

f -Divergence Inequalities via Functional Domination

Igal Sason

Andrew and Erna Viterbi Faculty of Electrical Engineering
Technion-Israel Institute of Technology
Haifa 32000, Israel
E-mail: sason@ee.technion.ac.il

Sergio Verdú

Department of Electrical Engineering
Princeton University
New Jersey 08544, USA
E-mail: verdu@princeton.edu

Abstract—This paper considers derivation of f -divergence inequalities via the approach of functional domination. Bounds on an f -divergence based on one or several other f -divergences are introduced, dealing with pairs of probability measures defined on arbitrary alphabets. In addition, a variety of bounds are shown to hold under boundedness assumptions on the relative information.¹

Index Terms – f -divergence, relative entropy, relative information, reverse Pinsker inequalities, reverse Samson's inequality, total variation distance, χ^2 divergence.

I. BASIC DEFINITIONS

We assume throughout that the probability measures P and Q are defined on a common measurable space $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$, and $P \ll Q$ denotes that P is *absolutely continuous* with respect to Q .

Definition 1: If $P \ll Q$, the *relative information* provided by $a \in \mathcal{A}$ according to (P, Q) is given by²

$$i_{P\|Q}(a) \triangleq \log \frac{dP}{dQ}(a). \quad (1)$$

Introduced by Ali-Silvey [1] and Csiszár ([4]), a useful generalization of the relative entropy, which retains some of its major properties (and, in particular, the data processing inequality), is the class of f -divergences. A general definition of f -divergence is given in [14, p. 4398], specialized next to the case where $P \ll Q$.

Definition 2: Let $f: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function, and suppose that $P \ll Q$. The f -divergence from P to Q is given by

$$D_f(P\|Q) = \int f\left(\frac{dP}{dQ}\right) dQ = \mathbb{E}[f(Z)] \quad (2)$$

with

$$Z = \exp(i_{P\|Q}(Y)), \quad Y \sim Q. \quad (3)$$

¹This work has been supported by the Israeli Science Foundation (ISF) under Grant 12/12, by NSF Grant CCF-1016625, by the Center for Science of Information, an NSF Science and Technology Center under Grant CCF-0939370, and by ARO under MURI Grant W911NF-15-1-0479.

² $\frac{dP}{dQ}$ denotes the Radon-Nikodym derivative (or density) of P with respect to Q . Logarithms have an arbitrary common base, and the exponent indicates the inverse function of the logarithm with that base.

In (2), we take the continuous extension³

$$f(0) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} f(t) \in (-\infty, +\infty]. \quad (4)$$

If p and q denote, respectively, the densities of P and Q with respect to a σ -finite measure μ (i.e., $p = \frac{dP}{d\mu}$, $q = \frac{dQ}{d\mu}$), then we can write (2) as

$$D_f(P\|Q) = \int q f\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) d\mu. \quad (5)$$

Remark 1: Different functions may lead to the same f -divergence for all (P, Q) : if for an arbitrary $b \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$f_b(t) = f_0(t) + b(t - 1), \quad t \geq 0 \quad (6)$$

then

$$D_{f_0}(P\|Q) = D_{f_b}(P\|Q). \quad (7)$$

Relative entropy is $D_r(P\|Q)$ where r is given by

$$r(t) = t \log t + (1 - t) \log e, \quad (8)$$

and the total variation distance $|P - Q|$ and χ^2 divergence $\chi^2(P\|Q)$ are f -divergences with $f(t) = (t - 1)^2$ and $f(t) = |t - 1|$, respectively.

The following key property of f -divergences follows from Jensen's inequality.

Proposition 1: If $f: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex and $f(1) = 0$, $P \ll Q$, then

$$D_f(P\|Q) \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

If, furthermore, f is strictly convex at $t = 1$, then equality in (9) holds if and only if $P = Q$.

The reader is referred to [19] for a survey on general properties of f -divergences, and also to the textbook by Liese and Vajda [13].

