

Autonomous Learning Framework Based on Online Hybrid Classifier for Multi-view Object Detection in Video

Dapeng Luo^{a*} Zhipeng Zeng^a Longsheng Wei^b Yongwen Liu^a Chen Luo^c Jun Chen^b Nong Sang^d

^a*School of Electronic Information and Mechanics, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, Hubei 430074, China*

^b*School of Automation, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, Hubei 430074, China*

^c*Huizhou School Affiliated to Beijing Normal University, Huizhou 516002, China*

^d*National Key Laboratory of Science and Technology on Multispectral Information Processing, School of Automation, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, 430074, China*

Abstract

One object class may show large variations due to diverse illuminations, backgrounds and camera viewpoints. Traditional single-view object detection methods often perform worse under unconstrained video environments. To explore the problem, many modern multi-view detection approaches model complex 3D appearance representations to predict the optimal viewing angle for detection. Most of them require an intensive training process on large database which is collected in advance. In this paper, our proposed framework takes a remarkably different direction to resolve multi-view detection problem in a bottom-up fashion. Firstly, a state of the art scene-specific objector is obtained from a fully autonomous learning process triggered by marking several bounding boxes around the object in the first video frame via a mouse, where the human labeled training data or a generic detector are not needed. Secondly, this learning process is conveniently replicated many times in different surveillance scenes and result in a particular detector under various camera viewpoints. Thus, the proposed framework can be employed in multi-view object detection application with unsupervised manner. Obviously, the initial scene-specific detector, initialed by several bounding boxes, exhibits poor detection performance and difficult to improve by traditional online learning algorithm. Consequently, in our self-learning process, we propose to partition detection responses space by online hybrid classifiers and assign each partition

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 13006316717; fax: +86 027 67883283.
E-mail address: luodapeng@cug.edu.cn.

individual classifier that achieves the high classification accuracy progressively. A novel online gradual learning algorithm is proposed to train the hybrid classifiers automatically and focus online learning on the hard samples, the most informative samples lying around the decision boundary. The output is a hybrid classifier based scene-specific detector which achieves decent performance under different viewing angles. Experimental results on several video datasets show that our approach achieves comparable performance to robust supervised methods and outperforms the state of the art online learning methods in varying imaging conditions.

Keywords: Multi-view object detection, unsupervised learning, hybrid classifiers, online learning, Boosting fern, iterative SVM;

1. Introduction

With the development of intelligent transportation systems, vehicle and pedestrian detection approaches have garnered profound interest from engineers and scholars in the last several years. Many impressive works [1-7] have been published in the last several years. However, object detection remains a considerably difficult issue in highly populated public places such as airports, train stations and urban arterial roads which have distributed multiple surveillance cameras with different viewpoints, illuminations and backgrounds under cluttered environments. One object class may show large inter-class variations under these different imaging conditions. A substantial amount of training data need to be collected and labeled to model one object category detector based on statistic learning. Otherwise, the detector, which is trained in constrained video environments, will get poor performance under distinct environmental conditions. How to robustly and stably locate object in scenes with arbitrary video environments from unsupervised learning is still an open issue.

Multi-view object detection methods can be used to locate the object in various view-point, which minimize the influence of fluctuations of imaging conditions. However, these approaches increase the discriminability of detectors through relatively complex additional stages which involve view-invariant features [8]-[11], pose estimator [12]-[15] or the 3D object model [16-21] that make them computationally expensive. These top-down approaches will result in considerable runtime complexity.

Transfer learning methods [22], [23] are an alternative strategy to learn different view-point scene detector from pre-training model. These methods can be used to reduce the efforts involved in

collecting samples and retraining in response to such variation in appearance. However, negative transfer often occurs when transfer very different scenes [24]. It will significant influence the performance of target scene detector and limit the applicant of the transfer learning.

Let's focus on one surveillance camera which is used in one specific scenario. It is native strategy to train specific object detector from human collected and labelled training sample set to the scenario since each individual has limited variant poses in one scene. But it is unreasonable to train scene-specific detector for every scenario considering the tedious human efforts and time costs, except that the training process is fully-autonomous. That means we must find a method to learn a scene-specific object detector without any human intervention and extended to other scenes, making sure that every scenes have their own detector and achieve the state-of-the-art detection performance under different imaging conditions and viewpoints. It is a feasible and efficient approach to resolve the multi-view object detection problem, only if the learning process of each scene-specific detector is completely automatic and adaptive to the object-appearance changes aroused by the viewing angles and distances. Though the idea sounds attractive, this task is challenging because it is very difficult to construct object model without prior knowledge, and there is also a lack of effective algorithm to online collect and label training samples automatically for training the detector on the fly.

In essence, some studies on online-learning object detection methods have been proposed and most of them adopt a similar framework including an online learning detector and a validation strategy. However, these methods are not completely unsupervised learning methods while only minimize the manually efforts since that they are always initialed by some human labeled training samples. Otherwise, these approaches employ co-training [25],[26], background subtraction [27], generative model [28],[29] and tracking-by-detection [30],[31] as the validation strategies to collect and label the online learning samples automatically, which are far from being competitive with supervised methods because of the high label error in hard samples, distributed around the decision hyperplane, compared to the human label in supervised training process.

Our focus of this paper is to design an unsupervised object detection framework which can train object class detector in each particular scenario without human intervention. Instead of manually labeled several hundred initial training samples in tradition online object detection methods, our state of the art scene-specific detector is obtained by just marking several bounding boxes around the object in the first video frame via a mouse. This approach substantially reduces human annotation effort to a necessary mouse operation within the first frame, which “tell” our system the interested object category in current surveillance video. Except that, any human labeled samples or general object detectors are not needed. There are two processes in our framework:


In autonomous learning process, firstly, an initial sample set generated automatically by affine warping of these bounding-boxes. Secondly, our proposed online selector fern classifier, trained by the initial sample set, runs as an initial detector on subsequence frames. Obviously, the initial detector has poor detection performance due to the incomplete initial training sample set. Thirdly, for such case, we propose online gradual learning strategy to iteratively train a multiple classifier system [32]. When satisfy the convergence condition, the learning process will stop and result in a hybrid classifier composed of an online dual-boundary fern classifier and an unsupervised iterative SVM model.

