

A REMARK ON ORBITAL FREE ENTROPY

YOSHIMICHI UEDA

ABSTRACT. A lower estimate of the orbital free entropy χ_{orb} under unitary conjugation is proved, and it together with Voiculescu's observation shows that the conjectural exact formula relating χ_{orb} to the free entropy χ breaks in general in contrast to the case when given random multi-variables are all hyperfinite.

1. INTRODUCTION

Voiculescu's theory of free entropy (see [10]) has two alternative approaches; the microstate free entropy χ and the microstate-free free entropy χ^* , both of which are believed to define the *same* free entropy (at least under the R^ω -embeddability assumption). Similarly to the microstate free entropy χ , the orbital free entropy $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n)$ of given random self-adjoint multi-variables \mathbf{X}_i was also constructed based on an appropriate notion of microstates (called 'orbital microstates', i.e., the 'unitary orbit part' of the usual matricial microstates appearing in the definition of χ) in [3],[5]. The free entropy should be understood, in some senses, as the 'size' of a given set of non-commutative random variables, while $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n)$ *precisely* measures how far the positional relation among the $W^*(\mathbf{X}_i)$ are from the freely independent positional relation in the ambient tracial W^* -probability space. In fact, we have known that $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n)$ is non-positive and equals zero if and only if the $W^*(\mathbf{X}_i)$ are freely independent (modulo the R^ω -embeddability assumption). This fact and the other general properties of χ_{orb} suggest that the minus orbital free entropy $-\chi_{\text{orb}}$ is a microstate variant of Voiculescu's free mutual information i^* whose definition is indeed 'microstate-free'. Hence it is natural to expect that those two quantities have the same properties.

In [9] Voiculescu (implicitly) proved that

$$i^*(v_1 A_1 v_1^*; \dots; v_n A_n v_n^* : B) \leq -(\Sigma(v_1) + \dots + \Sigma(v_n))$$

holds for unital $*$ -subalgebras A_1, \dots, A_n, B of a tracial W^* -probability space and a freely independent family of unitaries v_1, \dots, v_n in the same W^* -probability space such that the family is freely independent of $A_1 \vee \dots \vee A_n \vee B$. Here, we set $\Sigma(v_i) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log |\zeta_1 - \zeta_2| \mu_{v_i}(d\zeta_1) \mu_{v_i}(d\zeta_2)$ with the spectral distribution measure μ_{v_i} of v_i with respect to τ . In fact, this inequality immediately follows from [9, Proposition 9.4] (see Proposition 10.11 in the same paper). Its natural 'orbital counterpart' should be

$$\chi_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X}_1 v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X}_n v_n^*) \geq \Sigma(v_1) + \dots + \Sigma(v_n)$$

with regarding $A_i = W^*(\mathbf{X}_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and $B = \mathbb{C}$. We will prove a slightly improved inequality (Theorem 3.1). The inequality is nothing but a further evidence about the unification conjecture between i^* and χ_{orb} . However, more importantly, the inequality together with Voiculescu's discussion [9, §§14.1] answers, in the negative, the question on the expected relation

Date: Feb. 20th, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L54, 52C17, 28A78, 94A17.

Key words and phrases. Free independence; Free entropy; Free mutual information; Orbital free entropy.

Supported by Grant-in-Aid for Challenging Exploratory Research 16K13762.

between χ_{orb} and χ . Namely, the main formula in [3] (see (7) below), which we call the exact formula relating χ_{orb} to χ , does not hold without any additional assumptions.

In the final part of this short note we also give an observation about the question of whether or not there is a variant of χ_{orb} satisfying both the ‘ W^* -invariance’ for each given random self-adjoint multi-variable and the exact formula relating χ_{orb} to χ in general. Here it is fair to mention two other attempts due to Biane–Dabrowski [1] and Dabrowski [2], but this question is not yet resolved at the moment of this writing.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this note, (\mathcal{M}, τ) denotes a tracial W^* -probability space, that is, \mathcal{M} is a finite von Neumann algebra and τ a faithful normal tracial state on \mathcal{M} . We denote the $N \times N$ self-adjoint matrices by $M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\text{sa}}$ and the Haar probability measure on the $N \times N$ unitary group $U(N)$ by $\gamma_{U(N)}$.

