

# Multiplicity of positive solutions for a critical quasilinear Neumann problem

Aleksandr Enin<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

We establish the multiplicity of positive solutions to a quasilinear Neumann problem in expanding balls and hemispheres with critical exponent in the boundary condition.

## 1 Introduction

We consider the following problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Delta_p u := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = |u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{in } B_R, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u; \mathbf{n} \rangle = |u|^{q-2} u \quad \text{on } S_R, \\ u > 0 \quad \text{in } B_R, \end{array} \right. \quad (1)$$

where  $B_R$  and  $S_R$  are the ball and the sphere with radius  $R$  respectively in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Here  $1 < p < n$  and  $q = p^{**} = \frac{(n-1)p}{(n-p)}$  is the critical exponent for the trace embedding.

We establish the multiplicity effect for weak solutions to (1). Namely we prove that the number of positive rotationally non-equivalent solutions is unbounded as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

The effect of multiplicity was discovered by Coffman [5] who considered the Dirichlet problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\Delta_p u = |u|^{q-2} u \quad \text{in } \Omega_R, \\ u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_R, \\ u > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_R, \end{array} \right. \quad (2)$$

where  $\Omega_R$  is the annulus  $B_R \setminus B_{R-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  for  $n = 2$  and  $p = 2$ . The problems (1) and (2) were studied later by many authors for subcritical  $q$  (see e.g. [17, 8, 10, 11, 4]). In [20] the multiplicity result was obtained for the Neumann problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\Delta u + \lambda u = |u|^{p^*-2} u \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ u > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \end{array} \right.$$

where  $\Omega$  satisfies some symmetry conditions and  $p^*$  is the critical exponent for the Sobolev trace embedding.

---

<sup>1</sup>Saint Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia; Saint Petersburg Electrotechnical University "LETI", St. Petersburg, Russia; Aleksandr.Enin@outlook.com

One can easily show that after suitable rescaling solutions of (1) are solutions to the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u & \text{in } B, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u; \mathbf{n} \rangle = |u|^{q-2} u & \text{on } S, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } B, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where  $B = B_1$ ,  $S = S_1$  and  $\lambda(R) = R^p$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

We look for distinct solutions of the problem (3) by minimizing the functional

$$I^\lambda[u] := \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L_p(B)}^p + \lambda \|u\|_{L_p(B)}^p}{\|u\|_{L_q(S)}^p} \quad (4)$$

on different subsets of  $W_p^1(B)$ .

In order to construct solutions to problem (3) let us introduce the following notation:

**Definition 1.** Let  $A \subset S$  and  $\varkappa > 0$ . We denote by  $A^\delta$   $\varkappa$ -neighborhood of a set  $A$ , i.e.

$$A^\delta = \{z \in S \mid \text{dist}(z, A) \leq \delta\}.$$

The following definition was introduced in [4]:

**Definition 2.** Let  $G$  be a closed subgroup of  $O(n)$ . We call set  $A \subset S$  a locally minimal orbital set under the action of  $G$  if  $A$  is invariant under the action of  $G$  and satisfies the following conditions:

- for any  $x \in A$  the orbit  $Gx$  is a discrete set and  $m(A) := |Gx|$  is independent of  $x$ .
- there exists  $\varkappa > 0$  such that for any  $y \in A^\varkappa \setminus A$  and  $x \in A$ , we have  $|Gx| < |Gy|$ .

We denote as  $m(G)$  the number of elements in the minimal orbit of  $G$  and  $K(n, p)$  stands for the best Sobolev trace constant in half-space defined as

$$K(n, p) = \inf_{v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|\nabla v\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^p}{\|v(\cdot, 0)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^p}.$$

The value of  $K(n, p)$  is calculated explicitly in [9] for  $p = 2$  and [13] for arbitrary  $p$ .

