arXiv:1607.00099v1 [math.RA] 1 Jul 2016

k-Congruences on semirings

Song-Chol Han

Faculty of Mathematics, Kim Il Sung University, Pyongyang, Democratic People's Republic of Korea

ABSTRACT

For any semiring, the concept of k-congruences is introduced, criteria for k-congruences are established, it is proved that there is an inclusion-preserving bijection between k-congruences and k-ideals, and an equivalent condition for the existence of a zero is presented with the help of k-congruences. It is shown that a semiring is k-simple iff it is k-congruence-simple, and that inclines are k-simple iff they have at most 2 elements. Lemma 2.12(i) in [Glas. Mat. 42(62) (2007) 301] is pointed out being false.

MSC: 16Y60

Keywords: Semiring; k-Congruence; k-Ideal; Incline

1. Introduction

The notion of semirings was introduced by Vandiver [16] in 1934.

The most trivial example of a semiring which is not a ring is the set of all nonnegative integers with the usual addition and multiplication that is the first algebraic structure we encounter in life. The semiring of all ideals of a commutative ring with identity and the semiring of all endomorphisms on a commutative semigroup are nontrivial examples of semirings.

Semirings are algebraic systems that generalize both rings and distributive lattices and have a wide range of applications recently in diverse branches of mathematics and computer science such as graph, optimization, automata, formal language, algorithm, coding theory, cryptography, etc [5, 7, 12, 13].

Semirings have two binary operations of addition and multiplication which are connected by the ring-like distributive laws. But unlike in rings, subtraction is not allowed in semirings. For this reason, there are considerable differences between ring theory and semiring theory and many results in ring theory have no analogues in semirings. For instance, one can note that for any ring, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the congruences and ideals

E-mail address: ryongnam5@yahoo.com.

which associates with each congruence its zero-class, thus a ring is congruence-simple iff it is ideal-simple. However, the same statement is false for semirings. While it is true that every ideal in a semiring induces a congruence, there are semirings which have congruences not induced by any ideal.

In order to narrow the gap, Henriksen [8] in 1958 defined k-ideals in semirings, which are a special kind of semiring ideals much closer to ring ideals than the general ones. Since then, many researchers have developed k-ideal theory [1, 2, 6, 9, 11, 14, 17, 18].

The objective of the present paper is to study the connection of k-ideals with congruences in semirings.

With this theme, Lescot [10] showed the existence of a bijection between the saturated ideals and excellent congruences in any characteristic one semiring, and Zhou and Yao [19] proved the existence of a one-to-one correspondence between the ideals which are lower sets and regular congruences in any additively idempotent semiring. It turns out that characteristic one semirings are additively idempotent commutative semirings and saturated ideals are just k-ideals. And it was proved in Han [6] that the ideals which are lower sets are nothing but k-ideals in additively idempotent semirings.

In this paper, for any semiring, the concept of k-congruences is introduced, criteria for k-congruences are established (Theorem 3.3), it is proved that there is an inclusion-preserving bijection between k-congruences and k-ideals (Theorem 3.8), and an equivalent condition for the existence of a zero is presented with the help of k-congruences (Theorem 4.2). It is shown that a semiring is k-simple iff it is k-congruence-simple (Theorem 4.4), and that inclines are k-simple iff they have at most 2 elements (Theorem 5.4). Lemma 2.12(i) in [1] is pointed out to be false (Example 6.1).

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some known definitions and facts [4, 5, 6, 7].

Throughout this paper, R denotes a *semiring* $(R, +, \cdot)$, unless otherwise stated. That is to say, $(R, +)$ is a commutative semigroup, $(R, \cdot)$ is a semigroup, and multiplication distributes over addition from either side.

If R has an additively neutral element 0 and $0r = r0 = 0$ for all $r \in R$, then 0 is called a *zero* of R. We write $0 \in R$ when R has a zero 0. If R has a multiplicatively neutral element 1, then 1 is called an *identity* of R.

R is called a *commutative* semiring if multiplication is commutative.

A nonempty subset A of R is called an *ideal* of R if $a+b \in A$ and $ra, ar \in A$ for all $a, b \in A$ and $r \in R$. R and $\{0\}$ (if $0 \in R$) are said to be *trivial* ideals of R. Denote by $\mathcal{I}(R)$ the family of all ideals of R.

