
A Novel Representation of Neural Networks

Anthony L. Caterini and Dong Eui Chang
 Department of Applied Mathematics
 University of Waterloo
 Waterloo, ON, Canada, N2L 3G1
 {alcateri, dechang}@uwaterloo.ca

Abstract

Deep Neural Networks (DNNs) have become very popular for prediction in many areas. Their strength is in representation with a high number of parameters that are commonly learned via gradient descent or similar optimization methods. However, the representation is non-standardized, and the gradient calculation methods are often performed using component-based approaches that break parameters down into scalar units, instead of considering the parameters as whole entities. In this work, these problems are addressed. Standard notation is used to represent DNNs in a compact framework. Gradients of DNN loss functions are calculated directly over the inner product space on which the parameters are defined. This framework is general and is applied to two common network types: the Multilayer Perceptron and the Deep Autoencoder.

Keywords: Deep Learning, Neural Networks, Multilayer Perceptron, Deep Autoencoder, Backpropagation.

1 Introduction

Deep Neural Networks (DNNs) have grown increasingly popular over the last few years because of their astounding results in a variety of tasks. Their strength derives from their expressiveness, and this grows with network depth. However, the traditional approaches to representing DNNs suffers as the number of network layers increases. These often rely on confusing diagrams that provide an incomplete description of the mechanics of the network, which leads to complexity as the number of layers increases. Furthermore, DNNs are inconsistently formulated as a mathematical problem throughout research in the field, especially notationally, which impedes the efficiency in which results can be combined or expanded upon. A clear and concise framework underpinning DNNs must be developed, and this work endeavours to address that issue.

In this work, a novel mathematical framework for DNNs is created. It is formed by employing carefully selected standard notions and notation to represent a general DNN. Common mathematical tools such as the inner product, the adjoint operation, and maps defined over generic inner product spaces are utilized throughout this work. Well-established mathematical objects are treated as-is in this framework; it is no longer necessary to convert a matrix into a column vector or a decompose it into a collection of components, for example, for the purposes of derivative calculation. This work presents a comprehensive mathematical standard upon which DNNs can be formulated.

The specific layout of this paper is as follows. After some mathematical preliminaries, a generic DNN is formulated over an abstract inner product space. The chain rule is used to demonstrate a concise coordinate-free approach to backpropagation. Two standard loss functions are explicitly considered, and it is shown how to handle some variations on those within the learning algorithm. Then, this framework is applied to the multilayer perceptron (MLP). The specifics of the previous approach become clear, and it is shown how to create a gradient descent algorithm to learn the

parameters of the MLP. Some of the theory developed in the section on MLP is then applied to a deep autoencoder (AE), which demonstrates the flexibility of the approach. This type of framework can be extended to other types of networks, including convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and recurrent neural networks (RNNs), but these are omitted for the sake of brevity.

2 Mathematical Preliminaries

In this section, we set notation and review some elementary but essential mathematical facts. These facts will be used to cast neural networks into a novel framework in the following sections.

2.1 Linear Maps, Bilinear Maps, and Adjoint

Consider three inner product spaces E_1, E_2 , and E_3 , i.e. each vector space is equipped with an inner product denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The space of linear maps from E_1 to E_2 will be denoted $\mathcal{L}(E_1; E_2)$. Note that for $L \in \mathcal{L}(E_1; E_2)$ and $u \in E_1$, $L \cdot u \in E_2$ denotes L operating on u , i.e. $L(u)$ or more simply Lu . Similarly, the space of bilinear maps from $E_1 \times E_2$ into E_3 will be denoted $\mathcal{L}(E_1, E_2; E_3)$. For $B \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, E_2; E_3)$ and $u_1 \in E_1, u_2 \in E_2$, $B \cdot (u_1, u_2) \in E_3$ denotes B operating on u_1 and u_2 , i.e. $B(u_1, u_2)$. For any bilinear map $B \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, E_2; E_3)$ and any $e_1 \in E_1$, a linear map $e_1 \lrcorner B \in \mathcal{L}(E_2; E_3)$ is defined as follows:

$$(e_1 \lrcorner B) \cdot e_2 = B(e_1, e_2)$$

for all $e_2 \in E_2$. Similarly, for any $e_2 \in E_2$, a linear map $B \lrcorner e_2 \in \mathcal{L}(E_1; E_3)$ is defined as follows:

$$(B \lrcorner e_2) \cdot e_1 = B(e_1, e_2).$$

for all $e_1 \in E_1$. These operators \lrcorner and \lrcorner will be referred to as the *left hook* and *right hook* operators, respectively.

The adjoint L^* of a linear map $L \in \mathcal{L}(E_1; E_2)$ is a linear map in $\mathcal{L}(E_2; E_1)$ defined by

$$\langle L^* e_2, e_1 \rangle = \langle e_2, L e_1 \rangle$$

for all $e_1 \in E_1$ and $e_2 \in E_2$. The adjoint operator satisfies the direction reversing property:

$$(L_2 L_1)^* = L_1^* L_2^*$$

for all $L_1 \in \mathcal{L}(E_1; E_2)$ and $L_2 \in \mathcal{L}(E_2; E_3)$.

2.2 Derivatives

In this section, notation for derivatives in accordance with [1] is presented.

2.2.1 First Derivatives

Consider a map $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$, where E_1 and E_2 are inner product spaces. The (first) derivative map of f , denoted Df , is a map from E_1 to $\mathcal{L}(E_1; E_2)$ that operates as $x \mapsto Df(x)$ for any $x \in E_1$. The linear map $Df(x)$ operates in the following manner for any $v \in E_1$:

$$Df(x) \cdot v = \left. \frac{d}{dt} f(x + tv) \right|_{t=0}. \quad (1)$$

For each $x \in E_1$ the adjoint of the derivative $Df(x) \in \mathcal{L}(E_1; E_2)$ is well defined with respect to the inner products on E_1 and E_2 , and it is denoted $D^* f(x)$ instead of $Df(x)^*$ for the sake of notational convenience. Then, $D^* f : E_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E_2; E_1)$ denotes the adjoint map that maps each point $x \in E_1$ to $D^* f(x) \in \mathcal{L}(E_2; E_1)$.

Now consider two maps $f_1 : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ and $f_2 : E_2 \rightarrow E_3$, where E_3 is another inner product space. The derivative of their composition, $D(f_2 \circ f_1)(x) \in \mathcal{L}(E_1; E_3)$ for $x \in E_1$, is calculated using the well-known chain rule.

Lemma 2.1 (Chain Rule). *For any $x \in E_1$,*

$$D(f_2 \circ f_1)(x) = Df_2(f_1(x)) \cdot Df_1(x),$$

where $f_1 : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ and $f_2 : E_2 \rightarrow E_3$ are C^1 , i.e. continuously differentiable, and E_1, E_2 , and E_3 are vector spaces.

2.2.2 Second Derivatives

Every map in here assumed to be (piecewise) C^2 , i.e. (piecewise) twice continuously differentiable, unless stated otherwise. The second derivative map of f , denoted D^2f , is a map from E_1 to $\mathcal{L}(E_1, E_1; E_2)$, which operates as $x \mapsto D^2f(x)$ for any $x \in E_1$. The bilinear map $D^2f(x)$ operates as follows: for any $v_1, v_2 \in E_1$

$$D^2f(x) \cdot (v_1, v_2) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} (Df(x + tv_1) \cdot v_2) \right|_{t=0}. \quad (2)$$

It is not hard to show that $D^2f(x)$ is symmetric, i.e. $D^2f(x) \cdot (v_1, v_2) = D^2f(x) \cdot (v_2, v_1)$ for all $v_1, v_2 \in E_1$. Furthermore, it can be shown that

$$D^2f(x) \cdot (v_1, v_2) = \left. \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial s} f(x + tv_1 + sv_2) \right|_{t=s=0}.$$

The hook notation from Section 2.1 can be used to turn the second derivative into a linear map. In particular, $(v \lrcorner D^2f(x))$ and $(D^2f(x) \lrcorner v) \in \mathcal{L}(E_1; E_2)$ for any $x, v \in E_1$. An important identity exists for the second derivative of the composition of two functions.

Lemma 2.2. *For any $x, v_1, v_2 \in E_1$,*

$$D^2(f_2 \circ f_1)(x) \cdot (v_1, v_2) = D^2f_2(f_1(x)) \cdot (Df_1(x) \cdot v_1, Df_1(x) \cdot v_2) + Df_2(f_1(x)) \cdot D^2f_1(x) \cdot (v_1, v_2),$$

where $f_1 : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ is C^1 and $f_2 : E_2 \rightarrow E_3$ is C^2 for vector spaces E_1, E_2 , and E_3 .

This can be seen as the chain rule for second derivatives.

2.2.3 Parameter-Dependent Maps

Now suppose f is a map from $E_1 \times H_1 \rightarrow E_2$, i.e. $f(x; \theta) \in E_2$ for any $x \in E_1$ and $\theta \in H_1$, where H_1 is also an inner product space. The variable $x \in E_1$ is said to be the *state variable* for f , whereas $\theta \in H_1$ is a *parameter*. The notation presented in (1) is used to denote the derivative of f with respect to the state variable, i.e. for all $v \in E_1$,

$$Df(x; \theta) \cdot v = \left. \frac{d}{dt} f(x + tv; \theta) \right|_{t=0}.$$

Also, $D^2f(x; \theta) \cdot (v_1, v_2) = D(Df(x; \theta) \cdot v_2) \cdot v_1$ as before. New notation is used to denote the derivative of f with respect to the parameters, as follows:

$$\nabla f(x; \theta) \cdot u = \left. \frac{d}{dt} f(x; \theta + tu) \right|_{t=0}$$

for any $u \in H_1$. Note that $\nabla f(x; \theta) \in \mathcal{L}(H_1; E_2)$. In the case where f depends on two parameters as $f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2)$, the notation $\nabla_{\theta_1} f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2)$ will be used to explicitly denote differentiation with respect to the parameter θ_1 when the distinction is necessary.

The mixed partial derivative maps, $\nabla Df(x; \theta) \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, E_1; E_2)$ and $D\nabla f(x; \theta) \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, H_1; E_2)$, are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla Df(x; \theta) \cdot (u, e) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} (Df(x; \theta + tu) \cdot e) \right|_{t=0}, \\ D\nabla f(x; \theta) \cdot (e, u) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} (\nabla f(x + te; \theta) \cdot u) \right|_{t=0}. \end{aligned}$$

for any $e \in E_1, u \in H_1$. Note that if $f \in C^2$, then $D\nabla f(x; \theta) \cdot (u, e) = \nabla Df(x; \theta) \cdot (e, u)$, i.e. the mixed partial derivatives are equal.

