

Bi-Hermitian metrics on Kato surfaces

A.Fujiki, M.Pontecorvo

October 15, 2021

Abstract

We produce the first examples of bi-Hermitian metrics with connected numerically anti-canonical divisor on Kato surfaces. The picture of compact complex surfaces admitting such structures remains open only for intermediate Kato surfaces.

1 Introduction

By a bi-Hermitian surface we will mean in this work a Riemannian four-manifold (M^4, g) with two integrable complex structures J_+ and J_- which are g -orthogonal and induce the same orientation. To avoid the trivial case we assume there is $x \in M$ where $J_+(x) \neq \pm J_-(x)$. The compatibility of $J_\pm$ with a Riemannian metric – hence conformal structure $c := [g]$ – and an orientation is equivalent to the algebraic condition that, viewed as sections of $End(TM)$, they satisfy the following algebraic relation for some smooth function $p : M \rightarrow [-1, 1]$, see [Tr74] and [Po97, 1.5]

$$J_+J_- + J_-J_+ = -2p \cdot id.$$

The four-dimensional manifold M will always be assumed to be compact and in this situation it was shown in [Po97, 1.6] that a bi-Hermitian surface $(M, c, J_\pm)$ can admit one more compatible complex structure if and only if it is actually hyperhermitian: therefore a $K3$ surface, a complex torus or a special diagonal Hopf surface, all with standard metrics, by Boyer classification [Bo88].

Sort of conversely, one can consider the problem of finding all compact complex surfaces $S = (M, J)$ which admit a Hermitian metric g compatible with a different complex structure on S . The underlying conformal four-manifold $(M, c, J_\pm)$ is bi-Hermitian and

the complex surface S is either (M, J_+) or (M, J_-) . Examples show that these two surfaces may or may not be biholomorphic or deformation equivalent.

The search for bi-Hermitian surfaces is of particular interest because in higher dimension there is more flexibility for compatible complex structures and the above mentioned result [Po97, 1.6] certainly does not hold [Sa09].

The first obstruction to existence of bi-Hermitian structures which was observed [Po97], [AGG99, Prop.2] is that such a surface S needs to have an (automatically effective, or zero) numerical anticanonical divisor

$$\mathbf{T} = F \otimes K^* \tag{1}$$

where K will always denote the canonical line bundle, $K^* = K^{-1}$ the anticanonical bundle and F is a holomorphic line bundle of zero Chern class which is always either trivial or real of negative degree. Using the terminology of Dloussky [Dl06] we will refer to $\mathbf{T}$ as a NAC (numerically anti-canonical) divisor.

In this work we will always identify, by abuse, a divisor with the corresponding holomorphic line bundle. Although in general $\mathbf{T}$ is highly non-reduced, set theoretically its support is the set where the smooth function p has absolute value 1; in other words the support of $\mathbf{T}$ is the set where the two complex structures agree up sign:

$$\text{supp } \mathbf{T} = \{x \in M : J_+(x) = J_-(x)\} \amalg \{x \in M : J_+(x) = -J_-(x)\}.$$

Remark 1.1 It is worthwhile noticing that each of the above two components turns out to be either empty or connected - by surface classification - so that if we set $\mathbf{t} := b_0(\mathbf{T})$ there are only three possibilities: $\mathbf{t} = 0, 1, 2$ all of which do actually occur. $\triangle$

The case $b_1(S)$ even is well understood: the line bundle F of equation (1) turns out to be always trivial: $F = \mathcal{O}_S$ by [AGG99, Lemma 4]. After preliminary results of Hitchin on rational surfaces [Hi06] and [Hi07], Goto proved in [Go12] that a compact complex surface S with even first Betti number admits bi-Hermitian metrics if and only if $H^0(S, K^*) \neq 0$ showing that (1) is in fact a sufficient condition for surfaces of Kähler type.

We thus concentrate on the case $b_1(S)$ odd where it was shown by Apostolov [Ap01] that the fundamental equation (1) forces such a surface to be in class VII so that $b_1(S) = 1$ always. Furthermore, since blowing up points is compatible with bi-Hermitian metrics [FP10] and [CG11], we will also assume that S is minimal - i.e. free from smooth $\mathbb{CP}_1$'s of self-intersection -1 . Under this minimality assumption it was then shown that S must be a Hopf surface when $b_2(S) = 0$ [Ap01] or a Kato surface when $b_2(S) > 0$ [Dl06].

We present in this work a new result about bi-Hermitian Kato surfaces with $\mathbf{t} = 1$, see Theorem 4.3 which is complementary to the construction in [FP10] and leads to a

fairly complete picture for “unbranched” Kato surfaces. As the Hopf surface case was well understood in [Po97], [AD08] and [ABD15] we obtain a classification which shows a surprising abundance of bi-Hermitian surfaces, except for the case of “intermediate” Kato surfaces which, contrary to what was announced in an early version of this work [FP16], remains open.

Our main source of inspiration is the work of [ABD15] which points out how the $b_1(S)$ -odd case should be thought of as a twisted Kählerian case, the twisting being given by the flat bundle F in (1). For this reason we now begin with a brief discussion of Kato surfaces and special flat line bundles.

2 Kato surfaces and flat holomorphic line bundles of real type

As we mentioned in the introduction, when looking for compact complex surfaces S admitting a bi-Hermitian structure the only open case is that of Kato surfaces. They are compact complex 2-manifolds belonging to class VII_0 in Kodaira’s classification, meaning that the first Betti number $b_1(S)$ is odd, the Kodaira dimension $\text{Kod}(S) = -\infty$ and that every smooth rational curve $C \subset S$ has self-intersection $C^2 \neq -1$ in fact $C^2 \leq -2$, otherwise S would be a rational surface. Surfaces in this class always have first Betti number $b_1(S) = 1$ and they are classified only when the second Betti number $b_2(S) = 0$ in which case S is either a Hopf surface – i.e. the universal covering is $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ – or else is a Inoue-Bombieri surface; while bi-Hermitian Hopf surfaces are well understood from the work of Apostolov-Dloussky [AD08], no bi-Hermitian metrics can be supported on Inoue-Bombieri surfaces [Ap01].

When $b_2(S) > 0$ we say that $S \in \text{VII}_0^+$; the only known examples of such surfaces are called Kato surfaces [Ka77] and contain a *global spherical shell* (GSS) meaning that there is a holomorphic embedding $\phi : U \rightarrow S$, where $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ is a neighborhood of the unit sphere $S^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, such that $S \setminus \phi(U)$ is connected.

