

# Analysis of $L1$ -Galerkin FEMs for time-fractional nonlinear parabolic problems

Dongfang Li\*    Honglin Liao†    Weiwei Sun‡    Jilu Wang§    Jiwei Zhang¶

## Abstract

This paper is concerned with numerical solutions of time-fractional nonlinear parabolic problems by a class of  $L1$ -Galerkin finite element methods. The analysis of  $L1$  methods for time-fractional nonlinear problems is limited mainly due to the lack of a fundamental Gronwall type inequality. In this paper, we establish such a fundamental inequality for the  $L1$  approximation to the Caputo fractional derivative. In terms of the Gronwall type inequality, we provide optimal error estimates of several fully discrete linearized Galerkin finite element methods for nonlinear problems. The theoretical results are illustrated by applying our proposed methods to three examples: linear Fokker-Planck equation, nonlinear Huxley equation and Fisher equation.

**Keywords:** time-fractional nonlinear parabolic problems,  $L1$ -Galerkin FEMs, error estimates, Gronwall type inequality, linearized schemes

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we study numerical solutions of the time-fractional nonlinear parabolic equation

$${}_0^C\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u - \Delta u = f(u, x, t), \quad x \in \Omega \times (0, T] \quad (1.1)$$

with the initial and boundary conditions, given by

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ u(x, t) &= 0, & x \in \partial\Omega \times [0, T], \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  ( $d = 1, 2$  or  $3$ ) is a bounded and convex polygon. The Caputo fractional derivative  ${}_0^C\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha$  is defined as

$${}_0^C\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u(x, t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{\partial u(x, s)}{\partial s} \frac{1}{(t-s)^\alpha} ds, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1. \quad (1.3)$$

---

\*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China (dfli@hust.edu.cn). ; and Department of Mathematics, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon, Hong Kong

†Institute of Sciences, PLA University of Science and Technology, Nanjing, 211101, China (liaoahl2003@sina.com).

‡Department of Mathematics, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon, Hong Kong (maweiw@math.cityu.edu.hk).

§Department of Scientific Computing, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306, USA (jwang13@fsu.edu).

¶Beijing Computational Science Research Center, Beijing 10084, China (jwzhang@csrc.ac.cn).

Here  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  denotes the usual gamma function.

The model (1.1) is used to describe plenty of nature phenomena in physics, biology and chemistry [11, 15, 22, 28]. In the past decades, developing effective numerical methods and rigorous numerical analysis for the time-fractional PDEs have been a hot research spot [7, 9, 16, 24, 31, 32, 34, 35, 36]. Numerical methods can be roughly divided into two categories: indirect and direct methods. The former is based on the solution of an integro-differential equation by some proper numerical schemes since time-fractional differential equations can be reformulated into integro-differential equations in general, while the latter is based on a direct (such as piecewise polynomial) approximation to the time-fractional derivative [5, 6, 17, 18].

Direct methods are more popular in practical computations due to its ease of implementation. One of the most commonly used direct methods is the so-called  $L1$ -scheme, which can be viewed as a piecewise linear approximation to the fractional derivative [27] and which has been widely applied for solving various time-fractional PDEs [10, 12]. However, numerical analysis for direct methods is limited, even for a simple linear model (1.1) with

$$f(u) = L_0 u, \quad t \in (0, T]. \quad (1.4)$$

The analysis of  $L1$ -type methods for the linear model was studied by several authors, while the convergence and error estimates were obtained under the assumption that

$$L_0 \leq 0 \quad (1.5)$$

in general, see [13, 14, 21, 23, 29]. The proof there cannot be directly extended to the case of  $L_0 > 0$ . Recently, the condition (1.5) was improved in [33], in which a time-fractional nonlinear predator-prey model was studied by an  $L1$  finite difference scheme and  $f(u)$  was assumed to satisfy a global Lipschitz condition. The stability and convergence were proved under the assumption

$$T^\alpha < \frac{1}{L\Gamma(1-\alpha)}. \quad (1.6)$$

Here  $L$  denotes the Lipschitz constant. The restriction condition (1.6) implies that the scheme is convergent and stable only locally in time. Similar assumptions appeared in the analysis of  $L1$  type schemes for time-fractional Burger equation [20] and nonlinear Fisher equation [19], respectively, where  $L$  may depend upon an upper bound of numerical solutions. In both [19] and [20], a classical finite difference approximation was used for spatial discretization. Several linearized  $L1$  schemes with other approximations in spatial direction, such as spectral methods [3, 4] and meshless methods [26], were also investigated numerically for time-fractional nonlinear differential equations. No analysis was explored there.

It is well known that the classical Gronwall inequality plays an important role in analysis of parabolic PDEs ( $\alpha = 1$ ) and the analysis of corresponding numerical methods also relies heavily on the discrete counterpart of the inequality. Clearly, the analysis of  $L1$ -type numerical methods for time-fractional nonlinear differential equations ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ) has not been well done mainly due to the lack of such a fundamental inequality.

The aim of this paper is to present the numerical analysis for several fully discrete  $L1$  Galerkin FEMs for the general nonlinear equation (1.1) with any given  $T > 0$ . The key to our analysis is to establish a new Gronwall type inequality for a positive sequence satisfying

$$D_\tau^\alpha \omega^n \leq \lambda_1 \omega^n + \lambda_2 \omega^{n-1} + g^n, \quad (1.7)$$

where  $D_\tau^\alpha$  denotes an  $L1$  approximation to  ${}_0^C\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha$ ,  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are both positive constants. In terms of the fundamental inequality, we present optimal error estimates of proposed fully discrete  $L1$ -Galerkin FEMs for equation (1.1) with linear or nonlinear source  $f(u)$ . Moreover, our analysis can be extended to many other direct numerical methods for time-fractional parabolic equations.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We present three linearized fully discrete numerical schemes and the main convergence results in Section 2. These schemes are based on an  $L1$  approximation in temporal direction and Galerkin FEMs in spatial direction. In Section 3, a new Gronwall type inequality is established for the  $L1$  approximation and optimal error estimates of the proposed numerical methods are proved. In Section 4, we present numerical experiments on three different models, linear fractional Fokker-Planck equation and nonlinear fractional Huley equation and Fisher equation. Numerical examples are provided to confirm our theoretical analysis. Finally, conclusions and discussions are summarized in Section 5.

## 2 L1-Galerkin FEMs and main results

We first introduce some notations and present several fully discrete numerical schemes.