The numerical optimization of an f -divergence subject to simultaneous constraints on f_i -divergences ($i = 1, \dots, L$) was recently studied in [12], which showed that for that purpose it is enough to restrict attention to alphabets of cardinality $L + 2$.

The full paper version of our work, which includes several approaches for the derivation of f -divergence inequalities, is available in [17].

³The convexity of $f: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ implies its continuity on $(0, \infty)$.

II. FUNCTIONAL DOMINATION

Let f and g be convex functions on $(0, \infty)$ with $f(1) = g(1) = 0$, and let P and Q be probability measures defined on a measurable space $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$. If, for $\alpha > 0$, $f(t) \leq \alpha g(t)$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$ then, it follows from Definition 2 that

$$D_f(P\|Q) \leq \alpha D_g(P\|Q). \quad (10)$$

This simple observation leads to a proof of several inequalities with the aid of Remark 1.

A. Basic Tool

We start this section by proving a general result, which will be helpful in proving various tight bounds among f -divergences.

Theorem 1: Let $P \ll Q$, and assume

- f is convex on $(0, \infty)$ with $f(1) = 0$;
- g is convex on $(0, \infty)$ with $g(1) = 0$;
- $g(t) > 0$ for all $t \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$.

Denote the function $\kappa: (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{f(t)}{g(t)}, \quad t \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty) \quad (11)$$

and

$$\bar{\kappa} = \sup_{t \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)} \kappa(t). \quad (12)$$

Then,

a)

$$D_f(P\|Q) \leq \bar{\kappa} D_g(P\|Q). \quad (13)$$

b) If, in addition, $f'(1) = g'(1) = 0$, then

$$\sup_{P \neq Q} \frac{D_f(P\|Q)}{D_g(P\|Q)} = \bar{\kappa}. \quad (14)$$

Proof: See [17, Theorem 1]. ■

Remark 2: Beyond the restrictions in Theorem 1a), the only operative restriction imposed by Theorem 1b) is the differentiability of the functions f and g at $t = 1$. Indeed, we can invoke Remark 1 and add $f'(1)(1 - t)$ to $f(t)$, without changing D_f (and likewise with g) and thereby satisfying the condition in Theorem 1b); the stationary point at 1 must be a minimum of both f and g because of the assumed convexity, which implies their non-negativity on $(0, \infty)$.

Remark 3: It is useful to generalize Theorem 1b) by dropping the assumption on the existence of the derivatives at 1. As it is explained in [17], it is enough to require that the left derivatives of f and g at 1 be equal to 0. Analogously, if $\bar{\kappa} = \sup_{0 < t < 1} \kappa(t)$, it is enough to require that the right derivatives of f and g at 1 be equal to 0.

B. Relationships Among $D(P\|Q)$, $\chi^2(P\|Q)$ and $|P - Q|$

Theorem 2:

a) If $P \ll Q$ and $c_1, c_2 \geq 0$, then

$$D(P\|Q) \leq (c_1 |P - Q| + c_2 \chi^2(P\|Q)) \log e \quad (15)$$

holds if $(c_1, c_2) = (0, 1)$ and $(c_1, c_2) = (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$. Furthermore, if $c_1 = 0$ then $c_2 = 1$ is optimal, and if $c_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ then $c_1 = \frac{1}{4}$ is optimal.

b) If $P \ll\ll Q$ and $P \neq Q$, then

$$\frac{D(P\|Q) + D(Q\|P)}{\chi^2(P\|Q) + \chi^2(Q\|P)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \log e \quad (16)$$

and the constant in the right side of (16) is the best possible.

Proof: See [17, Theorem 2]. ■

Remark 4: Inequality (15) strengthens the bound in [9, (2.8)],

$$D(P\|Q) \leq \frac{1}{2} (|P - Q| + \chi^2(P\|Q)) \log e. \quad (17)$$

Note that the short outline of the suggested proof in [9, p. 710] leads not (17) but to the weaker upper bound $|P - Q| + \frac{1}{2} \chi^2(P\|Q)$ nats.

