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#### Abstract

If a Jordan curve $\sigma$ has a one-sided conformal collar with "good" properties, then, using the Reflection principle, we show that any other conformal collar of $\sigma$ from the same side has the same "good" properties. A particular use of this fact concerns analytic Jordan curves, but in general the Jordan arcs we consider do not have to be analytic. We show that if an one-sided conformal collar bounded by $\sigma$ is of class $A^{p}$, then any other collar bounded by $\sigma$ and from the same side of $\sigma$ is of class $A^{p}$.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, for two conformal mappings $\Phi$ and $F$, we write $F=\Phi \circ\left(\Phi^{-1} \circ F\right)$ whenever this has a meaning, as explained later. Using our assumptions, the function $\Phi^{-1} \circ F$ maps a circular arc $\tau$ on another circular arc. Thus, by Reflection $h=\Phi^{-1} \circ F$ extends to an injective holomorphic function on a neighbourhood $V$ of a part of $\tau$. Thus, $h^{\prime}$ extends continuously on $\tau$ and does not vanish at any point of $\tau$. Since $F=\Phi \circ h$ and $F^{\prime}=\left(\Phi^{\prime} \circ h\right) h^{\prime}$, if $\Phi$ or $\Phi^{\prime}$ has some good properties we can transfer them to $F$ and $F^{\prime}$. The first application of this fact is described below.
Let $J$ be a Jordan curve in $\mathbb{C}$, that is a homeomorphic image of the unit
circle $T$ defined by $\gamma: T \rightarrow J$. The Jordan curve $J$ is called analytic if there is a two sided conformal collar around it. That is, if there exists an annulus $D\left(0, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}: r_{1}<|z|<r_{2}\right\}, 0<r_{1}<1<r_{2}$ and an injective holomorphic mapping $\phi: D\left(0, r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\phi\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\gamma\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ for all $e^{i \theta} \in T, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$. A consequence of the existence of the above two sided conformal collar is that, if $\Omega$ is the Jordan region bounded by $J$ and $\Phi: D \rightarrow \Omega$ is a Riemann mapping from the open disk $D$ onto $\Omega$, then $\Phi$ has a conformal extension on a larger disc $D(0, r)=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<r\}, r>1$. In particular, the function $\Phi$ extends continuously on the unit circle $T$ and the same holds for the derivative $\Phi^{\prime}$ and $\Phi^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right) \neq 0$ for all $e^{i \theta} \in T$.
In the present paper we investigate which conclusions still hold if we do not assume the existence of a two-sided conformal collar around the Jordan curve $J$ but we assume the existence of a one sided conformal collar bounded by $J$.
Thus, we assume the existence of an injective holomorphic function $\phi$ : $D(0, r, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, D(0, r, 1)=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: r<|z|<1\}$ which extends continuously on $\overline{D(0, r, 1)}$ and let us call $\phi$ the extension as well. We also assume that $\phi$ is one to one on $D(0, r, 1) \cup T$ where $T=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|=1\}$ and that $\phi_{\left.\right|_{T}}: T \rightarrow J$ is a homeomorphism. Futhermore,we assume that $\phi^{\prime}$ has a continuous extension on $\overline{D(0, r, 1)}$ and that $\phi^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right) \neq 0$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we show that the Riemann map $\Phi: D \rightarrow \Omega$, onto the Jordan region $\Omega$ bounded by $J$ has similar properties; that is, $\Phi$ and $\Phi^{\prime}$ extend continuously on $\bar{D}$ and $\Phi^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right) \neq 0$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Certainly, the fact that $\Phi$ extends continuously on $\bar{D}$ is a consequence of the Ozgood-Caratheodory theorem [6]. The rest is a consequence of the Reflection Principle ([1]). We also can extend the above fact for every finite number $p+1$ of derivatives, provided that the one-sided conformal collar is of class $A^{p}$. ([3], [5]) Furthermore, instead of considering the unit circle we can replace it by one-sided free boundary arcs ([1])which are analytic arcs and obtain similar results (see Th. 2.2 below)
We also mention that our result relates to the considerations of ([2])

Finally, a second application of the method of the present paper can be found in [7], see proof of Th. 4.2 where it is proven the following. If $\Omega$ is a domain in $\mathbb{C}$, whose boundary contains an analytic arc $J$ and the boundary values of a holomorphic function $f \in H(\Omega)$ define a function in $C^{p}(J)$, then the derivatives $f^{(l)}(z), z \in \Omega, l=0, \ldots, p$ extend continuously on J .