Such a surface S can always be obtained by successive blow ups of the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^2$ starting from the origin and continuing to blow up a point on the last constructed exceptional curve until, in order to get a compact complex surface, we take the quotient by a biholomorphism σ which identifies a small ball centered at a point p in the last exceptional curve with a small ball around the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$ in such a way that $\sigma(p) = 0$, see the picture on [Dl14, p.5]. This process constructs $b := b_2(S)$ rational curves $D_1, \dots, D_b$ of self-intersection ≤ -2 which are the only rational curves in S and is therefore minimal. A strong geometric characterization of these surfaces has been given

by Dloussky-Oejlklaus-Toma who showed that $S \in \text{VII}_0^+$ is a Kato surface if and only if it admits $b = b_2(S)$ rational curves [DOT03]. It should also be mentioned that the global spherical shell conjecture [Na89] states that every $S \in \text{VII}_0^+$ has a GSS and is therefore a Kato surface. We would now like to give a quick description of this class of non-Kähler surfaces based on the configuration of their rational curves using the terminology of the Japanese school.

When every blow up occurs at a generic point of the previously created exceptional curve we get $D_i^2 = -2$ for each $i = 1, \dots, b$ and the divisor $D_1 + \dots + D_b$ is a cycle C of rational curves of self-intersection $C^2 = 0$. In this particular case S is called an *Enoki* surface and is the only case in which $D_1, \dots, D_b$ do not span $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$. An Enoki surface admitting a compact curve E which is not rational smooth is called a *parabolic Inoue* surface, in this case E must be smooth elliptic with $E^2 = -b$; these surfaces always admit a holomorphic vector field and are the only Enoki surfaces with these properties.

The other extreme case is that of each blow up point being at the intersection with a previously created exceptional curve; these surfaces are called hyperbolic Inoue when the maximal curve is the union of two cycles and the only other case is that of their $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -quotients called *half-Inoue* surfaces. All other surfaces are obtained by a mixed procedure in which there are generic blow ups as well as blow ups at intersection points and are therefore called *intermediate* Kato surfaces.

Contrary to an early version of this work [FP16] we don't know about existence of bi-Hermitian metrics in the intermediate case. The situation for the “extreme” Kato surfaces is more clear because anti-self-dual bi-Hermitian metrics were constructed in [FP10] on hyperbolic and parabolic Inoue surfaces, while half Inoue and the other Enoki surfaces cannot admit such structures at all [FP14] [ABD15]. The present work concludes the classification of “extreme” Kato surfaces admitting bi-Hermitian metrics by producing the only remaining case of parabolic Inoue surfaces with $\mathbf{t} = 1$. **Notation.** The dual graph of all rational curves on intermediate Kato surfaces always consists of one cycle with a positive number of trees attached to it, see the picture in [FP15] and we will also call them “branched” Kato surfaces for this reason. In the extreme case there are only cycles with no trees and we will call them “unbranched”.

Flat holomorphic line bundles of real type

Denoting by (S, J) or simply by S either of the two compact complex surfaces $(M, J_{\pm})$ we will be particularly interested in $\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{R}}^0(S)$ by which we mean holomorphic line bundles in the image of $H_{dR}^1(S, \mathbb{R})$ and in the kernel of the first Chern class map. Recall that for class-VII surfaces we have an isomorphism $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}) \cong H^1(S, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}$, the group of zero-Chern class holomorphic line bundles is non-compact $\text{Pic}^0(S) \cong H^1(S, \mathbb{C}^*) \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ and we will denote by $\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{R}}^0(S)$ the bundles of real type coming from the exponential map

$H_{dR}^1(S, \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\exp} H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^{>0}) \hookrightarrow H^1(S, \mathbb{C}^*)$ so that elements of $\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{R}}^0(S)$ are complexifications of line bundles whose transition functions are real and locally constant.

In details, a closed 1-form α is locally exact: $\alpha = df_i$ on the open cover $\{U_i\}$ it defines a line bundle L_α with positive local trivializations e^{f_i} on U_i and locally constant transition functions $e^{f_j - f_i}$ on $U_i \cup U_j$ so that $L_\alpha \in H^1(S, \mathbb{R}^{>0})$ has vanishing first Chern class.

This correspondence is compatible with cohomology and provides the following sequence of isomorphisms:

$$\exp : H^1(S, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^1(S, \mathbb{R}^{>0}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{R}}^0(S) \hookrightarrow \text{Pic}^0(S) \cong \mathbb{C}^*$$

We will use Convention 2.1 in [AD16] and identify two real flat line bundles L_α and $L_{\alpha'}$ in $H^1(S, \mathbb{R}^{>0})$ if they correspond to closed 1-forms in the same cohomology class $a \in H_{dR}^1(S, \mathbb{R})$. Furthermore, we will denote by $\mathcal{L}_a \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{R}}^0(S)$ the flat holomorphic line bundle of real type which is the complexification of $L_a \in H^1(S, \mathbb{R}^{>0}) = \exp(H^1(S, \mathbb{R}))$.

We can now describe two main reasons for our interest in flat holomorphic line bundles of real type and we will denote the correspondence above by the morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \exp : H_{dR}^1(S, \mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{R}}^0(S) \cong \mathbb{R}^{>0} \\ a & \longmapsto & \mathcal{L}_a \end{array}$$

which sends $a + b$ to the tensor product $\mathcal{L}_a \otimes \mathcal{L}_b = \mathcal{L}_{(a+b)}$. While for the dual line bundle we use the notation $\mathcal{L}_a^* = \mathcal{L}_{-a}$.

We start by introducing the *Lee form* of an arbitrary Hermitian metric g on the complex surface S . In real dimension four the fundamental $(1, 1)$ -form $\omega(\cdot, \cdot) := g(J\cdot, \cdot)$ being non-degenerate induces an isomorphism $\omega : \Lambda^1 \rightarrow \Lambda^3$ taking $a \mapsto a \wedge \omega$ therefore there always exists θ such that $d\omega = \theta \wedge \omega$. This real 1-form θ is called the Lee form of (g, J) and notice that $b_1(S) = \text{odd}$ implies that its harmonic part $\theta_h \neq 0$ by a result of Gauduchon, see [AG07, Prop.1]. Under conformal rescaling of the metric $e^h g$ the Lee form transforms as $\theta + dh$, so that its de Rham class remains well defined.