For any integer  $m \geq 0$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , let  $W^{m,p}$  be the usual Sobolev space of functions defined in  $\Omega$  equipped with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{W^{m,p}}$ . If  $p = 2$ , we denote  $W^{m,2}(\Omega)$  by  $H^m(\Omega)$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_h$  be a quasiuniform partition of  $\Omega$  into intervals  $T_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, M$ ) in  $\mathbb{R}^1$ , or triangles in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or tetrahedra in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $h = \max_{1 \leq i \leq M} \{\text{diam } T_i\}$  be the mesh size. Let  $V_h$  be the finite-dimensional subspace of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , which consists of continuous piecewise polynomials of degree  $r$  ( $r \geq 1$ ) on  $\mathcal{T}_h$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_\tau = \{t_n | t_n = n\tau; 0 \leq n \leq N\}$  be a uniform partition of  $[0, T]$  with the time step  $\tau = T/N$ .

Based on a piecewise linear interpolation, the  $L1$ -approximation (scheme) to the Caputo fractional derivative is given by

$$\begin{aligned} {}_0^C\mathcal{D}_{t_n}^\alpha u &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^{t_n} \frac{u'(x, s)}{(t_n - s)^\alpha} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{u(x, t_j) - u(x, t_{j-1})}{\tau} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \frac{1}{(t_n - s)^\alpha} ds + Q^n \\ &= \frac{\tau^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{n-j} (u(x, t_j) - u(x, t_{j-1})) + Q^n, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_i = (i+1)^{1-\alpha} - i^{1-\alpha}, \quad i \geq 0. \quad (2.1)$$

If  $u \in C^2([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ , the truncation error  $Q^n$  satisfies [21, 29]

$$\|Q^n\|_{L^2} \leq C\tau^{2-\alpha}. \quad (2.2)$$

If  $u$  does not have the requisite regularity, the truncation error  $Q^n$  may have some possible loss of accuracy. We will discuss it later.

For a sequence of functions  $\{\omega^n\}_{n=0}^N$ , we define

$$D_\tau^\alpha \omega^n := \frac{\tau^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{n-j} \delta_t \omega^j = \frac{\tau^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^n b_{n-j} \omega^j, \quad n = 1, \dots, N, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\delta_t \omega^n = \omega^n - \omega^{n-1}$  and

$$b_0 = a_0, \quad b_n = -a_{n-1}, \quad b_{n-j} = a_{n-j} - a_{n-j-1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (2.4)$$

With above notations, a linearized  $L1$ -Galerkin FEM is: to seek  $U_h^n \in V_h$  such that

$$(D_\tau^\alpha U_h^n, v_h) + (\nabla U_h^n, \nabla v_h) = (f(U_h^{n-1}), v_h), \quad \forall v_h \in V_h, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N \quad (2.5)$$

with  $U_h^0 = \Pi_h u_0$ , where  $\Pi_h$  represents the interpolation operator.

By noting (2.4), we can rewrite the scheme (2.5) equivalently as

$$\frac{\tau^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^n b_{n-j} (U_h^j, v_h) + (\nabla U_h^n, \nabla v_h) = (f(U_h^{n-1}), v_h), \quad \forall v_h \in V_h. \quad (2.6)$$

In this paper, we assume that the function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Lipschitz continuous, i.e.

$$|f(\xi_1) - f(\xi_2)| \leq L|\xi_1 - \xi_2|, \quad \text{for } \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $L$  denotes the Lipschitz coefficient. We present optimal error estimates of scheme (2.6) in the following theorem and leave the proof to section 3.1.

**Theorem 2.1** Suppose that the system (1.1)-(1.2) has a unique solution  $u \in C^2([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap C^1([0, T]; H^{r+1}(\Omega))$ . Then, there exists a positive constant  $\tau_0$ , such that when  $\tau \leq \tau_0$ , the finite element system (2.6) admits a unique solution  $U_h^n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , satisfying

$$\|u^n - U_h^n\|_{L^2} \leq C_0(\tau + h^{r+1}), \quad (2.8)$$

where  $u^n = u(x, t_n)$  and  $C_0$  is a positive constant independent of  $\tau$  and  $h$ .

**Remark 1** We point out that the smoothness of the initial solution and  $f$  does not always imply the smoothness of the exact solution for time-fractional equations. In other words, the exact solution may not have the requisite regularity around  $t = 0$  [13, 14, 23], which may lead to some possible loss of accuracy for  $Q^n$ . For example, by taking into account of the possible initial layer and weaker regularity of the exact solutions ([23], Lemma 5.1), the maximum truncation error  $Q^n$  satisfies

$$\max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \|Q^n\|_{L^2} \leq C\tau^\alpha.$$

Then in Theorem 2.1, we only can obtain the error estimate by

$$\max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \|u^n - U_h^n\|_{L^2} \leq C(\tau^\alpha + h^{r+1}). \quad (2.9)$$

The result (2.9) can be proved similarly without any additional difficulty by using our Gronwall type inequality for discrete  $L1$ -approximation.

**Remark 2** The proof of Theorem 2.1 is based on a Lipschitz condition. If  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ , Theorem 2.1 still holds. In fact, by using the mathematical induction and inverse inequality, we have

$$\|U_h^{n-1}\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|R_h u^{n-1}\|_{L^\infty} + \|R_h u^{n-1} - U_h^{n-1}\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|R_h u^{n-1}\|_{L^\infty} + Ch^{-\frac{d}{2}}(\tau + h^{r+1}), \quad (2.10)$$

where  $R_h$  denotes the Ritz projection operator. As we can see from (2.10), the boundedness of  $\|U_h^{n-1}\|_{L^\infty}$  can be obtained while mesh size being small. Therefore, we have

$$\|f(u^{n-1}) - f(U_h^{n-1})\|_{L^2} = \|f'(\xi)(u^{n-1} - U_h^{n-1})\|_{L^2} \leq C\|u^{n-1} - U_h^{n-1}\|_{L^2}, \quad \xi \in (u^{n-1}, U_h^{n-1}).$$

Hence, the results in Theorem 2.1 can be proved by using similar analysis under the assumption  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

We now present two more high-order fully discrete linearized methods.

With the Newton linearized approximation to the nonlinear term, a linearized  $L1$ -Galerkin FEM is: to seek  $U_h^n \in V_h$  such that

$$(D_\tau^\alpha U_h^n, v_h) + (\nabla U_h^n, \nabla v_h) = (f(U_h^{n-1}) + f_1(U_h^{n-1})(U_h^n - U_h^{n-1}), v_h), \quad n = 1, \dots, N, \quad (2.11)$$

where  $f_1(U_h^{n-1}) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}|_{u=U_h^{n-1}}$ .