2.1. Orbital free entropy. ([3],[5].) Let $\mathbf{X}_i = (X_{i1}, \dots, X_{ir(i)})$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, be arbitrary random self-adjoint multi-variables in (\mathcal{M}, τ) . We recall an expression of $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n)$ that we will use in this note. Let $R > 0$ be given possibly with $R = \infty$, and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$ be arbitrarily given. For given multi-matrices $\mathbf{A}_i = (A_{ij})_{j=1}^{r(i)} \in (M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\text{sa}})^{r(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, the set of orbital microstates $\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\mathbf{A}_i)_{i=1}^n ; N, m, \delta)$ is defined to be all $(U_i)_{i=1}^n \in U(N)^n$ such that

$$|\text{tr}_N(h((U_i \mathbf{A}_i U_i^*)_{i=1}^n)) - \tau(h((\mathbf{X}_i)_{i=1}^n))| < \delta$$

holds whenever h is a $*$ -monomial in $(r(1) + \dots + r(n))$ indeterminates of degree not greater than m . Similarly, $\Gamma_R(\mathbf{X}_i ; N, m, \delta)$ denotes the set of all $\mathbf{A} \in ((M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\text{sa}})_R)^{r(i)}$ such that

$$|\text{tr}_N(h(\mathbf{A})) - \tau(h(\mathbf{X}_i))| < \delta$$

holds whenever h is a $*$ -monomial in $r(i)$ indeterminates of degree not greater than m . It is rather trivial that if some \mathbf{A}_i sits in $((M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\text{sa}})_R)^{r(i)} \setminus \Gamma_R(\mathbf{X}_i ; N, m, \delta)$, then $\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\mathbf{A}_i)_{i=1}^n ; N, m, \delta)$ must be the empty set. Hence we define

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\chi}_{\text{orb}, R}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n ; N, m, \delta) &:= \sup_{\mathbf{A}_i \in (M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\text{sa}})_R^{r(i)}} \log \left(\gamma_{U(N)}^{\otimes n}(\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\mathbf{A}_i)_{i=1}^n ; N, m, \delta)) \right) \\ &= \sup_{\mathbf{A}_i \in \Gamma_R(\mathbf{X}_i ; N, m, \delta)} \log \left(\gamma_{U(N)}^{\otimes n}(\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\mathbf{A}_i)_{i=1}^n ; N, m, \delta)) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

(defined to be $-\infty$ if some $\Gamma_R(\mathbf{X}_i ; N, m, \delta) = \emptyset$), and we define

$$\chi_{\text{orb}, R}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n) := \lim_{\substack{m \rightarrow \infty \\ \delta \searrow 0}} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \bar{\chi}_{\text{orb}, R}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n ; N, m, \delta). \quad (2)$$

It is known, see [5, Corollary 2.7], that $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n) := \sup_{R > 0} \chi_{\text{orb}, R}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n) = \chi_{\text{orb}, R}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n)$ holds whenever $R \geq \max\{\|X_{ij}\|_\infty \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq r(i)\}$.

