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Fourier’s law governing the heat conduction has been considered to be broken in low(one or two)-dimensional momentum-conserving systems, based on the theory of the semi-macroscopic fluidic continuum. It is predicted that the heat conductivity in those systems should diverge in the thermodynamic long-wavelength limit. However, recent molecular-dynamic studies have reported a considerable number of counterexamples where the intensive property of the heat conductivity and thus Fourier’s law recover in low-dimensional momentum-conserving systems. To answer the conundrum lying between the semi-macroscopic theory and the microscopic numerics, in this paper, I refine the previous semi-macroscopic fluid analysis by introducing the elastic response. Based on the fluctuating elastodynamic equation, the mode-coupling and dynamic renormalization-group analyses show that the non-zero acoustic wave speeds result in the recovery of Fourier’s law by destabilizing a previously known fixed point keeping the hyper-scaling between the heat conductivity and kinetic viscosity. The theory based on the dynamic renormalization-group further predicts the size scale of beginning the recovery of Fourier’s law. The prediction is supported by the numerical experiments of Fermi-Pasta-Ulam(FPU)-β lattices, the data of which are collapsed to the predicted scaling function for the recovery of Fourier’s law without any fitting parameters. The provided theory and numerics suggest the universality of the recovery of Fourier’s law in the low-dimensional solids, which eventually include the one-dimensional fluids sharing the same governing equations with one-dimensional solids.

In low-dimensional systems, on the other hand, the intensive property of the transportation coefficients, e.g., the heat conductivity and viscosities is known to capable of being broken [1–3, 9, 10]. This tendency is unique to the low-dimensional momentum-conserving systems. In those systems, the heat conductivity increases as the materials conducting heat becomes large, in proportion to the power of $N$;

$$\kappa \propto N^\alpha, \quad \alpha > 0,$$  

(2)

This is called the anomalous heat conduction [11]. Such increase of transportation coefficients means their divergence in the thermodynamic limit $N \to \infty$, and the breakdown of Fourier’s law. It eventually results in the absence of the macroscopic descriptions on transports in the thermodynamic limit [2, 3, 12].

The mechanism behind the anomalous heat conduction is clarified to be the coupling between thermal fluctuations and momentum conservations [1, 10]. The theory is based on the semi-macroscopic hydrodynamic descriptions (called the fluctuating hydrodynamic equations [13], detailed later), and provides the quantitative descriptions by using the mode-coupling analysis [14] and dynamic renormalization-group analysis [1] on the fluctuating hydrodynamic equations. Because the momentum-conserving properties are the universal properties in the atomic scales, the anomalous heat conduction is observed in various systems in molecular dynamic simulations [2, 12] and in experiments using carbon-nanotubes and graphene sheets [4, 7].

However, quite recent studies have reported a considerable number of the counterexamples to this anomalous heat conduction in momentum-conserving systems,
where the intensive property of the heat conductivity recovers (called the recovery of Fourier’s law hereafter) [15]. Following the first report of the recovery in molecular-dynamic simulations of [16], many molecular-dynamic simulations have reported the saturation of the increase in the heat conductivity at larger scales than the size ranges showing the anomalous heat conduction. Indeed, paradigms of the anomalous heat conduction are now shown to be able to recover Fourier’s law such as FPU-β lattices [17, 18]. Although some simulations [19, 20] were pointed out that the model setting did not reach to the size ranges of the asymptote and thus the recovery of Fourier’s law was just apparent [21] occurring in the intermediate size regime of the ballistic one $\alpha = 1$ and the anomalous one $\alpha = 1/3$ [22], more recent study also reported the recovery of Fourier’s law after the anomalous behavior $\alpha = 1/3$ [18]. For example, the recovery of Fourier’s law in [18] is characterized by the rapid saturation of the exponent from $\alpha = 1/3$ theoretically predicted [12] to $\alpha = 0.009$ (37 time smaller than 1/3), and the saturated heat conductivity at $N = 10^6$ is quantitatively met to the heat conductivity predicted by the Green Kubo formula [23] at different $N \sim 10^2 \sim 10^3$ of periodic boundaries, as in the case of the normal heat conduction [24]; such boundary-independent and system-size independent quantitative coincidence is not expected to the system indicating the anomalous heat conduction [25].

Two possible origins of the recovery of Fourier’s law are proposed from the detailed simulations [17, 18, 26–28], yet their correspondence with the previous semi-macroscopic theories [1, 12, 29] are still not uncovered. Some pointed out the significance of thermally activated dissociations [18, 27]. However, while the dissociation means the rupture of the system (fragmentation of the medium) in the truly one-dimensional systems, the dissociation does not provide such fragmentation to the two-dimensional or substantially one-dimensional systems such as the carbon nanotubes; this may suggest the dissociation origin is special in truly one-dimensional cases. Some other studies pointed out the significance of the pressure [18] or equivalently the strain [17] in molecular-dynamic one-dimensional systems. Consistently, a quite recent research also reported asymmetric microscopic interaction potential can induce the recovery of Fourier’s law [30]; considering that the interaction is inevitably asymmetric when the pressure is nonzero in one-dimensional systems (that is the zero-pressure is achieved only when the microscopic interaction potential is symmetric in the steady-state average), such recovery of Fourier’s law induced by the asymmetric microscopic interaction potential will be classified to the pressure/strain-induced recovery of Fourier’s law in one-dimensional systems [17, 18]. However, the previous semi-macroscopic theories already cared the pressure and strains [31], so that predictions of previous theories and recent numerics are, at least apparently, conflicting with each other concerning the pressure and strains; thus there can be a doubt remaining whether the numerically suggested recovery of Fourier’s law in [17, 18] holds in the thermodynamic limit or not. Although a research [18] executed the mode-coupling and dynamic renormalization-group analyses and clarified the cutoff of the anomalous heat conduction can be caused by pressure fluctuations even in the semi-macroscopic scales, it is not clear why such recovery of Fourier’s law can occur with avoiding the previous theoretical predictions [1, 12].

To settle discrepancy between the semi-macroscopic theories and the quite recent reports mostly based on the molecular dynamic simulations, in this paper, I execute the semi-macroscopic analysis by focusing on the elastic response. The analysis is based on the fluctuating elastodynamic equations [31] in both one and two dimensions. Although this is the same as the fluctuating hydrodynamic equations in one dimensions, as detailed later in the setting section, the results become largely different in two dimensional cases. Although some studies already investigated the effects of the elastic responses caused by the pressure in the fully hydrodynamic equations [12, 29, 31], they assumed the hyper-scaling of the kinetic viscosity and the heat conductivity or the scaling of the anomalous heat conduction, as detailed in the discussion section; in other words, the stability of such scalings providing the anomalous heat conduction are not studied in the previous works. In contrast, these assumptions are shown not valid in the findings of this paper resulting in the recovery of Fourier’s law. Based on the explicit solutions of the mode-coupling and dynamic renormalization-group analyses without assuming any scalings, the fluctuating hydrodynamic equations bridge a divide between the previous semi-macroscopic theories and the recent molecular-dynamic reports.

This paper is organized as follows. First, in the Setting section, the fluctuating elastodynamic equations [31] is introduced in a way applicable to all the dimensions. Second, in the Results section, the mode-coupling and dynamic renormalization-group analyses are executed. The theoretical prediction is tested by the numerical experiments of FPU-β lattices. The results are not the scaling analyses, and the explicit coefficients are obtained; this is a large difference from the most similar study [31] claiming the anomalous heat conduction in the elastic medium. This causes the difference between the analysis of this paper providing the recovery of Fourier’s law and theirs providing the anomalous heat conduction (detailed in the discussion section). Third, in Discussion section, the correspondence of the results in this paper with previous works is explored.

II. SETTING

I introduce the fluctuating elastodynamic equations in this section. First, the fluctuating hydrodynamic equations [32] are introduced. Second, as an extension of the fluctuation hydrodynamic equation, the fluctuating elas-
A. Fluctuating Hydrodynamic Equations

In the semi-macroscopic scales, motions of many particles are dominated by the macroscopic equations, yet non-negligible thermal fluctuations disturb such deterministic description \[\text{[32]}\]. Such a scale is partially accessible by the molecular-dynamic simulations solving the many particle systems of short range interaction. Deterministic aspects have been tested for a long time \[\text{[33]}\]. The properties of the fluctuations are also examined by recent simulations \[\text{[34]}\].

To begin with, I introduce the fluctuating hydrodynamic equations describing such semi-macroscopic scales. Suppose the mass, momentum, and energy are conserved in the microscopic scales. The fluctuating hydrodynamic equations describe the slow motions of the density of the mass \(\rho\), momentum \(\rho v\), and energy \(e\) in the semi-macroscopic scales of such systems;

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \rho + \partial_a (\rho v_a) &= 0 \\
\partial_t \rho v_a + \partial_b (\rho v_a v_b) &= \partial_b \sigma'_{ab} \\
\partial_t e + \partial_a J'_a &= 0
\end{align*}
\]  
\(\text{(3)}\)

where \(v_a\) denotes the \(a\) component of the velocity \(v\); \(\sigma'_{ab}\) and \(J'_a\) respectively denote the \(a, b\) component of the stress tensor and \(a\) component of the energy current of the fluctuating hydrodynamic equations, given as

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma'_{ab} &= -P\delta_{ab} + C^{\text{vis}}_{abcd} \partial_c v_d + s_{ab} \\
J'_a &= ev_a - \sigma'_{ab} v_b - \kappa \partial_a T - g_a
\end{align*}
\]  
\(\text{(4)}\)

where \(\partial_t\) and \(\partial_a\) respectively denote the partial derivatives regarding the time and the \(x_a\) axis of the space \(x\). \(P\) and \(T\) respectively denote the pressure and temperature, both being the functions of mass density and internal energy density \((\rho, e - \rho v^2/2)\), and \(\kappa\) and \(C^{\text{vis}}_{abcd}\) respectively denote the heat conductivity and the \(a, b, c, d\) component of the viscosity tensor \(C^{\text{vis}}\); \(s\) and \(g\) respectively denote the random stress and random heat current. The viscosity tensor takes the following form in the isotropic materials as long as the Stokes hypothesis holds \[\text{[32]}\],

\[
C^{\text{vis}}_{abmn} = \zeta (\delta_{am} \delta_{bn} + \delta_{an} \delta_{bm} - 2d^{-1} \delta_{ab} \delta_{mn}),
\]  
\(\text{(5)}\)

where \(\zeta\) is the shear viscosity and \(d\) expresses the dimension of systems; \(\delta_{ab} = 1\) (when \(a = b\)) = 0 (otherwise) is the Kronecker delta. For simplicity, I assume the homogeneous viscosity tensor.

The currents of the momentum and of the energy are made of adiabatic reversible parts predictable by the thermodynamics and the dissipative irreversible parts expressed by the linear irreversible thermodynamics and thermal fluctuations. Although the momentum and energy are conserved in the microscopic scales, the dissipation occurs in the macroscopic scales following the dissipative parts of the currents \[\text{[32]}\]. Note that the mass density evolutions include no dissipations in the fluctuating hydrodynamic equations.