C. An Alternative Proof of Samson's Inequality

For the purpose of this sub-section, we introduce *Marton's divergence* [15]:

$$d_2^2(P, Q) = \min \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{P}^2[X \neq Y | Y]] \quad (18)$$

where the minimum is over all probability measures P_{XY} with respective marginals $P_X = P$ and $P_Y = Q$. From [15, pp. 558–559]

$$d_2^2(P, Q) = D_s(P\|Q) \quad (19)$$

with

$$s(t) = (t - 1)^2 \mathbf{1}\{t < 1\}. \quad (20)$$

Note that Marton's divergence satisfies the triangle inequality [15, Lemma 3.1], and $d_2(P, Q) = 0$ implies $P = Q$; however, due to its asymmetry, it is not a distance measure.

An analog of Pinsker's inequality, which comes in handy for the proof of Marton's conditional transportation inequality [3, Lemma 8.4], is the following bound due to Samson [16, Lemma 2]:

Theorem 3: If $P \ll Q$, then

$$d_2^2(P, Q) + d_2^2(Q, P) \leq \frac{2}{\log e} D(P\|Q). \quad (21)$$

In [17, Section 3.D], we provide an alternative proof of Theorem 3, in view of Theorem 1b), with the following advantages:

a) This proof yields the optimality of the constant in (21), i.e., we prove that

$$\sup_{P \neq Q} \frac{d_2^2(P, Q) + d_2^2(Q, P)}{D(P\|Q)} = \frac{2}{\log e} \quad (22)$$

where the supremum is over all probability measures P, Q such that $P \neq Q$ and $P \ll Q$.

- b) A simple adaptation of this proof results in a reverse inequality to (21), which holds under the boundedness assumption of the relative information (see Section III-D).

D. Ratio of f -Divergence to Total Variation Distance

Let $f: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function with $f(1) = 0$, and let $f^*: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$f^*(t) = t f\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \quad (23)$$

for all $t > 0$. Note that f^* is also convex, $f^*(1) = 0$, and $D_f(P\|Q) = D_{f^*}(Q\|P)$ if $P \ll Q$. By definition, we take

$$f^*(0) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} f^*(t) = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u}. \quad (24)$$

Vajda [18, Theorem 2] showed that the range of an f -divergence is given by

$$0 \leq D_f(P\|Q) \leq f(0) + f^*(0) \quad (25)$$

where every value in this range is attainable by a suitable pair of probability measures $P \ll Q$. Recalling Remark 1, note that $f_b(0) + f_b^*(0) = f(0) + f^*(0)$ with $f_b(\cdot)$ defined in (6). Basu *et al.* [2, Lemma 11.1] strengthened (25), showing that

$$D_f(P\|Q) \leq \frac{1}{2} (f(0) + f^*(0)) |P - Q|. \quad (26)$$

If $f(0)$ and $f^*(0)$ are finite, (26) yields a counterpart to a result by Csiszár (see [6, Theorem 3.1]) which implies that if $f: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a strictly convex function, then there exists a real-valued function ψ_f such that $\lim_{x \downarrow 0} \psi_f(x) = 0$, and

$$|P - Q| \leq \psi_f(D_f(P\|Q)). \quad (27)$$

Next, we demonstrate that the constant in (26) cannot be improved.

Theorem 4: If $f: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex with $f(1) = 0$, then

$$\sup_{P \neq Q} \frac{D_f(P\|Q)}{|P - Q|} = \frac{1}{2} (f(0) + f^*(0)) \quad (28)$$

where the supremum is over all probability measures P, Q such that $P \ll Q$ and $P \neq Q$.

Proof: See [17, Theorem 5]. ■

Remark 5: Csiszár [5, Theorem 2] showed that if $f(0)$ and $f^*(0)$ are finite and $P \ll Q$, then there exists a constant $C_f > 0$ which depends only on f such that $D_f(P\|Q) \leq C_f \sqrt{|P - Q|}$. Note that, if $|P - Q| < 1$, then this inequality is superseded by (26) where the constant is not only explicit but is the best possible according to Theorem 4.