Let $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_s)$ be an s -tuple of unitaries in (\mathcal{M}, τ) . For given multi-matrices $\mathbf{A}_i = (A_{ij})_{j=1}^{r(i)} \in (M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\text{sa}})^{r(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\mathbf{A}_i)_{i=1}^n ; \mathbf{v} ; N, m, \delta)$ in presence of \mathbf{v} is defined to be all $(U_i)_{i=1}^n \in U(N)^n$ such that there exists $\mathbf{V} = (V_1, \dots, V_s) \in U(N)^s$ so that

$$|\text{tr}_N(h((U_i \mathbf{A}_i U_i^*)_{i=1}^n, \mathbf{V})) - \tau(h((\mathbf{X}_i)_{i=1}^n, \mathbf{v}))| < \delta$$

holds whenever h is a $*$ -monomial in $(r(1) + \dots + r(n) + s)$ indeterminates of degree not greater than m . Then $\chi_{\text{orb}, R}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : \mathbf{v})$ can be obtained in the same way as above with $\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\mathbf{A}_i)_{i=1}^n ; \mathbf{v} ; N, m, \delta)$ in place of $\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\mathbf{A}_i)_{i=1}^n ; N, m, \delta)$.

Remark that $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : \mathbf{v}) := \sup_{R>0} \chi_{\text{orb},R}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : \mathbf{v}) = \chi_{\text{orb},R}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : \mathbf{v})$ also holds if $R \geq \max\{\|X_{ij}\|_\infty \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq r(i)\}$. Moreover, $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : \mathbf{v}) \leq \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n)$ trivially holds.

2.2. Microstate free entropy for unitaries. (See [4, §6.5].) Let $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ be an n -tuple of unitaries in \mathcal{M} . We recall the microstate free entropy $\chi_u(\mathbf{v})$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$ be arbitrarily given. For every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we define $\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta)$ to be the set of all $\mathbf{V} = (V_1, \dots, V_n) \in \text{U}(N)^n$ such that $|\text{tr}_N(h(\mathbf{V})) - \tau(h(\mathbf{v}))| < \delta$ holds whenever h is a $*$ -monomial in n indeterminates of degree not greater than m . Then

$$\chi_u(\mathbf{v}) := \lim_{\substack{m \rightarrow \infty \\ \delta \searrow 0}} \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \gamma_{\text{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta)). \quad (3)$$

Note that $\chi_u(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_u(v_i)$ holds when v_1, \dots, v_n are freely independent and that $\chi_u(\mathbf{v}) = 0$ if \mathbf{v} is a freely independent family of Haar unitaries. Moreover, when $n = 1$, $\chi_u(v_1) = \Sigma(v_1)$ holds.

2.3. Voiculescu's measure concentration result. ([8]) Let (\mathfrak{A}, ϕ) be a non-commutative probability space, and $(\Omega_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of subsets of \mathfrak{A} . Denote by $(\mathfrak{A}^{*I}, \phi^{*I})$ the reduced free product of copies of (\mathfrak{A}, ϕ) indexed by I , and by λ_i the canonical map of \mathfrak{A} onto the i -th copy of \mathfrak{A} in \mathfrak{A}^{*I} . For each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we say that $(\Omega_i)_{i \in I}$ are (m, ε) -free (in (\mathfrak{A}, ϕ)) if

$$|\phi(a_1 \cdots a_k) - \phi^{*I}(\lambda_{i_1}(a_1) \cdots \lambda_{i_k}(a_k))| < \varepsilon$$

for all $a_j \in \Omega_{i_j}$, $i_j \in I$ with $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $1 \leq k \leq m$.

Lemma 2.1. (Voiculescu [8, Corollary 2.13]) *Let $R > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $\theta > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. Then there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\text{U}(N)}^{\otimes p}(\{ (U_1, \dots, U_p) \in \text{U}(N)^p : \{T_1^{(0)}, \dots, T_{q_0}^{(0)}\}, \{U_1 T_1^{(1)} U_1^*, \dots, U_1 T_{q_1}^{(1)} U_1^*\}, \\ \dots, \{U_p T_1^{(p)} U_p^*, \dots, U_p T_{q_p}^{(p)} U_p^*\} \text{ are } (m, \varepsilon)\text{-free} \}) > 1 - \theta \end{aligned}$$

whenever $N \geq N_0$ and $T_j^{(i)} \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$ with $\|T_j^{(i)}\|_\infty \leq R$, $1 \leq p \leq m$, $1 \leq q_i \leq m$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, $1 \leq j \leq q_i$. $0 \leq i \leq p$.

