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Abstract

In this paper we give a different proof of Kuz’min’s result on the number of irreducible polynomials with the first two coefficients fixed. Our technique is to relate the question to the number of points on a curve, and to calculate the L-polynomial of the curve.

1 Introduction

For \( p \) a prime and \( r \geq 1 \) let \( \mathbb{F}_q \) denote the finite field of \( q = p^r \) elements. We count the number of monic irreducible polynomials over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) of degree \( n \) for which the first two coefficients have the prescribed values \( t_1, t_2 \), while the remaining coefficients are arbitrary, i.e. considering irreducible polynomials of the form

\[
x^n + t_1x^{n-1} + t_2x^{n-2} + \cdots + a_{n-1}x + a_n,
\]

for fixed \( t_1, t_2 \). Denote the number of such polynomials by \( I_q(n, t_1, t_2) \).

For \( a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \), the characteristic polynomial of \( a \) with respect to the extension \( \mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q \) is defined to be:

\[
\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(x - a^i) = x^n - a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1}a_1x + (-1)^n a_0. \tag{1}
\]

The coefficient \( a_{n-1} \) is known as the trace of \( a \), and \( a_{n-2} \) is known as the subtrace of \( a \). We are concerned with the first two traces, so we let \( T_1(a) = a_{n-1} \) and \( T_2(a) = a_{n-2} \). By (1)

\(^1\)Research supported by Science Foundation Ireland Grant 13/IA/1914
\(^2\)Research supported by Science Foundation Ireland Grant 13/IA/1914
they are given by the following expressions:

\[ T_1(a) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a^{q^i} \quad \text{and} \quad T_2(a) = \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq n-1} a^{q^i+q^j}. \]

For \( t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q \) let \( E_q(n, t_1, t_2) \) be the number of elements \( a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \) for which \( T_1(a) = t_1 \) and \( T_2(a) = t_2 \). In the next section we will show that \( E_q(n, 0, 0) \) determines \( I_q(n, 0, 0) \). In Section 6 we will show that it is enough to find \( I_q(n, 0, 0) \) in order to find \( I_q(n, t_1, t_2) \) for all \( t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q \).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 3 we relate \( E_q(n, 0, 0) \) to a curve \( C \) defined over \( \mathbb{F}_q \). In Section 4 we give the background for the proof of the main result, and Section 5 contains the proof. The proof is the calculation of the L-polynomial of \( C \).

The result of this paper was first proved by Kuz’min [1] using different methods. Reference [2] concerns the case of the first three coefficients fixed, in even characteristic. We conclude the paper with comments about this case in odd characteristic. Further results on higher numbers of coefficients will appear in Granger [3].

2 Computing \( I_q(n, 0, 0) \) from \( E_q(n, 0, 0) \)

In this section we express \( I_q(n, 0, 0) \) in terms of \( E_q(n, 0, 0) \), using simple extensions of results from [4] and [5]. Let \( \mu(\cdot) \) be the Möbius function, and for a proposition \( S \) let \( [S] \) denote its truth value, i.e. \( [S] = 1 \) if \( S \) is true and 0 if \( S \) is false, with 1 and 0 interpreted as integers. The formula is given by the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( n \geq 2 \). Then

\[ I_q(n, 0, 0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n, \ p|d} \mu(d) \left( E_q(n/d, 0, 0) - [p \ divides \ n] q^{n/pd} \right) \]  (2)

For \( \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \) let \( P = \text{Min}(\beta) \) denote the minimum polynomial of \( \beta \) over \( \mathbb{F}_q \), which has degree \( n/d \) for some \( d \mid n \). Note that \( T_i(\beta) \) is the coefficient of \( x^{n-i} \) in \( P^d \) [4, Lemma 2], and so abusing notation we also write \( T_i(\beta) \) as \( T_i(P^d) \).
Lemma 2. For each integer $d \geq 1$ and $P(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x],$

1. $T_1(P^d) = dT_1(P)$
2. $T_2(P^d) = \binom{d}{2} T_1(P) + dT_2(P)$

Proposition 1. Suppose that $F$ and $f$ are functions on numbers. Then

$$F(n) = \sum_{d|n, p \nmid d} f(n/d) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad f(n) = \sum_{d|n, p \nmid d} \mu(n) F(n/d).$$