2.3 Elementwise Functions

Consider an inner product space E of dimension n with the inner product denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^n$ be an orthonormal basis of E . An *elementwise function* is defined to be a function $\Psi : E \rightarrow E$ of the form

$$\Psi(v) = \sum_{k=1}^n \psi(\langle v, e_k \rangle) e_k, \quad (3)$$

where $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ — known as the *elementwise operation* associated with Ψ — defines the operation of the elementwise function over the components $\{\langle v, e_k \rangle\}_k$ of the vector $v \in E$. The operator Ψ is basis-dependent, but $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^n$ can be any orthonormal basis of E . Also define the *elementwise first derivative* of an elementwise function Ψ , $\Psi' : E \rightarrow E$, as

$$\Psi'(v) = \sum_{k=1}^n \psi'(\langle v, e_k \rangle) e_k, \quad (4)$$

where ψ' is the first derivative of ψ . Note that ψ' can be referred to as the associated elementwise operation for Ψ' . Similarly, define the *elementwise second derivative* function $\Psi'' : E \rightarrow E$ as

$$\Psi''(v) = \sum_{k=1}^n \psi''(\langle v, e_k \rangle) e_k, \quad (5)$$

where ψ'' is the second derivative of ψ .

2.3.1 Hadamard Product

Now define a symmetric bilinear operator $\odot \in \mathcal{L}(E, E; E)$ over the basis vectors $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^n$ as

$$e_k \odot e_{k'} := \delta_{k,k'} e_k, \quad (6)$$

where $\delta_{k,k'}$ is the Kronecker delta. This is the standard Hadamard product when $E = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^n$ is the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n . However, when $E \neq \mathbb{R}^n$ or $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^n$ is not the standard basis, \odot can be seen as a generalization of the Hadamard product, and it will be referred to as such in this paper. For illustrative purposes, consider the (generalized) Hadamard product of two vectors $v, v' \in E$. These vectors can be written as $v = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle v, e_k \rangle e_k$ and $v' = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle v', e_k \rangle e_k$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} v \odot v' &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \langle v, e_k \rangle e_k \right) \odot \left(\sum_{k'=1}^n \langle v', e_{k'} \rangle e_{k'} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k,k'=1}^n \langle v, e_k \rangle \langle v', e_{k'} \rangle (e_k \odot e_{k'}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \langle v, e_k \rangle \langle v', e_k \rangle e_k. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that the Hadamard product satisfies the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} v \odot v' &= v' \odot v, \\ (v \odot v') \odot y &= v \odot (v' \odot y), \\ \langle y, v \odot v' \rangle &= \langle v \odot y, v' \rangle = \langle y \odot v', v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $y, v, v' \in E$.

2.3.2 Derivatives of Elementwise Functions

Some results regarding the derivative maps for a generic elementwise function Ψ , i.e. $D\Psi$ and $D^2\Psi$, are presented now.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\Psi : E \rightarrow E$ be an elementwise function as defined in (3), for an inner product space E of dimension n with a basis $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^n$ and inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Then, for any $v, z \in E$,*

$$D\Psi(z) \cdot v = \Psi'(z) \odot v,$$

where the Hadamard product \odot is defined in (6) and Ψ' is the elementwise first derivative defined in (4). Furthermore, $D\Psi(z)$ is self-adjoint, i.e. $D^\Psi(z) = D\Psi(z)$ for all $z \in E$.*

Proof. Let ψ be the elementwise operation associated with Ψ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} D\Psi(z) \cdot v &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \Psi(z + tv) \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{k=1}^n \psi(\langle z + tv, e_k \rangle) e_k \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \psi'(\langle z, e_k \rangle) \langle v, e_k \rangle e_k \\ &= \Psi'(z) \odot v, \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows from the chain rule and linearity of the derivative.

Furthermore, let $y \in E$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle y, D\Psi(z) \cdot v \rangle &= \langle y, \Psi'(z) \odot v \rangle \\ &= \langle \Psi'(z) \odot y, v \rangle \\ &= \langle D\Psi(z) \cdot y, v \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\langle y, D\Psi(z) \cdot v \rangle = \langle D\Psi(z) \cdot y, v \rangle$ for any $v, y, z \in E$, $D\Psi(z)$ is self-adjoint. \square

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\Psi : E \rightarrow E$ be an elementwise function as defined in (3), for an inner product space E of dimension n with a basis $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^n$ and inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Then, for any $v_1, v_2, z \in E$,*

$$D^2\Psi(z) \cdot (v_1, v_2) = \Psi''(z) \odot v_1 \odot v_2, \quad (7)$$

where the Hadamard product \odot is defined in (6) and Ψ'' is the elementwise second derivative defined in (5). Furthermore, $(v_1 \sqcup D^2\Psi(z))$ and $(D^2\Psi(z) \sqcup v_2)$ are both self-adjoint linear maps for any $v_1, v_2, z \in E$.

Proof. Prove (7) directly:

$$\begin{aligned}D^2\Psi(z) \cdot (v_1, v_2) &= D(D\Psi(z) \cdot v_2) \cdot v_1 \\ &= D(\Psi'(z) \odot v_2) \cdot v_1 \\ &= (\Psi''(z) \odot v_1) \odot v_2,\end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows since $\Psi'(z) \odot v_2$ is an elementwise function in z . Also, for any $y \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle y, (v_1 \sqcup D^2\Psi(z)) \cdot v_2 \rangle &= \langle y, D^2\Psi(z) \cdot (v_1, v_2) \rangle \\ &= \langle y, \Psi''(z) \odot v_1 \odot v_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \Psi''(z) \odot v_1 \odot y, v_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle (v_1 \sqcup D^2\Psi(z)) \cdot y, v_2 \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $(v_1 \sqcup D^2\Psi(z))$ is self-adjoint for any $v_1, z \in E$. Since $D^2\Psi(z)$ is a symmetric bilinear map, this also implies that $(D^2\Psi(z) \sqcup v_1)$ is self-adjoint for any $v_1, z \in E$. \square

3 Coordinate-Free Representation of Neural Networks

In this section, coordinate-free backpropagation is derived for a generic layered neural network. The network is formulated and then a gradient descent algorithm is given for two types of loss functions.

3.1 Neural Network Formulation

Neural networks are layered models, with the actions of layer i denoted by $f_i : E_i \times H_i \rightarrow E_{i+1}$, where E_i, H_i , and E_{i+1} are inner product spaces. In other words, $f_i(x_i, \theta_i) \in E_{i+1}$ for $x_i \in E_i$ and $\theta_i \in H_i$. For a neural network with L layers, $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$. The *state variable* $x_i \in E_i$ is an abstract representation of the input data $x_1 = x$ at layer i . The *parameters* $\theta_i \in H_i$ at layer i must be learned, often by some form of gradient descent. Note that the explicit dependence of f_i on the parameter θ_i will be suppressed in the notation throughout this section. In this way, $f_i : E_i \rightarrow E_{i+1}$, defined by $x_{i+1} = f_i(x_i)$, where f_i depends on θ_i . Then, the network prediction can be written as a composition of functions

$$F(x; \theta) = (f_L \circ \dots \circ f_1)(x), \quad (8)$$

where each $f_i : E_i \rightarrow E_{i+1}$ has a suppressed dependence on the parameter $\theta_i \in H_i$, and θ represents the parameter set $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_L\}$. Each parameter θ_i is independent of the other parameters $\{\theta_j\}_{j \neq i}$ in this formulation.

Some maps will be introduced to assist in derivative calculation. Let the *head* map at level i , $\alpha_i : E_1 \rightarrow E_{i+1}$, be defined by:

$$\alpha_i = f_i \circ \dots \circ f_1 \quad (9)$$

for each $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$. Note that α_i implicitly depends on the parameters $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_i\}$. For convenience, set α_0 to be the identity map on E_1 . Similarly, define the *tail* map at level i , $\omega_i : E_i \rightarrow E_{L+1}$, as:

$$\omega_i = f_L \circ \dots \circ f_i \quad (10)$$

for each $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$. The map ω_i implicitly depends on $\{\theta_i, \dots, \theta_L\}$. Again for convenience, set ω_{L+1} to be the identity map on E_{L+1} . It is easy to show that the following hold for all $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$:

$$F = \omega_{i+1} \circ \alpha_i, \quad \omega_i = \omega_{i+1} \circ f_i, \quad \alpha_i = f_i \circ \alpha_{i-1}. \quad (11)$$

The equations in (11) imply that the prediction F can be decomposed into

$$F = \omega_{i+1} \circ f_i \circ \alpha_{i-1}$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$, where α_{i-1} does not depend on the parameter θ_i .

3.2 Loss Function and Backpropagation

While training a neural network, the goal is to optimize some loss function J with respect to the parameters θ . For example, consider

$$J(x; \theta) := \frac{1}{2} \|y - F(x; \theta)\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \langle y - F(x; \theta), y - F(x; \theta) \rangle, \quad (12)$$

where $y \in E_{L+1}$ is the known response data. Gradient descent is used to optimize the loss function, thus the gradient of J with respect to each of the parameters must be calculated. Before that can be done, some preliminary results will be introduced. In this section, it is always assumed that

$$x_i = \alpha_{i-1}(x)$$

is the state variable at level i for a given data point x .

Theorem 3.1. *Let J be defined as in (12). Then, for any $x \in E_1$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$,*

$$\nabla_{\theta_i} J(x; \theta) = \nabla_{\theta_i}^* F(x; \theta) \cdot (F(x; \theta) - y). \quad (13)$$

Proof. By the product rule, for any $U_i \in H_i$,

$$\nabla_{\theta_i} J(x; \theta) \cdot U_i = \langle F(x; \theta) - y, \nabla_{\theta_i} F(x; \theta) \cdot U_i \rangle = \langle \nabla_{\theta_i}^* F(x; \theta) \cdot (F(x; \theta) - y), U_i \rangle.$$

Since this holds for any $U_i \in H_i$, (13) follows. \square

The following two theorems show how to compute the derivative $\nabla_{\theta_i} J(x; \theta)$ given in (13) recursively.

Theorem 3.2. *With F defined as in (8) and ω_i defined as in (10),*

$$\nabla_{\theta_i}^* F(x; \theta) = \nabla_{\theta_i}^* f_i(x_i) \cdot D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \quad (14)$$

with $x_i = \alpha_{i-1}(x)$ and $x_{i+1} = f_i(x_i)$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$.

Proof. Apply the chain rule to $F = \omega_{i+1} \circ f_i \circ \alpha_{i-1}$ and then take the adjoint of it to get the result. \square

Theorem 3.3. *With ω_i defined as in (10), then for all $x_i \in E_i$,*

$$D\omega_i(x_i) = D\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot Df_i(x_i) \quad (15)$$

and

$$D^* \omega_i(x_i) = D^* f_i(x_i) \cdot D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}), \quad (16)$$

where $x_{i+1} = f_i(x_i)$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$.

