

On the proper push-forward of the characteristic cycle of a constructible sheaf

Takeshi Saito

March 5, 2024

Abstract

We study the compatibility with proper push-forward of the characteristic cycles of a constructible complex on a smooth variety over a perfect field.

The characteristic cycle of a constructible complex on a smooth scheme over a perfect field is defined as a cycle on the cotangent bundle [4] supported on the singular support [2]. It is characterized by the Milnor formula [4, (5.15)] for the vanishing cycles defined for morphisms to curves.

We study the compatibility with proper push-forward. First, we formulate Conjecture 1 on the compatibility with proper direct image. We prove it in some cases, for example, morphisms from surfaces to curves under a mild assumption in Theorem 6. We briefly sketch the idea of proofs, which use the global index formula (11) computing the Euler-Poincaré characteristic. For the compatibility Theorem 6, it amounts to prove a conductor formula (14) at each point of the curve. By choosing a point and killing ramification at the other points using Epp's theorem [3], we deduce the conductor formula (14) from the index formula (11). We give a characterization of characteristic cycle in terms of functorialities at the end of the article.

The research was partially supported by JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) 26247002.

For the definitions and basic properties of the singular support of a constructible complex on a smooth scheme over a perfect field, we refer to [2] and [4].

Let k be a perfect field and let Λ be a finite field of characteristic ℓ invertible in k . We say that a complex $\mathcal{F}$ of Λ -modules on the étale site of X is constructible if the cohomology sheaf $\mathcal{H}^q \mathcal{F}$ is constructible for every q and vanishes except for finitely many q . More generally, if Λ is a finite local ring of residue field Λ_0 of characteristic ℓ invertible in k , the singular support and the characteristic cycle of a complex $\mathcal{F}$ of Λ -modules of finite tor-dimension equals to those of $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\Lambda}^L \Lambda_0$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a constructible complex on a smooth scheme X over k . The singular support $SS\mathcal{F}$ is defined in [2] as a closed conical subset of the cotangent bundle T^*X . By [2, Theorem 1.3 (ii)], every irreducible component C_a of the singular support $SS\mathcal{F} = C = \bigcup_a C_a$ is of dimension n . The characteristic cycle $CC\mathcal{F} = \sum_a m_a C_a$ is defined as a linear combination with $\mathbf{Z}$ -coefficients in [4, Definition 5.10]. It is characterized by the Milnor formula

$$(1) \quad -\dim \operatorname{tot} \phi_u(\mathcal{F}, f) = (CC\mathcal{F}, df)_{T^*U, u}$$

for morphisms $f: U \rightarrow Y$ to smooth curves Y defined on an étale neighborhood U of an isolated characteristic point u . For more detail on the notation, we refer to [4, Section 5.2].

We say that a constructible complex $\mathcal{F}$ is *locally constant* if every cohomology sheaf $\mathcal{H}^q \mathcal{F}$ is locally constant. In this case, we have

$$(2) \quad CC\mathcal{F} = (-1)^n \text{rank } \mathcal{F} \cdot [T_X^* X]$$

where $T_X^* X$ denotes the 0-section and $n = \dim X$ by [4, Lemma 5.11.1]. Assume $\dim X = 1$ and let $U \subset X$ be a dense open subset where $\mathcal{F}$ is locally constant. For a closed point $x \in X$, the Artin conductor $a_x \mathcal{F}$ is defined by

$$(3) \quad a_x \mathcal{F} = \text{rank } \mathcal{F}|_U - \text{rank } \mathcal{F}_x + \text{Sw}_x \mathcal{F}$$

where $\text{Sw}_x \mathcal{F}$ denotes the alternating sum of the Swan conductor at x . Then, by [4, Lemma 5.11.3], we have

$$(4) \quad CC\mathcal{F} = - \left(\text{rank } \mathcal{F} \cdot [T_X^* X] + \sum_{x \in X \setminus U} a_x \mathcal{F} \cdot [T_x^* X] \right)$$

where $T_x^* X$ denotes the fiber.

To state the compatibility with push-forward, we fix some terminology and notations. We say that a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of noetherian scheme is proper (resp. finite) on a closed subset $Z \subset X$ if its restriction $Z \rightarrow Y$ is proper (resp. finite) with respect to a closed subscheme structure of $Z \subset X$.