Thermal fluctuations \((s, g)\) are written by white noises as a consequence of the central limit theorem, and governed by the fluctuation dissipation relations (FDR) \[\text{[23]}\];

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle s_{ab}(x, t)s_{cd}(x', t') \rangle &= 2C^{\text{vis}}_{abcd} T \delta(x-x') \delta(t-t') \\
\langle g_a(x, t)g_b(x', t') \rangle &= 2\kappa T^2 \delta_{ab} \delta(x-x') \delta(t-t') \\
\langle s_a(x, t)g_b(x', t') \rangle &= 0
\end{align*}
\]  
\(\text{(6)}\)

where \(\langle \rangle\) denotes the noise average, and \(\delta(t), \delta(x)\) denote the Dirac delta functions of one- and \(d\)-dimensional spaces. The covariances of \(s\) and \(g\) are related to the transfer coefficients due to FDR.

In addition, the high wavenumber cutoff \(\Lambda\) is assumed to reflect the non-continuum area of short-wavelength \[\text{[31]}\]. Note that the definition of \(\Lambda\) can be change in the dynamic renormalization-group analysis \[\text{[1]}\], as detailed later when such an analysis is executed.

B. Fluctuating Elastodynamic Equations

Next I introduce the fluctuating elastodynamic equations considering elastic shear responses.

The fluctuating hydrodynamic equations Eqs. \(\text{(3)}\) and \(\text{(4)}\) are not sufficient for solid systems because there is the elastic shear resistance caused by the elastic order \[\text{[13, 31]}\]. Due to the elastic shear resistance, the shear strains (given by the traceless part of the strain tensor) become approximate conserved quantities.

The elastic order responds to the strain. In the Lagrangian description, a unit of continuity accumulates the strain as a consequence of the velocity difference from the environment (other units surrounding the unit);

\[
\frac{D\epsilon_{ab}}{Dt} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_a v_b + \partial_b v_a \right)
\]  
\(\text{(7)}\)

where \(D/\partial t := \partial_t + v_a \partial_a\) is Lagrangian differentiation, and \(\epsilon_{ab}\) denotes the \(a, b\) component of the strain. Then elastic order yields the Hookean response of the elastic stress \(\sigma^{el}\) to the strain,

\[
\sigma^{el}_{ab} = C^{el}_{abcd} \epsilon_{cd},
\]  
\(\text{(8)}\)

where \(C^{el}_{abcd}\) is the \(a, b, c, d\) component of the stiffness tensor.

Following discussion is focused on the case of uniform isotropic elasticity

\[
C^{el}_{abmn} = \lambda \delta_{am} \delta_{bn} + \mu (\delta_{an} \delta_{bm} + \delta_{am} \delta_{bn} - 2d^{-1} \delta_{ab} \delta_{mn}),
\]  
\(\text{(9)}\)

for simplicity, where \(\lambda\) and \(\mu\) respectively denote the Lame’s first and second parameters; note that \(\mu\) corresponds to the rigidity of the medium.

The volumetric part of the elastic response must be eliminated in an appropriate way when the elastic stress is imposed to the governing equation. This is because the volumetric reversible response is already cared by the
pressure (given as the adiabatic (reversible) response to the volumetric change in the thermodynamics [12, 32]). Now I assume the additivity between the stress $\sigma'$ in the case of the fluctuating hydrodynamic equations and newly imposed shear resistance included in the elastic stress $\sigma^{el}$ in the stress $\sigma$ of the fluctuating elastodynamic equation. This can be written as

$$\sigma_{ab} = \sigma'_{ab} + (\sigma^{el}_{ab} - \delta_{ab}\sigma^{el}_{cc}/d)$$

(10)

by considering that the newly imposed reversible stress must be traceless and invariant to the coordinate rotation [5], where $\sigma_{ab}$ denotes the $a,b$ component of $\sigma$.

Based on the above considerations, by replacing $\sigma'$ with $\sigma$, the following equations are obtained;

$$\partial_t \rho + \partial_a (\rho v_a) = 0$$
$$\partial_t \rho v_a + \partial_b (\rho v_a v_b) = \partial_b \sigma_{ab}$$
$$\partial_t \epsilon + \partial_a J_a = 0$$
$$\left( \partial_t + v_a \partial_a \right) \epsilon_{ab} = \left( \partial_a v_b + \partial_b v_a \right)/2$$

(11)

with

$$\sigma_{ab} = -P\delta_{ab} + (C_{abmn} - \delta_{ab}C_{ccmn}/d)\epsilon_{mn}$$
$$+ C^{vis}_{abmn} \partial_m v_n + s_{ab}.$$  

$$J_a := \epsilon v_a - \sigma_{ab}v_b - \kappa \partial_a T - g_a$$

(12)

This is the explicit form of the fluctuating elastodynamic equations. Indeed, Eqs. (11) and (12) are the same as equations derived from the thermodynamic discussions in one dimension [31], neglecting their vacancy diffusion terms.

Note that the change in volumetric strain $\epsilon_{aa}$ and the logarithmic change in the mass density $\rho$ are connected by the following relation,

$$\frac{D}{Dt} \log \rho + \epsilon_{aa} = 0$$

(13)

This is obtained from Eqs. 3 and 7. Therefore, there can be indefiniteness of formalism concerning the volumetric changes. Nevertheless, newly imposed reversible stress $\sigma^{el}_{ab} - \delta_{ab}\sigma^{el}_{cc}/d$ in $\sigma$ does not contain $\epsilon_{aa}$ terms at least in the following analysis. Hence I do not impose any rules to avoid such indefiniteness in this paper.

Some previous studies include the additional dissipative parts in the evolution of the volumetric strain [7] to represent the vacancy diffusions [31]. However, such volumetric ductile deformations of solids are caused by the accumulation of the thermally activated jumps of vacancies in the atomic scale [32], so that much slower than the collective motions considered above, at least except for the high temperature. Here I do not consider such quite slow ductile motions of solids negligible in the time-scales of the scope in this study, as already other previous studies did [32], and assume the time evolution of the strain is governed by Eq. (7) (including no dissipations) and keeps Eq. (12).

To consider the one-dimensional systems and substantially one-dimensional systems, it is noteworthy that there is no distinction between the solids and fluids in the governing equations in one-dimensional systems. Indeed, the traceless elastic stress $\sigma^{el}_{ab} - \delta_{ab}\sigma^{el}_{cc}/d$ exactly becomes zero, and the elastic interactions are fully cared by the pressure. An example of the substantially one-dimensional systems is the carbon nanotube providing the experimental tests of the predictions on one-dimensional systems [7]. There is no shear strain in one-dimensional systems (or in coarse-grained substantially one-dimensional systems), so that the shear resistance does not exist in their governing equations. Given these, hereafter I do not strictly distinguish the solid and fluid in one-dimensional systems. Indeed, the intrinsic points in the following analyses are the ballistic responses caused by the wave propagations and independent of the distinction between the solids and fluids in one-dimensional cases.

III. RESULTS

In this section, I investigate the effect of the elastic reversible responses (including the elastic volumetric response expressed by the pressure) to the observed transportation coefficients based on the same techniques as those adopted in previous studies [1, 10, 30]. I here use the mode-coupling theory and the dynamic renormalization-group analysis, the details of which are shown in the Appendix A.

First, the fluctuating elastodynamic equations are expanded around the equilibrium states for the mode-coupling and dynamic renormalization-group analyses. Second, the mode-coupling analysis is shown about the kinematic viscosity and heat conductivity at the long wavelength limit in two-dimensional incompressible solids (Eq. (15)), focusing on the recovery of Fourier’s law (the saturation of the anomalous increase in the heat conductivity) based on the mode-coupling analysis. Since the mode-coupling analysis is already shown in one-dimensional system (Eq. (16)) in [18], I do not repeat the results of the mode-coupling analysis here. Third, the result is reconsidered by using the dynamic renormalization-group analysis and tested by the numerical experiments.

A. Expansions around Equilibrium States

I finished obtaining the fluctuating elastodynamic equations. These equations are further reduced to be connected to the observed heat conductivity.

The anomalous transport is provided by the thermal fluctuations of the conserved quantities coupled with the nonlinearity of the streaming terms [110]. Changes in the transportation coefficients caused by such a nonlinear coupling can be estimated by the analysis of the fluctuating motions around some equilibrium states [1, 12, 23]. To obtain the explicit form of the
renormalization, I consider the dynamics of the fluctuations of conserved quantities around an equilibrium state \((\rho, v, c, \epsilon) = (\rho_0, 0, c_0, \epsilon_0)\), where the subscript 0 represents the index of the original states, giving \(A_0 := \langle A \rangle\) to a variable \(A\).

The previous explicit calculations of the dynamic renormalization-group studies are limited to some simple cases, such as the (stirring) incompressible fluids and the (noisy) Burgers equations [1]. To get comparable results with these previous studies, I here focus on the minimum cases, such as the (stirring) incompressible fluids and the (noisy) Burgers equations [1]. To get comparable results, I neglect the nonlinearity related to the elastic response \(\delta \rho \sim \epsilon_0\), hence I neglect them \((B, \kappa, \sigma'_{ab}v_b)\sim (B_0, \kappa_0, -Pv_a)\) for analytical simplicity.

For further simplicity, I adopt the perturbative way of treating the imposed elastic shear resistance by the following two procedures, in order to study the minimum change caused by the imposed elastic responses. First, I neglect the nonlinearity related to the elastic response \((C_{el} \zeta \sim C_0, \sigma_{ab}v_b \sim -Pv_a)\) or strains. Second, I set the equilibrium state of the strain at \(\epsilon_0, ab = 0\). Around 0 strain states, the dependence of the temperature and pressure on traceless strain starts from the second order of fluctuations in the traceless strain, being the negligible order of this setting. This is because traceless-strain dependencies of them come from the internal energy (contributed from the square of the strain) or the second and third invariants of stress (on the order of the square of the strain), due to the requirement of the coordinate-rotation invariance.

Under these approximations, original equations [11] and [12] around the above equilibrium states are reduced to

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \rho + \partial_a (\rho v_a) &= 0, \\
\partial_t \psi_a &= v_a, \\
\partial_t (\rho v_a) + \partial_b (\rho v_a v_b) &= -\partial_a \delta P \\
&+ (C_{abmn} - \delta_{ab} C_{0ccmn}/d) \partial_b \partial_m \psi_n \\
&+ B_{abmn} \partial_b \partial_m \psi_n + \partial_b \delta_{0ab} \\
\partial_t \epsilon + \partial_a [(h_0 + \delta \epsilon + \delta P)v_a] &= D_0 \Delta \epsilon + E_0 \Delta \rho + \partial_a g_{0a} 
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\delta\) represents the index of the fluctuations giving \(\delta A := A - \langle A \rangle\) to a variable \(A\), and I defined \(h_0 := \epsilon_0 + P_0, D := \kappa (\partial T/\partial \epsilon_0)_\rho, E := \kappa (\partial T/\partial \rho)_\epsilon; \psi\) denotes the displacement field with setting the reference value of \(\psi\) at 0, which gives the analytical simplicity by expressing the strain as \(\epsilon_{ab} = \partial_a \psi_b\).