For an ideal A of R, the set

$$\overline{A} = \{x \in R \mid x + a = b \text{ for some } a, b \in A\}$$

is called the *subtractive closure* or *k-closure* of A in R. Then $\overline{A}$ is an ideal of R and it holds that $A \subseteq \overline{A}$ and $\overline{(\overline{A})} = \overline{A}$. A is said to be *k-closed* in R if $A = \overline{A}$. For ideals A and B of R, $A \subseteq B$ implies $\overline{A} \subseteq \overline{B}$.

An ideal A of R is called a *subtractive ideal* or *k-ideal* of R if $A = \overline{A}$. If A is an ideal of R, then $\overline{A}$ is a k-ideal of R. R is a k-ideal of itself, and $\{0\}$ is also a k-ideal of R if $0 \in R$. Denote by $\mathcal{KI}(R)$ the family of all k-ideals of R.

An equivalence relation $\equiv$ on R is called a *congruence* on R if for any $a, b, c \in R$, $a \equiv b$ implies $a + c \equiv b + c$, $ac \equiv bc$ and $ca \equiv cb$. Denote by $\mathcal{C}(R)$ the family of all congruences on R.

Given a congruence θ on R, the quotient set $R/\theta = \{[x] \mid x \in R\}$ consisting of all the congruence classes forms a semiring under the operations defined as $[x] + [y] = [x + y]$ and $[x] \cdot [y] = [xy]$ for $x, y \in R$. This semiring R/θ is called the *quotient semiring* of R by θ. If $0 \in R$, then $[0]$ is a zero of R/θ.

An ideal A of R defines a congruence κ_A on R by

$$x\kappa_A y \iff x + a = y + b \text{ for some } a, b \in A.$$

Then the k-closure $\overline{A}$ of A is a zero of the quotient semiring R/κ_A. In addition, $\kappa_A = \kappa_{\overline{A}}$.

Below, we assume that $|R| \geqslant 2$ to avoid trivial exceptions.

3. k-Congruences on semirings

In this section, we prove that there is a bijection between the family of all k-congruences and the family of all k-ideals for any semiring.

Lemma 3.1. If θ is a congruence on R and R/θ has a zero 0_θ, then 0_θ is a k-ideal of R.

Proof. Show that 0_θ is an ideal of R. If $a, b \in 0_\theta$, then $[a+b] = [a]+[b] = 0_\theta + 0_\theta = 0_\theta$ and so $a+b \in 0_\theta$. If $a \in 0_\theta$ and $r \in R$, then $[ar] = [a] \cdot [r] = 0_\theta \cdot [r] = 0_\theta$ and similarly $[ra] = 0_\theta$, thus $ar, ra \in 0_\theta$. Show that 0_θ is k-closed in R. If $x + b \in 0_\theta$ and $b \in 0_\theta$, then $[x] = [x] + 0_\theta = [x] + [b] = [x + b] = 0_\theta$ and so $x \in 0_\theta$. $\square$

Definition 3.2. A congruence θ on R is called a *subtractive congruence* or *k-congruence* if there is an ideal A of R such that $\theta = \kappa_A$. Denote by $\mathcal{KC}(R)$ the family of all k-congruences on R.

Theorem 3.3. If θ is a congruence on R, then the following conditions are equivalent.

(1) θ is a k-congruence.
(2) R/θ has a zero 0_θ and $\theta \subseteq \kappa_{0_\theta}$.
(3) R/θ has a zero 0_θ and $\theta = \kappa_{0_\theta}$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Suppose that $\theta = \kappa_A$ for an ideal A of R. Then the k-closure $\overline{A}$ is a zero of R/θ. If $x\theta y$, then $x + a = y + b$ for some $a, b \in A$ while $A \subseteq \overline{A}$ and so $x\kappa_{\overline{A}}y$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) By Lemma 3.1, 0_θ is an ideal of R. Show that $\kappa_{0_\theta} \subseteq \theta$. If $x\kappa_{0_\theta}y$, then $x + a = y + b$ for some $a, b \in 0_\theta$ and $[x] = [x] + 0_\theta = [x] + [a] = [x + a] = [y + b] = [y] + [b] = [y] + 0_\theta = [y]$, thus $x\theta y$. Hence $\theta = \kappa_{0_\theta}$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) This follows from Lemma 3.1. $\square$