During object detection process, the two classifiers work together to determine the location of real object. The online dual-boundary Boosting fern classifier was first used to detect object based on sliding window strategy, and the detection regions with fern classifier score located between positive and negative boundaries will be further recognized by the SVM model. Except that, the detection regions, with fern classifier score above positive boundary will be considered as real objects and the rest are backgrounds.


Our method, triggered by several bounding boxes, is fully unsupervised without human effort on manually labelling, which is easily extended to other scenes and form scene-specific objector in various surveillance cameras with different viewing distances and angles. Thus, this is a bottom-up method to resolve the multi-view object detection problem.

Moreover, both the use of unsupervised SVM model and online gradually learning strategy makes

our method robust in tackling the most informative samples lying at the decision boundary and achieve state of the art detection performance under different viewing angles, as shown in Fig.1. By self-learning within three hours, our method achieves decent performance in three different viewpoints sequences of CAVIAR [33] and PETS2009 [34] datasets. Moreover, we obtain competitive detection performance in S2 sequences of PETS2009 dataset, compared to the Aggregated Channel Features (ACF) [6], one of the most robust supervised object detection approach trained by human labelled 300 positive samples and 900 negative samples in the same viewpoint. To our best knowledge, this is the first time to propose learning scene-specific object class detector without any manual help compared to the convenient online object detection methods.



(d) Experiments in multi-view video sequences



(e) Comparative experiment

Fig. 1. (a) Shop sequence from CAVIAR dataset; (b) Walk sequence from CAVIAR dataset; (c) S2 sequence from PETS2009 dataset (d) Experiments in multi-view video sequences; (e) Comparison with ACF [6].

The main contributions of this paper are:

1) We present a bottom-up method to resolve multi-view object detection problem by unsupervised training an online hybrid classifiers in various view-point surveillance videos, and achieve the state of the art detection performance automatically.

2) We present an online gradual learning strategy which allows the online learning detector, initialed by marking several bounding boxes around the object with poor detection performance, successively improving classification accuracy and becoming more dedicated to those challenging samples lying near the decision boundary.

3) We present online Boosting fern classifier and unsupervised iteration SVM which employ different type of features and integrated to form hybrid classifier to improve the discriminability of online learning object classifiers while being efficient at run-time.

The rest of this paper is arranged as follow: Section 2 briefly recalls related works. Next, in Section 3, the overview of our approach is provided. Online Boosting fern is described in section 4, unsupervised iterative SVM is explained in section 5, and section 6 shows the online gradual learning process. Experiments and results are presented in section 7, which is followed by conclusion.

2. Literature review

Object class detection is arguably the core component in most computer vision tasks, and it has achieved prominent success for single-view object detection and supervised learning based object detection approaches such as [1]-[7]. Here, we focus on the multi-view object detection and online learning object detection.

2.1 Multi-view object detection methods

Conventional object detection methods locating objects by considering single view cannot be employed in multi-view object detection since objects have wide variations in their poses, colors and shapes under multi-view imaging conditions. Thus, a common idea is to model object classes by collecting distinct views forming a bank of viewpoint-dependent detectors which can be used to predict the optimal viewing angle for detection.

In early stage, most multi-view detection approaches apply several single-view detector independent and then combine their responses via some arbitrary logic. Some impressive works [35]-[38] have been reported in the domain of face detection, dealing with multiple viewpoints (frontal, semi-frontal and profile).

After that, Thomas et.al. [19] no longer rely on single-view detectors working independently, but develop a single integrated multi-view detector that accumulates evidence from different training views. Several other approaches, such as [20],[21], build complex 3D part models containing connections between neighboring parts and overlapping viewpoints which achieve remarkable results in predicting a discrete set of object poses. But they usually treat the discrete views independently [39]-[42] and require evaluating a large number of view based detectors, resulting in considerable runtime complexity

Recently, Pepik et.al [43] proposed 3D deformable part models which extend deformable part model to include viewpoint information and part-level 3D geometry information. This method establish 3D object parts represented and synthesize appearance models for viewpoints of arbitrary granularity on the fly, resulting in significant speed-up. Xu et.al. [44] proposed to accomplish multi-view learning with incomplete views by exploiting the connections between multiple views, enabling the incomplete views to be restored with the help of the complete views.

With respect to all the aforementioned approaches, our framework takes a markedly different direction: we establish scene-specific detector for each scenario in unsupervised manner, so as to prevent from integrating complex models and labeling substantial training samples in different viewpoints. This makes it feasible and applicant to resolve multi-view object detection problem in bottom-up fashion.

2.2 Online learning object detection framework

This paper proposes to address multi-view object detection problem by bottom-up online object detection frameworks. In essence, similar frameworks have been published in recent years. However, it is difficult to use these approaches in multi-view object detection domain due to the following two major reasons:

First, traditional online learning object detection methods are inseparable of manual efforts. For instance, most of the online learning detectors are initialed by human labelled training samples. The detection performances are usually degraded when minimize the number of manual labelled instances. Some works

[29], [45] are proposed to specify a well-trained generic object detector to a specific scene. However, these works are particularly valuable in constrained applicant conditions. Recently, semi-supervised learning [46], [47], transfer learning [22], [23] and weak-supervised learning [48]-[51] are employed to reduce the amount of labeled training data for object detector. But, how to minimize human effort in online object detection system, is still a hot research topic.

[52], [53] proposed to initial online learning classifier by giving a bounding box in the first video frame and online training the classifier to track a single object. These approaches can be employed to online training a tracker for a specific single object cropped in the first frame, while in our case, an multiple object detector is online training after an unsupervised learning process, which is very different with the online learning tracker.

Second, the new samples, which are used to train the detector online, need to be collected and labeled automatically. However, how to correctly label the new training samples is still a challenging topic. And it has a huge impact on the detector's online training process. To date, various automatic annotation methods have been reported and can be broadly divided into four categories: 1) co-training based, 2) background subtraction based, 3) generative model based, and 4) tracking based.

In co-training based approaches [25], [26], two classifiers are trained simultaneously and labeled for each other. In background subtracted approaches [27], the foreground detector, based on background model, is employed as an automatic labeler. Generative model based methods [28], [29] use reconstructed model error to validate the detection responses and training the detector by a feedback process. Tracking based approaches [30], [31] collect and label online training samples by using a tracking by detection methods which can interpolate missed object instances and false alarms as positive and negative samples respective.