As above, I simplified the fluctuating elastodynamic equations, comparable to the results on the previous dynamic renormalization-group studies [1] where the flows of the renormalization group are explicitly obtained. Below, these equations are compared with the incompressible systems and noisy Burgers equation both being well-known model equations of the anomalous transports [1].

As mentioned earlier in the introduction section, although some studies showed the mode-coupling analysis on the one-dimensional full fluctuating hydrodynamic equations [12, 29] providing the theoretical descriptions of the anomalous heat conduction, they assumed the scaling of \(z = 3/2\) is kept in any scales, so that they do not show whether such scalings are maintained in any scales or not (revisited in the discussion section).

One case studied in this paper is the incompressible cases (the case with the approximation of incompressibility), which consider the time scales slower than the sound (longitudinal) wave propagation caused by the volumetric deformations. Under the situation of the incompressible case \((\delta \rho = 0\) equivalently \(\partial_a \psi_a = 0\) given Eq. (13)), the model equations (14) with Eq. (6a) is reduced to the followings,

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \psi_a &= v_a, \\
\partial_t v_a + \partial_b (v_a v_b) &= -\partial_a \delta P + \nu_0 \Delta v_a + \partial_b \delta \rho_{ab} \\
\partial_t \delta \epsilon + \partial_a [(\delta \epsilon + \rho_0 \delta \rho)v_a] &= D \Delta \epsilon + \partial_a g_{0a}
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\nu_0 := \zeta_0 / \rho_0\) denotes the kinetic viscosity, and I defined \(p := P/\rho, Y := \mu / \rho, s' := s / \rho_0\). The functional form of \(\delta P\) is determined by an incompressible condition, \(\partial_a \psi_a = 0\). This represents the minimum change induced by the shear-resistance in the incompressible fluctuating fluids where the heat conductivity is shown to diverge after simplified to the diffusion coefficient of the passive scalar [1].

The following analysis around \(d = 2\) is based on this equation.

The incompressible setting is not physical in one-dimensional cases, although the dynamic renormalization-group on the incompressible equation can be extended to any dimensions. In addition, the Stokes hypothesis must be broken in one-dimensional system to get the momentum dissipation.

In order to get the analytical simplicity with avoiding an incompressible setting, I here show a one-dimensional model introduced in a previous work [13]. This is the Burgers model modified with the small pressure fluctuations, obtained with the following two approximations. One is the small mass density variation \((\delta \rho / \rho_0) / (\delta \epsilon / \epsilon_0) \ll 1\) appropriate for lattice models. The second is assuming the smallness of the pressure fluctuations to extract only the linear terms caused by the pressure variations, in order to study the minimum change caused by the pressure. Based on these two approximations, the model equation is obtained with the nonzero bulk viscosity around the base state changed as \((\rho, v, c, \epsilon) = (\rho_0, 0, c_0, 0) \rightarrow (\rho, u, c, \epsilon) = (\rho_0, 0, c_0, 0)\), where \(u := \rho v\) denotes the momentum. After expanding the variables transformed in such a manner around the equilibrium state in the similar way to that shown earlier, the equations (11) and (12) in \(d = 1\) is reduced to the following form around the equilibrium state in the above
approximations \[18\],
\[
\partial_t \delta \rho + \partial_a u_a = 0
\]
\[
\partial_t u_a + \rho_0^{-1} \partial_b (u_a u_b) = - \frac{\partial P}{\partial \rho} \delta \rho + \left( \frac{\partial P}{\partial \rho} \right)_{\partial \rho} \delta e + \zeta_0 \Delta v_a + \partial_b s_{ab}
\]
where I used \(\zeta\) as the bulk viscosity so far as in this model equations, and neglected the nonlinear terms of fluctuations caused from the expansion of the pressure. This is equivalent to the noisy Burgers equations \[1\] with pressure fluctuations, already studied in \[18\]. The contribution from the strain is fully cared by the pressure, and the displacement dependent terms does not exist in the above one dimensional model; the strain change is cared by the change in the mass density due to a relation, Eq. (13). When considering the dynamic renormalization-group in one-dimensional cases, I use this model.

B. Mode-Coupling Analysis on Incompressible Solids

I start the analysis based on the model equations \[19\] of the incompressible low-dimensional solids. The change in the strain is first substituted by the integrals of the velocity using the Fourier transform \(f(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \int dx dt \exp(i(k \cdot x + \omega t))f(x, t)\), where \(\mathbf{k}\) and \(\omega\) respectively denote the wavenumber vector and the angular frequency. The functional form of the pressure is then determined by an incompressible condition \((\partial_a v_a = 0)\), and the original equations are reduced to
\[
v_a = G f_a - i \lambda G_P a_{abc}(v_b * v_c)
\]
\[
\delta e = g f'_t - i \lambda g k_a [v_a * (\delta e + \rho_0 \delta \rho)]
\]
with
\[
G := (i \omega - (\nu_0 - i Y_0 / \omega) k^2)^{-1}
\]
\[
g := (i \omega + \kappa_0 k^2)^{-1}
\]
\[
\delta \rho = -k^2 [i k_a f_a + k_b k_c \lambda (v_b * v_c)]
\]
where \(\lambda\) denotes the formal nonlinear intensity factor \[1\], which expresses the smallness of fluctuations, and \(P_{ab}(\mathbf{k}) = \delta_{ab} - k_a k_b / k^2\) and \(P_{abc} := (P_{ab} k_c + P_{ac} k_b) / 2\) are defined as ordinary did \[1\]: \((a * b) (k, \omega) := \int dq dQ a(k - q, \omega - \Omega) b(q, \Omega)\) represents the convolution of the arbitrary functions \(a\) and \(b\) depending on the wavenumber vectors \(k\) (or \(q\)) and the angular frequency \(\omega\) (or \(\Omega\)); noises were rewritten as \(f_a := \lambda g s_{ab} / \rho_0, f_\mathbf{a} := P_{ab} f_a, f'_t := \partial_b u_a\). Particularly, the noise \(f_a\) has the following properties
\[
\langle f_a (k, t) f_b (k', t') \rangle = \frac{\Sigma k^2 P_{ab}(k)}{(2 \pi)^{d+1}} \delta(k + k') \delta(t + t'),
\]
\[
\Sigma := 2 \rho^{-1} v T
\]
and governs the renormalizations, as shown later. Eq. (20) is obtained based on the Stokes hypothesis \[15\]. The projection on the incompressible motions \(P_{ab}\) changes the noise property of \(f_b\) to the solenoidal in \(f_a\).

Renormalized transport coefficients are evaluated by the mode-coupling analysis as follows. The kinetic viscosity is evaluated at
\[
\nu_R(\lambda e^{-1})/\nu_0 = 1 + \left( \frac{\lambda^2 \Sigma_0}{2 \nu_0} \right) \left( \frac{1}{d^2 - 2d (2\pi)^{d/2} \Gamma(d/2)} \right)
\]
\[
\times \int_{\Lambda^{-1}} dq d^d \Omega + O(\lambda^4, k, \omega)
\]
\[
\to \infty (d \leq 2, l \to \infty).
\]
where \(\Gamma()\) is the Gamma function, and \(R\) represents the index of renormalized values of the corresponding coefficients at the wavelength scale \(\Lambda^{-1}\). Eq. (21) shows absolutely the same result as that of the incompressible fluids \[1\]. The rigidity is then found to be irrelevant for the renormalization into the kinetic viscosity \(\nu\) at least in this setting. It suggests that the observed viscosity in low-dimensional solids diverges in the thermodynamic limit, as shown in the incompressible fluid \[1\].

On the other hand, the heat diffusion coefficient is evaluated at
\[
D_R(\lambda e^{-1})/D_0 = 1 + \frac{\lambda^2 \Sigma_0 D_0 (d - 1)}{2 (2\pi)^{d/2} \Gamma(d/2 + 1) \nu_0}
\]
\[
\times \int_{\Lambda^{-1}} dq d^d \Omega + O(\lambda^4, \omega, k)
\]
It is noticed that the rigidity \((Y_0 = \mu_0 / \rho_0)\) yields the cutoff of the anomalous heat conduction. Because the size dependence of the specific heat \((\partial T / \partial e)\) (of constant mass density) being a thermodynamic quantity is negligibly small, so that the renormalized heat conductivity giving \(D := \kappa (\partial T / \partial e)\) is obtained as
\[
\kappa_R(\lambda e^{-1})/\kappa_0 = D_R / D_0 = 1 + \frac{\lambda^2 \Sigma_0 (d - 1)}{2 (2\pi)^{d/2} \Gamma(d/2 + 1) \nu_0}
\]
\[
\times \int_{\Lambda^{-1}} dq d^d \Omega + O(\lambda^4, \omega, k)
\]
\[
< \infty (Y_0 > 0, l \to \infty).
\]
Eq. (22) shows that the anomaly cutoff caused by the elastic shear resistance represented by the rigidity. The renormalized heat conductivity in the thermodynamic limit \(l \to 0\) diverges only in the zero rigidity limit \(Y_0 = \mu_0 / \rho_0 \to 0\), corresponding to the case of the incompressible fluids \[1\].

Given this truncation of the increase in the heat conductivity and the dynamic renormalization-group study of the fluids \[1, 2\], the following relation is suggested in the (momentum-conserving) two-dimensional solids;
\[
\kappa(N) \sim \log\min(N, N_*)
\]
where \(N_*\) denotes the characteristic number of particles at which the dissipative shear stress and elastic one are
balanced, given as
\[ N_* \sim \sqrt{\frac{\nu_R(L(N_*)) + D_R(L(N_*))D_R(L(N_*))}{Y_0}}, \quad \text{(25)} \]
as done in [18] for one-dimensional fluids, where \( L(N) \) is the characteristic length when the number of particle is \( N \). The recovery of Fourier’s law occurs at large sizes \((N \gg N_*)\) where the restoring force dominates the motion. This predicted size dependence of the heat conductivity is reexamined in the next dynamic renormalization-group analysis.

C. Dynamic Renormalization-Group Analysis

As in one dimensional-fluids/solids studied in [18], the mode-coupling result on the renormalized heat conductivity is shown to converge to a constant value even in two-dimensional models, when the elastic interactions are satisfactorily imposed. In order for clearing up the intrinsic differences between the fluids ordinarily studied [1, 10, 36] and solids (and one-dimensional fluids) shown above and in [18], below, I study the flow of the dynamic renormalization-groups of the model equations, (15) and (16).

