arXiv:1612.01226v3 [math.NT] 10 Dec 2016

Computing the Fixed Field of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}(x)/\mathbb{F})$

Richard Mandel*

February 1, 2022

1 Introduction

For a finite field $\mathbb{F}$, it is a basic result of Galois theory that $\mathbb{F}(x)/\mathbb{F}$ is not a Galois extension.[1] In other words, if $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}(x)/\mathbb{F})$ and E is the fixed field of G, then $\mathbb{F} \subsetneq E$ is a proper extension. This follows from the fact that G is finite, which implies (by a well known theorem due to Artin [1, p. 252]) that

$$[\mathbb{F}(x) : E] = |G|, \tag{1}$$

whereas $[\mathbb{F}(x) : \mathbb{F}] = \infty$.[2] However, constructing E for a general finite field is more difficult than establishing this fact.

In this expository paper, we construct the fixed field E of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}(x)/\mathbb{F})$ for all finite fields $\mathbb{F}$, demonstrating that it is an extension of the form $\mathbb{F}(f)$, where $f \in \mathbb{F}(x)$ is explicitly determined. The generator f is shown to be easily computable in all cases using a simple formula.

A more concise elementary construction of E, due to Paul Rivoire, may be found in [2], in which the fixed fields of the subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}(x)/\mathbb{F})$ are also constructed. However, our exposition may be more accessible to the modern undergraduate student, and presents the generator f in a simple summation formula not given in [2]. One can also construct E using more sophisticated machinery from the theory of algebraic function fields; for insight into this approach, we refer the reader to [3, p. 237, ex. 6.9].

*This work was supported by the Barnett and Jean Hollander Rich Summer Mathematics Internship, and supervised by Professor Alice Medvedev, to whom we are indebted for her invaluable guidance.

[1] While some authors consider only algebraic Galois extensions, here (in the transcendental case) we apply the more general definition used in [1], whereby an extension $K \subset F$ is Galois if the fixed field of $\mathrm{Aut}(F/K)$ is K itself.

[2] This fact is the answer to an exercise in Thomas Hungerford's *Algebra*, which motivates the present work[1, p. 256, ex. 9b]. Thanks are due to Professor Khalid Bou-Rabee, in whose class the exercise was encountered.

The strategy will to be to look for an element $f \in E$ such that $[\mathbb{F}(x) : \mathbb{F}(f)] = |G|$, implying that $\mathbb{F}(f) = E$ by equation (1).

2 Definitions and Notation

The following will hold throughout.

1. Let $q = p^n$, where p is prime and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
2. The field $\mathbb{F}$ will always refer to $\mathbb{F}_q$, the unique (up to isomorphism) field containing q elements.
3. For a field F, $F(x)$ (resp. $F[x]$) denotes the field of rational functions (resp. ring of polynomials) in one indeterminate over F. The symbol 'x' will only be used in this context.
4. For fields $K \subset F$, $\mathrm{Aut}(F/K)$ denotes the group of automorphisms of F which fix K. Let $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}(x)/\mathbb{F})$.
5. Let E denote the fixed field of G.
6. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $f_k \in \mathbb{F}(x)$ be defined as follows:

$$f_k := \sum_{\varphi \in G} \varphi(x)^k.$$

Example 1 For $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2$,

$$f_k = x^k + (x+1)^k + \frac{1}{x^k} + \frac{1}{(x+1)^k} + \left(\frac{x+1}{x}\right)^k + \left(\frac{x}{x+1}\right)^k$$

(see Fact 7 below for a characterization of the elements of G).

3 Main Results

For any $\sigma \in G$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\sigma(f_k) = \sigma\left(\sum_{\varphi \in G} \varphi(x)^k\right) = \sum_{\varphi \in G} [\sigma \circ \varphi(x)]^k = \sum_{\varphi \in G} \varphi(x)^k = f_k$$

so that $f_k \in E$. It is thus reasonable to inquire as to whether f_k generates E for a suitable k. In the following result, we will show that this is indeed the case.