Proof. Apply the chain rule to $\omega_i(x_i) = (\omega_{i+1} \circ f_i)(x_i)$ to get (15). Then, take the adjoint of (15) to get (16). This holds for any $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$. \square

Algorithm 3.1 One iteration of gradient descent for a general NN

```

function DESCENT ITERATION( $x, y, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_L, \eta$ )
   $x_1 \leftarrow x$ 
  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$  do  $\triangleright x_{L+1} = F(x; \theta)$ 
     $x_{i+1} \leftarrow f_i(x_i)$ 
  end for
  for  $i \in \{L, \dots, 1\}$  do
     $\tilde{\theta}_i \leftarrow \theta_i$   $\triangleright$  Store old  $\theta_i$  for updating  $\theta_{i-1}$ 
    if  $i = L$  then  $\triangleright e = D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot (x_{L+1} - y)$ 
       $e \leftarrow x_{L+1} - y$   $\triangleright \omega_{L+1} = \text{identity}$ 
    else
       $e \leftarrow D^* f_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e$   $\triangleright$  (16), update with  $\tilde{\theta}_{i+1}$ 
    end if
     $\nabla_{\theta_i} J(x; \theta) \leftarrow \nabla_{\tilde{\theta}_i} f_i(x_i) \cdot e$   $\triangleright$  Thms. 3.1 and 3.2
     $\theta_i \leftarrow \theta_i - \eta \nabla_{\theta_i} J(x; \theta)$ 
  end for
end function

```

Algorithm 3.1 provides a method to perform one iteration of gradient descent to minimize J over the parameter set $\theta = \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_L\}$ for a single data point x . The algorithm extends linearly to a batch of updates over multiple data points. Notice that gradient descent is performed directly over the inner product space H_i at each layer i , which contrasts the standard approach of performing the descent over each individual component of θ_i . This can be seen as a coordinate-free gradient descent algorithm.

Remark 3.4. It is not difficult to incorporate a standard ℓ_2 -regularizing term into this framework. Construct a new objective function $\mathcal{J}_T(x; \theta) = J(x; \theta) + \lambda T(\theta)$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is the regularization parameter and

$$T(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \|\theta\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^L \|\theta_i\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^L \langle \theta_i, \theta_i \rangle$$

is the regularization term. It follows that $\nabla_{\theta_i} \mathcal{J}_T(x; \theta) = \nabla_{\theta_i} J(x; \theta) + \lambda \theta_i$, since $\nabla_{\theta_i} T(\theta) = \theta_i$. This implies that gradient descent can be updated to include the regularizing term, i.e. the last line in Algorithm 3.1 can be altered as follows:

$$\theta_i \leftarrow \theta_i - \eta (\nabla_{\theta_i} J(x; \theta) + \lambda \theta_i).$$

Remark 3.5. The loss function considered so far was $J(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{2} \|y - F(x; \theta)\|^2$. However, another standard loss function is the cross-entropy loss,

$$\tilde{J}(x; \theta) = -\langle y, L(F(x; \theta)) \rangle - \langle \mathbf{1} - y, L(\mathbf{1} - F(x; \theta)) \rangle,$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is a vector of ones of appropriate length and L is an elementwise function with elementwise operation \log . The gradient of \tilde{J} with respect to a parameter θ_i , in the direction of U_i , is

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\theta_i} \tilde{J}(x; \theta) \cdot U_i &= -\langle y, DL(F(x; \theta)) \cdot \nabla_{\theta_i} F(x; \theta) \cdot U_i \rangle + \langle \mathbf{1} - y, DL(\mathbf{1} - F(x; \theta)) \cdot \nabla_{\theta_i} F(x; \theta) \cdot U_i \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla_{\theta_i}^* F(x; \theta) \cdot [-DL(F(x; \theta)) \cdot y + DL(\mathbf{1} - F(x; \theta)) \cdot (\mathbf{1} - y)], U_i \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\nabla_{\theta_i} \tilde{J}(x; \theta) = \nabla_{\theta_i}^* F(x; \theta) \cdot [-DL(F(x; \theta)) \cdot y + DL(\mathbf{1} - F(x; \theta)) \cdot (\mathbf{1} - y)].$$

Algorithm 3.1 can then be modified to minimize \tilde{J} instead of J by changing the initialization of the error e from $e \leftarrow x_{L+1} - y$ to $e \leftarrow -DL(F(x; \theta)) \cdot y + DL(\mathbf{1} - F(x; \theta)) \cdot (\mathbf{1} - y)$.

3.3 Higher-Order Loss Function

Suppose that another term is added to the loss function to penalize the first order derivative of $F(x; \theta)$, as in [3] or [4] for example. This can be represented using

$$R(x; \theta) := \frac{1}{2} \|DF(x; \theta) \cdot v_x - \beta_x\|^2, \quad (17)$$

for some $v_x \in E_1$ and $\beta_x \in E_{L+1}$. When $\beta_x = 0$, minimizing $R(x; \theta)$ promotes invariance of the network in the direction of v_x . Similarly to Remark 3.4, R can be added to J to create a new loss function

$$\mathcal{J}_R(x; \theta) = J(x; \theta) + \mu R(x; \theta), \quad (18)$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ determines the amount that the higher-order term contributes to the loss function. Note that R can be extended additively to contain multiple terms:

$$R(x; \theta) = \sum_{(v_x, \beta_x) \in \mathcal{B}_x} \frac{1}{2} \|DF(x; \theta) \cdot v_x - \beta_x\|^2, \quad (19)$$

where \mathcal{B}_x is a finite set of pairs (v_x, β_x) for each data point x .

Theorem 3.6. *For all $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$, with R defined as in (17),*

$$\nabla_{\theta_i} R(x; \theta) = (\nabla_{\theta_i} DF(x; \theta) \lrcorner v_x)^* \cdot (DF(x; \theta) \cdot v_x - \beta_x). \quad (20)$$

Proof. From (17),

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\theta_i} R(x; \theta) \cdot U_i &= \langle DF(x; \theta) \cdot v_x - \beta_x, \nabla_{\theta_i} DF(x; \theta) \cdot (U_i, v_x) \rangle \\ &= \langle DF(x; \theta) \cdot v_x - \beta_x, (\nabla_{\theta_i} DF(x; \theta) \lrcorner v_x) \cdot U_i \rangle \\ &= \langle (\nabla_{\theta_i} DF(x; \theta) \lrcorner v_x)^* \cdot (DF(x; \theta) \cdot v_x - \beta_x), U_i \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $U_i \in H_i, i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$. Thus, (20) follows. \square

Some preliminary results will be given before (20) can be recursively computed. Note again in this section that

$$x_i = \alpha_{i-1}(x)$$

is the state variable at layer i for input data x , where the map α_i is defined in (9).

Lemma 3.7. *For any $x \in E_1$, and $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$,*

$$D\alpha_i(x) = Df_i(x_i) \cdot D\alpha_{i-1}(x).$$

Proof. This is proven using the chain rule, since $\alpha_i = f_i \circ \alpha_{i-1}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$. \square

Lemma 3.7 defines forward propagation through the tangent network, in the spirit of [4]. Note that since $\alpha_L = F$, $D\alpha_L = DF$. This implies that Lemma 3.7 is needed for calculating $DF(x; \theta) \cdot v_x$. Now, tangent backpropagation will be described.

Theorem 3.8 (Tangent Backpropagation). *For any $x, v \in E_1$, and $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} ((D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D^2\omega_i(x_i))^* &= D^*f_i(x_i) \cdot ((D\alpha_i(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}))^* \\ &\quad + ((D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D^2f_i(x_i))^* \cdot D^*\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where α_i is defined in (9) and ω_i is defined in (10).

Proof. Let v_1, v_2 , and $z \in E_i$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (v_1 \lrcorner D^2\omega_i(z)) \cdot v_2 &= D^2\omega_i(z) \cdot (v_1, v_2) \\ &= D^2(\omega_{i+1} \circ f_i)(z) \cdot (v_1, v_2) \\ &= D^2\omega_{i+1}(f_i(z)) \cdot (Df_i(z) \cdot v_1, Df_i(z) \cdot v_2) \\ &\quad + D\omega_{i+1}(f_i(z)) \cdot D^2f_i(z) \cdot (v_1, v_2) \\ &= ((Df_i(z) \cdot v_1) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{i+1}(f_i(z))) \cdot Df_i(z) \cdot v_2 \\ &\quad + D\omega_{i+1}(f_i(z)) \cdot (v_1 \lrcorner D^2f_i(z)) \cdot v_2, \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality comes from Lemma 2.2. The operator $(v_1 \lrcorner D^2\omega_i(z))$ can thus be written as

$$(v_1 \lrcorner D^2\omega_i(z)) = ((Df_i(z) \cdot v_1) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{i+1}(f_i(z))) \cdot Df_i(z) + D\omega_{i+1}(f_i(z)) \cdot (v_1 \lrcorner D^2f_i(z)).$$

By taking the adjoint,

$$(v_1 \lrcorner D^2\omega_i(z))^* = D^*f_i(z) \cdot ((Df_i(z) \cdot v_1) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{i+1}(f_i(z)))^* + (v_1 \lrcorner D^2f_i(z))^* \cdot D^*\omega_{i+1}(f_i(z)).$$

Set $v_1 = D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v$ and $z = x_i$ to obtain the final result:

$$\begin{aligned} ((D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D^2\omega_i(x_i))^* &= D^*f_i(x_i) \cdot ((D\alpha_i(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}))^* \\ &\quad + ((D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D^2f_i(x_i))^* \cdot D^*\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $D\alpha_i(x) \cdot v = Df_i(x_i) \cdot D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v$ from Lemma 3.7 and $x_{i+1} = f_i(x_i)$. \square

Theorem 3.8 provides a recursive update formula for $((D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D^2\omega_i(x_i))^*$, which back-propagates the error through the tangent network via multiplication by $D^*f_i(x_i)$ and adding another term. Recall that the map $D^*\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1})$ is calculated recursively using Theorem 3.3. Now, the main result for calculating $\nabla_{\theta_i} R(x; \theta)$ is presented.

Theorem 3.9. *For any $x, v \in E_1$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{\theta_i} DF(x; \theta) \lrcorner v)^* &= \nabla_{\theta_i}^* f_i(x_i) \cdot ((D\alpha_i(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}))^* \\ &\quad + ((D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D\nabla_{\theta_i} f_i(x_i))^* \cdot D^*\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $F(x; \theta) = f_L \circ \dots \circ f_1(x)$, α_i is defined as in (9), and ω_i is defined as in (10).

