

# On the additive bases problem

Hamed Hatami <sup>\*</sup>  
 School of Computer Science  
 McGill University, Montreal  
 hatami@cs.mcgill.ca

Victoria de Quehen  
 Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
 McGill University, Montreal  
 dequehen@math.mcgill.ca

March 8, 2022

## Abstract

We prove that if  $G$  is an Abelian group and  $A_1, \dots, A_k \subseteq G$  satisfy  $mA_i = G$  (the  $m$ -fold sumset), then  $A_1 + \dots + A_k = G$  provided that  $k \geq c_m \log n$ . This generalizes a result of Alon, Linial, and Meshulam [Additive bases of vector spaces over prime fields. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 57(2):203–210, 1991] regarding the so called additive bases.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $p$  be a fixed prime, and let  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$  denote the  $n$ -dimensional vector space over the field  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Given a multiset  $B$  with elements from  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ , let  $\mathcal{S}(B) = \{\sum_{b \in S} b \mid S \subseteq B\}$ . The set  $B$  is called an *additive basis* if  $\mathcal{S}(B) = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ .

Jaeger, Linial, Payan, and Tarzi [JLPT92] made the following conjecture and showed that if true, it would provide a beautiful generalization of many important results regarding nowhere zero flows. In particular the case  $p = 3$  would imply the weak 3-flow conjecture, which has been proven only recently by Thomassen [Tho12].

**Conjecture 1.** [JLPT92] *For every prime  $p$ , there exists a constant  $k_p$  such that the union (with repetitions) of any  $k_p$  bases for  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$  forms an additive basis.*

Let us denote by  $k_p(n)$  the smallest  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the union of any  $k$  bases for  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$  forms an additive basis. In [ALM91] two different proofs are given to show that  $k_p(n) \leq c_p \log n$ , where here and throughout the paper the logarithms are in base 2. The first proof is based on exponential sums and yields the bound  $k_p(n) \leq 1 + (p^2/2) \log 2pn$ , and the second proof is based on an algebraic method and yields  $k_p(n) \leq (p-1) \log n + p - 2$ . As it is observed in [ALM91], it is easy to construct examples showing that  $k_p(n) \geq p$ , and in fact, to the best of our knowledge, it is quite possible that  $k_p(n) = p$ .

Let  $G$  be an Abelian group, and for  $A, B \subseteq G$ , define the sumset  $A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ . For  $A \subseteq G$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $mA = A + \dots + A$  denote the  $m$ -fold sumset of  $A$ . Note that for a basis  $B$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ , we have  $(p-1)\mathcal{S}(B) = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ . On the other hand if  $B = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_k$  is a union with repetitions of  $k$  bases for  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ , then  $\mathcal{S}(B) = \mathcal{S}(B_1) + \dots + \mathcal{S}(B_k)$ . Hence Theorem 2 below is a generalization of the above mentioned theorem of Alon *et al* [ALM91].

**Theorem 2** (Main theorem). *Let  $G$  be a finite Abelian group and suppose that  $A_1, \dots, A_{2K} \subseteq G$  satisfy  $mA_i = G$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq 2K$  where  $K \geq m \ln \log(|G|)$ . Then  $A_1 + \dots + A_{2K} = G$ . Moreover for  $m = 2$ , it suffices to have  $K \geq \log \log(|G|)$ .*

---

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by an NSERC grant.

We present the proof of Theorem 2 in Section 2. While it is quite possible that Conjecture 1 is true, the following example shows that its generalization, Theorem 2, cannot be improved beyond  $\Theta(\log \log |G|)$  even when  $m = 2$ .

**Example 3.** Let  $n = 2^k$  and for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , let  $C_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^{2^i}$  be the set of vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{2^i} \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$  in which the first half or the second half (but not both) of the coordinates are all 0's. Note that  $C_i + C_i = \mathbb{Z}_p^{2^i}$ . Define  $A_0 = (\mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\})^{2^k}$  and for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , let

$$A_i = \underbrace{C_i \times \dots \times C_i}_{2^{k-i}} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^n.$$

It follows from  $C_i + C_i = \mathbb{Z}_p^{2^i}$  that  $A_i + A_i = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ . On the other hand a simple induction shows that for  $j \leq k$ ,

$$A_0 + \dots + A_j = (\mathbb{Z}_p^{2^j} \setminus \{\vec{0}\})^{2^{k-j}} \neq \mathbb{Z}_p^n.$$

■

**Remark 4.** Theorem 2 in particular implies that  $k_p(n) \leq 2(p-1) \ln n + 2(p-1) \ln \log p$ , and  $k_3(n) \leq 2 \log n + 2$ . Note that for  $p > 3$ , the algebraic proof of [ALM91] provides a slightly better constant, however unlike the theorem of [ALM91], Theorem 2 can be applied to the case where  $p$  is not necessarily a prime. ■

## 2 Proof of Theorem 2

The proof is based on the Plünnecke-Ruzsa inequality.