Example 3.4. Let $R = \{0, a, b, c, d, 1\}$ be the lattice with the Hasse graph shown in Figure 1, which is not a distributive lattice. Define a multiplication $\cdot$ on R by

$$x \cdot y = \begin{cases} d, & \text{if } x, y \in \{1, b, c, d\} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $(R, \vee, \cdot)$ is a semiring and $A = \{0, a\}$ is an ideal of $(R, \vee, \cdot)$. Thus κ_A is a k-congruence on $(R, \vee, \cdot)$ with congruence classes $\{0, a\}$ and $\{1, b, c, d\}$.




Figure 1

Example 3.5. Let $R = \{0, a, b, c, 1\}$ be the distributive lattice with the Hasse graph shown in Figure 2. Then the congruence θ on $(R, \vee, \wedge)$ with congruence classes $\{0\}$ and $\{1, a, b, c\}$ is not a k-congruence. In fact, the zero of R/θ is $0_\theta = \{0\}$ and $a\theta b$ while $a \vee 0 \neq b \vee 0$.




Figure 2

By Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.3, we can define a function $\iota : \mathcal{KC}(R) \to \mathcal{KI}(R)$ by $\iota(\theta) = 0_\theta$, where 0_θ is a zero of R/θ. Also we define a function $\kappa : \mathcal{I}(R) \to \mathcal{C}(R)$ by $\kappa(A) = \kappa_A$.

Then we obtain the following conclusion from Theorem 3.3.

Lemma 3.6 If θ is a k-congruence on R, then $\kappa(\iota(\theta)) = \theta$.

Lemma 3.7. An ideal A of R is a k-ideal of R iff $\iota(\kappa(A)) = A$.

Proof. This follows from the fact that $\iota(\kappa(A)) = \iota(\kappa_A) = 0_{\kappa_A} = \overline{A}$. $\square$

Theorem 3.8. (1) The restriction of κ to $\mathcal{KI}(R)$ is an inclusion-preserving bijection from $\mathcal{KI}(R)$ onto $\mathcal{KC}(R)$.
(2) If $\mathcal{KI}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{I}(R)$ and κ is injective on $\mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{KI}(R)$.

Proof. (1) This follows from Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7.
(2) If $A \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\overline{A} \in \mathcal{KI}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. Since $\kappa(A) = \kappa_A = \kappa_{\overline{A}} = \kappa(\overline{A})$ and κ is injective on $\mathcal{F}$, $A = \overline{A}$ and thus $A \in \mathcal{KI}(R)$. $\square$

Remark 3.9. Let R be an additively idempotent semiring, i.e. $r + r = r$ for all $r \in R$. If A is an ideal of R and $x, y \in R$, then the condition that $x + a = y + b$ for some $a, b \in A$ implies the condition that $x + c = y + c$ for some $c \in A$. In fact, putting $c = a + b \in A$ gives $x + c = x + a + b = x + a + a + b = y + b + a + b = y + a + b = y + c$.

Remark 3.10. A characteristic one semiring is a commutative semiring with identity 1 such that $1 + 1 = 1$ [10, 11]. Obviously, characteristic one semirings are additively idempotent.

Remarks 3.9 and 3.10 show that Theorem 3.8(1) is a generalization of Theorem 3.8 in [10] and Theorem 5 in [19].

4. k-Simple semirings

In this section, we present an equivalent condition for a semiring to have a zero and a necessary and sufficient condition for a semiring to be k-simple by means of k-congruences.

Remark 4.1 $R \times R$ is always a k-congruence on R because $\kappa_R = R \times R$. But id_R is not necessarily a k-congruence on R.

Theorem 4.2. R has a zero 0 iff id_R is a k-congruence on R. In this case, $\kappa_{\{0\}} = \mathrm{id}_R$.

Proof. (Necessity) If R has a zero 0, then $\{0\}$ is an ideal of R and obviously $\kappa_{\{0\}} = \mathrm{id}_R$.