Moreover, with an extrapolation to the nonlinear term, a linearized  $L1$ -Galerkin FEM is: to seek  $U_h^n \in V_h$  such that

$$(D_\tau^\alpha U_h^n, v_h) + (\nabla U_h^n, \nabla v_h) = (f(\widehat{U}_h^n), v_h), \quad n = 1, \dots, N, \quad (2.12)$$

where  $\widehat{U}_h^n = 2U_h^{n-1} - U_h^{n-2}$  for  $n = 2, \dots, N$  and  $\widehat{U}_h^1$  can be obtained by solving the governing equation

$$(D_\tau^\alpha \widehat{U}_h^1, v_h) + (\nabla \widehat{U}_h^1, \nabla v_h) = (f(U_h^0) + f_1(U_h^0)(\widehat{U}_h^1 - U_h^0), v_h).$$

We next present the error estimates of schemes (2.11) and (2.12) in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2** Suppose that the system (1.1)-(1.2) has a unique solution  $u \in C^2([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap C^1([0, T]; H^{r+1}(\Omega))$ . Then, there exists a positive constant  $\tau_0^*$ , such that when  $\tau \leq \tau_0^*$ , the finite element system (2.11) or (2.12) admits a unique solution  $U_h^n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , satisfying

$$\|u^n - U_h^n\|_{L^2} \leq C_0^*(\tau^{2-\alpha} + h^{r+1}), \quad (2.13)$$

where  $C_0^*$  is a positive constant independent of  $\tau$  and  $h$ .

The representation of this paper focuses on the numerical analysis for the linearized scheme (2.6). The analysis for (2.6) can be easily extended to the linearized schemes (2.11) and (2.12). The main difference is that the schemes (2.11) and (2.12) have the convergent order  $2 - \alpha$  in the temporal direction, while the scheme (2.6) has the order 1.

In the remainder, we denote by  $C$  a generic positive constant, which is independent of  $n, h, \tau, \tau_0, \tau_0^*, C_0$  and  $C_0^*$ , and may depend upon  $u$  and  $f$ .

### 3 Error analysis

In this section, we will prove the optimal error estimate given in Theorem 2.1 for proposed scheme (2.6). As we can see below, the following Gronwall type inequality plays a key role in our analysis. For brevity, we first present the results of the Gronwall type inequality, and leave the proof to section 3.2.

**Lemma 3.1** Suppose that the nonnegative sequences  $\{\omega^n, g^n \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  satisfy

$$D_\tau^\alpha \omega^n \leq \lambda_1 \omega^n + \lambda_2 \omega^{n-1} + g^n, \quad n \geq 1,$$

where  $\lambda_1 \geq 0$  and  $\lambda_2 \geq 0$  are constants. Then, there exists a positive constant  $\tau^*$  such that, when  $\tau \leq \tau^*$ ,

$$\omega^n \leq 2 \left( \omega^0 + \frac{t_n^\alpha}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} g^j \right) E_\alpha(2\lambda t_n^\alpha), \quad 1 \leq n \leq N, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $E_\alpha(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(1+k\alpha)}$  is the Mittag-Leffler function and  $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \frac{\lambda_2}{(2-2^{1-\alpha})}$ .

### 3.1 Proof of Theorem 2.1

To prove the main results, we first rewrite the system (2.6) as

$$\frac{\tau^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} b_0 (U_h^n, v_h) + (\nabla U_h^n, \nabla v_h) = (f(U_h^{n-1}), v_h) - \frac{\tau^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_{n-j} (U_h^j, v_h). \quad (3.2)$$

It is obvious that the coefficient matrix of the linear system (3.2) is symmetric and positive definite. Thus, the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the FEM system (2.6) follow immediately.

We now let  $\Pi_h$  be a Lagrange interpolation operator and  $R_h : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow V_h$  be the Ritz projection operator defined by

$$(\nabla(v - R_h v), \nabla v_h) = 0, \quad \text{for all } v_h \in V_h. \quad (3.3)$$

By classical interpolation theory and finite element theories [30], we have

$$\|v - \Pi_h v\|_{L^2} + h \|\nabla(v - \Pi_h v)\|_{L^2} \leq Ch^{s+1} \|v\|_{H^{s+1}}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\|v - R_h v\|_{L^2} + h \|\nabla(v - R_h v)\|_{L^2} \leq Ch^{s+1} \|v\|_{H^{s+1}}, \quad (3.5)$$

for any  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^{s+1}(\Omega)$  and  $1 \leq s \leq r$ .

From (1.1), we can see that the exact solution  $u^n$  satisfies the following equation

$$D_\tau^\alpha u^n - \Delta u^n = f(u^{n-1}) + T^n \quad (3.6)$$

with the truncation error  $T^n$  given by

$$T^n = D_\tau^\alpha u^n - {}_0^C D_{t_n}^\alpha u + f(u^n) - f(u^{n-1}).$$

By (2.2) and Taylor expansion, we have

$$\|T^n\|_{L^2} \leq C\tau. \quad (3.7)$$

Let

$$e_h^n = R_h u^n - U_h^n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N.$$

Subtracting (3.6) from the numerical scheme (2.6), it is easy to see that  $e_h^n$  satisfies

$$(D_\tau^\alpha e_h^n, v_h) + (\nabla e_h^n, \nabla v_h) = (D_\tau^\alpha (R_h u^n - u^n), v_h) + (f(u^{n-1}) - f(U_h^{n-1}), v_h) + (T^n, v_h) \quad (3.8)$$

for any  $v_h \in V_h$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ .

Taking  $v_h = e_h^n$  in (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (D_\tau^\alpha e_h^n, e_h^n) + \|\nabla e_h^n\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq \left(\frac{L}{2} + 1\right) \|e_h^n\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{L}{2} \|e_h^{n-1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|D_\tau^\alpha (R_h u^n - u^n)\|_{L^2}^2 + Ch^{2(r+1)} + \frac{1}{2} \|T^n\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq \left(\frac{L}{2} + 1\right) \|e_h^n\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{L}{2} \|e_h^{n-1}\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\tau + h^{r+1})^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where we have used (3.7) and

$$\|D_\tau^\alpha R_h u^n - {}_0^C \mathcal{D}_{t_n}^\alpha u\|_{L^2} \leq \|D_\tau^\alpha R_h u^n - {}_0^C \mathcal{D}_{t_n}^\alpha R_h u\|_{L^2} + \|{}_0^C \mathcal{D}_{t_n}^\alpha R_h u - {}_0^C \mathcal{D}_{t_n}^\alpha u\|_{L^2} \leq C\tau^{2-\alpha} + Ch^{r+1}.$$