3. LOWER ESTIMATE OF χ_{orb} UNDER UNITARY CONJUGATION

This section is devoted to proving the following:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{n+1}$ be random self-adjoint multi-variables in (\mathcal{M}, τ) and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ be an n -tuple of unitaries in \mathcal{M} . Assume that $\mathbf{X} := \mathbf{X}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbf{X}_{n+1}$ has f.d.a. in the sense of Voiculescu [8, Definition 3.1] (or equivalently, $W^*(\mathbf{X})$ is R^ω -embeddable) and that \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{v} are freely independent. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X}_1 v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X}_n v_n^*, \mathbf{X}_{n+1}) &\geq \chi_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X}_1 v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X}_n v_n^*, \mathbf{X}_{n+1} : \mathbf{v}) \\ &\geq \chi_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X}_1 v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X}_n v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : \mathbf{v}) \\ &\geq \chi_u(\mathbf{v}). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Proof. The first inequality in (4) is trivial, and the second follows from (the conditional variant of) [5, Theorem 2.6(6)]. Hence it suffices only to prove the third inequality in (4). We may and do also assume that $\chi_u(\mathbf{v}) > -\infty$; otherwise the desired inequality trivially holds.

Write $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_r)$ for simplicity. Set $R := \max\{\|X_j\|_\infty \mid 1 \leq j \leq r\}$, and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$ be arbitrarily given. We can choose $\delta' > 0$ in such a way that $\delta' \leq \delta$ and that, for

every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\mathbf{A} \in \Gamma_R(\mathbf{X}; N, m, \delta')$ and $\mathbf{V} = (V_1, \dots, V_n) \in \Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, 2m, \delta')$ are $(3m, \delta')$ -free, then

$$\left| \text{tr}_N(h(V_1 \mathbf{A} V_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup V_n \mathbf{A} V_n^* \sqcup \mathbf{A} \sqcup \mathbf{V})) - \tau(h(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^* \sqcup \dots \sqcup v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^* \sqcup \mathbf{v})) \right| < \delta$$

whenever h is a $*$ -monomial of $(n+1)r + n$ indeterminates of degree not greater than m . For such a $\delta' > 0$ the assumptions here ensure that there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\Gamma_R(\mathbf{X}; N, m, \delta') \neq \emptyset$ and the probability measure

$$\nu_N := \frac{1}{\gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, 2m, \delta'))} \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n} \upharpoonright_{\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, 2m, \delta')}$$

is well-defined whenever $N \geq N_0$. Let $\Xi(N) \in \Gamma_R(\mathbf{X}; N, m, \delta')$ be arbitrarily chosen for each $N \geq N_0$. Note that $\Xi(N)$ also falls in $\Gamma_R(\mathbf{X}; N, m, \delta) = \Gamma(v_i \mathbf{X} v_i^*; N, m, \delta)$ since $\delta' < \delta$. Then we define

$$\Theta(N, 3m, \delta') := \{(V_1, \dots, V_n, U) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^{n+1} \mid \{V_1, \dots, V_n\} \text{ and } U\Xi(N)U^* \text{ are } (3m, \delta')\text{-free}\}.$$

By what we have remarked at the beginning of this paragraph, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (V_1, \dots, V_n, U) &\in \Theta(N, 3m, \delta') \cap (\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, 3m, \delta') \times \mathbf{U}(N)) \\ \implies (V_1 U, \dots, V_n U, U) &\in \Gamma_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi(N), \dots, \Xi(N)) : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