However, the aforementioned methods have no special strategy to deal with the problematic samples located around decision boundary, the most informative and ambiguous part of feature space describing the objects, especially for clutter environment. Our methods employ online gradual learning and unsupervised iterative SVM to hierarchically process the detection responses which make online learning focus on the hard samples and reduce the online labeling error.

Except for the above mentioned approaches, there exist some methods [54], [55] detect unknown object class from motion segmentation. Although these methods learn foreground model in arbitrary scenarios without any a priori assumption, they are very different from our methods, which address one object category detection problem since that these methods can not recognize the detected responses due to using the cluster based global optimization procedure.

Our method initialize a scene-specific detector by several bounding boxes, and eventually realize state of the art multiple object detection system without human label effort. Online gradually learning strategy, which is proposed in this paper, is the key point that ensure our framework can be improved from a poor detection performance initial detector (see detail in section 6). Otherwise, the output of our framework is a hybrid classifiers which is very different from the other online learning object detection framework. In this way, our framework opens up the possibility to integrate several different classifier work together to determine the location of one object class in video from online learning.

3. Overview of our method




Fig. 2. Approach overview

The overview of our method is shown in Fig.2. In online learning procedure, initial training sample set is prepared by affine warping of the several selected patches in the first surveillance video frame. An online Boosting fern classifier is trained as initial detector. Obviously, the detector has poor detection performance due to the incomplete training sample set. Thus, we propose the gradual online learning strategy to training a hybrid classifier, consisted of a dual-boundary fern classifier and an iterative SVM, in fully-autonomous miner, as follow steps:

- Firstly, we define positive and negative decision boundaries in online Boosting fern classifier, called online dual-boundary Boosting fern classifier. The detected responses are then collected as positive, negative and hard samples respectively. To ensure the high label correct rate, the fern classifier has large initial margin setting between the two boundaries.
- Secondly, an unsupervised iterative SVM algorithm is proposed to online learning and label the hard samples.
- Thirdly, the labelled hard samples are used to online training the online dual-boundary Boosting fern classifier and minimize the margin between positive and negative boundaries gradually based on active learning theory [56], [57].

This process is repeated till convergence. The output is a hybrid classifiers, composed of a dual-boundary online Boosting fern classifier and an unsupervised iterative SVM.

In detection process, the scanning window strategy is employed. The most image patches are classified by online boosting fern classifier and only a small fraction of them located between the dual boundaries, collected as hard samples, are classified by unsupervised iterative SVM, which makes our approach robust while being efficient at run-time.

Next section, we will firstly introduce the Boosting fern classifier and its online learning algorithm realized by online selection operator. The unsupervised iterative SVM will be presented followed in section 5. After that, online dual-boundary Boosting fern classifier and online gradual learning strategy is shown in section 6.

4. Online Boosting fern

Traditional fern classifier is widely used in object tracking field [58], due to the time efficient and high

performance in tracking affine transformation planar objects. [59]-[61] extended fern classifier methods to detect objects that may appear in the image under different orientations and view point. Motivated by [60], we propose online Boosting fern algorithm integrated fern classifier and online feature selection strategy. Fern classifiers play the role of weak classifier and can be boosted into a strong model by online selection operators

4.1 Boosting fern

Let $S^m = (f^m, C^m)$ $m=1,2,\dots,M$ denote training samples, an image patch set, and their labels, where M is the number of samples, $C = \{c_+, c_-\}$ is the sample labels, f is a N -dimension feature vector which is used to describe the samples:

$$f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_N) \quad (1)$$

A discriminative classifier can be compute by $P(C / f)$. A generative model, on the other hand, concentrates on capturing the generation process of f by modelling $P(f / C)$. As we know, generative models are much harder to learn than discriminative models, especially in multi-view object detection problem in which f may be collected from different sample distributions. However, in this paper, we focus on learning video-specific detector. The individual scene object generative model may be constructed by learning enough samples captured in current scenario, which is the assumption of our method. Thus, given the prior $P(C)$, assuming uniform prior probabilities, one can always derive discriminative classifiers from generative models based on Bayes rule:

$$P(C = c_k | f_1, f_2, \dots, f_N) = \frac{P(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_N | C = c_k)P(C = c_k)}{P(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_N)} \quad c_k \in C \quad (2)$$

The $P(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_N)$ is called normalized constant which stay common for all the classes. So:

$$class(f) \equiv \arg \max_k P(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_N | C = c_k) \quad (3)$$

We employ Local Binary Feature (LBF) [58] to map an image sample to a Boolean feature space by comparison between two random position intensities of a sample. Fern is denoted as a feature sub-space random sampled from the N -dimension feature space. The l th fern can be denoted as:

$$F_l = \{f_{l,1}, f_{l,2}, \dots, f_{l,s}\} \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, L \quad (4)$$

Where s is the number of feature in a fern. For a training sample, this gives an s -digit binary code to describe the sample appearances. In other words, each Fern maps 2D image samples to a 2^s -dimensional feature space, as shown in Fig.3 (a). Accordingly, apply the fern F_l to each labelled training samples and learning the posterior distribution as histogram in each class $P(F_l | c_+)$ and $P(F_l | c_-)$, as shown in Fig. 3(b). A single fern does not give the accurate estimation of the generative model in a specific surveillance scene. But we can build an ensemble of ferns by randomly choosing different subsets of LBF features. Similar to [60], a weak classifier is defined by the co-occurrence of a random Fern F_l 's observation

$$h_l(x) = \frac{P(F_l(x) = k | c_+) + \varepsilon}{P(F_l(x) = k | c_+) + P(F_l(x) = k | c_-) + \varepsilon}, k \in \{2^0, 2^1, \dots, 2^s\} \quad (5)$$

which are further linearly combined to an ensemble classifier. Where ε is a smoothing factor. Thus, the discriminative classifier is estimated approximately:

$$H_{ferm}(x) = \text{sign}\left(\frac{1}{L} \sum_l h_l(x) - th_{ferm}\right) \quad (6)$$

th_{ferm} is the threshold of each weak fern classifier, which is usually set to 0.5. Next section will introduce the weak classifier's selection strategy and online Boosting fern algorithm.




Fig. 3. (a) LBF features; (b) posterior distribution of F_l ; (c) online selector of Boosting fern.