Proof. (Proof of Theorem 1) We have

$$F_q(n, 0, 0) = \left| \bigcup_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^n, \text{Tr}_1(\beta) = 0, \text{Tr}_2(\beta) = 0} \text{Min}(\beta) \right|$$

$$= \left| \bigcup_{d|n} \left\{ P \in \text{Irr} \left( \frac{n}{d} \right) : d \text{Tr}_1(P) = 0, \binom{d}{2} \text{Tr}_1(P) + d \text{Tr}_2(P) = 0 \right\} \right|$$

$$= [p \text{ divides } n] \left| \bigcup_{d|n, p \nmid d} \left\{ P \in \text{Irr} \left( \frac{n}{d} \right) \right\} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \bigcup_{d|n, p \nmid d} \left\{ P \in \text{Irr} \left( \frac{n}{d} \right) : \text{Tr}_1(P) = 0, \text{Tr}_2(P) = 0 \right\} \right|$$

$$= [p \text{ divides } n] \sum_{d|n, p \nmid d} \frac{n}{d} I_q \left( \frac{n}{d} \right) + \sum_{d|n, p \nmid d} \frac{n}{d} I_q \left( \frac{n}{d}, 0, 0 \right)$$

$$= [p \text{ divides } n] \sum_{d|n, p \nmid d} \frac{n}{d} I_q \left( \frac{n}{d} \right) + \sum_{d|n, p \nmid d} \frac{n}{d} I_q \left( \frac{n}{d}, 0, 0 \right).$$

Therefore,

$$I_q(n, 0, 0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n, p \nmid d} \left( F(n/d, 0, 0) - [p \text{ divides } n] q^{n/pd} \right).$$

3 Relation between $F_q(n, 0, 0)$ and the curve $y^q - y = x^{q+1} - x^2$

In this section we will prove Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 in order to obtain the relation between $F_q(n, 0, 0)$ and the curve $y^q - y = x^{q+1} - x^2$. This relation is stated in Proposition 2.
**Lemma 3.** For all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, we have

\[ T_2(\alpha + \beta) = T_2(\alpha) + T_2(\beta) + T_1(\alpha)T_1(\beta) - T_1(\alpha\beta). \]

**Proof.** We have

\[
T_2(\alpha + \beta) = \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq n-1} (\alpha + \beta)^{q^i + q^j} \\
= \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq n-1} \alpha^{q^i + q^j} + \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq n-1} \beta^{q^i + q^j} + \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} (\alpha^{q^k} \beta^{q^k} + \beta^{q^k} \alpha^{q^k}) \\
= T_2(\alpha) + T_2(\beta) + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \alpha^{q^i} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} \beta^{q^j} - \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} (\alpha^k \beta^k) \\
= T_2(\alpha) + T_2(\beta) + T_1(\alpha)T_1(\beta) - T_1(\alpha\beta).
\]

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 4.** For all $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ we have $T_2(c^q - c) = T_1(c^{q+1} - c^2)$.

**Proof.** Let $\alpha = c^q$ and $\beta = -c$ in Lemma 3. Then we have $T_2(c^q - c) = 2T_2(c) - T_1(c)^2 + T_1(c^{q+1})$. Moreover, we have

\[
2T_2(c) = 2 \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq n-1} c^{q^i + q^j} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} c^{q^i} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} c^{q^j} - \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} (c^k c^k) = T_1(c)^2 - T(c^2).
\]

Therefore, $T_2(c^q - c) = -T_1(c^2) + T_1(c^{q+1}) = T_1(c^{q+1} - c^2)$. \[ \square \]

Here is the important relation to the curve.

**Proposition 2.** We have $F_q(n, 0, 0) = \frac{1}{q} \{ x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} : T_1(x^{q+1} - x^2) = 0 \}$.

**Proof.** Let $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$. Then $T_1(y) = 0$ if and only if there exists $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ such that $y = x^q - x$. Moreover, by Lemma 4, we have $T_2(y) = T_2(x^q - x) = T_1(x^{q+1} - x^2)$. Since the map $x \to x^q - x$ is a $q$-to-1 map, we have $F_q(n, 0, 0) = \frac{1}{q} \{ x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} : T_1(x^{q+1} - x^2) = 0 \}$. \[ \square \]

Up to here, the results are valid in odd or even characteristic. We have done the even characteristic case in [2]. For the rest of this paper, $q$ will be odd.
4 Background

4.1 Quadratic forms

We now recall the basic theory of quadratic forms over $\mathbb{F}_q$, where $q$ is odd.