**Lemma 5** (Plünnecke-Ruzsa). *If  $A, B$  are finite sets in an Abelian group satisfying  $|A + B| \leq \alpha|B|$ , then*

$$|kA| \leq \alpha^k |B|,$$

*provided that  $k > 1$ .*

Next we present the proof of Theorem 2. For  $2 \leq i \leq K$ , substituting  $k = m$ ,  $A = A_i$  and  $B = A_1 + \dots + A_{i-1}$  in Lemma 5, we obtain

$$|G| = |mA_i| \leq \left( \frac{|A_1 + \dots + A_{i-1} + A_i|}{|A_1 + \dots + A_{i-1}|} \right)^m |A_1 + \dots + A_{i-1}|,$$

which simplifies to

$$|G|^{1/m} |A_1 + \dots + A_{i-1}|^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \leq |A_1 + \dots + A_{i-1} + A_i|.$$

Consequently

$$|G|^{1-\lambda} |A_1|^\lambda \leq |A_1 + \dots + A_K|,$$

where  $\lambda = \left(\frac{m-1}{m}\right)^K$ . Since  $K \geq m \ln \log |G|$ , we have  $\lambda = \left(\frac{m-1}{m}\right)^K < e^{-K/m} \leq 1/\log |G|$ , and thus  $|G|^\lambda < 2$  and  $|G|/2 < |A_1 + \dots + A_K|$ . Similarly we obtain

$$|G|/2 < |A_{K+1} + \dots + A_{2K}|.$$

Since  $A + B = G$  if  $|A|, |B| > |G|/2$ , we conclude

$$A_1 + \dots + A_{2K} = G.$$

Finally note that for  $m = 2$ , we have  $\lambda = 2^{-K}$ , and thus to obtain  $|G|/2 < |G|^{1-\lambda} |A_1|^\lambda$ , it suffices to have  $K \geq \log \log |G|$ .

### 3 Quasi-random Groups

While Example 3 shows that the bound of  $\Theta(\log \log |G|)$  is essential in Theorem 2, for certain non-Abelian groups, it is possible to achieve the constant bound similar to what is conjectured in Conjecture 1. A finite group  $G$  is called  $D$ -quasirandom if all non-trivial unitary representations of  $G$  have dimension at least  $D$ . The terminology “quasirandom group” was introduced explicitly by Gowers in the fundamental paper [Gow08] where he showed that the dense Cayley graphs in quasirandom groups are quasirandom graphs in the sense of Chung, Graham, and Wilson [CGW89]. The group  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  is an example of a highly quasirandom group. The so called Frobenius lemma says that  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  is  $(p-1)/2$ -quasirandom. This has to be compared to the cardinality of this group,  $|\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)| = p^3 - p$ . The basic fact that we will use about the quasirandom groups is the following theorem of Gowers (See also [Tao15, Exercise 3.1.1]).

**Theorem 6** ([Gow08]). *Let  $G$  be a  $D$ -quasirandom finite group. Then every  $A, B, C \subseteq G$  with  $|A||B||C| > |G|^3/D$  satisfy  $ABC = G$ .*

We will also need the noncommutative version of Ruzsa’s inequality.

**Lemma 7** (Ruzsa inequality). *Let  $A, B, C \subseteq G$  be finite subsets of a group  $G$ . Then*

$$|AC^{-1}| \leq \frac{|AB^{-1}||BC^{-1}|}{|B|}.$$

*Proof.* The claim follows immediately from fact that by the identity  $ac^{-1} = ab^{-1}bc^{-1}$ , every element  $ac^{-1}$  in  $AC^{-1}$  has at least  $|B|$  distinct representations of the form  $xy$  with  $(x, y) \in (AB^{-1}) \times (BC^{-1})$ .  $\square$

Finally we can state the analogue of Theorem 2 for quasi-random groups.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $G$  be a  $|G|^\delta$ -quasirandom finite group for some  $\delta > 0$ . If the sets  $A_1, \dots, A_K \subseteq G$  satisfy  $A_i A_i^{-1} = G$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq K$  where  $K > \log(3/\delta)$ . Then  $A_1 \dots A_{3K} = G$ .*

*Proof.* Obviously  $|A_1| \geq |G|^{1/2}$ . For  $2 \leq i \leq K$ , substituting  $A = C = A_i^{-1}$  and  $B = A_1 \dots A_{i-1}$  in Lemma 7, we obtain

$$\sqrt{|G||A_1 \dots A_{i-1}|} \leq |A_1 \dots A_i|,$$

which in turn shows

$$|G|^{1-2^{-K}} \leq |A_1 \dots A_K|.$$

Since  $K > \log(3/\delta)$ , we have

$$|G||G|^{-\delta/3} < |A_1 \dots A_K|.$$

We obtain a similar bound for  $|A_{K+1} \dots A_{2K}|$  and  $|A_{2K+1} \dots A_{3K}|$ , and the result follows from Theorem 6.  $\square$

**Remark 9.** Note that in particular for  $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , if  $p \geq 7$ , and  $A_1, \dots, A_{12} \subseteq G$  satisfy  $A_i A_i^{-1} = G$ , then  $A_1 \dots A_{12} = G$ .  $\blacksquare$

### Acknowledgements.

We would like to thank Kaave Hosseini, Nati Linial, and Shachar Lovett for valuable discussions about this problem.

## References

- [ALM91] N. Alon, N. Linial, and R. Meshulam. Additive bases of vector spaces over prime fields. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 57(2):203–210, 1991.
- [CGW89] F. R. K. Chung, R. L. Graham, and R. M. Wilson. Quasi-random graphs. *Combinatorica*, 9(4):345–362, 1989.
- [Gow08] W. T. Gowers. Quasirandom groups. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 17(3):363–387, 2008.
- [JLPT92] François Jaeger, Nathan Linial, Charles Payan, and Michael Tarsi. Group connectivity of graphs—a nonhomogeneous analogue of nowhere-zero flow properties. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 56(2):165–182, 1992.
- [Tao15] Terence Tao. *Expansion in finite simple groups of Lie type*, volume 164 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [Tho12] Carsten Thomassen. The weak 3-flow conjecture and the weak circular flow conjecture. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 102(2):521–529, 2012.