4.2 online Boosted fern

From section 4.1, the fern based weak classifier is more discriminant than single feature based weak classifier because every fern is a group of features in fixed size of s . Moreover, the online learning process of each weak classifier is simplified by updating the post probability of every fern [58]. However, in online learning process, we must find a method to select the most discriminant fern classifier online. Similar to [62], denote a fern based weak classifier set $h_l^{set} = \{h_{F_1}(x), h_{F_2}(x), h_{F_3}(x), \dots, h_{F_M}(x)\}$, and a selector

$$Sel_l(x) = h_{F_m}(x) \quad m \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\} \quad (7)$$

Where m is chosen according to an optimization criterion. In this paper, we use the common criterion of picking the one that minimizes the following Bhattacharyya distance B between the distributions of the class C_+ and background C_- :

$$B_l = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{2^s} \sqrt{p(F_l(x=k)/C_+) p(F_l(x=k)/C_-)} \quad (8)$$

As shown in Fig3(c), a fixed set of N selectors Sel_1, Sel_2 and Sel_n is initialized randomly, each has a fixed set of M fern based weak classifiers. When a new training sample (x, y) arrives the weak classifiers of each selector are updated by changing the post probability distribution of each fern according to the location in a 2^s dimension feature space partitioned by the fern [58]. The weak classifier with the smallest Bhattacharyya distance is selected by the selector. This procedure is repeated for all selectors. A strong classifier is obtained by linear combination of selectors.

$$H_{ferm}(x) = \text{sign}\left(\frac{1}{l} \sum_l Sel_h^l(x) - th_{ferm}\right) \quad (9)$$

We conduct an extensive set of experiments to evaluate the performance of the online selection fern for vehicle detection from learning human labelled online samples, as shown in Fig.5. However, to a self-learning object detection system, the online training samples need to be collected and labelled autonomously. In this paper, we employ unsupervised iterative SVM to label the online training samples and construct the online gradually learning strategy without human annotated training data.

5. Unsupervised iterative SVM

A standard SVM classifier for two-class problem can be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \min \frac{1}{2} \|W\|^2 + c \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i, \text{ subject to,} \\ y_i(W^T x_i + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i, \xi_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, N \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Where $x_i \in \mathbf{R}^n$ is a training sample (feature vector), $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ is the label of x_i . $c > 0$ is a regularization constant. Iterative semi-supervised SVM [63] can be trained by labelled and unlabeled samples simultaneously. We reveal this learning method can be embed in our framework, and evolved into an unsupervised learning algorithm. As shown in Fig.2, the initial training samples, generated by affine warping of the several bounding box in the first frame, can be used as the initial labelled sample set $L_0 = \{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_m, y_m)\}$, and the hard sample play the role of unlabeled sample set $U = \{x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_g\}$ which is collected from the detection responses of online Boosting fern based detector. Thus a semi-supervised SVM can be trained in an unsupervised manner, as followed steps:

Firstly, the initial SVM classifier denoted $H_{svm}^0(x)$, is trained by L_0 , the same initial sample set with online Boosting fern, which ensure the model is correctly initialled. Note, the HOG feature [2] is employed to train $H_{svm}^0(x)$ which is different from the LBF feature used in fern classifier training process. Thus, two different types of features can be integrated in our online learning framework, which is crucial to improve the detection performance in clutter environments [64].

Secondly, we perform the $H_{svm}^0(x)$ on the unlabeled sample set U . The predicted labels are denoted as $Lab_u = \{y_n(0), y_{n+1}(0), \dots, y_g(0)\}$. Moreover, the $H_{svm}^0(x)$ does not only provide the classification results. The distance from the separating hyperplane can also be seen a measurement of classification confidence.

Third, denote th_p and th_n as the positive and negative thresholds according to the SVM classification confidence. The labeled positive sample set can be updated by adding some hard samples with high classification confidence (more than th_p) while, the labeled negative sample set will be updated by adding some hard samples with low classification score (less than th_n). This is a more conservative manner to update the positive and negative sample set comparing to the convenient iterative SVM algorithm. Using the

updated training set L_t , we train a new $H_{svm}^1(x)$, and perform classification again on U . The predicted labels are denoted as $Lab_u = \{y_n(1), y_{n+1}(1), \dots, y_g(1)\}$.

If all the hard example label are unchanged, the algorithm stops after the k th iteration, and the SVM model $H_{svm}^k(x)$ and the predicted labels $Lab_u = \{y_n(k), y_{n+1}(k), \dots, y_g(k)\}$ of the hard sample set are the final output. Otherwise, perform the second and third step for the $(k+1)$ th iteration.

The iterative learning process is triggered by an online sample collected module without human label, which result in an unsupervised iterative SVM, as shown in Fig.2. When the online Boosted Ferns are retrained by the convergent iterative SVM and labeled hard sample set according to a gradual online learning strategy, we obtain a hybrid classifiers, consisted by a $H_{fern}(x)$ and a $H_{svm}(x)$, more dedicated to the problematic samples. As a consequence, the updated classifier puts more emphasis on the most distinctive parts of the object that let to reduce the global classification error.

6. online Gradual learning based on dual-boundary fern classifier

The online gradual learning strategy is the critical factor that a poor performance detector is permitted in the beginning of our online learning process and will be improved with iterative learning the hard samples located close the decision boundary, which is the key idea behind our proposed framework.

Let's H_{fern}^0 denote the initial fern classifier based detector, which is firstly applied to the target video by sliding window search. All detected visual examples $d_i (i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\})$ are collected and divided into positive sample set Set_{pos} , hard sample set Set_{hard} and negative sample set Set_{neg} base on the confident level calculated by the fern classifier.

$$d_i \in \begin{cases} Set_{pos} & \text{if } H_{fern}^0(d_i) > \beta + \theta / 2 \\ Set_{hard} & \text{if } \beta + \theta / 2 > H_{fern}^0(d_i) > \beta - \theta / 2 \\ Set_{neg} & \text{if } H_{fern}^0(d_i) < \beta - \theta / 2 \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

β is the decision hyperplane. $\beta \pm \theta / 2$ are the positive and negative boundaries around β and has large margin in initial stage. Thus, the fern classifier become an online dual-boundary Boosting fern and most detection visual examples locate between the two boundaries, collected as the hard samples with uncertain

labels.