Around \( d = 2 \), the flow of the dynamic renormalization-group is investigated by using the fluctuating incompressible solids Eq. (15). More explicitly comparing the results of incompressible solids (Eq. (15)) and fluids [1], I choose the passive scalar limit, corresponding to \( \rho_0 \rightarrow 0 \) in Eq. (15):
\[ \partial_t \psi_0 = \nu_a, \partial_a \nu_a = 0 \]
\[ \partial_t \nu_a + \partial_b(v_a \nu_b) = -\partial_a p + Y_0 \Delta \psi_a + \nu_0 \Delta \nu_a + \partial_b s_{ab} \]
\[ \partial_t \delta e + \partial_a (d \delta e_a) = D \Delta \delta e + \partial_a g_0 \]
\[ \text{(26)} \]
The difference from this and the incompressible fluids [1], is only the elastic shear response \( Y_0 \Delta \psi_a \) and the energy current fluctuations \( g_0 \). Note that \( g_0 \) is neglected in the previous study [1] for technical simplicity, and the following results are indeed independent of the presence or absence of \( g_0 \) so that the difference in \( g_0 \) is irrelevant to compare the following analysis and that in [1].

The intrinsic difference is thus \( Y_0 \Delta \psi_a \) only.

At \( d = 1 \), the incompressible condition is not applicable to the systems providing the normal heat conduction in the previous studies [18]. Instead of Eq. (15), the modified Burgers model Eq. (16) [18] is studied as a model system \((d = 1)\) in the passive scalar limit;
\[ \partial_t \delta p + \partial_x u = 0 \]
\[ \partial_t u + \rho_0^{-1} \partial_x u^2 = -Y_0 \partial_x \delta p + \nu_0 \partial_x u + \partial_x s \]
\[ \partial_t \delta e + \rho_0^{-1} \partial_x \delta e = D \Delta \delta e + \partial_x g \]
\[ \text{where } Y := (\partial P)/(\partial \rho)_e \text{ denotes the square of the longitudinal wave speed; it is different from } Y_0 \text{ of Eq. (15) representing the square of the transverse wave speed. Eq. (27) corresponds to the noisy Burgers equation with small (temperature independent) pressure fluctuations with the passive scalar on the fluid [18]. The term proportional to } Y_0 \text{ clears up the pressure-induced change in the ordinarily studied noisy Burgers equations [18]. Note that the calculation in [18] made a mistake in the renormalized variance of the random shear stress (See the Appendix B), and (wrongly) broken FDR after the renormalization becomes the driving force to a nontrivial fixed point they found (called the ballistic fixed point). The mistake in [18] is corrected below.} \]

The existence of the ballistic scaling [18] is also revisited later in a corrected way. It explains the previous numerical result that the scaling predicted by the ballistic fixed point was detected in a model system providing the recovery of Fourier’s law [18].

I. Dynamic Renormalization-Group Analysis of Incompressible Solids

In the dynamic renormalization-group, the following two procedures are repeated alternately. One is the elimination of the shell \( \Delta \equiv \frac{1}{2} - k < \Lambda \). The phenomenological constants are renormalized as \((\nu_0, \Sigma_0, D_0) \rightarrow (\nu_R, \Sigma_R, D_R)\), where the changes are of \( \mathcal{O}(\Lambda) \) (See the Appendix A). The other is the rescaling, where the wavenumber is rescaled as \( k \rightarrow k' := k \exp(l) \) to keep the total sphere diameter at \( \Lambda \) and the angular frequency is scaled as \( \omega \rightarrow \omega' := \omega \exp(\int \frac{dl}{l}) \) to rescale \( \nu_0 \) to \( \nu_0 \) so as to the substantial kinetic viscosity constant; the validity on the choice of \( z \) is revisited later. The variables \((v, \delta e)\) are also rescaled to keep the characteristic intensity of fluctuation (temperature) as \((v, \delta e) \rightarrow (v', \delta e') := (v, \delta e) \exp(-\int \frac{dl}{l(z + d/2)})\). These rescalings modify the values of coefficients in the rescaled coordinates, as \((\nu_R, \Sigma_R, D_R) \rightarrow (\nu_R, \Sigma_R, D_R) \exp(\int \frac{dl}{l(z - 2)})\). Their alternate repetitions determine the flow of the dynamic renormalization-group of the phenomenological coefficients \((\nu, \Sigma, D)\) in the renormalized and rescaled equations. The flow is given by the differential form of the renormalization group:
\[ \frac{d\nu}{dl} = \nu(z - 2 + A_d \bar{\lambda}^2) \]
\[ \frac{d\Sigma}{dl} = \Sigma(z - 2 + A_d \bar{\lambda}^2) \]
\[ \frac{d\Lambda}{dl} = \lambda(z - 1 - d/2) \]
\[ \frac{dY}{dl} = Y(2z - 2) \]
\[ \frac{dD}{dl} = D \left( z - 2 + \left( \frac{d - 1}{\bar{k}} \right) \bar{k} \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{Y} \right) \]
where \( \bar{\lambda} := \lambda \sqrt{\sum \lambda^2} / \nu^2, \bar{Y} := Y/(\nu \Lambda^2), \bar{k} := \kappa(\partial P/\partial \rho)_e / \nu \) are non-dimensionalized coefficients, and \( l \) in the differential forms represents the (rescaled) accumulations of the eliminated shell thickness \( \Lambda l \) per \( \Lambda \); two
dimension-dependent non-dimensional constants $A_d$ and $K_d$ are introduced as in [1], given as
\begin{align}
A_d & := \frac{d^2 - 2}{(d^2 + 2d)(2\sqrt{\pi})^d \Gamma(d/2)} \\
K_d & := \frac{2(2\sqrt{\pi})^d \Gamma(d/2 + 1))^{-1},}
\end{align}
(29) (30)

Note that $\tilde{K}_d$ corresponds to $K_d/d$ in [1]; although the explicit form of $\tilde{K}_d$ ($K_d/d$) is different by the factor 2 in the Eq. (30) and that of $K_d$ this is not relevant for the following discussions and so I do not discuss it here. $\lambda^2$ parts contained in Eq. (28) correspond to the renormalizations and the others correspond to the effects of rescalings.

$\tilde{z}$ is chosen $\nu$ to be fixed at the initial value as $z = 2 - A_d\lambda^2$, and the flow is reduced to
\begin{equation}
\begin{align}
\frac{d\bar{\lambda}}{dl} &= \bar{\lambda}(1 - d/2 - A_d\bar{\lambda}^2) \\
\frac{d\bar{Y}}{dl} &= \bar{Y}(2 - 2A_d\bar{\lambda}^2) \\
\frac{d\bar{\kappa}}{dl} &= \bar{\kappa}\lambda^2 - A_d + \frac{(d - 1)\tilde{K}_d}{\bar{\kappa}(1 + \bar{\kappa}) + \bar{Y}}.
\end{align}
\end{equation}
(31)

This is the RG flow of the equations (15). The value of $\bar{\kappa}$ expresses the ratio between $\kappa$ and $\nu$ because system size dependence of the heat capacity is negligible, as mentioned earlier. In $d < \sqrt{2}$, this flow does not have any nontrivial fixed points with a real $\lambda$ value, and thus cannot work to predict anomalous transports seen in the simulations at $d = 1$. This would reflect the rigid body behavior in one dimensional incompressible systems. Indeed in the incompressible one-dimensional systems, the momentum change is exactly zero and the energy current exactly obeys the normal diffusion equation, as noticed from Eq. (15). I thus focus the analysis on $\sqrt{2} < d \leq 2$, where the anomalous heat conduction is predicted as long as the elastic shear resistance is not imposed.

In $d < 2$, the trivial fixed point $\bar{\lambda} = 0$ is unstable when the flow is perturbed to the $\bar{\lambda}$ direction. Since the renormalization starts with $\lambda > 0$, $\bar{\lambda}$ converges into the stable fixed point $\bar{\lambda}_* := \sqrt{(1 - d/2)/A_d}$ at $l \gg 1$. The convergence progresses exponentially rapidly as $l$ increases. At $\lambda = \lambda_*$, it has two typical behaviors. One is the fixed point for zero rigidity limit $\bar{Y} \to 0$,
\begin{equation}
(\mu/(\zeta\Lambda)^2, \kappa c_{rt}/\nu) = (0, \text{const}(>0))
\end{equation}
(32)
where the heat capacity (of the constant mass density) $(\partial T/\partial e)_p$ is rewritten as $c_T$ and non-dimensionalized $\tilde{\kappa}$ is explicitly written as $\kappa c_{rt}/\nu$ for presenting its simple meaning; the positive constant $\text{const}$ is estimated at $\bar{\kappa} \sim \sqrt{(d - 1)\tilde{K}_d/A_d}$ by using $(d - 1)\tilde{K}_d/A_d \gg 1$. This fixed point is the previously known fixed point of the anomalous heat conduction [1]. However, when the rigidity is nonzero, this fixed point Eq. (32) becomes unstable, because $\bar{Y}$ grows up exponentially as $\bar{Y} \sim \bar{Y}_0 \exp(l\lambda^2)$ at $l \gg 1$ (because $2 - 2A_d\lambda^2 \sim d$) and then $\bar{\kappa}$ decays exponentially as $\bar{\kappa} \sim \exp(-A_d\lambda^2l)$. The truly stable fixed point when $\bar{Y} > 0$ is
\begin{equation}
(\mu/(\zeta\Lambda)^2, \kappa c_{rt}/\nu) = (\infty, 0).
\end{equation}
(33)
$\kappa c_{rt}/\nu$ means the breakdown of the hyper-scaling between the kinetic viscosity and the heat conductivity, and corresponds to the recovery of Fourier’s law shown earlier in the mode-coupling analysis.

The case of $d = 2$ is slightly delicate because the non-trivial fixed point of $\lambda$ degenerates to the trivial one $\lambda_0 \to 0$. The convergence of $\lambda$ to the stable fixed point becomes
\begin{equation}
\lambda = 1/\sqrt{2A_d + 1},
\end{equation}
(34)
much slower than exponential decays in $d < 2$, where I used $\lambda_0 = 1$. This slow inverse square-root decay yields the necessity to consider the transient behavior of $\lambda$ in the following analysis. Previous works [1] revealed a non-trivial value $\bar{\kappa}$ in $d = 2$ with $\bar{Y} = 0$,
\begin{equation}
(\mu/(\zeta\Lambda)^2, \kappa c_{rt}/\nu) = (0, (1 + \sqrt{17})/2).
\end{equation}
(35)
Note that because (factor 2) from that of [1], the value of $\bar{\kappa}$ in the above estimate is deviated from that of [1], yet discussing such a subtle factor is out of the scope of this study. On the other hand, as long as $\bar{Y} \neq 0$ at the initial condition, the exponential growth of $\bar{Y} \sim \bar{Y}_0 \exp(2l)$ occurs even in $d = 2$ as $l$ increases. In this case $\bar{Y} \neq 0$, the evolution of $\bar{\kappa}$ is estimated at
\begin{equation}
\bar{\kappa} \sim \bar{\kappa}_0/(1 + \sqrt{l/l_*)}.
\end{equation}
(36)
in the asymptotic ranges, $l \to 0$ and $l \to \infty$, where $l_*$ represents the characteristic scale balancing $\bar{\kappa}(\bar{\kappa} + 1)$ and $\sqrt{\bar{Y}}$ in the denominator of a term contained in the flow for the heat diffusion coefficient $D$ in Eq. (34): $\lambda \sim 1/\sqrt{2A_d}$ at $l \gg 1$ (obtained from Eq. (34)) is used to obtain this estimate. Eq. (36) means the slow convergence into the fixed point
\begin{equation}
(\mu/(\zeta\Lambda)^2, \kappa c_{rt}/\nu) = (\infty, 0).
\end{equation}
(37)
The rigidity-induced cutoff of the anomalous heat conduction thus still remains in $d = 2$ as long as $\bar{Y} \neq 0$, meaning the existence of the elastic shear resistance.