A direct application of Theorem 4 yields

Corollary 1:

$$\sup_{P \neq Q} \frac{d_2^2(P, Q)}{|P - Q|} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (29)$$

$$\sup_{P \neq Q} \frac{d_2^2(P, Q) + d_2^2(Q, P)}{|P - Q|} = 1 \quad (30)$$

where the supremum in (29) is over all $P \ll Q$ with $P \neq Q$, and the supremum in (30) is over all $P \ll Q$ with $P \neq Q$.

Proof: See [17, Corollary 1]. ■

Remark 6: The results in (29) and (30) form counterparts of (22).

III. BOUNDED RELATIVE INFORMATION

In this section we show that it is possible to find bounds among f -divergences without requiring a strong condition of functional domination (see Section II) as long as the relative information is upper and/or lower bounded almost surely.

A. Definition of β_1 and β_2 .

The following notation is used throughout the rest of the paper. Given a pair of probability measures (P, Q) on the same measurable space, denote $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0, 1]$ by

$$\beta_1 = \exp(-D_\infty(P\|Q)), \quad (31)$$

$$\beta_2 = \exp(-D_\infty(Q\|P)) \quad (32)$$

with the convention that if $D_\infty(P\|Q) = \infty$, then $\beta_1 = 0$, and if $D_\infty(Q\|P) = \infty$, then $\beta_2 = 0$. Note that if $\beta_1 > 0$, then $P \ll Q$, while $\beta_2 > 0$ implies $Q \ll P$. Furthermore, if $P \ll Q$, then with $Y \sim Q$,

$$\beta_1 = \text{ess inf} \frac{dQ}{dP}(Y) = \left(\text{ess sup} \frac{dP}{dQ}(Y) \right)^{-1}, \quad (33)$$

$$\beta_2 = \text{ess inf} \frac{dP}{dQ}(Y) = \left(\text{ess sup} \frac{dQ}{dP}(Y) \right)^{-1}. \quad (34)$$

The following example illustrates an important case in which β_1 and β_2 are positive.

Example 1: (Shifted Laplace distributions.) Let P and Q be the probability measures whose probability density functions are, respectively, given by $f_\lambda(\cdot - a_0)$ and $f_\lambda(\cdot - a_1)$ with

$$f_\lambda(x) = \frac{\lambda}{2} \exp(-\lambda|x|), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \quad (35)$$

where $\lambda > 0$. In this case, (35) yields

$$\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \exp(-\lambda|a_1 - a_0|) \in (0, 1]. \quad (36)$$

B. Basic Tool

Since $\beta_1 = 1 \Leftrightarrow \beta_2 = 1 \Leftrightarrow P = Q$, it is advisable to avoid trivialities by excluding that case.

Theorem 5: Let f and g satisfy the assumptions in Theorem 1, and assume that $(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in [0, 1)^2$. Then,

$$D_f(P\|Q) \leq \kappa^* D_g(P\|Q) \quad (37)$$

where

$$\kappa^* = \sup_{\beta \in (\beta_2, 1) \cup (1, \beta_1^{-1})} \kappa(\beta) \quad (38)$$

and $\kappa(\cdot)$ is defined in (11).

Proof: See [17, Theorem 5]. ■

Note that if $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$, then Theorem 5 does not improve upon Theorem 1a).

Remark 7: In the application of Theorem 5, it is often convenient to make use of the freedom afforded by Remark 1 and choose the corresponding offsets such that:

- the positivity property of g required by Theorem 5 is satisfied;
- the lowest κ^* is obtained.

Remark 8: Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1b), under the conditions therein, one can verify that the constants in Theorem 5 are the best possible among all probability measures P, Q with given $(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in [0, 1]^2$.