By Lemma 2.1 there exists $N_1 \geq N_0$ so that

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}(\{U \in \mathbf{U}(N) \mid (V_1, \dots, V_n, U) \in \Theta(N, 3m, \delta')\}) > \frac{1}{2}$$

for every $N \geq N_1$ and every $(V_1, \dots, V_n) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^n$. Consequently, we have

$$(\nu_N \otimes \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)})(\Theta(N, 3m, \delta')) > \frac{1}{2}$$

whenever $N \geq N_1$. Therefore, for every $N \geq N_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, 2m, \delta')) \\ &< \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, 2m, \delta')) \times (\nu_N \otimes \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)})(\Theta(N, 3m, \delta')) \\ &= \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes(n+1)}(\Theta(N, 3m, \delta') \cap (\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, 3m, \delta') \times \mathbf{U}(N))) \\ &\leq \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes(n+1)}(\{(V_1, \dots, V_n, U) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^{n+1} \mid \\ &\quad (V_1 U, \dots, V_n U, U) \in \Gamma_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi(N), \dots, \Xi(N)) : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta)\}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{U}(N)} \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}(dU) \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\{(V_1, \dots, V_n) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^n \mid \\ &\quad (V_1 U, \dots, V_n U, U) \in \Gamma_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi(N), \dots, \Xi(N)) : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta)\}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{U}(N)} \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}(dU) \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\{(U_1, \dots, U_n) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^n \mid \\ &\quad (U_1, \dots, U_n, U) \in \Gamma_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi(N), \dots, \Xi(N)) : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta)\}) \\ &= \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes(n+1)}(\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi(N), \dots, \Xi(N)) : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta)), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where the fourth line is obtained by (5) and the sixth due to the right-invariance of the Haar probability measure $\gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}$. Hence

$$\chi_u(\mathbf{v}) \leq \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \log \left(\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, 2m, \delta')) \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \log \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes(n+1)}(\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi(N), \dots, \Xi(N)) : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta)) \\
&\leq \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \bar{\chi}_{\text{orb}, R}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta),
\end{aligned}$$

implying the desired inequality since m, δ are arbitrary. \square

Remark 3.2. Inequality (4) is not optimal as follows. Assume that $(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = (L(\mathbb{F}_r), \tau_{\mathbb{F}_r}) \star (L(\mathbb{Z}_m), \tau_{\mathbb{Z}_m})$ and that \mathbf{X} is the canonical free semicircular generators of $L(\mathbb{F}_r)$ and v is a canonical generator of $L(\mathbb{Z}_m)$. Since $\tau(v) = 0$, one easily confirms that $v \mathbf{X} v^*$ and \mathbf{X} are freely independent so that $\chi_{\text{orb}}(v \mathbf{X} v^*, \mathbf{X}) = 0$. On the other hand, we know that $\chi_u(v) = -\infty$, since the spectral measure of v has an atom.

Remark 3.3. The proof of Theorem 3.1 (actually, the idea of obtaining the second equality in (6)) gives an alternative representation of $\bar{\chi}_{\text{orb}, R}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n; N, m, \delta)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{\chi}_{\text{orb}, R}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n; N, m, \delta) \\
&= \sup_{\mathbf{A}_i \in (M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\text{sa}})_R^{r(i)}} \log \left(\gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n-1} (\{ (U_i)_{i=1}^{n-1} \in \mathbf{U}(N)^{n-1} \mid \right. \\
&\quad \left. (U_1, \dots, U_{n-1}, I_N) \in \Gamma_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\mathbf{A}_i)_{i=1}^n; N, m, \delta) \} \right) \\
&= \sup_{\mathbf{A}_i \in \Gamma_R(\mathbf{X}_i; N, m, \delta)} \log \left(\gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n-1} (\{ (U_i)_{i=1}^{n-1} \in \mathbf{U}(N)^{n-1} \mid \right. \\
&\quad \left. (U_1, \dots, U_{n-1}, I_N) \in \Gamma_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\mathbf{A}_i)_{i=1}^n; N, m, \delta) \} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

when $n \geq 2$. This corresponds to [9, Remarks 10.2(c)].