Let $h: W \rightarrow X$ and $f: W \rightarrow Y$ be morphisms of smooth schemes over k . Let $C \subset X$ be a closed subset such that f is proper on $h^{-1}(C)$ and let $C' = f(h^{-1}(C)) \subset Y$ be the image of C by the algebraic correspondence $X \leftarrow W \rightarrow Y$. If $\dim W = \dim X - c$, the intersection theory defines the pull-back and push-forward morphisms

$$(5) \quad CH_\bullet(C) \xrightarrow{h^!} CH_{\bullet-c}(h^{-1}(C)) \xrightarrow{f_*} CH_{\bullet-c}(C').$$

We call the composition the morphism defined by the algebraic correspondence $X \leftarrow W \rightarrow Y$. If every irreducible component of C is of dimension n and if every irreducible component of C' is of dimension $m = n - c$, the morphism (5) defines a morphism $Z_n(C) \rightarrow Z_m(C')$ of free abelian groups of cycles.

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of smooth schemes over a field k . Assume that every irreducible component of X is of dimension n and that every irreducible component of Y is of dimension m . Let $C \subset T^*X$ be a closed conical subset. The intersection $B = C \cap T_X^* X$ with the 0-section regarded as a closed subset of X is called the base of C .

Assume that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is proper on the base B . Then, the morphism $X \times_Y T^*Y \rightarrow T^*Y$ induced by f is proper on the inverse image $df^{-1}(C)$ by the canonical morphism $df: X \times_Y T^*Y \rightarrow T^*X$. Let $f_\circ C \subset T^*Y$ denote the image of $df^{-1}(C)$ by $X \times_Y T^*Y \rightarrow T^*Y$. Then $f_\circ C \subset T^*Y$ is a closed conical subset.

By applying the construction of (5) to the algebraic correspondence $T^*X \leftarrow X \times_Y T^*Y \rightarrow T^*Y$ and $C \subset T^*X$, we obtain a morphism

$$(6) \quad f_*: CH_n(C) \rightarrow CH_m(f_\circ C).$$

Further if every irreducible component of $C \subset T^*X$ is of dimension n and if every irreducible component of $f_*C \subset T^*Y$ is of dimension m , we obtain a morphism

$$(7) \quad f_*: Z_n(C) \rightarrow Z_m(f_*C).$$

Now, let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of smooth schemes over k and assume that every irreducible component of Y is of dimension m . The base $B \subset X$ of the singular support $C = SS\mathcal{F} \subset T^*X$ equals the support of X by [2, Lemma 2.1(i)]. Then, the direct image

$$(8) \quad f_*CC\mathcal{F} \in CH_m(f_*C)$$

of the characteristic cycle $CC\mathcal{F}$ is defined by the algebraic correspondence $T^*Y \leftarrow X \times_Y T^*Y \rightarrow T^*X$. Further if every irreducible component of $f_*C \subset T^*Y$ is of dimension m , the direct image

$$(9) \quad f_*CC\mathcal{F} \in Z_m(f_*C)$$

is defined as a linear combination of cycles.

Conjecture 1. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of smooth schemes over a perfect field k . Assume that every irreducible component of X is of dimension n and that every irreducible component of Y is of dimension m . Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a constructible complex on X and $C = SS\mathcal{F}$ be the singular support. Assume that f is proper on the support of $\mathcal{F}$.*

1. *We have*

$$(10) \quad CCRf_*\mathcal{F} = f_*CC\mathcal{F}$$

*in $CH_m(f_*C)$.*

2. *In particular, if every irreducible component of $f_*C \subset T^*Y$ is of dimension m , we have an equality (10) of cycles.*

If $Y = \text{Spec } k$ is a point and X is proper over k , the equality (10) is nothing but the index formula

$$(11) \quad \chi(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{F}) = (CC\mathcal{F}, T_X^*X)_{T^*X}.$$

This is proved in [4, Theorem 7.13] under the assumption that X is projective. For a closed immersion $i: X \rightarrow P$ of smooth schemes over k , Conjecture 1 holds [4, Lemma 5.13.2]. Hence, for a proper morphism $g: P \rightarrow Y$ of smooth schemes over k , Conjecture 1 for $\mathcal{F}$ and $f = g \circ i: X \rightarrow Y$ is equivalent to that for $i_*\mathcal{F}$ and $g: P \rightarrow Y$. For the singular support, an inclusion $SSRf_*\mathcal{F} \subset f_*SS\mathcal{F}$ is proved in [2, Theorem 1.4 (ii)].

