_k)}(\chi^J) = \sum_K \frac{1}{K!} \langle \partial^K | \nabla_{j(\partial_k)}(\chi^J) \rangle \chi^K = - \sum_K \frac{1}{K!} \langle \nabla_{j(\partial_k)}(\partial^K) | \chi^J \rangle \chi^K. \quad (20)$$

From Lemma 3.7, Lemma 3.8, and Equation (20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h\varrho[\alpha] = \sum_J \frac{1}{J!} \frac{1}{1+|J|} \sum_k \left\{ p^\top \left(\nabla_{\partial_k}^{\text{Bott}} (\text{pbw}(\partial^J) \rtimes \alpha) \right) \otimes \chi_k \cdot \chi^J \right. \\ \left. - p^\top (\text{pbw}(\partial^J) \rtimes \alpha) \otimes \chi_k \cdot \sum_K \frac{1}{K!} \langle \nabla_{j(\partial_k)}(\partial^K) | \chi^J \rangle \chi^K \right\} \end{aligned}$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} h\varrho[\alpha] = \sum_J \frac{1}{J!} \frac{1}{1+|J|} \sum_k p^\top (j(\partial_k) \cdot \text{pbw}(\partial^J) \rtimes \alpha) \otimes \chi^{J+e_k} \\ - \sum_K \frac{1}{K!} \frac{1}{1+|K|} \sum_k p^\top (\text{pbw}(\nabla_{j(\partial_k)}(\partial^K)) \rtimes \alpha) \otimes \chi^{K+e_k} \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$h\varrho[\alpha] = \sum_{\substack{M \in \mathbb{N}_0^* \\ |M| \geq 1}} \frac{1}{M!} p^\top \left(\frac{1}{|M|} \sum_k M_k (j(\partial_k) \cdot \text{pbw}(\partial^{M-e_k}) - \text{pbw}(\nabla_{j(\partial_k)} \partial^{M-e_k})) \rtimes \alpha \right) \otimes \chi^M.$$

Finally, it follows from Equation (9) that

$$h\varrho[\alpha] = \sum_{\substack{M \in \mathbb{N}_0^* \\ |M| \geq 1}} \frac{1}{M!} p^\top (\text{pbw}(\partial^M) \rtimes \alpha) \otimes \chi^M = [\alpha] - p^\top(\alpha) \otimes 1 = [\alpha] - \tau(\alpha). \quad \square$$

4. APPLICATION: THE ‘FORMAL EXPONENTIAL MAP’ OF EMMRICH–WEINSTEIN

In this section, we give a simple and direct proof of a result — see [11, Theorem 1.6 and Section 7] and Theorem 4.1 below — which Emmrich–Weinstein proved by way of an indirect argument involving real analytic manifolds.

According to Proposition 2.6, given a torsion-free affine connection ∇ on M , one can construct a homological vector field Q on the graded manifold $T_M[1] \oplus T_M$ by Fedosov’s iteration method. This is essentially what [11, Theorem 1.1] — more precisely the special case when $a = 0$ — and [9, Theorem 2] assert. One obtains a derivation Q of $\Omega^\bullet(M; \hat{S}(T_M^\vee))$ such that $Q^2 = 0$. Identifying $\Gamma(\hat{S}(T_M^\vee))$ to the algebra of functions on the formal neighborhood $(T_M)_\infty$ of the zero section of the tangent bundle to M , Emmrich–Weinstein regard the derivation Q as vector fields determining a distribution on $(T_M)_\infty$ transverse to the fibers of $(T_M)_\infty \rightarrow M$, i.e. a (nonlinear) formal Ehresmann connection on $(T_M)_\infty$. Since $Q^2 = 0$, this distribution is involutive and the Ehresmann connection is flat. Those sections $\varsigma \in \Gamma(\hat{S}(T_M^\vee))$ such that $Q(\varsigma) = 0$ are interpreted as functions on $(T_M)_\infty$ which are constant along the leaves of the foliation tangent to the flat Ehresmann connection. As explained by Ehresmann–Weinstein, the Ehresmann connection is transverse to the zero section and each one of its leaves intersects the zero section in a unique point. The leaves of the foliation given by the flat Ehresmann connection are the fibers of a ‘mapping’ $\text{EXP} : (T_M)_\infty \rightarrow M$, which Ehresmann–Weinstein call ‘formal exponential map.’ Identifying M with the zero section of $(T_M)_\infty$, functions defined on M can be extended to functions on $(T_M)_\infty$ constant along the leaves. The resulting map $C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(\hat{S}(T_M^\vee))$ is the pull-back of functions through EXP .