Let $K = \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, and let $Q : K \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ be a quadratic form. The polarization of $Q$ is the symplectic bilinear form $B$ defined by $B(x, y) = Q(x + y) - Q(x) - Q(y)$. By definition the radical of $B$ (denoted $W$) is $W = \{ x \in K : B(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in K \}$. The rank of $B$ is defined to be $n - \dim(W)$. The rank of $Q$ is defined to be the rank of $B$.

The following result is well known, see Chapter 6 of [6] for example.

**Proposition 3.** Continue the above notation. Let $N = |\{ x \in K : Q(x) = 0 \}|$, and let $w = \dim(W)$. If $Q$ has odd rank then $N = q^{n-1}$; if $Q$ has even rank then $N = q^{n-1} \pm (q - 1)q^{(n-2+w)/2}$.

The following consequence is important for this paper.

**Proposition 4.** Let $Q(x) = Tr(x^{q+1} - x^2)$, and let $N = |\{ x \in K : Q(x) = 0 \}|$. Then $N = q^{n-1}$ only if $n$ is an even integer with $(n, p) = 1$, or an odd integer with $(n, p) = p$.

**Proof.** We have $B(x, y) = Q(x+y) - Q(x) - Q(y) = T_1(x^q+y^q-x-2xy) = T_1(y^q(x^{q^2} - 2x^q + x))$ and hence $W = \{ x \in K : B(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in K \} = \{ x \in K : x^{q^2} - 2x^q + x = 0 \}$. Let $l(x) = x^2 - 2x + 1 = (x - 1)^2$. Then

$$(l(x), x^n - 1) = \begin{cases} x - 1 & \text{if } (n, p) = 1, \\ (x - 1)^2 & \text{if } (n, p) = p \end{cases}$$

and so

$$w = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (n, p) = 1, \\ 2 & \text{if } (n, p) = p. \end{cases}$$

Therefore $N = q^{n-1}$ only if $n$ is an even integer with $(n, p) = 1$ or an odd integer with $(n, p) = p$ by Proposition 3 since $n - w$ is odd only in these situations.

□
4.2 Maximal and minimal curves

Let $q = p^r$ where $p$ is any prime and $r \geq 1$ is an integer. Let $X$ be a projective smooth absolutely irreducible curve of genus $g$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Consider the $L$-polynomial of the curve $X$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$, defined by

$$L_{X/\mathbb{F}_q}(T) = L_X(T) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\#X(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) - q^n - 1) \frac{T^n}{n}\right).$$

where $\#X(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ denotes the number of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$-rational points of $X$. It is well known that $L_X(T)$ is a polynomial of degree $2g$ with integer coefficients, so we write it as

$$L_X(T) = \sum_{i=0}^{2g} c_i T^i, \quad c_i \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3)$$

It is also well known that $c_0 = 1$ and $c_{2g} = q^g$.

Let $\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_{2g}$ be the roots of the reciprocal of the $L$-polynomial of $X$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ (sometimes called the Weil numbers of $X$). Then, for any $n \geq 1$, the number of rational points of $X$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ is given by

$$\#X(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) = (q^n + 1) - \sum_{i=1}^{2g} \eta_i^n. \quad (4)$$

The Riemann Hypothesis for curves over finite fields states that $|\eta_i| = \sqrt{q}$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, 2g$. It follows immediately that

$$|\#X(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) - (q^n + 1)| \leq 2g\sqrt{q^n} \quad (5)$$

which is the Hasse-Weil bound.

We call $X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ maximal if $\eta_i = -\sqrt{q}$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, 2g$, so the Hasse-Weil upper bound is met. Equivalently, $X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is maximal if and only if $L_X(T) = (1 + \sqrt{q}T)^{2g}$.

We call $X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ minimal if $\eta_i = \sqrt{q}$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, 2g$. Equivalently, $X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is minimal if and only if $L_X(T) = (1 - \sqrt{q}T)^{2g}$.

Note that if $X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is minimal or maximal then $q$ is a square (i.e. $r$ is even).

The following properties follow immediately.
Proposition 5.  
1. If \( X(\mathbb{F}_q) \) is maximal then \( X(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \) is minimal for even \( n \) and maximal for odd \( n \).

2. If \( X(\mathbb{F}_q) \) is minimal then \( X(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \) is minimal for all \( n \).