Proof. For any $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$ and $U_i \in H_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{\theta_i} DF(x; \theta) \lrcorner v) \cdot U_i &= \nabla_{\theta_i} DF(x; \theta) \cdot (U_i, v) \\ &= D(\nabla_{\theta_i} F(x; \theta) \cdot U_i) \cdot v \\ &= D(D\omega_{i+1}(\alpha_i(x)) \cdot \nabla_{\theta_i} f_i(\alpha_{i-1}(x)) \cdot U_i) \cdot v \\ &= D^2\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot (D\alpha_i(x) \cdot v, \nabla_{\theta_i} f_i(x_i) \cdot U_i) \\ &\quad + D\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot D\nabla_{\theta_i} f_i(x_i) \cdot (D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v, U_i) \\ &= ((D\alpha_i(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1})) \cdot \nabla_{\theta_i} f_i(x_i) \cdot U_i \\ &\quad + D\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot ((D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D\nabla_{\theta_i} f_i(x_i)) \cdot U_i. \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for all $U_i \in H_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{\theta_i} DF(x; \theta) \lrcorner v) &= ((D\alpha_i(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1})) \cdot \nabla_{\theta_i} f_i(x_i) \\ &\quad + D\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot ((D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D\nabla_{\theta_i} f_i(x_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the adjoint of this proves the theorem. by the reversing property of the adjoint. \square

Algorithm 3.2 presents a single iteration of a gradient descent algorithm to minimize \mathcal{J}_R directly over the parameter set $\theta = \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_L\}$. This formula extends linearly to a batch of updates over several data points. To extend this to R defined with multiple (v_x, β_x) pairs as in (19), then there must be a set $V^j = \{v_1^j, \dots, v_{L+1}^j\}$ calculated for each pair; in Algorithm 3.2, only the one set $\{v_1, \dots, v_{L+1}\}$ is calculated.

4 Application 1: Standard Multilayer Perceptron

The first network considered is a standard multilayer perceptron (MLP). The input data here is $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$, and the output is $F \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{L+1}}$ when the MLP is assumed to have L layers. The single-layer function $f_i : \mathbb{R}^{n_i} \times (\mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1} \times n_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1}}$ takes in the data at the i^{th} layer — $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ — along with parameters $W_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1} \times n_i}$ and $b_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1}}$, and outputs the data at the $(i+1)^{th}$ layer, i.e.

$$x_{i+1} = f_i(x_i; W_i, b_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1}}.$$

The dependence of f_i on its parameters (W_i, b_i) will often be suppressed throughout this section, i.e. $f_i(x_i; W_i, b_i) \equiv f_i(x_i)$, for convenience when composing functions. It is assumed that every

Algorithm 3.2 One iteration of gradient descent for a higher-order loss function

```

function DESCENT ITERATION( $x, v_x, \beta_x, y, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_L, \eta, \mu$ )
   $x_1 \leftarrow x$ 
   $v_1 \leftarrow v_x$ 
  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$  do
     $x_{i+1} \leftarrow f_i(x_i)$ 
     $v_{i+1} \leftarrow Df_i(x_i) \cdot v_i$ 
  end for
  for  $i \in \{L, \dots, 1\}$  do
     $\tilde{\theta}_i \leftarrow \theta_i$ 
    if  $i = L$  then
       $e_t \leftarrow 0$ 
       $e_v \leftarrow v_{L+1} - \beta_x$ 
       $e_y \leftarrow x_{L+1} - y$ 
    else
       $e_t \leftarrow D^* f_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e_t + (v_{i+1} \lrcorner D^2 f_{i+1}(x_{i+1}))^* \cdot e_v$ 
       $e_v \leftarrow D^* f_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e_v$ 
       $e_y \leftarrow D^* f_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e_y$ 
    end if
     $\nabla_{\theta_i} J(x; \theta) \leftarrow \nabla_{\theta_i}^* f_i(x_i) \cdot e_y$ 
     $\nabla_{\theta_i} R(x; \theta) \leftarrow \nabla_{\theta_i}^* f_i(x_i) \cdot e_t + (v_i \lrcorner D \nabla_{\theta_i} f_i(x_i))^* \cdot e_v$ 
     $\theta_i \leftarrow \theta_i - \eta(\nabla_{\theta_i} J(x; \theta) + \mu \nabla_{\theta_i} R(x; \theta))$ 
  end for
end function

```

$\triangleright v_i = D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v_x$ and $D\alpha_0(x) = \text{identity}$
 $\triangleright x_{L+1} = F(x; \theta)$ and $v_{L+1} = DF(x; \theta) \cdot v_x$
 \triangleright Lemma 3.7
 \triangleright Store θ_i for updating θ_{i-1}
 $\triangleright e_t = (v_{i+1} \lrcorner D^2 \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}))^* \cdot (v_{L+1} - \beta_x)$
 $\triangleright e_v = D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot (v_{L+1} - \beta_x)$
 $\triangleright e_y = D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot (x_{L+1} - y)$
 \triangleright Calculate $D^* f_{i+1}(x_{i+1})$ with $\tilde{\theta}_{i+1}$ in this block
 \triangleright Thm. 3.8; use old e_v
 \triangleright Thm. 3.3
 \triangleright Thm. 3.3
 \triangleright Thms. 3.1 and 3.2
 \triangleright Thms. 3.6 and 3.9

vector space used here is equipped with the usual Euclidean inner product. Thus, the inner product of two matrices or vectors A and B of equal size is computed as

$$\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr}(A^T B).$$

As a corollary, $\langle A, BC \rangle = \langle B^T A, C \rangle = \langle AC^T, B \rangle$ for any matrices or vectors A, B and C so that the inner product $\langle A, BC \rangle$ is valid. Every vector in each \mathbb{R}^{n_i} is treated as an $n_i \times 1$ matrix by default.

The explicit action of the layer-wise function f_i can be described via an elementwise function $S_i : \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1}}$, with associated elementwise operation $\sigma_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, as

$$f_i(x_i) = S_i(W_i \cdot x_i + b_i) \quad (21)$$

for any $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, where \cdot denotes matrix-vector multiplication. The elementwise function $S_i : \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1}}$ is defined as in (3). The operation σ_i is nonlinear, so S_i is known as an elementwise *nonlinear* function, or *elementwise nonlinearity*. The derivative maps DS_i and $D^2 S_i$ can be calculated using Propositions 2.3 and 2.4, respectively.

Remark 4.1. The maps DS_i and $D^2 S_i$ clearly depend on the choice of nonlinearity σ_i . Some common choices and their derivatives are given in Table 1. Note that H is the Heaviside step function, and \sinh and \cosh are the hyperbolic sine and cosine functions, respectively. Table 1 is not a complete description of all possible nonlinearities.

Table 1: Common nonlinearities, along with their first and second derivatives

Name	Definition	First Derivative	Second Derivative
tanh	$\sigma_i(x) := \frac{\sinh(x)}{\cosh(x)}$	$\sigma'_i(x) = \frac{4 \cosh^2(x)}{(\cosh(2x)+1)^2}$	$\sigma''_i(x) = -\frac{8 \sinh(2x) \cosh^2(x)}{(\cosh(2x)+1)^3}$
Sigmoidal	$\sigma_i(x) := \frac{1}{1+\exp(-x)}$	$\sigma'_i(x) = \sigma_i(x) (1 - \sigma_i(x))$	$\sigma''_i(x) = \sigma'_i(x) (1 - 2\sigma_i(x))$
Ramp	$\sigma_i(x) := \max(0, x)$	$\sigma'_i(x) = H(x)$	$\sigma''_i(x) = 0$

4.1 Gradient Descent for Standard Loss Function

Consider the loss function J given in (12). Its gradient with respect to the parameters W_i and b_i can now be calculated separately at each layer $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$, since W_i and b_i are both independent of each other and independent of other layers $j \neq i$. First, the derivatives of f_i and their adjoints are computed:

Lemma 4.2. *Consider the function f_i defined in (21). Then, for any $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ and any $U_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1} \times n_i}$*

$$\nabla_{W_i} f_i(x_i) \cdot U_i = DS_i(z_i) \cdot U_i \cdot x_i, \quad (22)$$

$$\nabla_{b_i} f_i(x_i) = DS_i(z_i), \quad (23)$$

where $z_i = W_i \cdot x_i + b_i$, and

$$Df_i(x_i) = DS_i(z_i) \cdot W_i. \quad (24)$$

This holds for any $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$.

Proof. For any $U_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1} \times n_i}$,

$$\nabla_{W_i} f_i(x_i) \cdot U_i = \frac{d}{dt} (S_i((W_i + tU_i) \cdot x_i + b_i)) \Big|_{t=0} = DS_i(W_i \cdot x_i + b_i) \cdot U_i \cdot x_i,$$

which proves (22). The other equations can be proven similarly. \square

Lemma 4.3. *For any $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$, $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1}}$,*

$$\nabla_{W_i}^* f_i(x_i) \cdot u = (S'_i(z_i) \odot u) x_i^T, \quad (25)$$

$$\nabla_{b_i}^* f_i(x_i) = DS_i(z_i), \quad (26)$$

where $z_i = W_i \cdot x_i + b_i$, and

$$D^* f_i(x_i) = W_i^T \cdot DS_i(z_i). \quad (27)$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1}}$ and any $U_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1} \times n_i}$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, \nabla_{W_i} f_i(x_i) \cdot U_i \rangle &= \langle z, DS_i(z_i) \cdot U_i \cdot x_i \rangle \\ &= \langle DS_i(z_i) \cdot u, U_i \cdot x_i \rangle \\ &= \langle (DS_i(z_i) \cdot u) x_i^T, U_i \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\nabla_{W_i}^* f_i(x_i) \cdot u = (DS_i(z_i) \cdot u) x_i^T = (S'_i(z_i) \odot u) x_i^T, \quad (28)$$

which proves (25). Equations (26) and (27) follow from taking the adjoints of (23) and (24) and using the self-adjointness of $DS_i(z_i)$. \square

The next result demonstrates how to backpropagate the error in the network.

Theorem 4.4 (Backpropagation in MLP). *For f_i defined as in (21) and ω_i as defined in (10),*

$$D\omega_i(x_i) = D\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot DS_i(z_i) \cdot W_i, \quad (29)$$

where $x_{i+1} = f_i(x_i)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$, $z_i = W_i \cdot x_i + b_i$, and ω_{L+1} is the identity. Furthermore, for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{L+1}}$,

$$D^* \omega_i(x_i) \cdot u = W_i^T \cdot (S'_i(z_i) \odot (D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot u)). \quad (30)$$

Proof. Pick any $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$. By the Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned} D\omega_i(v) &= D\omega_{i+1}(f_i(v)) \cdot Df_i(v) \\ &= D\omega_{i+1}(f_i(v)) \cdot DS_i(W_i \cdot v + b_i) \cdot W_i \end{aligned}$$

Then, setting $v = x_i$, equation (29) is proven since $x_{i+1} = f_i(x_i)$.

Now, by taking the adjoint of the above equation

$$D^* \omega_i(x_i) = W_i^* \cdot D^* S_i(z_i) \cdot D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}),$$

where $W_i^* = W_i^T$. Also, $D^* S_i(z_i) = DS_i(z_i)$ from Proposition 2.3. Thus, applying $D^* \omega_i(x_i)$ to any $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{L+1}}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} D^* \omega_i(x_i) \cdot v &= W_i^T \cdot DS_i(z_i) \cdot D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot v \\ &= W_i^T \cdot (S'_i(z_i) \odot (D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot v)). \end{aligned}$$

This is true for any $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$, so the proof is complete. \square

The above theorem demonstrates how to calculate $D^* \omega_i(x_i)$ recursively, which is needed to back-propagate the error throughout the network. This will be necessary to compute the main MLP result presented in the next theorem.