I finished obtaining the result in the rescaled coordinates. This is connected to the real coordinate values last (Fig. 1 left panel). I here focus on $d = 2$. The dimensional variables are scaled to the original values by divided by coefficients of the rescaling. For example, the wavenumber is scaled as $k(l) = A_0 \exp(-l)$. The angular frequency is scaled as $\omega(l) = \omega_0 \exp(-\int dl(z(l) - 2)) = \omega_0 \exp(-\int dl(z(l) - 2))$, where I used $\nu$ is unchanged in the flow (Eq. (31)). Since $z = 2 - A_d\lambda^2$ asymptotically reaches to $2 - 1/(2l)$ due to
\( \tilde{\lambda} \sim 1/\sqrt{2A_0 l} \) obtained earlier (Eq. 34), the explicit form of the renormalized kinetic viscosity is given as

\[
\nu_R(\Lambda \exp(-l)) \sim \nu_0 \sqrt{l},
\]

at \( l \gg 1 \), or equivalently [1],

\[
\nu_R(k) \sim \nu_0 \sqrt{\ln(\Lambda_0/k)}/k.
\]

On the other hand, by using Eqs. (36), (38), and \( \nu(l) = \nu_0 \), the heat conductivity is shown to satisfy

\[
\kappa_{RCT}/\nu_0 \sim (\kappa_{0cT}/\nu_0)\sqrt{l}/(1 + \sqrt{l/l_0}),
\]

or equivalently,

\[
\kappa_R(k) \sim \kappa_0 \sqrt{\ln(\Lambda_0/k)}/(1 + \sqrt{\ln(k_0/k)})
\]

where \( k_0 := \Lambda_0 \exp(-l_0) \). This roughly means

\[
\kappa_R(k) \sim \kappa_0 \sqrt{\ln(\Lambda_0/\max(\Lambda_0/k, k_0))}
\]

in the asymptotic behaviors at \( k_0 \ll k \ll \Lambda_0 \) and \( k \ll k_0 \).

The cutoff of the divergence arises in the renormalized heat conductivity, due to the breakdown of the hyper-scaling between the heat conductivity and kinetic viscosity as above. Note that the size dependencies of renormalized coefficients are satisfactory given in the angular frequency \( \omega \) domain as \( \nu_R(\omega), \kappa_R(\omega) \) as previously known in the case of the heat conductivity [12]. By using the scaling relation that the angular frequency is proportional to the power of the wavenumber asymptotically \((\omega \propto k^2)\), the followings are obtained:

\[
\nu_R(\omega) \sim \nu_0 \sqrt{\ln(\omega_0/\omega)}
\]

\[
\kappa_R(\omega) \sim \kappa_0 \sqrt{\ln(\omega_0/\max(\omega, \omega_*))}
\]

where \( \omega_* := \omega_0(k/\Lambda_0)^2 \) \((\sim \omega_0(k/\Lambda_0)^2)\) is the cutoff angular frequency scale, and \( \omega_0 \) is a value of the angular frequency giving \( \kappa_R(\omega_0) = \kappa_0 \). The same logarithmic dependencies arise in the frequency domain.

Fig. I (left) shows an example of such breakdown of the hyperscaling in the angular frequency scale. The divergence is shown to be slightly faster than the square-root logarithmic [1] because of the neglected \( O(l^{-1}) \) term. Except for such subtle difference in the behavior of divergence, the exact flow of the renormalization group is met to the above analytically obtained asymptotic behaviors. The breakdown of the hyper-scaling can be seen in Fig. II (left) as well. The increase of the heat conductivity is saturated, and it means the recovery of Fourier’s law.

2. Dynamic Renormalization-Group Analysis of One-Dimensional Solids/Fluids

With the same procedure, the following is obtained in the case of Eq. (27)

\[
\frac{d\nu}{dl} = \nu(z - 2 + \tilde{\lambda}^2/(2\pi))
\]

\[
\frac{d\Sigma}{dl} = \Sigma(z - 2 + \tilde{\lambda}^2/(2\pi))
\]

\[
\frac{d\lambda}{dl} = \lambda(z - 3/2)
\]

\[
\frac{dY}{dl} = Y(2z - 2)
\]

\[
\frac{dD}{dl} = D \left[ z - 2 + \frac{\tilde{\lambda}^2}{2\pi \bar{k}(1 + \bar{k}) + Y} \times \left( \frac{5}{2} - \frac{\bar{k}(1 + 3\bar{k})}{\bar{k}(1 + \bar{k}) + Y} \right) \right].
\]

The results other than that for \( \Sigma \) is the same as [13]; the mode-coupling analysis in [18] was checked to be accurate concerning the transportation coefficients. The result of \( \Sigma \) is corrected (See the Appendix B) as mentioned earlier, and FDR is consequently naturally preserved after the renormalization for arbitrary choice of \( z \).

The value of \( z \) is at \( z = 2 - \tilde{\lambda}^2/(2\pi) \) in order for \( \nu \) to be fixed at the initial value as in the above analysis for the incompressible solids. The flow is then reduced to

\[
\frac{d\bar{\lambda}}{dl} = \bar{\lambda} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\tilde{\lambda}^2}{2\pi} \right)
\]

\[
\frac{d\bar{Y}}{dl} = \bar{Y} \left( 2 - \frac{\tilde{\lambda}^2}{\pi} \right)
\]

\[
\frac{d\bar{k}}{dl} = \frac{\tilde{\lambda}^2}{2\pi} \left[ -1 + \frac{1}{\bar{k}(1 + \bar{k}) + Y} \times \left( \frac{5}{2} - \frac{\bar{k}(1 + 3\bar{k})}{\bar{k}(1 + \bar{k}) + Y} \right) \right].
\]

The reduced flow (Eq. 46) has two typical fixed points similar to those of the incompressible solids of \( d > 1 \) keeping the nonlinear intensity constant as \( \bar{\lambda} = \sqrt{\pi} \) (\( z = 3/2 \));

\[
\left( \frac{1}{(\nu\bar{A})^2} \left( \frac{\partial P}{\partial \rho} \right)_e \cdot \frac{\kappa_{CT}}{\nu} \right) = (0, \text{const} > 0)
\]

and

\[
\left( \frac{1}{(\nu\bar{A})^2} \left( \frac{\partial P}{\partial \rho} \right)_e \cdot \frac{\kappa_{CT}}{\nu} \right) = (\infty, 0).
\]

As in the incompressible solid cases, the former is stable only when \( \bar{Y} = 0 \), and the latter becomes stable otherwise (at \( \bar{Y} > 0 \)). The nonzero value of the longitudinal wave speed before the renormalization \( \sqrt{\bar{Y}} > 0 \) is required from the thermodynamics, and thus the recovery of Fourier’s law unavoidably occurs in in this one-dimensional model setting.
FIG. 1. Predicted ratios ($ν_R/ν_0$ and $κ_R/κ_0$) of the renormalized transportation coefficients ($ν_R$ and $κ_R$) to the bare transportation coefficients ($ν_0$ and $κ_0$) in the angular frequency scale $ω$, indicating the breakdown of the hyper-scaling between the kinetic viscosity and the heat conductivity, which means the recovery of Fourier’s law; $ω/ω_0 = \exp(-\int dlz)$, $ν_R/ν_0 = \exp(-\int dl(z-2))$ and $κ_R/κ_0 = \tilde{κ}R^*/ν_0$ are given by the flows of the dynamic renormalization-group as the functions of $l$ and the selected initial conditions ($λ_0, κ_0, Y_0$). (Left) The renormalized transportation coefficient in two-dimensional incompressible solids governed by Eq. (31) with $d = 2$, starting from the initial condition set at ($λ_0, κ_0, Y_0$) = (1, 1, $10^{-4}$). The flow is given by Eq. (31) with $d = 2$. (Right) The renormalized transportation coefficient in one-dimensional solids/fluids governed by Eq. (27) starting from the initial condition set at ($λ_0, κ_0, Y_0$) = (1, 1, $10^{-4}$). The flow is given by Eq. (46).

As in the similar way to that in the case of the incompressible solid shown earlier, the kinetic viscosity and heat conductivity in the angular frequency domain in the real coordinate are given as

$$ν_R(ω) ≈ ν_0(ω/ω_0)^{-1/3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (49)

$$κ_R(ω) ≈ κ_0(\max(ω, ω_*)/ω_0)^{-1/3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (50)

Note that the explicit value of $ω_*$ is given as $ω_* ≈ ω_0(κ_*/Λ_0)^{3/2}$ at $l \gg 1$, which is modified from that in two-dimensional incompressible solid cases due to the change in the asymptotic value of $z$ ($z = 2 \rightarrow 3/2$). The size dependent values of transportation coefficients $ν_R(L)$ and $κ_R(L)$ at the size scale $L$ are given by $ν_R(ω)$ and $κ_R(ω)$ at the time scale $L/c (ω = 2πc/L$ in the angular frequency scale) for the sound wave to pass through the medium at the sound speed $c$;

$$ν_R(ω) ≈ ν_0(Lω_0/(2πc))^{1/3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (51)

$$κ_R(ω) ≈ κ_0(\min(L, L_*)ω_0/(2πc))^{1/3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (52)

where $L_* := c/ω_*$ denotes the characteristic scale of the cutoff.

Fig. 1 (right) shows an example of the flow analyzed here. After the overhangs, the kinetic viscosity and heat conductivity diverges in proportion to the power of $ω$ ($ω^{-1/3}$) at the initial stage of the renormalization in the small angular frequency ranges $ω \gg ω_*$. At the later stage of $ω \ll ω_*$, the breakdown of the hyper-scaling between the kinetic viscosity and the heat conductivity becomes noticeable. It provides the saturation of the increase in the value of the heat conductivity, that is the recovery of Fourier’s law.