Lemma 2. *Assume that f is finite on the support of $\mathcal{F}$. Then Conjecture 1.2 holds.*

Proof. We may assume that k is algebraically closed. Since the characteristic cycle is characterized by the Milnor formula, it suffices to show that $f_*CC\mathcal{F}$ satisfies the Milnor formula (1) for $Rf_*\mathcal{F}$.

Let $Z \subset X$ denote the support of $\mathcal{F}$. Let $V \rightarrow Y$ be an étale morphism and $g: V \rightarrow T$ be a morphism to a smooth curve T with isolated characteristic point $v \in V$ with respect to f_*C . By replacing Y by V , we may assume $V = Y$.

By [4, Lemma 3.9.3 (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2)] and by the assumption that Z is finite over Y , the composition $g \circ f: X \rightarrow T$ has isolated characteristic points at the inverse image $Z \times_Y v$. Hence, the composition $g \circ f: X \rightarrow T$ is locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F}$ on a neighborhood of the fiber $X \times_Y v$ except at $Z \times_Y v$ and we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\phi_v(Rf_*\mathcal{F}, g) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{u \in Z \times_Y v} \phi_u(\mathcal{F}, g \circ f).$$

Thus by the Milnor formula (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\dim \operatorname{tot} \phi_v(Rf_*\mathcal{F}, g) &= \sum_{u \in Z \times_Y v} -\dim \operatorname{tot} \phi_u(\mathcal{F}, g \circ f) \\ &= \sum_{u \in Z \times_Y v} (CC\mathcal{F}, d(g \circ f))_{T^*X, u} = (f_*CC\mathcal{F}, dg)_{T^*Y, v} \end{aligned}$$

and the assertion follows. $\square$

If Y is a curve, Conjecture 1.2 may be rephrased as follows. Let $C = SS\mathcal{F}$ be the singular support and assume that on a dense open subscheme $V \subset Y$, the restriction $f_V: X_V = X \times_Y V \rightarrow V$ of f is C -transversal. Then, $f_*C \times_Y V$ is a subset of the 0-section. Thus the condition that every irreducible component of f_*C is of dimension 1 is satisfied. Further f_V is locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F}$. Since f is proper, $Rf_*\mathcal{F}$ is locally constant on V by [1, Théorème 2.1] and we have

$$(12) \quad CCRf_*\mathcal{F} = -\left(\operatorname{rank} Rf_*\mathcal{F} \cdot [T_Y^*Y] + \sum_{y \in Y - V} a_y Rf_*\mathcal{F} \cdot [T_y^*Y]\right).$$

For a closed point $y \in Y$, the Artin conductor $a_y Rf_*\mathcal{F}$ is defined by

$$(13) \quad a_y Rf_*\mathcal{F} = \chi(X_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathcal{F}) - \chi(X_{\bar{y}}, \mathcal{F}) + \operatorname{Sw}_y H^*(X_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathcal{F}).$$

In the right hand side, the first two terms denote the Euler-Poincaré characteristics of the geometric generic fiber and the geometric closed fiber respectively and the last term denotes the Swan conductor at y .

Let df denote the section of T^*X on a neighborhood of the inverse image X_y defined by the pull-back of a basis dt of the line bundle T^*Y for a local coordinate t on a neighborhood of $y \in Y$. Then, the intersection product $(CC\mathcal{F}, df)_{T^*X, y}$ supported on the inverse image of X_y is well-defined since $SS\mathcal{F}$ is a closed conical subset.

Lemma 3. *Let $C = SS\mathcal{F}$ be the singular support and let $V \subset Y$ be a dense open subset such that $f_V: X_V \rightarrow V$ is projective, smooth and C -transversal.*

1. *The equality (10) is equivalent to the equality*

$$(14) \quad -a_y Rf_*\mathcal{F} = (CC\mathcal{F}, df)_{T^*X, y}$$

at each point $y \in Y - V$, where the right hand side denotes the intersection number supported on the inverse image of y .