We consider local minimizers of functional (4) on sets

$$X_G(A, \beta) = \{u \in W_p^1(B) \mid u(gx) \equiv u(x) \forall g \in G, \|u\|_{L_q(S)} = 1, \|u\|_{L_q(A^\beta)}^q \geq 1 - \beta\},$$

where  $G$  is some closed subgroup of  $O(n)$ ,  $A$  is a locally minimal orbital set and  $\beta$  is some small parameter that we will choose later. We denote  $X_G(A, \beta)$  by  $X$  if it does not lead to confusion.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we prove some auxiliary lemmas and in Section 3 we establish main multiplicity results.

## 2 Auxiliary lemmas

The following fact is well known and will be given here without a proof.

**Proposition 1.** *The functional  $I^\lambda[u]$  is Gateaux differentiable and for any  $h \in W_p^1(B)$*

$$\begin{aligned} DI^\lambda[u](h) &= p \int_B |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla h \, dx \frac{1}{\|u\|_{L_q(S)}^p} - p \int_B |\nabla u|^p \, dx \int_S |u|^{q-2} u h \, dS \frac{1}{\|u\|_{L_q(S)}^{p+q}} \\ &\quad - p\lambda \int_B |u|^p \, dx \int_S |u|^{q-2} u h \, dS \frac{1}{\|u\|_{L_q(S)}^{p+q}} + p\lambda \int_B |u|^{p-2} u h \, dx \frac{1}{\|u\|_{L_q(S)}^p}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $u_j^\lambda \in W_p^1(B)$  be a bounded Palais-Smale sequence for  $I^\lambda$  at the level  $c > 0$ . Then there is  $u_0^\lambda \in W_p^1(B)$  such that up to subsequence  $u_j^\lambda \rightarrow u_0^\lambda$  and*

$$|\nabla u_j^\lambda|^p \, dx \rightarrow \mu \geq |\nabla u_0^\lambda|^p \, dx + \sum_k \mu_k \delta(x - x_k), \quad (5)$$

$$|u_j^\lambda|^q \, dS \rightarrow \nu = |u_0^\lambda|^q \, dS + \sum_k \nu_k \delta(x - x_k), \quad (6)$$

where  $\delta(x - x_k)$  are delta measures at some points  $x_k$  in  $S$  and  $\mu_k \geq K(n, p) \nu_k^{\frac{p}{q}}$ . Furthermore, either  $\nu_k = 0$  or  $\nu_k \geq (c^{-1} \cdot K(n, p))^{\frac{q}{q-p}} \nu(S)$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\{u_j^\lambda\}$  is bounded in  $W_p^1(B)$ , the relations (5) and (6) follow by the Lions concentration-compactness principle [12]. Since  $I^\lambda$  is homogeneous we can assume without loss of generality that  $\|u_j^\lambda\|_{L_q(S)} = 1$  and  $\nu(S) = 1$ . Next we use the argument from [6, 1]: Let us fix  $x_k$  from (5) and (6). We choose  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\varphi = 1 \text{ in } B(x_k, \varepsilon), \quad \varphi = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x_k, 2\varepsilon), \quad |\nabla \varphi| \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon}.$$

Since  $DI^\lambda[u_j^\lambda] \rightarrow 0$  we obtain

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle DI^\lambda[u_j^\lambda], \varphi u_j^\lambda \rangle = 0$$

Then

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_B |\nabla u_j^\lambda|^{p-2} \nabla u_j^\lambda \cdot \nabla \varphi u_j^\lambda \, dx = c \int_S \varphi \, d\nu - \int_B \varphi \, d\mu - \lambda \int_B |u_0^\lambda|^p \varphi \, dx. \quad (7)$$

