

Majorana charges, winding numbers and Chern numbers in quantum Ising models

G. Zhang^{1,2}, C. Li¹ and Z. Song^{1*}

¹*School of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China*

²*College of Physics and Materials Science, Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin 300387, China*

Mapping a many-body state on a loop in parameter space is a simple way to characterize a quantum state. The connections of such a geometrical representation to the concepts of Chern number and Majorana zero mode are investigated based on a generalized quantum spin system with short and long-range interactions. We show that the topological invariants, the Chern numbers of corresponding Bloch band is equivalent to the winding number in the auxiliary plane, which can be utilized to characterize the phase diagram. We introduce the concept of Majorana charge, the magnitude of which is defined by the distribution of Majorana fermion probability in zero-mode states, and the sign is defined by the type of Majorana fermion. By direct calculations of the Majorana modes we analytically and numerically verify that the Majorana charge is equal to Chern numbers and winding numbers.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Jm, 71.10.Pm, 02.40.-k, 03.65.Vf, 05.70.Fh,

I. INTRODUCTION

Characterizing the quantum phase transitions (QPTs) is of central significance to both condensed matter physics and quantum information science. Exactly solvable quantum many-body models are benefit to demonstrate the concept and characteristic of QPTs. Recently, topological phases and phase transitions [1] have attracted much attention in various physical contexts. In general, QPTs are classified two types, characterized by topologically nontrivial properties in the Hilbert space, and by the local order parameters associated with symmetry breaking [2], respectively. Both conventional and topological QPTs refer to the sudden change of the groundstate properties driven by the change of external parameters. A topological QPT involves the change of ground-state topological properties which are indicated by topological quantum discrete numbers [3, 4], while the various phases in a conventional QPT are distinguished by continuously varying order parameters. The topological quantum number is topological invariant, such as Chern number and Majorana zero mode, which have been received much recent interest [5–17].

Nevertheless, so far there are no evidences to suggest that the two types of QPTs are absolutely incompatible, not occur at the same point for certain systems. An interesting question is whether the local order parameter and topological order parameter can coexist to characterize the quantum phase transitions. In recent work [18], it is shown that the variation of the groundstate energy density for a class of exactly solvable quantum Ising models, which is a function of a loop in a two-dimensional auxiliary space, experiences a nonanalytical point when the winding number of the corresponding loop changes. This fact indicates that this class of models can be joint ones in which a topological and a conventional QPTs occur simultaneously.

In this paper, we investigate topological properties in a family of exactly solvable Ising models with short- and long-range interactions. We introduce the concept of Majorana charge to indicate the phase diagram based on the corresponding Majorana tight-binding lattice with open boundary condition. The magnitude of Majorana charge is determined by the distribution of Majorana fermion probability in zero-mode states, while its sign is determined by the types of Majorana fermions. We show that the topological invariants, the Chern numbers of a corresponding Bloch band equal to the winding number in the auxiliary plane. Furthermore by direct calculations of the Majorana modes we analytically and numerically verify that the Majorana charge is equal to Chern numbers and winding numbers. These indicate that three quantities can equally characterize the phase diagram in quantum spin systems.

This paper is organized as follows. In section. II, we present a generalized one-dimensional quantum spin model, which is exactly solvable by introducing a pseudo-spin representation. In section. III, we show that the Chern number and winding number are identical. Section. IV investigates the Majorana fermion representation of the models. Section. V summarizes the results and explores its implications.

II. MODEL AND PSEUDO-SPIN REPRESENTATION

We consider a generalized one-dimensional quantum spin model, which was exactly solved four decades ago [19]. It contains long-range interactions and the Hamil-

* songtc@nankai.edu.cn




FIG. 1. (Color online) Plots of the surfaces in the auxiliary space (x, y, z) to illustrate the relation between winding and Chern number. The corresponding parameters in equations of the 3D surfaces are listed in Table I. The red dot denotes the origin of the auxiliary space $(0, 0, 0)$. The winding numbers can be figured out from the curves in the xy plane.

tonian has the form

$$H = \sum_{n=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^N (J_n^x \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+n}^x + J_n^y \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+n}^y) \times \prod_{l=j+1}^{j+n-1} \sigma_l^z + g \sum_{j=1}^N \sigma_j^z \quad (1)$$