Theorem 1 *Let $m = q^2 - 1$. Then*

$$E = \mathbb{F}(f_m)$$

(where $E, \mathbb{F}$ and f_k are as defined in section 2)

While this theorem provides a generator for E over $\mathbb{F}$, it will soon be clear that the definition of f_m in section 2 is not practical for computation (at least in all but the smallest finite fields). The other main result addresses this with a surprisingly simple formula for f_m:

Theorem 2 *Let*

$$\theta_i = \begin{cases} 2 \text{ for } i = q, 2q, \ldots, q^2 \\ 1 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

and let

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \sum_{i=0}^{q(q+1)} \theta_i x^{i(q-1)} \\ h &= \sum_{i=1}^{q} x^{iq(q-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then g, h are relatively prime and $f_m = g/h$.

Theorem 2 has the somewhat surprising consequence that $E \subset \mathbb{F}_p(x)$, since the coefficients of f_m are shown to be either 1 or 2 (or just 1, in characteristic 2).

We postpone the proofs of these theorems in order to establish some preliminary facts and lemmas. Note that $m = q^2 - 1$ will be henceforth fixed.

4 Facts and Lemmas

We recall the following well known facts. In most cases, complete proofs are omitted in favor of a brief justification.

Fact 1 *For any field extension $K \subset F$, if H is a finite subgroup of $Aut(F/K)$ and H' the fixed field of H, then*

$$[F : H'] = |H|.$$

This is a generalization of equation (1) in section 1, which follows from identical reasoning.

Fact 2 *For any $h \in E$, if $[\mathbb{F}(x) : \mathbb{F}(h)] < \infty$, then $[\mathbb{F}(x) : \mathbb{F}(h)]$ is divisible by $|G|$.*

This follows immediately from Fact 1, since

$$[\mathbb{F}(x) : \mathbb{F}(h)] = [\mathbb{F}(x) : E][E : \mathbb{F}(h)] = |G|[E : \mathbb{F}(h)].$$

Fact 3 *The map $\phi : \mathbb{F}(x) \to \mathbb{F}(x)$ given by $\phi(s) = s^p$ is an endomorphism of fields.*

It is clear that ϕ is an endomorphism of the multiplicative group of $\mathbb{F}(x)$, so it remains only to verify that ϕ is an endomorphism of the additive group. Observing that $\binom{p}{n} = 0$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots, p-1$, this follows immediately from an application of the binomial theorem to $(s+t)^p$. This is known as the Frobenius endomorphism.

Fact 4 *(Fermat's little theorem) For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$, we have*

$$\alpha^q = \alpha$$

If $\alpha \neq 0$, we also have

$$\alpha^{q-1} = 1$$

The second identity, of which the first is an immediate consequence, follows from the fact that the multiplicative group of a finite field is cyclic [1, p. 279]. Since this result implies that each $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ is a root of $x^q - x$, we obtain the following corollary:

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}} (x - \alpha) = x^q - x$$

Fact 5

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}} \alpha^k = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } (q-1) \big| k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

PROOF: Recalling that the multiplicative group of $\mathbb{F}$ is cyclic (see Fact 4), let y be a generator for this group and let $(q-1) \not| k$. Then

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}} \alpha^k = \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} y^{ik} = \frac{y^{qk} - y^k}{y^k - 1}$$

where the denominator on the right hand side is assured to be nonzero by our assumption that $q-1$ not divide k. It follows from Fact 4 that the numerator on the right hand side is zero, proving the "otherwise" case. If $(q-1) \big| k$, then by Fact 4 we have

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}} \alpha^k = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \\ \alpha \neq 0}} 1 = q - 1 = -1$$

completing the proof.
QED

Fact 6 *If F is any field, $g, h \in F[x]$ are relatively prime and $g/h \notin F$, then*

$$[F(x) : F(g/h)] = Max\{deg\ g, deg\ h\}$$

PROOF: Let $\psi \in F(g/h)[t]$ be defined as

$$\psi(t) = \frac{g(x)}{h(x)} h(t) - g(t)$$

Then $\psi \neq 0$ (since $g/h \notin F$) and $\psi(x) = 0$. We wish to show that ψ is irreducible over $F(g/h)$.