2. *Further, if f has at most isolated characteristic points, then Conjecture 1.2 holds. In particular, if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a finite flat generically étale morphism of smooth curves, then Conjecture 1.2 holds.*

3. *Let δ_y denote the difference of (14). If X and Y are projective, we have $\sum_{y \in Y - V} \delta_y \cdot \deg y = 0$.*

Proof. 1. Let $V' \subset V$ be the complement of the images of irreducible components C_a of the singular support $C = \bigcup_a C_a$ such that the image of C_a is a closed point of Y . Then, for every closed point $w \in V'$, the immersion $i_w: X_w \rightarrow X$ is properly C -transversal and we have $CCi_w^*\mathcal{F} = i_w^!CC\mathcal{F}$ by [4, Theorem 7.6]. Further, we have

$$(15) \quad f_*CC\mathcal{F} = -\left((i_w^!CC\mathcal{F}, T_{X_w}^*X_w) \cdot [T_Y^*Y] - \bigcup_{y \in Y - V} (CC\mathcal{F}, df)_{T^*X, y} \cdot [T_y^*Y]\right).$$

If we assume that $f_V: X \times_Y V \rightarrow V$ is projective, the index formula (11) implies

$$\text{rank } Rf_*\mathcal{F} = \chi(X_w, i_w^*\mathcal{F}) = (CCi_w^*\mathcal{F}, T_{X_w}^*X_w)_{T^*X_w}.$$

Thus, it suffices to compare (15) and (12).

2. If f has at most isolated characteristic points, (14) is an immediate consequence of the Milnor formula (1).

3. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (CCRf_*\mathcal{F}, T_Y^*Y)_{T^*Y} &= \chi(Y, Rf_*\mathcal{F}) \\ &= \chi(X, \mathcal{F}) = (CC\mathcal{F}, T_X^*X)_{T^*X} = (f_*CC\mathcal{F}, T_Y^*Y)_{T^*Y} \end{aligned}$$

by the index formula (11) and the projection formula. Thus it follows from 1. $\square$

We prove some cases of Conjecture 1.2 assuming that X is a surface.

Let X be a normal noetherian scheme and $U \subset X$ be a dense open subscheme. Let G be a finite group and $V \rightarrow U$ be a G -torsor. The normalization $Y \rightarrow X$ in V carries a natural action of G . For a geometric point $\bar{x}$ of X , the stabilizer $I \subset G$ of a geometric point $\bar{y}$ of Y above $\bar{x}$ is called an inertia subgroup at $\bar{x}$.

Lemma 4. *Let G be a finite group and*

$$(16) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} W & \xleftarrow{j'} & V \\ r \downarrow & & \downarrow r' \\ X & \xleftarrow{j} & U \end{array}$$

be a cartesian diagram of smooth schemes over a field k where the horizontal arrows are dense open immersions, the right vertical arrow $V \rightarrow U$ is a G -torsor and the left vertical arrow $r: W \rightarrow X$ is proper. Assume that for every geometric point x of X , the order of the inertia group $I_x \subset G$ is prime to ℓ .

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a locally constant sheaf on U such that the pull-back $r'^\mathcal{F}$ is a constant sheaf. Then, for the intermediate extension $j_{!*}\mathcal{F} = j_{!*}(\mathcal{F}[\dim U])[-\dim U]$ on X , we have an inclusion*

$$SSj_{!*}\mathcal{F} \subset r_*(T_W^*W).$$

Proof. Since the assertion is étale local on X , we may assume that $G = I_x$ is of order prime to ℓ . Then, the canonical morphism $\mathcal{F} = (r'_*r'^*\mathcal{F})^G \rightarrow r'_*r'^*\mathcal{F}$ is a splitting injection and induces a splitting injection $j_{!*}\mathcal{F} \rightarrow j_{!*}r'_*r'^*\mathcal{F}$. Hence, we have

$$SS(j_{!*}\mathcal{F}) \subset SS(j_{!*}r'_*r'^*\mathcal{F}).$$

Since every irreducible subquotient of the shifted perverse sheaf $j_{!*}r'_*r'^*\mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to an irreducible subquotient of a shifted perverse sheaf ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(Rr_*j'_*r'^*\mathcal{F}[\dim U])[-\dim U]$ extending $r'_*r'^*\mathcal{F}$, we have

$$SS(j_{!*}r'_*r'^*\mathcal{F}) \subset SS({}^p\mathcal{H}^0(Rr_*j'_*r'^*\mathcal{F})) \subset SS(Rr'_*j'_*r'^*\mathcal{F})$$