4.3 Supersingular Curves

A curve \( X \) of genus \( g \) defined over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) is supersingular if any of the following equivalent properties hold.

1. All Weil numbers of \( X \) have the form \( \eta_i = \sqrt{q} \cdot \zeta_i \) where \( \zeta_i \) is a root of unity.

2. The Newton polygon of \( X \) is a straight line of slope \( 1/2 \).

3. The Jacobian of \( X \) is geometrically isogenous to \( E^g \) where \( E \) is a supersingular elliptic curve.

4. If \( X \) has \( L \)-polynomial \( L_X(T) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{2g} c_i T^i \) then

\[
\text{ord}_p(c_i) \geq \frac{ir}{2}, \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, 2g,
\]

where \( q = p^r \).

By the first property, a supersingular curve defined over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) becomes minimal over some finite extension of \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Conversely, any minimal or maximal curve is supersingular.

5 Calculation of L-Polynomial of \( C : y^q - y = x^{q+1} - x^2 \)

First note that by Proposition 2, in order to complete the results of this paper we need to find the number \( N \) of \( x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \) with \( T_1(x^{q+1} - x^2) = 0 \). Because elements of trace 0 have the form \( y^q - y \), finding \( N \) is equivalent to finding the exact number of \( \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \)-rational points on \( C \). Indeed,

\[
\#C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) = qN + 1. \tag{6}
\]
In this section we present the calculation of the exact number of \( \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \)-rational points on \( C : y^3 - y = x^{q^n+1} - x^2 \), for all \( n \), which is equivalent to calculating the L-polynomial of \( C \). This will complete the proof of the results in this paper.

We will need the following elementary lemmas later.

**Lemma 5.**
1. If \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \) then \( \sqrt{p} \) is an element of the splitting field of \( x^{2p} - 1 \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) and not an element of the splitting field of \( x^{2p} + 1 \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \).

2. If \( p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \) then \( \sqrt{p} \) is an element of the splitting field of \( x^{2p} + 1 \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) and not an element of the splitting field of \( x^{2p} - 1 \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \).

Lemma 5 is Exercise 2.1 in [7].

**Lemma 6.** 1 cannot be written as a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linear combination of the roots of \( x^{2p} + 1 \).

*Proof.* Let \( w \) be a primitive complex \( p \)-th root of unity, and let \( i \) be a primitive complex \( 4 \)-th root of unity. Then the set of roots of \( x^{2p} + 1 \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) is \( \{ \pm iw^k : 0 \leq k \leq p - 1 \} \). If 1 is a linear combination of the roots of \( x^{2p} + 1 \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \), then there exist \( a_1, \cdots, a_n \in \mathbb{Q} \) such that

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a_k w^k = 1.
\]

But then \( i \in \mathbb{Q}(w) \), which is a contradiction to \( \mathbb{Q}(w) \cap \mathbb{Q}(i) = \mathbb{Q} \) (see Proposition 2.4 in [7]). \( \Box \)

**Lemma 7.** If \( q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \) then \( C(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2p}}) \) is minimal and if \( q \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \) then \( C(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2p}}) \) is maximal and \( C(\mathbb{F}_{q^{4p}}) \) is minimal.

*Proof.* Note that the genus of the curve \( C \) is \( q(q-1)/2 \).

We consider \( C \) over the extension field \( \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \) where \( n = 2p \). By Proposition 4 and its proof, \( N = q^{n-1} \pm (q-1)q^{n/2} \) and so \( \#C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) - (q^n + 1) = \pm q(q-1)q^{n/2} \) by equation (6). Therefore \( C(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2p}}) \) is maximal or minimal.

By Proposition 5 \( C(\mathbb{F}_{q^{4p}}) \) is minimal, for any \( q \). Therefore, by equation (4), for any \( n \) we have

\[
\#C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) - (q^n + 1) = - \sum_{j=0}^{q(q-1)} \eta_i^n \tag{7}
\]
where \( \eta_j = \sqrt[4p]{\zeta_j} \) and the \( \zeta_j \) are \( (4p) \)-th roots of unity. We rewrite (7) as

\[
-q^{-n/2} \left[ \#C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) - (q^n + 1) \right] = \sum_{i=0}^{q(q-1)} \zeta_i^n. \tag{8}
\]

Considering \( C \) over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) now, since \( y^q - y = x^{q+1} - x^2 = 0 \) for all \( x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q \), we have

\[
\#C(\mathbb{F}_q) = q^2 + 1 = q + 1 + q(q-1)
\]

and therefore

\[
-q^{-1/2} [ \#C(\mathbb{F}_q) - (q + 1) ] = -\sqrt{q}(q - 1). \tag{9}
\]

Putting \( n = 1 \) in (8) and using (9) we obtain

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{q(q-1)} \zeta_i = -\sqrt{q}(q - 1). \tag{10}
\]

The \( \zeta_j \) are roots of \( x^{4p} - 1 = (x^{2p} - 1)(x^{2p} + 1) \). Furthermore, all \( \zeta_j \) are roots of \( x^{2p} - 1 \) if and only if \( C(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2p}}) \) is minimal, and all \( \zeta_j \) are roots of \( x^{2p} + 1 \) if and only if \( C(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2p}}) \) is maximal.

Recall from the start of this proof that \( C(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2p}}) \) is either maximal or minimal.

**Case 1.** Suppose \( q \) is a square (which implies \( q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \)). If \( C(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2p}}) \) is maximal then (10) expresses 1 as a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linear combination of the roots of \( x^{2p} + 1 \), which contradicts Lemma 6. Therefore \( C(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2p}}) \) is minimal.

**Case 2A.** Suppose \( q \) is a nonsquare and that \( q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \). If \( C(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2p}}) \) is maximal then (10) expresses \( \sqrt{q} \) as a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linear combination of the roots of \( x^{2p} + 1 \), which contradicts Lemma 5 part 1. Therefore \( C(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2p}}) \) is minimal.

**Case 2B.** Suppose \( q \) is a nonsquare and that \( q \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \). If \( C(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2p}}) \) is minimal then (10) expresses \( \sqrt{q} \) as a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linear combination of the roots of \( x^{2p} - 1 \), which contradicts Lemma 5 part 2. Therefore \( C(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2p}}) \) is maximal.

**Corollary 1.** \( C \) is a supersingular curve.

The corollary follows from the proof of the Lemma.

Finally, we calculate the number of \( \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \)-rational points of the curve \( C : y^q - y = x^{q+1} - x^2 \).
Theorem 8. Let $q = p^r$. Then we have

$$\#C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) - (q^n + 1) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (n, 2p) = 2 \text{ or } p, \\ (-1)^{(n-1)/2}(q-1)q^{\frac{n}{2}+1} & \text{if } (n, 2p) = 2p, \\ \left(-\frac{n}{p}\right)^r(q-1)q^{(n+1)/2} & \text{if } (n, 2p) = 1 \end{cases}$$

where $\left(-\frac{n}{p}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol.

Proof. The cases $(n, 2p) = 2$ or $p$ come from Proposition 4 (they are the cases $N = q^{n-1}$). The case $(n, 2p) = 2p$ follows from Lemma 7 and Proposition 5. In the rest of proof we will assume $(n, 2p) = 1$.

As a continuation of the proof of Lemma 7 let $w = e^{2\pi i/p}$. Then we have

$$\zeta_j \in \begin{cases} \{\pm w^k : 0 \leq k \leq p-1\} & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \{\pm iw^k : 0 \leq k \leq p-1\} & \text{if } q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Recall equation (10)

$$q(q-1) \sum_{i=0}^{(q-1)/2} \zeta_i = -\sqrt{q}(q-1).$$

By this and equation (11), there exist non-negative integers $b_0, c_0, \cdots, b_{p-1}, c_{p-1}$ (the multiplicities of the $\zeta_j$) such that

$$-\sqrt{q}(q-1) = \begin{cases} b_0 \cdot 1 + c_0 \cdot (-1) + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} b_k w^k + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} c_k (-w^k) & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ b_0 \cdot i + c_0 \cdot (-i) + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} b_k (iw^k) + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} c_k (-iw^k) & \text{if } q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Define $a_i = b_i - c_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq p-1$, then $a_1, \cdots, a_{p-1}$ are in $\mathbb{Z}$ and we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a_k w^k = \begin{cases} -\sqrt{q}(q-1) & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ i\sqrt{q}(q-1) & \text{if } q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Since the sum of roots of $x^p - 1$ is 0, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} w^k = -1. \quad (14)$$
Therefore we can rewrite equation (13) as
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} (a_k - a_0) w^k = \begin{cases} -\sqrt[p]{q(q - 1)} & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ i\sqrt[p]{q(q - 1)} & \text{if } q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \]

Case 1. Suppose \( q \) is a square (which implies \( q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \)). We write the previous equation as
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} (a_k - a_0) w^k = -\sqrt[p]{q(q - 1)} = \sqrt[p]{q(q - 1)} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} w^k = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \sqrt[p]{q(q - 1)} w^k. \]

Since the set \( W = \{ w, w^2, \ldots, w^{p-1} \} \) is the set of roots of the \( p \)-th cyclotomic polynomial, which is irreducible over \( \mathbb{Q} \), \( W \) is a linearly independent set over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Therefore, \( a_k - a_0 = \sqrt[p]{q(q - 1)} \) for all \( 1 \leq k \leq p - 1 \). In particular, we have \( a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_{p-1} \).

Since \( (n, 2p) = 1 \), the map \( \sigma : x \mapsto x^n \) permutes the set \( \{ \pm 1, \pm w, \ldots, \pm w^{p-1} \} \) with the following properties:

- \( \sigma \) fixes 1 and \(-1\),
- \( \sigma \) permutes \( \{ w, \ldots, w^{p-1} \} \) and \( \{ -w, \ldots, -w^{p-1} \} \),
- if \( \sigma(x) = y \) then \( \sigma(-x) = -y \).

Therefore
\[ -q^{-n/2} [\#C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) - (q^n + 1)] = \sum_{i=0}^{q(q-1)/2} \zeta_i^n \quad \text{by (8)} \]
\[ = b_0 \cdot 1^n + c_0 \cdot (-1)^n + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} b_k (w^k)^n + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} c_k (-w^k)^n \]
\[ = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a_kw^k \quad \text{by the properties of } \sigma \]
\[ = -\sqrt[p]{q(q - 1)} \quad \text{by (13)}. \]

This gives
\[ \#C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) - (q^n + 1) = q^{(n+1)/2}(q - 1) \]
which completes the proof in the case that \( q \) is a square.
Case 2. Now assume that \( q \) is non-square. Using equation (14) we write the expression in (12) as

\[
b_0 \cdot 1 + c_0 \cdot (-1) + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} b_k w^k + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} c_k (-w^k) = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} (b_k - c_k - b_0 + c_0) w^k.
\]

Let \( a_k := b_k - c_k - b_0 + c_0 \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq p - 1 \).

Gauss proved that (see Chapter 6 in [8])

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \left( \frac{k}{p} \right) w^k = \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{p} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \mod 4, \\
i\sqrt{p} & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \mod 4.
\end{cases}
\]

By equations (12) and (15) we have that

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} a_kw^k = \begin{cases} 
-\sqrt{q/(q-1)} \left( \frac{k}{p} \right) w^k & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \mod 4, \\
 i\sqrt{q/(q-1)} \left( \frac{k}{p} \right) w^k & \text{if } q \equiv 3 \mod 4.
\end{cases}
\]

By the uniqueness of \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linear combinations of a linearly independent set over \( \mathbb{Q} \), by (16) we get

\[
a_k = \begin{cases} 
-\sqrt{q/p(q-1)} \left( \frac{k}{p} \right) & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \mod 4, \\
\sqrt{q/p(q-1)} \left( \frac{k}{p} \right) & \text{if } q \equiv 3 \mod 4
\end{cases}
\]

for all \( 1 \leq k \leq p - 1 \).

Note that the equality \( \left( \frac{kn}{p} \right) = \left( \frac{k}{p} \right) \left( \frac{n}{p} \right) \) holds for all integers \( k, n \geq 1 \) which are relatively prime to \( p \).
Case 2A. If \( q \equiv 1 \mod 4 \) we have

\[
- q^{-n/2} [\#C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) - (q^n + 1)]
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=0}^{q(q-1)} \zeta_i^n
\]

\[
= b_0 \cdot 1^n + c_0 \cdot (-1)^n + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} b_k (w^k)^n + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} c_k (-w^k)^n
\]

\[
= (-b_0 + c_0) \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} w^k + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} b_k (w^k)^n + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} c_k (-w^k)^n
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} a_k w^{kn}
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \left( \frac{n}{p} \right) a_k w^k
\]

by (17)

\[
= - \left( \frac{n}{p} \right) \sqrt{q(q-1)}.
\]

by (16)