Since g/h is transcendental over F (because $g/h \notin F$ [1, p. 233]) we may replace g/h with the indeterminate z. The resulting polynomial $zh(t) - g(t)$ is primitive over $F[z]$ (because h, g are relatively prime) so by Gauss' lemma [1, p. 163] it suffices to show that $zh(t) - g(t)$ is irreducible over $F[z]$. Let us assume it is not. As this polynomial is linear in z, there must be a factorization of the form

$$zh(t) - g(t) = [za(t) + b(t)]c(t)$$

with $a, b, c \in F[t]$, which implies that $c(t)$ divides both g and h. But this contradicts our assumption that g, h are relatively prime, so ψ is irreducible over $F(g/h)$.

Since x is a root of ψ, which we have just shown to be irreducible over $F(g/h)$, we have

$$[F(x) : F(g/h)] = [F(g/h)(x) : F(g/h)] = \deg\, \psi = \text{Max}\{\deg\, f, \deg\, g\}$$

which completes the proof. QED

Fact 7 *For any field F, the elements φ of Aut$(F(x)/\mathbb{F})$ are determined by equations of the form*

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{ax + b}{cx + d}$$

where $ad \neq bc$.

PROOF: Let $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(F(x)/F)$, and let $g, h \in F[x]$ be relatively prime and such that $\varphi(x) = g/h$. Then $F(g/h) = \text{Im}\ \varphi = F(x)$, so that Fact 6 implies that

$$\text{Max}\{\deg\, g, \deg\, h\} = [F(x), F(g/h)] = 1.$$

Thus, $g/h = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d}$ for some $a, b, c, d \in F$, with $ad \neq bc$ (this restriction is equivalent to the requirement that $ax + b$ and $cx + d$ be relatively prime and not both constant).

Conversely, given $a, b, c, d \in F$ where $ad \neq bc$, let $\varphi : F(x) \to F(x)$ be the homomorphism induced by $x \mapsto \frac{ax+b}{cx+d}$. Since $\frac{ax+b}{cx+d} \notin F$, it follows that $\frac{ax+b}{cx+d}$ is transcendental over F, so φ is injective. Moreover, Im $\varphi = F(\frac{ax+b}{cx+d})$, so by Fact 6 $[K(x) : \text{Im}\ \varphi] = 1$, implying that φ is surjective and hence $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(F(x)/F)$. QED

Fact 8 $|G| = (q + 1)q(q - 1)$

This can be demonstrated in a number of ways. For convenience, we first show that

$$G \cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}), \tag{2}$$

from which the statement follows immediately using the well known formula for $|\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F})|$. To show that (2) is true, let φ_{abcd} be the element of G which maps $x \mapsto \frac{ax+b}{cx+d}$. In view of Fact 7, it is easily verified that the map $\mu : GL_2(\mathbb{F}) \to G$, where

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \overset{\mu}{\longmapsto} \varphi_{abcd},$$

is a surjective homomorphism. Since $\operatorname{Ker}\mu$ consists of all multiples of the identity, (2) follows immediately.

4.1

In light of Fact 7, we may write f_k as follows

$$f_k = \sum_{\substack{a,b\in\mathbb{F} \\ a\neq 0}} (ax+b)^k + \sum_{\substack{a,b,c\in\mathbb{F} \\ ac\neq b}} \left(\frac{ax+b}{x+c}\right)^k. \tag{3}$$