One can estimate the left hand side as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \left| \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_B |\nabla u_j^\lambda|^{p-2} \nabla u_j^\lambda \cdot \nabla \varphi u_j^\lambda \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_B |\nabla u_j^\lambda|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left( \int_B |\nabla \varphi|^p |u_j^\lambda|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_{B(x_k, 2\varepsilon)} |\nabla \varphi|^p |u_0^\lambda|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_{B(x_k, 2\varepsilon)} |\nabla \varphi|^n \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left( \int_{B(x_k, 2\varepsilon)} |u_0^\lambda|^{\frac{np}{n-p}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{n-p}{pn}} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_{B(x_k, 2\varepsilon)} |u_0^\lambda|^{\frac{np}{n-p}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{n-p}{pn}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit in (7) we get  $\nu_k = c^{-1}\mu_k \geq c^{-1}K(n,p)\nu_k^{\frac{p}{q}}$ . This means either  $\nu_k \geq (c^{-1}K(n,p))^{\frac{q}{q-p}}$  or  $\nu_k = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $u^\lambda \in X$  be a sequence such that  $I^\lambda[u^\lambda] \leq K(n,p)m(A)^{1-\frac{p}{q}}$ . Then there is a  $\beta_0 > 0$  such that for any  $\beta \leq \beta_0$  there is  $x_0 \in S$  such that we have up to subsequence the following weak convergence in the sense of measures as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ :*

$$|u^\lambda|^q dS \rightharpoonup \sum_{x_k \in Gx_0} \frac{1}{m(A)} \delta(x - x_k). \quad (8)$$

**Proof.** Since  $\|u^\lambda\|_{W_p^1}^p \leq I^\lambda[u^\lambda] \leq K(n,p)m(A)^{1-\frac{p}{q}}$  by the Lions concentration-compactness principle we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla u^\lambda|^p dx &\rightharpoonup \mu \geq |\nabla u_0|^p dx + K(n,p) \sum_k \nu_k^{\frac{p}{q}} \delta(x - x_k), \\ |u^\lambda|^q dS &\rightharpoonup \nu = |u_0|^q dS + \sum_k \nu_k \delta(x - x_k), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta(x - x_k)$  are delta measures at some points  $x_k$  in  $S$ .

Since  $\lambda \|u^\lambda\|_{L_p(B)}^p$  is uniformly bounded, we have  $u^\lambda \rightarrow 0$  in  $L_p(B)$  so  $u_0 = 0$ . Combining the above with the fact that  $u^\lambda$  are invariant with respect to  $G$  we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} I^\lambda[u^\lambda] = \mu(B) &\geq K(n,p) \sum_k \nu_k^{\frac{p}{q}} = K(n,p) \sum_j |Gx_j| \left( \frac{\tilde{\nu}_j}{|Gx_j|} \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \\ &= K(n,p) \sum_j |Gx_j|^{1-\frac{p}{q}} \tilde{\nu}_j^{\frac{p}{q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Here  $j$  goes over different classes of equivalence of  $x_k$ , and  $\tilde{\nu}_j = |Gx_j|\nu_j$  is a total contribution of that class to  $\nu(\partial\Omega)$ . The second equality is due to the fact that  $u^\lambda$  are  $G$ -invariant, so for every  $x_k$  there are  $|Gx_k|$   $\delta$ -functions with the same coefficient.

Since  $p < q$  we have  $a^{\frac{p}{q}} + b^{\frac{p}{q}} > (a+b)^{\frac{p}{q}}$ , for any  $a > 0, b > 0$ . Recalling that  $A$  is a locally minimal orbital set we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(B) &\geq K(n,p)m(A)^{1-\frac{p}{q}} \sum_{j: x_j \in A} \tilde{\nu}_j^{\frac{p}{q}} + K(n,p) \sum_{i: x_i \notin A} |Gx_i|^{1-\frac{p}{q}} \tilde{\nu}_i^{\frac{p}{q}} \geq \\ &\geq K(n,p)(m(A)^{1-\frac{p}{q}} \alpha^{\frac{p}{q}} + m(G)^{1-\frac{p}{q}} (1-\alpha)^{\frac{p}{q}}), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where  $1 - \beta \leq \alpha \leq 1$  (we recall that  $m(G)$  is the number of elements in the minimal orbit of  $G$ ).

It's easy to see that the right hand side of (10) is a concave function of  $\alpha$ . That means that if  $\beta$  is small enough, then the right hand side is a decreasing function, which achieves it's minimum of  $K(n,p)m(A)^{1-\frac{p}{q}}$  at  $\alpha = 1$ .

