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The frequency of oscillation of the Doppler shifted electromagnetic field expected to accompany a massive composite photon consisting of two oppositely-charged circulating constituent particles is shown to be related to the photon energy in accordance with the Planck-Einstein law $E = h \nu$, where $h$ is the Planck constant, apart from a factor of approximately one-half. It is proposed a photon energy-frequency relation $E = h \nu/2$ is necessary for consistency with Planck’s blackbody spectrum, because the latter is based on quantization of the energy of standing wave modes necessarily lacking momentum, while a photon has nonvanishing momentum. Therefore two photons are required to account for each energy quantum of the Planck spectrum. Some implications of these observations are discussed, including a semiclassical resolution of the spin-orbit coupling anomaly that does not involve the Thomas precession.

I. INTRODUCTION

When de Broglie hypothesized a wave character of matter[1, 2], he supposed that elementary particles of matter had an internal oscillation with fixed frequency in the particle rest frame, equal to the frequency of a photon with energy equal to the rest energy of the matter particle. However, he recognized, according to special relativity a moving particle will be observed to oscillate more slowly due to time dilation, and so will exhibit a frequency that decreases with increasing particle kinetic energy. The internal oscillation of the particle will thus exhibit an energy-frequency relationship that is apparently opposite the photon’s. The photon frequency, according to the Planck-Einstein law, increases in proportion to its energy.

By equating the phase history of an elementary particle internal oscillation with the phase of a superluminally traveling wave, de Broglie proceeded nonetheless to derive a wave characteristic with the necessary photon-like wavelength and frequency to energy relationships. The physical importance of de Broglie’s matter wave was subsequently made certain when Schrödinger showed[3] that a complex generalization of it satisfies a wave equation that predicts the emission spectrum of the hydrogen atom with unprecedented precision. The success of de Broglie’s approach, of extrapolating from photon wave characteristics to predict those of matter particles, suggests it might also be useful conversely to gain insight regarding the nature of the photon. If assuming that matter particles have internal oscillations of fixed proper frequency can lead to a basis for predicting the necessary wavelength dependence on energy of matter particles, then perhaps a similar assumption applied to photons can predict the observed energy to frequency relationship for particles of light.

More recently, various authors[4-6] have noted that the \textit{zitterbewegung}[7] of the Dirac electron theory[8] can be related to the spin of the electron, and provisionally to the internal oscillation envisioned by de Broglie[9]. It’s possible to interpret the zitterbewegung, or “jittery motion,” of the Dirac electron as a circulatory relativistic motion of a point charge, such that the charge orbital angular momentum around its average position constitutes the electron intrinsic spin[10]. This is the “zitter”[11] model of the electron. Classical electrodynamics in the highly relativistic limit, which, strictly, requires the use of functional rather than ordinary differential equations for proper description[12], has been shown to lead to such motions[13], and internal particle motions or the zitterbewegung can be linked to both the de Broglie-Bohm[14-16] and Elementary Cycles[17-20] interpretations of quantum theory.

The difficulty inherent to relating a conjectured internal oscillation of an elementary particle to a wavelength that decreases with increasing energy applies to quantized light as well as to a matter particle. For a massless photon that must move with the limiting velocity of the theory of relativity, $c$, relativity theory also dictates there can be no internal motion, due to infinite time dilation. It seems necessary therefore, in the case of a massless photon, to take the Planck-Einstein law as fundamental, and the similarity of the zitterbewegung frequency to the de Broglie frequency as coincidental. If on the other hand the photon has nonzero rest mass and an internal periodic motion, then reasoning as de Broglie did from the Planck-Einstein law arrives at a rest frequency that can only be observed to slow as energy increases due to photon motion with velocity near $c$. A photon of nonzero rest mass thus presents the same difficulty as the particle of matter, of a wavelength that tends to increase rather than decrease with increasing energy. In spite of time dilation, however, the frequency of electromagnetic radiation due to a source moving toward its observer is increased compared to the source rest frame frequency, by the relativistic Doppler effect.