by [2, Theorem 1.4 (ii)]. Since $j'_*r'^*\mathcal{F}$ is a constant sheaf on W and r is proper, we have

$$SS(Rr_*j'_*r'^*\mathcal{F}) \subset r_*SS(j'_*r'^*\mathcal{F}) \subset r_*(T_W^*W)$$

by [2, Lemma 2.2 (ii), Lemma 2.1 (iii)]. Thus the assertion follows. $\square$

Proposition 5. *Let X be a normal scheme of finite type over a perfect field k , Y be a smooth curve over k and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a flat morphism over k . Let $V \subset Y$ be a dense open subscheme such that $f_V: X_V = X \times_Y V \rightarrow V$ is smooth.*

1. *There exist a finite flat surjective morphism $g: Y' \rightarrow Y$ of smooth curves over k and a dense open subscheme $X'' \subset X'$ of the normalization X' of $X \times_Y Y'$ satisfying the following condition:*

(1) *We have inclusions $X'_V = X' \times_Y V \subset X'' \subset X'$ and $X'' \subset X'$ is dense in every fiber of $X' \rightarrow Y'$. The morphism $X'' \rightarrow Y'$ is smooth.*

2. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a perverse sheaf on X_V and let $C \subset T^*X_V$ be a closed conical subset on which $\mathcal{F}$ is micro-supported. Assume that $f_V: X_V \rightarrow V$ is C -transversal. Then, there exist $g: Y' \rightarrow Y$ and $X'' \subset X' \rightarrow X \times_Y Y'$ as in 1. satisfying the condition (1) above and the following condition:*

(2) *Let $j': X'_V \rightarrow X''$ denote the open immersion and $C' = SSj'_{!*}\mathcal{F}'$ be the singular support of the intermediate extension $j'_{!*}\mathcal{F}'$ of the pull-back $\mathcal{F}'$ of $\mathcal{F}$ to X'_V . Then, the morphism $X'' \rightarrow Y'$ is C' -transversal.*

Proof. By devissage and approximation, we may assume that the complement $Y - V$ consists of a single closed point y and that the closed fiber X_y is irreducible. The assertion is local on a neighborhood in X of the generic point ξ of X_y .

1. It follows from [3].

2. Since $f_V: X_V \rightarrow V$ is smooth, the C -transversality of f_V and the condition that $\mathcal{F}$ is micro-supported on C are preserved after base change by [4, Lemma 3.9.2, Lemma 4.2.4]. After replacing Y by Y' and X by X'' as in 1., we may assume that $X \rightarrow Y$ is smooth. Shrinking X and Y further if necessary, we may assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is locally constant.

Let $W_V \rightarrow X_V$ be a G -torsor for a finite group G such that the pull-back of $\mathcal{F}$ on W_V is a constant sheaf. Let $r: W \rightarrow X$ be the normalization of X in W_V . Applying 1 to $W \rightarrow Y$ and shrinking X if necessary, we may assume that there exists a finite flat surjective morphism of smooth curves $Y' \rightarrow Y$ such that the normalization W' of $W \times_Y Y'$ is smooth over Y' .

Let $r': W' \rightarrow X'$ be the canonical morphism. Since the ramification index at the generic point ξ' of an irreducible component of the fiber X'_y is 1, the inertia group at ξ' is of order a power of p . Hence, after shrinking X if necessary, we may assume that for every geometric point w' of W' , the order of the inertia group is a power of p . Hence, by Lemma 4, we have $C' = SS(j'_{!*}\mathcal{F}') \subset r'_*(T_{W'}^*W')$. Since $W' \rightarrow Y'$ is smooth, the morphism $f': X' \rightarrow Y'$ is C' -transversal by [4, Lemma 3.9.3 (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1)]. $\square$

Theorem 6. *Let the notation be as in Conjecture 1 and let $C = SS\mathcal{F}$ be the singular support. Assume that $\dim X = 2$, $\dim Y = 1$ and that there exists a dense open subscheme $V \subset Y$ such that $f_V: X_V \rightarrow V$ is smooth and C -transversal. Then, Conjecture 1.2 holds.*

Proof. We may assume $\mathcal{F}$ is a perverse sheaf by [2, Theorem 1.4 (ii)]. Since the resolution of singularity is known for curves and surfaces, we may assume Y is projective. Since a proper smooth surface over a field is projective, the surface X is projective. Let $y \in Y - V$ be a point. It suffices to show the equality (14).