The operators $\sigma_i^{x,y,z}$ are the Pauli matrices for spin at i th site. In the case $M = 1$, it is reduced to an ordinary anisotropic XY model, which has been employed as a platform to test the signatures of QPT, such as entanglement [20], geometric phase [21, 22], decoherence [23], and fidelity [24]. In large N limit, $M \ll N$, the Hamiltonian can be diagonalized as the form

$$H = \sum_k \epsilon_k \left(\gamma_k^\dagger \gamma_k - \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad (2)$$

via a conventional Jordan-Wigner transformation

$$\sigma_j^z = 1 - 2c_j^\dagger c_j, \quad \sigma_j^y = i\sigma_j^x \sigma_j^z, \quad (3)$$

$$\sigma_j^x = - \prod_{l < j} \left(1 - 2c_l^\dagger c_l \right) \left(c_j + c_j^\dagger \right), \quad (4)$$

and Fourier transformation

$$c_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_k c_k e^{ikj}, \quad (5)$$

and a Bogoliubov transformation

$$c_k = u_k \gamma_k + i v_k \gamma_{-k}^\dagger. \quad (6)$$

TABLE I. Typical examples illustrating the relations among winding numbers, zero modes and Majorana charges. The values of J_n^x and J_n^y ($n \in [1, 5]$) are the parameters for equations of plots in Fig. 1 with figure index (FI) (a)-(i) and corresponding numbers \mathcal{N} . For finite size systems with $N = 200$ and 500 , the zero modes and Majorana charges are obtained by exact diagonalizations. We define the zero modes by selecting eigenstates with absolute eigenvalues less than 10^{-10} . N_{zm} is the number of such eigenstates for every cases. The Majorana charges are calculated from Eq. (55) for given zero mode states. We can see that $N_{\text{zm}} = 2|\mathcal{N}|$ and \mathcal{M} closes to $2\mathcal{N}$ as N increases.

FI	\mathcal{N}	J_1^x, J_1^y	J_2^x, J_2^y	J_3^x, J_3^y	J_4^x, J_4^y	J_5^x, J_5^y	N_{zm}	\mathcal{M} ($N = 200, 500$)
a	-2	0.4, 0	0, 0.6	0, 0	0, 0	0, 0	4	-3.65, -3.86
b	-1	0, 0.55	0.45, 0	0, 0	0, 0	0, 0	2	-1.71, -1.88
c	0	0.8, -0.2	0.5, 0.5	0, 0	0, 0	0, 0	0	0
d	1	1, 0	0, 0	0, 0	0, 0	0, 0	2	2, 2
e	1	0.8, 0	0.4, 0	0, 0	0, 0	0, 0	2	2, 2
f	2	0.4, 0	0.6, 0	0, 0	0, 0	0, 0	4	3.92, 3.9678
g	3	0.3, 0	0.2, 0	0.5, 0	0, 0	0, 0	6	5.76, 5.9033
h	4	0.25, 0	0.1, 0	0.15, 0	0.5, 0	0, 0	8	7.70, 7.88
i	5	0.2, 0	0, 0	0.15, 0	0.15, 0	0.5, 0	10	9.38, 9.77

Here γ_k is a fermion operator and the parameters are

$$u_k = \cos \frac{\theta_k}{2}, v_k = \sin \frac{\theta_k}{2}, \quad (7)$$

with

$$\cos \theta_k = \frac{2}{\epsilon_k} [g - \sum_{n=1}^M (J_n^x + J_n^y) \cos(nk)], \quad (8)$$

$$\sin \theta_k = \frac{2}{\epsilon_k} \sum_{n=1}^M (J_n^x - J_n^y) \sin(nk). \quad (9)$$

The spectrum is in the form

$$\epsilon_k = 2 \left\{ \left[\sum_{n=1}^M (J_n^x - J_n^y) \sin(nk) \right]^2 + \left[\sum_{n=1}^M (J_n^x + J_n^y) \cos(nk) - g \right]^2 \right\}^{1/2}, \quad (10)$$

where $k \in [-\pi, \pi)$. Based on this analysis, the ground-state phase diagram can be obtained. Actually, for $k = k_c = 0$, we have

$$\epsilon_{k_c} = 2 \left| \sum_{n=1}^M (J_n^x + J_n^y) - g \right|. \quad (11)$$

And for $k = k_c = \pi$, we have

$$\epsilon_{k_c} = 2 \left| \sum_{n=1}^M (-1)^n (J_n^x + J_n^y) - g \right|. \quad (12)$$