In order to obtain flat line bundles we need to look at *closed* 1-forms. The first source comes from locally conformal Kähler metrics which we abbreviate by l.c.K. and correspond to the condition $d\theta = 0$ – i.e. $\theta = df$ locally so that $e^{-f}g$ is a local Kähler metric on S conformal to the original one. We get in this way a real flat bundle L_θ whose complexification has the structure of a holomorphic flat line bundle of real type $\mathcal{L}_\theta$ with operator $\bar{\partial}_\theta := \bar{\partial} - \theta^{0,1} \wedge$. We call this bundle the *Lee bundle* of the l.c.K. metric; it is never trivial because $b_1(S)$ is odd. It also follows from the above discussion that the l.c.K. fundamental $(1, 1)$ -form ω is a 2-form with values in L_θ^* , closed with respect to the differential operator $d_\theta := (d - \theta \wedge)$.

A second source of closed real 1-forms on a compact complex surface comes from bi-Hermitian structures $(M, c, J_\pm)$. For any $g \in c$ consider the two Lee forms $\theta_\pm$ of the Hermitian metrics $(g, J_\pm)$ which of course are real and need not be closed individually; however by [AGG99, Lemma 1] the sum $\theta_+ + \theta_-$ is always closed and it was shown in [AGG99, Prop. 2] that there always is a holomorphic section of $F \otimes K^*$ where F is the flat holomorphic line bundle of real type $F := \mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}(\theta_+ + \theta_-)}$.

The resulting effective (or zero) divisor $\mathbf{T} := F \otimes K^*$ is then a NAC divisor in both $(M, J_\pm)$ and recall that $0 \leq \mathbf{t} := b_0(\mathbf{T}) \leq 2$ by surface classification.

The case $\mathbf{t} = 0$ was completely described in [AD08] while it is shown in [FP14] that $\mathbf{t} = 2$ corresponds to the so called *generalized Kähler* case – i.e. $\theta_+ = -\theta_-$ [AG07]. In this work we will mainly be concerned with the situation when $\mathbf{t} = 1$ and S is a Kato surface – i.e. a minimal complex surface with a global spherical shell and $b_2(S) > 0$.

Degree of holomorphic line bundles

By a strong result of Gauduchon [Ga84], in every conformal class c of Hermitian metrics on a compact complex surface S there is a unique representative $\underline{g} \in c$ whose Lee form θ is coclosed or equivalently whose fundamental $(1, 1)$ -form ω is $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -closed. Such a g will be called a *Gauduchon metric*; it can be used to define the notion of degree of a holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(S)$ as follows [Ga81]:

$$\deg_g(\mathcal{L}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M \rho \wedge \omega$$

where ρ is the real curvature of a holomorphic connection on $\mathcal{L}$, it is a closed 2-form well defined modulo $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -exact forms. In this way the degree measures the g -volume with sign of a virtual meromorphic section of $\mathcal{L}$: in particular $\deg(\mathcal{L}) > 0$ whenever $H^0(S, \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{L} \neq \mathcal{O}$.

It is shown in [AD16] that in the special case $\mathcal{L}$ is a flat holomorphic line bundle of real type so that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_a$ for some $a \in H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbb{R})$, then:

$$2\pi \deg(\mathcal{L}_a) = -\langle a, \theta \rangle = -\langle a_h, \theta_h \rangle \quad (2)$$

where θ is the Lee form of the Gauduchon metric g with g -harmonic part denoted by θ_h while a_h denotes the g -harmonic part of the 1-form a and $\langle, \rangle$ is the global inner product with respect to g ; the last equality holds because a is closed and θ is coclosed.

In our case we always have $b_1(S) = 1$ and therefore the composition

$$\deg_g : H_{dR}^1(S, \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\text{exp}} \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{R}}^0(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (3)$$

is a linear isomorphism since $\theta_h \neq 0$.

The isomorphism itself depends on the Gauduchon metric, however because the space of Gauduchon metrics is connected (actually convex) and $H_{dR}^1(S, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$ is not, it follows that – for a fixed de Rham class a – the sign of the degree of $\mathcal{L}_a \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{R}}^0(S)$ does not depend on the particular conformal class but depends on the complex structure J alone.

Following [ABD15], in the case $b_1(S) = 1$ one can therefore define an orientation on $H_{dR}^1(S, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$ by setting $a > b$ if and only if $\deg(\mathcal{L}_a) > \deg(\mathcal{L}_b)$ for some (hence any) Gauduchon metric on (S, J) .

In the present b-Hermitian context $(M, J_{\pm})$, for a fixed class $a \in H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ the sign of the degree of $\mathcal{L}_a$ may actually depend on the particular complex structure J_+ or J_- as the following proposition shows.

Before getting to this result we recall a link with generalized Kähler geometry of Gualtieri who showed that this geometry always gives rise to bi-Hermitian metrics satisfying certain equations which in our four-dimensional case $(M, c, J_{\pm})$ amount to the condition that the two Lee forms admit a common Gauduchon metric in c and satisfy $\theta_+ = -\theta_-$ with respect to this metric. To be more precise there actually is a twisting coefficient $[H] \in H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$ which turns out to vanish if and only if $b_1(M)$ is even [AG07, Prop. 3].

Therefore in the case under study – i.e. $b_1(M) = \text{odd}$ – a *twisted generalized Kähler* structure is a bi-Hermitian surface with trivial fundamental flat line bundle: $F = \mathcal{O}$ because $F = \mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}(\theta_+ + \theta_-)}$ by [AGG99].

We can now state our result as follows; it will be used in the proof of 3.4 and 5.4.

Proposition 2.1 *A compact bi-Hermitian surface $(M, c, J_{\pm})$ with $b_1(M) = 1$ is twisted generalized Kähler if and only if $\mathbf{t} = 2$, if and only if the two complex structures J_+ and J_- induce opposite orientations on $H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. The fact that $\mathbf{t} = 2$ is equivalent to $F = \mathcal{O}$ was shown in one direction in [AGG99, Prop. 4] and in [FP14, 4.7] for the other direction.

Now, the degree of F was computed in [Ap01] using the already mentioned result of [AGG99] that the fundamental line bundle satisfies $F = \mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}(\theta_+ + \theta_-)}$. It then follows from equation (2) that the degree with respect to the J_+ -Gauduchon metric is $2\pi \deg_{J_+}(F) = -\langle \theta_+, \frac{1}{2}(\theta_+ + \theta_-) \rangle = -\frac{1}{4} \|\theta_+ + \theta_-\|^2$ because the equality $\|\theta_+\|^2 = \|\theta_-\|^2$ holds for any metric in the conformal class [Po97].