On the other hand, noting that the coefficients  $a_j$  ( $j = 0, \dots, N$ ) defined in (2.1) satisfy

$$1 = a_0 > a_1 > \dots > a_N > 0,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (D_\tau^\alpha e_h^n, e_h^n) &= \frac{\tau^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \left( a_0 e_h^n - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (a_{n-j-1} - a_{n-j}) e_h^j - a_{n-1} e_h^0, e_h^n \right) \\ &\geq \frac{\tau^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \left( a_0 \|e_h^n\|_{L^2}^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (a_{n-j-1} - a_{n-j}) \frac{\|e_h^j\|_{L^2}^2 + \|e_h^n\|_{L^2}^2}{2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - a_{n-1} \frac{\|e_h^0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|e_h^n\|_{L^2}^2}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{\tau^{-\alpha}}{2\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \left( a_0 \|e_h^n\|_{L^2}^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (a_{n-j-1} - a_{n-j}) \|e_h^j\|_{L^2}^2 - a_{n-1} \|e_h^0\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{\tau^{-\alpha}}{2\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^n b_{n-j} \|e_h^j\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} D_\tau^\alpha \|e_h^n\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Combining (3.9) and (3.10), we get

$$D_\tau^\alpha \|e_h^n\|_{L^2}^2 \leq (L+2) \|e_h^n\|_{L^2}^2 + L \|e_h^{n-1}\|_{L^2}^2 + 2C(\tau + h^{r+1})^2.$$

By Lemma 3.1, there exists a positive constant  $\tau^*$  such that, when  $\tau \leq \tau^*$ ,

$$\|e_h^n\|_{L^2} \leq C(\tau + h^{r+1}).$$

With (3.5), the above estimate further shows that

$$\|u^n - U_h^n\|_{L^2} \leq \|u^n - R_h u^n\|_{L^2} + \|e_h^n\|_{L^2} \leq C(\tau + h^{r+1}). \tag{3.11}$$

Taking  $\tau_0 \leq \tau^*$  and  $C_0 \geq C$ , the proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete.  $\blacksquare$

### 3.2 The proof of Lemma 3.1

To prove Lemma 3.1, we first present two useful lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2** Let  $\{p_n\}$  be a sequence defined by

$$p_0 = 1, \quad p_n = \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{j-1} - a_j) p_{n-j}, \quad n \geq 1. \tag{3.12}$$

Then it holds that

$$(i) \quad 0 < p_n < 1, \quad \sum_{j=k}^n p_{n-j} a_{j-k} = 1, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad (3.13)$$

$$(ii) \quad \Gamma(2 - \alpha) \sum_{j=1}^n p_{n-j} \leq \frac{n^\alpha}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)}, \quad (3.14)$$

and for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$(iii) \quad \frac{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)}{\Gamma(1 + (m - 1)\alpha)} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p_{n-j} j^{(m-1)\alpha} \leq \frac{n^{m\alpha}}{\Gamma(1 + m\alpha)}. \quad (3.15)$$

*Proof.* (i) Since  $a_{j-1} > a_j$  for  $j \geq 1$ , it is easy to verify inductively from (3.12) that  $0 < p_n < 1$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). Moreover, we have

$$\Phi_n \equiv \sum_{j=1}^n p_{n-j} a_{j-1} = \sum_{j=0}^n p_{n-j} a_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} p_{n+1-j} a_{j-1} = \Phi_{n+1}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

This implies  $\Phi_n = \Phi_1 = a_0 p_0 = 1$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Substituting  $j = l + k - 1$ , we further find

$$\sum_{j=k}^n p_{n-j} a_{j-k} = \sum_{l=1}^{n-k+1} p_{n-k+1-l} a_{l-1} = \Phi_{n-k+1} = \Phi_n = 1, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

The equality (3.13) is proved.

(ii) To prove (3.14) and (3.15), we introduce an auxiliary function  $q(t) = t^{m\alpha}/\Gamma(1 + m\alpha)$  for  $m \geq 1$ . Then for  $j \geq 1$ , we have

$$\int_0^j \frac{(j-s)^{-\alpha} q'(s)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} ds = \frac{B(m\alpha, 1-\alpha) j^{(m-1)\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Gamma(m\alpha)} = \frac{j^{(m-1)\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+(m-1)\alpha)}, \quad (3.16)$$

where we have used the fact that for  $z, w > 0$

$$B(z, w) \equiv \int_0^1 s^{z-1} (1-s)^{w-1} ds = \frac{\Gamma(z)\Gamma(w)}{\Gamma(z+w)}.$$

Let  $Q(t)$  be a piecewise linear interpolating polynomial of  $q(t)$  satisfying  $Q(k) = q^k := q(k)$ . Moreover for  $j \geq 1$ , we define the approximation error by

$$\int_0^j \frac{q'(s) - Q'(s)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)(j-s)^\alpha} ds = \sum_{k=1}^j \int_{k-1}^k \frac{q'(s) - Q'(s)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)(j-s)^\alpha} ds := \sum_{k=1}^j R_k^j, \quad (3.17)$$

where

$$R_k^j = \int_{k-1}^k \frac{d[q(s) - Q(s)]}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)(j-s)^\alpha} = -\frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{k-1}^k \frac{q(s) - Q(s)}{(j-s)^{\alpha+1}} ds, \quad 1 \leq k \leq j.$$



Combining (3.16) and (3.17) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{j^{(m-1)\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+(m-1)\alpha)} &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \sum_{k=1}^j \int_{k-1}^k \frac{Q'(s)}{(j-s)^\alpha} ds + \sum_{k=1}^j R_k^j \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^j a_{j-k} \frac{\delta_t q^k}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} + \sum_{k=1}^j R_k^j. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Noting that  $q(t)$  is concave (i.e.,  $q''(t) \leq 0$ ) for  $m = 1$ , we have  $Q(t) \leq q(t)$ ,  $R_k^j \leq 0$  and

$$1 \leq \sum_{k=1}^j a_{j-k} \frac{\delta_t q^k}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}. \quad (3.19)$$

Multiplying (3.19) by  $\Gamma(2-\alpha)p_{n-j}$  and summing it over for  $j$  from 1 to  $n$ , we have

$$\Gamma(2-\alpha) \sum_{j=1}^n p_{n-j} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n p_{n-j} \sum_{k=1}^j a_{j-k} \delta_t q^k = \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_t q^k \sum_{j=k}^n p_{n-j} a_{j-k} = \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_t q^k = \frac{n^\alpha}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)},$$

where we have used the equality (3.13).

(iii) We multiply (3.18) by  $\Gamma(2-\alpha)p_{n-j}$  and sum the resulting equality for  $j$  from 1 to  $n-1$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+(m-1)\alpha)} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p_{n-j} j^{(m-1)\alpha} &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p_{n-j} \sum_{k=1}^j a_{j-k} \delta_t q^k + \Gamma(2-\alpha) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p_{n-j} \sum_{k=1}^j R_k^j \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \delta_t q^k \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} p_{n-j} a_{j-k} + \Gamma(2-\alpha) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p_{n-j} \sum_{k=1}^j R_k^j \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \delta_t q^k + \Gamma(2-\alpha) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p_{n-j} \sum_{k=1}^j R_k^j \\ &= \frac{(n-1)^{m\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+m\alpha)} + \Gamma(2-\alpha) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p_{n-j} \sum_{k=1}^j R_k^j. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

If  $1 \leq m \leq 1/\alpha$ ,  $q(t)$  is still concave (i.e.,  $q''(t) \leq 0$ ). Then  $R_k^j \leq 0$  and (3.15) follows immediately from the above estimate.