Due to the Doppler effect, light emitted at one frequency in the rest frame of a source can be observed at another frequency, where the frequency change increases as the source moves toward the observer with increasing speed. The energy, as measured by the observer of the photons constituting the light, is necessarily increased.
according to the observer. If it is supposed that the observed frequency of light is the oscillation frequency of electromagnetic fields expected according to classical electromagnetic theory to be caused by oscillation of the internal charges of a massive composite photon, at a frequency determined by the photon’s intrinsic angular momentum, then it is straightforward to calculate the proportionality constant between the photon energy in the observer’s rest frame and the electromagnetic field oscillation frequency. For a photon of nonvanishing rest mass traveling at very nearly c, it will be shown, the frequency characteristic expected according to the relativistic Doppler shift is effectively half that expected according to the Planck-Einstein law. This result is independent of the rest mass of the photon or the constituent charges’ magnitude. It is contended further that a photon energy-frequency relation of half that currently accepted is consistent with the quantization of energy of the standing wave modes of a cavity oscillator, as assumed by Planck in his successful theory of the blackbody spectrum.

II. GENERALIZED ZITTER PARTICLE MODEL AND RELATIVISTIC DOPPLER EFFECT

Based on the Planck-Einstein law $E = h\nu$, de Broglie proposed an internal periodicity of frequency $\nu_0$ could be associated with an elementary particle based on its rest mass $m_0$ and rest energy $E_0 = m_0c^2$ as

$$\nu_0 = \frac{E_0}{h} = \frac{m_0c^2}{h},$$

where $h$ is Planck’s constant, and $c$ is the “limiting velocity of the theory of relativity” [1]. As described above, de Broglie then proceeded to develop a hypothetical wave characteristic of the matter particle that has a similar energy-to-wavelength relation as the photon. Here, it will be assumed the photon has a small but nonzero rest mass, and so will also have a proper frequency definable in like fashion. Although the photon rest mass if it exists is unmeasured, it is nonetheless straightforward to derive a well-defined energy-frequency relation for a massive composite photon with charged constituents.

In the zitter particle model, the intrinsic angular momentum of a spin-half elementary particle is modeled as orbital angular momentum of a classical point charge undergoing ultrarelativistic circular motion around a fixed point in the particle rest frame. The zitter particle model thus represents the particle position as an average of the charge position over a cycle of the circulatory motion, henceforth the zitter motion. The circular frequency of the zitter motion will be referred to as the zitter frequency. With angular momentum of a charge with rest mass $m$ due to the zitter motion of radius $r_0$ given as $L = r_0 \gamma mv$ with $v$ the velocity of the circulatory motion and $\gamma = \left(1 - (v/c)^2\right)^{-1/2}$ the Lorentz factor, and taking $\gamma m = m_0$ where $m_0$ is the observed particle rest mass, then in the limit of $v = c$, zitter motion radius $r_0 = h/2m_0c$ obtains that the particle intrinsic angular momentum is $h/2$, with $\hbar = h/2\pi$ the reduced Planck constant. The diameter of the circulatory motion that has angular momentum $h/2$ is thus one reduced Compton wavelength, $\lambda_0 = h/m_0c$. Denoting the zitter frequency of a spin-half particle by $\nu_{z/2}$, then

$$\nu_{z/2} = \frac{c}{2\pi r_0} = \frac{c}{\pi \lambda_0} = \frac{2m_0c^2}{h} = 2\nu_0,$$

where $\nu_0$ is the de Broglie frequency as given by Eq. [1]. The frequency $\nu_{z/2}$ is also the frequency of the zitterbewegung of the Dirac electron.