The first consequence is that $F = \mathcal{O}$ if and only if $\mathbf{t} = 2$, if and only if $\theta_+ = -\theta_-$. But in this case $\delta\theta_- = 0$ with respect to the J_+ -Gauduchon metric and by equation (2) we have that for any $a \in H_{dR}^1(\cdot, \mathbb{R})$

$$2\pi \deg_{J_+}(\mathcal{L}_a) = -\langle \theta_+, a \rangle = \langle \theta_-, a \rangle = -2\pi \deg_{J_-}(\mathcal{L}_a)$$

with respect to the common $J_{\pm}$ -Gauduchon metric, showing that the induced orientations are opposite.

Finally, when $\mathbf{t} \neq 2$ we compute $2\pi \deg_{J_-}(F) = -\langle \theta_-, \frac{1}{2}(\theta_+ + \theta_-) \rangle = -\frac{1}{4} \|\theta_+ + \theta_-\|^2 < 0$ with respect to any J_- -Gauduchon metric, as $F \neq \mathcal{O}$. We conclude that the fundamental line bundle F has negative degree for both J_+ and J_- and this is enough to infer that the induced orientations agree in this case, as $b_1(M) = 1$. $\square$

We end this section by observing that the degree of a Lee bundle – i.e. the holomorphic flat line bundle of a l.c.K. metric – is always strictly negative, because from equation (2) it follows that $\deg(\mathcal{L}_\theta) = -\|\theta_h\|^2 < 0$ otherwise g would be conformally Kähler and $b_1(S)$ even. In particular then, $H^0(S, \mathcal{L}_\theta) = 0$ and the same holds for any positive power.

3 Anti-self-dual bi-Hermitian surfaces

A twistor approach to compact bi-Hermitian surfaces appeared in [Po97] and was further developed in [FP10]. The main results are the following: assume $(M, c, J_{\pm})$ is compact and bi-Hermitian with $b_1(M) = \text{odd}$; then the conformal class of the metric is anti-self-dual if and only if it is l.c.K. with respect to a common Gauduchon metric. In this situation the fundamental divisor $\mathbf{T} = K^*$ must be anticanonical with two connected components – i.e. $\mathbf{t} = 2$. The two Lee forms satisfy the generalized Kähler equation $\theta_+ = -\theta_-$. In particular $\mathbf{T}$ is effective and both surfaces $S_{\pm}$ have negative Kodaira dimension. Viceversa, $\mathbf{t} = 2$ implies that $b_1(M)$ is odd [AGG99, Prop. 4] as well as the generalized Kähler condition [FP14, Prop. 4.7].

The fact that the anticanonical bundle is effective and disconnected has strong implications on the surfaces $S_{\pm}$: by [FP10, Lemma 3.2] the complex structure – recall once again that we assume the surface is minimal – can only be that of a Hopf surface, a parabolic Inoue surface or a hyperbolic Inoue surface. And the main contribution of [FP10] is to show that conversely all these surfaces do admit bi-Hermitian metrics, provided a certain “reality” condition is satisfied.

The aim of this section is to compute the Lee bundle of anti-self-dual bi-Hermitian surfaces, clarify the reality condition in all of the possible three cases and derive some consequences.

Before stating our result we need to set up the notation and recall some facts. We will write $C + E$ for the anticanonical divisor K^* of an anti-self-dual bi-Hermitian surface S where C and E are its connected components. C will be a cycle of rational curve in the case of parabolic or hyperbolic Inoue surface and a smooth elliptic curve in the remaining case of Hopf surfaces. While E will be smooth elliptic for Hopf and parabolic

Inoue surfaces and a cycle of rational curves for hyperbolic Inoue surfaces [FP10].

As the conformal class of the metric is anti-self-dual the total space of the twistor fibration $t : Z \rightarrow M$ is a complex three-manifold [AHS78], each compatible complex structure J defines a smooth and disconnected divisor $X = \Sigma + \bar{\Sigma} \subset Z$ which is the image of the tautological sections J and $-J$ of the twistor fibration. In the bi-Hermitian case we get four such sections denoted by $X_{\pm} = \Sigma_{\pm} + \bar{\Sigma}_{\pm}$; the sum $X_+ + X_-$ represents an anticanonical divisor in the twistor space Z because the Lee forms satisfy $\theta_+ + \theta_- = 0$ [Po97].

We also recall from [Po97] and [FP10] that the two twistor divisors X_+ and X_- intersect each other – transversally, except that they are tangential at the nodes of C and E – in the following configuration: the intersection $X_+ \cap X_-$ has four connected components consisting of the maximal curve $C_+ + E_+ = \Sigma_+ \cap X_-$ in $\Sigma_+ \cong (M, J_+)$ and the maximal curve $C_- + E_- = \Sigma_- \cap X_+$ in $\Sigma_- \cong (M, J_-)$; both maximal curves turn out to be anticanonical and reduced with two connected components..

We can arrange labelings in such a way that the cycle of rational curves is the complete intersection $C_+ = \Sigma_+ \cap \Sigma_-$. By restricting to $C_+ \subset \Sigma_+$ a locally defining function for the smooth divisor Σ_- in Z we get that

$$\nu_{C_+/\Sigma_+} = \Sigma_-|_{C_+} \quad \text{and similarly} \quad \nu_{C_+/\Sigma_-} = \Sigma_+|_{C_+} \quad (4)$$

In order to state our result more efficiently we restrict attention to the surface $S := S_+ = (M, J_+)$ which may or may not be biholomorphic to S_- . Recall also that the tautological twistor section J_+ identifies S and $\Sigma_+ \subset Z$. Similarly, the cycle $C_+ \subset \Sigma_+$ will be identified with $C \subset S$ while θ will denote the Lee form θ_+ .

Proposition 3.1 *Let $(M, c, J_{\pm})$ be an anti-self-dual bi-Hermitian compact surface with $b_1(M)$ odd. Then the Lee form θ of the common Gauduchon metric on $S = (M, J_+)$ is harmonic and using the above notations, the Lee bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\theta}$ is completely determined by the following equation:*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\theta|_C}^2 = \nu_{C/\Sigma_+}^* \otimes \nu_{C/\Sigma_-} \quad (5)$$

Proof. Under our assumptions $b_1(M) = 1$ so that the inclusion $i : C \hookrightarrow M$ induces an isomorphism of flat bundles $i_* : \text{Hom}(\pi_1(M), \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\pi_1(C), \mathbb{C})$ when C is a cycle of rational curves and an injection when it is a smooth elliptic curve. In any case the Lee bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\theta}$ is completely determined by its restriction to C .