If  $m > 1/\alpha$ , by (3.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_k^j &= \int_{k-1}^k \frac{(j-s)^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{k-1}^k (q'(s) - q'(\mu)) d\mu ds \\ &= \int_{k-1}^k \frac{(j-s)^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{k-1}^k \int_{\mu}^s q''(\eta) d\eta d\mu ds \\ &\leq \int_{k-1}^k \frac{(j-s)^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{k-1}^k \int_{\mu}^k \frac{d\eta^{m\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(m\alpha)} d\mu ds \\ &= a_{j-k} \int_{k-1}^k \frac{k^{m\alpha-1} - \mu^{m\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)\Gamma(m\alpha)} d\mu, \quad 1 \leq k \leq j. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Therefore, by applying (3.13) for  $n \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(2-\alpha) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p_{n-j} \sum_{k=1}^j R_k^j &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p_{n-j} \sum_{k=1}^j a_{j-k} \int_{k-1}^k \frac{k^{m\alpha-1} - \mu^{m\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(m\alpha)} d\mu \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{k-1}^k \frac{k^{m\alpha-1} - \mu^{m\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(m\alpha)} d\mu \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} p_{n-j} a_{j-k} \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{k^{m\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(m\alpha)} - \frac{(n-1)^{m\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+m\alpha)} \\
&\leq \frac{n^{m\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+m\alpha)} - \frac{(n-1)^{m\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+m\alpha)}. \tag{3.22}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.22) into (3.20), the proof of (3.15) is complete. ■

**Lemma 3.3** Let  $\vec{e} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T \in R^n$  and

$$J = 2\Gamma(2-\alpha)\lambda\tau^\alpha \begin{bmatrix} 0 & p_1 & \cdots & p_{n-2} & p_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & p_{n-3} & p_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & p_1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n}. \tag{3.23}$$

Then, it holds that

- (i)  $J^i = 0$ ,  $i \geq n$ ;
- (ii)  $J^m \vec{e} \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+m\alpha)} \left( (2\lambda t_n^\alpha)^m, (2\lambda t_{n-1}^\alpha)^m, \dots, (2\lambda t_1^\alpha)^m \right)^T$ ,  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ;
- (iii)  $\sum_{j=0}^i J^j \vec{e} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} J^j \vec{e} \leq \left( E_\alpha(2\lambda t_n^\alpha), E_\alpha(2\lambda t_{n-1}^\alpha), \dots, E_\alpha(2\lambda t_1^\alpha) \right)^T$ ,  $i \geq n$ .

*Proof.* Noting that  $J$  is an upper triangular matrix, it is easy to check that (i) holds.

To prove (ii), we apply the mathematical induction. It is obvious that (ii) holds for  $m = 0$ . We assume that (ii) holds for  $m = k$ . Since  $t_n = n\tau$  and (3.23), we have

$$J^{k+1} \vec{e} = J J^k \vec{e} \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+k\alpha)} J \left( (2\lambda t_n^\alpha)^k, (2\lambda t_{n-1}^\alpha)^k, \dots, (2\lambda t_1^\alpha)^k \right)^T \tag{3.24}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(2-\alpha)(2\lambda\tau^\alpha)^{k+1}}{\Gamma(1+k\alpha)} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p_{n-j} j^{k\alpha}, \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} p_{n-1-j} (j-1)^{k\alpha}, \dots, p_1 1^{k\alpha}, 0 \right)^T. \tag{3.25}$$

By using (3.15) in Lemma 3.2, we further have

$$\begin{aligned}
J^{k+1} \vec{e} &\leq \frac{(2\lambda\tau^\alpha)^{k+1}}{\Gamma(1+(k+1)\alpha)} \left( n^{(k+1)\alpha}, (n-1)^{(k+1)\alpha}, \dots, 2^{(k+1)\alpha}, 1^{(k+1)\alpha} \right)^T \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+(k+1)\alpha)} \left( (2\lambda t_n^\alpha)^{k+1}, (2\lambda t_{n-1}^\alpha)^{k+1}, \dots, (2\lambda t_1^\alpha)^{k+1} \right)^T. \tag{3.26}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (ii) holds for  $m = k + 1$ .

Since (i) implies that  $\sum_{j=0}^i J^j \vec{e} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} J^j \vec{e}$  for  $i \geq n$ , and by (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} J^j \vec{e} &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+j\alpha)} \left( (2\lambda t_n^\alpha)^j, (2\lambda t_{n-1}^\alpha)^j, \dots, (2\lambda t_1^\alpha)^j \right)^T \\ &\leq \left( E_\alpha(2\lambda t_n^\alpha), E_\alpha(2\lambda t_{n-1}^\alpha), \dots, E_\alpha(2\lambda t_1^\alpha) \right)^T. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

The proof of Lemma 3.3 is complete. ■

We now turn back to the proof of Lemma 3.1.

By the definition of  $L1$ -approximation (2.3), we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^j a_{j-k} \delta_t \omega^k \leq \Gamma(2-\alpha) \tau^\alpha (\lambda_1 \omega^j + \lambda_2 \omega^{j-1}) + \Gamma(2-\alpha) \tau^\alpha g^j. \quad (3.28)$$

Multiplying the inequality (3.28) by  $p_{n-j}$  and summing over for  $j$  from 1 to  $n$ , we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n p_{n-j} \sum_{k=1}^j a_{j-k} \delta_t \omega^k \leq \Gamma(2-\alpha) \tau^\alpha \sum_{j=1}^n p_{n-j} (\lambda_1 \omega^j + \lambda_2 \omega^{j-1}) + \Gamma(2-\alpha) \tau^\alpha \sum_{j=1}^n p_{n-j} g^j.$$

By using the results (3.13) and (3.14) in Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^n p_{n-j} \sum_{k=1}^j a_{j-k} \delta_t \omega^k = \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_t \omega^k \sum_{j=k}^n p_{n-j} a_{j-k} = \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_t \omega^k = \omega^n - \omega^0, \quad n \geq 1,$$

and

$$\Gamma(2-\alpha) \tau^\alpha \sum_{j=1}^n p_{n-j} g^j \leq \Gamma(2-\alpha) \tau^\alpha \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} g^j \sum_{j=1}^n p_{n-j} \leq \frac{t_n^\alpha}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} g^j, \quad n \geq 1.$$

It follows that

$$\omega^n \leq \Psi_n + \Gamma(2-\alpha) \tau^\alpha \sum_{j=1}^n p_{n-j} (\lambda_1 \omega^j + \lambda_2 \omega^{j-1}), \quad n \geq 1,$$

where

$$\Psi_n := \omega^0 + \frac{t_n^\alpha}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} g^j.$$

By noting that  $\Psi_n \geq \Psi_k$  for  $n \geq k \geq 1$ , we get

$$\omega^n \leq 2\Psi_n + 2\Gamma(2-\alpha) \left( \lambda_1 \tau^\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p_{n-j} \omega^j + \lambda_2 \tau^\alpha \sum_{j=1}^n p_{n-j} \omega^{j-1} \right), \quad n \geq 1, \quad (3.29)$$

when  $\tau \leq \sqrt[\alpha]{\frac{1}{2\Gamma(2-\alpha)\lambda_1}}$ .