Theorem 4.1 ([11, Theorem 1.6]). *Given a torsion-free affine connection ∇ on M , the ‘formal exponential map’ EXP described above coincides with the infinite-order jet of the geodesic exponential map \exp determined by the connection ∇ .*

Proof. By definition, the ‘formal exponential map’ EXP is completely determined by Q in the following sense: the pull-back $\varsigma = \text{EXP}^*(f)$ of a function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ by EXP is the unique solution $\varsigma \in \Gamma(\hat{S}(T_M^\vee))$ of the initial value problem

$$Q(\varsigma) = 0 \quad \sigma(\varsigma) = f.$$

We think of the map $\sigma : \Gamma(\hat{S}(T_M^\vee)) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ as the pull-back of functions through the zero section of $(T_M)_\infty \rightarrow M$. On the other hand, Proposition 3.4 applied to the Lie pair (L, A) where L is the tangent bundle to M and A is its rank-zero subbundle yields the contraction

$$C^\infty(M) \xrightleftharpoons[\sigma]{\check{\tau}} (\Omega^\bullet(M; \hat{S}(T_M^\vee)), d^{\nabla^\sharp}) \curvearrowright_{\check{h}}$$

where $C^\infty(M)$ is seen as a cochain complex concentrated in degree 0. In particular, for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we have

$$\check{\tau}(f) \in \Gamma(\hat{S}(T_M^\vee)), \quad d^{\nabla^\sharp}(\check{\tau}(f)) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(\check{\tau}(f)) = f.$$

According to Theorem 2.7, we have $Q = d^{\nabla^\sharp}$. Therefore, $\text{EXP}^* = \check{\tau}$. Let n denote the dimension of the manifold M . It follows from Equation (19) in Theorem 3.6 that

$$\check{\tau}(f) = \sum_{J \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{1}{J!} \text{pbw}(\partial^J) f \otimes \chi^J.$$

According to [18, Theorem 3.11], the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt isomorphism pbw (described in Theorem 1.7) is the infinite-order jet of the geodesic exponential map $\exp : T_M \rightarrow M$ arising from the connection ∇ . Hence, we obtain

$$\text{EXP}^*(f) = \check{\tau}(f) = \sum_{J \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{1}{J!} \partial^J(\exp^*(f)) \otimes \chi^J.$$

This concludes the proof that EXP is the infinite-order jet of \exp . \square

In fact, Theorem 3.6 above can be seen as an extension of Emmrich–Weinstein’s result [11, Theorem 1.6] to the case of matched pairs.

APPENDIX A. HOMOLOGICAL PERTURBATION

A contraction of a cochain complex (N, δ) onto a cochain complex (M, d) consists of a pair of chain maps $\sigma : N \rightarrow M$ and $\tau : M \rightarrow N$ and an endomorphism $h : N \rightarrow N[-1]$ of the graded module N satisfying the following five relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma\tau &= \text{id}_M, & \tau\sigma - \text{id}_N &= h\delta + \delta h, \\ \sigma h &= 0, & h\tau &= 0, & h^2 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We symbolize such a contraction by a diagram

$$(M, d) \xrightleftharpoons[\sigma]{\tau} (N, \delta) \curvearrowright h.$$

If, furthermore, the cochain complexes N and M are filtered and the maps σ , τ , and h preserve the filtration, the contraction is said to be filtered [10, §12].

A descending filtration

$$\cdots \subseteq F_{p+1}N \subseteq F_pN \subseteq F_{p-1}N \subseteq \cdots$$

on a cochain complex N is said to be exhaustive if $N = \bigcup_p F_pN$ and complete if $N = \varprojlim_p \frac{N}{F_pN}$.