We find that the derivatives of ϵ_{k_c} with respect to parameters $\{J_n^x, J_n^y, g\}$ experience a discontinuity at points

$$g = g_c = \sum_{n=1}^M (J_n^x + J_n^y). \quad (13)$$

or

$$g = g_c = \sum_{n=1}^M (-1)^n (J_n^x + J_n^y). \quad (14)$$

In this paper, we will consider the phase diagram in alternative ways: pseudo spin and Majorana fermion representations. This starting point is the spinless fermion Hamiltonian

$$H = H_{\text{ch}} + H_{\text{b}}, \quad (15)$$

with

$$H_{\text{ch}} = \sum_{n=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^{N-n} [(J_n^x + J_n^y) c_j^\dagger c_{j+n} + (J_n^x - J_n^y) c_j^\dagger c_{j+n}^\dagger + \text{H.c.}] + \sum_{j=1}^N (g - 2g c_j^\dagger c_j), \quad (16)$$

and

$$H_{\text{b}} = (-1)^{N_p+1} \sum_{n=1}^M \sum_{j=N-n+1}^N [(J_n^x + J_n^y) c_j^\dagger c_{j+n} + (J_n^x - J_n^y) c_j^\dagger c_{j+n}^\dagger] + \text{H.c.}, \quad (17)$$

which represent the chain and the boundary parts, respectively. Here, $N_p = \sum_{j=1}^N c_j^\dagger c_j$ is the number of fermion.

In large N limit and $M \ll N$, the Hamiltonian can be written in k space as

$$H = \sum_k \{ 2 \left[\sum_{n=1}^M (J_n^x + J_n^y) \cos(nk) - g \right] c_k^\dagger c_k - i \sum_{n=1}^M (J_n^x - J_n^y) \sin(nk) (c_{-k}^\dagger c_k^\dagger + c_{-k} c_k) + g \} \quad (18)$$

by performing the Jordan-Wigner transformation in Eq. (3,4) and Fourier transformation in Eq. (5), respectively. We notice that the spinless fermion Hamiltonian is actually an extended one-dimensional mean field model for a triplet superconductor with long-range hopping.

An alternative way to diagonalize the Hamiltonian H is to induce the pseudo spin

$$\begin{aligned} s_k^- &= (s_k^+)^\dagger = c_k c_{-k}, \\ s_k^x &= \frac{1}{2} (c_k^\dagger c_k + c_{-k}^\dagger c_{-k} - 1), \\ s_k^z &= \frac{1}{2} (s_k^+ + s_k^-), \\ s_k^y &= \frac{1}{2i} (s_k^+ - s_k^-), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

instead of Bogoliubov operator γ_k . These operators satisfy the commutation relations of Lie algebra

$$[s_k^x, s_{k'}^\pm] = \pm \delta_{kk'} s_{k'}^\pm, \quad [s_k^+, s_{k'}^-] = 2\delta_{kk'} s_{k'}^x, \quad (20)$$

and lead to an alternative expression of the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{k>0} H_k = 4 \sum_{k>0} \vec{B}(k) \cdot \vec{s}_k, \quad (21)$$

where the components of $\vec{B}(k)$ are

$$B_x = \sum_{n=1}^M (J_n^x + J_n^y) \cos(nk) - g, \quad (22)$$

$$B_y = \sum_{n=1}^M (J_n^x - J_n^y) \sin(nk), \quad (23)$$

$$B_z = 0. \quad (24)$$

The spin of the operator \vec{s}_k can be taken as $s_k = 0$, 0 , and $\frac{1}{2}$. In this paper, we focus on the ground state, which corresponds to the case with $s_k = \frac{1}{2}$ for all k . In this sense, the physics of the Hamiltonian is clear, which represents an ensemble of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles in the field of a magnetic monopole. We note that

$$[H_k, H_{k'}] = 0, \quad (25)$$

which indicates that H_k is equivalent to the Hamiltonian of two Bloch bands [25].

In recent work [18], it has been generally shown that a system as the form of Eq. (21) can be regarded as an ensemble of free spins on a loop subjected to a 2D magnetic field of Dirac monopole [26]. The variation of the groundstate energy density, which is a function of the loop, experiences a nonanalytical point when the winding number of the corresponding loop changes. This fact indicates the relation between quantum phase transition and the geometrical order parameter characterizing the phase diagram.