Let  $V = (\omega^n, \omega^{n-1}, \dots, \omega^1)^T$ . Thus (3.29) can be written in a vector form by

$$V \leq (\lambda_1 J_1 + \lambda_2 J_2)V + 2\Psi_n \vec{e}, \quad (3.30)$$

where

$$J_1 = 2\Gamma(2 - \alpha)\tau^\alpha \begin{bmatrix} 0 & p_1 & \cdots & p_{n-2} & p_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & p_{n-3} & p_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & p_1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n},$$

and

$$J_2 = 2\Gamma(2 - \alpha)\tau^\alpha \begin{bmatrix} 0 & p_0 & \cdots & p_{n-3} & p_{n-2} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & p_{n-4} & p_{n-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & p_0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n}.$$

By (3.12), we have

$$p_i \leq \frac{1}{a_0 - a_1} p_{i+1} = \frac{1}{2 - 2^{1-\alpha}} p_{i+1}, \quad i \geq 0.$$

Therefore,

$$J_2 V \leq \frac{1}{2 - 2^{1-\alpha}} J_1 V. \quad (3.31)$$

Substituting (3.31) into (3.30), we get

$$V \leq J V + 2\Psi_n \vec{e}, \quad (3.32)$$

where  $J$  is defined in (3.23) with  $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2 - 2^{1-\alpha}}$ .

As a result, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} V &\leq J V + 2\Psi_n \vec{e} \\ &\leq J(J V + 2\Psi_n \vec{e}) + 2\Psi_n \vec{e} \\ &= J^2 V + 2\Psi_n \sum_{j=0}^1 J^j \vec{e} \\ &\leq \cdots \\ &\leq J^n V + 2\Psi_n \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} J^j \vec{e}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

By using (i) and (iii) in Lemma 3.3, we obtain (3.1) and complete the proof of Lemma 3.1.  $\blacksquare$

## 4 Numerical examples

In this section, we present three numerical examples which substantiate the analysis given earlier for schemes (2.6), (2.11) and (2.12). The orders of convergence are examined. The exact solutions

of equations in the first two examples are smooth and the computations are performed by using the software FreeFEM++. The exact solution of the equation in last example has an initial singularity and the computation is performed by using Matlab.

**Example 1.** We first consider the two-dimensional time-fractional Huxley equation

$${}_0^C \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u = \Delta u + u(1-u)(u-1) + g_1, \quad x \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1], \quad 0 < t \leq 1. \quad (4.1)$$

The equation (4.1) can describe many different physical models, such as population genetics in circuit theory and the transmission of nerve impulses [25, 19]. To obtain a simple benchmark solution, we can calculate the function  $g_1$  based on the exact solution

$$u = (1 + t^3)(1 - x) \sin(x)(1 - y) \sin(y).$$

Table 1:  $L^2$ -errors  $\|u^N - U_h^N\|_{L^2}$  and convergence rates in temporal direction for Eq. (4.1)

	$N$	$\alpha = 0.25$		$\alpha = 0.5$		$\alpha = 0.75$	
		error	order	error	order	error	order
Scheme (2.6)	10	2.81E-4	–	3.19E-4	–	4.20E-4	–
	20	1.43E-4	0.96	1.57E-4	1.02	2.04E-4	1.04
	40	7.20E-5	0.99	7.72E-5	1.02	9.95E-5	1.05
	80	3.60E-5	1.00	3.79E-5	1.02	4.73E-5	1.05
Scheme (2.11)	10	6.42E-6	–	1.06E-4	–	1.50E-4	–
	20	2.46E-6	1.38	3.37E-5	1.37	6.59E-5	1.18
	40	8.99E-7	1.45	6.75E-6	1.41	2.85E-5	1.21
	80	3.17E-7	1.53	2.49E-6	1.44	1.22E-5	1.23
Scheme (2.12)	10	6.62E-5	–	1.06E-4	–	2.09E-4	–
	20	1.83E-5	1.85	3.37E-5	1.65	8.17E-5	1.36
	40	4.97E-6	1.88	1.08E-5	1.64	3.25E-5	1.32
	80	1.35E-6	1.88	3.53E-6	1.62	1.32E-5	1.30

Table 2:  $L^2$ -errors  $\|u^N - U_h^N\|_{L^2}$  and convergence rates in spatial direction for Eq. (4.1)

$M$	L-FEM		Q-FEM	
	error	order	error	order
5	6.16E-3	–	2.08E-4	–
10	1.57E-3	1.97	2.61E-5	2.99
20	3.96E-4	1.99	3.26E-6	3.01
40	9.91E-5	2.00	4.08E-7	3.00

We apply the linearized schemes (2.6), (2.11) and (2.12) to solve problem (4.1) with linear and quadratic finite element approximations, respectively. Here and below, a uniform triangular partition with  $M + 1$  nodes in each spatial direction is used. To investigate the temporal convergence order, we use a quadratic FEM with a fixed spatial meshsize  $h = 1/100$  and several refined temporal meshes  $\tau$ . Table 1 shows the  $L^2$ -errors at time  $T = 1$  and convergence rates

in temporal direction with different  $\alpha$ . From Table 1, one can see that the numerical schemes (2.11) and (2.12) have an accuracy of order  $2-\alpha$ , while numerical scheme (2.6) has an accuracy of order 1.

To investigate spatial convergence order, we apply the scheme (2.6) to solve equation (4.1) using both linear and quadratic FEMs with several refined spatial meshes  $h$ . Table 2 shows the  $L^2$ -errors and convergence rates with  $\alpha = 0.25$  and  $N = M^3$ . The results in Table 2 indicate that the scheme (2.6) is of optimal convergence order  $r + 1$  in spatial direction.