By Proposition 5 and approximation, there exists a finite flat surjective morphism $Y' \rightarrow Y$ of proper smooth curves étale at y and satisfying the conditions in Proposition 5 on the complement $Y - \{y\}$. Since the normalization X' of $X \times_Y Y'$ is projective, we may take a projective smooth scheme P and decompose $f': X' \rightarrow Y$ as a composition $X' \rightarrow P \rightarrow Y$ of a closed immersion $i: X' \rightarrow P$ and $g: P \rightarrow Y$.

Let $U = V \cup \{y\}$. Let $\mathcal{F}'$ be the pull-back of $\mathcal{F}$ to $X'_U = X' \times_Y U$ and let $j'_! \mathcal{F}'$ be the intermediate extension with respect to the open immersion $j': X'_U \rightarrow X'$. It suffices to show Conjecture 1.2 holds for $P \rightarrow Y$ and $\mathcal{G} = i_* j'_! \mathcal{F}'$. Outside the inverse image of y , the morphism $g: P \rightarrow Y$ has at most isolated characteristic points with respect to the singular support $SS\mathcal{G}$ by the condition (2) in Proposition 5 and [4, Lemma 3.9.3 (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1)] applied to the restriction of the immersion $X' \rightarrow P$ on the complement of a finite closed subset of X' . Thus, we have $\delta_{y'} = 0$ for any closed point $y' \in Y'$ not on y by Lemma 3.2. This implies $[Y': Y] \cdot \delta_y = 0$ by Lemma 3.3. Thus the assertion follows. $\square$

Theorem 7. *Let the notation be as in Conjecture 1 and let $C = SS\mathcal{F}$ be the singular support. Assume that $\dim X = \dim Y = 2$, that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is proper surjective and that every irreducible component of $f_* C$ is of dimension 2. Then, Conjecture 1.2 holds.*

Proof. By Lemma 2, the assertion holds except possibly for the coefficients of the fibers $T_y^* Y$ of finitely many closed points $y \in Y$ where $X \rightarrow Y$ is not finite. Let $v \in Y$ be a closed point and we show that the coefficients of the fibers $T_v^* Y$ are equal.

Since the resolution of singularity is known for surfaces and since a proper smooth surface over a field is projective, we may assume that Y and hence X are projective. By replacing X by the Stein factorization of $X \rightarrow Y$ except on a neighborhood of v , we define $X \rightarrow X' \rightarrow Y$ such that $f': X' \rightarrow Y$ is finite on the complement of v and $r: X \rightarrow X'$ is an isomorphism on the inverse image of a neighborhood of v .

Since X' is projective, we may take a projective smooth scheme P and decompose $f': X' \rightarrow Y$ as a composition $X' \rightarrow P \rightarrow Y$ of a closed immersion $i: X' \rightarrow P$ and $g: P \rightarrow Y$. Conjecture 1.2 holds for $\mathcal{G} = i_* Rr_* \mathcal{F}$ and $g: P \rightarrow Y$ except possibly for the coefficients of the fiber $T_v^* Y$ by Lemma 2. Namely, we have $g_* CC\mathcal{G} = CCRg_* \mathcal{G} = CCRf_* \mathcal{F}$ except possibly for the coefficients of the fiber $T_v^* Y$. By the index formula (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (CCRf_* \mathcal{F}, T_Y^* Y)_{T^* Y} &= \chi(Y, Rf_* \mathcal{F}) \\ &= \chi(P, \mathcal{G}) = (CC\mathcal{G}, T_P^* P)_{T^* P} = (g_* CC\mathcal{G}, T_Y^* Y)_{T^* Y}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have an equality also for the coefficients of the fiber $T_v^* Y$. $\square$

We give a characterization of characteristic cycle using functoriality. For the definition of C -transversal morphisms $h: W \rightarrow X$ of smooth schemes and the pull-back $Z_n(C) \rightarrow Z_m(h^* C)$, we refer to [4, Definition 7.1]. For a constructible complex $\mathcal{F}$ on a projective space $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}^n$, let $R\mathcal{F} = Rp_*^\vee p^* \mathcal{F}[n-1]$ denote the Radon transform on the dual projective space $\mathbf{P}^\vee$ where $p: Q \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ and $p^\vee: Q \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^\vee$ denote the projections on the universal family of hyperplanes $Q = \{(x, H) \in \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}^\vee \mid x \in H\}$. For a linear combination $A = \sum_a m_a C_a$ of irreducible closed conical subset $C_a \subset T^* \mathbf{P}$ of dimension n , let $LA = (-1)^{n-1} p_*^\vee p^! A$ denote the Legendre transform (cf. [4, Corollary 7.5]).