To minimize the risk of misclassification and obtain a robust video-specific detector, we must design a learning process to reduce the margin gradually, called online gradually learning. This procedure similar to an active learning process [56], [57] which reduce gradually the amount of human assistance of annotating hard samples by updating classifier models for the most distinctive parts of the object domain. However, to obtain labels for these samples, the active learner has to ask an oracle (e.g., a human expert) for labels. In our framework, the human expert can be instead by unsupervised iterative SVM classifier which has learned the hard samples in advance (see detail in section 5).

From equation (11), the parameter θ , determining the margin between the dual boundaries, can be minimized by the followed equation:

$$\theta = 1 - \nu \zeta_{fern} \quad (12)$$

where ν is a sensitivity parameter which control the learning speed of dual-boundary fern classifier (set to 0.85 in our experiments). ζ_{fern} measures the performance of the fern classifier which make the margin reduce process adaptive to the classifier learning process. ζ_{fern} can be computed by:

$$\zeta_{fern} = \frac{\sum_{d_i \in Set_{hard}} [(H_{fern}(d_i) - \beta) \cdot \text{sign}(H_{svm}(d_i))]}{\sum_{d_i \in Set_{hard}} |(H_{fern}(d_i) - \beta)|} \quad (13)$$

The overall of the online gradual learning process is shown in Algorithm1.

Algorithm1. Online gradual learning.

Input: The initial training sample set $L_0 = \{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_m, y_m)\}$ generated from the affine warping of several bounding boxes in the first video frame. Denote empty hard sample set $Set_{hard} \in \{\}$. Initialled online Boosting fern classifier $H_{fern}^0(x)$, and some initialled parameters including $\beta = 0.5$, $\theta_0 = 1$ and $\nu = 0.85$

1: training initial SVM model $H_{svm}^0(x)$ from the initial training sample set L_0 .

2: t=0

```

3: while (  $\theta > 0.3$ )
4:   --using  $H_{ferm}^t(x)$  to detect object in video
5:   --collect  $d_i \in Set_{hard}^t$ , and calculated the number of collected hard samples  $N_{hard}$ 
6:   --if (  $N_{hard} > 100$ )
7:      $H_{svm}^{t+1}(x)$  =learning unsupervised iterative SVM (  $H_{svm}^t(x)$ ,  $Set_{hard}^t$ ,  $L_0$  )
8:      $Lab_u = H_{svm}^{t+1}(Set_{hard}^t)$ , label the hard samples set by  $H_{svm}^k(x)$ 
9:      $H_{ferm}^{t+1}(x)$  =learning online Boosting fern (  $H_{ferm}^t(x)$ ,  $Set_{hard}^t$ ,  $Lab_u$  )
10:    classify hard sample by  $H_{ferm}^{t+1}(x)$ 
11:    calculate  $\zeta_{ferm}$  by equation (13)
12:    calculate  $\theta$  by equation (12)
13:     $Set_{hard} \in \{ \}$ , clear the  $Set_{hard}$ 
14:    t = t+1
15:  --end if
16:  --repeat

```

Output:

$H_{hyb}(x)$ composed by a $H_{ferm}(x)$ and a $H_{svm}(x)$.

The output of online gradual learning is a hybrid classifier integrated by a SVM classifier and a fern classifier with dual decision boundaries. When the hybrid classifier is used to detect individual class object in a video, most candidate windows, generated by sliding window strategy, are classified by the Boosting fern classifier. Only a small fraction of them, located between the positive and negative boundary, are dedicatedly classified by the SVM model. This multiple classifiers system exploit the strengths of the individual classifier models by performing first a sample space partitioning and, second, assigning to each partition region an individual classifier that achieves high classification accuracy while being efficient at run-time. Moreover, the online gradual learning process is fully-autonomous and can be extended to other surveillance scenes or object class detection tasks. It is very important to resolve the multi-view object detection problem by combining multiple self-learning view independent detectors.

7. Experiment and comparison

The proposed method was evaluated on multi-view vehicle and pedestrian detection problems, which plays a key role in current intelligent surveillance systems. For vehicle detection task, **GRAM-RTM dataset** [65] and **Vehicle dataset** are used to evaluate our approach. The **Vehicle dataset** is captured and labeled the Ground-Truth (GT) by ourselves. The two datasets shows a real urban road scene with multiple vehicles at the same time and composed of 6 video sequences with different view point and resolution levels. As shown in Fig.4, three sequences: *Hx*, *Yk* and *Hi* are used from these datasets, which have 6415, 1663 and 7520 frames respectively of different resolutions: 1224×1024 , 512×288 and 800×480 . *Hx* has 912 GT instances of the vehicle, whereas *Yk* and *Hi* have 344 and 2089 GT instances of the vehicle respectively. To evaluate the multi-view pedestrian detection performance, four sequences: WalkByShop1front.avi (Shop), OneShopLeave2Enter (Enter), Meet_Crowd.avi (Walk), and S2.L1 View_001.avi (S2) are used from the well-known public **CAVIAR** [33] and **PETS2009** [34] datasets, which have different human appearance due to different imaging view points as shown in Fig.4. The Ground-Truth is available at [33], [34]




Fig. 4. Multi-view video sequences.

In each experiment, we trigger the video-specific object learning algorithm by several bounding boxes in the first frame. Thus, this method can be conveniently extended into each video sequence. In terms of the necessary parameters that define our hybrid classifier, we would like to point that in all the experiments described in the following section, the dual-boundary Boosting fern classifier have 10 selectors with initial margin parameter θ_0 setting to 1. Each selector uses 10 Random fern classifiers with 6 binary local features. When online training unsupervised iterative SVM classifier, HOG feature is employed to describe the samples which are divided into cells of size 16×16 pixels and each group of 8×8 cells is integrated into a block. Otherwise, in online gradually learning process, ν , which controlling the updating speed of online dual-boundary Boosting fern classifier, is set to 0.85.

In traditional object detection methods, the detection performance always appear different levels depending on the scale of detector, which brings so many discommodiousness to set appropriate detection scales in different surveillance videos. But in our framework, we can conveniently ensure the optimal detection scales for every testing video according to the bounding box in the first frame, which describe the accurate object size in surveillance videos. From this scale, we increase 11 different scales and achieve robust detection performance in each testing video.

In experiments, our approach has demonstrated state of the art detection performance in each view-point testing videos from self-training process within 5 hours, learning about 400-1500 samples without any human efforts, as shown in Tab.1.