**Example 2.** Secondly, we consider the three-dimensional time-fractional Fisher equation

$${}_0^C \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u = \Delta u + u(1 - u) + g_2, \quad x \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1], \quad 0 < t \leq 1. \quad (4.2)$$

The equation (4.2) was originally proposed to describe the spatial and temporal propagation of a virile gene. Later, it is revised by providing some characteristics of memory embedded into the system [1, 19]. To get a benchmark solution, we calculate the right-hand side  $g_2$  of (4.2) based on the exact solution

$$u = t^2 \sin(\pi x) \sin(\pi y) \sin(\pi z).$$

We apply all three proposed schemes with quadratic FEMs to solve the equation (4.2) by taking  $M = 60$  and several refined temporal meshes. Table 3 shows the  $L^2$ -errors at time  $T = 1$  and convergence rates in temporal direction with different  $\alpha$ . Table 4 shows  $L^2$ -errors at time  $T = 1$  and convergence rates in spatial direction for the scheme (2.6) with  $\alpha = 0.25$  and  $N = M^3$ . Again, the results in Tables 3 and 4 confirm our theoretical analysis.

Table 3:  $L^2$ -errors  $\|u^N - U_h^N\|_{L^2}$  and convergence rates in temporal direction for Eq. (4.2)

	$N$	$\alpha = 0.25$		$\alpha = 0.5$		$\alpha = 0.75$	
		error	order	error	order	error	order
Scheme (2.6)	5	3.48E-4	–	4.16E-4	–	6.50E-4	–
	10	2.24E-4	0.64	2.47E-4	0.75	3.51E-4	0.89
	20	1.25E-4	0.84	1.32E-4	0.90	1.76E-4	0.99
	40	6.61E-5	0.92	6.75E-5	0.97	8.65E-5	1.02
Scheme (2.11)	5	1.05E-3	–	1.34E-3	–	1.98E-3	–
	10	2.96E-4	1.82	4.11E-4	1.70	7.09E-4	1.48
	20	7.99E-5	1.88	1.24E-4	1.72	2.60E-4	1.45
	40	2.15E-5	1.89	3.77E-5	1.72	9.89E-5	1.39
Scheme (2.12)	5	3.04E-4	–	5.85E-4	–	1.21E-3	–
	10	9.26E-5	1.72	2.04E-4	1.52	4.99E-4	1.28
	20	2.65E-5	1.80	6.91E-5	1.56	2.05E-4	1.28
	40	7.86E-6	1.74	2.39E-5	1.53	8.46E-5	1.27

**Example 3.** We finally consider the time-fractional Fokker-Planck equation

$${}_0^C \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u = u_{xx} + \frac{\phi'(x)}{\eta_\alpha} u_x + \frac{\phi''(x)}{\eta_\alpha} u + g_3, \quad x \in [0, \pi], \quad 0 < t \leq 1. \quad (4.3)$$

The model describes the time evolution of the probability density function of position and velocity of a particle [2, 8]. Here  $u$  is the probability density,  $\phi$  indicates the potential of

Table 4:  $L^2$ -errors  $\|u^N - U_h^N\|_{L^2}$  and convergence rates in spatial direction for Eq. (4.2)

$M$	L-FEM		Q-FEM	
	error	order	error	order
5	5.73E-2	–	2.63E-3	–
10	1.54E-2	1.90	3.27E-4	3.01
20	3.91E-3	1.97	4.09E-5	3.00
40	9.86E-4	1.99	5.11E-6	3.00

overdamped Brownian motion,  $\eta_\alpha$  is the generalized friction coefficient. We set  $\phi(x) = \exp(x)$ ,  $\eta_\alpha = 1$ , calculate the function  $g_3$  based on the exact solution

$$u = (t^\alpha + t^2) \sin(x).$$

The exact solution  $u$  has an initial layer at  $t = 0$  since the derivative of the solution, i.e.,  $u_t(x, t)$ , blows up as  $t \rightarrow 0+$ . Clearly, the solution does not have the requisite regularity. We solve the linear equation (4.3) by the proposed three schemes with linear finite element approximation on uniform meshes. We set  $h = 10^{-4}$  and investigate the temporal convergence order by refining the temporal mesh  $\tau$ . The errors  $\max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \|u^n - U_h^n\|_{L^2}$  and convergence rates in the temporal direction with different  $\alpha$  are listed in Table 5. The results in Table 5 indicate that schemes (2.6), (2.11) and (2.12) are convergent, but the convergence rate is not of order 1 or  $2 - \alpha$  in the temporal direction any more. These results agree with the theoretical result shown in Remark 1.

Table 5: The errors  $\max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \|u^n - U_h^n\|_{L^2}$  and convergence rates in temporal direction for Eq. (4.3)

	$N$	$\alpha = 0.4$		$\alpha = 0.6$		$\alpha = 0.8$	
		error	order	error	order	error	order
Scheme (2.6)	50	1.91E-1	–	2.08E-1	–	2.21E-1	–
	100	1.13E-1	0.75	1.06E-1	0.97	1.13E-1	0.96
	200	7.63E-2	0.58	5.36E-2	0.98	5.73E-2	0.98
	400	5.07E-2	0.58	2.69E-2	0.99	2.89E-2	0.99
	800	3.36E-2	0.59	1.35E-2	0.99	1.45E-2	0.99
Scheme (2.11)	50	4.57E-2	–	2.21E-2	–	7.57E-3	–
	100	3.59E-2	0.35	1.47E-2	0.59	4.59E-3	0.72
	200	2.78E-2	0.37	9.55E-3	0.62	2.67E-3	0.78
	400	2.13E-2	0.39	6.17E-3	0.63	1.50E-3	0.83
	800	1.61E-2	0.40	3.98E-3	0.63	8.25E-4	0.86
Scheme (2.12)	50	1.38E-1	–	6.48E-2	–	3.53E-2	–
	100	1.06E-1	0.38	4.17E-2	0.64	1.93E-2	0.87
	200	8.07E-2	0.39	2.67E-2	0.64	1.07E-2	0.85
	400	6.08E-2	0.41	1.71E-2	0.64	6.04E-3	0.83
	800	4.56E-2	0.41	1.11E-2	0.63	3.43E-3	0.82

## 5 Conclusions

Several linearized  $L1$ -Galerkin FEMs have been proposed for solving time-fractional nonlinear parabolic PDEs (1.1) to avoid the iterations at each time step. Error estimates in previous literatures were generally obtained only in a small (local) time interval or in the case that the evolution of the numerical solution decreases in time. Clearly, it limits the applications of  $L1$ -type methods. In this paper, we establish a fundamental Gronwall type inequality for  $L1$  approximation to the Caputo fractional derivative, and provide theoretical analysis to derive the corresponding optimal error estimates without the restrictions required in previous works. A broad range of numerical examples are given to illustrate our theoretical results.

## Acknowledgements

The research was supported by NSFC under grants 11571128, 91430216 and U1530401, 11372354 a grant CityU 11302915 from the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, and a grant DRA2015518 from 333 High-level Personal Training Project of Jiangsu Province.