Theorem 4.5. *Let J be defined as in (12), $\theta = \{W_1, \dots, W_L, b_1, \dots, b_L\}$ represent the parameters, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ be an input with associated known output $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{L+1}}$, and $F(x; \theta)$ be defined as in (8). Then, the following equations hold for any $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$:*

$$\nabla_{W_i} J(x; \theta) = (S'_i(z_i) \odot (D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e)) x_i^T, \quad (31)$$

$$\nabla_{b_i} J(x; \theta) = S'_i(z_i) \odot (D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e), \quad (32)$$

where $x_i = \alpha_{i-1}(x)$, $z_i = W_i \cdot x_i + b_i$, and the prediction error e is given by

$$e = F(x; \theta) - y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{L+1}}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.1

$$\nabla_{W_i} J(x; \theta) = \nabla_{W_i}^* F(x; \theta) \cdot e, \quad (33)$$

$$\nabla_{b_i} J(x; \theta) = \nabla_{b_i}^* F(x; \theta) \cdot e. \quad (34)$$

From Theorem 3.2,

$$\nabla_{W_i}^* F(x; \theta) \cdot e = \nabla_{W_i}^* f_i(x_i) \cdot D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e, \quad (35)$$

$$\nabla_{b_i}^* F(x; \theta) \cdot e = \nabla_{b_i}^* f_i(x_i) \cdot D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e. \quad (36)$$

Recall that $D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e$ is calculated recursively via Theorem 4.4. Then, (31) follows from (33), (35) and (25), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{W_i} J(x; \theta) &= \nabla_{W_i}^* F(x; \theta) \cdot e \\ &= \nabla_{W_i}^* f_i(x_i) \cdot D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e \\ &= (S'_i(z_i) \odot (D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e)) x_i^T. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, (32) follows from (34), (35) and (26), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{b_i} J(x; \theta) &= \nabla_{b_i}^* F(x; \theta) \cdot e \\ &= \nabla_{b_i}^* f_i(x_i) \cdot D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e \\ &= S'_i(z_i) \odot (D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof, which is valid for all $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$. \square

Given the above results, a gradient descent algorithm can be developed to minimize J with respect to each W_i and b_i , for a given data point x and *learning rate* η . One iteration of this is given in Algorithm 4.1. The output of the algorithm is an updated version of W_i and b_i . This process can be extended additively to a batch of updates by summing the individual contributions of each x to the gradient of $J(x; \theta)$.

4.2 Gradient Descent for Higher-Order Loss Function

The goal now is to perform a gradient descent iteration for a higher-order loss function of the form (18). Since the gradients of J are already understood, it is only necessary to compute the gradients of R , defined in (17), with respect to W_i and b_i for all $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$. This will involve forward and backward propagation through the tangent network, and then the calculation of $(\nabla D F(x; \theta) \lrcorner v)^*$, as in Theorem 3.9. First, relevant single-layer derivatives will be presented as in the previous section.

Algorithm 4.1 One iteration of gradient descent in MLP

```

function DESCENT ITERATION( $x, y, W_1, \dots, W_L, b_1, \dots, b_L, \eta$ )
   $x_1 \leftarrow x$ 
  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$  do  $\triangleright x_{L+1} = F(x; \theta)$ 
     $z_i \leftarrow W_i \cdot x_i + b_i$ 
     $x_{i+1} \leftarrow S_i(z_i)$ 
  end for
  for  $i \in \{L, \dots, 1\}$  do
     $\tilde{W}_i \leftarrow W_i$   $\triangleright$  Store old  $W_i$  for updating  $W_{i-1}$ 
    if  $i = L$  then  $\triangleright e = D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot (x_{L+1} - y)$ 
       $e \leftarrow x_{L+1} - y$   $\triangleright \omega_{L+1} = \text{identity}$ 
    else
       $e \leftarrow \tilde{W}_{i+1}^T \cdot (S'_{i+1}(z_{i+1}) \odot e)$   $\triangleright (30)$ 
    end if
     $\nabla_{b_i} J(x; \theta) \leftarrow S'_i(z_i) \odot e$   $\triangleright (32)$ 
     $\nabla_{W_i} J(x; \theta) \leftarrow (S'_i(z_i) \odot e) x_i^T$   $\triangleright (31)$ 
     $b_i \leftarrow b_i - \eta \nabla_{b_i} J(x; \theta)$ 
     $W_i \leftarrow W_i - \eta \nabla_{W_i} J(x; \theta)$ 
  end for
end function

```

Lemma 4.6. Consider the function f_i defined in (21). Then, for any $x_i, v \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ and $U_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1} \times n_i}$,

$$(v \sqcup D \nabla_{W_i} f_i(x_i)) \cdot U_i = D^2 S_i(z_i) \cdot (W_i \cdot v, U_i \cdot x_i) + D S_i(z_i) \cdot U_i \cdot v \quad (37)$$

$$(v \sqcup D \nabla_{b_i} f_i(x_i)) = ((W_i \cdot v) \sqcup D^2 S_i(z_i)) \quad (38)$$

$$(v \sqcup D^2 f_i(x_i)) = ((W_i \cdot v) \sqcup D^2 S_i(z_i)) \cdot W_i, \quad (39)$$

where $z_i = W_i \cdot x_i + b_i$. Furthermore, for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1}}$,

$$(v \sqcup D \nabla_{W_i} f_i(x_i))^* \cdot y = [S''_i(z_i) \odot (W_i \cdot v) \odot y] x_i^T + [S'_i(z_i) \odot y] v^T, \quad (40)$$

$$(v \sqcup D \nabla_{b_i} f_i(x_i))^* = ((W_i \cdot v) \sqcup D^2 S_i(z_i)), \quad (41)$$

$$(v \sqcup D^2 f_i(x_i))^* = W_i^T \cdot ((W_i \cdot v) \sqcup D^2 S_i(z_i)). \quad (42)$$

Proof. First, equation (37) is proven directly:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (v \sqcup D \nabla_{W_i} f_i(x_i)) \cdot U_i &= D(\nabla_{W_i} f_i(x_i) \cdot U_i) \cdot v \\
 &= D(D S_i(z_i) \cdot U_i \cdot x_i) \cdot v \\
 &= D^2 S_i(z_i) \cdot (W_i \cdot v, U_i \cdot x_i) + D S_i(z_i) \cdot U_i \cdot v,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the second line comes from (22) and the last line follows from Lemma 2.2. Equations (38) and (39) can be proven similarly.

Next, equation (40) is proven directly. For any $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle y, (v \sqcup D \nabla_{W_i} f_i(x_i)) \cdot U_i \rangle &= \langle y, ((W_i \cdot v) \sqcup D^2 S_i(z_i)) \cdot U_i \cdot x_i + D S_i(z_i) \cdot U_i \cdot v \rangle \\
 &= \langle [((W_i \cdot v) \sqcup D^2 S_i(z_i)) \cdot y] x_i^T + [D S_i(z_i) \cdot y] v^T, U_i \rangle,
 \end{aligned}$$

since $D S_i(z_i)$ and $((W_i \cdot v) \sqcup D^2 S_i(z_i))$ are both self-adjoint. Since this is true for any U_i ,

$$(v \sqcup D \nabla_{W_i} f_i(x_i))^* \cdot y = [((W_i \cdot v) \sqcup D^2 S_i(z_i)) \cdot y] x_i^T + [D S_i(z_i) \cdot y] v^T, \quad (43)$$

which is equation (40) once the definitions of $D S_i$ and $D^2 S_i$ are substituted in.

Equations (41) and (42) are direct consequences of (38) and (39), respectively, using the reversing property of the adjoint and the self-adjointness of $D S_i(z_i)$ and $((W_i \cdot v) \sqcup D^2 S_i(z_i))$. \square

Theorem 4.7. For f_i defined as in (21), α_i defined as in (9), and $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$,

$$D \alpha_i(x) \cdot v = S'_i(z_i) \odot (W_i \cdot D \alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v),$$

where $x_i = \alpha_{i-1}(x)$, $z_i = W_i \cdot x_i + b_i$, and $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$. Also, $D \alpha_0(x) \cdot v = v$.

Proof. For all $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$, by Lemma 3.7, Proposition 2.3 and equation (24),

$$\begin{aligned} D\alpha_i(x) \cdot v &= Df_i(\alpha_{i-1}(x)) \cdot D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v \\ &= S'_i(z_i) \odot (W_i \cdot D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_0(x) = x$ and $x_i = \alpha_{i-1}(x)$. Furthermore, $D\alpha_0(x)$ is the identity since α_0 is the identity. \square

This is an explicit representation of the forward propagation through the tangent network. The next lemma describes the backpropagation through the tangent network.

Theorem 4.8 (Tangent Backpropagation in MLP). *Let α_i and ω_i be defined as in (9) and (10), respectively. Let f_i be defined as in (21). Then, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$, $x, v_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$, and $v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{L+1}}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} ((D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v_1) \lrcorner D^2\omega_i(x_i))^* \cdot v_2 &= W_i^T \cdot \left\{ S'_i(z_i) \odot \left[((D\alpha_i(x) \cdot v_1) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}))^* \cdot v_2 \right] \right\} \\ &\quad + W_i^T \cdot \left\{ S''_i(z_i) \odot (W_i \cdot D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v_1) \odot (D^*\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot v_2) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $x_i = \alpha_{i-1}(x)$ and $z_i = W_i \cdot x_i + b_i$. Also,

$$((D\alpha_L(x) \cdot v_1) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{L+1}(x_{L+1}))^* \cdot v_2 = 0.$$

Proof. Theorem 3.8 states that for any $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} ((D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v_1) \lrcorner D^2\omega_i(x_i))^* \cdot v_2 &= D^*f_i(x_i) \cdot ((D\alpha_i(x) \cdot v_1) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}))^* \cdot v_2 \\ &\quad + ((D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v_1) \lrcorner D^2f_i(x_i))^* \cdot D^*\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot v_2. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

By (27),

$$D^*f_i(x_i) = W_i^T \cdot DS_i(z_i).$$

Furthermore, by (42),

$$((D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v_1) \lrcorner D^2f_i(x_i))^* = W_i^T \cdot ((W_i \cdot D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v_1) \lrcorner D^2S_i(z_i)).$$

These results can be substituted into equation (44) to obtain the final result:

$$\begin{aligned} ((D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v_1) \lrcorner D^2\omega_i(x_i))^* \cdot v_2 &= W_i^T \cdot DS_i(z_i) \cdot ((D\alpha_i(x) \cdot v_1) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}))^* \cdot v_2 \\ &\quad + W_i^T \cdot ((W_i \cdot D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v_1) \lrcorner D^2S_i(z_i)) \cdot D^*\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot v_2 \\ &= W_i^T \cdot \left\{ S'_i(z_i) \odot \left[((D\alpha_i(x) \cdot v_1) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}))^* \cdot v_2 \right] \right\} \\ &\quad + W_i^T \cdot \left\{ S''_i(z_i) \odot (W_i \cdot D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v_1) \odot (D^*\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot v_2) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This is true even for $i = 1$ since α_0 is the identity. For $i = L + 1$, ω_{L+1} is also the identity, so $((\alpha_L(x) \cdot v_1) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{L+1}(x_{L+1}))^*$ is the zero operator. Thus, the result is proven. \square

Note that the first term in the tangent backpropagation expression in Theorem 4.8 is the recursive part, and the second term can be calculated at each stage once $D^*\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1})$ is calculated. The maps $(\nabla_{W_i} DF(x; \theta) \lrcorner v)^*$ and $(\nabla_{b_i} DF(x; \theta) \lrcorner v)^*$ are calculated in the next theorem as the final step in the gradient descent puzzle.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ and $e \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{L+1}}$. Then, with α_i and ω_i defined as in (9) and (10), respectively, and $x_i = \alpha_{i-1}(x)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{W_i} DF(x; \theta) \lrcorner v)^* \cdot e &= \left\{ S'_i(z_i) \odot \left[((D\alpha_i(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}))^* \cdot e \right] \right\} x_i^T \\ &\quad + (S''_i(z_i) \odot (W_i \cdot D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v) \odot (D^*\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e)) x_i^T \\ &\quad + (S'_i(z_i) \odot (D^*\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e)) (D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v)^T, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{b_i} DF(x; \theta) \lrcorner v)^* \cdot e &= S'_i(z_i) \odot \left[((D\alpha_i(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}))^* \cdot e \right] \\ &\quad + S''_i(z_i) \odot (W_i \cdot D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v) \odot [D^*\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e], \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

for any $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$, where $z_i = W_i \cdot x_i + b_i$.