7.1 Online hybrid classifier structure and parameter test




Fig. 5. Experiments on analyzing our framework structure.

We initially analyze the object detection performance of the proposed framework on sequences Hx from the **Vehicle dataset**, which will reveal the influence of different hybrid classifier strategies and human annotation. As shown in Fig.5, these classifiers were initiated by same initial sample set generated by affine warping of several bounding boxes in the first frame of the sequence Hx but have different online learning process and classifier system. Here, we evaluate five different alternative:

Human fern: the vehicle objects are detected only by online Boosting fern classifier which is online trained by human labeled 850 frames, including 246 positive samples and 500 negative samples collected from the sequence Hx .

Human fern SVM: the detector is a hybrid classifier consisted of online dual-boundary Boosting fern and iterative SVM, which is supervised learning 850 frames, including manually annotated 246 positive samples and 500 negative samples.

Our approach: The detector is a hybrid classifier composed of a dual-boundary fern classifier and iterative SVM classifier. The fern classifier is first used to detect object by sliding window searching strategy and the SVM focus on recognizing the hard samples distribute around the decision boundary. Note that, the hybrid

classifier is obtained by self-learning 850 frames about 248 positive samples and 513 negative sample which are all collected and labeled automatically, some collected samples are shown in Fig.6.



Fig. 6. Online collected training data.

Fern 300: the detector is only online Boosting fern classifier which is supervised trained by 300 frames under human guidance, learning about 160 positive samples and 160 negative samples.

Fern SVM 300: the detector is hybrid classifier which is obtained by human annotated 300 frames about 160 positive samples and 160 negative samples.

The ROC curves are shown in Fig.5. The hybrid classifier based detector outperform single fern classifier. The result clearly demonstrate the ability of our multiple classifier system to handle the most distinctive parts of the object. Otherwise, **Human fern SVM** achieves the best detection performance but our method has comparable performance to the supervised hybrid classifier, which show that our framework can improve detection performance by focus learning on the problematic samples located near decision boundary and has high label correct rate.

7.2 Multi-view Object Detection in Video Sequences

Further test is made to see if the system can self-adjust to the changes of the view point. Our system was applied to video sequence *Yk* and *Hi* from **Vehicle** and **GRAM-RTM datasets** initialed from several bounding boxes. For the *Yk* sequence, the detector self-learning 156 positive samples and 323 negative

samples. For the *Hi* sequence, 244 positive samples and 598 negative samples were collected and labeled automatically to online training the video-specific detector. As shown in Fig.7, the new detector achieves the state of the art performance without any human intervention. After that our framework is used for multi-view pedestrian detection in CAVIAR and PETS2009 dataset. The numbers of online learning samples, self-learning duration and detection speed, different in each video, as shown in Table 1. Thus, the trained scene-specific detector can detect object in real time on a standard PC (Intel Core i5 3.2 GHz with 4 GB RAM). The detection performance is shown in Fig.7. It worth to note that all self-learning processes are fully unsupervised without any prior and constrain which can be easily employed in other surveillance scenes and form a bottom-up multi-view object detection method.

Tab. 1. Discription of online learning process.



sequence	Positive samples	Negative samples	Duration time (s)	Detection speed(FPS)
Yk	156	323	815	19
Hi	244	598	2751	8
Hx	248	513	18090	10
Shop	281	479	1121	13
Walk	410	311	2728	15
S2	504	994	9787	9






Fig. 7. Multi-view vehicle and pedestrian detection experiments.

7.3 Comparison with online Learning Method

In this section, the proposed method is compared with the commonly used Sharma’s adaptive object detection method [31] which has achieved one of the best results among the online learning object detection frameworks. More specifically, the proposed approach is compared with a robust supervised method, named ACF [6]. 300 positive samples and 900 negative samples are collected and labelled manually from S2 sequence to train the ACF classifier. In Hi sequence of Vehicle Dataset, the number of supervised positive and negative training data become 100 and 300 respectively.




(a)Comparative experiment in Hi sequence.




(b) Comparative experiment in S2 sequence

Fig. 8. Comparison with supervised learning method [6].



(a)Comparative experiment in Shop sequence.



(b) Comparative experiment in Enter sequence

Fig. 9. Comparison with online learning method [31].

The ROC curves are shown in Fig.8 and Fig.9, the ACF method outperform the proposed method on *Hi* and *S2* sequence. But our approach outperforms the other online object detection methods and achieves detection performance competitive with the supervised ACF method. We argue that the main reason is that our approach has special strategy to address the hard samples, which is very important to detect object in clutter environments. Some of the detection results are shown in Fig.10.




Fig. 10. Some detection results using our approach in Vehicle, GRAM-RTM, CAVIAR and PETS2009 Datasets. Note that the H_x, Y_k and H_i sequences have high resolutions. Thus, a ROI region of purple box was settled for improving the detection speed. The detection results were shown in the last three rows.

8. Conclusions and Discussions

This paper presents a novel online multi-view object detection framework. In this framework, a hybrid classifier, consisted of online dual-boundary Boosting fern classifier and unsupervised iterative SVM, can be trained by online gradual learning strategy without any human labelled samples. This framework can be easily employed in multiple surveillance scenarios and result a scene-specific detector more dedicated to the problematic samples by hierarchical process the detection responses. As a consequence, the online learning hybrid classifier puts more emphasis on the most distinctive parts of the object that led to reduce the global classification error. This process simulates the autonomous study of human. Experiments results show that our approach achieves high accuracy on multi-view vehicle and pedestrian detection tasks.

In the future, we will integrate our self-learning detector with an online learning tracker to form a video-specific multiple object detection and tracking system, which will increase the performance of the detection and tracking simultaneous in an unsupervised manner.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (61302137, 61603357, 61271328 and 61603354), Wuhan “Huanghe Elite Project”, Fundamental Research Funds for National University, China University of Geosciences (Wuhan) (1610491B06), Experimental technology research project, China University of Geosciences (Wuhan) (SJ-201517).

References

- [1] P. Viola, M. Jones, Rapid object detection using a boosted cascade of simple features, in: Proceedings of the 2001 IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, vol.1, 2001, pp.511–518.
- [2] N. Dalal, B. Triggs, Histograms of oriented gradients for human detection, in: Proceedings of IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR2005, vol.1, 2005, pp.886–893.
- [3] X. Wang, T. X. Han, S. Yan, An HOG-LBP human detector with partial occlusion handling, in: IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, 2009, pp.32–39.
- [4] P. F. Felzenszwalb, R. B. Girshick, D. McAllester, D. Ramanan, Object detection with discriminatively trained part based models, IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.32 (9) (2010)1627–1645.