Although the zitter particle model was developed to represent the spin-half Dirac electron, it can be generalized to represent elementary particles of arbitrary intrinsic angular momentum. If it is assumed that the particle intrinsic angular momentum is $h$ rather than $h/2$, then the zitter frequency is exactly the de Broglie frequency, i.e.,

$$\nu_z = \frac{m_0c^2}{h} = \nu_0.$$

The hypothesis that a photon of nonzero rest mass and with an internal periodic motion accounts for the wave character of light was advanced by de Broglie in his doctoral thesis [2], and he elaborated on the idea in 1934 [21], proposing in the latter that photons are a composite of two Dirac particles. More recently, other authors have proposed that quarks, electrons, photons, and other particles are composites of more-fundamental particles of spin half [22-24]. However, it’s difficult to see how a composite particle model of the photon can present the energy-frequency characteristic as described by the Planck-Einstein relation, if the phase of the internal motion is to be directly equated with the associated electromagnetic wave phase as de Broglie did for his matter wave. The wave character of light does not involve a superluminal phase velocity as does the de Broglie matter wave. In any case, it’s worth considering whether a photon model consistent with observation can be constructed based on an assumption of an energy-independent and constant photon rest frame frequency of internal motion. One possible approach is to suppose that the observed photon frequency is not that of the internal motion but rather that of relativistically Doppler shifted electromagnetic fields expected according to classical electrodynamics to be caused by the oscillatory motion of charged constituents of a composite photon.

Retarded electromagnetic waves emanating from a moving source, with frequency $f_0$ in the rest frame of the source, will be relativistically Doppler shifted according to a stationary observer. Taking the radial velocity to be positive for outbound motion of the source, the frequency measured by the observer is [25]
\[ f_{\text{ret}} = \frac{f_0}{\gamma(1 + \beta_r)} \quad (4) \]

where \( \beta_r = v_r/c \) with \( v_r \) the radial component of the source velocity \( v = c\beta \) away from the observer in the observer’s rest frame, and \( \gamma = (1 - \beta^2)^{-1/2} \). The subscript “ret” indicates that the field is due to the source at the retarded time \( t_{\text{ret}} = t - R/c \) where \( R \) is magnitude of the spatial part of the null displacement four-vector from the source at the retarded time to the field point at the present time. For application to analysis of the photon energy-to-frequency relationship it can be assumed that the relative motion is purely radial and inbound, so that \( v_r = v \) and \( \beta_r = \beta \). Also, \( v_r \) and \( \beta_r \) are positive for opening motion and negative for closing.

If the motion is purely radial, and with \( \gamma_r \equiv (1 - \beta_r^2)^{-1/2} = [(1 - \beta_r)(1 + \beta_r)]^{-1/2} \), then

\[ f_{\text{ret}} = \frac{f_0}{\gamma_r(1 + \beta_r)} = f_0 \sqrt{\frac{1 - \beta_r}{1 + \beta_r}} = \gamma_r(1 - \beta_r)f_0. \quad (5) \]

For inbound motion, \( \beta_r < 0 \), and \( \gamma_r \geq 1 \) generally, so \( f_{\text{ret}} > f_0 \). Thus, as expected, for inbound radial motion as applicable to considering the photon energy-frequency relationship, due to the relativistic Doppler effect the observed frequency is increased compared to the rest-frame frequency.

### III. Doppler Effect on Electromagnetic Field of a Radially-Moving Spin-One Zitter Particle

If electromagnetic waves are emitted at the zitter frequency of a moving spin-one zitter particle, then the frequency observed by a stationary observer, for purely radial and closing relative motion, is relativistically Doppler shifted according to Eq. (4) to

\[ \nu_{\text{ret},h} = \gamma(1 + \beta)m_0 c^2 = \frac{(1 + \beta)E}{h} = (1 + \beta)\nu_{PE}, \quad (7) \]

where \( \nu_{PE} \) is the photon frequency according to the Planck-Einstein law \( E = h\nu \). The energy-frequency relationship of the present model is instead

\[ E = \frac{h\nu_{\text{ret},h}}{1 + \beta}. \quad (8) \]

where \( \beta_r = v_r/c \) with \( v_r \) the velocity magnitude of a photon with frequency \( \nu \), and where \( v_r < c \). In the case that the spin-one zitter particle is moving toward the observer with velocity near \( v \), so that \( 1 - \beta_r << 1 \), then \( \nu_{\text{ret},h} \approx 2\nu_{PE} \), or about twice the frequency expected according to the Planck-Einstein law. Therefore, the model of light as the relativistically Doppler-shifted electromagnetic field due to zitterbewegung-frequency electric dipole oscillation of a photon of nonvanishing rest mass is consistent with the expected energy-frequency relationship, apart from a factor on the photon energy of approximately one-half.