Proposition 8. *Let k be a perfect field and Λ be a finite field of characteristic ℓ invertible in k . Then, there exists a unique way to attach a linear combination $A(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_a m_a C_a$ satisfying the conditions (1)–(5) below of irreducible components of the singular support $SS\mathcal{F} = C = \bigcup_a C_a \subset T^*X$ to each smooth scheme X over k and each constructible complex $\mathcal{F}$ of Λ -modules on X :*

- (1) *For every étale morphism $j: U \rightarrow X$, we have $A(j^*\mathcal{F}) = j^*A(\mathcal{F})$.*
 - (2) *For every properly C -transversal closed immersion $i: W \rightarrow X$ of smooth schemes, we have $A(i^*\mathcal{F}) = i^!A(\mathcal{F})$.*
 - (3) *For every closed immersion $i: X \rightarrow P$ of smooth schemes, we have $A(i_*\mathcal{F}) = i_*A(\mathcal{F})$.*
 - (4) *For the Radon transform, we have $A(R\mathcal{F}) = LA(\mathcal{F})$.*
 - (5) *For $X = \text{Spec } k$, we have $A(\mathcal{F}) = \text{rank } \mathcal{F} \cdot T_X^*X$.*
- If $A(\mathcal{F})$ satisfies the conditions (1)–(5), then we have*

$$(17) \quad A(\mathcal{F}) = CC\mathcal{F}.$$

As the proof below shows, it suffices to assume the condition (2) in the case where $i: x \rightarrow X$ is the closed immersion of a closed point or $i: L \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ is the closed immersions of lines in projective spaces. By the definition of the naive Radon transform and the naive Legendre transform, the equality in condition (4) can be decomposed as $A(Rp_*^\vee p^*\mathcal{F}) = p_*^\vee A(p^*\mathcal{F}) = p_*^\vee p^!A(\mathcal{F})$. The first (resp. second) equality corresponds to a special case of Conjecture 1.2 by [4, Lemma 3.11] (resp. to a special case of [4, Proposition 5.17]).

Proof. The characteristic cycles satisfy the conditions (1)–(5) by [4, Lemma 5.11.2], [4, Theorem 6.6], [4, Lemma 5.13.2], [4, Corollary 7.12], [4, Lemma 5.11.1] respectively. Thus the existence is proved.

We show the uniqueness. It suffices to show the equality (17). By the condition (2) applied to the closed immersion $x \rightarrow X$ of a closed point in a dense open subscheme $U \subset X$ where $\mathcal{F}$ is locally constant and by the conditions (1) and (5), the coefficient of the 0-section T_X^*X in $A(\mathcal{F})$ equals the rank of the restriction $\mathcal{F}|_U$.

We show that this property and the conditions (3)–(5) imply the index formula for projective smooth scheme X . By (3), we may assume that X is a projective space $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}^n$ for $n \geq 2$. Let $R^\vee \mathcal{G} = Rp_* p^{\vee*} \mathcal{G}(n-1)[n-1]$ and $L^\vee B = (-1)^{n-1} p_* p^{\vee!} B$ denote the inverse Radon transform and the inverse Legendre transform. Then, $R^\vee R\mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}$ up to locally constant complex of rank $(n-2) \cdot \chi(\mathbf{P}_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{F})$. Hence the coefficient of the 0-section $T_{\mathbf{P}}^*\mathbf{P}$ in $A(R^\vee R\mathcal{F})$ equals that in $A(\mathcal{F})$ plus $(n-1) \cdot \chi(\mathbf{P}_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{F})$. Similarly, the coefficient of $T_{\mathbf{P}}^*\mathbf{P}$ in $L^\vee LA(\mathcal{F})$ equals that in $A(\mathcal{F})$ plus $(n-1) \cdot (A(\mathcal{F}), T_{\mathbf{P}}^*\mathbf{P})_{T^*\mathbf{P}}$. Thus, we obtain the index formula $\chi(\mathbf{P}_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{F}) = (A(\mathcal{F}), T_{\mathbf{P}}^*\mathbf{P})_{T^*\mathbf{P}}$ for projective X .