Thus, letting $h_k = \prod_{c\in\mathbb{F}}(x+c)^k = (x^q - x)^k$ (where the second equation follows from the corollary to Fact 4), we obtain $f_k = g_k/h_k$ for an appropriate $g_k \in \mathbb{F}[x]$. If the terms of degree k in the first sum on the right hand side of (3) do not sum to zero, the highest degree term of g will come from

$$h_k \sum_{\substack{a,b\in\mathbb{F} \\ a\neq 0}} (ax+b)^k = (x^q - x)^k \sum_{\substack{a,b\in\mathbb{F} \\ a\neq 0}} (ax+b)^k$$

so that $\deg g_k = k(q+1)$. Thus, $[\mathbb{F}(x) : \mathbb{F}(f_k)] \leq k(q+1)$ by Fact 6. Since we need $[\mathbb{F}(x) : \mathbb{F}(f_k)] = |G| = (q+1)q(q-1)$, this means that, roughly speaking, we are looking for some $k \geq q(q-1)$ such that the high degree terms of

$$\sum_{\substack{a,b\in\mathbb{F} \\ a\neq 0}} (ax+b)^k$$

do not sum to zero. It turns out that most choices of k do not have the desired property, because

$$\sum_{\substack{a,b\in\mathbb{F} \\ a\neq 0}} (ax+b)^k = \sum_{\substack{a\in\mathbb{F} \\ a\neq 0}} a^k \sum_{b\in\mathbb{F}} (x+b/a)^k = \left(\sum_{a\in\mathbb{F}} a^k\right) \sum_{b\in\mathbb{F}} (x+b)^k \tag{4}$$

(where the second equation holds because b/a runs through every element of $\mathbb{F}$, and the terms in which $a = 0$ are included on the right hand side as they contribute nothing to the sum) and by Fact 5 the right hand side is zero whenever $(q-1)\big|k$. Hence, $m = (q-1)(q+1)$ is a promising candidate. The following 2 lemmas formalize these notions, and will finally allow us to prove Theorem 1.

Lemma 1 *If $g, h \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ are polynomials such that $g/h \in E$ and deg $h <$ deg $g < 2|G|$, then*

$$E = \mathbb{F}(g/h).$$

PROOF: Suppose that the above conditions hold, and let $g/h = g'/h'$ with g', h' relatively prime. By Fact 6,

$$[\mathbb{F}(x) : \mathbb{F}(g/h)] = \text{Max}\{\deg g', \deg h'\} = \deg g' \leq \deg g < 2|G|.$$

Since deg $h <$ deg g, it follows that $g/h \in E \setminus \mathbb{F}$, so that $[\mathbb{F}(x) : \mathbb{F}(g/h)] < \infty$. Thus, Fact 2 implies that

$$|G| \Big| [\mathbb{F}(x) : \mathbb{F}(g/h)] < 2|G|$$

proving that $[\mathbb{F}(x) : \mathbb{F}(g/h)] = |G| = [\mathbb{F}(x) : E]$. Since $\mathbb{F}(g/h) \subset E$, the desired result follows. QED

Lemma 2 *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be a multiple of $q - 1$. Then there exists a polynomial $g_k \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ such that*

$$f_k = g_k/(x^q - x)^k$$

and deg $g_k = k(q + 1)$.

As this is essentially a restatement of the arguments presented at the beginning of the present section, the proof is omitted.

5 Proof of Main Results

PROOF OF THEOREM 1: By Lemma 2, we have

$$f_m = g_m/(x^q - x)^m$$

where deg $g_m = m(q + 1) = |G| + m$ (by Fact 8). Since $|G| < |G| + m < 2|G|$, an application of Lemma 1 completes the proof. QED

Example 2 Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2 = \mathbb{Z}_2$, so that $|G| = 6$ and

$$f_m = f_3 = x^3 + (x+1)^3 + \frac{1}{x^3} + \frac{1}{(x+1)^3} + \left(\frac{x+1}{x}\right)^3 + \left(\frac{x}{x+1}\right)^3$$

which, with a little work, simplifies to

$$f_m = \frac{x^6 + x^5 + x^3 + x + 1}{x^4 + x^2}.$$

It is clear that such computations are prohibitively complex for larger fields. In order to derive the simple formula of Theorem 2, the following technical lemmas are required.