Case 2B. If \( q \equiv 3 \mod 4 \) we have

\[
- q^{-n/2} [\#C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) - (q^n + 1)]
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=0}^{q(q-1)} \zeta_i^n
\]

\[
= n^n \left( b_0 \cdot 1^n + c_0 \cdot (-1)^n + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} b_k (w^k)^n + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} c_k (-w^k)^n \right)
\]

\[
= n^n \left( -b_0 + c_0 \right) \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} w^k + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} b_k (w^k)^n + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} c_k (-w^k)^n
\]

\[
= n^n \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} a_k w^{kn}
\]

\[
= n^n \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \left( \frac{n}{p} \right) a_k w^k
\]

by (17)

\[
= n^{n+1} \left( \frac{n}{p} \right) \sqrt{q(q-1)}.
\]

by (16)
In conclusion, we have

\[ \#C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) - (q^n + 1) = -q^n \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a_k w^{kn} \]

\[ \begin{cases} (q - 1)q^{n+1} & \text{if } q \text{ is square} \\ \frac{\sqrt{q}}{p} (q - 1)q^{n+1} & \text{if } q \text{ is not square and } q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{\sqrt{q}}{p} (q - 1)q^{n+1} & \text{if } q \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \text{ and } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{q}}{p} (q - 1)q^{n+1} & \text{if } q \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \text{ and } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases} \]

We have enough information to calculate the L-polynomial explicitly, which we will do by giving each root and its multiplicity.

**Corollary 2.** Let \( w \) be a primitive complex \( p \)-th root of unity, and let \( i \) be a primitive complex 4-th root of unity. When \( q \) is a square, the roots of the L-polynomial of \( C \) (after division by \( \sqrt{q} \)) are

- 1 with multiplicity \( \left( \frac{q}{p} - \sqrt{q} \frac{p}{p-1} \right) \frac{q-1}{2} \).
- \(-1\) with multiplicity \( \left( \frac{q}{p} + \sqrt{q} \frac{p}{p-1} \right) \frac{q-1}{2} \).
- \( w^k \) with multiplicity \( \left( \frac{q}{p} + \sqrt{q} \frac{p}{p} \right) \frac{q-1}{2} \).
- \(-w^k\) with multiplicity \( \left( \frac{q}{p} - \sqrt{q} \frac{p}{p} \right) \frac{q-1}{2} \)

for \( 1 \leq k \leq p - 1 \).

When \( q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \) and non-square, the roots of the L-polynomial of \( C \) (after division by \( \sqrt{q} \)) are

- 1 with multiplicity \( \left( \frac{q}{p} \right) \frac{q-1}{2} \).
• \(-1\) with multiplicity \(\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)\left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)\).

• \(w^k\) with multiplicity \(\left(\frac{q}{p} - \left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\sqrt{q/p}\right)\left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)\).

• \(-w^k\) with multiplicity \(\left(\frac{q}{p} + \left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\sqrt{q/p}\right)\left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)\)

for \(1 \leq k \leq p - 1\).

When \(q \equiv 3 \mod 4\), the roots of the L-polynomial of \(C\) (after division by \(\sqrt{q}\)) are

• \(i\) with multiplicity \(\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)\left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)\).

• \(-i\) with multiplicity \(\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)\left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)\).

• \(iw^k\) with multiplicity \(\left(\frac{q}{p} + \left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\sqrt{q/p}\right)\left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)\).

• \(-iw^k\) with multiplicity \(\left(\frac{q}{p} - \left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\sqrt{q/p}\right)\left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)\)

for \(1 \leq k \leq p - 1\).

**Proof.** One can find the multiplicities of the \(\zeta_j\) using the proof of Theorem 8. There are \(2p\) linear equations for \(2p\) unknowns (the multiplicities) coming from equation (12) and its analogues over \(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}\) and \(\mathbb{F}_{q^p}\), and the fact that the sum of the multiplicities is \(2g\). \(\square\)