Since by assumption  $\mu(B) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} I^\lambda[u^\lambda] \leq K(n,p)m(A)^{1-\frac{p}{q}}$  we conclude that  $\alpha = 1$ . Recalling that for  $u \in X$   $\|u\|_{L_q(S)} = 1$  we get (8).  $\square$

From now on we always assume that  $\lambda$  is fixed and whenever there is a limit it is taken over  $j \rightarrow \infty$  unless specified otherwise.

**Lemma 3.** *The minimum of  $I^\lambda$  on  $X$  is attained if  $\lambda$  is large enough and*

$$\inf_{u \in X} I^\lambda [u] < K(n, p)m(A)^{1-\frac{p}{q}}.$$

**Proof.** The Ekeland's variational principle [7] provides the existence of a minimizing sequence  $u_j^\lambda \in X$  such that  $I'[u_j^\lambda] \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $u_j^\lambda$  is a Palais-Smale sequence at the level  $\inf_{u \in X} I^\lambda [u] < K(n, p)m(A)^{1-\frac{p}{q}}$ , Lemma 1 gives the estimate on any non-zero  $\nu_k$  in (6):

$$\nu_k > m(A)^{-(1-\frac{p}{q})\frac{q}{q-p}} = \frac{1}{m(A)}. \quad (11)$$

Suppose that there is a  $\delta$ -function outside of  $A$ . From (8) follows that for large  $\lambda$  almost all of  $\nu(S)$  mass is concentrated in a  $\varkappa$ -neighbourhood of  $A$ , and according to (11) there are no  $\delta$ -functions outside of that neighbourhood.

Let us suppose that there is a  $\delta$ -function at  $x_k \in A^\varkappa$  with weight  $\nu_k$ . Since  $A$  is a locally minimal orbital set, we know that  $|Gx_k| \geq m(A)$ . Now from (9) and (11) we derive

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} I^\lambda [u_j^\lambda] \geq K(n, p)|Gx_k| \left( \frac{1}{m(A)} \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} = K(n, p)m(A)^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, \quad (12)$$

which is a contradiction.

From that follows that for  $u_0^\lambda$  in (8)  $\|u_0^\lambda\|_{L_q(S)} = \|u_j^\lambda\|_{L_q(S)} = 1$ . It is well known, that weak convergence and convergence of norms implies strong convergence in uniformly convex Banach space (e.g. [3, Proposition 3.32]), and that completes our proof. That way  $u_0^\lambda \in X$  and  $I^\lambda [u_0^\lambda]$  attains minimal value.  $\square$

### 3 Main results

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $G = H \times O(n - k)$  where  $H$  is a finite subgroup of  $O(k)$  and  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  is a minimal orbital set under the action of  $H$ .*

*Then for any fixed  $\beta$ ,  $\lambda$  large enough and  $p \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$  we have*

$$\inf_{u \in X} I^\lambda [u^\lambda] < K(n, p)m(A)^{1-\frac{p}{q}}. \quad (13)$$

**Proof.** Let  $x_0 \in Gx_0$  be a point in  $A \times \{0\}$ . As was shown in [14] (see also [2]) there is a function  $u_R$  in  $W_p^1(B_R)$  supported in a small ball around  $Rx_0$  and axially symmetric with respect to the axis  $Ox_0$ , such that  $\|u_R\|_{W_p^1(B_R)}^p < K(n, p)\|u\|_{L_q(S_R)}^p$ .

Now we construct the function

$$v_R(x) = \sum_{g \in H} u_R(gx).$$

It is easy to see that  $v_R$  is  $G$ -invariant and

$$\frac{\|v_R\|_{W_p^1(B_R)}^p}{\|v_R\|_{L_q(S_R)}^p} = m(A)^{1-\frac{p}{q}} \frac{\|u_R\|_{W_p^1(B_R)}^p}{\|u_R\|_{L_q(S_R)}^p} < K(n, p)m(A)^{1-\frac{p}{q}}.$$