Remark 9: Note that if we swap the assumptions on f and g in Theorem 5, the same result translates into

$$\inf_{\beta \in (\beta_2, 1) \cup (1, \beta_1^{-1})} \kappa(\beta) \cdot D_g(P\|Q) \leq D_f(P\|Q). \quad (39)$$

Furthermore, provided both f and g are positive (except at $t = 1$) and κ is monotonically increasing, Theorem 5 and (39) result in

$$\kappa(\beta_2) D_g(P\|Q) \leq D_f(P\|Q) \quad (40)$$

$$\leq \kappa(\beta_1^{-1}) D_g(P\|Q). \quad (41)$$

In this case, if $\beta_1 > 0$, sometimes it is convenient to replace $\beta_1 > 0$ with $\beta'_1 \in (0, \beta_1)$ at the expense of loosening the bound. A similar observation applies to β_2 .

Example 2: If $f(t) = (t - 1)^2$ and $g(t) = |t - 1|$, we get

$$\chi^2(P\|Q) \leq \max\{\beta_1^{-1} - 1, 1 - \beta_2\} |P - Q|. \quad (42)$$

C. Bounds on $\frac{D(P\|Q)}{D(Q\|P)}$

The remaining part of this section is devoted to various applications of Theorem 5. From this point, we make use of the definition of $r: (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ in (8).

An illustrative application of Theorem 5 gives upper and lower bounds on the ratio of relative entropies.

Theorem 6: Let $P \ll Q$, $P \neq Q$, and $(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in (0, 1)^2$. Let $\kappa: (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be defined as

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{t \log t + (1 - t) \log e}{(t - 1) \log e - \log t}. \quad (43)$$

Then,

$$\kappa(\beta_2) \leq \frac{D(P\|Q)}{D(Q\|P)} \leq \kappa(\beta_1^{-1}). \quad (44)$$

Proof: See [17, Theorem 6]. ■

D. Reverse Samson's Inequality

The next result gives a counterpart to Samson's inequality (21).

Theorem 7: Let $(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in (0, 1)^2$. Then,

$$\inf \frac{d_2^2(P, Q) + d_2^2(Q, P)}{D(P\|Q)} = \min\{\kappa(\beta_1^{-1}), \kappa(\beta_2)\} \quad (45)$$

where the infimum is over all $P \ll Q$ with given (β_1, β_2) , and where $\kappa: (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \frac{2}{\log e})$ is given by

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{(t - 1)^2}{r(t) \max\{1, t\}}, \quad t \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty). \quad (46)$$

Proof: See [17, Theorem 7]. ■

E. Local Behavior of f -Divergences

Another application of Theorem 5 shows that the local behavior of f -divergences differs by only a constant, provided that the first distribution approaches the reference measure in a certain strong sense.

Theorem 8: Suppose that $\{P_n\}$, a sequence of probability measures defined on a measurable space $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$, converges to Q (another probability measure on the same space) in the sense that, for $Y \sim Q$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ess sup} \frac{dP_n}{dQ}(Y) = 1 \quad (47)$$

where it is assumed that $P_n \ll Q$ for all sufficiently large n . If f and g are convex on $(0, \infty)$ and they are positive except at $t = 1$ (where they are 0), then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_f(P_n\|Q) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_g(P_n\|Q) = 0, \quad (48)$$

and

$$\min\{\kappa(1^-), \kappa(1^+)\} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D_f(P_n\|Q)}{D_g(P_n\|Q)} \leq \max\{\kappa(1^-), \kappa(1^+)\} \quad (49)$$

where we have indicated the left and right limits of the function $\kappa(\cdot)$, defined in (11), at 1 by $\kappa(1^-)$ and $\kappa(1^+)$, respectively.

Proof: See [17, Theorem 9]. ■

Corollary 2: Let $\{P_n \ll Q\}$ converge to Q in the sense of (47). Then, $D(P_n\|Q)$ and $D(Q\|P_n)$ vanish as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D(P_n\|Q)}{D(Q\|P_n)} = 1. \quad (50)$$

Corollary 3: Let $\{P_n \ll Q\}$ converge to Q in the sense of (47). Then, $\chi^2(P_n\|Q)$ and $D(P_n\|Q)$ vanish as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D(P_n\|Q)}{\chi^2(P_n\|Q)} = \frac{1}{2} \log e. \quad (51)$$

Note that (51) is known in the finite alphabet case [7, Theorem 4.1]).