Now, by the main result of [Po92, Theorem 2.1, Corollary 2.3] the Lee bundle of any anti-self-dual Hermitian metric can be read off from the twistor space and setting $\mathcal{L} = t^*(\mathcal{L}_{\theta})$ we have that the following equality of line bundles holds on Z :

$$X_+ = K_Z^{-\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \mathcal{L}$$

similarly, because the Lee forms are opposite [Po97, Lemma 3.4]

$$X_- = K_Z^{-\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^*$$

so that

$$\mathcal{L}^2 = X_-^* \otimes X_+$$

restricting this equation to $C = \Sigma_+ \cap \Sigma_-$ gives $\mathcal{L}_{|C}^2 = (\Sigma_-^* \otimes \Sigma_+)|_C$ and therefore $\mathcal{L}_{|C}^2 = \nu_+^* \otimes \nu_-$ by (4). Notice that each $\mathcal{L}^2 \in H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^{>0})$ determines a unique $\mathcal{L} \in H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^{>0})$, see (2). $\square$

Remark 3.2 As already mentioned, the above result applies to only three cases [FP10, lemma 3.2]: Hopf surfaces, hyperbolic and parabolic Inoue surfaces. When $S_+ = (M, c, J_+)$ is an anti-self-dual Hopf surface it is a quotient of $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ by a diagonal action generated by a contraction of the form $(z, w) \mapsto (az, bw)$. $S_{\pm}$ always have two elliptic curves, denoted by C and E , of periods a and b respectively. In order to compute the Lee bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\theta}$ of the l.c.K. metric on S_+ we notice that of course ν_{C/Σ_+} induces the flat line bundle C on S_+ which we associate to the non-zero complex number $a \in \mathbb{C}^* \cong \text{Pic}^0(S_+)$.

The Hopf surface S_- turns out to be the quotient by the conjugate contraction $(z, w) \mapsto (\bar{a}z, \bar{b}w)$ [Po97] so that ν_{C/Σ_-} corresponds to $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and by Proposition 2.1 we get that $\mathcal{L}_{\theta}^2$ corresponds to the real line bundle $-|a|^2$. We can exchange the roles of C and E in this case, leading to the reality condition $|b|^2 = |a|^2$ of [Po97].

Remark 3.3 The second case is the one of hyperbolic Inoue surfaces. In this case the complex structure of S_- turns out to be the transpose surface tS [Za01] [FP10, §3.4] of S_+ . For any of the two cycles C of $S = S_+$ our Proposition above states that the Lee bundle is induced by the tensor product of the conormal bundle of C in S with the normal bundle of C in tS . Now, the transpose hyperbolic Inoue surface tS is uniquely determined from S by changing the cyclic order of the irreducible components of its maximal curves; we can see in this way that the inclusion of either cycle C induces opposite orientations on $\pi_1(S)$ and this gives a geometric realization of Proposition 2.1. It also follows that the tensor product of the two (co)normal bundles is always real, flat (as the two contributions coming from the first Chern class $c_1(C)$ cancel out) and independent of the choice of C .

The most interesting case turns out to be the last case of parabolic Inoue surfaces. In what follows we will identify the Lee class of l.c.K. bi-Hermitian structures and clarify the reality condition appearing in the constructions of [Le91] and [FP10].

Proposition 3.4 *Let $(M, c, J_{\pm})$ be any anti-self-dual bi-Hermitian structure on a parabolic Inoue surface S . Then the Lee form θ of the common Gauduchon metric is harmonic and the Lee bundle satisfies $\mathcal{L}_{\theta}^* = C$ where C is the unique cycle of rational curves. It follows that C must represent a flat holomorphic line bundle of real type. A parabolic Inoue surface S satisfying this condition will be called of “real” type.*

Proof. The irreducible components of the cycle C of any Enoki surface, in particular of a parabolic Inoue surface S , all have self-intersection equal to -2 and therefore its self-intersection $C^2 = 0$ showing that C represents a flat line bundle always. As already mentioned the inclusion induces an isomorphism $Pic^0(C) \cong Pic^0(S)$ sending the normal bundle ν_{C/Σ_+} to $C \in Pic^0(S)$.

The notion of transposition does not apply in this case – as all self-intersections are equal – so that Σ_+ and Σ_- turn out to be isomorphic Inoue surfaces [FP10]. Since the two Lee forms are opposite, we get by Proposition 2.1 that $\nu_{C/\Sigma_-}^* = C \in Pic^0(S)$ and therefore $(\mathcal{L}_{\theta}^*)^2 = C^2$. It follows that $C = \mathcal{L}_{\theta}^* \in Pic_{\mathbb{R}}^0(S)$ therefore C must be real - i.e. is a singular elliptic curve of real period. $\square$

Remark 3.5 In [FP10] we constructed families of bi-Hermitian structures on parabolic and hyperbolic Inoue surfaces with fixed complex structure, and varying Riemannian metric g_t . The real dimension of these families can be computed from the twistor space and turned out to be b . The above result shows that these families of l.c.K. metrics all have the same fixed Lee class which only depends on the complex structure J and not on the metric g_t .

4 New bi-Hermitian metrics with $\mathbf{t} = 1$

We start this section by recalling a strong result of Apostolov-Bailey-Dloussky

Theorem 4.1 [ABD15] *Let $S = (M, J)$ be a compact surface in class VII which admits a l.c.K. metric and denote by $\mathcal{L}_{\theta}$ the Lee bundle of this metric. Suppose S also admits a NAC divisor $\mathbf{T} = F \otimes K^*$ satisfying $F = \mathcal{L}_{\theta}$ then, M has bi-Hermitian metrics $(g, J_{\pm})$ such that the following holds:*

1. $J_+ = J$
2. $\mathbf{T} = F \otimes K^*$ is the fundamental divisor of the bi-Hermitian structure $(M, [g], J_{\pm})$
3. $\mathbf{T}$ is connected: $b_0(\mathbf{T}) =: \mathbf{t} = 1$

Remark 4.2 Assume as usual that S is minimal, the existence of the NAC divisor $\mathbf{T}$ forces S to be a Hopf surface when $b_2(S) = 0$ or else a Kato surface, for $b_2(S) > 0$. These surfaces always admit l.c.K. metrics [GO99] [Be00] [Br11]. So the important point here is the relation between NAC divisors (which are often unique on these surfaces) and Lee bundles.

Every Hopf surface turns out to admit l.c.K. metrics with potential [GO99] and is a small deformation of a diagonal Hopf surface admitting l.c.K. metrics with parallel Lee form, this was used in [AD16, 5.1] to show that they have Lee bundles in every possible de Rham class.