3. Ballistic Scaling in Solids and Scaling Crossover in Low-Dimensional Momentum-Conserving Solids

The above analyses showed that the flows of the dynamic renormalization-groups of fluids largely changed when the elastic responses are imposed. Moreover, when the coordinate is rescaled so as to keep the kinetic viscosity at a constant value, it is found that nonzero $Y$ (corresponding to the square of the phase velocities) diverge. This means that the macroscopic solids are dominated by the elastic response parametrized by $Y$.

It is thus interesting to clarify the corresponding scaling of $z$ to keep the coefficient $Y$, showing the strongest divergence, at a constant value, because such a time scale is providing the scaling of the leading order terms dominating the macroscopic motions. Such a scaling is found to be $z = 1$ (called the ballistic scaling hereafter) from both Eqs. (28) and (45), providing the ballistic dispersion relation ($k \sim ω$). When $z = 1$, other coefficient $ν, Σ, λ, D$ reach to 0 at exponential speeds following the increase of $l$. This means that the nonlinear streaming terms and the thermal fluctuations become irrelevant at the long wavelength motions when the elastic motions are imposed.

$z = 1$ shown above will be related to the fixed point breaking the hyper-scaling between the kinetic viscosity and the heat conductivity (Eqs. (37) and (48)) and thus to the recovery of Fourier’s law. This is because the size to begin breaking the hyper-scaling between $κ$ and $ν$ is determined by the wavelength changing the dispersion relation from the dispersive one to the ballistic one, as $Y \sim \tilde{κ}(1 + \tilde{κ})$. Indeed, the ballistic scaling $z = 1$ are observed in the numerical study on the spatiotemporal autocorrelation functions of the diagonalized currents of
mass, momentum, and energy in one-dimensional systems \cite{18} at the system size and the strain value (pressure) providing the recovery of Fourier’s law. Note that this does not mean the ballistic heat transport \cite{28} proportional to the temperature difference between thermal reservoirs (not proportional to the heat gradient) resulting in the exponent $\alpha = 1$; such a behavior is particularly seen in the integrable systems made of linear (or weakly nonlinear) interactions such as the homogeneous harmonic chains \cite{20}. As shown in the result setting $z = 3/2$ one-dimensional model \cite{35} (and $z = 2$ in \cite{28} of $d = 2$), the heat conductivity is decoupled with the momentum propagations and the heat conductivity is kept finite.

The above scaling-crossover-based explanation of the recovery of Fourier’s law predicts the size where the anomalous increase of the heat conductivity is saturated. From the balance condition $\bar{\nu} \sim \bar{\kappa}(1 + \bar{\kappa})$ in the denominator of $d\bar{s}/d\bar{l}$ common in the flows of the dynamic renormalization-groups for the one- and two-dimensional solids (Eq. \cite{43} and Eq. \cite{28} of $d = 2$), the following condition is satisfied around the size showing the scaling crossover

$$\sqrt{\bar{\nu}}/\bar{\kappa} \sim 1,$$

near the fixed point of the anomalous heat conduction; to obtain this relation, I utilized the property of the fixed point of the anomalous heat conduction that $\nu$ is on the order of $\kappa_T$ there, neglecting the prefactor on the order of one (denoted by const in the previous subsection). Eq. \cite{43} is explicitly written as

$$v_{\text{acoustic}}/k_\ast \sim 1,$$

where $k_\ast$ is the wavenumber where the balance condition is satisfied, $\kappa_\ast$ is the saturated value of the heat conductivity, and $v_{\text{acoustic}} := \sqrt{\nu}$ represents the longitudinal wave speed (that is the sound speed $c$) in one-dimensional cases, and the transverse wave in two-dimensional cases. Note that $\Delta(l)$ in the flow of the dynamic renormalization-group is rescaled and thus corresponds to the wavenumber at the given value of $l$. While the cutoff size scale $L_\ast$ in Eq. \cite{52} is given by the function of $\omega_\ast$ implicitly depending on $\Lambda_0$ and $k_\ast$, $\omega_\ast$ can be estimated as $\omega_\ast = v_{\text{acoustic}}/k_\ast$ because of the ballistic scaling $\omega \propto k$ where the proportional coefficient is exactly given as $v_{\text{acoustic}}$ by considering the dispersion relation in the long wavelength scale. Eq. \cite{54} hence provides the length scale $L_\ast = 2\pi/k_\ast$ to start the recovery of Fourier’s law;

$$L_\ast \sim 2\pi \kappa_\ast c_T/v_{\text{acoustic}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (55)

Since $\kappa$ at $k_\ast$ is almost saturated to the value in the thermodynamic limit, $\kappa_\ast$ is replaced to the convergent value. The convergent heat conductivity is evaluable by the value $\kappa_{\text{GK}}$ estimated by the Green Kubo formula in the same $(T,P)$ condition under the periodic boundary (corresponding to the sufficiently large samples) \cite{18}, and thus Eq. \cite{55} is replaced to

$$L_\ast \sim 2\pi \kappa_{\text{GK}} c_T/v_{\text{acoustic}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (56)

In addition, Eqs. \cite{54} and \cite{52} are rewritten as

$$\kappa(L) \sim \kappa_{\text{GK}}[\min(L/L_\ast, 1)^{\alpha}].$$  \hspace{1cm} (57)

These relations (Eqs. \cite{50} and \cite{51}) hold in both the one- and two-dimensional solids studied in this paper.

It is noteworthy that Eq. \cite{50} is evaluable without any direct measurements of the heat conduction under the temperature gradient. $c_T$ and $v_{\text{acoustic}}$ are evaluated in the equilibrium states by using the equilibrium statistical mechanics; the way to evaluate them in the case of the lattice system is detailed in \cite{39}. $\kappa_{\text{GK}}$ is also evaluable in the equilibrium states.

The prediction of Eqs. \cite{50} and \cite{51} is tested by
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\caption{Theoretical predictions Eqs. \cite{50} and \cite{51} compared with the numerical experiments of FPU-$\beta$ lattices in one dimension, where $\alpha = 1/3$ is theoretically predicted \cite{12}. (Top) Heat conductivities of FPU-\beta lattices under some $T$ and $P$ conditions, after \cite{18}. The values of $\kappa$ in \cite{18} are obtained by the direct measurements of the heat conduction where the local values of the temperature are given by the polygonal-line fits of the temperature profiles; in the setting of \cite{18}, the heat conduction is driven by two thermostats interacting small numbers of particles at the edges of the system; the values of $\kappa_{\text{GK}}$ were obtained by the appropriate choices of the interparticle strains so as to get the given pressure values. (Bottom) The scaled data contained in the top panel, well aligned along the theoretically predicted line Eqs. \cite{54} and \cite{57}, where $L$ is translated to $N$ and the characteristic acoustic speed $v_{\text{acoustic}}$ is given by the sound speed $c$.}
\end{figure}
the one-dimensional FPU-β lattices (Fig. 2 top panel), where \( \alpha = 1/3 \) is theoretically predicted previously [12]. In the previous work [18], the heat conductivity was measured in FPU-β lattices of various sizes under the non-equilibrium conditions conducting the heat, by using the local value of the temperature gradient (estimated from the polygonal lines fitted to the temperature profile) and the heat current in the steady states. The values of the heat conductivity in various system sizes are compared with the heat conductivities estimated by Green Kubo formula under two parameter sets \( T = 40, P = 23(22.5) \) and \( T = 40, P = 78(77.6) \); the validity of such usage of the Green Kubo formula to estimate the transport coefficients in the local equilibrium is also detailed in [18]. By using those results, the theoretical predictions Eqs. (56) and (57) are tested.

The results are shown in Fig. 2 (bottom), where \( L \) is translated to \( N \). Data are collapsed to the predicted master curve given by Eqs. (56) and (57), without any fitting parameters. This scaling crossover, occurring longer wavelength regimes than that of the anomalous transport \( \alpha = 1/3 \) theoretically predicted, is in contrast to the previously known apparent recovery of Fourier’s law occurring in the intermediate regime of the ballistic one \( \alpha = 1 \) and the anomalous one \( \alpha = 1/3 \) [22]. The theory and numerics consistently suggest the new class providing the recovery of Fourier’s law occurring in the momentum-conserving solid systems beyond the previous theories predicting the anomalous heat conduction.

### IV. DISCUSSION

Fourier’s law arose in the momentum-conserving solids both in one- and two-dimensional cases. The correspondence of such results with previous works is discussed last.

Two model systems Eqs. (31) and (46) have demonstrated the universal aspects of the recovery of Fourier’s law in low-dimensional momentum-conserving solid systems, where elastic restoring forces play the intrinsic roles of competing with the dissipations. The intrinsic feature in the calculation is seen in dimension-independent part of the velocity field Green’s function \( G_0 = i\omega + \nu_0 k^2 \rightarrow \omega + (\nu_0 + \nu_0/(i\omega)\nu_0)^2 \) of the incompressible solids (1221); the same arises in the velocity field Green’s function in the modified Burgers model with small pressure Eq. (16) (See [18]). The difference between the solids and fluids is in the change of poles of velocity fields made by nonzero wave propagation speeds. Because of this change, the poles of velocity Green’s function do not coincide with that of energy Green’s function anymore. This makes the convergence of the heat diffusion coefficient in the mode-coupling analysis. In the sense that the long-range interactions truncate the massless behavior of systems, this mechanism of the recovery of Fourier’s law may be similar to the Anderson Higgs mechanisms of the superconductivity caused by electromagnetism [10]. Indeed, the recovery of Fourier’s law is reported in some systems of long range interactions by a quite recent report [4]. This is analogous to the result in this paper that the emergence of the long-range interaction in the semi-macroscopic scale causes the recovery of Fourier’s law, although such long-range interaction can emerge in semi-macroscopic scales even when the long-range interaction is not assumed in microscopic scales.

Such competition between reversible restoring forces and dissipative forces in the momentum transportations unavoidably occurs in \( d = 1 \) because the pressure must fluctuate following the change in the thermodynamic quantities such as the internal energy; this is true both in one-dimensional solids and fluids, because both share the same governing equations. On the other hand, in two-dimensional systems, shear motions have no restoring forces in the fluids while the solids have. Hence the recovery of Fourier’s law will be the special features of the solids following the elastic order in the two-dimensional cases. This will consistently explain the relation between the two-dimensional incompressible fluids showing the anomaly [1] and one dimensional fluids with pressure showing the recovery [18], while these were apparently conflicting in the previous studies; the existence of the pressure is not the sufficient condition to yield the recovery of Fourier’s law in \( d \neq 1 \), where the elastic shear response is needed to inhibit the anomalous heat conduction.

The recovery of Fourier’s law can be quite universal features of low-dimensional solids, yet the results shown in this paper may contain the oversimplification. Indeed, while the analytical results of this paper can explain the recovery of Fourier’s law and the related change in the anomalous detected [18], it cannot explain the sustained anomalous heat conduction in FPU-β lattices under zero pressure conditions detected in [18] as well. Probably, when the flow of the dynamic renormalization-group is explicitly obtained for the fluctuating elastodynamic equations without any approximations, the phase diagram in the thermodynamic limit will be obtained so as to separate the low acoustic-wave-speed regime of the anomalous heat conduction [2] and the high acoustic-wave-speed regime of the normal heat conduction [18].