Lemma 3 *For $A, B \in \mathbb{F}(x)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$(A-B)^{p^k-1} = A^{p^k-1} + A^{p^k-2}B + \ldots + AB^{p^k-2} + B^{p^k-1}$$

PROOF: If $A = B$, then

$$A^{p^k-1} + A^{p^k-2}B + \ldots + AB^{p^k-2} + B^{p^k-1} = p^k A^{p^k-1} = 0 = (A-B)^{p^k-1}$$

and the statement holds. If $A \neq B$, then

$$(A-B)^{p^k-1} \quad = \quad \frac{(A-B)^{p^k}}{A-B} = \frac{A^{p^k} - B^{p^k}}{A-B} = A^{p^k-1} + A^{p^k-2}B + \ldots + AB^{p^k-2} + B^{p^k-1}$$

(where the second equation follows from Fact 3) completing the proof.
QED

Lemma 4 *If $(q-1)\big|k$, then*

$$f_k = 1 - \left(1 + \sum_{b\in\mathbb{F}} (x-b)^k\right)\left(1 + \sum_{c\in\mathbb{F}} \frac{1}{(x-c)^k}\right). \tag{5}$$

PROOF: First, note that the right hand side of (5) is equal to

$$1 - \left(1 + \sum_{b\in\mathbb{F}} (x+b)^k\right)\left(1 + \sum_{c\in\mathbb{F}} \frac{1}{(x+c)^k}\right) \tag{6}$$

since $-b, -c$ run through all elements of $\mathbb{F}$. Starting with equation (3) in section 4.1, let us separate the terms in the rightmost sum according to whether or not the numerator is constant, obtaining

$$f_k = \sum_{\substack{a,b\in\mathbb{F}\\ a\neq 0}} (ax+b)^k + \sum_{\substack{b,c\in\mathbb{F}\\ b\neq 0}} \left(\frac{b}{x+c}\right)^k + \sum_{\substack{a,b,c\in\mathbb{F}\\ a\neq 0\\ ac\neq b}} \left(\frac{ax+b}{x+c}\right)^k.$$

Applying the same reasoning as in equation (4) of section 4.1, the right hand side becomes

$$\left(\sum_{a\in\mathbb{F}} a^k\right)\left(\sum_{b\in\mathbb{F}} (x+b)^k + \sum_{c\in\mathbb{F}} \left(\frac{1}{x+c}\right)^k + \sum_{\substack{b,c\in\mathbb{F}\\ b\neq c}} \left(\frac{x+b}{x+c}\right)^k\right)$$

and by our assumption we may apply Fact 5 to obtain

$$f_k = -\sum_{b\in\mathbb{F}} (x+b)^k - \sum_{c\in\mathbb{F}} \left(\frac{1}{x+c}\right)^k - \sum_{\substack{b,c\in\mathbb{F}\\ b\neq c}} \left(\frac{x+b}{x+c}\right)^k.$$

which is precisely the expression obtained by expanding the right hand side of (6). QED

Lemma 5 *Let us define*

$$\begin{aligned} g &= (x^q - x)^{q(q-1)} f_m \\ h &= (x^q - x)^{q(q-1)} \end{aligned}$$

then

1. $g \in \mathbb{F}[x]$
2. *deg* $g = |G|$
3. g *and* h *are relatively prime*
4. $f_m = g/h$.

PROOF: Statement (4) is trivial, and (3) is a consequence of (1) and (2), because if g and h are polynomials which are not relatively prime then (by Fact 6)

$$[\mathbb{F}(x) : \mathbb{F}(g/h)] < |G|$$

contradicting Theorem 1. To prove (1) and (2), let us consider the expression

$$1 + \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}} (x - b)^m$$

that is, the left factor in (5) with $k = m$. Applying Lemma 3 to expand the summands, we obtain

$$1 + \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}} \sum_{i=0}^{m} x^{m-i} b^i = 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{m} x^{m-i} \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}} b^i.$$