For Kato surfaces the situation is different: because the universal cover has compact curves they cannot have l.c.K. metrics with potential and the result of Proposition 3.4 points out a new Lee class in parabolic Inoue surfaces of real type which, thanks to Theorem 4.1, will be shown to produce bi-Hermitian metrics of a new type. $\triangle$

Recall that all Kato surfaces have exactly $b_2 > 0$ rational curves some of which (maybe all) forming at least one (and at most two) cycle(s). We say that a Kato surface S is *unbranched* if every rational curve belongs to a cycle. The other Kato surfaces are *branched* and they are also called *intermediate*.

Together with the results of [FP10] the following gives a definite pictures of bi-Hermitian Kato surfaces with no branches.

Theorem 4.3 *An unbranched Kato surface S admits bi-Hermitian metrics with $\mathbf{t} = 1$ if and only if S is a parabolic Inoue surface of real type.*

Proof. It is shown in [FP14] and [ABD15] that if $(M, c, J_\pm)$ is a class-VII₀ bi-Hermitian surface with fundamental NAC divisor $\mathbf{T} = F \otimes K^*$ and $\mathbf{t} = 1$ then $S = (M, J_+)$ is either a Hopf surface (which is not a Kato surface) or a parabolic Inoue surface in which case $K^* = E \otimes C$ with the following properties: E is the unique (smooth) elliptic curve, C the unique cycle and they are disjoint. This implies that the only possibility for $\mathbf{T}$ to be non-empty and connected is therefore $F = C^*$.

In particular, the cycle C must correspond to a flat holomorphic line bundle of real type, i.e. the parabolic Inoue surface is of real type.

Viceversa, let S be such a parabolic Inoue surface and let $(c, J_\pm)$ be an anti-self-dual bi-Hermitian structure with $S = (M, J_+)$ as in the previous section – in particular l.c.K. We showed in Proposition 3.4 that the Lee bundle of such a metric satisfies $\mathcal{L}_\theta^* = C$, the conclusion then follows from Theorem 4.1. $\square$

Remark 4.4 These are the first examples of bi-Hermitian Kato surfaces with $\mathbf{t} = 1$. In order to complete the classification it would now be enough to consider branched Kato surfaces (also called *intermediate*) of index 1 – i.e. admitting a divisor $D = G \otimes K^*$ with $c_1(G) = 0$. It is known in this case [Dl06] that the NAC divisor is unique and contains the maximal curve which is connected, in particular we always have $\mathbf{t} = 1$ if a branched Kato surface is bi-Hermitian. In [FP15] we described some geometric obstructions to existence of bi-Hermitian metrics on intermediate – i.e. branched – Kato surfaces; however, it turns out that the techniques developed in this work are not enough to produce existence results for bi-Hermitian intermediate Kato surfaces. $\triangle$

5 Deformations

The deformation theory of l.c.K. structures with Lee form θ on a compact complex manifold $X = (M, J)$ is governed by the twisted de Rham complex defined by the differential operator $d_\theta := (d - \theta \wedge)$ which satisfies $d_\theta^2 = 0$ because θ is closed. We will denote by $H^r(M, L_\theta^*)$ the corresponding cohomology groups which only depend on the smooth structure of M ; recall from the introduction that the fundamental $(1, 1)$ -form $\omega \in H^2(M, L_\theta^*)$. It is also important to consider the twisted Dolbeaut complex defined by the differential operator $\bar{\partial}_\theta := (\bar{\partial} - \theta^{0,1} \wedge)$ whose cohomology groups $H^{p,q}(X, \mathcal{L}_\theta^*)$ are isomorphic to the sheaf cohomology groups $H^q(X, \Omega^p \otimes \mathcal{L}_\theta^*)$ by Dolbeaut theorem and therefore heavily depend on the complex structure J .

More precisely it is shown by Goto [Go14] that the deformation theory of l.c.K. structures is completely unobstructed if the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma holds at degree $(1, 2)$ and if furthermore $H^3(M, L_\theta^*) = 0$. Notice that the first condition holds automatically when $H^{0,2}(X, \mathcal{L}_\theta^*) \cong H^2(X, \mathcal{L}_\theta^*) = 0$ while the second is a smooth invariant one.

Remark 5.1 A simple consequence of the above discussion and the following one, is that if θ is an isolated Lee class on $S \in \text{VII}_0$, then S is either an unknown surface without a cycle of rational curves or else it must be an Inoue-Bombieri surface (with $b_2(S) = 0$) and furthermore the Lee class must represent the anticanonical bundle, see [Go14] and [Ot16]. $\triangle$

Remark 5.2 Since the appearance of the first version of this paper [FP16] several of the results in this section have been extended in [AD16a] to surfaces with $b_1 = 1$. $\triangle$

We are interested in l.c.K. and bi-Hermitian structures on small deformations of a given Kato surface S , for this reason let us notice that in dimension two we have $H^2(S, \mathcal{L}_\theta^*) \cong$

$H^0(S, K \otimes \mathcal{L}_\theta)$ by Serre duality; for this reason we now recall some known results about twisted pluri (anti-)canonical sections on class-VII surfaces, see [En81], [DO99] and [Dl06]:

Proposition 5.3 *Let S be a surface in class VII_0^+ and $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}^0(S)$ then,*

1. $H^0(S, K^m \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 0$ for all $m > 0$ and all $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}^0(S)$.
2. $H^0(S, \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$ for some $\mathcal{L} \neq \mathcal{O}$ if and only if S is an Enoki surface and $\mathcal{L} = C^m$ is a positive power of the cycle of rational curves $C \subset S$.
3. Assume S is not an Enoki surface. Then, $H^0(S, K^{-m} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$ for some $m > 0$ if and only if S is a Kato surface. The least such m is called the index of the Kato surface and the line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}^0(S) \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ is unique.
4. When S is an Enoki surface, $H^0(S, K^{-m} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$ with $m > 0$ holds if and only if S is parabolic Inoue in which case the index $m = 1$. Furthermore $\mathcal{L}$ is either trivial or $\mathcal{L} = C^{-m}$ where C is the unique cycle.

The following computation follows easily from vanishing results which have already been observed in [dLLMP03], [Go14, prop.6.1] and [AD16].