From the results of this paper, the critical acoustic-wave-speed is estimated at 0, which is too small to explain the numerical results [18]; in the previous semi-macroscopic theories, such a critical value is supposed not to be exist, that is estimated at \( \infty \). The true value will be obtained from more careful consideration.

I emphasize for the numerical detection of the crossover from \( \alpha = 1/3 \) to 0 as in Fig. 2 that this scaling crossover is distinct from the apparent recovery of Fourier’s law occurring in the intermediate of the ballistic regime \( \alpha = 1 \) and the anomalous transport \( \alpha = 1/3 \) [22]. Such apparent convergence is also captured in the renormalization group (Fig. 1 right panel) at quite high angular frequencies, \( \omega \sim \omega_0 \); the apparent convergent value is then interpreted as the bare parameter \( \kappa_0 \) of the heat conduction.
The scaling crossover shown in this paper occurs at the larger sizes than those indicating the anomalous transport of $\alpha = 1/3$, as shown in Fig. 2.

Previous works [12, 31] claimed the existence of the nontrivial fixed point showing the anomalous heat conduction in one-dimensional systems by using the full form of the fluctuating hydro/elastodynamic equations. However, they [12, 31] consistently assumed the hyper-scaling between the kinetic viscosity and heat conductivity. The mechanism of the recovery of Fourier’s law studied in this paper is the breakdown of such a hyper-scaling, so that the analysis shown in this paper is not conflicting with their results. The study of [29], obtaining the asymptotic autocorrelation functions of the currents in the fluctuating hydrodynamic equations, also assumed the scaling of $z = 3/2$ of the anomalous heat conduction when obtaining the asymptotic correlation functions. As shown earlier, however, the leading order of the macroscopic motions changes from $z = 3/2$ to $z = 1$ when the normal heat conduction occurs in the way predicted by this study. Indeed, the numerical analysis of the FPU-$\beta$ lattices by [18] observed the scaling crossover from $z = 3/2$ to $z = 1$ in the current autocorrelation functions as the volumetric strain (and pressure) increases to satisfactory values resulting in the recovery of Fourier’s law. Therefore, the results shown in this paper is also not conflicting with the study of [29] and settles the discrepancy between the theory of [29] and the numerics in [18].

Recently, the recovery of Fourier’s law has been reported in two-dimensional simulations [42] while it is considered to show the logarithmic divergence of the heat conductivity [1, 43]. The mechanism of the recovery of Fourier’s law may be classified as done in one-dimensional cases [18]. It is noteworthy that the semi-macroscopic theories proposed in this paper is distinct from that for the thermally activated dissipations [18, 27], the theory of which is shown and tested in [18].

Experimental verifications of anomalous transports in low-dimensional systems have been now rapidly progressed by using carbon-nanotubes and graphene sheets [4, 7]. The analysis of this paper clarified the significance of the elastic (shear and volumetric) resistance, and thus these solid systems are expected to show the saturation of the anomaly. It would be intriguing to experimentally capture such a recovery of Fourier’s law occurring at the larger sizes that size ranges of the anomalous transport, as predicted by the analysis of this paper (Eq. (57)).

V. CONCLUSION

To clarify the possibly existing mechanism of the recovery of Fourier’s law in momentum conserving systems reported in previous molecular dynamic simulations, the mode-coupling and dynamic renormalization-group analyses are executed in some simplified model cases of the fluctuating elastodynamic equations. One model case is the incompressible cases widely accepted to show the divergence of the transportation coefficients if the medium is fluid. The other is the Burgers equation added small pressure which is also known to show the anomalous transportation as long as the pressure is negligible. Theoretical predictions on the system size to begin the recovery of Fourier’s law are quantitatively examined by the numerical experiments of the previous studies, and the numerical data were collapsed to the predicted master curve. The results consistently suggested that the imposed reversible elastic forces can truncate the divergence of the heat conduction so that Fourier’s law can recover in low-dimensional solids even under the situations of the momentum conservation.
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Appendix A: Outlines of Renormalization Techniques

The renormalization techniques are shown below. The observed (renormalized) transportation coefficients are evaluated in the given sizes/wavelengths after the elimination of thermal noises of the shorter wavelengths, by using the renormalization techniques on the governing equations.

Note that the exponent of the size dependence of transportation coefficients is intrinsically determined by the cutoff time-scales, not by the cutoff length-scales \[ \text{12} \]. However, our interest is concerning the rougher problem whether the observed coefficients of large scales remain finite or not. This difference on the time-scale and length-scale is not important for our purpose.

As in the previous works \[ \text{11} \], the energy density and velocity (or the momentum density) are separated at a wavelength $\Delta e^{-l}$ into longer wavelength variables $(v^> , \delta e^> ) := (v, \delta e) / (\Delta e^{-l} - k)$ and the shorter wavelength ones $(v^< , \delta e^< ) := (v - v^< , \delta e - \delta e^< )$. A limit $l \to 0$ corresponds to the thermodynamic limit. The contributions from the shorter side to the longer side is evaluated in the perturbative way made of two procedures. One is the expansions of the shorter wavelength variables $(v^< , \delta e^< )$ about the formal nonlinear intensity factor $\lambda$. The other is the substitution of it to the longer side, written as $(v^> , \delta e^> ) = \sum_n \lambda^n (v^{(n)} , \delta e^{(n)} )$. Since the longer wavelength variables $(v^< , \delta e^< )$ are not approximated, the expansion of $(v^> , \delta e^> )$ is substantially determining $(v^> , \delta e^> )$ as a function of $(v^< , \delta e^< )$. Substitution of such longer-wavelength-driven short-wavelength variables is thus intuitively meant for extracting the interactions between the longer-wavelength variables via the short-wavelength variables.

Note that the perturbed equation in the long wavelength $(v^< , \delta e^< ) = \sum_n \lambda^n (v^{(n)} , \delta e^{(n)} )$ takes the same form as the expanded shorter side one, except for the Heaviside functions distinguishing the shorter side from the longer side;

\[
\begin{align}
(v^{(n)} , \delta e^{(n)} ) &= ((v^{(n)} )^> , (\delta e^{(n)} )^> ) \\
(v^{< (n)} , \delta e^{< (n)} ) &= ((v^{< (n)} )^< , (\delta e^{< (n)} )^< )
\end{align}
\]

(A1)

(A2)

where $(v^{(n)} , \delta e^{(n)} )$ represents the $n$-th order of $(v , \delta e) = \sum_n \lambda^n (v^{(n)} , \delta e^{(n)} )$ at the arbitrary wavenumber scales after the shorter wavelength side is expanded about $\lambda$.

The explicit forms of the expanded coefficients are recursively determined from the lower order sides. The expansions up to the second order is given as

\[
\begin{align}
v^{(0)}_a &= Gf_a \\
v^{(1)}_a &= -iG P_{abc}[(v^{<0}_b + v^{<0}_c) * (v^{>1}_b + v^{>1}_c)] \\
v^{(2)}_a &= -2iG P_{abc}[(v^{>0}_b + v^{>0}_c) * v^{(1)}_c]
\end{align}
\]

(A3)

(A4)

(A5)
\[ \delta e^{(0)} = gf' \]
\[ \delta e^{(1)} = -igk_e \{(v_a^< + v_a^{> (0)}) \}
\]
\[ \times [\delta e^< + \delta e^{> (0)} + \rho_0(\delta p^< + \delta p^{> (0)})] \}
\[ \delta e^{(2)} = -igk_e \{(v_a^< + v_a^{> (0)}) \}
\]
\[ \times [\delta e^{> (1)} + \rho_0\delta p^{> (1)} + v_a^{> (1)} \}
\]
\[ \times [\delta e^< + \delta e^{> (0)} + \rho_0(\delta p^< + \delta p^{> (0)})]) \}
\]

The zeroth order reflects the original dynamics driven by thermal noises and the first order includes two parts: original dynamics of streaming terms and the noise terms in the shorter wavelength sides renormalized into the thermal fluctuations of the longer wavelength sides. The second order yields the renormalization into transportation coefficients and enthalpy fluctuations. The third order corresponds to the renormalization into the nonlinearity, but is known to be canceled out [1][2][3].

Each renormalization is executed by the absorption of the finite perturbations came from the elimination of the short wavelength thermal fluctuations into the corresponding terms. Such elimination is expressed by the noise average in the short wavelength, denoted by \( \langle \rangle \). The perturbation order is formally given as \( O(\lambda^{2n}) \), \( n = 1, 2, ... \). Note that the variables in the long wavelength is treated without any approximations through this renormalization process.

The scope of this study is to evaluate the renormalization into transportation coefficients, which is explicitly shown below. The lowest renormalization into transportation coefficients comes from \( v^{(2)} \), \( e^{(2)} \) [A5], [A8] as
\[ \langle v_a^{< (2)} \rangle = -4GP_{ab}(\langle \sigma^{> P_{bc}} \rangle \langle G^{> P_{de}} \rangle \rangle \]
\[ + O(v^{< 3}) \]
\[ \langle \delta e^{< (2)} \rangle = \sigma \langle (v_a^{< (2)}) \]
\[ + O(\rho_0\delta p^<) \}
\[ + v_a^{> (1)} \}
\[ + \rho_0(\delta p^< + \delta p^{> (0)}) \]
\[ = |G|^2k^2. \]

To obtain Eq. [A10], the following relations are useful; \( \langle v^{(0)} \rangle \}
\[ = O((v^<)^2) \}
\[ \text{and} \]
\[ \langle (\delta e^{(1)}) \rangle = O((v^<)^2, v^<\delta e^<) \}
\[ \text{Cubic or higher-order terms not included in the original equation is simply neglected to evaluate the renormalized transportation coefficients;} \]
\[ \text{in the dynamic renormalization-group technique, the coordinates are rescaled so that those terms are negligibly small. Although } O(\rho_0\delta p^<) \text{ terms are renormalized into the pressure fluctuation term of the energy current, I omit to show the explicit form of it because it is out of the scope in this study.} \]

1. Mode-Coupling Analysis

The lowest order perturbation is calculated in the mode-coupling analysis. The lowest order renormalization to the transportation coefficients, shown in the paper, are contributed from the convolutions in [A9] and [A10]. These are explicitly calculated below.