The concept of Berry phase can be introduced since H_k can be regarded as a parameter dependent Hamiltonian. Furthermore, when we consider the band under a

slowly varying time-dependent perturbation, a quantized Berry phase should be obtained and may characterize the features of the band. As we shall see, the simplified Hamiltonian provides a natural platform to investigate the topological characterization of the QPT.

In the following, we consider two Hamiltonians $H_{\text{ch}} + H_{\text{b}}$ and H_{ch} , the ring Hamiltonian and the chain Hamiltonian. For the ring Hamiltonian, as mentioned above, the translational symmetry results in H_k . This ensures the calculations of Chern and winding numbers, which are utilized to identify the quantum phase. For the chain Hamiltonian, we will transform H_{ch} into Majorana fermion representation. The phase diagram will be indicated by the number of zero modes.

III. CHERN AND WINDING NUMBERS

We note that the ring Hamiltonian H_k always connects a loop in an auxiliary space. In previous paper [18], it has been shown that when the loop crosses the origin of the auxiliary space, phase transitions occur. Meanwhile, the winding number of the loop changes. Then the phase diagram can be characterized by the winding number of the loop. The conclusion is applicable to the present generalized model, which corresponds to a loop tracing with the parametric equation $\vec{r}(k) = (x(k), y(k), 0)$ with

$$\begin{cases} x(k) = \sum_{n=1}^M (J_n^x + J_n^y) \cos(nk) - g \\ y(k) = \sum_{n=1}^M (J_n^x - J_n^y) \sin(nk) \end{cases}. \quad (26)$$

The winding number of a closed curve in the auxiliary xy -plane around the origin is defined as

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_c \frac{1}{r^2} (x dy - y dx), \quad (27)$$

which is an integer, representing the total number of times that the curve travels anticlockwise around the origin. Then we establish the connection between the QPT and the switch of the topological quantity. Here we present a class of simple models to illustrate the idea.

We consider a class of Hamiltonian indexed by n ,

$$\begin{aligned} H_n &= \sum_{j=1}^N (J_n^x \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+n}^x + J_n^y \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+n}^y) \\ &\times \prod_{l=j+1}^{j+n-1} \sigma_l^z + g \sum_{j=1}^N \sigma_j^z, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

which corresponds to a loop tracing with the parametric equation

$$\begin{cases} x_n(k) = (J_n^x + J_n^y) \cos(nk) - g \\ y_n(k) = (J_n^x - J_n^y) \sin(nk) \end{cases}. \quad (29)$$

The geometry of the curve is obvious, which is the superposition of n identical ellipses with winding number $\mathcal{N} = n$ ($-n$) according to the Eq. (27) for $|g| < |J_n^x + J_n^y|$ and $J_n^{x2} - J_n^{y2} > 0$ ($J_n^{x2} - J_n^{y2} < 0$). Similarly, when we consider a Hamiltonian as H_n by switching J_n^x and J_n^y (or switching σ_j^x and σ_j^y), the corresponding loop obeys the equation

$$\begin{cases} x_n(k) = (J_n^x + J_n^y) \cos(nk) - g \\ y_n(k) = -(J_n^x - J_n^y) \sin(nk) \end{cases}, \quad (30)$$

which still represents n identical ellipses but with winding number $\mathcal{N} = -n$ for $|g| < |J_n^x + J_n^y|$.

More explicitly, when taking $J_n^x = J_{n \neq 1}^x = 0$ and $J_1^x = J^x \neq 0$, the system reduces to ordinary transverse field Ising model with Hamiltonians

$$H_{\text{Ising}} = \sum_{j=1}^N J^x \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+n}^x + g \sum_{j=1}^N \sigma_j^z. \quad (31)$$

The winding number for the ground states of H_{Ising} with $|g| < |J^x|$ is 1. Similarly, when taking $J_n^x = J_{n \neq 1}^x = 0$ and $J_1^y = J^y \neq 0$, the system reduces to

$$H'_{\text{Ising}} = \sum_{j=1}^N J^y \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+n}^y + g \sum_{j=1}^N \sigma_j^z. \quad (32)$$