Lemma 5.4 *Let S be a Kato surface (or more in general a class-VII surface with a cycle of rational curves) and L a non-trivial flat line bundle on S , then $H^p(S, L) = 0$ for all $p \neq 2$ while $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(S, L) = b_2(S)$.*

Proof. The statement is invariant under diffeomorphisms therefore is enough to recall a result of Nakamura [Na89] stating that S is diffeomorphic to a blown up Hopf surface, we denote by $\check{S}$ the minimal model. After a deformation, we can assume that $\check{S}$ is bi-Hermitian with $\mathfrak{t} = 2$ and admits Vaisman metrics with arbitrary Lee form for both complex structures. It then follows by a result of [dLLMP03] that $H^p(\check{S}, L) = 0$ for every non-trivial flat line L . Finally, the result follows because the blow up map $b : S \rightarrow \check{S}$ induces a surjection $H^p(\check{S}, L) \rightarrow H^p(S, b^*(L))$ for every $p \neq 2$, see for example [FP14, Lemma 2.3] or [AD16, Lemma 3.3]. To conclude is enough to recall that by Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch $\chi(S, L) = \chi(S) = b_2(S)$. $\square$

Remark 5.5 Notice that instead when L is trivial, i.e. the differential operator is the usual de Rham operator, we have Betti numbers $b_i(S) = 1$ for each $i \neq 2$. $\triangle$

From the work of Goto [Go14] we then get the following result which was also proved by Brunella in his explicit construction [Br10, Theorem 2].

Corollary 5.6 *The deformation theory of l.c.K. structures on Kato surfaces is completely unobstructed in the sense that for a l.c.K. Kato surface S with Lee bundle L , every small deformation (S_t, L_s) of the pair is still l.c.K. with Lee bundle L_s .*

For a compact complex surface S with odd first Betti number Apostolv-Dloussky considered in [AD16] the following set

$$\mathcal{C}(S) := \{\theta \in H_{dR}^1(S, \mathbb{R}) \mid \theta \text{ is the Lee form of a l.c.K. metric}\}.$$

Of course when $b_1(S) = 1$ we have $\mathcal{C}(S) \subset (-\infty, 0) \subset H_{dR}^1(S, \mathbb{R})$ because Lee bundles have negative degree, see §1 above. When S is a Kato surface $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is open and contains an interval $(-\infty, B_S)$ by Brunella's construction [Br11, Remark 3]; the non-positive constant B_S varies smoothly under deformations and recall $B_S = 0$ when S is a (blown up) Hopf surface [AD16, Prop. 5.1].

Remark 5.7 Brunella's construction shows that for any Kato surface – i.e. for a fixed complex structure $S = (M, J)$ on the smooth four-manifold $M = (S^1 \times S^3) \# m\overline{\mathbb{CP}}_2$ – there exist l.c.K. metrics whose Lee form B_S is close to $-\infty \in H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbb{R})$. The result of Proposition 3.4 can be used to show that for any given $\gamma \in (-\infty, 0) \subset H_{dR}^1(M)$ there is a parabolic Inoue surface – i.e. a particular complex structure J on M – such that γ is the Lee form of a l.c.K. metric g on (M, J) ; the correspondence is given by taking the opposite of the g -volume of the cycle of rational curves which in turns is the trace $\text{tr}(S)$ of the parabolic Inoue surface and varies between 0 and 1, see [Dl14, Lemma 4.21].

For this reason, when γ is arbitrarily close to $0 \in H_{dR}^1(M)$ these metrics seem to be far from the ones constructed by Brunella. The same holds by Corollary 5.6 for any small deformation (M, J_t) of parabolic Inoue surfaces. Because every Enoki surface is a small deformation of a parabolic Inoue surface and Enoki surfaces fill up a big open set in the moduli space of Kato surfaces, we see that γ can be taken to be arbitrarily close to $0 \in H_{dR}^1(M)$ on an open set in the moduli space of Kato surfaces. $\triangle$

The above observations coupled with results of Apostolov-Dloussky give the following:

Corollary 5.8 *For any Enoki surface (S, J) which is a small deformation of a “real” parabolic Inoue surface, the set*

$$\mathcal{T}(S) := \{\alpha \in H_{dR}^1(S, \mathbb{R}) \mid \alpha \text{ is the Lee class of a LCS structure taming } J\}$$

of Lee classes of locally conformally symplectic structures (LCS) taming the complex structure is an open set $\mathcal{T}(S) \subset (-\infty, 0) \subset H_{dR}^1(S, \mathbb{R})$ containing the closed interval $(-\infty, \gamma]$. Here γ is a negative multiple of $\log |\text{trace}(S)|$, it goes to 0 as $|\text{trace}(S)|$ tends to 1.

Proof. Start with a parabolic Inoue surface S and apply [AD16, Proposition 4.4] with $b \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ a l.c.K. Lee form of Brunella (arbitrarily close to $-\infty$) and $c \in \mathcal{G}(S)$ the harmonic Lee form of our bi-Hermitian metrics to conclude that $(-\infty, c] \subset \mathcal{T}(S)$ because $H^2(S, \mathcal{L}_c) = 0$ by (5.3) and Serre duality. The same result holds for Enoki surfaces since they are small deformations of parabolic Inoue ones and since the stability result of (5.6) holds in the more general context of LCS structures yielding in particular that $\mathcal{T}(S)$ is always open for Kato surfaces, [AD16a, Theorem 1.3]. $\square$

Concluding remarks. *Intermediate surfaces.* Large families of deformations of Kato surfaces with second Betti number b have been introduced and studied by Dloussky in [Dl14] and [Dl16]. Over the b -dimensional base space $\mathcal{B}$ of these deformations, Enoki surfaces fill up an open subset and the boundary $\partial\mathcal{B}$ is a union of strata of different dimensions ranging from $(b-1)$ down to 0. The 0-dimensional stratum consists of hyperbolic and half Inoue surfaces which are rigid under deformations. The positive dimensional strata of $\partial\mathcal{B}$ consist instead of so called intermediate surfaces. If we denote by $\gamma \in (-\infty, 0) \subset H_{dR}^1$ the Lee class of an anti-self-dual bi-Hermitian metric on real parabolic Inoue surfaces, then γ is still a Lee class on nearby deformations which are necessarily Enoki surfaces; from [Dl14, Lemma 4.21] it follows that γ goes to $-\infty$ when approaching the boundary $\partial\mathcal{B}$ – because the trace goes to 0 – and therefore our results fail to produce new Lee classes (i.e. different from Brunella’s construction) on intermediate surfaces.