4. DISCUSSIONS

4.1. Negative observation. In [3, Theorem 2.6] the following formula was shown when all \mathbf{X}_i are singletons:

$$\chi(\mathbf{X}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbf{X}_n) = \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \chi(\mathbf{X}_i). \quad (7)$$

Note that the same formula trivially holds true (as $-\infty = -\infty$) even when one replaces each singleton \mathbf{X}_i with a hyperfinite non-singleton \mathbf{X}_i , that is, $W^*(\mathbf{X}_i)$ is hyperfinite and \mathbf{X}_i consists of at least two elements. Beyond the hyperfiniteness situation, inequality (\leq) in (7) still holds (see [5, Proposition 2.8]), but equality unfortunately does not in general as follows. The following argument is attributed to Voiculescu [9, §§14.1]. Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2)$ be a semicircular system in \mathcal{M} and $v \in \mathcal{M}$ be a unitary such that $\tau(v) \neq 0$, $\chi_u(v) > -\infty$, and that \mathbf{X} and v are $*$ -freely independent. Set $Y_i := v X_i v^*$, $i = 1, 2$, and $\mathbf{Y} := (Y_1, Y_2)$. By [9, Proposition 2.5] $W^*(X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2) = W^*(X_1, X_2, Y_1) = W^*(X_1, X_2, v)$, and hence by [7, Proposition 3.8]

$$\chi(X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2) = \chi(X_1, X_2, Y_1, I) \leq \chi(X_1, X_2, Y_1) + \chi(I) = -\infty,$$

where I denotes the unit of \mathcal{M} . On the other hand, by Theorem 3.1 $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \geq \chi_u(v) > -\infty$, implying that

$$\chi(\mathbf{X} \sqcup \mathbf{Y}) = -\infty < \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) + \chi(\mathbf{X}) + \chi(\mathbf{Y}).$$

In particular, the quantity “ C^ω ” (or probably “ C ” too) in [5, Remark 2.9] does not coincide with χ_{orb} in general. An interesting question is whether or not $\chi(\mathbf{X}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbf{X}_n) > -\infty$ is enough to make the exact formula relating χ_{orb} to χ hold. Note that $\chi_{\text{orb}} = \tilde{\chi}_{\text{orb}}$ (Biane–Dabrowski’s variant [1]) holds under the assumption. Moreover, the orbital free entropy dimension $\delta_{0, \text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$

must be zero in this case thanks to [5, Proposition 4.3(5)], since $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) > -\infty$. Also $\delta_0(\mathbf{X}) = \delta_0(\mathbf{Y}) = 2$ is trivial. Note that $\chi_u(v) > -\infty$ forces that the probability distribution of v has no atom. Thus, it is likely (if one believes that δ_0 gives a W^* -invariant) that

$$\delta_0(\mathbf{X} \sqcup \mathbf{Y}) \stackrel{?}{=} 3 < 4 = \delta_{0,\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) + \delta_0(\mathbf{X}) + \delta_0(\mathbf{Y})$$

is expected. This means that if $\delta_0(\mathbf{X}_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathbf{X}_n) = \delta_{0,\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_0(\mathbf{X}_i)$ held in general, then the W^* -invariance problem of δ_0 would be resolved negatively. Hence it seems still interesting only to ask whether $\delta_0(\mathbf{X} \sqcup \mathbf{Y}) \leq 4$ or not.

4.2. Other possible variants of χ_{orb} . The above discussion tells us that if a variant of χ_{orb} satisfies Theorem 3.1, then the variant does not satisfy the exact formula relating χ_{orb} to χ in general. Following our previous work [3] with Hiai and Miyamoto one may consider the following variant of χ_{orb} : For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, we select an (operator norm-)bounded sequence $\{\Xi_i(N)\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\Xi_i(N) \in (M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\text{sa}})^{r(i)}$ such that the joint distribution of $\Xi_i(N)$ under tr_N converges to that of \mathbf{X}_i under τ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Then we replace $\bar{\chi}_{\text{orb},R}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n; N, m, \delta)$ in the definition of χ_{orb} with

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^n; N, m, \delta) \\ & := \log \left(\gamma_{\text{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^n; N, m, \delta)) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and define

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^n) \\ & := \lim_{\substack{m \rightarrow \infty \\ \delta \searrow 0}} \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^n; N, m, \delta). \end{aligned}$$

The conditional variant $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^n : \mathbf{v})$ is defined exactly in the same fashion as $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : \mathbf{v})$. Then we may consider their supremum all over the possible choices of $(\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^n$ under some suitable constraint as a variant of χ_{orb} .