First, the renormalization into the kinetic viscosity contained in [A9] is calculated. The renormalization in [A9] includes the following convolution;
\[ (\sigma^{> P_{bc}}) \}
\[ * (G^{> P_{de}}) \]
\[ = \int \Lambda_{>q^{>}} \}
\[ \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \}
\[ |G|^2(q^+, \Omega) \}
\[ \Omega(-q^-, \omega, \Omega) \]
\[ = i \sum \text{Res}[|G|^2(q^+, \Omega) \}
\[ \Omega(-q^-, \omega, \Omega), \]
\[ \Omega = \frac{iv_0(q^+)^2}{2} \}
\[ + \sqrt{v^+},) \]
\[ = (2v_0(q^+)^2)^{-1} \]
\[ = (2v_0q^2)^{-1} \]
\[ \times \left[ 1 + \frac{\omega + \partial_a\sqrt{\cdot}k + \omega - \partial_a\sqrt{\cdot}k}{iv_0q^2} \}
\[ + O(\omega^2, k^2) \]
\[ \frac{iv_0(q^+)^2/2 + \sqrt{v^+}}{2} \]
\[ \frac{\pm2\sqrt{v^+}}{2} \]
\[ \times \left[ 1 + \frac{\partial_a\sqrt{\cdot}k + \partial_a\sqrt{\cdot}k + iv_0q^2}{2v^2} \}
\[ + O(k^2, \omega k) \]
\[ (A12) \]
\[ (A13) \]
\[ (A14) \]
\[ (A15) \]
\[ (A16) \]
\[ (A17) \]

Finally, after [A17] is substituted to [A12], the rotational symmetry of the integration area cancels the terms of \( O(1, \omega, k^2) \) out, and the following is obtained;
\[ (\sigma^{> P_{bc}}) \}
\[ * (G^{> P_{de}}) \]
\[ = \int \Lambda_{>q^{>}} \}
\[ \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \}
\[ |G|^2(q^+, \Omega) \}
\[ \Omega(-q^-, \omega, \Omega) \]
\[ = \int \Lambda_{>q^{>}} \}
\[ \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \}
\[ \Omega(-q^-, \omega, \Omega) \]
\[ (A18) \]

This result is the same as that of the incompressible fluids [1]. Therefore, the renormalization into the kinetic viscosity in the incompressible solids (Eq. [15]) is unchanged from that in the incompressible fluids, analytically obtained in [1]. After the directional integration of
Eq. (A18), by substituting it to Eq. (A9) and renormalizing the finite perturbations into the kinetic viscosity, the previous work [1] obtained the following:

\[
\nu_R/\nu_0 = 1 + \frac{\lambda^2 \sum_0 d^2 - 2}{2
\nu_0^2} d^2 + 2d (2\pi)^d/2 \Gamma(d/2)
\times \int_{\Delta e^{-}}^{\Lambda} dq q^{d-3} + O(\lambda^4, k, \omega)
\rightarrow \infty \quad (d \leq 2, l \rightarrow \infty). \quad (A19)
\]

where \( R \) represents the index of renormalized values of the corresponding coefficients. This result holds in the case of Eq. (A15), because Eq. (A18) is obtained both in the case of the incompressible fluids [1] and the incompressible solids (Eq. (A15) studied in this paper.

The renormalization into the heat diffusion coefficient corresponds to the \( \delta e \)-proportional terms in (A10). The coefficient is evaluated as

\[
[(\sigma^> P_{ab}) * (g^> k_b)] = \int_{\Delta q > \Lambda} dq q^2 P_{ab}(q^+)(-q^-) F' \quad (A20)
\]

\[
F' := \int d\Omega (\Omega^2 P_{ab}(q^+)) q^- \quad (A21)
\]

\[
= i \text{Res} [\int d\Omega (\Omega^2 P_{ab}(q^+)) q^-] \quad (A22)
\]

The calculation for the heat diffusion coefficient is then continued in a similar way to that renormalizing the kinetic viscosity. A relation \( P_{ab}(q^+)(-q^-) = P_{ab}(q^+) k_b \) is useful for the expansion. By using

\[
F' = (2\nu_0(q^+)^2)^{-1} \sum_{\pm} \frac{\sqrt{\pm i\nu_0 q^{d/2}}}{\nu_0 q^{d/2} \pm i\nu_0 q^2 + D_0 q^2}
\]

\[+ O(\omega, k), \quad (A23)\]

it is shown that

\[
[(\sigma^> P_{ab}) * (g^> k_b)] = k_b \int_{\Delta q > \Lambda} dq q^2 \sum_0 P_{ab}(q^+) \frac{1}{2\nu_0} \frac{D_0}{D_0(\nu_0 + D_0) q^2 + Y_0}
\]

\[+ O(\omega, k^2)] \quad (A24) \]

with

\[
\Delta D := \frac{\lambda^2 \sum_0 D_0 (d - 1)}{2(2\sqrt{\pi})^d \Gamma(d/2 + 1) \nu_0}
\times \int_{\Delta e^{-}}^{\Lambda} dq q^{d-1} \frac{D_0}{D_0(\nu_0 + D_0) q^2 + Y_0} + O(\omega, k) \quad (A25)
\]

After the substitution of Eq. (A24) into \( \delta e^{(2)} \) given by Eq. (A10), \( \delta e^< = \sum_n \lambda^n (\delta e^n)^< \) is explicitly rewritten as

\[
(g^{-1} + \Delta D k^2) \delta e^< \approx g^{-1} (\delta e^{(0)} + \lambda \delta e^{(1)})
\]

\[+ O(\delta p^<)), \quad (A26)\]

where \( \approx \) represents the approximate equality neglecting the cubic or higher order terms and \( \delta e^{(2)} - \langle \delta e^{(2)} \rangle \) which is capable of contributing to the variance of the random heat current on the order of \( O(\lambda^4) \). Renormalizing the finite perturbation in the left hand side of Eq. (A20) into the heat diffusion coefficient \( D \) as \( D_0 \rightarrow D_R = D_0 + \Delta D + \ldots \), the heat diffusion coefficient is evaluated at

\[
D_R = D_0 + \Delta D + O(\lambda^4). \quad (A27)
\]

2. Dynamic Renormalization-Group Analysis

The flow of the dynamic renormalization-group is constructed of the iteration of two processes: the renormalization of a quite thin spherical shell of wavenumber and the rescaling of the base equations [1].

In the renormalization procedures, the result of the mode-coupling analysis is reused. While the expansion based on the loop order (one-loop, two-loops, so on) is regarded as the expansion concerning \( \lambda^2 \) in the mode-coupling analysis, it is regarded as the expansion concerning the eliminated shell thickness \( \Lambda \) in each renormalization procedure. Then \( n \)-th loop order contribution is on the order of \( O((\Lambda l)^n) \). Therefore, when the limit \( l \rightarrow 0 \) is taken in each renormalization procedure to obtain the derivative form of the flow of the dynamic renormalization-group, one loop solution (that is the mode-coupling solution) provides the exact value of the renormalization contributed from the short wavelength.

Although this expansion looks to be limited in \( l \ll 1 \), the same is valid after rescaling all the quantities after the renormalized equations are rescaled to the original equations (at least as long as any divergence is contained in the flow). Iteratively renormalizing the thin contributions (of \( \Lambda l \ll \Lambda \) and rescaling the renormalized equations, the flow of the renormalization determined by the one-loop solutions provides the exact path of the renormalizations where \( l \) cumulatively amounts to \( l \gg 1 \).

The renormalization into the noise term renormalization is not shown in the text, but is the same as the renormalization to the transportation coefficient, due to FDR (shown in the Appendix [B]). Although the renormalization into the parameter \( \Sigma \) parametrizing the variance of random stress (defined in Eq. (20)) is slightly complicated, it eventually takes the same form as in the incompressible cases [1].

Appendix B: Evaluation of Renormalized Noise Terms

Both in Eqs. (26) and (27), the lowest order contributions to the renormalized \( \Sigma \) is proportional to the following term:

\[
F'' := \int d\Omega \frac{(\Omega^2 P_{ab}(q^+, \omega/2 + \Omega))(\Omega^2 P_{ab}(q^-, \omega/2 - \Omega))}{\nu_0 q^2 + D_0 q^2 + Y_0}
\]

\[+ O(\omega, k^2)] \quad (A28)
\]
\[ \sum_{\pm \pm \pm = \pm 1} \text{Res} |G|^2 (q^+, \omega/2 + \Omega) |G|^2 (q^-, \omega/2 - \Omega), \]
\[ \Omega = \mp \frac{\omega}{2} \pm \frac{i \nu_0}{2} (q^{\pm}|^2 \pm \sqrt{(q^{\pm})^2}) \].
\[ \text{(B1)} \]

Such proportionality to \( F'' \) is exemplified by the renormalized noise of \[ \text{(15)}, \] evaluated as
\[ \hat{f}_{Ra} = \hat{f} + \lambda \delta v \]
\[ + O\left( \lambda^2 \right), \]
\[ \text{(B2)} \]
where the lowest order comes from \( v^{< (1)} \) given by Eq. \[ \text{(A4)}. \] The difference of the renormalized variance of \( \hat{f}_{Ra} \) from the original variance \( \hat{f} \)
then proportional to
\[ \left( P_{ce} \sigma > \right) \left( P_{df} \sigma > \right) = \int_{\Lambda > q^+ > \Lambda e^{-1}} dq \]
\[ \times \frac{D_0^2}{(2 \pi)^{2d+1}} (q^+ q^-)^2 P_{ce} (q^+) P_{df} (q^-) F'' \].
\[ \text{(B3)} \]

As in this example, the same holds in Eq. \[ \text{(27)}. \]

The previous work \[ [18] \] made a mistake on the evaluation of this term Eq. \[ \text{(B1)} \] and constructed the wrong flow of the renormalization group. After accurate calculations, by using
\[ G^*(q^{\pm}, \frac{i \nu_0}{2} (q^{\pm})^2 \pm \sqrt{(q^{\pm})^2}) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2 \nu_0 q^2} \left( 1 \mp 2 \frac{q \cdot k}{q^2} \right) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2 \nu_0 q^2} \left( 1 \mp 2 \frac{\partial q \sqrt{k}}{i \nu_0 q^2} \pm 2 \frac{\omega + i \nu_0 q \cdot k \pm \partial q \sqrt{k}}{i \nu_0 q^2 \pm 2} \right) \]
\[ + O(\omega^2, k^2, \omega k) \] \[ \text{(B4)} \]

\[ G^*(q^{\mp}, \mp 2 \omega - \frac{i \nu_0}{2} (q^{\mp})^2 \pm \sqrt{(q^{\mp})^2}) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2 \nu_0 q^2} \left( 1 \mp 2 \frac{\omega \pm \partial q \sqrt{k}}{i \nu_0 q^2} \pm 2 \frac{\omega + i \nu_0 q \cdot k \mp \partial q \sqrt{k}}{i \nu_0 q^2 \pm 2} \right) \]
\[ + O(\omega^2, k^2, \omega k) \] \[ \text{(B5)} \]

\[ F'' \] is evaluated as
\[ F'' = 1/(4 \nu_0^3 q^6) + O(\omega, k). \] \[ \text{(B8)} \]

This means the random shear stress does not changed when \( Y_0 \) is imposed to the incompressible hydrodynamic equations or noisy Burgers equations, as in Eqs. \[ \text{(26)} \] and \[ \text{(27)} \] studied in this paper.