Proof. Theorem 3.9 says that for any $e \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{L+1}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{\theta_i} DF(x; \theta) \lrcorner v)^* \cdot e &= \nabla_{\theta_i}^* f_i(x_i) \cdot ((D\alpha_i(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}))^* \cdot e \\ &\quad + ((D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D\nabla_{\theta_i} f_i(x_i))^* \cdot D^*\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e, \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

where θ_i is a generic parameter at layer i , for $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$.

When $\theta_i = W_i$, equations (28) and (43) can be substituted into (47) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{W_i} DF(x; \theta) \lrcorner v)^* \cdot e &= \left\{ DS_i(z_i) \cdot ((D\alpha_i(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}))^* \cdot e \right\} x_i^T \\ &\quad + \left\{ ((W_i \cdot D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D^2S_i(z_i)) \cdot D^*\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e \right\} x_i^T \\ &\quad + (DS_i(z_i) \cdot D^*\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e) (D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v)^T. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Equation (45) is then obtained upon substituting the expressions for $DS_i(z_i)$ and $D^2S_i(z_i)$ into (48).

Similarly, when $\theta_i = b_i$, equations (26) and (41) can be substituted into (47) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{b_i} DF(x; \theta) \lrcorner v)^* \cdot e &= DS_i(z_i) \cdot ((D\alpha_i(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}))^* \cdot e \\ &\quad + ((W_i \cdot D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D^2S_i(z_i)) \cdot D^*\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

As before, equation (46) is obtained by substituting the expressions for $DS_i(z_i)$ and $D^2S_i(z_i)$ into (49). \square

From Theorem 3.6, for $v_x \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ and $\beta_x \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{L+1}}$

$$\nabla_{\theta_i} R(x; \theta) = (\nabla_{\theta_i} DF(x; \theta) \lrcorner v_x)^* \cdot (DF(x; \theta) \cdot v_x - \beta_x),$$

for θ_i equal to one of W_i or b_i . Substitute $v = v_x$ and $e = (DF(x; \theta) \cdot v_x - \beta_x)$ in the formulas in Theorem 4.9 to compute $\nabla_{W_i} R(x; \theta)$ and $\nabla_{b_i} R(x; \theta)$. Thus, one iteration of a gradient descent algorithm to minimize $\mathcal{J}_R = J + \mu R$ can now be given, since $\nabla_{\theta_i} J(x; \theta)$ and $\nabla_{\theta_i} R(x; \theta)$ can both be calculated. This is described in Algorithm 4.2.

5 Application 2: Deep Autoencoder

Now, a $2L$ -layer autoencoder (AE) of the form given in Murphy, Chapter 28 [2] is described in the framework of Section 3. The layerwise function f_i is slightly more complicated in this case because there is weight-sharing between differernt layers of the network. Introduce a function $\xi : \{1, \dots, 2L\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, 2L\}$ to aid in network representation, defined as follows:

$$\xi(i) = 2L - i + 1, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, 2L\}. \quad (50)$$

This function has the property that $(\xi \circ \xi)(i) = i$, for all i . Then, the layerwise function $f_i : \mathbb{R}^{n_i} \times (\mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1} \times n_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1}}$ can be represented in the following manner:

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(x_i; W_i, b_i) &= S_i(W_i \cdot x_i + b_i), & i \in \{1, \dots, L\} \\ f_i(x_i; W_{\xi(i)}, b_i) &= S_i(\tau_i(W_{\xi(i)}) \cdot x_i + b_i), & i \in \{L+1, \dots, 2L\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ is the input to the i^{th} layer, $W_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1} \times n_i}$ is the weight matrix, $b_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ is the bias vector at layer i , $S_i : \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1}}$ is the elementwise nonlinearity with corresponding elementwise operation σ_i , and $\tau_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{n_{\xi(i)+1} \times n_{\xi(i)}}; \mathbb{R}^{n_{\xi(i)} \times n_{\xi(i)+1}})$ governs how the weights are shared between layer i and $\xi(i)$. The structure of the autoencoder is to encode for the first L layers, and decode for the next L layers, with the dimensions being preserved according to:

$$n_{L+j} = n_{L-j+2}, \quad \forall j \in \{2, \dots, L+1\}.$$

In [2] and other similar examples, τ_i is the matrix transpose operator at each layer, although it is kept general in this paper. However, for that particular case, the adjoint is calculated according to the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. Let $\tau \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}; \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$ be defined as $\tau(U) = U^T$ for all $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$. Then,

$$\tau^*(W) = W^T$$

for all $W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$.

Algorithm 4.2 One iteration of gradient descent for higher-order loss in MLP

```

function DESCENT ITERATION( $x, v_x, \beta_x, y, W_1, \dots, W_L, b_1, \dots, b_L, \eta, \mu$ )
   $x_1 \leftarrow x$ 
   $v_1 \leftarrow v_x$ 
  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$  do
     $z_i \leftarrow W_i \cdot x_i + b_i$ 
     $x_{i+1} \leftarrow S_i(z_i)$ 
     $v_{i+1} \leftarrow S'_i(z_i) \odot (W_i \cdot v_i)$  ▷ Theorem 4.7
  end for
  for  $i \in \{L, \dots, 1\}$  do
     $\tilde{W}_i \leftarrow W_i$  ▷ Store  $W_i$  for updating  $W_{i-1}$ 
    if  $i = L$  then
       $e_t \leftarrow 0$  ▷  $e_t = (v_{i+1} \lrcorner D^2 \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}))^* \cdot (v_{L+1} - \beta_x)$ 
       $e_v \leftarrow v_{L+1} - \beta_x$  ▷  $e_v = D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot (v_{L+1} - \beta_x)$ 
       $e_y \leftarrow x_{L+1} - y$  ▷  $e_y = D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot (x_{L+1} - y)$ 
    else
       $e_t \leftarrow \tilde{W}_{i+1}^T \cdot (S'_{i+1}(z_{i+1}) \odot e_t + S''_{i+1}(z_{i+1}) \odot (\tilde{W}_{i+1} \cdot v_{i+1}) \odot e_v)$  ▷ Theorem 4.8
       $e_v \leftarrow \tilde{W}_{i+1}^T \cdot (S'_{i+1}(z_{i+1}) \odot e_v)$  ▷ (30); Update  $e_v$  after update of  $e_t$ 
       $e_y \leftarrow \tilde{W}_{i+1}^T \cdot (S'_{i+1}(z_{i+1}) \odot e_y)$  ▷ (30)
    end if
     $\nabla_{b_i} J(x; \theta) \leftarrow S'_i(z_i) \odot e_y$  ▷ (32)
     $\nabla_{W_i} J(x; \theta) \leftarrow (S'_i(z_i) \odot e_y) x_i^T$  ▷ (31)
     $\nabla_{b_i} R(x; \theta) \leftarrow S'_i(z_i) \odot e_t + S''_i(z_i) \odot (W_i \cdot v_i) \odot e_v$  ▷ Thm. 4.9 for this and next line
     $\nabla_{W_i} R(x; \theta) \leftarrow (S'_i(z_i) \odot e_t + S''_i(z_i) \odot (W_i \cdot v_i) \odot e_v) x_i^T + (S'_i(z_i) \odot e_v) v_i^T$ 
     $W_i \leftarrow W_i - \eta(\nabla_{W_i} J(x; \theta) + \mu \nabla_{W_i} R(x; \theta))$ 
     $b_i \leftarrow b_i - \eta(\nabla_{b_i} J(x; \theta) + \mu \nabla_{b_i} R(x; \theta))$ 
  end for
end function

```

Proof. For any $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and $W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$,

$$\langle W, \tau(U) \rangle = \langle W, U^T \rangle = \text{tr}(WU) = \text{tr}(UW) = \langle U, W^T \rangle,$$

which proves the result by the symmetry of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. □

Now, introduce the following notation to represent the f_i in a more compact manner:

$$K_i = \begin{cases} W_i, & 1 \leq i \leq L \\ \tau_i(W_{\xi(i)}), & L+1 \leq i \leq 2L \end{cases}$$

Then, the action of layer i — f_i — can be simply represented as

$$f_i(x_i) = S_i(K_i \cdot x_i + b_i), \quad (51)$$

where the explicit dependence on the parameters K_i and b_i are suppressed and implied when discussing f_i . The network prediction is given by

$$F(x; \theta) = f_{2L} \circ \dots \circ f_1(x), \quad (52)$$

where $\theta = \{W_1, \dots, W_L, b_1, \dots, b_{2L}\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Notice that layers i and $\xi(i)$ both explicitly depend on the parameter W_i , for any $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$, and their impact on F can be shown by writing F as follows:

$$F(x; \theta) = f_{2L} \circ \dots \circ f_{\xi(i)} \circ \dots \circ f_i \circ \dots \circ f_1(x). \quad (53)$$

In this section, α_i and ω_i are defined analogously to (9) and (10) respectively, i.e.

$$\alpha_i(x) = f_i \circ \dots \circ f_1(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_i(y) = f_{2L} \circ \dots \circ f_i(y) \quad (54)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, and $i \in \{1, \dots, 2L\}$. Note again that α_0 and ω_{2L+1} are identity maps.