6 A Remark for \(F_q(n, t_1, t_2)\) and the Final Result

We find \(F_q(n, t_1, t_2)\) for all \(t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q\) from \(F_q(n, 0, 0)\) in the same way as [9]. The general case where \(t_1, t_2\) are arbitrary and \(p > 2\) reduces to \(t_1 = t_2 = 0\). Indeed, if \((p, n) = 1\), then the transformation \(f(x) \rightarrow f(x - a / n)\) shows that \(F_q(n, t_1, t_2) = F_q(n, 0, t_2 - ((n - 1)/2n)t_1)\). If \(p \mid n\) and \(a_1 \neq 0\), then a linear transformation of the unknown \(x\) gives \(F_q(n, t_1, t_2) = F_q(n, 0, 1)\). Since \(Q(x) = \text{Tr}(x^{q+1} - x^2)\) is a quadratic form over \(\mathbb{F}_q\), in
order to find $|\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \mid Q(x) = t_2\}|$ for all $t_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and so $F_q(n, 0, t_2)$ for all $t_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ it is enough to find $|\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \mid Q(x) = 0\}|$.

The final result for $F_q(n, 0, 0)$, originally proved by Kuzmin \cite{1} by different methods, is as follows.

**Theorem 9.** Let $q = p^r$. Then we have

$$F_q(n, 0, 0) - q^{n-2} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (n, 2p) = 2 \text{ or } p, \\ (-1)^{(n-1)/2}(q - 1)q^{n-2} & \text{if } (n, 2p) = 2p, \\ (-n/p)^r(q - 1)q^{(n-3)/2} & \text{if } (n, 2p) = 1 \end{cases}$$

where $(-n/p)$ is the Legendre symbol.

### 7 A Remark for Three Coefficients Fixed

Having studied two coefficients, one may reasonably ask for the number of irreducible polynomials with the first three (or more) coefficients fixed. In \cite{2} we (and our co-authors) found $F_q(n, 0, 0, 0)$ for $q$ even by similar methods. Now suppose $q$ is odd. Using the same method as in \cite{2} we get

$$F_q(n, 0, 0, 0) = \frac{1}{q} |\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \mid \text{Tr}_1(x^{q^2+2} - x^2) = \text{Tr}_1(x^{2q+1} - x^{q+2}) = 0\}|.$$

In order to find this number we have to find the number of rational points of the curves

- $C_1 : y^q - y = x^{q+1} - x^2$,
- $C_2 : y^q - y = x^{2q+1} - x^{q+2}$,
- $C_3 : y^q - y = x^{2q+1} - x^{q+2} + x^{q+1} - x^2$

over $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$. When $q$ is even, these curves are all supersingular (see \cite{2}). Interestingly this does not happen for $q$ odd, as we will illustrate with an example.

Let $q = 3$. Then we have $C_1 : y^3 - y = x^4 - x^2$, $C_2 : y^3 - y = x^7 - x^5$ and $C_3 : y^3 - y = x^7 - x^5 + x^4 - x^2$. Using MAGMA \cite{10} we calculated that the $L$-polynomial of $C_1$ is

$$L_1(x) = (3x^2 + 1)(3x^2 + 3x + 1)^2$$
the $L$-polynomial of $C_2$ is
\[
L_2(x) = (27x^6 + 27x^5 + 27x^4 + 15x^3 + 9x^2 + 3x + 1)^2
\]
and the $L$-polynomial of $C_3$ is
\[
L_3(x) = 729x^{12} + 1458x^{11} + 1458x^{10} + 1053x^9 + 576x^8 + 243x^7 + 117x^6 + 81x^5 + 63x^4 + 39x^3 + 18x^2 + 6x + 1.
\]
The curves $C_2$ and $C_3$ are not supersingular over $\mathbb{F}_3$ by the fourth property in Section 4.3.

Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_6$ be the roots of $27x^6 + 27x^5 + 27x^4 + 15x^3 + 9x^2 + 3x + 1$ and $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{12}$ be the roots of $L_3(x)$. Then we have
\[
F_3(n, 0, 0, 0) = 3^{n-3} + 3^{n/2-3} \left( i^n + (-i)^n + 2 \left( \frac{-\sqrt{3} + i}{2} \right)^n + 2 \left( \frac{-\sqrt{3} - i}{2} \right)^n + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{6} \alpha_j^n + (q - 1) \sum_{j=1}^{12} \beta_j^n \right).
\]
The appearance of the $\alpha_j$ and $\beta_j$ (which are not roots of unity) in the formula means that this formula has a fundamentally different flavour to the formula in the case of two coefficients. This explains the difficulty in extending Kuz’mín’s result from two to three coefficients. These matters are studied to a much greater extent in [3].
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