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In the de Broglie-Bohm formulation of quantum theory, the quantum force can exactly cancel the Coulomb attractive force. In this paper it is contended the force on a charge in a time-advanced electromagnetic field is of opposite sign to the Lorentz force due to the retarded field, and that such an advanced classical electromagnetic force accounts for part of Bohm’s quantum force. If Coulomb attraction is negated, then some other mechanism must bind electrons to the nuclei of atoms. It is proposed magnetic interactions between relativistically-moving charges may account for atomic binding without assumption of a Coulomb potential. If charged spin-half particles always move luminally, as the Dirac theory implies, and so moving give rise to the intrinsic magnetic moment, then magnetic spin-spin interactions stronger than currently recognized are to be expected. Part of the magnetic interaction between equal-mass Dirac particles has been previously shown to be radial and inverse-square, of equal strength to Coulomb interaction, and sinusoidally modulated by interparticle separation with a spatial period equal the de Broglie wavelength. The Coulomb-like spin-spin interaction is further elaborated to show explicit correspondence with the de Broglie matter wave and Schrödinger wavefunction. It is proposed spin-spin interactions as expected according to this line of reasoning can properly account for the formation of atoms, if the electromagnetic interaction in atoms is classical and time symmetric, and if quarks and leptons are composed of more-fundamental spin-half particles.
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removes the Coulomb potential term of the Schrödinger equation, leaving no apparent mechanism for the formation of atoms. Existence of a radially-acting magnetic inverse-square force that is equal in strength to the Coulomb force makes atomic binding plausible in spite of such cancellation of the Coulomb force by the advanced interaction.

The Schrödinger equation is understood to be only an approximation, as it neglects spin-orbit and spin-spin coupling effects readily observable in emission spectra, but it is a good approximation for the hydrogen atom. Therefore it would not be plausible that the addition of time-varying or vector potential terms could compensate for cancellation of the Coulomb potential term, if the electron is considered to be a charge moving nonrelativistically. However, in the zitter model, the electron charge motion is always luminal, so magnetic and electric effects may have equal magnitude. Thus the magnetic force between zitter particles can appear Coulomb-like, apart from modulation dependent on relative position and velocity. It is therefore plausible that the quantum potential shown by Bohm to be implicit in Schrödinger’s equation may be a consequence of the combination of electromagnetic interactions between zitter particles where the charge motion is always luminal, and a necessity of including time-advanced electromagnetic interactions.

In this communication, argument will be presented to substantiate and further elaborate the claims made above. Then, in Section VI, it will be attempted to anticipate and respond to some possible objections to the theory.

II. FORCE ON A CHARGED PARTICLE IN A TIME-ADVANCED ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD

A time-symmetric interaction is invariant to sign reversal of the time coordinate. It is well known that electrodynamics is time symmetric, and that both retarded and advanced solutions to the electromagnetic wave equation exist and have physical significance. However, time symmetry establishes a direct correspondence between the retarded interaction and advanced interaction upon time inversion. It does not directly establish the relationship between retarded and advanced interactions absent time inversion. After a brief review of the symmetry between retarded and advanced electromagnetic interactions under time inversions, here an indirect approach is used to establish that, absent time inversion, the Lorentz force on a charge in a time-advanced field is opposite to that due to a retarded field.

A. Retarded and Advanced Electromagnetic Fields for Point Charge in Arbitrary Motion

The electromagnetic field due to a point charge in arbitrary motion can be determined from the Liénard-Wiechert potentials. The electric and magnetic fields, \( \mathbf{E} \) and \( \mathbf{B} \), at a field point \( r \) and time \( t \) for an arbitrarily moving field-source charge \( q_s \) obtained from the retarded Liénard-Wiechert potentials may be expressed (in Gaussian units) as [26]

\[
\mathbf{E}_{\text{ret}}(r, t) = q_s \left[ \frac{\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{\beta}}{\gamma^2 (1 - \mathbf{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{n})^3 R^2} \right]_{\text{ret}} + \frac{q_s}{c} \left[ \frac{\mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{\beta}) \times \mathbf{\beta}}{(1 - \mathbf{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{n})^3 R} \right]_{\text{ret}},
\]

(1)

\[
\mathbf{B}_{\text{ret}}(r, t) = [\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E}]_{\text{ret}},
\]

(2)

where \( \mathbf{n} \) is a unit vector in the direction of the field point from the position of the field-source charge at the retarded time \( t_{\text{ret}} = t - R/c \), with \( R \) the magnitude of the displacement from the charge position at the retarded time to the field point \( r \) at time \( t \). With the field-source charge velocity \( \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{\beta} = \mathbf{v}/c \), and \( \gamma \equiv (1 - \mathbf{\beta}^2)^{-1/2} \). The subscript “ret” indicates that quantities in the brackets are evaluated at the retarded time. The overdot represents differentiation with respect to \( t \), so \( \mathbf{\beta} = \mathbf{a}/c \) where \( \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a} \dot{a} \) is the acceleration.

The first term on the right hand side of Eq. (1), often referred to as the electric velocity field, vanishes in the limit of \( \mathbf{\beta} \) approaching unity, except if \( \mathbf{\beta} = \mathbf{n} \), when it is undefined due to vanishing of the inverse factor of \( (1 - \mathbf{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{n})^3 \). The second term on the right hand side of Eq. (1), also undefined when \( \mathbf{\beta} = \mathbf{n} \), can be referred to as the electric acceleration field, or as the radiative field owing to its inverse \( R \) rather than inverse \( R^2 \) dependence. However, the acceleration field becomes a solution to the electromagnetic wave equation only in the far field. It can also give rise to more rapidly decaying field terms that are dynamically relevant in the near field.

The advanced fields due to a point charge in arbitrary motion may be found as
\[
E_{\text{adv}}(r, t) = \frac{q_s}{c} \left[ \frac{n + \beta}{\gamma^2 (1 + \beta \cdot n)^3 R^2} \right]_{\text{adv}} + \frac{q_s}{c} \left[ \frac{n \times \left( (n + \beta) \times \dot{\beta} \right)}{(1 + \beta \cdot n)^3 R} \right]_{\text{adv}},
\]

\[
B_{\text{adv}}(r, t) = - [n \times E]_{\text{adv}}. \tag{4}
\]

The time-symmetric electromagnetic field due to an arbitrarily-moving point charge is the sum of its retarded and advanced fields.

B. The Identity of the Retarded Electromagnetic Force with the Time-Reversed Advanced Electromagnetic Force

For the present purpose it is sufficient to consider the case of the electric acceleration field as given in the retarded case by

\[
E_{\text{acc, ret}}(r, t) = \frac{q_s}{c^2} \left[ \frac{n \times \left( (n - \beta) \times \dot{\beta} \right)}{(1 - \beta \cdot n)^3 R} \right]_{\text{ret}}. \tag{5}
\]

Let the field-source particle with charge \(q_s\) be located on the z-axis and moving on it in an oscillatory fashion around the origin with position

\[
r(t) = f(t)\hat{z}, \tag{6}
\]

velocity

\[
v = \dot{f}(t)\hat{z}, \tag{7}
\]

and acceleration

\[
a = \ddot{f}(t)\hat{z}. \tag{8}
\]

At a distant point on the x-axis, then,

\[
E_{\text{acc, ret}}(R, 0, 0, t) = \frac{q_s}{c^2} \left[ \frac{\hat{x} \times ((\hat{x} - v) \times a)}{R} \right]_{\text{ret}}, \tag{9}
\]

or (since \(v\) is parallel to \(a\) here),

\[
E_{\text{acc, ret}}(R, 0, 0, t) = \frac{q_s}{c^2} \left[ \frac{\hat{x} \times (\hat{x} \times a)}{R} \right]_{\text{ret}} = \frac{q_s}{c^2} \left[ \frac{\hat{x} \times (\hat{x} \times \hat{z}) \dot{f}(t)}{R} \right]_{\text{ret}}. \tag{10}
\]

With the vector identity \(a \times (b \times c) = (a \cdot c)b - (a \cdot b)c\), and with \(D \equiv R/c\),

\[
E_{\text{acc, ret}}(R, 0, 0, t) = -\frac{q_s}{c^2} \left[ \frac{\hat{x}}{R} \right]_{\text{ret}} = -\frac{q_s}{c^2} \left[ \frac{\dot{f}(t)\hat{z}}{R} \right]_{\text{ret}} = -\frac{q_s}{c^2 R} \left[ \dot{f}(t - D) \right] \hat{z}. \tag{11}
\]