F. Strengthened Jensen's inequality

Bounding away from zero a certain density between two probability measures enables the following strengthened version of Jensen's inequality, which generalizes a result in [11, Theorem 1].

Lemma 1: Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function, $P_1 \ll P_0$ be probability measures defined on a measurable space $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$, and fix an arbitrary random transformation $P_{Z|X}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Denote⁴ $P_0 \rightarrow P_{Z|X} \rightarrow P_{Z_0}$, and $P_1 \rightarrow P_{Z|X} \rightarrow P_{Z_1}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta (\mathbb{E}[f(\mathbb{E}[Z_0|X_0])] - f(\mathbb{E}[Z_0])) \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}[f(\mathbb{E}[Z_1|X_1])] - f(\mathbb{E}[Z_1]) \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

⁴We follow the notation in [20] where $P_0 \rightarrow P_{Z|X} \rightarrow P_{Z_0}$ means that the marginal probability measures of the joint distribution $P_0 P_{Z|X}$ are P_0 and P_{Z_0} .

where $X_0 \sim P_0$, $X_1 \sim P_1$, and

$$\beta \triangleq \text{ess inf } \frac{dP_1}{dP_0}(X_0). \quad (53)$$

Proof: See [17, Lemma 1]. ■

Remark 10: Letting $Z = X$, and choosing P_0 so that $\beta = 0$ (e.g., P_1 is a restriction of P_0 to an event of P_0 -probability less than 1), (52) becomes Jensen's inequality $f(\mathbb{E}[X_1]) \leq \mathbb{E}[f(X_1)]$.

Lemma 1 finds the following application to the derivation of f -divergence inequalities.

Theorem 9: Let $f: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function with $f(1) = 0$. Fix $P \ll Q$ on the same space with $(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in [0, 1]^2$ and let $X \sim P$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_2 D_f(P\|Q) &\leq \mathbb{E}[f(\exp(\iota_{P\|Q}(X)))] - f(1 + \chi^2(P\|Q)) \\ &\leq \beta_1^{-1} D_f(P\|Q). \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Specializing Theorem 9 to the convex function on $(0, \infty)$ where $f(t) = -\log t$ sharpens the inequality

$$D(P\|Q) \leq \log(1 + \chi^2(P\|Q)) \quad (55)$$

$$\leq \chi^2(P\|Q) \log e. \quad (56)$$

under the assumption of bounded relative information.

Theorem 10: Fix $P \ll Q$ such that $(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in (0, 1)^2$. Then,

$$\beta_2 D(Q\|P) \leq \log(1 + \chi^2(P\|Q)) - D(P\|Q) \quad (57)$$

$$\leq \beta_1^{-1} D(Q\|P). \quad (58)$$

IV. REVERSE PINSKER INEQUALITIES

It is not possible to lower bound $|P - Q|$ solely in terms of $D(P\|Q)$ since for an arbitrary small $\epsilon > 0$ and an arbitrary large $\lambda > 0$, we can construct examples with $|P - Q| < \epsilon$ and $\lambda < D(P\|Q) < \infty$. As in Section III, the following result involves the bounds on the relative information.

Theorem 11: If $\beta_1 \in (0, 1)$ and $\beta_2 \in [0, 1)$, then,

$$D(P\|Q) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\varphi(\beta_1^{-1}) - \varphi(\beta_2)) |P - Q| \quad (59)$$

where $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is given by

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t = 0 \\ \frac{t \log t}{t-1} & t \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty) \\ \log e & t = 1. \end{cases} \quad (60)$$

Proof: See [17, Theorem 23]. ■

Remark 11: Note that for Theorem 11 to give a nontrivial result, it is necessary that the relative information be upper bounded, namely $\beta_1 > 0$. However, we still get a nontrivial bound if $\beta_2 = 0$.

In the following, we assume that P and Q are probability measures defined on a common finite set \mathcal{A} , and Q is strictly positive on \mathcal{A} with $|\mathcal{A}| \geq 2$.