Next, we show (17) assuming $\dim X = 1$. Let $U \subset X$ be a dense open subscheme where $\mathcal{F}$ is locally constant. Then, we have

$$A(\mathcal{F}) = - \left(\text{rank } \mathcal{F} \cdot T_X^*X + \sum_{x \in X - U} m_x \cdot T_x^*X \right).$$

We show m_x equals the Artin conductor $a_x \mathcal{F}$. If $\mathcal{F}|_U$ is unramified at x and if $\mathcal{F}_x = 0$, the same argument as above shows $m_x = \text{rank } \mathcal{F}|_U = a_x \mathcal{F}$. We show the general case. By (1), we may assume $U = X - \{x\}$. Further shrinking X , we may assume that there exists a finite étale surjective morphism $\pi: X' \rightarrow X$ such that the pull-back $\pi^*\mathcal{F}$ is unramified

at every point $\bar{X}' - X'$ of the boundary of a smooth compactification $j': X' \rightarrow \bar{X}'$. Then, we have

$$A(j'_*\pi^*\mathcal{F}) = -\left(\text{rank } \mathcal{F}|_U \cdot T_{\bar{X}'}^*\bar{X}' + \sum_{x' \in \pi^{-1}(x)} m_x \cdot T_{x'}^*\bar{X}' + \sum_{x' \in \bar{X}' - X'} a_x \mathcal{F} \cdot T_{x'}^*\bar{X}'\right).$$

Thus, the index formula implies $m_x = a_x \mathcal{F}$.

We show the general case. By (1), we may assume X is affine. We consider an immersion $X \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^n \subset \mathbf{P}^n$. Then, by (1) and (3), we may assume X is projective. Set $SS\mathcal{F} = C = \bigcup_a C_a$ and take a projective embedding $X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ and a pencil $L \subset \mathbf{P}^\vee$ satisfying the following properties as in [5, Lemma 2.3]: The axis A_L of the pencil meets X transversely, that the blow-up $\pi_L: X_L \rightarrow X$ is C -transversal, that the morphism $p_L: X_L \rightarrow L$ defined by the pencil has at most isolated characteristic points, that the isolated characteristic points are not contained in the inverse image of V and are unique in the fibers of p_L , and that for each irreducible component C_a there exists an isolated characteristic point u where a section dp_L of T^*X meets C_a .

Then, for $v = p_L(u)$, the coefficient m_v of T_v^*L in $A(Rp_{L*}\pi^*\mathcal{F})$ equals the Artin conductor $-\dim \text{tot}\phi_u(\mathcal{F}, p_L) = -a_v Rp_{L*}\pi^*\mathcal{F}$. Let $i_L: L \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^\vee$ denote the immersion. Then, by the proper base change theorem and by the conditions (2) and (3), we have $A(Rp_{L*}\pi^*\mathcal{F}) = (-1)^{n-1} i_L^! A(R\mathcal{F}) = i_L^! LA(\mathcal{F})$. Thus, if $A(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_a m_a C_a$, the coefficient m_v equals $m_a \cdot (C_a, dp_L)_{T^*X, u} \neq 0$ and we obtain

$$-\dim \text{tot}\phi_u(\mathcal{F}, p_L) = m_a \cdot (C_a, dp_L)_{T^*X, u} \neq 0.$$

This means that the coefficient m_a is characterized by the same condition as the Milnor formula (1) and we have (17). $\square$

References

- [1] M. Artin, *Théorème de changement de base par un morphisme lisse, et applications*, SGA 4 Exposé XVI, Théorie des Topos et Cohomologie Étale des Schémas, Lecture Notes in Math. 305, 1973, pp 206-249.
- [2] A. Beilinson, *Constructible sheaves are holonomic*, [arXiv:1505.06768](#) to appear at Selecta Math.
- [3] H. P. Epp, *Eliminating wild ramification*, Invent. Math. 19 (1973), 235-249.
- [4] T. Saito, *The characteristic cycle and the singular support of a constructible sheaf*, [arXiv:1510.03018](#), to appear at Inventiones Math.
- [5] T. Saito, Y. Yatagawa, *Wild ramification determines the characteristic cycle*, [arXiv:1604.01513](#), preprint.