Similarly, the advanced electric acceleration field is

\[
E_{\text{acc, adv}}(R, 0, 0, t) = -\frac{q_s}{c^2} \left[ \frac{\dot{f}(t)\hat{z}}{R} \right]_{\text{adv}} = -\frac{q_s}{c^2 R} \left[ \dot{f}(t + D) \right] \hat{z}. \tag{12}
\]

Letting \(t' \equiv -t\), and defining a primed coordinate frame that is time-reversed compared to the unprimed frame but identical otherwise, then the source charge position in the primed frame is

\[
r'(t') = f(-t')\hat{z}, \tag{13}
\]

The source charge velocity in the primed frame is

\[
v'(t') \equiv \frac{dr'(t')}{dt'} = \frac{df(-t')\hat{z}}{dt'} = \frac{df(t)}{dt} \frac{dt}{dt'} \hat{z} = -\dot{f}\hat{z} = -v. \tag{14}
\]

and the source charge acceleration in the primed frame is

\[
a'(t') \equiv \frac{dv'(t')}{dt'} = -\frac{d\dot{f}(t')\hat{z}}{dt'} = \frac{\ddot{f}(t)}{dt} \frac{dt}{dt'} \hat{z} = \ddot{f}\hat{z} = a. \tag{15}
\]

The retarded electric acceleration field in the primed frame due to the source charge motion is

\[
E_{\text{acc, ret}}(R, 0, 0, t') = -\frac{q_s}{c^2} \left[ \frac{a'}{R} \right]_{\text{ret}} = -\frac{q_s}{c^2 R} \left[ \dot{f}(t')\hat{z} \right]_{\text{ret}} = -\frac{q_s}{c^2 R} \left[ \dot{f}(t' - D) \right] \hat{z}. \tag{16}
\]

and the advanced electric acceleration field in the primed system is

\[
E_{\text{acc, adv}}(R, 0, 0, t') = -\frac{q_s}{c^2} \left[ \frac{a'}{R} \right]_{\text{adv}} = -\frac{q_s}{c^2 R} \left[ \ddot{f}(t')\hat{z} \right]_{\text{adv}} = -\frac{q_s}{c^2 R} \left[ \ddot{f}(t' + D) \right] \hat{z}. \tag{17}
\]

The Lorentz force \(F_{\text{ret}}\) on a particle with (signed) charge, \(q\), due to a retarded electromagnetic field is

\[
F_{\text{ret}} = q \left( E_{\text{ret}} + v \times B_{\text{ret}} \right), \tag{18}
\]

and so the electric force on a fixed charge \(q_f\) located at \((R, 0, 0, t)\) due to the retarded acceleration field is

\[
F_{\text{acc, ret}}(t) = q_f E_{\text{acc, ret}}(R, 0, 0, t) = -\frac{q q_f}{c^2 R} \left[ \dot{f}(t - D) \right] \hat{z}. \tag{19}
\]
and, in the time-reversed system the retarded time \( t_{\text{ret}} = t - D \) corresponds to \( -t_{\text{ret}} = D - t = t' + D = t'_{\text{adv}} \).

If electrodynamics is to be time-symmetric, then the force on the test charge due to the advanced field in the time-reversed frame must equal the force due to the retarded field in the forward-time frame. That is, it is necessary that

\[
F'_{\text{acc, adv}}(-t') = F_{\text{acc, ret}}(t),
\]

which, from Eq. (19), will require that

\[
F'_{\text{acc, adv}}(-t') = -\frac{q_t q_s}{c^2 R} \left[ f(t - D) \right] \hat{z}. \tag{21}
\]

The advanced electric acceleration field in the time-reversed system at time \( -t' \) is given by Eq. (17) as

\[
E'_{\text{acc, adv}}(R, 0, 0, -t') = -\frac{q_s}{c^2 R} \left[ f'(-t' + D) \right] \hat{z} = -\frac{q_s}{c^2 R} \left[ f(t - D) \right] \hat{z}. \tag{22}
\]

Comparing Eq. (22) with Eq. (11),

\[
E'_{\text{acc, adv}}(R, 0, 0, -t') = E_{\text{acc, ret}}(R, 0, 0, t), \tag{23}
\]

and so the time-symmetry of electrodynamics requires that

\[
F'_{\text{acc, adv}} = q_t E'_{\text{acc, adv}}. \tag{24}
\]

Under time inversion, the sign of the force due to the advanced field is thus identical to that associated with the retarded field, as given by Eq. (19). Relativistic covariance ensures that this result generalizes to the entire Lorentz force. That is, covariance requires that the Lorentz force \( F_{\text{adv}} \) on a particle with (signed) charge, \( q \), due to an advanced electromagnetic field is, in a time-reversed frame, or

\[
F'_{\text{adv}} = q \left( E'_{\text{adv}} + v' \times B'_{\text{adv}} \right). \tag{25}
\]

C. Predicted Form of Lorentz Force for Time-Advanced Electromagnetic Interaction

From Eq. (11), the force on the test charge due to the retarded electric acceleration field is

\[
F_{\text{acc, ret}} = q_t E_{\text{acc, ret}}(R, 0, 0, t) = -\frac{q_t q_s}{c^2 R} \left[ f(t - D) \right] \hat{z}. \tag{26}
\]

The test charge momentum change induced by the retarded field is thus

\[
\dot{p}_t = F_{\text{acc, ret}} = -\frac{q_t q_s}{c^2 R} \left[ f(t - D) \right] \hat{z}. \tag{27}
\]

Assuming nonrelativistic motion,

\[
a_t = -\frac{q_t q_s}{m c^2 R} \left[ f(t - D) \right] \hat{z}. \tag{28}
\]

The test charge motion due to the retarded field of the source charge results in an advanced electric field at the source charge position given, in a coordinate system centered on the test charge, by (since \( \mathbf{v}_t \) is parallel to \( \mathbf{a}_t \), with the vector identity \( \mathbf{a} \times (\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c}) = (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c}) \mathbf{b} - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \mathbf{c} \), and with \( D \equiv R/c )

\[
E_{\text{acc, adv}}(-R, 0, 0, t) = \frac{q_t}{c^2} \left( \mathbf{x} \times (\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{a}_t) \right) \tag{29}
\]

\[
= \frac{q_t^2}{2 m c^2 R^2} \left[ f(t) \right] \mathbf{z}. \tag{30}
\]

Assuming the advanced field interacts with a charge in accordance with the Lorentz force, the acceleration of the source charge by the advanced field of the test charge is then

\[
a_s = \frac{q_t}{m} E_{\text{acc, adv}}(-R, 0, 0, t) = -\frac{q_t^2 q_s^2}{m^2 c^2 R^2} \left[ f(t) \right] \mathbf{z}. \tag{31}
\]

Thus, the acceleration induced according to the Lorentz force on the source charge due to the advanced field from the test charge accelerated by the retarded source charge field is in opposition to the acceleration of the source charge that caused its retarded field. However the field caused by the induced test charge motion is not consistent with absorption of the outgoing retarded wave from the source charge. For example, consider the retarded field from the test charge at a position (2R, 0, 0, t) in the coordinate system centered on the source charge. That field is, in coordinates centered on the test charge,
The field due to the source charge at the same position on the x-axis $R$ beyond the test charge is, from Eq. (11),
\[
E_{acc, \text{ret}}(2R, 0, 0, t) = -\frac{q_s}{c^2} \left[ \frac{a}{R} \right]_{\text{ret}}
= -\frac{q_s}{c^2} \left[ \frac{\dot{f}(t - D)\hat{z}}{R} \right]_{\text{ret}}
= -\frac{q_s}{c^2 R} \left[ \frac{\dot{f}(t - 2D)}{\hat{z}} \right].
\] (29)

That the retarded field due to the test charge is in phase with that of the source charge is expected given that the process as modeled has not incorporated any damping on the test charge motion due to radiation reaction, and so is inconsistent with any absorption of the retarded wave from the source charge. However, under the assumption that the advanced field interacts with a charge in accordance with the familiar Lorentz force in retarded-field interactions has led to a damping force on the source charge due to the advanced field of the test charge. Therefore it is concluded that the force on a charge due to an advanced electromagnetic field is properly opposite the usual Lorentz force. That is, it is apparent that the force on a charge in an advanced electromagnetic field is properly
\[
F_{\text{adv}} = -q \left( E_{\text{adv}} + v \times B_{\text{adv}} \right).
\] (30)

III. ZITTER PARTICLE MODEL AND INVERSE-SQUARE RADIAL MAGNETIC FORCE BETWEEN ZITTER PARTICLES

A. De Broglie Matter Wave and Zitter Model of Dirac Particle

De Broglie’s hypothesis [1] of a wave character of matter was based on analogy with the wave character of light. He proposed an internal oscillatory property of matter, that created a wave phase due to motion of an elementary matter particle, by combining the Einstein mass-energy equivalency $E = mc^2 = \gamma m_0 c^2$, where $\gamma$ is the Lorentz factor, with the Planck-Einstein law $E = h\nu$. De Broglie proposed an internal frequency could be associated with an elementary particle based on its rest mass $m_0$ as
\[
\nu_B = \frac{E_0}{h} = \frac{m_0 c^2}{h} = \frac{\omega_B}{2\pi},
\] (31)
where $h$ is Planck’s constant, and $c$ is the “limiting velocity of the theory of relativity”. The hypothesis was difficult to reconcile with the frequency-scaling behavior of light and photons, however. While for a photon, frequency is proportional to energy, any internal motion of a moving massive particle is perceived by a stationary observer to be slowed by time dilation.