A *perturbation* of the filtered cochain complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow N^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\delta} N^n \xrightarrow{\delta} N^{n+1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

is an operator ϱ of degree $+1$ on N , which raises the filtration (i.e. $\varrho(F_pN) \subseteq F_{p+1}N$) and satisfies $(\delta + \varrho)^2 = 0$ so that $\delta + \varrho$ is a new coboundary operator on N .

We refer the reader to [15, §1] for a brief history of the following lemma.

Lemma A.1 (Homological Perturbation [6]). *Let*

$$(M, d) \xrightleftharpoons[\sigma]{\tau} (N, \delta) \xleftarrow{h}$$

be a filtered contraction. Given a perturbation ϱ of the cochain complex (N, δ) , if the filtrations on M and N are exhaustive and complete, then the series

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\tau} &:= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (h\varrho)^k \tau & \check{h} &:= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (h\varrho)^k h = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h(\varrho h)^k \\ \check{\sigma} &:= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma(\varrho h)^k & \check{\vartheta} &:= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma(\varrho h)^k \varrho \tau = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma \varrho (h\varrho)^k \tau \end{aligned}$$

converge, $\check{\vartheta}$ is a perturbation of the cochain complex (M, d) , and

$$(M, d + \check{\vartheta}) \xrightleftharpoons[\check{\sigma}]{\check{\tau}} (N, \delta + \varrho) \xleftarrow{\check{h}}$$

constitutes a new filtered contraction.

Proposition A.2. *Under the same hypothesis as in Lemma A.1, the chain map $\check{\tau}$ is entirely determined by τ , $h\varrho$ and the relation $(\text{id} - h\varrho)\check{\tau} = \tau$. Likewise, the homotopy operator \check{h} is entirely determined by h , $h\varrho$ and the relation $(\text{id} - h\varrho)\check{h} = h$.*

Proof. Since the filtration on N is complete and ϱ raises the filtration while h preserves it, the geometric series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (h\varrho)^k$ converges and its sum is the inverse of the operator $\text{id} - h\varrho$. The result follows immediately. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Ruggero Bandiera, Panagiotis Batakidis, Martin Bordemann, Damien Broka, Vasily Dolgushev, Olivier Elchinger, Camille Laurent-Gengoux, Hsuan-Yi Liao, Kirill Mackenzie, Rajan Mehta, and Yannick Voglaire for fruitful discussions and useful comments. Stiénon is grateful to Université Paris 7 for its hospitality during his sabbatical leave in 2015–2016.