- [5] J. Gall, A. Yao, N. Razavi, L. VanGool, V. Lempitsky, Hough forests for object detection, tracking, and action recognition, *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* 33 (11) (2011) 2188–2202.
- [6] P. Dollár, R. Appel, S. Belongie and P. Perona, Fast feature pyramids for object detection, *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 36, no. 8, pp. 1532-1545, Aug. 2014.
- [7] P. Sermanet, D. Eigen, X. Zhang, M. Mathieu, R. Fergus, and Y. LeCun, “Overfeat: Integrated recognition, localization and detection using convolutional networks,” presented at the 2nd Int. Conf. Learning Representations, Banff, Canada, 2014.
- [8] A. Torralba, K. P. Murphy, W. T. Freeman, Sharing visual features for multiclass and multiview object detection, *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* 29 (5) (2007) 854–869.
- [9] B. Leibe, A. Leonardis, B. Schiele, Robust object detection with Interleaved categorization and segmentation, *Int. J. Comput. Vis.* 7 (1-3) (2008) 259–289.
- [10] J. R. R. Uijlings, K. E. A. Sande, T. Gevers, A. W. M. Smeulders, Selective search for object recognition, *Int. J. Comput. Vis.* 104(2) (2013) 154–171.
- [11] B. Ko, J. H. Jung and J. Y. Nam, View-independent object detection using shared local features. *Journal of Visual Languages & Computing.* 28 (2015) 56-70.
- [12] M. Jones and P. Viola, Fast multi-view face detection, In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, cvpr2003*.
- [13] B. Wu and R. Nevatia, Cluster Boosted Tree Classifier for Multi-View Multi-Pose Object Detection, in: *IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, 2007*, pp.1-8.
- [14] B. Wu, H. Ai, C. Huang and S. Lao, "Fast Rotation Invariant Multi-View Face Detection Based on Real AdaBoost", *Proc. Sixth Int'l Conf. Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition, 2004*, pp. 79-84.
- [15] X. Zhu and D. Ramanan, "Face Detection Pose Estimation and Landmark Localization in the Wild", *Proc. IEEE Conf. Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2012*, pp. 2879-2886.
- [16] D. Hoiem, C. Rother, and J.M. Winn, 3D LayoutCRF for multi-view Object Class Recognition and Segmentation, in: *Proceedings of IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR2007, 2007*, pp. 1-8.
- [17] Razavi, J. Gall and L. Van Gool, Backprojection Revisited: Scalable Multi-View Object Detection and Similarity Metrics for Detections, in: *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision, ECCV2010, vol.6311, 2010*, pp.620-633.
- [18] E. Seeman, B. Leibe and B. Schiele, Multi-aspect detection of articulated objects, In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR2006, vol.2, 2006*, pp. 1582-1588.
- [19] A. Thomas, V. Ferrari, B. Leibe, T. Tuytelaars, B. Schiele and L. Van Gool, Towards Multi-View Object Class Detection, In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, cvpr2006, vol.2, 2006*, pp.1589-1596.

- [20] D.Q. Zhang and S.F. Chang, A generative-discriminative hybrid method for multi-view object detection, In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, cvpr2006, vol.2, 2006, pp.2017–2024.
- [21] S. Savarese and F.-F. Li, 3D generic object categorization, localization and pose estimation. In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, 2007, pp.1-8.
- [22] W. Li, M. Wang, and X. Wang, Transferring a generic pedestrian detector towards specific scenes, In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, cvpr2012, vol.0, 2012, pp.3274–3281.
- [23] J. Pang, Q. Huang, S. Yan, S. Jiang, and L. Qin, Transferring boosted detectors towards viewpoint and scene adaptiveness, *Trans. Img. Proc.* 20 (5) (2011) 1388–1400.
- [24] M.T. Rosenstein, Z. Marx, L.P. Kaelbling, and T.G. Dietterich, To transfer or not to transfer, In NIPS05 Workshop, Inductive Transfer: 10 Years Later, 2005.
- [25] S. A. O. Javed, M. Shah, Online detection and classification of moving objects using progressively improving detectors, In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2005, pp.696–701.
- [26] Z. Qi, Y. Xu, L. Wang and Y. Song, Online multiple instance boosting for object detection, *Neurocomputing.* 74 (10) (2011) 1769-1775.
- [27] V. Nair, J. Clark, An unsupervised, online learning framework for moving object detection, In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2004, pp.317–324.
- [28] P. Roth, H. Grabner, D. Skocaj, H. Bischof and A. Leonardis, Online Conservative Learning for Person Detection, In IEEE International Workshop on Visual Surveillance and Performance Evaluation of Tracking and Surveillance, 2005, pp.223-230.
- [29] X. Wang, G. Hua and T. Han, Detection by detections: Nonparametric detector adaptation for a video, In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2012, pp. 350-357.
- [30] P. Sharma, C. Huang and R. Nevatia, Unsupervised incremental learning for improved object detection in a video, In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2012, pp. 3298-3305.
- [31] P. Sharma and R. Nevatia, Efficient detector adaptation for object detection in a video, In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2013, pp. 3254-3261.
- [32] M. Woźniak, M. Grana, E. Corchado, A survey of multiple classifier systems as hybrid systems, *Inform. Fusion*, 16 (2014) 3–17.
- [33] <http://groups.inf.ed.ac.uk/vision/CAVIAR/CAVIARDATA1/>.
- [34] J. Ferryman, A. Shahrokni, Pets2009: Dataset and challenge, In: Performance Evaluation of Tracking and Surveillance, International Workshop on, 2009.
- [35] Z. G. Fan and B. L. Lu, Fast Recognition of Multi-view Faces with Feature Selection, In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, ICCV2005, vol. 1, 2005, pp.76-81.