As de Broglie showed [2], it’s nonetheless possible to derive a modulation with the necessary frequency scaling behavior from the hypothesis of Eq. (31), by equating the phase history generated by fixing the phase angle of a sinusoidal modulation with frequency $\nu_B$ to the time-varying position of the particle with the phase of a traveling wave of superluminal phase velocity. The group velocity of the resulting wave is equal to the particle velocity, and the resulting wavelength depending inversely on the particle momentum is the quantity now called the de Broglie wavelength. The de Broglie wavelength formula can be found alternatively, however, without reference to an internal motion, simply by combining the Planck-Einstein law with the relativistic description of momentum. In either case, the Planck-Einstein relation is taken as a postulate. (It is an advantage of the present approach, based on the zitter model, that a photon energy-frequency relationship can be derived [3].)

The de Broglie matter wave, or “phase wave,” can be represented in terms of a spatial coordinate $x$ and time $t$ as
\[
B(x, t) = \cos(kx - \omega t) = \cos \omega(x/v_B - t),
\] (32)
with angular frequency $\omega = 2\pi \nu = 2\pi \gamma \nu_B$, where $\nu_B$ is the de Broglie frequency as given by Eq. (31), phase velocity $v_B = \omega/k = c/\beta = c^2/v$, where $v = c\beta$ is the velocity of the particle associated with the phase wave, and the Lorentz factor $\gamma = (1 - \beta^2)^{-1/2}$. The wavenumber is thus $k = 2\pi \gamma \nu_B/v_B = 2\pi \gamma \nu_B \beta/c = 2\pi \gamma m_0 c^2 \beta/(hc) = 2\pi \gamma m_0 c^2 / (2\pi h)$, and the wavelength is $\lambda = 2\pi/k = h/p$. As de Broglie shows, the group velocity can be calculated as $v_g = d\omega/dk$ to obtain that $v_g = v$, the particle velocity.

In the zitter particle model, the intrinsic angular momentum of a spin-half particle is modeled as orbital angular momentum of a classical point charge undergoing luminal-velocity circular motion around a fixed point in the particle rest frame. The zitter particle model thus represents the particle position as an average of the charge position over a cycle of the circulatory motion, henceforth the zitter motion. The circular frequency of the zitter motion will be referred to as the zitter frequency. With angular momentum of a charge with rest mass $m$ due to the zitter motion of radius $r_0$ given as $L = r_0 \gamma m \nu$ with $\nu$ the velocity of the circulatory motion, and taking $\gamma m = m_0$ where $m_0$ is the observed particle rest mass, then in the limit of $\nu = c$, zitter motion radius $r_0 = h/2m_0 c$ obtains that the particle intrinsic angular momentum is $h/2$. The diameter of the circulatory motion that has angular momentum $h/2$ is thus one reduced Compton wavelength, $\lambda_0 = h/m_0 c$. Denoting the zitter frequency of a spin-half particle by $\nu_{h/2}$, then
\[
\nu_{h/2} = \frac{c}{2\pi r_0} = \frac{c}{\pi \lambda_0} = \frac{2m_0 c^2}{h} = 2\nu_B,
\] (33)
where $\nu_B$ is the de Broglie frequency as given by Eq. (31). The frequency $\nu_{h/2}$ is also the frequency of the zitterbewegung of the Dirac electron.
B. Coulomb-Like Magnetic Force Between Dirac Particles

In [19], it is shown that the magnetic force between zitter particles will have a radially-directed magnetic force component given by

\[ F_r = -\frac{q_1 q_s \beta_t}{r^2} \left[ \frac{\beta}{(1 - \beta \cdot n)^3 (1 - 2\epsilon \dot{r} \cdot k + \epsilon^2)^{3/2}} \right], \tag{34} \]

where \( r \) is the separation between the zitter particles’ centers of circulatory motions, \( \dot{r} \) is a unit vector directed toward the test zitter particle center of motion from that of the field-source zitter particle, and \( \epsilon = \lambda_0 / r \) with \( \lambda_0 \) the reduced Compton wavelength. The test and source particle charges are \( q_1 \) and \( q_s \). The subscript “ret” indicates that quantities in the brackets are evaluated at the retarded time. For large enough interparticle separation, \( \epsilon << 1 \) and so

\[ F_r \approx -\frac{q_1 q_s \beta_t \cdot \beta_{s,ret} \dot{r}}{(1 - \beta_{s,ret} \cdot n)^3 \gamma^2}, \tag{35} \]

where \( \beta_s \) is rewritten as \( \beta_{s,ret} \) to emphasize its association with the source particle and at the retarded time. The term given by Eq. (34) and for large enough interparticle separation by Eq. (35), that contributes to the magnetic force caused by a stationary zitter particle on another classical point charge by the factor \( \beta_t \cdot \beta_{s,ret} \) and the inverse factor of \( (1 - \beta_{s,ret} \cdot n)^3 \). The inverse factor of \( (1 - \beta_{s,ret} \cdot n)^3 \) modulates the magnitude of the radial magnetic force at the frequency of the zitter motion, but averages to unity over a zitter cycle. For the zitter particles with luminal motion of the internal charges the magnitudes of \( \beta_t \) and \( \beta_s \) are unity, and for aligned in-phase zitter motions \( \beta_t \cdot \beta_s \) is also unity. Therefore \( F_r \) where it is defined is a radially-directed inverse-square force with average strength equal to the Coulomb force between static charges, but modulated by phase difference between the zitter motions, including phase delay due to propagation. It is thus justified to refer to the force as described by Eqs. (34) and (35) as the Coulomb-like magnetic force.

IV. RELATIVE MOTION INDUCED MODULATION OF THE INVERSE-SQUARE RADIAL MAGNETIC FORCE BETWEEN ZITTER PARTICLES

In [19], it is shown that the magnetic field on a moving test zitter particle caused by the magnetic field from an identical but stationary zitter particle, for aligned zitter motions of their charges, contains a radially-directed part with magnitude varying inverse-squarely with separation between the particles and equivalent in strength to the Coulomb force between stationary charges of the same magnitude, and with a modulating factor that depends on the test particle velocity relative to the source particle. It is also shown in [19] that the spatial period of modulation of the Coulomb-like magnetic force between zitter particle varies with particle momentum in a manner that can be related to the de Broglie wavelength. However, the correspondence in the field-source zitter particle rest frame as described in [19] is valid only in the limit of small test zitter particle velocity. The correspondence was limited, in part, due to omission of the full relativistic description of the field-source particle zitter phase as it influences the motion of the test zitter particle. The simple description based on time dilation used in [19] is insufficient. Rather, a proper description must be based on Lorentz transformation of the source particle zitter phase to the test particle rest frame. As noted by Baylis [23], the de Broglie matter or phase wave arises naturally by Lorentz transformation of a phase function representing a distributed synchronized system of laboratory frame clocks from the laboratory frame to the rest frame of a moving particle. This situation corresponds in the interacting zitter particles model to nonrelativial relative motion, where the relativistic Doppler shift is equivalent to time dilation. The interacting zitter particles model however involves the propagation delay and advancement from the field-source to the test particle, and has an electrodynamical basis and effect.

In this section the precise relationship between the de Broglie wavelength and the spatial modulation of the Coulomb-like magnetic force is developed for the case of a stationary field-source spin-half zitter particle. In the limit of large velocity, the modulation spatial period approaches one-half the de Broglie wavelength [24] there due to the zitterbewegung frequency of the spin-half Dirac particle being twice the frequency de Broglie hypothesized based on the spin-one photon. In spite of being based on the photon, de Broglie’s wavelength value has been demonstrated to be applicable to electron wavefunctions through the success of nonrelativistic wave mechanics. Therefore it is of interest that the nonrelativistic case obtains a modulation spatial period that is equal to the de Broglie wavelength for both nonradial and radial relative motion, assuming a time-symmetric electromagnetic field.

A. Motion Induced Modulation for Retarded Interaction

Suppose that the test zitter particle is moving with velocity \( \mathbf{v} = c|\beta_t| \), where the overbar indicates an average over a test particle zitter period, in the inertial reference frame where the field-source zitter particle is stationary. Then, the phase of the test particle zitter motion as observed in the source zitter particle rest frame can be written as \( \omega \tau = \gamma \omega (1 - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r} / c^2) \), where \( \tau \) is the time coordinate in the test zitter particle rest frame, \( \gamma = (1 - (v/c)^2)^{-1/2} \), and \( \mathbf{r} \) here is the displacement from
the source zitter particle to the test zitter particle. The modulating factor on the inverse-square radial magnetic force in the numerator of the right-hand side of Eq. (35) becomes [1]

\[
\beta_t \cdot \beta_{s,ret} = \cos \left[ \gamma \omega (t - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}/c^2) - \omega t_{ret} - \delta \phi_0 \right].
\] (36)

Substituting for \( t_{ret} = t - r_{ret}/c \),

\[
\beta_t \cdot \beta_{s,ret} = \cos \left[ \gamma \omega (t - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}/c^2) - \omega (t - r_{ret}/c) - \delta \phi_0 \right] = \cos \left[ (\gamma - 1) \omega t - \gamma \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}/c^2 + \omega (r_{ret}/c) - \delta \phi_0 \right].
\] (37)

In what follows the abbreviation \( \mathcal{M}(t) \equiv \beta_t(t) \cdot \beta_s(t) \), where \( t \) is the time coordinate in the source zitter particle rest frame, will be used for the modulating factor generally. The modulating factor on the Coulomb-like magnetic force acting on the test zitter particle due to the retarded magnetic field is then \( \beta_t(t) \cdot \beta_{s,ret}(t) \equiv \beta_t \cdot \beta_{s,ret}(t) = \mathcal{M}_{ret}(t) \).

Since the source zitter particle is assumed stationary here, \( r_{ret}(t) = r(t) \), and so (using the present notation for the zitter frequency generally as \( \omega_z \)),

\[
\mathcal{M}_{ret}(t) = \cos(\omega_z \left[ (\gamma - 1)t - \gamma \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}/c^2 + r(t)/c \right] + \delta \phi_0),
\] (38)

where \( t \) is time in the source zitter particle rest frame. Here \( \gamma = (1 - \beta^2)^{-1/2} \), and \( v = c\beta = c|\beta| \) is the test zitter particle velocity magnitude, the average over its charge zitter motion. The test zitter particle velocity in Eq. (38) can be decomposed into components parallel and perpendicular to the interparticle displacement vector as \( \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_r + \mathbf{v}_\perp \), to obtain

\[
\mathcal{M}_{ret}(t) = \cos(\omega_z \left[ (\gamma - 1)t - \gamma \mathbf{v}_r \cdot \mathbf{x}/c^2 + r(t)/c \right] + \delta \phi_0).
\] (39)

If the relative motion is nonradial, \( \mathbf{v}_r = 0 \), \( \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_\perp \), and \( r(t) = r_0 \), a constant, and so

\[
\mathcal{M}_{ret}(t) = \cos(\omega_z \left[ (\gamma - 1)t - \gamma \mathbf{v}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{x}/c^2 + r_0/c \right] + \delta \phi_0).
\] (40)

Eq. (40) describes a traveling wave with frequency \( \omega = \omega_z(\gamma - 1) \) and wavevector \( \mathbf{k} = \gamma \omega_z \mathbf{v}/c^2 \). With \( \omega_z = 2m_e c^2/h \), the frequency is \( \omega = 2(\gamma - 1)m_e c^2/h \). This value is the difference of the relativistic energies of the two interacting zitter electrons, divided by the electron spin magnitude \( h/2 \). The wavelength for the interacting spin-half zitter particles in nonradial relative motion is \( \lambda = 2\pi/k = 2\pi hc^2/(2\gamma m_e c^2) = h/(2\gamma m_e c) = h/2p \), half the de Broglie wavelength.

If the relative motion is not purely nonradial, it is instructive to consider the case of purely radial motion with constant velocity. Then Eq. (39) becomes

\[
\mathcal{M}_{ret}(t) = \cos(\omega_z \left[ (\gamma - 1)t - \gamma \mathbf{v}_r \cdot \mathbf{x}/c^2 + r(t)/c \right] + \delta \phi_0)
\]

\[
= \cos(\omega_z \left[ (\gamma - 1)t - \gamma \mathbf{v}_r \cdot \mathbf{x}/c^2 + r(t)/c \right] + \delta \phi_0)
\]

\[
= \cos(\omega_z \left[ (\gamma - 1)t - (\gamma \beta_r - 1)r(t)/c \right] + \delta \phi_0).
\] (41)

Eq. (41) describes a radially-traveling wave with frequency \( \omega = \omega_z(\gamma - 1) \) and wavenumber \( k = \omega_z(\gamma \beta_r - 1)/c \). With \( \omega_z = 2m_e c^2/h \), then \( k = 2m_e c^2(\gamma \beta_r - 1)/(hc) = 2m_e \gamma (\gamma \beta_r - 1)/h \), and the wavelength for the interacting spin-half zitter particles in radial relative motion is \( \lambda = 2\pi/k = 2\pi hc/2m_e(\gamma \beta_r - 1) = hc/2m_e(\gamma \beta_r - 1) \). For relativistic relative motion where \( \beta_r \) approaches unity and \( \gamma >> 1 \), \( \lambda \approx h/(2\gamma m_e c) = h/(2p) \), half the de Broglie wavelength. For \( \beta_r << 1 \), then \( \lambda \approx h/2m_e \), half the electron Compton wavelength.

A force acting on a uniformly-translating particle while sinusoidally varying with angular frequency, \( \omega \), undergoes one cycle of variation in the time period \( T = 2\pi/\omega \). The spatial period \( D \) of the variation is found as the distance the particle travels during one modulation cycle as \( D = vT = 2\pi v/c \). The modulation spatial period may also be related to the traveling wave phase velocity and wavelength. With the phase velocity \( v_p = \omega/c \) and wavelength \( \lambda = 2\pi/k \),

\[
D = vT = \frac{2\pi v}{\omega} = \frac{2\pi \nu}{k v_p} = \frac{v \lambda}{v_p}.
\] (42)

The spatial period of the sinusoidal modulation of Eq. (40), corresponding to circular motion, is

\[
D_{\text{ret,nonrad}} = \frac{2\pi v}{\omega} = \frac{v h}{2c^2 m_e(\gamma - 1)}.
\] (43)

Using \( \gamma^{-2} = 1 - \beta^2 \),

\[
D_{\text{ret,nonrad}} = \frac{h v}{2c^2 m_e(\gamma - 1)} = \frac{2c^2 \gamma m_e(1 - \gamma^{-2})}{h v(1 + \gamma^{-1})} = \frac{h v(1 + \gamma^{-1})}{2c^2 m_e(1 - \gamma^{-2})} = \frac{h(1 + \gamma^{-1})}{2p},
\] (44)

where \( p \equiv \gamma m_e c \) is the test zitter particle momentum. This differs from the de Broglie wavelength \( \lambda_{\text{de Broglie}} = h/p \) by the factor \( (1 + \gamma^{-1})/2 \), i.e.,

\[
D_{\text{ret,nonrad}} = \frac{1 + \gamma^{-1}}{2} \lambda_{\text{de Broglie}}.
\] (45)

[1] The modulating factor on the inverse-square part of the radially-directed magnetic force acting on the test zitter particle, for the case of parallel spins as modeled by the zitter motions, is given by Eq. (21) of [18], incorrectly, as

\[
\beta_t \cdot \beta_{s,ret} = \cos(\omega \prime t - \omega t_{ret} - \delta \phi_0),
\]

where the zitter frequency of the test particle as observed in the source zitter particle rest frame was stated as \( \omega \prime = \omega/\gamma \), with \( \omega = 2m_e c^2/h \). This form accounts for time dilation but does not include the modulation phase variation with velocity and position as described by Eq. (37) above.
Thus, as previously claimed (with less justification) in [19], in the low velocity limit where \((1 + \gamma^{-1})/2 \approx 1\), the de Broglie wavelength can be equated with the spatial period of the beat due to the difference of the source and test particles’ zitter frequencies caused by time dilation. Therefore, the de Broglie wavelength in the low-velocity limit can be obtained as a modulation of the magnetic force caused by the zitterbewegung of one Dirac particle acting upon another of equal mass.

For nonrelativistic, nonradial relative velocity between a stationary field-source zitter particle and an equal-mass test zitter particle, the radial inverse-square law magnetic force thus undergoes one period of sinsoidal modulation while the distance traveled is one de Broglie wavelength. This was previously shown in [19].

**B. Motion Induced Modulation for Time-Symmetric Interaction**

It is also shown in [19] how a magnetic force component with a de Broglie wavelength modulation can be obtained for low-velocity radial relative motion between the zitter particles, if a time-symmetric electromagnetic interaction is assumed, where the total electromagnetic field is a combination of advanced as well as retarded fields.

The modulating factor on the radial inverse-square law magnetic force for the test zitter particle moving in the advanced field of the source zitter particle, equivalent to Eq. (38) for the retarded interaction, is (in the present notation),

\[
\beta_t \cdot \beta_{s,\text{adv}}(t) \equiv M_{\text{adv}}(t) = \cos \left[ \gamma \omega_z (t - v \cdot x/c^2) - \omega_z t_{\text{adv}} - \phi_0 \right],
\]

where \(t_{\text{adv}} = t + r_{\text{adv}}/c\) is the advanced time, with \(r_{\text{adv}}/c\) the displacement from the test zitter particle charge at the present time \(t\) to the field-source zitter particle center of motion at the time in the future when the magnitude of the four-displacement is null. With the source zitter particle assumed stationary, \(r_{\text{adv}}(t) = r_{\text{ret}}(t) = r(t)\), and so

\[
M_{\text{adv}}(t) = \cos(\omega_z \left( (\gamma - 1)t - \gamma v \cdot x/c^2 - r(t)/c \right) + \phi_0),
\]

(47)

The modulating factor on the radial inverse-square magnetic force for the test zitter particle moving in the sum of the retarded and advanced fields is then (using the identity \(\cos \theta + \cos \phi = 2 \cos((\theta + \phi)/2) \cos((\theta - \phi)/2)\)),

\[
\beta_t \cdot \beta_{s,\text{ret}} + \beta_t \cdot \beta_{s,\text{adv}} = M_{\text{ret}}(t) + M_{\text{adv}}(t) = [M_{\text{sym}}(t)]^{(48)} = 2 \cos \left( \omega_z \left( (\gamma - 1)t - \gamma v \cdot x/c^2 + \phi_d \right) \right) \times \cos \left( \omega_z r(t)/c + \phi_s \right),
\]

where \(\phi_s = (\phi_r + \phi_d)/2\) and \(\phi_d = (\phi_r - \phi_d)/2\) are constants. It is thus possible in the time-symmetric picture to factor the modulation of the radial magnetic force into one sinsoidal factor with frequency depending on the test particle velocity and independent of the interparticle separation, and another with frequency explicitly dependent on the separation. Such factorization is not possible in the retarded-only interaction, as illustrated by Eq. (45).

In the case of nonradial motion of the test zitter particle, Eq. (48) reproduces the modulation behavior of the retarded interaction, where the modulation spatial period is the de Broglie wavelength for nonrelativistic velocity, but approaches half the de Broglie wavelength in the luminal limit.

**V. SIMILARITY OF THE TIME-SYMMETRIC FORCE MODULATION TO THE SCHRÖDINGER WAVEFUNCTION**

To investigate further what relationship the inverse-square radial magnetic force and its modulation might have to quantum theory, its possible similarity will be considered in this section to the Schrödinger wavefunction. Schrödinger developed a nonrelativistic wave equation for a scalar field based on de Broglie’s matter wave. Schrödinger recognized that the de Broglie wave group velocity equality with particle velocity could be used to link the matter wave spatial derivative to the particle momentum. Along with a time variation relatable to the particle energy, this enabled construction of a Hamiltonian using partial derivatives of a “wavefunction” that is a complex extension of a nonrelativistic approximation of the de Broglie wave.

In the interacting zitter particle model, the Coulomb-like radial magnetic force modulation can be factored such that one factor satisfies a partial differential equation that is similar to the free-particle Schrödinger equation (SE), where the occurrences of \(\hbar\) in the SE are replaced by the quantity \(s\), the intrinsic angular momentum of the zitter particle. Thus, for the electron, occurrences of \(\hbar\) in the Schrödinger equation are replaced by \(s = \hbar/2\). In any case, however, in the interacting zitter particles model a partial differential equation cannot adequately describe the dynamics, which naturally involves convective derivatives. It can be shown that the modulation of the Coulomb-like magnetic force between spin-half zitter particles has a factor that satisfies an equation that can be obtained from the time-dependent Schrödinger equation by replacing the partial derivatives with corresponding total derivatives, and doubling the wavefunction time frequency.

The modulating factor due to the time-symmetric field was found in Eq. (48) as \(M_{\text{sym}} = M_{\text{ret}} + M_{\text{adv}}\). Dropping the leading factor of 2 as irrelevant let

\[
M_{\text{sym}}(x, t) = \cos \left( \omega_z \left( (\gamma - 1)t - \gamma v \cdot x/c^2 \right) + \phi_d \right) \times \cos \left( \omega_z r(t)/c + \phi_s \right) \equiv M_1 M_2.
\]

(49)
The space and time varying phase of the first cosine factor $M_1$ of Eq. (49) can be written as
\[ \omega_z \left[ (\gamma - 1)t - \gamma v \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}/c^2 \right] = \omega t - kd, \] (50)
where $d = \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}$, with wavenumber $k = \gamma \omega_z \beta/c$ and frequency $\omega = \omega_z (\gamma - 1)$. The phase velocity is
\[ v_p = \frac{\omega}{k} = \frac{\omega_z (\gamma - 1) c}{\gamma \omega_z \beta} = \frac{c (\gamma - 1)}{\gamma \beta}. \] (51)

For $\beta$ near unity, the phase velocity is thus superluminal and approaches that of the de Broglie matter wave. For small $\beta$, $\gamma \approx 1 + \beta^2/2$ and (unlike the de Broglie matter wave),
\[ v_p \approx \frac{\omega_z \beta}{2} = \frac{c \beta}{2} = \frac{v}{2}. \] (52)

For the spin-half zitter particle with $\omega_z = 2 \omega_B = 4\pi c/\lambda_0$, the wavelength $\lambda = 2\pi/k$ is
\[ \lambda = \frac{2\pi}{\gamma \beta \omega_z} = \frac{\lambda_0}{2 \gamma \beta} = \frac{h}{2 \gamma \omega_0 \beta} = \frac{h}{2 \beta}, \] (53)
with $p = \gamma m_0 \omega_0$ the test zitter particle momentum. The wavelength of the first modulating factor of Eq. (49) for the spin-half zitter particle model of the Dirac electron is thus half the de Broglie wavelength. The wavelength for the spin-one zitter particle is equal to the de Broglie wavelength.

To calculate the group velocity, the procedure of de Broglie in his doctoral thesis may be used. The group velocity of a wave of frequency $\omega$ and wavenumber $k$ is generally
\[ v_g = \frac{d \omega}{dk} = \frac{d \omega}{d \beta} \frac{d \beta}{dk}. \] (54)
The group velocity of the first cosine factor of Eq. (49) is thus calculated as
\[ v_g = \frac{d \omega}{dk} = \frac{d \omega}{d \beta} \left[ \frac{dk}{d \beta} \right]^{-1} = \frac{\omega \beta c (\gamma - 1)}{\omega \gamma \beta} \left[ \frac{d (\gamma \beta)}{d \beta} \right]^{-1} = \frac{c d \gamma}{d \beta} \left[ \gamma + \beta \frac{d \gamma}{d \beta} \right]^{-1}. \] (55)
Substituting for $d \gamma/d \beta = \gamma^3 \beta$ and $\gamma^2 \beta^2 = \gamma^2 - 1$ obtains that
\[ v_g = \gamma^3 \beta c \left[ \gamma + \gamma^3 \beta^2 \right]^{-1} = \frac{c \gamma^2 \beta}{1 + \gamma^2 \beta^2} = \frac{c \gamma^2 \beta}{1 + \gamma^2 - 1} = \frac{c \gamma^2 \beta}{\gamma^2} = \frac{v}{2}. \] (56)

The group velocity of the first cosine factor of Eq. (49) for the relativistically Doppler-shifted time-symmetric electromagnetic wave for an electric dipole oscillating at the zitterbewegung frequency of the Dirac electron theory is therefore, like the group velocity of the de Broglie matter wave, equal to the velocity of the particle containing the oscillating dipole.

The spatial period is the distance the test zitter particle travels during one cycle of modulation. The spatial period, $D_1$, of the first factor on the right hand side of Eq. (49) is found as
\[ D_1 = v T = \frac{2 \pi v}{\omega} = \frac{2 \pi v}{\omega_z (\gamma - 1)} = \frac{2 \pi v h}{2 m_e c^2 (\gamma - 1)} = \frac{v h}{2 m_e c^2 (\gamma - 1)}. \] (57)
For $v/c << 1$,
\[ D_1 \approx \frac{\beta h}{2 m_e c (\beta^2/2)} = \frac{\beta h}{2 m_e c^2} \approx \frac{h}{2 \gamma m_e c} = \frac{h}{2 \gamma}. \] (58)
the de Broglie wavelength in the nonrelativistic limit.

For $v/c >> 1$, $\gamma >> 1$ and $\gamma - 1 \approx \gamma$, so
\[ D_1 \approx \frac{\beta h}{2 m_e c (\beta^2/2)} = \frac{\beta h}{2 m_e c^2} \approx \frac{h}{2 \gamma m_e c} = \frac{h}{2 \gamma}. \] (59)
half the de Broglie wavelength.

The spatial period $D_2$ of the second factor on the right hand side of Eq. (49) may be found as
\[ \omega_z \left[ \gamma D_2 \right] = 2 \pi, \] (60)
so
\[ D_2 = \frac{2 \pi c}{\gamma \omega_z} = \frac{2 \pi c}{2 \gamma m_e c^2} \approx \frac{h}{2 \gamma m_e c} = \frac{\lambda_0}{2 \gamma}. \] (61)
The second factor on the right hand side of Eq. (49) thus oscillates with a spatial period that is smaller than the Compton wavelength.

In the following, for simplicity, the case of one spatial dimension is considered. All of the results generalize straightforwardly to three dimensions. Assuming the spatially-rapid oscillating second factor of Eq. (49) can be disregarded, and restricting to one spatial dimension, let
\[ M_1(x,t) = \cos \left( \omega_z \left[ (\gamma - 1)t - \gamma \beta x/c \right] \right) = \cos \left( \omega_z \left[ \gamma \beta x/c - (\gamma - 1)t \right] \right), \] (62)
where $t$ here is again the time coordinate in the fieldsource zitter particle rest frame, and $x$ is the separation between the test and source zitter particles in the source zitter particle rest frame.

### A. Partial Differential Equation of Motion for Dirac Particle

With $\omega_z = m_0 c^2 / s = E_0 / s$ with $s$ the intrinsic spin, and $K = E - E_0 = (\gamma - 1) E_0$ the kinetic energy, Eq. (62)
becomes
\[ M_1(x, t) = \cos \left( \frac{E_0}{s} \left[ \gamma \beta x \frac{c}{c} - (\gamma - 1)t \right] \right) \]
\[ = \cos \left( \frac{1}{s} [\gamma m_0 v x - K t] \right). \]  
(63)

For the spin-half zitter particle, \( s = h/2 \), and with \( p = \gamma m_0 v \) the relativistic momentum,
\[ M_1(x, t) = \cos \left( \frac{2}{h} [px - K t] \right). \]  
(64)

Thus,
\[ \frac{\partial^2 M_1(x, t)}{\partial x^2} = - \left( \frac{2p}{h} \right)^2 \cos \left( \frac{2}{h} [px - K t] \right) \]
\[ = - \left( \frac{2p}{h} \right)^2 M_1(x, t), \]  
(65)

and
\[ \frac{\partial M_1(x, t)}{\partial t} = - \frac{2K}{h} \sin \left( \frac{2}{h} [px - K t] \right). \]  
(66)

Noting that \( e^{i\theta} = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta \), where \( i \) is the imaginary unit, the modulating factor given by Eq. (61) can be justifiably redefined as
\[ M(x, t) = \exp \left( i \frac{2}{h} [px - K t] \right), \]  
(67)

with the understanding that only the real part of expressions involving it will have physical significance. Then,
\[ \frac{\partial M(x, t)}{\partial t} = - \frac{2K}{h} M(x, t), \]  
(68)

and
\[ \frac{\partial^2 M(x, t)}{\partial x^2} = - \frac{4p^2}{h^2} M(x, t). \]  
(69)

If the time-dependent Schrödinger wavefunction \( \Psi(x, t) \) is replaced by \( M(x, t) \) in the one-dimensional free-particle Schrödinger equation as
\[ -\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2}{2m \partial x^2} M(x, t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} M(x, t), \]  
(70)
then the result is
\[ 4 \frac{p^2}{2m} = 2K, \]  
(71)
in contradiction with the kinetic energy relation to the momentum as \( K = p^2/2m \). However, \( M(x, t) \) satisfies
\[ -\frac{s^2}{2m_0} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} M(x, t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} M(x, t), \]  
(72)
where \( s \) is the spin of the zitter particle, with \( s = h/2 \) for the Dirac electron. This equation is formally identical to the free-particle time-dependent Schrödinger equation (SE) in one dimension if \( h \) is replaced by the electron spin \( s \). For the spin-half electron this becomes
\[ -\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2}{2m_0 \partial x^2} M(x, t) = i2\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} M(x, t). \]  
(73)

This result is formally identical to the time-dependent free-particle SE apart from the factor of 2 on the right-hand side. In order to interpret this result, it is useful to separate variables so as to obtain a time-independent equation. Letting
\[ M(x, t) = \exp (i2 [px - K t] / \hbar) = M(x)f(t), \]  
(74)
where
\[ M(x) = \exp (i2 [px] / \hbar), \]  
(75)

and
\[ f(t) = \exp (-i2 [Kt] / \hbar), \]  
(76)
then
\[ \frac{\partial M(x)}{\partial x} = \frac{2p}{h} M(x), \]  
(77)

and
\[ \frac{\partial^2 M(x)}{\partial x^2} = - \frac{4p^2}{h^2} M(x), \]  
(78)

and
\[ \frac{\partial f(t)}{\partial t} = -i \frac{2K}{h} f(t). \]  
(79)

Also,
\[ \frac{\partial M(x)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial f(t)}{\partial x} = 0. \]  
(80)

Eq. (73) then becomes
\[ - f(t) \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} M(x) = i2\hbar M(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(t), \]  
(81)
or
\[ f(t) \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0} \frac{4p^2}{h^2} M(x) = -i2\hbar M(x) \frac{2K}{h} f(t), \]  
(82)
which is easily reduced to \( p^2/2m_0 = K \), the low-velocity approximation for the kinetic energy. Returning to Eq. (81), evaluating the derivative on the right-hand side only, and dividing out \( f(t) \) on both sides obtains
\[ - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} M(x) = 4KM(x). \]  
(83)

With \( K = E - V \),
\[ - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} M(x) + 4V(x) M(x) = 4EM(x). \]  
(84)

This result is formally similar to the time-independent Schrödinger equation in one dimension, but the two factors of 4 are inconsistent with observation. In any case, since the present theory is of particle mechanics rather than fields, it is perhaps more appropriate to consider total rather than partial derivatives.
B. Partial Differential Equation of Motion using Osiak form of Relativistic Energy

Osiak [31] derives alternative formulas for the relativistic rest and total energies as \( E_0 = m_0 c^2 / 2 \) and \( E = m_0 \gamma c^2 / 2 \), and relativistic kinetic energy \( K = E - E_0 = m_0 \gamma^2 v^2 / 2 \). It’s worth noting that in the Osiak formulation, the relativistic kinetic energy is exactly \( p^2 / 2m_0 \), where \( p \) is the relativistic momentum. Assuming \( E_0 = m_0 c^2 / 2 \), Eq. (85) becomes

\[
\mathcal{M}_1(x, t) = \cos \left( \omega_z \left[ \frac{\gamma \beta x}{c} - (\gamma^2 - 1)t \right] \right),
\]

where \( \omega_z = E_0 / s = m_0 c^2 / (2s) \), here, with \( s \) the spin.

For the spin-half zitter particle with spin \( s = h / 2 \), then \( \omega_z = E_0 / s = m_0 c^2 / h \), and

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{M}_1(x, t)}{\partial x^2} = -\left( \frac{\omega_z \gamma \beta}{c} \right)^2 \mathcal{M}_1(x, t)
\]

\[
= -\left( \frac{m_0 c^2 \gamma \beta}{\hbar c} \right)^2 \mathcal{M}_1(x, t)
\]

\[
= -\left( \frac{p}{\hbar} \right)^2 \mathcal{M}_1(x, t),
\]

with \( p = \gamma m_0 \).

In the Osiak formulation where the kinetic energy \( K = E - E_0 = p^2 / 2m_0 \) exactly, with \( p = \gamma m_0 v \), it is generally true (rather than just a low-velocity approximation as above) that \( \omega_z (\gamma^2 - 1) = \omega_z^2 \gamma^2 \beta^2 = m_0 \gamma^2 \beta^2 c^2 / \hbar = m_0 \gamma^2 v^2 / \hbar = p^2 v^2 / m_0 \hbar = 2K / \hbar \), (with \( \omega_z = m_0 c^2 / h \) here) and Eq. (85) becomes

\[
\mathcal{M}_1(x, t) = \cos \left( \frac{1}{\hbar} [px - 2Kt] \right),
\]

so,

\[
\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_1(x, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{2K}{\hbar} \sin \left( \frac{1}{\hbar} [px - 2Kt] \right).
\]

Noting that \( e^{i \theta} = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta \), and with the Osiak energy forms \( E_0 = m_0 c^2 / 2 \) and \( E = \gamma m_0 c^2 / 2 \), and for the spin-half zitter particle where \( \omega_z = m_0 c^2 / h \), the modulating factor given by Eq. (85) can be redefined as

\[
\mathcal{M}(x, t) = \exp \left( \frac{i}{\hbar} [px - 2Kt] \right),
\]

with the understanding that only the real part of expressions involving it will have physical significance. Then,

\[
\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}(x, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{2K}{\hbar} \mathcal{M}(x, t),
\]

and

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{M}(x, t)}{\partial x^2} = -\frac{p^2}{\hbar^2} \mathcal{M}(x, t).
\]

\( \mathcal{M}(x, t) \) thus satisfies

\[
-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{M}(x, t)}{\partial x^2} = \frac{i}{2} \frac{\hbar}{\partial t} \mathcal{M}(x, t),
\]

which is formally similar to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation, differing only by the factor of one-half on the right-hand side.

C. Separation of Variables of Partial Differential Equation of Motion - Osiak

The modulation factor \( \mathcal{M}(x, t) \) of Eq. (89) may be written as

\[ \mathcal{M}(x, t) = f(t) M(x) = \exp [ -i2(\frac{K}{\hbar}) x \exp [ i (px) / \hbar]]. \]

Then, Eq. (92) becomes

\[ f(t) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0} \frac{\partial^2 M(x)}{\partial x^2} = iM(x) \frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(t), \]

or,

\[ f(t) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0} \frac{\partial^2 M(x)}{\partial x^2} = iM(x) \frac{\hbar}{2} \left( -\frac{i2K}{\hbar} \right) f(t) = KM(x) f(t). \]

Dividing both sides by \( f(t) \) obtains

\[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0} \frac{\partial^2 M(x)}{\partial x^2} = KM(x). \]

Also, since \( E = K(x) + V(x) \), where \( V(x) \) is the potential energy,

\[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0} \frac{\partial^2 M(x)}{\partial x^2} = E M(x). \]

The Osiak interpretation of the energy in special relativity thus leads to an equation of motion of the modulation factor on the Coulomb-like magnetic force that is formally identical to the time-independent Schrödinger equation.

VI. DISCUSSION

In this section it is attempted to address various objections that can be raised against the present model. For example:

1. Radiative decay is expected for charges undergoing Compton-wavelength scale circular motion at speed \( c \), which should radiate strongly due to the large acceleration of the charge, and lose energy rapidly due to radiation damping. (This objection is to the idea of a real zitterbewegung and to the zitter particle model, generally.)
2. The radial inverse-square magnetic force due to charge zitter motions vanishes on average for opposing spins. If spin-spin interactions are to replace the Coulomb potential in forming atoms, the Coulomb-like magnetic force must persist for opposite proton and electron spins. It also contradicts observation that inverting an atomic electron spin is only a small energy difference.

3. Restriction to equal mass particles — The zitterbewegung frequency is proportional to the zitter particle mass, so the present analysis can only apply to interactions between similar particles such as in the positronium atom.

4. Lack of observational evidence for time-advanced electromagnetic interactions

5. Restricted relative position — Many results developed here are valid only for points along the spin axis of the field-source zitter particle, and sufficiently far from the source.

6. Singularities in the field and long-range quantum interactions — The electromagnetic field becomes infinite along the direction of luminal charge motion, so for circular zitter motion the electrodynamics is undefined in the plane of the motion. Also, quantum effects in longer range interactions cannot be caused by an inverse-square force.

The above possible objections are discussed in the following subsections.

A. Wheeler-Feynman Electrodynamics and the Problem of Radiative Decay

If Dirac’s initial interpretation of the zitterbewegung as an oscillating electric dipole moment is correct, then radiation due to zitterbewegung is implied by the Dirac electron theory if classical electrodynamics holds in the quantum domain. Furthermore, according to the Wheeler-Feynman hypothesis that absorption is the mechanism of radiation, radiation damping and radiative decay are not present if radiation is not absorbed. Perhaps, zitterbewegung radiation is generally not absorbed. Then, classical electromagnetic interactions may account for various aspects of quantum behavior.

Dirac showed that an electromagnetic field consisting of the difference between retarded and advanced fields could account for radiation reaction while simultaneously solving the problem of field singularities associated with classical point charges. Extension of this line of reasoning by Wheeler and Feynman provides a possible means for coexistence of a time-symmetric field at the source charge position that is a difference of retarded and advanced fields, while elsewhere only the retarded field is evident. But the complete localization to a time-symmetric difference field to the source charge position requires complete absorption of the retarded field and re-radiation as an advanced field. If absorption of zitterbewegung radiation is physically impossible for a reason yet to be determined, then not only will the zitterbewegung not lead to radiative decay of the zitter motion, but will also cause existence of a time-symmetric field due to the zitterbewegung that is a sum of retarded and advanced fields, rather than a total field that is apparently time-asymmetric and retarded only. This will lead to cancellation on average of the retarded electric force by the advanced electric force. However the radial part of the magnetic force need not cancel due to its modulation according to the interparticle separation as well as the relative velocity. Thus it can plausibly play the role of the Coulomb potential in the formation of atoms.

Bohm shows that the Schrödinger equation can be reformulated as a classical Hamilton-Jacobi equation with the addition of a term he called the quantum potential. The quantum potential is state dependent, and in the hydrogenic ground state, and states generally, exactly cancels the Coulomb potential. This fact lends support for the observation of Section II herein that advanced electromagnetic forces are necessarily opposite to retarded electromagnetic forces based on the time-symmetric nature of Maxwell-Lorentz electrodynamics. Perhaps the quantum force derivable from the quantum potential can be represented generally as the sum of the advanced electromagnetic force and including a time-symmetric magnetic force modulated by the relative velocity and separation of zitter particles. If this is so, then it is incorrect to regard atomic binding as fundamentally Coulombic. Rather, it is properly magnetic, and a consequence of a spin-spin coupling much stronger than generally recognized.

B. Possible Applicability of the Preon Model to the Problems of Opposite Spins and Unequal-Mass Zitter Particles

A significant problem of the present model is that the Coulomb-like magnetic force between zitter particles exists on average only if the particles’ zitter motions are aligned, corresponding to co-directional spins. When the spins are antiparallel, then the inverse-square radial magnetic force averages out over a period of the zitter motions. Also, the relative zitter phase relationship of the present model requires that the masses of the interacting zitter particles be equal. This restricts applicability of the present model to interactions between electrons and positrons.

The preon model of elementary particles, in which electrons are made up of three spin-half preons each with one-third the electron charge, and photons consist of a spin-half negative preon paired with its antiparticle, can be consistent with de Broglie’s original idea of a wave nature of light and matter corpuscles having a common origin in internal motion, if it is assumed as...
in the zitter model that spin is an emergent dynamical property of preons rather than a fundamental attribute. For the photon, internal motion implies a propagation speed less than the limiting velocity of relativity theory, while a bound state of constituents implies similarly a nonvanishing rest mass. Further, relativistically Doppler shifted electromagnetic fields due to a real zitterwegan motion of the photon constituents can account for the wave character of light and the Planck-Einstein law, at least to within a scale factor of approximately one-half. Also, in the model of de Broglie, the constituent charged preons of the photon are electromagnetically bound and interact with matter via classical (i.e., non-quantized) electromagnetic fields. The preon model with a massive electromagnetically-bound photon thus allows classical EM fields to operate time-symmetrically while preserving photon-mediated action for causality.

In the case of the electron, internal charge motion at the zitterbewegung frequency causes electromagnetic fields which when relativistically Doppler shifted and interacting with another zitter-particle electron leads to a radially-acting inverse square law magnetic force of strength equal to the Coulomb force between static charges, and sinusoidally modulated due to relative motion. At low velocity the modulation spatial period is as predicted by de Broglie, while at high velocity the wavelength is halved as expected if the electron spin magnitude relative to that of the photon is accounted for in de Broglie’s argument for a wave character of matter based on that of light.