Even if the constraint of selecting sequences of multi-matrices is chosen to be the way of approximating to the freely independent copies of given random self-adjoint multi-variables, then the resulting variant of χ_{orb} still satisfies Theorem 3.1, and in turn does not satisfy the exact formula relating χ_{orb} to χ in general. More precisely we can prove the following:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_j)_{j=1}^r$ be a random self-adjoint multi-variables in (\mathcal{M}, τ) and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ be an n -tuple of unitaries in \mathcal{M} . Assume that \mathbf{X} has f.d.a. (see Theorem 3.1) and that \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{v} are freely independent. Then there exists a bounded sequence $\{(\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^{n+1}\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\Xi_i(N) \in (M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\text{sa}})^r$ such that the joint distribution of $\Xi_1(N) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \Xi_{n+1}(N)$ under tr_N converges to the freely independent $n+1$ copies $\mathbf{X}_1^f \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathbf{X}_{n+1}^f$ of \mathbf{X} (n.b., the joint distribution of \mathbf{X} is identical to that of every $v_i \mathbf{X} v_i^*$) under τ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, and moreover that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^{n+1}) \\ & \geq \chi_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^{n+1} : \mathbf{v}) \geq \chi_u(\mathbf{v}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $R > 0$ be sufficiently large. Since \mathbf{X} has f.d.a., Lemma 2.1 shows that for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$ one has $\{((U_i)_{i=1}^{n+1}, \mathbf{A}) \in \text{U}(N)^{n+1} \times ((M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\text{sa}})_R)^r \mid (U_i \mathbf{A} U_i^*)_{i=1}^{n+1} \in \Gamma_R(\mathbf{X}_1^f \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathbf{X}_{n+1}^f; N, m, \delta)\} \neq \emptyset$ for all sufficiently large $N \in \mathbb{N}$. By using this fact, it is easy to choose a bounded sequence $\Xi(N) \in ((M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\text{sa}})_R)^r$ and a sequence $(W_i(N))_{i=1}^{n+1} \in \text{U}(N)^{n+1}$ in such a way that both the joint distributions of $\Xi(N)$ and of $W_1(N) \Xi(N) W_1(N)^* \sqcup \cdots \sqcup W_{n+1}(N) \Xi(N) W_{n+1}(N)^*$ under tr_N converge to those of \mathbf{X} and of $\mathbf{X}_1^f \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathbf{X}_{n+1}^f$, respectively, under τ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Set $\Xi_i(N) := W_i(N) \Xi(N) W_i(N)^*$, $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, and we will prove that $(\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^{n+1}$ is a desired sequence.

For given $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$, we choose $0 < \delta' < \delta$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let ν_N and $\Theta(N, 3m, \delta')$ be also chosen exactly in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. We can choose $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ in such a way that $\Xi(N) \in \Gamma_R(\mathbf{X}; N, m, \delta')$ and ν_N is well-defined as long as $N \geq N_0$. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (V_1, \dots, V_n, U) \in \Theta(N, 3m, \delta') \cap (\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, 3m, \delta') \times \mathbf{U}(N)) \\
& \implies (V_1 U, \dots, V_n U, U) \in \Gamma_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi(N), \dots, \Xi(N)) : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta) \\
& \iff (V_1 U W_1(N)^*, \dots, V_n U W_n(N)^*, U W_{n+1}(N)^*) \\
& \quad \in \Gamma_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^{n+1} : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta).
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3.1 again, Lemma 2.1 shows that there exists $N_1 \geq N_0$ so that