## 2 One sided conformal collar

We start with the following definition.
Definition 2.1. A complex function $\phi(t)$ of a real variable $t$ defined on an interval $a<t<b$, defines an analytic curve if, for every $t_{0}$ in the $(a, b)$, the derivative $\phi^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)$ exists and it is non zero and $\phi$ has a representation

$$
\phi(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{n}, \alpha_{n}\left(t_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{C}
$$

where the power series converges in some interval $\left(t_{0}-p, t_{0}+p\right), p=p\left(t_{0}\right)>0$, and coincides with $\phi$ in this interval.

But if this is so, then from Abel's lemma, the series is also convergent for complex values of $t$, as long as $\left|t-t_{0}\right|<p$ and represents an analytic function on that disk. In overlapping disks the functions are the same, because they coincide on a segment of the real axis. Thus the function $\phi(t)$ can be extended as an analytic and injective function in a neighbourhood of $(a, b)$ in $\mathbb{C}$. [1]

Theorem 2.2. Let $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ be regions and $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$ be analytic arcs of the boundaries of the regions $W_{1}, W_{2}$ respectively. We also assume that there are neighbourhoods $U_{1}, U_{2}$ of $J_{1}, J_{2}$ respectively for which $U_{1} \cap W_{1}$ and $U_{2} \cap W_{2}$ are connected regions. For the analytic arcs $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$ we assume that:

1. For every point of $J_{1}$ or $J_{2}$ there exists a neighbourhood whose intersection with the whole boundary of $W_{1}$ or $W_{2}$ respectively is the same with its intersection with $J_{1}$ or $J_{2}$.
2. For every point $z$ in $J_{i}, i=1,2$ and for every disk $D(z, \epsilon)$ such that $D(z, \epsilon) \cap \partial W_{i}=D(z, \epsilon) \cap J_{i}$, the arc $J_{i}$ separates $D(z, \epsilon)$ in two subsets, one completely inside $W_{i}$ and the other completely outside $W_{i}$.
3. The arcs $J_{i}, i=1,2$ are simple analytic and the injective maps $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ with $J_{1}:=\phi_{1}:\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $J_{2}:=\phi_{2}:\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy that $\phi_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\phi_{2}^{\prime}$ exist and are non-zero for every point of the segments $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$ and $\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)$ respectively

We also assume that a function $\phi: W_{1} \cup J_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is injective, continuous on $W_{1} \cup J_{1}$ and holomorphic in $W_{1}$ and we denote $G:=\phi\left(W_{1}\right)$. We suppose that
$\phi^{\prime}$ can be extended in $W_{1} \cup J_{1}$ continuously. Let $\gamma=\phi\left(J_{1}\right)$ and let a function $F: W_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be continuous on $W_{2} \cup J_{2}$ and holomorphic on $W_{2}$. Suppose that for all $z$ in $\phi\left(J_{1}\right)$, there exists a $\epsilon>0$ so that $D(z, \epsilon) \cap F\left(W_{2}\right) \subseteq G$ and if a sequence $\left(z_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, z_{n} \in W_{2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ accumulates only in $J_{2}$, then $F\left(z_{n}\right)$ accumulates only in $\gamma$ and $F\left(J_{2}\right) \subseteq \gamma=\phi\left(J_{1}\right)$.
Then:
(a) The function $F^{\prime}$, can be continuously extended in $W_{2} \cup J_{2}$.
(b) If $\phi^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ for all $z$ in $J_{1}$ and $F$ is injective in $W_{2}$ then $F^{\prime}(\zeta) \neq 0$ for all $\zeta$ in $J_{2}$

Proof. First we prove statement (a).
Let $z \in J_{2}$. There exists a $\delta_{z}>0$ such that $D\left(z, \delta_{z}\right) \cap\left(\partial W_{2} \backslash J_{2}\right)=\emptyset$. Therefore, the function

$$
h:=\phi^{-1} \circ F: D\left(z, \delta_{z}\right) \cap W_{2} \rightarrow W_{1}
$$

is well defined. The function $h$ is holomorphic, since F is holomorphic in $W_{2}$. The function