The preon model of an electron as having three spin-half constituents provides additionally a possible mechanism for atomic-scale magnetic binding in the case of opposite spins. While the radial inverse square law magnetic force has a vanishing average for opposite spins of interacting zitter particles consisting of single charges, the average magnetic interaction between electrons consisting of three spin-half constituents need not vanish for opposite net spins. Binding of preons of like charge to form electrons can be plausibly accounted for as a consequence of the magnetic force. Interpreting the spin of a preon as the orbital angular momentum associated with a real zitterbewegung furthermore gives a new interpretation of the expected character of preons and the problem of the mass budget of an electron composed of preons. Because the constituent preons need be confined only to within an electron of Compton wavelength size, there need be no expectation of mass greater than that observed of the particles hypothesized to be formed of preons.

The classical relativistic description of two opposite charges of nonvanishing rest mass and circularly orbiting each other was developed by Schild. Schild found loosely bound states corresponding to hydrogen or positronium, but not strongly bound states such as pions as suggested by Sterniglass. Schild used a time-symmetric Fokker action principal, assuming a half-sum of retarded and advanced fields, but did not modify the advanced Lorentz force to be opposite the retarded, as found to be necessary herein. Repeating Schild’s analysis assuming a difference of retarded and advanced force may yield a different result.

The preon model may also be applicable to the task of describing interactions of unequal mass particles. If the interactions are between a fundamental charged preon of spin one-half and its antiparticle, then the assumption of equal-mass interacting particles and thus equal restframe zitter frequencies is not violated.

Combining the zitter and preon models may be beneficial to the preon model as well. If spin is simply an emergent dynamical behavior rather than a fundamental property, then there is no need for preon confinement to within an electron or quark size limit based on scattering experiment. Rather, the confinement need only be to within the applicable Compton radius. For an electron consisting of three luminally-moving point charges, the preon rest mass must vanish. If the preon velocity is less than c but still highly relativistic, the magnetic interactions are little if any distinguishable from the luminal case, but the preon may have a small rest mass.

It is notable that the zitter model, being fundamentally a classical model, does not lead to as restrictive a relationship between confinement and mass as does quantum mechanics as manifested in the Heisenberg uncertainty principle. Rather, the electron mass can be calculated electromagnetically (based on the electrostatic repulsion of a charge density confined to the surface of a sphere) to be a function of its classical radius. As is well known, calculating the radius based on the observed electron mass leads to a value much larger than the experimental upper limit on the radius. However, if the retarded and advanced electromagnetic forces cancel, or nearly cancel, for a stationary charge element, then the calculated electromagnetic mass can vanish or be very small.

C. Possible Explanation for Lack of Observational Evidence of Time-Advanced Electromagnetic Interactions

Obviously, supposing that advanced electromagnetic forces have physical significance appears inconsistent with observation. Radio signals are received only after a time delay of propagation, not prior to their time of transmission. However, as proposed above, coexistence of quantized EM fields composed of photons with classical EM fields allows time-symmetric interactions at short distance while requiring photons for long-range causal interactions.

Bohm’s quantum force and possibly the electron Dirac g-factor of two may be taken as evidence for time-symmetric classical electromagnetic interactions, separate from quantum fields comprised of photons.
D. Applicability of Numerical Calculations and Modeling

Here only a small part of the total interaction has been considered, and then only in a very restricted geometry. The restrictions made it simpler or possible to obtain results analytically. However, except under conditions where the field becomes singular, such as on the plane of the field-source zitter particle charge circulatory motion, the results here are straightforward to generalize numerically. As noted above and in [19], Rivas has used numerical integration to show that the average electric field of the stationary zitter particle, where it exists and at large enough distance, is indistinguishable from that of a static charge. It is straightforward to extend these calculations to the force caused by the time-symmetric electromagnetic field of a stationary zitter particle on a similar but arbitrarily oriented and positioned (excluding the plane of the source particle zitter motion, where the average field is undefined) moving test zitter particle.

It is also straightforward to build a numerical model of the motion of a test zitter particle in the time-symmetric electromagnetic field of a stationary zitter particle. The model would aim to solve the Cauchy problem of propagation of the test particle motion starting from arbitrary initial conditions. Recently numerical modeling has been applied to analyzing the motion of bouncing oil droplets in order to understand apparent parallels to quantum behavior found through experiment. The numerical modeling elucidated that “pairs of bouncing droplets experience an inverse-square force of attraction or repulsion according to their relative phase” [43].

It can be observed also that the modulating factor on the inverse-square radial magnetic force, as well as the radial character of the force, are independent of position of the test zitter particle, so long as the source and test zitter particles’ spins are aligned. Therefore these features of the present theory are unaffected by whether or not the test zitter particle position is restricted to being on the source charge zitter motion axis. The modulation due to the phase delay of the charge zitter motion is also dependent on separation magnitude only. As Eq. 34 exhibits, in addition to its radial character and inverse-square dependence on interparticle separation, the Coulomb-like magnetic force additional relative position dependence is due entirely to the inverse factors $(1 - \beta \cdot n)^3$ and $(1 - 2 \hat{r} \cdot \hat{k} + c^2)^{3/2}$. Now, the second inverse factor tends to unity for increasing separation, and both factors originate in the expression for the magnetic field originating from the zitter motion of the source charge, and are present in the electric acceleration field expression as well. As Rivas shows using numerical integration, the time average of the electric acceleration field due to a charge undergoing zitter motion is equal at sufficient distance to the electric field of static charge of equal magnitude, except in the plane of the zitter motion, where the field is singular. Therefore, it seems plausible that the present results may hold relevance for points away from the source spin axis.

E. Singularities in the Field and Long-Range Forces

As noted above and in [19], and by Rivas, the luminal circulatory charge motion of the zitter model leads to electromagnetic field singularities such that the field-mediated force on a test particle cannot be integrated in the plane of the zitter motion. However, De Luca [46, 47] has shown that a field-free approach based on a Fokker action principle gives a well defined result. Field singularities due to luminal charge motion correspond in the Fokker electrodynamics approach to discontinuous but finite velocity changes. This interaction need not behave as an inverse-square interaction with interparticle separation.

De Luca [48] has also derived an approximate value for the de Broglie wavelength using a variational electrodynamics approach, and without presupposition of zitterbewegung-frequency electric dipole oscillations. Variational electrodynamics is further developed in [49–51]. In [51], a chemical principle, of a vanishing radiative far field, is introduced, and used to develop a time-independent Schrödinger equation.

VII. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Here it has been attempted to extend de Broglie’s original conception of the electron, as containing an internal oscillation analogous to that of the photon, by direct connection with the Dirac theory of the electron, where the zitterbewegung frequency can be equated with the de Broglie frequency if the spin magnitude of the photon compared to that of the Dirac particle is properly taken into account. Then, through the application of standard classical electrodynamics and relativity theory, an interaction that can be related to the de Broglie wave can be derived.

Accepting Dirac’s original interpretation of the zitterbewegung as an oscillating electric dipole within the free electron leads to expectation of radiation of electromagnetic waves at the zitterbewegung frequency. The zitter particle model interprets the zitterbewegung of the Dirac electron as a relativistic circulatory motion of a pointlike charge such that the electron intrinsic spin is due to the orbital angular momentum associated with the circulatory motion. Interaction of the classical time-symmetric electromagnetic field expected due to the circulating charge with a similar zitter particle leads for aligned spins to a radially-directed inverse-square magnetic force of strength equal to the Coulomb force between static charges of the same magnitude. However, the radial Coulomb-like magnetic force is modulated by the difference between the phase of the circulatory motion of the particles after accounting for propagation de-
lay and advancement. Then, the Coulomb-like magnetic force modulation spatial period can be directly related to the de Broglie wavelength.

Existence of a non-Coulomb force acting in the quantum domain, with a strength comparable to the Coulomb force, was shown by Bohm to be directly inferable from the Schrödinger equation. Although the Coulomb-like magnetic force bears considerable similarity to Bohm’s quantum force, it has already been shown [19] to be inconsistent with it given its sign changes on scales small compared to typical atomic size. One possible explanation for the Bohmian quantum force is as a combination of the Coulomb-like magnetic force between zitter particles with a Lorentz force due to a time-advanced electromagnetic field that is opposite in sign to the retarded field. Such sign choice is consistent with the well-known time-symmetric character of Maxwell-Lorentzodynamics.

Ultimately, the goal of the present effort is to recover the Schrödinger equation or a similar equation based on a formal classical-mechanical approach. Specifically, and based on Bohm’s work, it seems reasonable to seek such an equation using Hamilton-Jacobi theory. However, owing to the likely difficulties of applying Hamilton-Jacobi theory to the present problem involving a complicated relativistic and highly time-dependent interaction, it is proposed that the current incremental progress is of interest.

[41] Dirac, P.A.M.: Classical theory of radiating electrons,