By rescaling we obtain (13).  $\square$

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $p \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$  and let  $G$  be as in Lemma 4. Suppose that  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  is some locally minimal orbital set of  $H$ . Then there is  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that for any  $\lambda > \lambda_0$  there is a  $G$ -invariant solution of problem (3) such that it concentrates at  $|Gx_0|$  points in the  $Gx_0$  for some  $x_0 \in A \times \{0\}$ , i.e.*

$$\frac{|u^\lambda|^q}{\|u^\lambda\|_{L_q(S)}^q} \rightharpoonup \sum_{k=1}^{|G(x_0)|} \frac{1}{|G(x_0)|} \delta(x - x_k) \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Proof.** According to Lemmas 4 and 3 there is a minimizer  $u \in X$  such that it is concentrated around  $m(A)$  points of  $A \times \{0\}$ . Lemma 2 implies that if  $\lambda$  is large enough the constraint  $\|u\|_{L_q(A^\delta)}^q > 1 - \beta$  is non-active and does not produce a Lagrange multiplier. Since  $I^\lambda[u] = I^\lambda[|u|]$  we can assume that  $u$  is non-negative. Since  $u$  is a local minimizer, we get for  $\mu = I^\lambda[u]$  (see Proposition 1):

$$\int_B |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla h \, dx + \lambda \int_B |u|^{p-2} u h \, dx - \mu \int_S |u|^{q-2} u h \, dS = 0 \quad \forall h \in L_G,$$

where

$$L_G = \{h \in W_p^1(B) \mid h(gx) = h(x) \, \forall g \in G\}.$$

Due to the principle of symmetric criticality [16]  $u$  is a solution to the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u := \lambda |u|^{p-2} u & \text{in } B, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u; \mathbf{n} \rangle = \mu |u|^{q-2} u & \text{on } S, \end{cases}$$

Since  $u \geq 0$  in  $B$  we can apply the Harnack inequality (see [19], [18]) and get the positivity of our solution. Since the boundary condition is not homogeneous, it's easy to show that  $\mu^{\frac{1}{p-q}} u$  is a solution for problem (3).  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *For any  $N > 0$  there is  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that for every  $\lambda > \lambda_0$  problem (3) has at least  $N$  distinct solutions.*

**Proof.** Let us look at the following decomposition of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$\mathbb{R}^n = (\mathbb{R}^2)^l \times \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Here  $l \geq 1$ ,  $m \geq 0$ . We denote variables in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by  $x$ , in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by  $y$  and in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  by  $z$ . This way,

$$x = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_l; z).$$

We introduce the group  $G_{k,l} = H_{k,l} \times O(m)$  where  $H_{k,l}$  is generated by rotations of every  $y_i$  by  $\frac{2\pi}{k}$  and by transpositions of  $y_i$  and  $y_j$  for every  $i$  and  $j$ .

Let  $A$  be a globally minimal orbital set for the action of  $H_{k,l}$ . One can easily check that  $A \times \{0\}$  is a locally minimal orbital set for  $G_{k,l}$ .

Now we show that for  $l \geq 1$  and  $k > 2$  the minimizers will be non-equivalent. In order to do that we analyse minimal orbits of  $H_{k,l}$ . The simple calculation yields that a minimal orbit would be of a point  $(y, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2l}$  where  $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and it consists of  $k \cdot l$  points. Knowing

the structure of the minimal orbits we can deduce that minimizers would be different for different pairs of  $(k, l)$  and  $(k', l')$ .  $\square$

Now we consider an analogue of the problem (3) in an  $n$ -dimensional hemisphere.

To prove the multiplicity result we only need to modify lemma 4 by using the existence result from [15].