On the other hand, let us consider bi-Hermitian structures on hyperbolic Inoue surfaces; these surfaces belong to the 0-dimensional stratum in a large family of Kato surfaces and we would like to investigate existence of bi-Hermitian structures on nearby intermediate surfaces; every such surface (of index 1) has a unique NAC divisor $F \otimes K^*$ and is therefore a good candidate to admit bi-Hermitian metrics when the Gauduchon degree of F satisfies $\deg F < 0$. The flat line bundle F is controlled by the leading coefficient of the Favre germ of the surface, however it follows from [Dl14, 4.24] that $\deg F$ tends to $+\infty$ when the surface approaches a lower dimensional stratum and the conclusion is that there is no bi-Hermitian structure on intermediate Kato surfaces which are small deformations of hyperbolic Inoue ones. $\triangle$

References

- [Ap01] Apostolov V., Bihermitian surfaces with odd first Betti number. *Math. Zeit.* **238** (2001), 555-568.
- [ABD15] Apostolov V., Bailey M., Dloussky G., From locally conformally Kähler to bi-Hermitian structures on non-Kähler complex surfaces. *Math. Res. Lett.* **22** (2015)

317–336.

- [AD08] Apostolov V., Dloussky G., Bihermitian metrics on Hopf surfaces. *Math. Res. Lett.* **15** (2008), no. 5, 827–839.
- [AD16] ——— Locally conformally symplectic structures on compact non-Kähler complex surfaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* (2016), no. 9, 2717–2747.
- [AD16a] ——— On the Lee classes of locally conformally symplectic complex surfaces. arXiv:1611.00074
- [AGG99] Apostolov, V., Gauduchon, P., Grantcharov, G., Bi-Hermitian structures on complex surfaces. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **79** (1999), no. 2, 414–428; *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **92** (2006), no. 1, 200–202.
- [AG07] Apostolov V., Gualtieri M., Generalized Kähler manifolds, commuting complex structures and split tangent bundle. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **271** (2007) 561–575.
- [AHS78] Atiyah M.F., Hitchin N.J., Singer I.M., Self-duality in four-dimensional Riemannian geometry. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **362** (1978) 425–461.
- [Be00] Belgun, F. A., On the metric structure of non-Kähler complex surfaces. *Math. Ann.* **317** (2000) 1–40.
- [Bo88] Boyer, C.P., A note on hyperhermitian four-manifolds. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **102** (1988) 157–164.
- [Br10] Brunella, M., Locally conformally Kähler metrics on certain non-Kählerian surfaces. *Math. Ann.* (2010) **346** 629 – 639.
- [Br11] ——— Locally conformally Kähler metrics on Kato surfaces. *Nagoya Math. J.* **202** (2011), 77–81.
- [CG11] Cavalcanti G., Gualtieri M., Blowing up generalized Kähler 4-manifolds. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **42** (2011), no. 4, 537–557.
- [Dl06] Dloussky, G., On surfaces of class VII_0 with numerically anticanonical divisor. *Amer. J. Math.* **128** (2006), no. 3, 639–670.
- [Dl14] ——— From non-Kählerian surfaces to Cremona group of $\mathbb{CP}_2$. *Complex manifolds* **1** (2014) 1 – 33.

- [Dl16] ——— Special birational structures on non-Kählerian complex surfaces. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* **106** (2016) 76–122.
- [DO99] Dloussky, G. ; Oeljeklaus, K. Vector fields and foliations an compact surfaces of class VII_0 . *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **49** (1999) 1503 – 1545.
- [DOT03] Dloussky, G.; Oeljeklaus, K.; Toma, M. Class VII_0 surfaces with b_2 curves. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* **55** (2003) 283-309.
- [En81] Enoki, I. Surfaces of class VII_0 with curves. *Tôhoku Math. J. (2)* **33** (1981) 453-492.
- [FP10] Fujiki, A., Pontecorvo, M., Anti-self-dual bihermitian structures on Inoue surfaces. *J. Differential Geom.* **85** (2010), no. 1, 15–71.
- [FP14] ——— Twistors and bi-Hermitian surfaces of non-Kähler type. *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications (SIGMA)*. **10** (2014) Paper 042, 13 pp.
- [FP15] ——— Numerically anti-canonical divisors on Kato surfaces. *J. Geom. Phys.* **91** (2015) 117–130.
- [FP16] ——— Bi-Hermitian metrics on Kato surfaces. [arXiv:1607.00192v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.00192).
- [Ga81] Gauduchon P., Le théorème de dualité pluriharminique. *C.R. Acad. Sci Paris* **293** (1981) 59–63.
- [Ga84] ——— La 1-form de torsion d’une variété hermitienne compacte. *Math. Ann* **267** (1984) 495–518.
- [GO99] Gauduchon P., Ornea L., Locally conformally Kähler metrics on Hopf surfaces. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **48** (1998) 1107-1127.
- [Go12] Goto, R., Unobstructed K-deformations of generalized complex structures and bi-Hermitian structures. *Adv. Math.* **231** (2012) 1041–1067.
- [Go14] ——— On the stability of locally conformal Kähler structures. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **66** (2014) 1375–1401.
- [Hi06] Hitchin, N. J., Instantons, Poisson structures and generalized Kähler geometry. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **265** (2006) 131–164.
- [Hi07] ——— Bihermitian Metrics on Del Pezzo surfaces. *J. Symplectic Geom.* **5** (2007) 1–8.

- [Ka77] Kato, Ma., Compact complex manifolds containing “global” spherical shells. *I. Proceedings of the International Symposium on Algebraic Geometry* (Kyoto Univ., Kyoto, 1977), pp. 45–84, Kinokuniya Book Store, Tokyo, 1978.
- [Le91] Lebrun, C.R., Anti-self-dual Hermitian metrics on blown-up Hopf surfaces. *Math. Ann.* **289** (1991) 383–392.
- [dLLMP03] M. de León, B. López, J.C. Marrero, E. Padrón. On the computation of the Lichnerowicz-Jacobi cohomology. *J. Geom. Phys.* **44** (2003) 507 – 522.
- [Na89] Nakamura, I. Classification of non-Kähler complex surfaces. (Japanese) Translated in *Sugaku Expositions* **2** (1989) 209–229. *Sugaku* 36 (1984), no. 2, 110124.
- [Ot16] Otman A., Morse-Novikov cohomology of locally conformally Kähler surfaces. *Math. Z.* (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-017-1968-y>.
- [Po92] Pontecorvo M., On twistor spaces of anti-self-dual Hermitian surfaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **331** (1992) 653–661.
- [Po97] ——— Complex structures on Riemannian four-manifolds. *Math. Ann.* **309** (1997), no. 1, 159–177.
- [Sa09] Salamon, S., Complex structures and conformal geometry. *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital.* (9) **2** (2009) 199 – 224.
- [Tr74] Tricerri, F., Sulle varietà dotate di due strutture quasi complesse linearmente indipendenti. *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma* (3) **3** (1974) 349–358.
- [Za01] Zaffran D., Serre problem and Inoue-Hirzebruch surfaces. *Math. Ann.* **319** (2001), no. 2, 395–420.