$$
\phi^{-1}: \phi\left(W_{1}\right) \rightarrow W_{1}
$$

is holomorphic also holomorphic in $\phi\left(W_{1}\right)$ and if $\delta_{z}>0$ is small enough, then $F\left(D\left(z, \delta_{z}\right) \cap W_{2}\right) \subseteq \phi\left(W_{1}\right)$, for every $z \in \gamma$.
We assume that $\left\{z_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a sequence of points in $W_{2}$. We are going to prove that if all the accumulation points of $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ belong in $J_{2}$, then all the accumulation points of sequence $b_{n}:=h\left(z_{n}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$ belong in $J_{2}$. From our hypothesis it follows that $F\left(z_{n}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$ accumulates in $\gamma$. It suffices to prove that $\left\{\phi^{-1} \circ F\left(z_{n}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ accumulates in $J_{1}$. If we suppose that there is an accumulation point $w$ of the sequence $b_{n}:=\phi^{-1} \circ F\left(z_{n}\right)$ that does not belong in $J_{1}$, then , there exists a subsequence $\left\{b_{k_{n}}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ that converges to $w \in W_{1}$. Thus, $\phi\left(b_{k_{n}}\right)$ defines a subsequence of $F\left(z_{n}\right)$ in $\phi\left(W_{1}\right)$. Since $\phi$ is continuous on $W_{1} \cup J_{1}$, then $\phi\left(z_{k_{n}}\right)$ converges to $\phi(w)$. Since all accumulation points of $F\left(z_{n}\right)$ belong in $\gamma$, therefore $\phi(w)$ belongs in $\gamma$. Thus, there exists an $z_{1} \in J_{1}$ such as $\phi\left(z_{1}\right)=\phi(w)$. This is impossible since $z_{1}$ is on the boundary of $W_{1}$ and $w$ inside of $W_{1}$ and $\Phi$ is injective on $W_{1} \cup J_{1}$.
Therefore if $\left(z_{n}\right)_{n}$ accumulates on $J_{2}, h\left(z_{n}\right)$ accumulates on $J_{1}$.
Finally, $h$ is holomorphic on $D\left(z, \delta_{z}\right) \cap W_{2}$ and continuous on $\overline{D\left(z, \delta_{z}\right) \cap W_{2}}$. According to the Reflection Principle for analytic arcs [1], $h$ is holomorphic
in $D\left(z, \delta_{z}^{\prime}\right)$ for some $\delta_{z}^{\prime}, 0<\delta_{z}^{\prime} \leq \delta_{z}$. Therefore $F=\phi \circ \phi^{-1} \circ F$ is continuous in $J_{2}$ since $\phi$ can be continuously extended in $J_{1}$.
Considering $F$ restricted in $D\left(z, \delta_{z}\right) \cap W_{2}$ it is analytic and

$$
\begin{gathered}
F^{\prime}(z)=\left[\phi \circ\left(\phi^{-1} \circ F\right)\right]^{\prime}(z)=\phi^{\prime} \circ(\phi-1 \circ F)(z) \cdot\left(\phi^{-1} \circ F\right)^{\prime}(z) \\
\forall z \in D\left(z, \delta_{z}\right) \cap W_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\phi \prime$ is continuous in $J_{1}$ and from the Reflection Principle $\left(\phi^{-1} \circ F\right)^{\prime}$ is holomorphic in $D\left(z, \delta_{z}\right)$, therefore $F^{\prime}$ is continuous on $\overline{D\left(z, \delta_{z}\right) \cap W_{2}}$.

The above statements are valid for all $z \in J_{2}$. From analytic continuation the extensions of $h \in D\left(z_{i}, \delta_{z_{i}}^{\prime}\right) \cap D\left(z_{j}, \delta_{z_{j}}^{\prime}\right) \cap W_{2}$ match, thus they also match in $D\left(z_{i}, \delta_{z_{i}}^{\prime}\right) \cap D\left(z_{j}, \delta_{z_{j}}^{\prime}\right)$, for any $z_{1}, z_{2} \in J_{2}$
Therefore $F^{\prime}$ can be continuously extended in $J_{2}$
Next we prove statement (b):
We have already that $F^{\prime}=\left[\phi \circ\left(\phi^{-1} \circ F\right)\right]^{\prime}=\phi^{\prime} \circ\left(\phi^{-1} \circ F\right) \cdot\left(\phi^{-1} \circ F\right)^{\prime}$
Let $z \in J_{2}$. Since for all $z_{n}$ accumulating in $J_{2}$, the sequence $F\left(z_{n}\right)$ accumulates in $\gamma$, it follows that $\phi^{-1} \circ F(z) \in J_{1}$. Assuming that $\phi^{\prime} \neq 0$, for all z in $J_{1}$, it follows that $\phi^{\prime} \circ\left(\phi^{-1} \circ F\right)(z) \neq 0$ for all $z$ in $J_{2}$.
From the Reflection Principle, the function $h=\phi^{-1} \circ F$ can be extended in an neighbourhood of $J_{2}$ and the extension is injective on it; thus $\left(\phi^{-1} \circ F\right)^{\prime} \neq 0$, for all $z$ in $J_{2}$. Therefore $F^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in J_{2}$. This completes the proof.