5.1 Gradient Descent for Standard Loss Function

For the deep autoencoder, the standard loss function is different. It is of the form

$$J(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{2} \langle x - F(x; \theta), x - F(x; \theta) \rangle. \quad (55)$$

Notice that the y from (12) has been replaced by x in (55). This is to enforce the output, which is the decoding of the encoded input, to be as similar to the original input as possible. The equation for $\nabla_{\theta_i} J(x; \theta)$ is then updated from the form in (13) to

$$\nabla_{\theta_i} J(x; \theta) = \nabla_{\theta_i}^* F(x; \theta) \cdot (F(x; \theta) - x), \quad (56)$$

for any parameter θ_i . Note that calculating $\nabla_{W_i}^* F(x; \theta)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$ in this case is more difficult than in (35), since layers i and $\xi(i)$ both depend on W_i . This will be shown towards the end of this section after single-layer derivatives and backpropagation are presented. There is a very strong correspondence between this section and Section 4.1 because of the similarity in the layerwise-defining function f_i , and this will be exploited whenever possible.

Before proceeding into gradient calculation, however, a very particular instance of the chain rule will be introduced for parameter-dependent maps.

Theorem 5.2. *Let E, \tilde{E}, H_1 , and H_2 be generic inner product spaces. Consider a linear map $\tau \in \mathcal{L}(H_1; H_2)$, and two parameter-dependent maps $g : E \times H_1 \rightarrow \tilde{E}$ and $h : E \times H_2 \rightarrow \tilde{E}$, such that*

$$g(x; \theta) = h(x; \tau(\theta))$$

for all $x \in E$ and $\theta \in H_1$. Then, the following two results hold for all $U \in H_1$ and $y \in \tilde{E}$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla g(x; \theta) \cdot U &= \nabla h(x; \tau(\theta)) \cdot \tau(U), \\ \nabla^* g(x; \theta) \cdot y &= \tau^* (\nabla^* h(x; \tau(\theta)) \cdot y). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is a consequence of the chain rule, the linearity of τ , and the reversing property of the adjoint. \square

Then, single-layer derivatives for a generic function f are presented as corollaries to Theorem 5.2.

Corollary 5.3. *Consider a function f of the form*

$$f(x; W) = S(\tau(W) \cdot x + b),$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}, \tau \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}; \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$, and $S : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is an elementwise function. Then, the following hold: for any $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$,

$$\nabla_W f(x; W) \cdot U = DS(z) \cdot \tau(U) \cdot x, \quad (57)$$

$$\nabla_b f(x; W) = DS(z), \quad (58)$$

$$Df(x; W) = DS(z) \cdot \tau(W), \quad (59)$$

where $z = \tau(W) \cdot x + b$. Furthermore, the following hold: for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$,

$$\nabla_W^* f(x; W) \cdot y = \tau^* ((S'(z) \odot y) x^T) \quad (60)$$

$$\nabla_b^* f(x; W) = DS(z) \quad (61)$$

$$D^* f(x; W) = \tau^*(W) \cdot DS(z). \quad (62)$$

Proof. In Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, the derivatives and corresponding adjoints of

$$\tilde{f}(x; \tilde{W}) = S(\tilde{W} \cdot x + b)$$

were calculated, where $\tilde{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Then, equations (57) and (60) are consequences of Lemma 5.2.

Equations (58) and (59) also follow from derivatives calculated in Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, along with the chain rule. Equations (61) and (62) follow from the reversing property of the adjoint and the self-adjointness of $DS(z)$. \square

Since the single-layer derivatives can be calculated, it is now shown that backpropagation in a deep autoencoder is of the same form as backpropagation in a MLP.

Theorem 5.4 (Backpropagation in Deep AE). *With f_i defined as in (51) and ω_i given as in (54), then for any $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, 2L\}$,*

$$D\omega_i(x_i) = D\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot DS_i(z_i) \cdot K_i,$$

where $z_i = K_i \cdot x_i + b_i$ and ω_{L+1} is the identity. Furthermore, for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2L+1}}$,

$$D^*\omega_i(x_i) \cdot v = K_i^T \cdot (S'_i(z_i) \odot (D^*\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot v)).$$

Proof. Since $f_i(x_i) = K_i \cdot x_i + b_i$, where K_i is independent of x_i , this result can be proven in the same way as Theorem 4.4, replacing W_i with K_i . \square

The derivatives of the entire loss function can now be computed with respect to W_i for any $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$, and with respect to b_i for any $i \in \{1, \dots, 2L\}$.

Theorem 5.5. *Let J be defined as in (55), F be defined as in (52), and ω_i be defined as in (54). Then, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{W_i} J(x; \theta) \cdot e &= (S'_i(z_i) \odot (D^*\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e)) x_i^T \\ &\quad + \tau_{\xi(i)}^* \left[(S'_{\xi(i)}(z_{\xi(i)}) \odot (D^*\omega_{\xi(i)+1}(x_{\xi(i)+1}) \cdot e)) x_{\xi(i)}^T \right], \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

where $e = F(x; \theta) - x$ and $z_j = K_j \cdot x_j + b_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq 2L$.

Furthermore, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, 2L\}$,

$$\nabla_{b_i} J(x; \theta) = S'_i(z_i) \odot (D^*\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e). \quad (64)$$

Proof. Proving equation (64) for any $i \in \{1, \dots, 2L\}$ is the same as proving (32), and is omitted. As for equation (63), recall that only two of the functions comprising F in (53) depend on W_i : f_i and $f_{\xi(i)}$. Hence, by the product rule of differentiation,

$$\nabla_{W_i} F(x; \theta) = D\omega_{\xi(i)+1}(x_{\xi(i)+1}) \cdot \nabla_{W_i} f_{\xi(i)}(x_{\xi(i)}) + D\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot \nabla_{W_i} f_i(x_i).$$

Taking the adjoint of this implies

$$\nabla_{W_i}^* F(x; \theta) \cdot e = \nabla_{W_i}^* f_{\xi(i)}(x_{\xi(i)}) \cdot D^*\omega_{\xi(i)+1}(x_{\xi(i)+1}) \cdot e + \nabla_{W_i}^* f_i(x_i) \cdot D^*\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e. \quad (65)$$

Equation (25) gives

$$\nabla_{W_i}^* f_i(x_i) \cdot u = (S'_i(z_i) \odot u) x_i^T \quad (66)$$

for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1}}$ and any $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$. Since $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$ implies $\xi(i) \in \{L+1, \dots, 2L\}$, equation (60) implies

$$\nabla_{W_i}^* f_{\xi(i)}(x_{\xi(i)}) \cdot v = \tau_{\xi(i)}^* \left((S'_{\xi(i)}(z_{\xi(i)}) \odot v) x_{\xi(i)}^T \right) \quad (67)$$

for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^{\xi(i)+1}$ and any $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$, where $z_{\xi(i)} = \tau_{\xi(i)}(W_i) \cdot x_{\xi(i)} + b_{\xi(i)}$. Hence, (63) follows from (56) and (65) – (67). \square

One iteration of a gradient descent algorithm to minimize J with respect to the parameters is given in Algorithm 5.1. As before, the output of this algorithm is a new parameter set $\theta = \{W_1, \dots, W_L, b_1, \dots, b_{2L}\}$ that has taken one step in the direction of the negative gradient of J with respect to each parameter.

5.2 Gradient Descent for Higher-Order Loss Function

Now, as in previous sections, a loss function $\mathcal{J}_R = J + \mu R$ is considered, with $R(x; \theta)$ defined as in (17) or (19). To perform gradient descent to minimize \mathcal{J}_R , it is only necessary to determine the gradient of R with respect to the parameters, since the gradient of J can already be calculated. Again, forward and backward propagation through the tangent network must be computed in the spirit of [4], as well as $(\nabla D F(x; \theta) \lrcorner v)^*$.

Algorithm 5.1 One iteration of gradient descent in an autoencoder

```

function DESCENT ITERATION( $x, W_1, \dots, W_L, b_1, \dots, b_{2L}, \eta$ )
   $x_1 \leftarrow x$ 
  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, 2L\}$  do  $\triangleright x_{2L+1} = F(x; \theta)$ 
    if  $i \leq L$  then
       $K_i \leftarrow W_i$ 
    else
       $K_i \leftarrow \tau_i(W_{\xi(i)})$ 
    end if
     $z_i \leftarrow K_i \cdot x_i + b_i$ 
     $x_{i+1} \leftarrow S_i(z_i)$ 
  end for
  for  $i \in \{2L, \dots, 1\}$  do
    if  $i = 2L$  then  $\triangleright \omega_{2L+1} = \text{identity}$ 
       $e_x \leftarrow x_{2L+1} - x$   $\triangleright e_x = D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot (x_{2L+1} - x)$ 
    else
       $e_x \leftarrow K_{i+1}^T \cdot (S'_i(z_{i+1}) \odot e_x)$   $\triangleright \text{Thm. 5.4}$ 
    end if
     $\nabla_{b_i} J(x; \theta) \leftarrow S'_i(z_i) \odot e_x$   $\triangleright (64)$ 
     $b_i \leftarrow b_i - \eta \nabla_{b_i} J(x; \theta)$ 
    if  $i > L$  then
       $\nabla_{W_{\xi(i)}} J(x; \theta) \leftarrow \tau_i^* \left( (S'_i(z_i) \odot e_x) x_i^T \right)$   $\triangleright \text{Second term in (63)}$ 
    else
       $\nabla_{W_i} J(x; \theta) \leftarrow \nabla_{W_i} J(x; \theta) + (S'_i(z_i) \odot e_x) x_i^T$   $\triangleright \text{Add first term in (63)}$ 
       $W_i \leftarrow W_i - \eta \nabla_{W_i} J(x; \theta)$ 
    end if
  end for
end function

```

Lemma 5.6. For f_i defined as in (51), α_i defined as in (54), and any $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$D\alpha_i(x) \cdot v = S'_i(z_i) \odot (K_i \cdot D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v),$$

where $x_i = \alpha_{i-1}(x)$ and $z_i = K_i \cdot x_i + b_i$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, 2L\}$.

Proof. This result is proven similarly to Theorem 4.7 since $f_i(x_i) = S_i(K_i \cdot x_i + b_i)$. \square

Now, tangent backpropagation must be computed.

Theorem 5.7 (Tangent Backpropagation in Deep AE). *Let α_i and ω_i be defined as in (54). Let f_i be defined as in (51). Then, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, 2L\}$, $x, v_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$, and $v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2L+1}}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} ((D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v_1) \lrcorner D^2\omega_i(x_i))^* \cdot v_2 &= K_i^T \cdot \left\{ S'_i(z_i) \odot \left[((D\alpha_i(x) \cdot v_1) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}))^* \cdot v_2 \right] \right\} \\ &\quad + K_i^T \cdot \{ S''_i(z_i) \odot (K_i \cdot D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v_1) \odot (D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot v_2) \}, \end{aligned}$$

where $x_i = \alpha_{i-1}(x)$ and $z_i = K_i \cdot x_i + b_i$. Also,

$$((D\alpha_{2L}(x) \cdot v_1) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{2L+1}(x_{2L+1}))^* \cdot v_2 = 0.$$

Proof. Since $f_i(x_i) = S_i(K_i \cdot x_i + b_i)$ and K_i is independent of x_i , this result can be proven in the same way as Theorem 4.8. \square

Since the tangents can be backpropagated, the final step in calculating the gradients of R is to calculate $(\nabla_{\theta_i} DF(x; \theta) \lrcorner v)^*$, where θ_i is a generic parameter.