REFERENCES

1. M. Alexandrov, A. Schwarz, O. Zaboronsky, and M. Kontsevich, *The geometry of the master equation and topological quantum field theory*, Internat. J. Modern Phys. A **12** (1997), no. 7, 1405–1429. MR 1432574
2. Ruggero Bandiera, Mathieu Stiénon, and Ping Xu, *Polyvector fields and polydifferential operators associated with Lie pairs*, arXiv e-prints (2019), arXiv:1901.04602.
3. Panagiotis Batakidis and Yannick Voglaire, *Atiyah classes and dg-Lie algebroids for matched pairs*, J. Geom. Phys. **123** (2018), 156–172. MR 3724780
4. Arie Blom and Hessel Posthuma, *An index theorem for Lie algebroids*, arXiv e-prints (2015), arXiv:1512.07863.
5. Raoul Bott, *Lectures on characteristic classes and foliations*, Lectures on algebraic and differential topology (Second Latin American School in Math., Mexico City, 1971), Springer, Berlin, 1972, Notes by Lawrence Conlon, with two appendices by J. Stasheff, pp. 1–94. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 279. MR 0362335
6. Ronald Brown, *The twisted Eilenberg-Zilber theorem*, Simposio di Topologia (Messina, 1964), Edizioni Oderisi, Gubbio, 1965, pp. 33–37. MR 0220273 (36 #3339)
7. Ana Cannas da Silva and Alan Weinstein, *Geometric models for noncommutative algebras*, Berkeley Mathematics Lecture Notes, vol. 10, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Berkeley Center for Pure and Applied Mathematics, Berkeley, CA, 1999. MR 1747916
8. Zhuo Chen, Mathieu Stiénon, and Ping Xu, *From Atiyah classes to homotopy Leibniz algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **341** (2016), no. 1, 309–349. MR 3439229
9. Vasilij Dolgushev, *Covariant and equivariant formality theorems*, Adv. Math. **191** (2005), no. 1, 147–177. MR 2102846 (2006c:53101)
10. Samuel Eilenberg and Saunders Mac Lane, *On the groups of $H(\Pi, n)$. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **58** (1953), 55–106. MR 0056295 (15,54b)
11. Claudio Emmrich and Alan Weinstein, *The differential geometry of Fedosov’s quantization*, Lie theory and geometry, Progr. Math., vol. 123, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1994, pp. 217–239. MR 1327535
12. Boris V. Fedosov, *A simple geometrical construction of deformation quantization*, J. Differential Geom. **40** (1994), no. 2, 213–238. MR 1293654 (95h:58062)
13. Simone Gutt, *An explicit \star -product on the cotangent bundle of a Lie group*, Lett. Math. Phys. **7** (1983), no. 3, 249–258. MR 706215
14. Hans-Christian Herbig, *Variations on Homological Reduction*, arXiv e-prints (2007), arXiv:0708.3598.
15. Johannes Huebschmann and Tornike Kadeishvili, *Small models for chain algebras*, Math. Z. **207** (1991), no. 2, 245–280. MR 1109665
16. N. P. Landsman, *Strict deformation quantization of a particle in external gravitational and Yang-Mills fields*, J. Geom. Phys. **12** (1993), no. 2, 93–132. MR 1231231
17. ———, *Classical and quantum representation theory*, Proceedings Seminar 1989–1990 Mathematical Structures in Field Theory (Amsterdam), CWI Syllabi, vol. 39, Math. Centrum, Centrum Wisk. Inform., Amsterdam, 1996, pp. 135–163. MR 1427063
18. Camille Laurent-Gengoux, Mathieu Stiénon, and Ping Xu, *Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt isomorphisms and Kapranov dg-manifolds*, arXiv e-prints (2014), arXiv:1408.2903.
19. Hsuan-Yi Liao and Mathieu Stiénon, *Formal exponential map for graded manifolds*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2019), no. 3, 700–730. MR 3910470
20. Hsuan-Yi Liao, Mathieu Stiénon, and Ping Xu, *Formality theorem for \mathfrak{g} -manifolds*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **355** (2017), no. 5, 582–589. MR 3650387
21. ———, *Formality theorem for differential graded manifolds*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **356** (2018), no. 1, 27–43. MR 3754617
22. ———, *Formality and Kontsevich-Duflo type theorems for Lie pairs*, Adv. Math. **352** (2019), 406–482. MR 3964152
23. Jiang-Hua Lu, *Poisson homogeneous spaces and Lie algebroids associated to Poisson actions*, Duke Math. J. **86** (1997), no. 2, 261–304. MR 1430434
24. Kirill C. H. Mackenzie and Tahar Mokri, *Locally vacant double Lie groupoids and the integration of matched pairs of Lie algebroids*, Geom. Dedicata **77** (1999), no. 3, 317–330. MR 1716681
25. Rajan A. Mehta, *Supergroupoids, double structures, and equivariant cohomology*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2006, Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of California, Berkeley. MR 2709144
26. ———, *Q -algebroids and their cohomology*, J. Symplectic Geom. **7** (2009), no. 3, 263–293. MR 2534186

- 27. Tahar Mokri, *Matched pairs of Lie algebroids*, Glasgow Math. J. **39** (1997), no. 2, 167–181. MR 1460632
- 28. Victor Nistor, Alan Weinstein, and Ping Xu, *Pseudodifferential operators on differential groupoids*, Pacific J. Math. **189** (1999), no. 1, 117–152. MR 1687747 (2000c:58036)
- 29. George S. Rinehart, *Differential forms on general commutative algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1963), 195–222. MR 0154906 (27 #4850)
- 30. Ping Xu, *Quantum groupoids*, Comm. Math. Phys. **216** (2001), no. 3, 539–581. MR 1815717 (2002f:17033)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY

E-mail address: stienon@psu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY

E-mail address: ping@math.psu.edu