Theorem 12: Let $Q_{\min} = \min_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(a)$, then

$$D(P\|Q) \leq \log \left(1 + \frac{|P - Q|^2}{2Q_{\min}} \right). \quad (61)$$

Furthermore, if $Q \ll P$ and β_2 is defined as in (32), then the following tightened bound holds:

$$D(P\|Q) \leq \log \left(1 + \frac{|P - Q|^2}{2Q_{\min}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \beta_2 |P - Q|^2 \log e.$$

Proof: See [17, Theorem 25]. ■

Remark 12: The result in Theorem 12 improves the inequality by Csiszár and Talata [8, p. 1012]:

$$D(P\|Q) \leq \left(\frac{\log e}{Q_{\min}} \right) \cdot |P - Q|^2. \quad (62)$$

For further reverse Pinsker Inequalities and some of their implications, see [17, Section 6].

REFERENCES

- [1] S. M. Ali and S. D. Silvey, "A general class of coefficients of divergence of one distribution from another," *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, series B*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 131–142, 1966.
- [2] A. Basu, H. Shioya and C. Park, "Statistical Inference: The Minimum Distance Approach," *Chapman & Hall/ CRC Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability*, vol. 120, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, USA, 2011.
- [3] S. Boucheron, G. Lugosi, and P. Massart, *Concentration Inequalities: A Nonasymptotic Theory of Independence*, Oxford University Press, 2013.
- [4] I. Csiszár, "Eine Informationstheoretische Ungleichung und ihre Anwendung auf den Beweis der Ergodizität von Markhoffschen Ketten," *Publ. Math. Inst. Hungar. Acad. Sci.*, vol. 8, pp. 85–108, January 1963.
- [5] I. Csiszár, "On topological properties of f -divergences," *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica*, vol. 2, pp. 329–339, 1967.
- [6] I. Csiszár, "A class of measures of informativity of observation channels," *Periodica Mathematicarum Hungarica*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 191–23, March 1972.
- [7] I. Csiszár and P. C. Shields, "Information Theory and Statistics: A Tutorial", *Foundations and Trends in Communications and Information Theory*, vol. 1, no. 4, 2004.
- [8] I. Csiszár and Z. Talata, "Context tree estimation for not necessarily finite memory processes, via BIC and MDL," *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 1007–1016, March 2006.
- [9] P. Diaconis and L. Saloff-Coste, "Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for finite Markov chains," *Annals of Applied Probability*, vol. 6, pp. 695–750, 1996.
- [10] S. S. Dragomir, "Upper and lower bounds for Csiszár f -divergence in terms of the Kullback-Leibler distance and applications," *Inequalities for Csiszár f -Divergence in Information Theory*, RGMIA Monographs, 2000.
- [11] S. S. Dragomir, "Bounds for the normalized Jensen functional," *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, vol. 74, no. 3, pp. 471–478, 2006.
- [12] A. Guntuboyina, S. Saha and G. Schiebinger, "Sharp inequalities for f -divergences," *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 104–121, Jan. 2014.
- [13] F. Liese and I. Vajda, *Convex Statistical Distances*, Teubner-Texte Zur Mathematik, vol. 95, Germany, 1987.
- [14] F. Liese and I. Vajda, "On divergences and informations in statistics and information theory," *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 52, no. 10, pp. 4394–4412, October 2006.
- [15] K. Marton, "A measure concentration inequality for contracting Markov chains," *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, vol. 6, pp. 556–571, 1996.
- [16] P. M. Samson, "Concentration of measure inequalities for Markov chains and ϕ -mixing processes," *Annals of Probability*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 416–461, January 2000.
- [17] I. Sason and S. Verdú, " f -divergence inequalities," to appear in the *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 62, no. 11, November 2016. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.00335>.
- [18] I. Vajda, "On f -divergence and singularity of probability measures," *Periodica Mathematica Hungarica*, vol. 2, no. 1–4, pp. 223–234, 1972.
- [19] I. Vajda, "On metric divergences of probability measures," *Kybernetika*, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 885–900, 2009.
- [20] S. Verdú, *Information Theory*, in preparation.