Remark 2.3. Let suppose that there exists $z$ in $J_{1}$ such that $\phi^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ and not for all points in $J_{1}$. Therefore, there exists $\zeta$ in $J_{2}$ such that $\phi^{-1} \circ F(\zeta)=z$ in $J_{1}$ and $F(\zeta) \neq 0$ and reversely.

Lemma 2.4. Let $W \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ open sets. Let $\phi: W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $h: \Omega \rightarrow W$ holomorphic functions. We assume that $P$ is a finite linear combination of products of the functions $\phi^{(n)} \circ h, \phi^{(n-1)} \circ h, \ldots, \phi \circ h$ and $h^{(n)}, \ldots, h$, for some $n$ in $\mathbb{N}$. Then $P$ is holomorphic on $\Omega$ and its derivative is a polynomial of the functions $\phi^{(n)} \circ h, \phi^{(n-1)} \circ h, \ldots, \phi \circ h$ and $h^{(n)}, \ldots, h$ and $\phi^{(n+1)} \circ h, h^{(n+1)}$

Proof. We will use induction to prove this lemma. We start from

$$
P(z)=(\phi \circ h)^{k_{1}} h^{k_{2}}(z)
$$

, then

$$
P^{\prime}(z)=k_{1}\left(\phi^{\prime} \circ h\right) h^{\prime} h^{k_{2}}+k_{2}\left(\phi \circ h^{k_{1}}\right) h^{k_{2}-1} h^{\prime}
$$

For the inductive step we assume

$$
P=c\left[\phi^{(n-1)} \circ h^{k_{n} 2} \ldots \phi \circ h^{k_{n+1}} h^{(n)^{k_{n}}} \ldots h^{k_{1}}\right], c \in \mathbb{C}
$$

Without loss of the generality, we also assume that $k_{2 n}=\cdots=k_{1}=c=1$, hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P^{\prime}=\left.\left(\phi^{(n)} \circ h\right) h^{\prime}\left[\phi^{(n-1)} \circ h\right) \ldots\left(\phi^{-1} \circ F\right)\right] \\
&+\left(\phi^{(n-1)} \circ h\right)^{2} h^{\prime}\left(\phi^{(n-2)} \circ h \ldots h\right) \\
&+\cdots+\left[\phi^{\prime} \circ h\right]^{(n+1)}\left(\left[\phi^{(n)} \circ h\right] \ldots h\right) \\
&+h^{(n+1)}\left(\left[\phi^{(n)} \circ h\right]\right)+\cdots+h\left(\left[\phi^{(n)} \circ h\right] \ldots h^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $P^{\prime}$ is a polynomial of $\left(\phi^{(n)} \circ h\right)^{(k)}, h^{(k)}, k=0, \ldots, n+1$
Corollary 2.4.1. According to assumptions and notation of Theorem 2.2, if $\phi$ is an analytic function in $W_{1}$ and continuous on $J_{1}$ and we also assume that $\phi^{(k)}$ can be continuously extended on $J_{1}$ for $k=0,1, \ldots, p$, where $p$ is a natural number, then $F^{(k)}$ can be continuously extended on $W_{2} \cup J_{2}$ for $k=0,1, \ldots, p$.

This holds because $F^{(k)}$ is a polynomial of functions that can be continuously extended on $J_{2}$. This follows combining Theorem 2.2 with lemma 2.4. (for more details see [3])

The Theorem 2.2 implies, also, the following corollary.
Corollary 2.4.2. We suppose that $D(0, r, 1), 0<r<1$ is an open annulus and $\phi: \overline{D(0, r, 1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous, injective on $\overline{D(0, r, 1)}$ and holomorphic on $D(0, r, 1)$. We also assume that $\phi^{\prime}$ has a continuous extension on $\overline{D(0, r, 1)}$. Furthermore, we set $\gamma\left(e^{i \theta}\right):=\phi\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ and $W$ is the interior of the

Jordan curve $\gamma$.
We, finally, assume that $\phi(D(0, r, 1)) \subseteq W$ and the function

$$
F: D(0,1) \rightarrow W
$$

is a conformal mapping of $D:=D(0,1)$ onto $W$. It is known that according to the Ozgood-Caratheodory theorem ,F is exteded to a homeomorphism $F: \overline{D(0,1)} \rightarrow \bar{W}$. From the Theorem 2.2, it follows that

1. The function $F^{\prime}$ extends continuously on $\bar{D}$
2. If $\phi^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$, for some $z$ with $|z|=1$ then $F^{\prime}(\zeta) \neq 0$, for $\zeta$ such that $\left(\phi^{-1} \circ F\right)(\zeta)=z$

More generally, if a conformal collar of a Jordan curve has some nice properties, then the same holds for any other conformal collar of the same curve from the same side.
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