### IV. Reconciliation of Composite Photon Energy-Frequency Relation with Planck Blackbody Spectrum

The Rayleigh-Jeans [27] and Planck [28] formulas for the black-body spectrum are both based on an assumption that the electromagnetic field in a cavity consists at thermodynamic equilibrium of an ensemble of standing waves. The difference between the unsuccessful Rayleigh-Jeans formula and the successful Planck formula is the assumption of the latter that the energy of each standing wave is quantized as integer multiples of fixed proportionality to the standing wave frequency. Einstein later equated the quantum of energy found by Planck as \( h\nu \) with the energy of the corpuscle of light now called the photon. It is not difficult to argue however that it is incorrect to identify a photon directly with a smallest possible energy change of a standing wave. A photon of energy \( E \) traveling with definite speed \( c \) must necessarily carry momentum \( E/c \), while electromagnetic standing waves cannot possess net momentum. Therefore the quantized energy value of a standing wave mode in multiples of \( h\nu \) and the number of photons in the cavity at frequency \( \nu \) cannot be directly equated. Rather, since a standing wave can be regarded as a superposition of two oppositely-traveling waves of equal amplitude, the expected number of photons is twice the number of energy multiples of \( h\nu \). This is in agreement, to within all measurements of the speed of light and its frequency dependence to date, with the frequency-to-energy relationship for the composite photon, which as shown above is easily derivable based on the relativistic Doppler effect.
V. ENERGY RESCALING NECESSARY FOR CONSISTENCY WITH A MODIFIED PLANCK-EINSTEIN LAW

The energy per photon according to the Planck-Einstein relation is \( E = h \omega \), where \( \omega \) is the photon angular frequency. The energy per photon for the massive composite photon as found previously (Eq. (8)) can be related to its frequency as

\[
E \approx \frac{\hbar \omega}{2} = \omega s, \tag{9}
\]

with \( s = \hbar/2 \) the spin of the electron and \( \omega = 2\pi \nu_{\text{et}, \hbar} \) here. Therefore, replacing \( \hbar \) by \( s = \hbar/2 \) in the Schrödinger equation (SE) is consistent with the energy-frequency relationship as derived for the massive composite photon. Clearly, however, replacing \( \hbar \) generally by \( \hbar/2 \) in the SE is not consistent with the photon carrying angular momentum \( \h \). Replacing \( \hbar \) generally by \( \hbar/2 \) in the SE results in angular momentum differences between eigenstates quantized in integer multiples of \( \hbar/2 \) rather than \( \hbar \), which is contradicted by observation.

It is straightforward to modify the SE to be consistent with energy eigenvalues of half the currently accepted energy spacing, while retaining angular momentum quantization in integer multiples of \( \hbar \), as follows:

\[
\left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0} \nabla^2 + V(r) \right] \Psi = \frac{i\hbar}{2} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}, \tag{10}
\]

where \( V(r) \) is the potential energy as a function of particle position. Equation (10) is identical to the time-dependent SE, apart from the inverse factor of 2 on the right hand side. Hence, if \( E_n \) is an energy eigenvalue corresponding to a function \( \Psi_n(r) \), that is an eigensolution of the time-independent SE, then the corresponding energy eigenvalue of the same function, which is also an eigensolution to Eq. (10), will be half that for the SE; that is, \( E_{n/2} \).