## References

- [1] M. ALQURAN, K. A. KHALED, T. SARDAR, J. CHATTOPADHYAY, *Revisited Fisher's equation in a new outlook: A fractional derivative approach*, Phys. A, 438 (2015), 81-93.
- [2] E. BARKAI, R. METZLER, J. KLAFTER, *From continuous time random walks to the fractional Fokker-Planck equation*, Phys. Rev. E, 61 (2000), 132-138.
- [3] A.H. BHRAWY, E.H. DOHA, S.S. EZZ-ELDIEN, R.A. VAN GORDER, *A new Jacobi spectral collocation method for solving 1+1 fractional Schrödinger equations and fractional coupled Schrödinger systems*, Eur. Phys. J. Plus, 129 (2014), 260.
- [4] A.H. BHRAWY, M. A. ABDELKAWY, *A fully spectral collocation approximation for multi-dimensional fractional Schrödinger equations*, J. Comput. Phys., 294 (2015) 462-483.
- [5] J. CAO, C. XU, *A high order schema for the numerical solution of the fractional ordinary differential equations*, J. Comput. Phys., 238 (2013), 154-168.
- [6] W. CAO, Z. ZHANG, G. KARNIADAKIS, *Time-splitting schemes for the fractional differential equations I: smooth solutions*, SIAM. J. Sci. Comput., 37 (2015), 1752-1776.
- [7] C. CHEN, F. LIU, V. ANH, I. TURNER, *Numerical methods for solving a two-dimensional variable-order anomalous subdiffusion equation*, Math. Comput., 81 (2012), 345-366.
- [8] W. DENG, *Numerical algorithm for the time fractional Fokker-Planck equation*, J. Comput. Phys., 227 (2007), 1510-1522.
- [9] G. GAO AND H. SUN, *Three-point combined compact alternating direction implicit difference schemes for two-dimensional time-fractional advection-diffusion equations*, Commun. Comput. Phys., 17 (2015), 487-509.



- [10] G. GAO, Z. SUN, *A compact finite difference scheme for the fractional sub-diffusion equations*, J. Comput. Phys, 230 (2011), 586-565.
- [11] R. HILFER, *Applications of fractional calculus in physics*, Word Scientific, Singapore, 2000.
- [12] Y. JIANG, J. MA, *High-order finite element methods for time-fractional partial differential equations*, J. Comp. Appl. Math., 11 (2011), 3285-3290.
- [13] B. JIN, R. LAZAROV, Z. ZHOU, *An analysis of the L1 scheme for the subdiffusion equation with nonsmooth data*, IMA. J. Numer. Anal., 36 (2016), 197-221.
- [14] B. JIN, R. LAZAROV, Z. ZHOU, *Two schemes for fractional diffusion and diffusion-wave equations*, SIAM. J. Sci. Comput., 38 (2016), 146-170.
- [15] A. A. KILBAS, H. M. SRIVASTAVA, J. J. TRUJILLO, *Theory and application of fractional differential equations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
- [16] T.A.M. LANGLANDS, B.I. HENRY, *The accuracy and stability of an implicit solution method for the fractional diffusion equation*, J. Comput. Phys., 205 (2005), 719-736.
- [17] C. LI, C. TAO, *On the fractional Adams method*, Comp. Math. Appl., 58 (2009), 1573-1588.
- [18] C. LI, Q. YI, A. CHEN, *Finite difference methods with non-uniform meshes for nonlinear fractional differential equations*, J. Comput. Phys., 316 (2016), 614-631.
- [19] D. LI, J. ZHANG, *Efficient implementation to numerically solve the nonlinear time fractional parabolic problems on unbounded spatial domain*, J. Comput. Phys., 322 (2016), 415-428.
- [20] D. LI, C. ZHANG, M. RAN, *A linear finite difference scheme for generalized time fractional Burgers equation*, Appl. Math. Model., 40 (2016), 6069-6081.
- [21] Y. LIN AND C. XU, *Finite difference/spectral approximations for the time-fractional diffusion equation*, J. Comput. Phys., 225 (2007), 1533-1552.
- [22] R.L. MAGIN, *Fractional calculus in bioengineering*, Begell House Publishers, 2006.
- [23] M. STYNES, E. O'RIORDAN, J. L. GRACE, *Error analysis of a finite difference method on graded meshes for a time-fractional diffusion equation*, submitted. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/306379686>.
- [24] W. MCLEAN, K. MUSTAPHA, *Convergence analysis of a discontinuous Galerkin method for a sub-diffusion equation*. Numer. Algor., 52 (2009), 69-88.
- [25] M. MERDAN, *Solutions of time-fractional reaction-diffusion equation with modified Riemann-Liouville derivative*, Int. J. Phys. Sci., 7 (2012), 2317-2326.
- [26] A. MOHEBBI, M. ABBASZADEH, M. DEGHAN, *The use of a meshless technique based on collocation and radial basis functions for solving the time fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equation arising in quantum mechanics*, Eng. Anal. Bound. Elem., 37 (2013), 475-485.
- [27] K. B. OLDHAM, J. SPANIER, *The fractional calculus*, Academic Press, New York, 1974.

- [28] I. PODLUBNY, *Fractional differential equations*, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Academic Press Inc., San Diego, CA, 1999.
- [29] Z.Z. SUN AND X. WU, *A fully discrete scheme for a diffusion wave system*, Appl. Numer. Math., 56(2) (2006), 193-209.
- [30] V. THOMÉE, *Galerkin finite element methods for parabolic problems*, Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- [31] H. WANG, T. S. BASU, *A Fast finite difference method for two-dimensional space-fractional diffusion equations*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 34 (2012), 2444-2458.
- [32] H. WANG, D. YANG, S. ZHU, *Inhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary-value problems of space-fractional diffusion equations and their finite element approximations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 52 (2014), 1292-1310.
- [33] Y. YU, W. DENG, Y. WU, *Positivity and boundedness preserving schemes for space time fractional predator prey reaction diffusion model*, Comput. Math. Appl., 69 (2015), 743-759.
- [34] S.B. YUSTE, L. ACEDO, *An explicit finite difference method and a new Neumann-type stability analysis for fractional diffusion equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 42 (2005), 1862-1874.
- [35] F. ZENG, C. LI, F. LIU, I. TURNER, *The use of finite difference/element approaches for solving the time fractional subdiffusion equations*, SIAM. J. Sci. Comput., 35 (2013), 2796-3000.
- [36] P. ZHUANG, F. LIU, V. ANH, I. TURNER, *Stability and convergence of an implicit numerical method for the nonlinear fractional reaction-subdiffusion process*, IMA J. Appl. Math, 74 (2009), 645-667.