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $n \geq 5$  and let  $B$  be an  $n$ -dimensional hemisphere. Let  $G = H \times O(n - k)$  where  $H$  is a finite subgroup of  $O(k)$  such that  $A$  is a minimal orbital set under the action of  $H \times \{0\}$ .*

*Then for any fixed  $\beta, \lambda$  large enough and  $2 < p \leq \frac{n+2}{3}$  we have*

$$\inf_{u \in X} I^\lambda[u^\lambda] < K(n, p)m(A)^{1-\frac{p}{q}}.$$

Repeating the previous arguments we get the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $n \geq 5$ , and let  $B$  be an  $n$ -dimensional hemisphere,  $2 < p \leq \frac{n+2}{3}$ . Then for any  $N > 0$  there is a  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that for any  $\lambda > \lambda_0$  problem (3) has at least  $N$  rotationally non-equivalent solutions.*

## Acknowledgements

I am grateful to A.I. Nazarov for stimulating discussions.

The work has been supported by RFBR №14-01-00534.

A part of this paper was written during the visit of author to University of Cologne, supported by joint program of DAAD and St. Petersburg State University "Dmitrij Mendeleev". I am grateful to professor Bernd Kawohl for the hospitality during this visit.

## References

- [1] Bonder, J. F., Rossi J. D. "On the existence of extremals for the Sobolev trace embedding theorem with critical exponent." *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society* 37.1 (2005): 119-125.
- [2] Bonder, J. F., Saintier N. "Estimates for the Sobolev trace constant with critical exponent and applications." *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata* 187.4 (2008): 683-704.
- [3] Brezis, H. *Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations*. Universitext. Springer, New York, (2011).
- [4] Byeon, J. "Existence of many nonequivalent nonradial positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations on three-dimensional annuli." *Journal of differential equations* 136.1 (1997): 136-165.
- [5] Coffman, C. V. "A non-linear boundary value problem with many positive solutions." *Journal of Differential Equations* 54.3 (1984): 429-437.

- [6] Demengel, F., Nazaret B. "On some nonlinear partial differential equations involving the p-Laplacian and critical Sobolev trace maps." *Asymptotic Analysis* 23.2 (2000): 135-156.
- [7] Ekeland, I. "On the variational principle." *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 47.2 (1974): 324-353.
- [8] Enin A. I., Nazarov A. I., Multiplicity of solutions to the quasilinear Neumann problem in the 3-dimensional case, *J. Math. Sci.* 207.2 (2015): 206-217.
- [9] Escobar, J. F. "Sharp constant in a Sobolev trace inequality." *Indiana University Mathematics Journal* 37, no. 3 (1988): 687-698.
- [10] Kolonitskii, S. B. "Multiplicity of solutions of the Dirichlet problem for an equation with the p-Laplacian in a three-dimensional spherical layer." *St. Petersburg Mathematical Journal* 22.3 (2011): 485-495.
- [11] Li, Y. Y. "Existence of many positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations on annulus." *Journal of Differential Equations* 83.2 (1990): 348-367.
- [12] Lions, P. L. "The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case, part 2." *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* 1.2 (1985): 45-121.
- [13] Nazaret, B. "Best constant in Sobolev trace inequalities on the half-space." *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications* 65, no. 10 (2006): 1977-1985.
- [14] Nazarov, A. I., Reznikov A. B. "On the existence of an extremal function in critical Sobolev trace embedding theorem." *Journal of Functional Analysis* 258.11 (2010): 3906-3921.
- [15] Nazarov, A. I., Reznikov A. B. "Attainability of infima in the critical Sobolev trace embedding theorem on manifolds." *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Related Topics: Dedicated to Nina N. Uraltseva* 64 (2010): 197-210.
- [16] Palais, R. S. "The principle of symmetric criticality." *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 69.1 (1979): 19-30.
- [17] Scheglova, A. P. "Multiplicity of solutions to a boundary-value problem with nonlinear Neumann condition." *Journal of Mathematical Sciences* 128.5 (2005): 3306-3333.
- [18] Serrin, J. "On the Harnack inequality for linear elliptic equations." *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique* 4.1 (1954): 292-308.
- [19] Trudinger, N. S. "On Harnack type inequalities and their application to quasilinear elliptic equations." *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics* 20.4 (1967): 721-747.
- [20] Wang, Z. Q. "Construction of multi-peaked solutions for a nonlinear Neumann problem with critical exponent in symmetric domains." *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications* 27.11 (1996): 1281-1306.