Theorem 5.8. Let α_i and ω_i be defined in (54), and F be defined as in (52). Then, for any $e \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2L+1}}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$, and $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\nabla_{W_i} DF(x; \theta) \lrcorner v)^* \cdot e \\
&= \left\{ S'_i(z_i) \odot \left[((D\alpha_i(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}))^* \cdot e \right] \right\} x_i^T \\
&\quad + (S''_i(z_i) \odot (K_i \cdot D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v) \odot (D^*\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e)) x_i^T \\
&\quad + (S'_i(z_i) \odot (D^*\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e)) (D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v)^T \\
&+ \tau_{\xi(i)}^* \left(\left\{ S'_{\xi(i)}(z_{\xi(i)}) \odot \left[((D\alpha_{\xi(i)}(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{\xi(i)+1}(x_{\xi(i)+1}))^* \cdot e \right] \right\} x_{\xi(i)}^T \right) \\
&\quad + \tau_{\xi(i)}^* \left[(S''_{\xi(i)}(z_{\xi(i)}) \odot (K_{\xi(i)} \cdot D\alpha_{\xi(i)-1}(x) \cdot v) \odot (D^*\omega_{\xi(i)+1}(x_{\xi(i)+1}) \cdot e)) x_{\xi(i)}^T \right] \\
&\quad + \tau_{\xi(i)}^* \left[(S'_{\xi(i)}(z_{\xi(i)}) \odot (D^*\omega_{\xi(i)+1}(x_{\xi(i)+1}) \cdot e)) (D\alpha_{\xi(i)-1}(x) \cdot v)^T \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

where $x_i = \alpha_{i-1}(x)$ and $z_i = K_i \cdot x_i + b_i$. Furthermore, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, 2L\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla_{b_i} DF(x; \theta) \lrcorner v)^* \cdot e &= S'_i(z_i) \odot \left[((D\alpha_i(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}))^* \cdot e \right] \\
&\quad + S''_i(z_i) \odot (K_i \cdot D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v) \odot (D^*\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e).
\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

Proof. Equation (69) is proven similarly to (46) and is omitted. Equation (68) is now derived.

Consider the case when $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$. Recall from the proof of Theorem 5.5 that

$$\nabla_{W_i} F(x; \theta) = D\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot \nabla_{W_i} f_i(x_i) + D\omega_{\xi(i)+1}(x_{\xi(i)+1}) \cdot \nabla_{W_i} f_{\xi(i)}(x_{\xi(i)}).$$

Then, as in the proof of Theorem 3.9,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla_{W_i} DF(x; \theta) \lrcorner v) &= ((D\alpha_i(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1})) \cdot \nabla_{W_i} f_i(x_i) \\
&\quad + D\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot ((D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D\nabla_{W_i} f_i(x_i)) \\
&\quad + ((D\alpha_{\xi(i)} \cdot v) \lrcorner D^2\omega_{\xi(i)+1}(x_{\xi(i)+1})) \cdot \nabla_{W_i} f_{\xi(i)}(x_{\xi(i)}) \\
&\quad + D\omega_{\xi(i)+1}(x_{\xi(i)+1}) \cdot ((D\alpha_{\xi(i)-1}(x) \cdot v) \lrcorner D\nabla_{W_i} f_{\xi(i)}(x_{\xi(i)})),
\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

where the third and fourth terms come from the second term in $\nabla_{W_i} F(x; \theta)$. Then, taking the adjoint of the first two terms of (70) works as in (45), replacing W_i with K_i . Taking the adjoint of the final two terms of (70) can be done using Theorem 5.2 and (45), which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 5.9. Let α_i and ω_i be defined in (54), and F be defined as in (52). Then, for any $e \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2L+1}}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$, and $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\nabla_{W_i} DF(x; \theta) \lrcorner v)^* \cdot e \\
&= ((\nabla_{b_i} DF(x; \theta) \lrcorner v)^* \cdot e) x_i^T \\
&\quad + (S'_i(z_i) \odot (D^*\omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot e)) (D\alpha_{i-1}(x) \cdot v)^T \\
&\quad + \tau_{\xi(i)}^* \left[((\nabla_{b_{\xi(i)}} DF(x; \theta) \lrcorner v)^* \cdot e) x_{\xi(i)}^T \right] \\
&\quad + \tau_{\xi(i)}^* \left[(S'_{\xi(i)}(z_{\xi(i)}) \odot (D^*\omega_{\xi(i)+1}(x_{\xi(i)+1}) \cdot e)) (D\alpha_{\xi(i)-1}(x) \cdot v)^T \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

where $x_i = \alpha_{i-1}(x)$ and $z_i = K_i \cdot x_i + b_i$.

Proof. This result can easily be obtained by substituting (69) into (68). \square

Recall the following for $v_x, \beta_x \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2L+1}}$:

$$\nabla_{\theta_i} R(x; \theta) = (\nabla_{\theta_i} DF(x; \theta) \lrcorner v_x)^* \cdot (DF(x; \theta) \cdot v_x - \beta_x),$$

for a generic parameter θ_i . Now, gradient descent can be performed to minimize $\mathcal{J}_R = J + \mu R$ since the gradient of R is known. One iteration of this is given in Algorithm 5.2.

Algorithm 5.2 One iteration of gradient descent for higher-order loss in an autoencoder

```

function DESCENT ITERATION( $x, v_x, \beta_x, W_1, \dots, W_L, b_1, \dots, b_{2L}, \eta, \mu$ )
   $x_1 \leftarrow x$ 
   $v_1 \leftarrow v_x$ 
  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, 2L\}$  do
    if  $i \leq L$  then
       $K_i \leftarrow W_i$ 
    else
       $K_i \leftarrow \tau_i(W_{\xi(i)})$ 
    end if
     $z_i \leftarrow K_i \cdot x_i + b_i$ 
     $x_{i+1} \leftarrow S_i(z_i)$ 
     $v_{i+1} \leftarrow S'_i(z_i) \odot (K_i \cdot v_i)$  ▷ Lemma 5.6
  end for
  for  $i \in \{2L, \dots, 1\}$  do
    if  $i = 2L$  then
       $e_x \leftarrow x_{2L+1} - x$  ▷  $\omega_{2L+1} = \text{identity}$ 
       $e_t \leftarrow 0$  ▷  $e_x = D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot (x_{2L+1} - x)$ 
       $e_v \leftarrow v_{2L+1} - \beta_x$  ▷  $e_t = (v_{i+1} \sqcup D^2 \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}))^* \cdot (v_{2L+1} - \beta_x)$ 
      ▷  $e_v = D^* \omega_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) \cdot (v_{2L+1} - \beta_x)$ 
    else
       $e_x \leftarrow K_{i+1}^T \cdot (S'_{i+1}(z_{i+1}) \odot e_x)$  ▷ Thm. 5.4
       $e_t \leftarrow K_{i+1}^T \cdot (S'_{i+1}(z_{i+1}) \odot e_t + S''_{i+1}(z_{i+1}) \odot (K_{i+1} \cdot v_{i+1}) \odot e_v)$  ▷ Thm. 5.7; old  $e_v$ 
       $e_v \leftarrow K_{i+1}^T \cdot (S'_{i+1}(z_{i+1}) \odot e_v)$  ▷ Thm. 5.4
    end if
     $\nabla_{b_i} J(x; \theta) \leftarrow S'_i(z_i) \odot e_x$  ▷ (64)
     $\nabla_{b_i} R(x; \theta) \leftarrow S'_i(z_i) \odot e_t + S''_i(z_i) \odot (K_i \cdot v_i) \odot e_v$  ▷ (69)
     $b_i \leftarrow b_i - \eta (\nabla_{b_i} J(x; \theta) + \mu \nabla_{b_i} R(x; \theta))$ 
    if  $i > L$  then
       $\nabla_{W_{\xi(i)}} J(x; \theta) \leftarrow \tau_i^* ((S'_i(z_i) \odot e_x) x_i^T)$  ▷ Second term in (63)
       $\nabla_{W_{\xi(i)}} R(x; \theta) \leftarrow \tau_i^* ((\nabla_{b_i} R(x; \theta)) x_i^T + (S'_i(z_i) \odot e_v) v_i^T)$  ▷ Terms 3 & 4 in (71)
    else
       $\nabla_{W_i} J(x; \theta) \leftarrow \nabla_{W_i} J(x; \theta) + (S'_i(z_i) \odot e_x) x_i^T$  ▷ Add first term in (63)
       $\nabla_{W_i} R(x; \theta) \leftarrow \nabla_{W_i} R(x; \theta) + (\nabla_{b_i} R(x; \theta)) x_i^T + (S'_i(z_i) \odot e_v) v_i^T$ 
      ▷ Terms 1 & 2 in (71), add to previously computed result.
       $W_i \leftarrow W_i - \eta (\nabla_{W_i} J(x; \theta) + \mu \nabla_{W_i} R(x; \theta))$ 
    end if
  end for
end function

```

6 Conclusion and Future Work

In this work, a concise and complete mathematical framework for DNNs was formulated. Generic multivariate functions defined the operation of the network at each layer, and their composition defined the overall mechanics of the network. A coordinate-free gradient descent algorithm, which relied heavily on derivatives of vector-valued functions, was presented and applied to two specific examples. It was shown how to calculate gradients of network loss functions over the inner product space in which the parameters reside, as opposed to individually with respect to each component. A simple loss function and a higher-order loss function were considered, and it was also shown how to extend this framework to other types of loss functions. The approach considered in this paper was generic and flexible and can be extended to other types of networks besides the ones considered here.

The most immediate direction of future work would be to represent the parameters of a DNN in some sort of lower-dimensional subspace to promote sparsity in the network. Finding meaningful basis representations of parameters could help limit the amount of overfitting, while still maintaining the predictive power of the model. Also, more sophisticated optimization methods become tractable once the number of dimensions is sufficiently reduced, and it would be interesting to apply these to

neural networks. Another direction for future work is to exploit the discrete-time dynamical system structure presented for the layerwise network, and to consider how to use control and dynamical systems theory to improve network training or output.

References

- [1] R. Abraham, J. Marsden, and T. Ratiu. *Manifolds, Tensor Analysis, and Applications (2nd edition)*. Springer, 1988.
- [2] K. Murphy. *Machine learning: a probabilistic perspective*. MIT press, 2012.
- [3] S. Rifai, Y. Dauphin, P. Vincent, Y. Bengio, and X. Muller. The manifold tangent classifier. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 2294–2302, 2011.
- [4] P. Simard, B. Victorri, Y. LeCun, and J. Denker. Tangent Prop — A formalism for specifying selected invariances in an adaptive network. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 895–903, 1992.