- [36] J. Ng and S. Gong, Multi-view face detection and pose estimation using a composite support vector machine across the view sphere, Proc. Int. Workshop Recognition, Analysis and Tracking of Faces and Gestures in Real Time Systems, 1999.
- [37] M. Weber, W. Einhaeuser, M. Welling and P. Perona, Viewpoint-Invariant Learning and Detection of Human Heads, Proc. 4th Int. Conf. Autom. Face and Gesture Rec., FG Grenoble, France, 2000, pp.20-27.
- [38] S.Z. Li and Z. Zhang, FloatBoost Learning and Statistical Face Detection, IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.26 (9) (2004) 1112-1123.
- [39] M. Stark, M. Goesele, and B. Schiele, Back to the future: Learning shape models from 3D CAD data, in Proc. Brit. Mach. Vision Conf., 2010, pp. 106.1–106.11.
- [40] R. J. Lopez-Sastre, T. Tuytelaars, and S. Savarese, Deformable part models revisited: A performance evaluation for object category pose estimation, in Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Comput. Vision Workshops, 2011, pp. 1052–1059.
- [41] C. Gu and X. Ren, “Discriminative mixture-of-templates for view-point classification,” in Proc. Adv. Neural Inf. Process. Syst. Conf., 2010, pp. 408–421.
- [42] C. M. Christoudias, R. Urtasun and T. Darrell, Unsupervised feature selection via distributed coding for multi-view object recognition, in: Proceedings of IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR2008, vol.1, 2008, pp. 1-8.
- [43] B. Pepik, M. Stark, P. Gehler and B. Schiele, Multi-view and 3d deformable part models, IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 37(11) (2015) 2232-2245.
- [44] C. Xu, D. Tao, C. Xu, Multi-view learning with incomplete views, IEEE Trans. Image Process., 24 (12) (2015), pp. 5812–5825.
- [45] G. Shu, A. Dehghan, M. Shah, Improving an object detector and extracting regions using superpixels, In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, Portland, OR, 2013, pp. 3721–3727.
- [46] A. Levin, P. Viola, Y. Freund, Unsupervised improvement of visual detectors using co-training, In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, Nice, France, cvpr2003, vol. 1, 2003, pp. 626–633.
- [47] Y. Yang, G. Shu, M. Shah, Semi-supervised learning of feature hierarchies for object detection in a video, In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, Portland, OR, 2013, pp. 1650–1657.
- [48] A. Prest, C. Leistner, J. Civera, C. Schmid, V. Ferrari, Learning object class detectors from weakly annotated video, In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, Providence, RI, 2012, pp. 3282–3289.
- [49] R. Cinbis, J. Verbeek, and C. Schmid, Weakly supervised object localization with multi-fold multiple instance learning, IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.2016
- [50] Y. Liu, Y. Wang, A. Sowmya, et al, Soft Hough Forest-ERTs: Generalized Hough Transform based object detection from soft-labelled training data, Pattern Recognition, 60 (2016) 145-156.

- [51] K. k. Singh, F. Xiao, Y. J. Lee, Track and Transfer: Watching Videos to Simulate Strong Human Supervision for Weakly-Supervised Object Detection, In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2016, pp. 3548-3556.
- [52] Z. Kalal, J. Matas, K. Mikolajczyk, P-n learning: Bootstrapping binary classifiers by structural constraints, In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2010, pp. 1–8.
- [53] Z. Kalal, K. Mikolajczyk, J. Matas, Tracking-learning-detection, IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 34 (7) (2012) 1409–1422.
- [54] H. Celik, A. Hanjalic, E. A. Hendriks, Unsupervised and simultaneous training of multiple object detectors from unlabeled surveillance video, Comput. Vision Image Underst. 113 (10) (2009) 1076–1094.
- [55] I. Huerta, M. Pedersoli, J. González, A. Sanfeliu, Combining where and what in change detection for unsupervised foreground learning in surveillance, Pattern Recogn. 48 (3) (2015), pp. 709–719.
- [56] B. Settles, Active learning literature survey, University of Wisconsin, Madison, 52 (2010) 55–66.
- [57] M. Villamizar, A. Garrell, A. Sanfeliu, F. Moreno-Noguer, Interactive multiple object learning with scanty human supervision, Computer Vision and Image Understanding, 149 (2016) 51-64.
- [58] M. Ozuysal, P. Fua, V. Lepetit, Fast keypoint recognition in ten lines of code, In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2007, pp. 1–8.
- [59] M. Villamizar, F. Moreno-Noguer, J. Andrade-Cetto, A. Sanfeliu, Efficient rotation invariant object detection using boosted Random Ferns, in: Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2010, pp. 1038-1045.
- [60] M. Villamizar, J. Andrade-Cetto, A. Sanfeliu, F. Moreno-Noguer, Bootstrapping boosted random ferns for discriminative and efficient object classification, Pattern Recognition, 45 (2012) 3141–3153.
- [61] D. Levi, S. Silberstein, A. Bar-Hillel, Fast multiple-part based object detection using kd-ferns, in: Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, New York, 2013, pp. 947–954.
- [62] H. Grabner, H. Bischof, On-line boosting and vision, in: Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, cvpr2006, vol.1, 2006, pp. 260-267.
- [63] A.R. Shah, C.S. Oehmen, B.J. Webb-Robertson, SVM-HUSTLE—an iterative semi-supervised machine learning approach for pairwise protein remote homology detection, Bioinformatics, 24 (6) (2008), pp. 783–790.
- [64] X. Wang, T. X. Han, and S. Yan, An hog-lbp human detector with partial occlusion handling, In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, 2009, pp. 32-39.
- [65] R. Guerrero-Gomez-Olmedo, R. J. Lopez-Sastre, S. Maldonado-Bascon and A. Fernandez-Caballero, Vehicle tracking by simultaneous detection and viewpoint estimation, In International Work-Conference on the Interplay Between Natural and Artificial Computation. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013, pp. 306-316.

Dapeng Luo received the PHD degree in Institute for Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence Huazhong University of Science and Technology. Currently he is an associate professor with the Department of Electronic Information Engineering, China University of Geosciences, P. R. China. His research interests include image/video processing, computer vision, and machine learning.

Zhipeng Zeng received Bachelor degree in China University of Geosciences, Currently he is a postgraduate student of Electronic Information Engineering, China University of Geosciences. His research interests include computer vision, and machine learning.

Longsheng Wei received the PHD degree in Institute for Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence Huazhong University of Science and Technology. Currently he is a lecturer with School of Automation, China University of Geosciences, P.R. China. His research interests include machine learning, image compression, visual attention, object detection, image segmentation, and remote sensing image interpretation.