By Lemma 5, the terms on the right hand side vanish except those in which i is a multiple of $q - 1$. Of those terms, all become $-x^{m-i}$ except for $i = 0$, which also vanishes since $\sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}} b^0 = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}} 1 = 0$. We thus obtain

$$-(x^{q(q-1)} + x^{(q-1)(q-1)} + \ldots + x^{q-1})$$

which is equal to

$$-(x^q - x)^{q-1}$$

as may be seen by applying Lemma 3 to the latter expression. Substituting this into (5) (with k = m), we obtain

$$f_m = 1 + (x^q - x)^{q-1} \left(1 + \sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}} \frac{1}{(x - c)^m} \right) = 1 + (x^q - x)^{q-1} + \sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}} \frac{(x^q - x)^{q-1}}{(x - c)^m} \quad (7)$$

and since the denominators in the sum on the right are all factors of

$$\prod_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}} (x - c)^m = (x^q - x)^m = (x^q - x)^{q(q-1)} (x^q - x)^{q-1}$$

it follows that $g = (x^q - x)^{q(q-1)} f_m \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ and deg $g = (q + 1)q(q - 1) = |G|$, proving (1) and (2). QED

Lemma 6

$$\sum_{i=j}^{m} \binom{i(q-1)}{j(q-1)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } j = 0, 1, \ldots, q \\ 1 & \text{for } j = q{+}1, q{+}2, \ldots, m \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

where $\binom{i(q-1)}{j(q-1)}$ *denotes the binomial coefficient.*

PROOF: We will make use of the following identity[3], which holds for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q} (x+\alpha)^{i(q-1)} = \sum_{i=0}^{q} x^{i(q-1)} \tag{9}$$

and may be derived as follows

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q} (x{+}\alpha)^{i(q-1)} = \frac{(x+\alpha)^{q^2-1} - 1}{(x+\alpha)^{q-1} - 1} = \frac{\frac{x^{q^2}+\alpha}{x+\alpha} - 1}{\frac{x^q+\alpha}{x+\alpha} - 1} = \frac{x^{q^2} - x}{x^q - x} = \frac{x^{q^2-1} - 1}{x^{q-1} - 1} = \sum_{i=0}^{q} x^{i(q-1)}$$

where the second equation follows from Fact 3.

The left hand side of (8) is equal to the coefficient of $x^{j(q-1)}$ in

$$(x+1)^{m(q-1)} + (x+1)^{(m-1)(q-1)} + \ldots + (x+1)^{q-1} + 1$$

which may be written as

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{q} (x+1)^{i(q-1)} \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (x+1)^{iq(q-1)} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{q} (x+1)^{iq(q-1)}$$

(where the rightmost sum corrects for a $(x+1)^{q^2(q-1)}$ term as well as "double counted" terms). Using (9) on the first and third sums (as well as Fact 3 to deal with the q in the powers of the third sum), this becomes

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{q} x^{i(q-1)} \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (x+1)^{iq(q-1)} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{q} x^{iq(q-1)}. \tag{10}$$

Applying Fact 3 and (9) to the second sum yields

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (x+1)^{iq(q-1)} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (x+1)^{i(q-1)} \right)^q = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{q} x^{i(q-1)} - (x+1)^{q(q-1)} \right)^q$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{q} x^{iq(q-1)} - (x+1)^{q^2(q-1)} = \sum_{i=0}^{q} x^{iq(q-1)} - (x^{q^2}+1)^{q-1}$$

[3]This identity, and its derivation, are due to MathManiac on the math.stackexchange forum; we have generalized from $\alpha = 1$ to all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ (see bibliography).

whose rightmost term we may expand using Lemma 3 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{q} x^{iq(q-1)} - (x^{(q-1)q^2} - x^{(q-2)q^2} + \ldots + x^{2q^2} - x^{q^2} + 1) \\ = & \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} x^{iq(q-1)} - (x^{(q-2)q^2} + \ldots + x^{2q^2} - x^{q^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Since none of the terms in parentheses have a power divisible by $q-1$, removing them from (10) will not affect the coefficient of $x^{j(q-1)}$. Thus, the coefficient of $x^{j(q-1)}$ in (10) is equal to the same coefficient in

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{q} x^{i(q-1)}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{q-1} x^{iq(q-1)}\right) - \sum_{i=1}^{q} x^{iq(q-1)} = x^{m(q-1)} + x^{(m-1)(q-1)} + \ldots + x^{(q+1)(q-1)}$$

from which the result follows. QED

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2:

Let g, h be as in Lemma 5. A straightforward application of Fact 3 and Lemma 3 shows that $h = \sum_{i=1}^{q} x^{iq(q-1)}$, so it remains only to show that

$$g = \sum_{i=0}^{q(q+1)} \theta_i x^{i(q-1)}.$$

From the definition of g and (7) (in Lemma 5) we have

$$g = (x^q - x)^{q(q-1)} + (x^q - x)^m + \sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}} \left(\frac{x^q - x}{x - c}\right)^m. \tag{11}$$

Observing that

$$\frac{x^q - x}{x - c} = x^{q-1} + cx^{q-2} + \ldots + c^{q-2}x = (x^{q-1} + cx^{q-2} + \ldots + c^{q-2}x + 1) - 1$$

an application of Lemma 3 to the right hand side yields $\frac{x^q - x}{x - c} = (x - c)^{q-1} - 1$, so that

$$\sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}} \left(\frac{x^q - x}{x - c}\right)^m = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}} \left((x - c)^{q-1} - 1\right)^m = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}} \sum_{i=0}^{m} (x - c)^{i(q-1)} = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}} \sum_{i=0}^{m} (x + c)^{i(q-1)}$$

where the second equation follows from another application of Lemma 3, and the third holds because c runs through all elements of $\mathbb{F}$. The coefficient of x^j on the right hand side is equal to

$$\sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}} \sum_{\frac{j}{q-1} \le i \le m} \binom{i(q-1)}{j} c^{i(q-1)-j} = \sum_{\frac{j}{q-1} \le i \le m} \binom{i(q-1)}{j} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}} c^{i(q-1)-j}$$

and by Lemma 5 the terms on the right hand side are zero whenever $(q-1) \nmid j$, and $-\binom{i(q-1)}{j}$ otherwise. Thus (with an appropriate reindexing of j) the coefficient of $x^{j(q-1)}$ is

$$-\sum_{i=j}^{m} \binom{i(q-1)}{j(q-1)}$$

with all other coefficients zero. Applying Lemma 6 to this expression thus produces all of the nonzero coefficients of $\sum_{c\in\mathbb{F}_q} \left(\frac{x^q-x}{x-c}\right)^m$, so that

$$\sum_{c\in\mathbb{F}_q} \left(\frac{x^q-x}{x-c}\right)^m = -(x^{(q+1)(q-1)} + x^{(q+2)(q-1)} + \ldots + x^{m(q-1)}).$$

Substituting this into (11), the desired result follows from a straightforward expansion. QED

Example 3 Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_3$. Then $|G| = 24$, $m = 8$ and by Theorem 2 we have that E is generated over $\mathbb{F}$ by the element

$$f_8 = \frac{x^{24} + x^{22} + x^{20} + 2x^{18} + x^{16} + x^{14} + 2x^{12} + x^{10} + x^8 + 2x^6 + x^4 + x^2 + 1}{x^{18} + x^{12} + x^6}.$$

Example 4 Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_4$. Then $|G| = 60$, $m = 15$ and E is generated over $\mathbb{F}$ by

$$\frac{x^{60} + x^{57} + x^{54} + x^{51} + x^{45} + \ldots + x^{15} + x^9 + x^6 + x^3 + 1}{x^{48} + x^{36} + x^{18} + x^{12}}$$

where the terms in the numerator with coefficient 2 (i.e. those appearing in the denominator) vanish since $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{F}_4) = 2$.

References

[1] Hungerford, T., "Algebra", 2nd ed., New York: Springer-Verlag, 1980.

[2] Rivoire, P., "Fonctions rationelles sur un corps fini", *Annales de l'institute Fourier*, Vol. 6, 121-124, Grenoble (1956)

[3] Stichtenoth, H., "Algebraic Function Fields and Codes", 2nd ed., Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2009.

[4] MathManiac, answer to forum thread opened by same. Retrieved July 17, 2016 from: http://math.stackexchange.com/questions/1810562/sums-involving-binomial-coefficients-in-a-finite-field