In order to assess the plausibility that Eq. (10) is more correct than the standard form of the SE, it is worthwhile to consider the historical development of the SE. At the time de Broglie hypothesized a wave character of matter, existence of the electron intrinsic angular momentum was not yet recognized. De Broglie assumed the matter wave frequency for the electron at rest to equal the frequency of a photon of equal energy to the electron rest energy. If the zitter model is correct that the electron intrinsic angular momentum is due to a circulatory motion of its charge, however, it is reasonable to expect that the electron matter wave frequency would be in accordance with zitter frequency of the spin-half particle as given by Eq. (2), which implies angular frequency \( \omega = 2E/h \), and in agreement with the photon energy-frequency relation of Eq. (9). An equivalent assumption by Schrödinger would have led to a wave equation obviously inconsistent with observation. The angular momentum difference between energy eigenstates would be quantized in multiples of \( \hbar/2 \) rather than \( \hbar \), for example.

It is much more plausible that an energy scale factor of one-half can be overlooked, compared to overlooking an angular momentum scale factor of one-half. An incorrect energy scale factor simply miscalibrates the energy scale of atomic emission spectra. An incorrect angular momentum scale factor on the other hand, in addition to revealing itself through irreconcilability with the observed Zeeman effect, also reduces the de Broglie wavelength and so decreases the size and energy levels of atoms.

Support for a hypothesis that the spatial side of the SE can remain unmodified despite doubling the frequency of the Schrödinger wavefunction, compared to its currently accepted value, can be found in the details of electromagnetic interaction of two zitter particles. Rivas has developed and evaluated an interaction Lagrangian for two Dirac particles according to the zitter model [29]. The interaction includes an inverse-square, radially-acting force, equal in strength to the Coulomb force, that is modulated by the propagation delay between the interacting particles. In [30], it is shown that the spatial period of the modulation, for nonrelativistic relative velocity between two equal-mass zitter particles, is equal to the de Broglie wavelength based on the particles’ mass and relative velocity. Therefore there is physical justification for considering the possibility that the modified form of the SE as given by Eq. (10) is more correct than the original.

VI. RESOLUTION OF THE SPIN-ORBIT COUPLING ANOMALY

The spin-orbit coupling anomaly is that the observed energy difference between atomic emission spectral lines due to differing electron spin orientation is half that expected according to the semiclassical analysis. The spin-orbit coupling anomaly is usually regarded to be resolved [31, 32] due to the Thomas precession reducing the spin precession frequency to half its value neglecting Thomas precession. However it can be argued that the Thomas precession as a kinematic effect cannot affect the spin-orbit coupling energy [33].

If the energy of a photon is related to its frequency by half the currently accepted ratio, then the spin-orbit coupling anomaly is resolved without need to invoke Thomas precession. The energy proportion of the spin-orbit coupling to the atomic binding energy as determined from emission spectroscopy is effectively halved due to halving the energy per photon of a given frequency, compared to the Planck-Einstein law. That is, the energy differences of all atomic spectral lines appear to be halved by erroneously doubling the photon energy that is the basis for scaling from measured frequency to energy. When emission spectra are properly energy scaled, no anomalous factor of one-half is needed to obtain agreement between observation and the semiclassical calculation of the spin-
VII. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The zitter particle model interprets the zitterbewegung of the Dirac electron as a relativistic circulatory motion of a pointlike charge, such that the electron intrinsic spin is due to the orbital angular momentum associated with the circulatory motion. As shown here, extending the zitter model to the photon leads to an energy-frequency characteristic derivable from classical electrodynamics and that is consistent with the Planck blackbody spectrum. However, as the photon frequency is identified with classical electromagnetic field oscillations due to the the zitter motion of its constituents, the present model argues against the interpretation of the photon as a quantum of the electromagnetic field. Although ensembles of photons according to the present model can give rise to electromagnetic fields of quantized but otherwise arbitrary configuration equivalently to the photons of quantum field theory, non-quantized electromagnetic fields may be created directly by particles other than photons, such as electrons. Although it obviates the concept of the photon as the sole source of the electromagnetic field, the present model preserves the photon concept as the means of quantized energy transfer between atoms, and can potentially provide a classical-physics mechanism for impulse-like momentum, angular momentum, and energy transfer from photon to atomic electron, via the very strong near-range electromagnetic fields caused by the strongly accelerating constituent charges of the composite photon.