(Sufficiency) If id_R is a k-congruence on R, then each of the congruence classes is a singleton. By Theorem 3.3, R/id_R has a zero $[a] = \{a\}$, where $a \in R$. Show that a is a zero of R. For any $x \in R$, $[x + a] = [x] + [a] = [x]$

and so $x + a = x$. Also $[xa] = [x] \cdot [a] = [a]$ and similarly $[ax] = [a]$, thus $xa = a = ax$. $\square$

Theorem 4.2 enables us to give the following definition.

Definition 4.3. R is said to be *k-congruence-simple* if it admits no k-congruences other than $R \times R$ and id_R.

R is said to be *k-simple* or *simple* if it has no nontrivial k-ideals [2, 15]. R is said to be *congruence-simple* if it has just two congruences [4]. R is said to be *ideal-free* if it has no nontrivial ideals [15]. Obviously, congruence-simple semirings are k-congruence-simple and ideal-free semirings are k-simple.

Theorem 4.4. R is k-simple iff it is k-congruence-simple.

Proof. By Remark 4.1 and Theorem 4.2, this follows from the bijection established in Theorem 3.8(1). $\square$

Corollary 4.5. If R is a ring, then the following conditions are equivalent.

(1) R is ideal-simple as a ring.
(2) R is ideal-free as a semiring.
(3) R is k-simple as a semiring.
(4) R is congruence-simple as a ring.
(5) R is congruence-simple as a semiring.
(6) R is k-congruence-simple as a semiring.

Proof. Proposition 1.2 in [4] shows that (1), (2), (4) and (5) are equivalent. For any ring, a semiring ideal is a ring ideal iff it is a k-ideal. Thus (1) and (3) are equivalent. Hence Theorem 4.4 completes the proof. $\square$

5. k-Simple inclines

In this section, we show that inclines are k-simple iff they have at most 2 elements.

On any idempotent commutative semigroup $(S, +)$, a partial ordering $\leqslant$ is defined by $x \leqslant y \Leftrightarrow x + y = y$ for $x, y \in S$. Then the poset $(S, \leqslant)$ is a join-semilattice, where $x \vee y = x + y$ for all $x, y \in S$.

If R is an additively idempotent semiring, then $x \leqslant y$ implies $zx \leqslant zy$ and $xz \leqslant yz$ for all $x, y, z \in R$.

An additively idempotent semiring R is called an *incline* if $x + xy = x = x + yx$ for all $x, y \in R$. Then $xy \leqslant x$ and $yx \leqslant x$ for all $x, y \in R$ [3].

The following are examples of inclines:
(1) The Boolean algebra $(\{0, 1\}, \vee, \wedge)$,
(2) Each distributive lattice $(D, \vee, \wedge)$,

(3) The fuzzy algebra $([0,1], \vee, T)$, where T is a triangular norm,
(4) $([0,1], \wedge, S)$, where S is a triangular conorm,
(5) The tropical semiring $(\mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{\infty\}, \wedge, +)$, where $\mathbb{R}^+$ is the set of all non-negative real numbers,
(6) The semiring of all ideals of a commutative ring with identity together with addition and multiplication of ideals.

Lemma 5.1. For an incline R, the following hold.

(1) If R has a maximal element, then it is the greatest element of R.
(2) If R has a minimal element, then it is the least element of R.

Proof. (1) Let $a \in R$ be maximal in R. For any $r \in R$, $a \leqslant r + a$ and so $r + a = a$, i.e. $r \leqslant a$.
(2) Let $a \in R$ be minimal in R. For any $r \in R$, $ra \leqslant a$ and so $a = ra \leqslant r$. □

An element in an incline R is the least element of R iff it is the zero of R.

Lemma 5.2. Any incline R with $|R| \geqslant 3$ is not k-simple.

Proof. Since $|R| \geqslant 3$, it follows from Lemma 5.1 that there exists an element $r \in R$ which is neither maximal nor minimal in R. Let $A = \{x \in R \mid x \leqslant r\}$. Then $A \neq R$, and $A \neq \{0\}$ if $0 \in R$. Show that A is a k-ideal of R. If $x, y \in A$, then $x \leqslant r$, $y \leqslant r$ and $x + y \leqslant r$, thus $x + y \in A$. If $x \in A$ and $s \in R$, then $sx \leqslant x \leqslant r$, i.e. $sx \in A$ and similarly $xs \in A$. If $x \in A$ and $s \in R$ with $s + x \in A$, then $s \leqslant s + x \leqslant r$ and so $s \in A$. Hence R is not k-simple. □

Example 5.3. There exist exactly two 2-element inclines (up to isomorphism). They are R_0 and R_1 determined as follows.

R_0:

$+$	0	1
0	0	1
1	1	1

$\cdot$	0	1
0	0	0
1	0	0

R_1:

$+$	0	1
0	0	1
1	1	1

$\cdot$	0	1
0	0	0
1	0	1

Note that R_0 and R_1 are congruence-simple and ideal-free.

Summarizing Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 and Example 5.3 gives the following conclusion.

Theorem 5.4. The only k-simple inclines are R_0 and R_1.

6. A counterexample

In this section, we give a counterexample to show that the sum of k-ideals is not necessarily a k-ideal in semirings.

Lemma 2.12(i) in Atani [1] states that if I and J are k-ideals of R, then $I + J$ is a k-ideal of R, where R is any commutative semiring. Furthermore, the proofs of Lemma 2.13(i) and Theorem 2.16 use Lemma 2.12(i) in [1].

The following example says that Lemma 2.12(i) in [1] is false (see also p.75 in [5] and p.86 in [7]).

Example 6.1. Let $\mathbb{Z}^+$ be the semiring of all nonnegative integers together with the usual addition and multiplication. Though $(2) = 2\mathbb{Z}^+$ and $(3) = 3\mathbb{Z}^+$ are k-ideals of $\mathbb{Z}^+$, the sum $(2) + (3)$ is not k-closed in $\mathbb{Z}^+$. In fact, both 6 and 7 are in $(2) + (3)$ and $1 + 6 = 7$, but 1 is not in $(2) + (3)$.

7. An open problem

The classification of k-simple semirings remains open.

References

[1] S.E. Atani, The ideal theory in quotients of commutative semirings, *Glas. Mat.* 42(62) (2007) 301–308.

[2] A.K. Bhuniya, T.K. Mondal, Semirings which are distributive lattices of k-simple semirings, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* 36 (2012) 309–318.

[3] Z.Q. Cao, K.H. Kim, F.W. Roush, *Incline Algebra and Applications*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1984.

[4] R. El Bashir, T. Kepka, Congruence-simple semirings, *Semigroup Forum* 75 (2007) 588–608.

[5] J.S. Golan, *Semirings and their Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999.

[6] S.C. Han, Maximal k-ideals and r-ideals in semirings, *J. Algebra Appl.* 14(10) (2015) 1250195.

[7] U. Hebisch, H.J. Weinert, *Semirings*: *Algebraic Theory and Applications in Computer Science*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1998.

[8] M. Henriksen, Ideals in semirings with commutative addition, *Amer. Math. Soc. Notices* 5 (1958) 321.

[9] D.R. LaTorre, On h-ideals and k-ideals in hemirings, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 12 (1965) 219–226.

[10] P. Lescot, Absolute algebra II – Ideals and spectra, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 215 (2011) 1782–1790.

[11] P. Lescot, Prime and primary ideals in semirings, *Osaka J. Math.* 52 (2015) 721–736.

[12] G. Maze, C. Monico, J. Rosenthal, Public key cryptography based on semigroup actions, *Adv. Math. Commun.* 1 (2007) 489–507.

[13] E. Sakalauskas, One digital signature scheme in semimodule over semiring, *Informatica* 16 (2005) 383–394.

[14] M.K. Sen, M.R. Adhikari, On maximal k-ideals of semirings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 118 (1993) 699–703.

[15] H.E. Stone, Matrix representation of simple halfrings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 233 (1977) 339–353.

[16] H.S. Vandiver, Note on a simple type of algebra in which the cancellation law of addition does not hold, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 40 (1934) 916–920.

[17] H.J. Weinert, M.K. Sen, M.R. Adhikari, One-sided k-ideals and h-ideals in semirings, *Math. Pannon.* **7**(1) (1996) 147–162.

[18] G. Yesilot, On prime and maximal k-subsemimodules of semimodules, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* 39 (2010) 305–312.

[19] B. Zhou, W. Yao, Relations between ideals and regular congruences in idempotent semirings with a zero, *Basic Sci. J. Textile Univ.* 24 (2011) 253–255.