The winding number for the ground states of H'_{Ising} with $|g| < |J^y|$ is -1 . The opposite signs representing two different quantum phases. These encouraging results strongly motivate further study of the relation between quantum phase and the geometric quantity of the system in the auxiliary space. To this end, we parameterize $\vec{r}(k)$ by its polar angle θ and azimuthal angle φ

$$\vec{r}(k, \varphi) = (r \sin \varphi \cos \theta, r \sin \varphi \sin \theta, \cos \varphi), \quad (33)$$

where $r = |\vec{r}(k)| = \sqrt{x^2(k) + y^2(k)}$ and

$$\sin \theta = \frac{y(k)}{r}, \cos \theta = \frac{x(k)}{r}. \quad (34)$$

It is a 2D-to-3D extension for the original model. The corresponding Hamiltonian can be expressed as

$$H_k(\varphi) = 4\vec{r}(k, \varphi) \cdot \vec{s}_k, \quad (35)$$

which goes back to the original one when $\varphi = \pi/2$. The two eigenstates $|u_k^\pm\rangle$, with energies $\pm E = \pm \sqrt{\cos^2 \varphi + r^2 \sin^2 \varphi}$, are

$$|u_k^\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E(E \pm \cos \varphi)}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi \pm E \\ r e^{i\theta} \sin \varphi \end{pmatrix}. \quad (36)$$

We are interested in the ground state, then considering the lower energy level. The Berry connection is given by

$$\begin{aligned} A_k &= i \langle u_k^- | \partial_k | u_k^- \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2E(E - \cos \varphi)} r^2 \sin^2 \varphi \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial k}, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

$$A_\varphi = i \langle u_k^- | \partial_\varphi | u_k^- \rangle = 0, \quad (38)$$

and the Berry curvature is

$$\Omega_{k\varphi} = \partial_k A_\varphi - \partial_\varphi A_k = -\frac{1}{2E^3} r^2 \sin \varphi \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial k}. \quad (39)$$

The corresponding Chern number is

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi d\varphi \int_0^{2\pi} dk \Omega_{k\varphi} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} r^2 \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial k} dk \int_{-1}^1 (r^2 - (r^2 - 1)t^2)^{-3/2} dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} [\theta(2\pi) - \theta(0)], \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where $t = \cos \varphi$. It can be seen that the loop of a Hamiltonian is the intersection of the integral surface on the xy plane. Then we have the conclusion

$$|c| = |\mathcal{N}|. \quad (41)$$

Here we only take the equation for absolute values. This is because that the extension in Eq. (33) is not unique. There are many other ways of 2D-to-3D extension, which can obtain the same result of Eq. (41). For example, one can take the extension by

$$\vec{r}(k, \varphi) = |\vec{r}(k)| (\sin \varphi \cos \theta, \sin \varphi \sin \theta, \cos \varphi), \quad (42)$$

which leads to $c = -N$. However, after taking the transformation by replacement $\theta \rightarrow -\theta$ or $\varphi \rightarrow -\varphi$, we have $c = N$. Then the relation between the signs of c and N depends on the way of the 2D-to-3D extension. Actually, the absolute sign of c or N is meaningless, while the relative sign of them is physical, opposite signs representing different states. The similar thing will happen in the Majorana charge of zero mode in next section.

Finally, we would like to point that this conclusion is true for any models in the form $H_k \propto x s_x^k + y s_y^k$. For the model in Eq. (1), the corresponding parameter equations of the integral surfaces are

$$\begin{cases} x = r \sin \varphi \cos \theta, \\ y = r \sin \varphi \sin \theta, \\ z = \cos \varphi, \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

where polar angle θ and radius r are explicitly expressed as

$$\tan \theta = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^M (J_n^x - J_n^y) \sin(nk)}{\sum_{n=1}^M (J_n^x + J_n^y) \cos(nk) - g}, \quad (44)$$

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \{[\sum_{n=1}^M (J_n^x - J_n^y) \sin(nk)]^2 \\ &+ [\sum_{n=1}^M (J_n^x + J_n^y) \cos(nk) - g]^2\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

In this paper, we illustrate our conclusion by several typical cases with the values of J_n^x and J_n^y ($n \in [1, 5]$) listed in Table I and plot the 3D surfaces in Fig. 1. The plots display the relation between the magnitudes of winding and Chern number clearly. However, the signs of the numbers cannot be visualized in the plots. This can be done by tracing the plots for varying k .

IV. MAJORANA CHARGE OF ZERO MODE

The above results indicates that the quantum phase of the model H exhibits topological characterization. Another way to unveil the hidden topology behind the model is exploring the zero modes of the corresponding Majorana Hamiltonian. Consider the system with open boundary conditions with the corresponding spinless fermion representation H_{ch} in Eq. (16).

We introduce Majorana fermion operators

$$a_j = c_j^\dagger + c_j, b_j = -i(c_j^\dagger - c_j), \quad (46)$$

which satisfy the relations

$$\{a_j, a_{j'}\} = 2\delta_{j,j'}, \{b_j, b_{j'}\} = 2\delta_{j,j'}, \quad (47)$$

$$\{a_j, b_{j'}\} = 0, a_j^2 = b_j^2 = 1. \quad (48)$$

The inverse transformation is

$$c_j^\dagger = \frac{1}{2}(a_j + ib_j), c_j = \frac{1}{2}(a_j - ib_j). \quad (49)$$

Then the Majorana representation of the Hamiltonian is

$$H = i \sum_{n=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^{N-n} (J_n^x b_j a_{j+n} - J_n^y a_j b_{j+n}) + ig \sum_{j=1}^N a_j b_j. \quad (50)$$

We write down the Hamiltonian in the basis $\psi^T = (a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, a_3, b_3, \dots)$ and see that

$$H = \psi^T h \psi, \quad (51)$$

where h represents a $2N \times 2N$ matrix. Here matrix h is explicitly written as

$$h = \frac{i}{2} \left[\sum_{n=1}^M \sum_{l=1}^{N-n} (J_n^x |2l\rangle \langle 2(l+n) - 1| - J_n^y |2l-1\rangle \langle 2(l+n)|) + g \sum_{l=1}^N |2l-1\rangle \langle 2l| + \text{h.c.} \right], \quad (52)$$

where basis $\{|l\rangle, l \in [1, 2N]\}$ is an orthonormal complete set, $\langle l | l' \rangle = \delta_{ll'}$. By taking a local unitary transformation

$$i|2l\rangle \rightarrow |2l\rangle, |2l-1\rangle \rightarrow |2l-1\rangle, \quad (53)$$

matrix h can be expressed as a simpler form with real matrix elements,

$$h = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{n=1}^M \sum_{l=1}^{N-n} (J_n^x |2l\rangle \langle 2(l+n) - 1| + J_n^y |2l-1\rangle \langle 2(l+n)|) - g \sum_{l=1}^N |2l-1\rangle \langle 2l| + \text{h.c.} \right], \quad (54)$$

which describes a tight-binding chain with long-range hopping.

We note that a winding number or Chern number has the positive or negative sign, denoting different phases, respectively. However, the number of zero modes is always positive. It is expected to have another quantity, which allows us to discern two phases with opposite Chern numbers, replacing the number of zero modes. To this end, we introduce the concept of Majorana charge for the first time to characterize the quantum phase. The magnitude of a Majorana charge is defined by the distribution of particle probability in zero-mode states, and the sign is defined by the type of Majorana fermions, a_j or b_j . The exact expression of a Majorana charge is

$$\mathcal{M} = \sum_{\alpha} \langle \alpha | (\widehat{M}_+ - \widehat{M}_-) | \alpha \rangle, \quad (55)$$

where $|\alpha\rangle$ denotes the zero mode state, and \widehat{M}_{\pm} denotes the particle number operator of Majorana fermion, which is defined as

$$\widehat{M}_+ = \sum_{l=1}^{N_+} (|2l-1\rangle \langle 2l-1| + |2N+2-2l\rangle \langle 2N+2-2l|) \quad (56)$$

$$\widehat{M}_- = \sum_{l=1}^{N_-} (|2l\rangle \langle 2l| + |2N+1-2l\rangle \langle 2N+1-2l|), \quad (57)$$

with

$$N_{\pm} = \frac{N}{2} \pm \frac{1 + (-1)^{N+1}}{4}. \quad (58)$$

For even or large N case, one can simply take $N_{\pm} = M$, since the particles distribute mainly at two ends.

Now we focus on the relation between \mathcal{M} and Chern number. We start our investigation from simple cases with $J_n^y = J_{n \neq n_0}^x = 0$ and $g = 0$. The corresponding loop in the auxiliary space reduces to

$$\begin{cases} x_{n_0}(k) = J_{n_0}^x \cos(n_0 k) \\ y_{n_0}(k) = J_{n_0}^x \sin(n_0 k) \end{cases}, \quad (59)$$

which is the superposition of n_0 identical circles with winding number $\mathcal{N} = n_0$. The corresponding matrix in Majorana fermion representation is

$$h_{n_0} = \frac{J_{n_0}^x}{2} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{N-n_0} |2l\rangle \langle 2(l+n_0) - 1| + \text{h.c.} \right). \quad (60)$$

Obviously, h_1 describes a dimerized chain, which possesses two zero modes and Majorana charge $\mathcal{M} = 2$. Furthermore, it can be shown that the spectrum of h_{n_0} possesses $2n_0$ zero modes. Based on the above analysis, we know that when we consider other simple cases with




FIG. 2. (Color online) The structures of Majorana lattices for h_{n_0} defined in Eq. (60) with $n_0 = 1, 2, 3, 4$. We find that h_{n_0} contains $2n_0$ isolated sites, allowing the existence of zero modes.




FIG. 3. (Color online) Phase diagrams for system with parameters satisfying the equation (40) identified by Chern numbers. The Chern number is obtained by two ways: (a) It is computed by the winding numbers from formula in Eq. (27). (b) It is computed by the number of zero modes with the sign of Majorana charge defined in Eq. (55). The result is obtained by exact diagonalization for Hamiltonian in Eq. (54) on $N = 200$ chain.

$J_n^x = J_{n \neq n_0}^y = 0$ and $g = 0$. The corresponding loop in the auxiliary space reduces to

$$\begin{cases} x_{n_0}(k) = J_{n_0}^y \cos(n_0 k) \\ y_{n_0}(k) = -J_{n_0}^y \sin(n_0 k) \end{cases}, \quad (61)$$

which has winding number $-n_0$. On the other hand, we have

$$\bar{h}_{n_0} = -\frac{J_{n_0}^y}{2} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{N-n_0} |2l-1\rangle \langle 2(l+n_0)| + \text{h.c.} \right), \quad (62)$$

which can be shown that the spectrum of \bar{h}_{n_0} possesses $2n_0$ zero modes and the Majorana charge $\mathcal{M} = -2n_0$.

Straightforward derivations show that

$$|[2j-1]\langle 2j-1|, h_{n_0}] = 0, \quad (63)$$

for $j < n_0 + 1$, and

$$|[2j]\langle 2j|, h_{n_0}] = 0, \quad (64)$$

for $j > N - n_0$. This indicates that there are always $2n_0$ isolated sites in the ending region of the chain, resulting $2n_0$ eigenstates with zero energy. This analysis is applicable for \bar{h}_{n_0} . In both situations, the Majorana charge equals to the winding number and Chern number. In Fig. 2, the structures of Majorana lattices for h_{n_0} and \bar{h}_{n_0} with $n_0 = 1, 2, 3$, and 4 are schematically illustrated. We find that h_{n_0} and \bar{h}_{n_0} contain $2n_0$ isolated

sites, allowing the existence of zero modes. In the present stage, we cannot provide a proof for general case. We explore the general case by exact numerical simulations. We calculate the eigenvalues and Majorana charges for the systems with the parameters listed in Table I (a)-(i) for finite N . Numerical results indicate that the Majorana charges accord with the winding numbers or Chern numbers.

We demonstrate the richness of the phase diagram by a toy model with parameters satisfying the equations

$$J_n^x = \exp\{-4[x - \frac{1}{2}(3-n)]^2\}, \quad (65)$$

$$J_n^y = 2(y-1)\exp\{-4[y - \frac{1}{2}(2-n)]^2\}. \quad (66)$$

The phase diagrams are obtained by Chern numbers for given (J_n^x, J_n^y) , which are computed in two different ways. On the one hand, one can calculate the winding number through the numerical integration in Eq. (27), which has been shown to be equal to Chern number. On the other hand, one can figure out the number of zero modes by exact diagonalization of the Majorana matrix for finite N . The sign of Chern number can be determined by the sign of the corresponding Majorana charge defined in Eq. (55).

We have established our main results, and a few comments are in order. First, notice that the zero mode states calculated here are not at exact zero-energy for

finite system except the cases for Hamiltonians in Eqs. (60) and (62). Accordingly, the Majorana charges are not located at exact edges. Second, we would like to point out that the sign of M is not absolute, depending on the definition of M . If we take $\widehat{M}_\pm \rightarrow \widehat{M}_\mp$, we will have $M \rightarrow -M$. However, the relative sign of Majorana charge is meaningful: different signs indicate different phases. Then when we say $c = N = M/2$, a suitable 2D-to-3D extension and Majorana representation should be chosen.

V. SUMMARY

We have studied the topological characterization of QPTs in a family of exactly solvable Ising models with short- and long-range interactions. We calculate the Chern number and winding number for the models with periodic boundary conditions. We have shown exactly that the Chern number and winding number are identical and can be utilized to characterize the phase diagram. This conclusion is applicable for more generalized systems. We also calculate the Majorana mode charge analytically and numerically. Our results indicate that the three numbers are equivalent. Although our conclusion is obtained for specific models, it reveals the possible connection between traditional and topological QPTs.

VI. REFERENCES

-
- [1] X. G. Wen, *Topological orders in rigid states*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **4**, 239 (1990).
 - [2] S. Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).
 - [3] M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane, *Colloquium: Topological insulators*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 3045 (2010).
 - [4] X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, *Topological insulators and superconductors*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 1057 (2011).
 - [5] F. Wilczek, *Majorana returns*, Nat. Phys. **5**, 614 (2009).
 - [6] G. Moore and N. Read, *Nonabelions in the fractional quantum hall effect*, Nucl. Phys. B **360**, 362 (1991).
 - [7] C. Nayak and F. Wilczek, *2n-quasihole states realize 2^{n-1} -dimensional spinor braiding statistics in paired quantum Hall states*, Nucl. Phys. B **479**, 529 (1996).
 - [8] D. A. Ivanov, *Non-Abelian Statistics of Half-Quantum Vortices in p-Wave Superconductors*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 268 (2001).
 - [9] A. Y. Kitaev, *Unpaired Majorana fermions in quantum wires*, Phys. Usp. **44**, 131 (2001).
 - [10] L. Fu and C. L. Kane, *Superconducting Proximity Effect and Majorana Fermions at the Surface of a Topological Insulator*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 096407 (2008).
 - [11] J. Alicea, *Majorana fermions in a tunable semiconductor device*, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 125318 (2010).
 - [12] Y. Oreg, G. Refael, and F. von Oppen, *Helical Liquids and Majorana Bound States in Quantum Wires*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 177002 (2010).
 - [13] R. Roy, *Topological Majorana and Dirac Zero Modes in Superconducting Vortex Cores*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 186401 (2010).
 - [14] W. DeGottardi, D. Sen, and S. Vishveshwara, *Topological phases, Majorana modes and quench dynamics in a spin ladder system*, New J. Phys. **13**, 065028 (2011).
 - [15] Y. Z. Niu, et al., *Majorana zero modes in a quantum Ising chain with longer-ranged interactions*, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 035110 (2012).
 - [16] Li-Jun Lang, Xiaoming Cai, and Shu Chen, *Edge States and Topological Phases in One-Dimensional Optical Superlattices*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 220401 (2012).
 - [17] Linhu Li and Shu Chen, *Characterization of topological phase transitions via topological properties of transition points*, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 085118 (2015).
 - [18] G. Zhang and Z. Song, *Topological Characterization of Extended Quantum Ising Models*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 177204, (2015).
 - [19] M. Suzuki, *Relationship among Exactly Soluble Models of Critical Phenomena. I*)-2D Ising Model, Dimer Problem and the Generalized XY-Model*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **46**, 1337-1359 (1971).
 - [20] A. Osterloh, L. Amico, G. Falci, and R. Fazio, *Scaling of entanglement close to a quantum phase transition*, Nature London **416**, 608 (2002).
 - [21] A. C. M. Carollo and J. K. Pachos, *Geometric Phases and Criticality in Spin-Chain Systems*, Phys. Rev. Lett.

- 95**, 157203 (2005).
- [22] Shi-Liang Zhu, *Scaling of Geometric Phases Close to the Quantum Phase Transition in the XY Spin Chain*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 077206 (2006).
 - [23] H. T. Quan, et al., *Decay of Loschmidt Echo Enhanced by Quantum Criticality*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 140604 (2006).
 - [24] P. Zanardi, H. T. Quan, X. G. Wang, and C. P. Sun, *Mixed-state fidelity and quantum criticality at finite temperature*, Phys. Rev. A **75**, 032109 (2007).
 - [25] D. Xiao, M.-C. Chang, and Q. Niu, *Berry phase effects on electronic properties*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 1959 (2010).
 - [26] P. Dirac, *Quantised Singularities in the Electromagnetic Field*, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A **133**, 60 (1931).