$$(\nu_N \otimes \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)})(\Theta(N, 3m, \delta')) > \frac{1}{2}$$

whenever $N \geq N_1$. Therefore, for every $N \geq N_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, 2m, \delta')) \\
& < \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, 2m, \delta')) \times (\nu_N \otimes \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)})(\Theta(N, 3m, \delta')) \\
& = \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes(n+1)}(\Theta(N, 3m, \delta') \cap (\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, 3m, \delta') \times \mathbf{U}(N))) \\
& \leq \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes(n+1)}(\{(V_1, \dots, V_n, U) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^{n+1} \mid \\
& \quad (V_1 U W_1(N)^*, \dots, V_n U W_n(N)^*, U W_{n+1}(N)^*) \\
& \quad \in \Gamma_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^{n+1} : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta)\}) \\
& = \int_{\mathbf{U}(N)} \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}(dU) \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\{(V_1, \dots, V_n) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^n \mid \\
& \quad (V_1 U W_1(N)^*, \dots, V_n U W_n(N)^*, U W_{n+1}(N)^*) \\
& \quad \in \Gamma_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^{n+1} : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta)\}) \\
& = \int_{\mathbf{U}(N)} \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}(dU) \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\{(V_1, \dots, V_n) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^n \mid \\
& \quad (V_1 U W_{n+1}(N) W_1(N)^*, \dots, V_n U W_{n+1}(N) W_n(N)^*, U) \\
& \quad \in \Gamma_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^{n+1} : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta)\}) \\
& = \int_{\mathbf{U}(N)} \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}(dU) \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\{(U_1, \dots, U_n) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^n \mid \\
& \quad (U_1, \dots, U_n, U) \in \Gamma_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^{n+1} : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta)\}) \\
& = \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes(n+1)}(\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^{n+1} : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta)),
\end{aligned}$$

where the fourth line is obtained by (8) and both the sixth and the seventh due to the right-invariance of the Haar probability measure $\gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}$. Hence the desired inequality follows as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

In view of our work [6] and Voiculescu's liberation theory [9], a candidate constraint of selecting sequences of multi-matrices may be the way of approximating to $\mathbf{X}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbf{X}_n$ globally, though it probably does not satisfy the exact formula relating χ_{orb} to χ in general.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank the referee for his or her careful reading and pointing out several typos.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ph. Biane and Y. Dabrowski, Concavification of free entropy. *Adv. Math.*, **234** (2013), 667–696.
- [2] Y. Dabrowski, A Laplace principle for hermitian Brownian motion and free entropy. arXiv:1604.06420.
- [3] F. Hiai, T. Miyamoto and Y. Ueda, Orbital approach to microstate free entropy. *Internat. J. Math.*, **20** (2009), 227–273.
- [4] F. Hiai and D. Petz, *The Semicircle Law, Free Random Variables and Entropy*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 77, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2000.
- [5] Y. Ueda, Orbital free entropy, revisited. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **63** (2014), 551–577.
- [6] Y. Ueda, Matrix liberation process I: Large deviation upper bound and almost sure convergence. arXiv:1610.04101.
- [7] D. Voiculescu, The analogues of entropy and of Fisher’s information measure in free probability theory II. *Invent. Math.*, **118** (1994), 411–440.
- [8] D. Voiculescu, A strengthened asymptotic freeness result for random matrices with applications to free entropy. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, **1998** (1998), 41–63.
- [9] D. Voiculescu, The analogue of entropy and of Fisher’s information measure in free probability theory VI: Liberation and mutual free information. *Adv. Math.*, **146** (1999), 101–166.
- [10] D. Voiculescu, Free entropy. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **34** (2002), 257–278.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, FUKUOKA, 810-8560, JAPAN

E-mail address: ueda@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp