

DISCRETE SPECTRUM OF INTERACTIONS CONCENTRATED NEAR CONICAL SURFACES

THOMAS OURMIÈRES-BONAFOS AND KONSTANTIN PANKRASHKIN

ABSTRACT. We study the spectrum of two kinds of operators involving a conical geometry: the Dirichlet Laplacian in conical layers and Schrödinger operators with attractive δ -interactions supported by infinite cones. Under the assumption that the cones have smooth cross-sections, we prove that such operators have infinitely many eigenvalues accumulating below the threshold of the essential spectrum and we express the accumulation rate in terms of the eigenvalues of an auxiliary one-dimensional operator with a curvature-induced potential.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Problem setting and main results. The study of Laplace-type operators in infinite regions attract a lot of attention due to their importance in quantum physics. A particular attention is paid to geometrically induced spectral properties with an important focus on the existence of eigenvalues. Such properties were studied for specific systems such as locally deformed tubes [8, 11, 15, 18] and more recently, layers [5, 9], for which it is known that suitably localized deformations of straight tubes and layers may only produce finitely many eigenvalues. The situation changes for “long-range” deformations even in very simple geometries: as found in [16], the Dirichlet Laplacian in a circular conical layer has an infinite discrete spectrum accumulating to the threshold of the essential spectrum. The result was then improved in [6] by calculating the precise accumulation rate and similar effects were found for Schrödinger operators with δ -interactions supported by circular cones in [2, 22]. The previous papers used in an essential way the presence of the rotational symmetry and the aim of the present work is to extend the study to conical layers and conical surfaces with arbitrary smooth cross-sections. We will show that the associated operators always have an infinite discrete spectrum and compute the accumulation rate of these eigenvalues in terms of a one-dimensional operator acting on the cross-section.

Let us introduce the mathematical framework. By a *conical surface* in \mathbb{R}^3 we mean a Lipschitz hypersurface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ invariant under the dilations, i.e. $\lambda S = S$ for all $\lambda > 0$. A conical surface S is uniquely determined by its *cross-section* $\gamma := S \cap \mathbb{S}^2$, where \mathbb{S}^2 is the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^3 centered at the origin. If γ is a C^4 smooth loop, we say that S has a smooth cross-section.

Pick a conical surface S with a smooth cross-section γ for the rest of the paper. We are interested in the spectral properties of two Laplace-type operators associated with S . The first one, denoted $A_{S,d}$, $d > 0$, is the Dirichlet Laplacian in the unbounded domain

$$\Lambda_{S,d} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \text{dist}(x, S) < \tfrac{1}{2}d\}$$

called the *conical layer of width d* around S . The operator $A_{S,d}$ is rigorously defined as the unique self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\Lambda_{S,d})$ generated by the quadratic form

$$Fa_{S,d}(u) = \iiint_{\Lambda_{S,d}} |\nabla u|^2 dx, \quad u \in H_0^1(\Lambda_{S,d}),$$

and it can be interpreted as a model of a quantum particle confined in a layer with a hard-wall boundary. The second one, denoted $B_{S,\alpha}$, is the self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ generated by the quadratic form

$$b_{S,\alpha}(u) = \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \alpha \iint_S |u|^2 d\sigma, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is a constant and σ is the two-dimensional Hausdorff measure on S . Informally, the operator $B_{S,\alpha}$ acts as the distributional Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus S$ on the functions u satisfying $[\partial u] + \alpha u = 0$ on S , where $[\partial u]$ is the jump of the normal derivative, and it can be interpreted as

Key words and phrases. Schrödinger operator, layers, δ -interaction, existence of bound states, eigenvalue counting function, conical surfaces.

a Schrödinger operator with an attractive δ -potential of strength α keeping a particle in a vicinity of the surface S , see e.g. [1], [12, Chapter 10] and the review [10] for a detailed discussion.

One easily sees that, due to the invariance of S with respect to the dilations, the role of the parameters $d > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ in the above definitions is quite limited, as one has the unitary equivalences $A_{S,d} \simeq d^{-2}A_{S,1}$ and $B_{S,\alpha} \simeq \alpha^2 B_{S,1}$. Hence, in what follows we set

$$\Lambda_S := \Lambda_{S,1}, \quad a_S := a_{S,1}, \quad A_S := A_{S,1}, \quad b_S := b_{S,1}, \quad B_S := B_{S,1}$$

and study the normalized operators A_S and B_S .

As already mentioned above, it seems that the case of conical geometries was first considered in the paper [16] for the operator A_S . For the particular case when S is a circular cone it was shown that A_S has infinitely many eigenvalues below the essential spectrum. The accumulation rate of the eigenvalues was then computed in [6]. As for the operator B_S , it was first considered in [2], in which it was shown that if S is a circular cone, then one has an infinite discrete spectrum. The accumulation rate was then calculated in [22]. The paper [4] studied general conical surfaces and an expression for the bottom of the essential spectrum of B_S was obtained. The paper [13] contains first results on the discrete spectrum of the operator B_S for conical surfaces S with arbitrary smooth cross-sections, and the authors showed that there is at least one eigenvalue below the essential spectrum. They also posed an open question on whether or not the discrete spectrum is always infinite. In the present paper, in particular, we give an affirmative answer to this question. Remark that the papers [3, 20, 23] studied similar questions for Robin Laplacians or Aharonov-Bohm operators on conical domains, and the eigenvalue behavior appears to be quite different.

If the cross-section γ is a great circle (i.e. a circle of maximal radius 1), then the surface S is a plane and both A_S and B_S admit a separation of variables: one has $\sigma(A_S) = [\pi^2, +\infty)$ and $\sigma(B_S) = [-\frac{1}{4}, +\infty)$. Therefore, in what follows we assume that

$$\gamma \text{ is not a great circle (i.e. } S \text{ is not a plane).} \quad (1.1)$$

Denote by $\ell > 0$ the length of γ and set $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, choose an arc-length parametrization of γ , i.e. an injective C^4 function $\Gamma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\Gamma(\mathbb{T}) = \gamma$ and $|\Gamma'| \equiv 1$ and set

$$n := \Gamma \times \Gamma'.$$

Recall that the *geodesic curvature* $\kappa(s)$ of γ at a point $\Gamma(s)$ is defined through

$$n'(s) = \kappa(s)\Gamma'(s), \quad \text{i.e. } \kappa = (\Gamma \times \Gamma'') \cdot \Gamma',$$

and the assumption (1.1) takes the form

$$\kappa \not\equiv 0. \quad (1.2)$$

An important role will be played by the curvature-induced Schrödinger operator in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$,

$$\mathcal{K}_S = -\frac{d^2}{ds^2} - \frac{\kappa^2}{4} \quad (1.3)$$

defined on $H^2(\mathbb{T})$. The operator \mathcal{K}_S has compact resolvent, hence, its spectrum is a sequence of eigenvalues $\lambda_j(\mathcal{K}_S)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, enumerated in the non-decreasing order and with multiplicities taken into account, such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_j(\mathcal{K}_S) = +\infty$. In particular, the following quantity is well-defined:

$$k_S := \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}: \lambda_j(\mathcal{K}_S) < 0} \sqrt{-\lambda_j(\mathcal{K}_S)}. \quad (1.4)$$

The following assertion is almost obvious:

Proposition 1. *Under Assumption (1.1) one has $k_S > 0$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $\lambda_1(\mathcal{K}_S) < 0$. By the min-max principle one has

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{K}_S) \leq \frac{\langle 1, \mathcal{K}_S 1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}}{\langle 1, 1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}} = -\frac{1}{4\ell} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \kappa^2 ds,$$

and the right-hand side is strictly negative due to (1.2). \square

The main results of the paper are presented in the following two theorems. For a self-adjoint operator T , let $\sigma(T)$ and $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(T)$ denote its spectrum and essential spectrum, respectively. If T is semibounded from below and $E \leq \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(T)$, then $\mathcal{N}_E(T)$ denotes the number of eigenvalues of T in $(-\infty, E)$, and the map $E \mapsto \mathcal{N}_E(T)$ is called the eigenvalue counting function of T .

Theorem 2 (Dirichlet Laplacian in a conical layer). *There holds*

$$\sigma_{\text{ess}}(A_S) = [\pi^2, +\infty), \quad (1.5)$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\pi^2-E}(A_S) = k_S |\ln E| + o(\ln E) \text{ as } E \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (1.6)$$

In particular, the operator A_S has infinitely many eigenvalues in $(-\infty, \pi^2)$.

Theorem 3 (δ -interaction on a conical surface). *There holds*

$$\sigma_{\text{ess}}(B_S) = [-\frac{1}{4}, +\infty), \quad (1.7)$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(B_S) = k_S |\ln E| + o(\ln E) \text{ as } E \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (1.8)$$

In particular, the operator B_S has infinitely many eigenvalues in $(-\infty, -\frac{1}{4})$.

Example 4. If S is a circular cone of opening angle 2θ , $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, then the cross-section is a circle of geodesic radius θ having the length $\ell = 2\pi \sin \theta$ and the constant geodesic curvature $\kappa = \cot \theta$. One easily computes

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(\mathcal{K}_S) &= -\frac{\kappa^2}{4} = -\frac{\cot^2 \theta}{4}, \quad \lambda_2(\mathcal{K}_S) = \frac{4\pi^2}{\ell^2} - \frac{\kappa^2}{4} = \frac{4 - \cos^2 \theta}{4 \sin^2 \theta} > 0, \\ k_S &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{-\lambda_1(\mathcal{K}_S)} = \frac{\cot \theta}{4\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for this particular case, the result of Theorem 2 coincides with Theorem 1.4 in [6], while Theorem 3 is exactly Theorem 1.4 in [22].

One can use the above computation to improve the result of Proposition 1 as follows:

Theorem 5. *For a conical surface S with a smooth cross-section of length $\ell \leq 2\pi$ there holds*

$$k_S \geq \frac{\sqrt{4\pi^2 - \ell^2}}{4\pi\ell},$$

and the equality holds iff S is a circular cone.

Proof. Let γ be the cross-section of S and A be the area of the spherical domain enclosed by γ such that the vector $n = \Gamma \times \Gamma'$ points to its exterior. The classical isoperimetric inequality for spherical domains, see [24], reads as $\ell^2 \geq A(4\pi - A)$ or, equivalently, $(2\pi - A)^2 \geq 4\pi^2 - \ell^2$. Due to the Gauss-Bonnet theorem one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \kappa \, ds = 2\pi - A,$$

and using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$4\pi^2 - \ell^2 \leq (2\pi - A)^2 = \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \kappa \, ds \right)^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} 1 \, ds \cdot \int_{\mathbb{T}} \kappa^2 \, ds = \ell \int_{\mathbb{T}} \kappa^2 \, ds$$

thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \kappa^2 \, ds \geq \frac{4\pi^2 - \ell^2}{\ell}.$$

As previously, by the min-max principle we have

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{K}_S) \leq \frac{\langle 1, \mathcal{K}_S 1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}}{\langle 1, 1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}} = -\frac{1}{4\ell} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \kappa^2 \, ds \leq -\frac{4\pi^2 - \ell^2}{4\ell^2}. \quad (1.9)$$

As already seen in Example 4, for circular cones one has the equality in (1.9). Assume now that S is not a circular cone, then κ is non-constant and the test function 1 is not an eigenfunction of \mathcal{K}_S , hence, the inequality in (1.9) is strict, and

$$k_S \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{-\lambda_1(\mathcal{K}_S)} > \frac{\sqrt{4\pi^2 - \ell^2}}{4\pi\ell}. \quad \square$$

Remark 6. The result of Theorem 5 can be viewed as a kind of isoperimetric inequality: among the conical surfaces with smooth cross-sections of fixed length $\ell \leq 2\pi$, the circular cones give the highest rate for the accumulation of discrete eigenvalues to the bottom of the essential spectrum for both A_S and B_S . Remark that the first eigenvalue of B_S is also maximized by the circular cones, see [13].

Remark 7. Contrary to the case of circular cones, the sum in the definition of k_S can contain an arbitrary large number of summands. Namely, let $\ell > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For small $\varepsilon > 0$ one can construct a smooth loop γ_ε on \mathbb{S}^2 having the length ℓ and the following property: there exists $\Gamma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, an arc-length parametrization of γ_ε , such that the finite pieces $\Gamma\left(\left(\frac{j\ell}{m} - \varepsilon, \frac{j\ell}{m} + \varepsilon\right)\right)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, coincide with circular arcs of geodesic radius ε and of length 2ε . It follows that $\kappa(s) = \cot \varepsilon$ for $s \in \left(\frac{j\ell}{m} - \varepsilon, \frac{j\ell}{m} + \varepsilon\right)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. Furthermore, one can choose m functions $\varphi_j \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, independent of ε , such that

$$\text{supp } \varphi_j \subset \left(\frac{j\ell}{m} - \frac{\ell}{2m}, \frac{j\ell}{m} + \frac{\ell}{2m}\right), \quad \varphi_j(s) = 1 \text{ for } s \in \left(\frac{j\ell}{m} - \varepsilon, \frac{j\ell}{m} + \varepsilon\right), \quad \|\varphi_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} = 1,$$

then for $u = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j \varphi_j$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\langle u, \mathcal{K}_S u \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}}{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2} &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m |\alpha_j|^2 \left(\|\varphi_j'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2 - \frac{1}{4} \|\kappa \varphi_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2 \right)}{\|\alpha\|_{\mathbb{C}^m}^2} \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m |\alpha_j|^2 \|\varphi_j'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2}{\|\alpha\|_{\mathbb{C}^m}^2} - \frac{\varepsilon \cot^2 \varepsilon}{2} \leq C - \frac{\varepsilon \cot^2 \varepsilon}{2}, \quad C := \max \|\varphi_j'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2 \end{aligned}$$

By the min-max principle it follows that $\lambda_m(\mathcal{K}_S) \leq C - \varepsilon \cot^2 \varepsilon / 2 < 0$ as ε is sufficiently small, and then there are at least m summands in (1.4). The example also shows that, at a fixed length of the cross-section, there is no finite upper bound for k_S .

Remark 8. It would be interesting to understand whether the results can be extended to the case of a conical surface S whose cross-section γ is an *open* smooth arc. As will be seen from the proofs, a literal adaptation of our approach only gives a two-sided estimate,

$$k_S^D |\ln E| + o(\ln E) \leq \mathcal{N}_E \leq k_S^N |\ln E| + o(\ln E), \quad E \rightarrow 0^+,$$

with \mathcal{N}_E standing for either $\mathcal{N}_{\pi^2 - E}(A_S)$ or $\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4} - E}(B_S)$ and

$$k_S^{D/N} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}: \lambda_j(\mathcal{K}_S^{D/N}) < 0} \sqrt{-\lambda_j(\mathcal{K}_S^{D/N})},$$

where $\mathcal{K}_S^{D/N}$ is given by the same differential expression (1.3) but acts on the functions satisfying Dirichlet/Neumann boundary conditions at the endpoints of γ . By analogy with the recent works on Schrödinger operators with strong δ -interactions [7, 14] we conjecture that the asymptotics of Theorems 2 and 3 still hold with k_S^D instead of k_S .

Both Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 are proved by estimating the quadratic forms a_S and b_S using curvilinear coordinates in adapted tubular neighborhoods of S . After suitable cut-offs, we reduce the problem to the study of some one-dimensional models for which the asymptotics of the eigenvalue counting function is known (see Proposition 10 below). The proof of Theorem 2 is given in Section 2, while the proof of Theorem 3 is presented in Section 3 (the lower bound) and Section 4 (the upper bound and the essential spectrum).

1.2. Preliminaries. Let us list some conventions used throughout the text. We denote $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. For the geodesic curvature κ defined on \mathbb{T} one sets

$$\kappa_\infty := \|\kappa\|_\infty, \quad \kappa'_\infty := \|\kappa'\|_\infty, \quad \kappa''_\infty := \|\kappa''\|_\infty.$$

Let $E \in \mathbb{R}$. If T is a self-adjoint operator, then we denote by $\text{dom } T$ its domain and by $\mathcal{N}_E(T)$ the dimension of the range of its spectral projector on $(-\infty, E)$. If T is lower semibounded and $E < \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(T)$, then $\mathcal{N}_E(T)$ is exactly the number of eigenvalues of T (counting the multiplicities) in $(-\infty, E)$, otherwise one has $\mathcal{N}_E(T) = +\infty$. Remark that $\mathcal{N}_E(T_1 \oplus T_2) = \mathcal{N}_E(T_1) + \mathcal{N}_E(T_2)$ for any two self-adjoint operators T_1 and T_2 and any $E \in \mathbb{R}$. By $\lambda_j(T)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote the j -th eigenvalue of T when enumerated in the non-decreasing order and counted according to the multiplicities. We recall that the function $E \mapsto \mathcal{N}_E(T)$ is usually referred to as the eigenvalue counting function for T .

If a self-adjoint operator T is generated by a closed lower semibounded quadratic form t defined on the domain $\text{dom } t$, then by definition $\mathcal{N}_E(t) := \mathcal{N}_E(T)$ and $\lambda_j(t) := \lambda_j(T)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. For two quadratic forms t_1 and t_2 , their direct sum $t_1 \oplus t_2$ is the quadratic form $(t_1 \oplus t_2)(u_1, u_2) :=$

$t_1(u_1) + t_2(u_2)$ defined for $(u_1, u_2) \in \text{dom}(t_1 \oplus t_2) := \text{dom } t_1 \times \text{dom } t_2$. If T_1 and T_2 are the operators associated with t_1 and t_2 , then the operator associated with $t_1 \oplus t_2$ is $T_1 \oplus T_2$. The form inequality $t_1 \geq t_2$ means that $\text{dom } t_1 \subseteq \text{dom } t_2$ and $t_1(u) \geq t_2(u)$ for all $u \in \text{dom } t_1$. By the min-max principle, the form inequality implies the reverse inequality for the eigenvalue counting functions, $\mathcal{N}_E(t_1) \leq \mathcal{N}_E(t_2)$, for all $E \in \mathbb{R}$.

For further references, let us recall the well-known Sobolev inequality, see e.g. [21, Lemma 8],

$$|u(0)|^2 \leq a \|u'\|_{L^2(0,b)}^2 + \frac{2}{a} \|u\|_{L^2(0,b)}^2 \text{ for } 0 < a \leq b \text{ and } u \in H^1(0, b). \quad (1.10)$$

For $L > 0$, consider the following two quadratic forms in $L^2(-L, L)$:

$$q_{L,D/N}(u) := \|u'\|_{L^2(-L,L)}^2 - |u(0)|^2, \quad \text{dom } q_{L,D} = H_0^1(-L, L), \quad \text{dom } q_{L,N} = H^1(-L, L),$$

which are closed and semibounded from below. Hence, they generate self-adjoint operators $Q_{L,D}$ and $Q_{L,N}$ in $L^2(-L, L)$. One easily checks that $Q_{L,D/N}$ acts as minus the second derivative in $(-L, 0) \cup (0, L)$ on the functions u satisfying $u(0^-) = u(0^+) =: u(0)$ and $u'(0^+) - u'(0^-) = -u(0)$ at the origin and the Dirichlet/Neumann boundary conditions at the endpoints. The understanding of the first two eigenvalues of $Q_{L,D/N}$ will be important for our purposes. The next proposition is proven in [17, Propositions 2.4 and 2.5]:

Proposition 9. *There exist $L_0 > 0$ and $C_0 > 0$ such that for $L \geq L_0$ one has*

$$-\frac{1}{4} - C_0^{-1} e^{-C_0 L} \leq \lambda_1(Q_{L,N}) \leq -\frac{1}{4} \leq \lambda_1(Q_{L,D}) \leq -\frac{1}{4} + C_0^{-1} e^{-C_0 L}, \quad (1.11)$$

$$\lambda_2(Q_{L,D/N}) \geq 0. \quad (1.12)$$

Further, we recall a result about another family of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators, which is a suitable reformulation of Theorem 1 in [19]:

Proposition 10. *Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $V : [x_0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous with $c := \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} x^2 V(x) \in \mathbb{R}$, then the Schrödinger operator $Q = -d^2/dx^2 - V$ in $L^2(x_0, +\infty)$ with any self-adjoint boundary condition at $x = x_0$ satisfies*

$$\mathcal{N}_{-E}(Q) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\left(c - \frac{1}{4}\right)_+} |\ln E| + o(\ln E) \text{ as } E \rightarrow 0^+,$$

where $(x)_+ := \max(x, 0)$ is the positive part of x .

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

2.1. Cutting out the vertex. It is a classical result of differential geometry that one can find $R_0 > 0$ such that for all $R > R_0$ the map

$$\Phi : \Pi_R := (R, +\infty) \times \mathbb{T} \times \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \ni (r, s, t) \mapsto r\Gamma(s) + tn(s) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \quad (2.1)$$

is injective, with $\text{dist}(\Phi(r, s, t), S) = |t|$. For $R > R_0$, we denote $\Omega_R := \Phi(\Pi_R)$ and consider the quadratic forms $a_{R,D/N}(u) = \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_R)}^2$ defined on

$$\text{dom } a_{R,D} = H_0^1(\Omega_R), \quad \text{dom } a_{R,N} = \{u \in H^1(\Omega_R) : u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_R \cap \partial\Lambda_S\}.$$

Lemma 11. *There exists $C_R > 0$ such that for all $E > 0$ there holds*

$$\mathcal{N}_{\pi^2-E}(a_{R,D}) \leq \mathcal{N}_{\pi^2-E}(A_S) \leq C_R + \mathcal{N}_{\pi^2-E}(a_{R,N}).$$

Proof. Denote $U_R := \Lambda_S \setminus \overline{\Omega}_R$. We have the form inequality $a'_R \oplus a_{R,N} \leq a_S \leq a_{R,D}$, where $a'_R(u) = \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(U_R)}^2$ with $\text{dom } a'_R = \{u \in H^1(U_R) : u = 0 \text{ on } \partial U_R \cap \partial\Lambda_S\}$. Consequently,

$$\mathcal{N}_{\pi^2-E}(a_{R,D}) \leq \mathcal{N}_{\pi^2-E}(A_S) \leq \mathcal{N}_{\pi^2-E}(a'_R) + \mathcal{N}_{\pi^2-E}(a_{R,N}), \quad E > 0.$$

As U_R is bounded Lipschitz, the domain $\text{dom } a'_R$ is compactly embedded into $L^2(U_R)$. Hence, the associated operator has compact resolvent, and the result holds for $C_R := \mathcal{N}_{\pi^2}(a'_R) < +\infty$. \square

2.2. Reformulation in tubular coordinates. Before going any further, we reformulate the problem using the tubular coordinates (r, s, t) introduced in (2.1).

Lemma 12. *The quadratic forms $a_{R,D/N}$ are unitarily equivalent to the respective quadratic forms $b_{R,D/N}$ in $L^2(\Pi_R, (r + t\kappa)dr ds dt)$ defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} b_{R,D/N}(u) &= \iiint_{\Pi_R} \left((r + t\kappa)(|\partial_r u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2) + \frac{1}{r + t\kappa} |\partial_s u|^2 \right) dr ds dt, \\ \text{dom } b_{R,N} &= \left\{ u \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Pi_R) \cap L^2(\Pi_R, (r + t\kappa)dr ds dt) : b_{R,N}(u) < \infty, \right. \\ &\quad \left. u(\cdot, \pm \tfrac{1}{2}) = 0 \text{ on } (R, +\infty) \times \mathbb{T} \right\}, \\ \text{dom } b_{R,D} &= \left\{ u \in \text{dom } b_{R,N} : u(R, \cdot) = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{T} \times (-\tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. There holds $\partial_r \Phi = \Gamma$, $\partial_s \Phi = (r + t\kappa)\Gamma'$, $\partial_t \Phi = n$, and the associated metric tensor G writes as

$$G = (\partial_p \Phi \cdot \partial_k \Phi)_{p,k \in \{r,s,t\}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (r + t\kappa)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Set $g = \sqrt{\det G} = r + t\kappa$ and consider the unitary transform U ,

$$U : L^2(\Omega_R) \rightarrow L^2(\Pi_R, g dr ds dt), \quad Uv = v \circ \Phi.$$

For $w \in \text{dom } a_{R,D/N}$ we set $u(r, s, t) = (Uw)(r, s, t) = w(\Phi(r, s, t))$, then $u \in \text{dom } b_{R,D/N}$. Performing the change of variables, we get the quadratic forms on $L^2(\Pi_R, (r + t\kappa)dr ds dt)$:

$$a_{R,D/N}(Uw) = \iiint_{\Pi_R} \sum_{p,k \in \{r,s,t\}} (G^{-1})_{p,k} \partial_p u \partial_k u g dr ds dt = b_{R,D/N}(u),$$

which gives the result. \square

The next formulation of the problem allows to understand it on a L^2 -space with the flat metric.

Lemma 13. *The quadratic forms $b_{R,D/N}$ from Lemma 12 are unitarily equivalent to the quadratic forms $c_{R,D/N}$ on $L^2(\Pi_R)$ defined as:*

$$\begin{aligned} c_{R,N}(v) &= \iiint_{\Pi_R} \left(|\partial_r v|^2 + \frac{1}{(r + t\kappa)^2} \left(|\partial_s v|^2 - \frac{\kappa^2 + 1}{4} |v|^2 \right) + |\partial_t v|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{t\kappa''}{2(r + t\kappa)^3} - \frac{5}{4} \frac{(t\kappa')^2}{(r + t\kappa)^4} \right) |v|^2 \right) dr ds dt + \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{|v(R, s, t)|^2}{2(R + t\kappa)} ds dt, \\ \text{dom } c_{R,N} &= \left\{ v \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Pi_R) \cap L^2(\Pi_R) : c_{R,N}(v) < \infty, v(\cdot, \pm \tfrac{1}{2}) = 0 \text{ on } (R, +\infty) \times \mathbb{T} \right\}, \\ c_{R,D}(v) &= \iiint_{\Pi_R} \left(|\partial_r v|^2 + \frac{1}{(r + t\kappa)^2} \left(|\partial_s v|^2 - \frac{\kappa^2 + 1}{4} |v|^2 \right) + |\partial_t v|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{t\kappa''}{2(r + t\kappa)^3} - \frac{5}{4} \frac{(t\kappa')^2}{(r + t\kappa)^4} \right) |v|^2 \right) dr ds dt, \\ \text{dom } c_{R,D} &= \left\{ v \in \text{dom } c_{R,N} : v(R, \cdot) = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{T} \times (-\tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Consider the unitary transform V ,

$$V : L^2(\Pi_R, (r + t\kappa)dr ds dt) \rightarrow L^2(\Pi_R), \quad (Vu)(r, s, t) = u(r, s, t) \sqrt{r + t\kappa(s)}.$$

Let $j \in \{D, N\}$, $u \in \text{dom } b_{R,j}$ and $v := Vu$, then $u = (r + t\kappa)^{-\frac{1}{2}} v$. By definition, one has $v \in \text{dom } c_{R,j}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_r u|^2 &= \frac{1}{r + t\kappa} |\partial_r v|^2 + \frac{1}{4(r + t\kappa)^3} |v|^2 - \frac{1}{2(r + t\kappa)^2} \partial_r (|v|^2), \\ |\partial_s u|^2 &= \frac{1}{r + t\kappa} |\partial_s v|^2 + \frac{(t\kappa')^2}{4(r + t\kappa)^3} |v|^2 - \frac{t\kappa'}{2(r + t\kappa)^2} \partial_s (|v|^2), \\ |\partial_t u|^2 &= \frac{1}{r + t\kappa} |\partial_t v|^2 + \frac{\kappa^2}{4(r + t\kappa)^3} |v|^2 - \frac{\kappa}{2(r + t\kappa)^2} \partial_t (|v|^2). \end{aligned}$$

An integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_R^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2(r+t\kappa)} \partial_r(|v|^2) dr &= \frac{|v(R, s, t)|^2}{2(R+t\kappa)} - \int_R^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2(r+t\kappa)^2} |v|^2 dr, \\ - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{t\kappa'}{2(r+t\kappa)^3} \partial_s(|v|^2) ds &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\frac{t\kappa''}{2(r+t\kappa)^3} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{(t\kappa')^2}{(r+t\kappa)^4} \right) |v|^2 ds, \\ - \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\kappa}{2(r+t\kappa)} \partial_t(|v|^2) dt &= - \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\kappa^2}{2(r+t\kappa)^2} |v|^2 dt, \end{aligned}$$

and the substitution into the expression of $b_{R,j}$ gives the sought equality $b_{R,j}(u) = c_{R,j}(Vu)$. \square

2.3. Bounds for the quadratic forms. Thanks to Lemmas 11, 12 and 13, in order to prove Theorem 2 it is sufficient to find suitable bounds for the quadratic forms $c_{R,D/N}$.

Lemma 14. *There exist two constants $B_{R,D/N} > 0$ such that $c_{R,D} \leq f_{R,D}$ and $f_{R,N} \leq c_{R,N}$, where the quadratic forms $f_{R,D/N}$ are defined on $\text{dom } f_{R,D/N} = \text{dom } c_{R,D/N}$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} f_{R,D}(v) &= \iiint_{\Pi_R} \left(|\partial_t v|^2 + |\partial_r v|^2 + \frac{1}{(r - \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2})^2} (|\partial_s v|^2 - \frac{\kappa^2 + 1}{4} |v|^2) + \frac{B_{R,D}}{(r - \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2})^3} |v|^2 \right) dr ds dt, \\ f_{R,N}(v) &= \iiint_{\Pi_R} \left(|\partial_t v|^2 + |\partial_r v|^2 + \frac{1}{(r + \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2})^2} (|\partial_s v|^2 - \frac{\kappa^2 + 1}{4} |v|^2) - \frac{B_{R,N}}{(r + \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2})^3} |v|^2 \right) dr ds dt. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $v \in \text{dom } c_{R,D}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{R,D}(v) &\leq \iiint_{\Pi_R} \left(|\partial_t v|^2 + |\partial_r v|^2 + \frac{1}{(r - \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2})^2} |\partial_s v|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{(r + \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2})^2} \frac{\kappa^2 + 1}{4} |v|^2 + \frac{\kappa_\infty''}{4(r - \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2})^3} |v|^2 \right) dr ds dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

An easy computation yields

$$\frac{1}{(r - \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2})^2} - \frac{1}{(r + \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2})^2} = \frac{2r\kappa_\infty}{(r - \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2})^2(r + \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2})^2}, \quad (2.3)$$

which, combined with (2.2), gives

$$\begin{aligned} c_{R,D}(v) &\leq \iiint_{\Pi_R} \left(|\partial_t v|^2 + |\partial_r v|^2 + \frac{1}{(r - \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2})^2} (|\partial_s v|^2 - \frac{\kappa^2 + 1}{4} |v|^2) + w_D |v|^2 \right) dr ds dt, \\ w_D(r) &= \frac{\kappa_\infty^2 + 1}{4} \frac{2r\kappa_\infty}{(r - \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2})^2(r + \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2})^2} + \frac{\kappa_\infty''}{4(r - \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2})^3}. \end{aligned}$$

The function $r \mapsto (r - \frac{1}{2}\kappa_\infty)^3 w_D(r)$ is continuous on $[R, +\infty)$ and has a finite limit as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Hence, there exists $B_{R,D} > 0$ such $w_D(r) \leq B_{R,D}(r - \frac{1}{2}\kappa_\infty)^{-3}$ for all $r \in [R, +\infty)$, which gives $c_{R,D} \leq f_{R,D}$.

Now let $v \in \text{dom } c_{R,N}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} c_{R,N}(v) &\geq \iiint_{\Pi_R} \left(|\partial_t v|^2 + |\partial_r v|^2 + \frac{1}{(r + \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2})^2} |\partial_s v|^2 - \frac{1}{(r - \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2})^2} \frac{\kappa^2 + 1}{4} |v|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{\kappa_\infty''}{4(r - \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2})^3} + \frac{5}{16} \frac{(\kappa_\infty')^2}{(r - \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2})^4} \right) |v|^2 \right) dr ds dt. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (2.3), it rewrites

$$\begin{aligned} c_{R,N}(v) &\geq \iiint_{\Pi_R} \left(|\partial_t v|^2 + |\partial_r v|^2 + \frac{1}{(r + \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2})^2} (|\partial_s v|^2 - \frac{\kappa^2 + 1}{4} |v|^2) - w_N |v|^2 \right) dr ds dt, \\ w_N(r) &:= \frac{2r\kappa_\infty(\kappa_\infty^2 + 1)}{4(r - \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2})^2(r + \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2})^2} + \frac{\kappa_\infty''}{4(r - \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2})^3} + \frac{5}{16} \frac{(\kappa_\infty')^2}{(r - \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2})^4}. \end{aligned}$$

As the function $r \mapsto (r + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_\infty)^3 w_N(r)$ is continuous on $[R, +\infty)$ and has a finite limit as $r \rightarrow +\infty$, there exists $B_{R,N} > 0$ such that $w_N(r) \leq B_{R,N}(r + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_\infty)^{-3}$ for all $r \in [R, +\infty)$. This concludes the proof. \square

Set $\rho_D := R - \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2}$, $\rho_N := R + \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2}$, and $\Pi_{R,D/N} := (\rho_{D/N}, +\infty) \times \mathbb{T} \times (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, and consider the following quadratic forms in $L^2(\Pi_{R,D/N})$:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{R,N}(v) &= \iiint_{\Pi_{R,N}} \left(|\partial_t v|^2 + |\partial_\rho v|^2 + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(|\partial_s v|^2 - \frac{\kappa^2 + 1}{4} |v|^2 \right) - \frac{B_{R,N}}{\rho^3} |v|^2 \right) d\rho ds dt, \\ \text{dom } g_{R,N} &= \left\{ v \in L^2(\Pi_{R,N}) : \partial_\rho v, \rho^{-1} \partial_s v, \partial_t v \in L^2(\Pi_{R,N}), v(\cdot, \pm \frac{1}{2}) = 0 \text{ on } (\rho_N, +\infty) \times \mathbb{T} \right\}, \\ g_{R,D}(v) &= \iiint_{\Pi_{R,D}} \left(|\partial_t v|^2 + |\partial_\rho v|^2 + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(|\partial_s v|^2 - \frac{\kappa^2 + 1}{4} |v|^2 \right) + \frac{B_{R,D}}{\rho^3} |v|^2 \right) d\rho ds dt, \\ \text{dom } g_{R,D} &= \left\{ v \in L^2(\Pi_{R,D}) : \partial_\rho v, \rho^{-1} \partial_s v, \partial_t v \in L^2(\Pi_{R,D}), v(\cdot, \pm \frac{1}{2}) = 0 \text{ on } (\rho_D, +\infty) \times \mathbb{T}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. v(\rho_D, \cdot) = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{T} \times (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The quadratic forms $g_{R,D/N}$ are unitarily equivalent to $f_{R,D/N}$ as they simply correspond to the change of variables $\rho = r \mp \frac{\kappa_\infty}{2}$, and the preceding constructions can be summarized as follows:

Lemma 15. *For any $R > R_0$ there exists $C_R > 0$ such that*

$$\mathcal{N}_{\pi^2-E}(g_{R,D}) \leq \mathcal{N}_{\pi^2-E}(A_S) \leq C_R + \mathcal{N}_{\pi^2-E}(g_{R,N}) \text{ for all } E > 0.$$

2.4. Families of one-dimensional operators. We remark that the operators $G_{R,D/N}$ associated with the forms $g_{R,D/N}$ admit a separation of variables. Indeed, one has the representations

$$L^2(\Pi_{D/N}) \simeq L^2(\rho_{D/N}, +\infty) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{T} \times (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})), \quad L^2(\mathbb{T} \times (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{T}) \otimes L^2(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}),$$

and the operator $G_{R,D/N}$ commutes with the operators $1 \otimes (\mathcal{K}_S \otimes 1)$ and $1 \otimes (1 \otimes P)$ with P being the Dirichlet Laplacian in $L^2(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$. Both \mathcal{K}_S and P have discrete spectra, and their eigenvalues are $\lambda_m(\mathcal{K}_S)$ and $\lambda_n(P) = \pi^2 n^2$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. The decomposition with respect to the associated orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions shows that the operator $G_{R,D/N}$ is unitarily equivalent to the direct sum

$$G_{R,D/N} \simeq \bigoplus_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}} (G_{R,D/N}^{[m]} + \pi^2 n^2),$$

where $G_{R,D/N}^{[m]}$ is the one-dimensional operator acting on the Hilbert space $L^2(\rho_{D/N}, +\infty)$ and generated by the quadratic form $g_{R,D/N}^{[m]}$ defined as

$$g_{R,D/N}^{[m]}(v) := \int_{\rho_{D/N}} \left(|v'|^2 + \left(\frac{\lambda_m(\mathcal{K}_S) - \frac{1}{4}}{\rho^2} + \frac{C_{R,D/N}}{\rho^3} \right) |v|^2 \right) d\rho,$$

where $C_{R,D} = B_{R,D}$ and $C_{R,N} = -B_{R,N}$, on the domains

$$\text{dom } g_{R,D}^{[m]} = H_0^1(\rho_D, +\infty), \quad \text{dom } g_{R,N}^{[m]} = H^1(\rho_N, +\infty).$$

As the constant R can be chosen arbitrarily large, we assume from now on that

$$\frac{\lambda_1(\mathcal{K}_S) - \frac{1}{4}}{\rho^2} + \frac{C_{R,D/N}}{\rho^3} \geq -3\pi^2 \text{ for all } \rho > \rho_{D/N}.$$

Hence, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 2$ we have $G_{R,D/N}^{[m]} + \pi^2 n^2 \geq \pi^2$, and for any $E > 0$ there holds

$$\mathcal{N}_{\pi^2-E}(G_{R,D/N}) = \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{N}_{\pi^2-E}(G_{R,D/N}^{[m]} + \pi^2 n^2) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{N}_{-E}(G_{R,D/N}^{[m]}).$$

As $\lambda_m(\mathcal{K}_S)$ tends to $+\infty$ as m goes to $+\infty$, one can find $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lambda_m(\mathcal{K}_S) \geq 0 \text{ and } \frac{\lambda_m(\mathcal{K}_S) - \frac{1}{4}}{\rho^2} + \frac{B_{R,D/N}}{\rho^3} \geq 0 \text{ for all } \rho > \rho_{D/N} \text{ and } m \geq M + 1.$$

It follows that $G_{R,D/N}^{[m]} \geq 0$ for $m \geq M + 1$, therefore

$$\mathcal{N}_{\pi^2-E}(G_{R,D/N}) = \sum_{m=1}^M \mathcal{N}_{-E}(G_{R,D/N}^{[m]}).$$

The asymptotics of each summand on the right-hand side is described by Proposition 10,

$$\mathcal{N}_{-E}(G_{R,D/N}^{[m]}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{(-\lambda_m(\mathcal{K}_S))_+} |\ln E| + o(\ln E), \quad E \rightarrow 0^+,$$

and we arrive at (1.6) using Lemma 15.

2.5. Essential spectrum. It remains to show Eq. (1.5) for the essential spectrum. Remark first that the asymptotics (1.6) shows already that $\inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(A_S) = \pi^2$ thus, it is sufficient to show that $[\pi^2, +\infty) \subset \sigma(A_S)$. Remark that, by the above changes of variables, for a smooth function $\varphi \in \text{dom } A_S$ vanishing in $\Lambda_S \setminus \Phi(\Pi_R)$ one has $A_S \varphi = 0$ in $\Lambda_S \setminus \Phi(\Pi_R)$ and

$$VU A_S \varphi = \left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\frac{1}{(r+t\kappa)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \left(\frac{t\kappa''}{2(r+t\kappa)^3} - \frac{5}{4} \frac{(t\kappa')^2}{(r+t\kappa)^4} - \frac{\kappa^2+1}{4(r+t\kappa)^2} \right) \right] VU \varphi \text{ in } \Pi_R. \quad (2.4)$$

Choose a C^∞ function $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\chi = 0$ on $(-\infty, 0)$ and $\chi = 1$ on $(1, +\infty)$ and let $k \geq 0$. For $N > R$, define $\varphi_N \in \text{dom } A_S$ by

$$\varphi_N = 0 \text{ on } \Lambda_S \setminus \Phi(\Pi_N), \quad (VU \varphi_N)(r, s, t) = e^{ikr} \cos(\pi t) \chi(N-r) \chi(2N-r) \text{ for } (r, s, t) \in \Pi_R,$$

then using (2.4) one easily shows that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\|(A_S - (\pi^2 + k^2))\varphi_N\|_{L^2(\Lambda_S)}}{\|\varphi_N\|_{L^2(\Lambda_S)}} = \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\|VU A_S \varphi_N - (\pi^2 + k^2) VU \varphi_N\|_{L^2(\Pi_R)}}{\|VU \varphi_N\|_{L^2(\Pi_R)}} = 0,$$

which means $\pi^2 + k^2 \in \sigma(A_S)$. As $k \geq 0$ is arbitrary, the result follows.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 3: LOWER BOUND

The aim of this section is to obtain the lower bound

$$\liminf_{E \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(B_S)}{|\ln E|} \geq k_S. \quad (3.1)$$

3.1. Change of variables. The construction of the tubular coordinates will be done in a slightly different form, in order to allow a greater freedom in the choice of parameters. Let $R_0 > 0$, then one can find $\delta_0 \in (0, \kappa_\infty^{-1})$ such that for all $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ and $R > R_0$, the map

$$\Lambda : \mathcal{V}_{R,\delta} := (R, +\infty) \times \mathbb{T} \times (-\delta R, \delta R) \ni (r, s, t) \mapsto r\Gamma(s) + tn(s) \quad (3.2)$$

is injective. From now on, we pick R_0 and δ_0 satisfying the above conditions. For $R > R_0$ and $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ we denote $\Omega_{R,\delta} := \Lambda(\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta})$, $S_{R,\delta} := S \cap \Omega_{R,\delta}$ and consider the associated quadratic form in $L^2(\Omega_{R,\delta})$,

$$b_{R,\delta}(u) = \iiint_{\Omega_{R,\delta}} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \iint_{S_{R,\delta}} |u|^2 d\sigma, \quad \text{dom } b_{R,\delta} = H_0^1(\Omega_{R,\delta}).$$

As $b_{R,\delta}$ can be viewed as a restriction of b_S , we have $\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(b_{R,\delta}) \leq \mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(B_S)$ for $E > 0$. Now we are concerned with a lower bound for the eigenvalue counting function for $b_{R,\delta}$ under a suitable choice of R and δ .

Proceeding as in Lemma 12 and in Lemma 13 we rewrite the problem using the tubular coordinates (r, s, t) introduced in (3.2) and see that the quadratic form $b_{R,\delta}$ is unitarily equivalent to the quadratic form $c_{R,\delta}$ on $L^2(\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta})$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned} c_{R,\delta}(v) = & \iiint_{\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}} \left(|\partial_r v|^2 + \frac{1}{(r+t\kappa)^2} \left(|\partial_s v|^2 - \frac{\kappa^2+1}{4} |v|^2 \right) + |\partial_t v|^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{t\kappa''}{2(r+t\kappa)^3} - \frac{5}{4} \frac{(t\kappa')^2}{(r+t\kappa)^4} \right) |v|^2 \right) dr ds dt - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_R^\infty |v(r, s, 0)|^2 dr ds, \\ \text{dom } c_{R,\delta} = & \{v \in L^2(\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}) : \partial_r v, r^{-1} \partial_s v, \partial_t v \in L^2(\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}), \quad v = 0 \text{ on } \partial \mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $v \in \text{dom } c_{R,\delta}$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(r+t\kappa)^2}(|\partial_s v|^2 - \frac{\kappa^2+1}{4}|v|^2) &\leq \frac{1}{(r-\delta R\kappa_\infty)^2}|\partial_s v|^2 - \frac{1}{(r+\delta R\kappa_\infty)^2}\frac{\kappa^2+1}{4}|v|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{(r-\delta R\kappa_\infty)^2}(|\partial_s v|^2 - \frac{\kappa^2+1}{4}|v|^2) + \left(\frac{1}{(r-\delta R\kappa_\infty)^2} - \frac{1}{(r+\delta R\kappa_\infty)^2}\right)\frac{\kappa^2+1}{4}|v|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Remark that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(r-\delta R\kappa_\infty)^2} - \frac{1}{(r+\delta R\kappa_\infty)^2} &= \frac{4\delta r R\kappa_\infty}{(r-\delta R\kappa_\infty)^2(r+\delta R\kappa_\infty)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{4\delta R\kappa_\infty}{(r-\delta R\kappa_\infty)^2(r+\delta R\kappa_\infty)} \leq \frac{4\delta R\kappa_\infty}{(r-\delta R\kappa_\infty)^3} \leq \frac{4R\kappa_\infty}{R-\delta R\kappa_\infty} \frac{\delta}{(r-\delta R\kappa_\infty)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{4\kappa_\infty}{1-\delta_0\kappa_\infty} \frac{\delta}{(r-\delta R\kappa_\infty)^2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{t\kappa''}{2(r+t\kappa)^3} - \frac{5}{4} \frac{(t\kappa')^2}{(r+t\kappa)^4} &\leq \frac{t\kappa''}{2(r+t\kappa)^3} \leq \frac{\delta R\kappa_\infty''}{2(r-R\delta\kappa_\infty)^3} \\ &\leq \frac{R\kappa_\infty''}{2R(1-\delta\kappa_\infty)} \frac{\delta}{(r-R\delta\kappa_\infty)^2} \leq \frac{\kappa_\infty''}{2(1-\delta_0\kappa_\infty)} \frac{\delta}{(r-R\delta\kappa_\infty)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of (R, δ) , such that $c_{R,\delta} \leq f_{R,\delta}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} f_{R,\delta}(v) &:= \iiint_{\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}} |\partial_t v|^2 + |\partial_r v|^2 + \frac{1}{(r-\delta R\kappa_\infty)^2} \left(|\partial_s v|^2 - \frac{\kappa^2+1-C\delta}{4}|v|^2 \right) dr ds dt \\ &\quad - \int_{r>R} \int_{s \in \mathbb{T}} |v(r, s, 0)|^2 dr ds, \quad \text{dom } f_{R,\delta} = \text{dom } c_{R,\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the change of variable

$$\rho = \frac{r - \delta R\kappa_\infty}{R(1 - \delta\kappa_\infty)}$$

one sees that the quadratic form $f_{R,\delta}$ is unitarily equivalent to the quadratic form $g_{R,\delta}$,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{R,\delta}(v) &:= \iiint_{\mathcal{U}_{R,\delta}} \left(|\partial_t v|^2 + \frac{1}{R^2(1-\delta\kappa_\infty)^2} |\partial_\rho v|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{R^2(1-\delta\kappa_\infty)^2 \rho^2} \left(|\partial_s v|^2 - \frac{\kappa^2+1-C\delta}{4}|v|^2 \right) \right) dr ds dt - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_1^{+\infty} |v(\rho, s, 0)|^2 d\rho ds, \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathcal{U}_{R,\delta} := (1, +\infty) \times \mathbb{T} \times (-\delta R, \delta R)$ and

$$\text{dom } g_{R,\delta} = \left\{ v \in L^2(\mathcal{U}_{R,\delta}) : \partial_\rho v, \rho^{-1} \partial_s v, \partial_t v \in L^2(\mathcal{U}_{R,\delta}), \quad v = 0 \text{ on } \partial \mathcal{U}_{R,\delta} \right\}.$$

By construction we have

$$\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(B_S) \geq \mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(g_{R,\delta}), \quad E > 0. \quad (3.3)$$

3.2. Family of one-dimensional operators. We remark that the operators $G_{R,\delta}$ associated with the form $g_{R,\delta}$ admit a separation of variables. First one uses the identification

$$L^2(\mathcal{U}_{R,\delta}) \simeq L^2(1, +\infty) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{T} \times (-\delta R, \delta R)), \quad L^2(\mathbb{T} \times (-\delta R, \delta R)) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{T}) \otimes L^2(-\delta R, \delta R),$$

and then remarks that $G_{R,\delta}$ commutes with the operators $1 \otimes (\mathcal{K}_S \otimes 1)$ and $1 \otimes (1 \otimes Q_{R\delta,D})$, with $Q_{R\delta,D}$ defined in Subsection 1.2. Both \mathcal{K}_S and $Q_{R\delta,D}$ have discrete spectra, and the operator $G_{R,\delta}$ is unitarily equivalent to the direct sum

$$G_{R,\delta} \simeq \bigoplus_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\frac{1}{R^2(1-\delta\kappa_\infty)^2} G_{R,\delta}^{[m]} + \lambda_n(Q_{R\delta,D}) \right),$$

where $G_{R,\delta}^{[m]}$ is the one-dimensional operator acting on the Hilbert space $L^2(1, +\infty)$ associated with the following quadratic form $g_{R,\delta}^{[m]}$:

$$g_{R,\delta}^{[m]}[v] := \int_1^{+\infty} \left(|v'|^2 + \frac{\lambda_m(\mathcal{K}_S) - \frac{1-C\delta}{4}}{\rho^2} |v|^2 \right) d\rho, \quad \text{dom } g_{R,\delta}^{[m]} = H_0^1(1, +\infty).$$

Hence, one can estimate the eigenvalue counting function as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(G_{R,\delta}) &= \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E} \left(\frac{1}{R^2(1-\delta\kappa_\infty)^2} G_\delta^{[m]} + \lambda_n(Q_{R\delta}, D) \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{m=1}^{M_\delta} \mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E} \left(\frac{1}{R^2(1-\delta\kappa_\infty)^2} G_{R,\delta}^{[m]} + \lambda_1(Q_{R\delta}, D) \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{M_\delta} \mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E-\lambda_1(Q_{R\delta}, D)} \left(\frac{1}{R^2(1-\delta\kappa_\infty)^2} G_{R,\delta}^{[m]} \right), \quad E > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$M_\delta := \max \left\{ m \in \mathbb{N} : \lambda_m(\mathcal{K}_S) - \frac{1-C\delta}{4} < 0 \right\}.$$

The above constructions are valid for all $R > R_0$. Now, assuming that $E > 0$ is sufficiently small, we choose $R = R_\delta(E) := K_\delta |\ln E|$ with $K_\delta > 0$ to be chosen later and set

$$\mu_\delta(E) := \left(\frac{1}{4} + E + \lambda_1(Q_{R_\delta(E)\delta}, D) \right) R_\delta(E)^2 (1 - \delta\kappa_\infty)^2.$$

Thanks to Proposition 9, for E small enough we have:

$$\left| \frac{1}{4} + \lambda_1(Q_{R_\delta(E)\delta}, D) \right| \leq C_0^{-1} e^{-C_0 K_\delta \delta |\ln E|} = C_0^{-1} E^{C_0 K_\delta \delta}.$$

Consequently, for K_δ large enough one has

$$\mu_\delta(E) = (1 - \delta\kappa_\infty)^2 K_\delta^2 |\ln E|^2 E + o(E |\ln E|^2) \rightarrow 0^+ \text{ for } E \rightarrow 0^+.$$

With the help of (3.4) we get

$$\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(G_{R_\delta(E),\delta}) \geq \sum_{m=1}^{M_\delta} \mathcal{N}_{-\mu_\delta(E)}(G_{R_\delta(E),\delta}^{[m]}),$$

and Proposition 10 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{E \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{-1/4-E}(G_{R_\delta(E),\delta})}{|\ln E|} &\geq \sum_{m=1}^{M_\delta} \lim_{E \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{-\mu_\delta(E)}(G_{R_\delta(E),\delta}^{[m]})}{|\ln E|} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{M_\delta} \lim_{E \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{-\mu_\delta(E)}(G_{R_\delta(E),\delta}^{[m]})}{|\ln \mu_\delta(E)|} \cdot \frac{|\ln \mu_\delta(E)|}{|\ln E|} = \sum_{m=1}^{M_\delta} \lim_{E \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{-\mu_\delta(E)}(G_{R_\delta(E),\delta}^{[m]})}{|\ln \mu_\delta(E)|} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{M_\delta} \sqrt{\left(-\lambda_m(\mathcal{K}_S) - \frac{1}{4}C\delta \right)_+}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to (3.3) we arrive at

$$\liminf_{E \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(B_S)}{|\ln E|} \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{M_\delta} \sqrt{\left(-\lambda_m(\mathcal{K}_S) - \frac{1}{4}C\delta \right)_+}.$$

As the inequality is true for any $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ and the right-hand side tends to k_S as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$, we arrive at (3.1).

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 3: UPPER BOUND

In this section we are going to show the inequality

$$\limsup_{E \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(B_S)}{|\ln E|} \leq k_S. \quad (4.1)$$

4.1. Change of variables. Contrary to the preceding cases, we will work on a neighborhood of S which suitably expands at infinity. Namely, for $R > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ we denote

$$\mathcal{P}_{R,\delta} := \{(r, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : r > R, t \in (-\delta r, \delta r)\} \equiv \{(r, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : r > r_{R,\delta}(t)\}, \quad r_{R,\delta}(t) := \max\left(R, \frac{|t|}{\delta}\right),$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta} := \mathcal{P}_{R,\delta} \times \mathbb{T},$$

then there exist $R_0 > 0$ and $\delta_0 \in (0, \kappa_\infty^{-1})$ such that for all $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ and all $R > R_0$ the map

$$\Lambda : \mathcal{V}_{R,\delta} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \Lambda(r, s, t) = r\Gamma(s) + tn(s),$$

is injective. We set $\Omega_{R,\delta} := \Lambda(\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta})$ and define the following quadratic form in $L^2(\Omega_{R,\delta})$:

$$a_{R,\delta}(u) := \iiint_{\Omega_{R,\delta}} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \iint_{S \cap \Omega_{R,\delta}} |u|^2 d\sigma, \quad \text{dom } a_{R,\delta} = H^1(\Omega_{R,\delta}).$$

Lemma 16. *Let $R > R_0$ and $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$, then there exists $C_{R,\delta} > 0$ such that*

$$\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(b_S) \leq C_{R,\delta} + \mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(a_{R,\delta}), \quad E > 0.$$

Proof. Consider the domains $U_{R,\delta,1} := B(R_*) \setminus \Omega_{R,\delta}$ and $U_{R,\delta,2} := \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B(R_*) \cup \Omega_{R,\delta}}$, where $B(R_*)$ is the ball centered at the origin of radius $R_* \geq R$ chosen sufficiently large in such a way that the two sets have a Lipschitz boundary and that $S \cap U_{R,\delta,2} = \emptyset$. Introduce the quadratic forms

$$a_{R,\delta,1}(u) := \iiint_{U_{R,\delta,1}} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \iint_{S \cap U_{R,\delta,1}} |u|^2 d\sigma, \quad \text{dom } a_{R,\delta,1} = H^1(U_{R,\delta,1}),$$

$$a_{R,\delta,2}(u) := \iiint_{U_{R,\delta,2}} |\nabla u|^2 dx, \quad \text{dom } a_{R,\delta,2} = H^1(U_{R,\delta,2}).$$

Due to the form inequality $b_S \geq a_{R,\delta} \oplus a_{R,\delta,1} \oplus a_{R,\delta,2}$ one has

$$\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(b_S) \leq \mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(a_{R,\delta}) + \mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(a_{R,\delta,1}) + \mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(a_{R,\delta,2}), \quad E > 0.$$

As $a_{R,\delta,2}$ is non-negative, one has $\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(a_{R,\delta,2}) = 0$ for $E > 0$. As $U_{R,\delta,1}$ is bounded Lipschitz, the domain of $H^1(U_{R,\delta,1})$ is compactly embedded in $L^2(U_{R,\delta,1})$ and

$$\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(a_{R,\delta,1}) \leq \mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}}(a_{R,\delta,1}) =: C_{R,\delta} < \infty, \quad E > 0. \quad \square$$

Introducing the unitary transform

$$U : L^2(\Omega_{R,\delta}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}, (r + t\kappa) dr ds dt), \quad Uu := u \circ \Lambda,$$

and proceeding literally as in Lemma 12 one shows that the quadratic form $b_{R,\delta} := a_{R,\delta} \circ (U^{-1})$ in $L^2(\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}, (r + t\kappa) dr ds dt)$ is

$$b_{R,\delta}(u) = \iiint_{\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}} \left((r + t\kappa)(|\partial_r u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2) + \frac{1}{r + t\kappa} |\partial_s u|^2 \right) dr ds dt$$

$$- \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_R^{+\infty} |u(r, s, 0)|^2 r dr ds$$

with $\text{dom } b_{R,\delta} = \{u \in L^2(\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}, (r + t\kappa) dr ds dt) : \partial_r u, r^{-1} \partial_s u, \partial_t u \in L^2(\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}, (r + t\kappa) dr ds dt)\}$. Further, using the unitary transform

$$V : L^2(\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}, (r + t\kappa) dr ds dt) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}), \quad (Vu)(r, s, t) = \sqrt{r + t\kappa(s)} u(r, s, t),$$

and a straightforward computation, almost identical to the one in Lemma 13, one shows that $b_{R,\delta}$ is unitarily equivalent to the following quadratic form $c_{R,\delta}$,

$$c_{R,\delta}(v) = \iiint_{\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}} \left(|\partial_r v|^2 + |\partial_t v|^2 + \frac{1}{(r + t\kappa)^2} \left(|\partial_s v|^2 - \frac{\kappa^2 + 1}{4} |v|^2 \right) \right) dr ds dt$$

$$+ \iiint_{\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}} \left(\frac{t\kappa''}{2(r + t\kappa)^3} - \frac{5}{4} \frac{(t\kappa')^2}{(r + t\kappa)^4} \right) |v|^2 dr ds dt - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_R^{+\infty} |v(r, s, 0)|^2 r dr ds$$

$$+ \iint_{\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}} \frac{|v(r_{R,\delta}(t), s, t)|^2}{2(r_{R,\delta}(t) + t\kappa)} ds dt + \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_R^{+\infty} \frac{\kappa}{2} \left(\frac{|v(r, s, -\delta r)|^2}{r - \delta r\kappa} - \frac{|v(r, s, \delta r)|^2}{r + 2\delta r\kappa} \right) dr ds,$$

$$\text{dom } c_{R,\delta} = \{v \in L^2(\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}) : \partial_r v, r^{-1} \partial_s v, \partial_t v \in L^2(\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta})\}.$$

In what follows we choose $R > R_0$ and $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\delta_0)$, then in view of Lemma 16 and of the unitary equivalence we have

$$\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(B_S) \leq C_{R,2\delta} + \mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(c_{R,2\delta}), \quad E > 0. \quad (4.2)$$

In order to continue we need a suitable lower bound for $c_{R,2\delta}$. First we remark that proceeding in the same spirit as in Subsection 3.1, one can find a constant $A > 0$ such that for all (R, δ) there holds

$$\begin{aligned} c_{R,2\delta}(v) &\geq f_{R,\delta}(v) := \iiint_{\mathcal{V}_{R,2\delta}} \left(|\partial_r v|^2 + |\partial_t v|^2 + \frac{|\partial_s v|^2 - \frac{\kappa^2 + 1 + A\delta}{4}|v|^2}{r^2(1 + 2\delta\kappa_\infty)^2} \right) dr ds dt \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_R^{+\infty} |v(r, s, 0)|^2 dr ds - \frac{A}{R} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_R^{+\infty} (|v(r, s, -2\delta r)|^2 + |v(r, s, 2\delta r)|^2) dr ds \end{aligned}$$

with $\text{dom } f_{R,\delta} = \text{dom } c_{R,2\delta}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\iiint_{\mathcal{V}_{R,2\delta}} |\partial_t v|^2 dr ds dt - \frac{A}{R} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_R^{+\infty} (|v(r, s, -2\delta r)|^2 + |v(r, s, 2\delta r)|^2) dr ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_R^{+\infty} \left(\int_{-2\delta r}^{2\delta r} |\partial_t v(r, s, t)|^2 dt - \frac{A}{R} (|v(r, s, -2\delta r)|^2 + |v(r, s, 2\delta r)|^2) \right) dr ds \\ &= \iiint_{\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}} |\partial_t v|^2 dr ds dt \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_R^{+\infty} \left(\int_{-2\delta r}^{-\delta r} |\partial_t v(r, s, t)|^2 dt - \frac{A}{R} |v(r, s, -2\delta r)|^2 \right) dr ds \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_R^{+\infty} \left(\int_{\delta r}^{2\delta r} |\partial_t v(r, s, t)|^2 dt - \frac{A}{R} |v(r, s, 2\delta r)|^2 \right) dr ds \\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

Using Eq. (1.10) with $a = \min(\delta, A^{-1})R$ and $b = \delta R$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\geq \left(1 - a\frac{A}{R}\right) \int_R^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{-2\delta r}^{-\delta r} |\partial_t v(r, s, t)|^2 dt ds dr - \frac{2A}{aR} \int_R^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{-2\delta r}^{-\delta r} |v|^2 dt ds dr \\ &\geq -\frac{2A}{aR} \int_R^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{-2\delta r}^{-\delta r} |v|^2 dt ds dr. \end{aligned}$$

The same reasoning yields

$$I_3 \geq -\frac{2A}{aR} \int_R^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\delta r}^{2\delta r} |v|^2 dt ds dr,$$

and by choosing R sufficiently large we obtain

$$I_2 + I_3 \geq -\frac{2A}{aR} \iiint_{\mathcal{V}_{R,2\delta} \setminus \mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}} |v|^2 dr ds dt \geq -\frac{1}{3} \iiint_{\mathcal{V}_{R,2\delta} \setminus \mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}} |v|^2 dr ds dt, \quad v \in \text{dom } f_{R,\delta}. \quad (4.3)$$

Introduce the quadratic forms

$$\begin{aligned} g_{R,\delta}(v) &:= \iiint_{\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}} \left(|\partial_r v|^2 + |\partial_t v|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2(1 + 2\delta\kappa_\infty)^2} \left(|\partial_s v|^2 - \frac{\kappa^2 + 1 + A\delta}{4} |v|^2 \right) \right) dr ds dt \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_R^{+\infty} |v(r, s, 0)|^2 dr ds, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{dom } g_{R,\delta} = \left\{ v \in L^2(\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}) : \partial_r v, r^{-1}\partial_s v, \partial_t v \in L^2(\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}) \right\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} g'_{R,\delta}(v) &:= \iiint_{\mathcal{V}_{R,2\delta} \setminus \mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}} \left(|\partial_r v|^2 + |\partial_t v|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2(1 + 2\delta\kappa_\infty)^2} \left(|\partial_s v|^2 - \frac{\kappa^2 + 1 + A\delta}{4} |v|^2 \right) \right) dr ds dt \\ &\quad - \frac{A}{R} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_R^\infty (|v(r, s, -2\delta r)|^2 + |v(r, s, 2\delta r)|^2) dr ds, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{dom } g'_{R,\delta} = \left\{ v \in L^2(\mathcal{V}_{R,2\delta} \setminus \mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}) : \partial_r v, r^{-1}\partial_s v, \partial_t v \in L^2(\mathcal{V}_{R,2\delta} \setminus \mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}) \right\}.$$

Due to the form inequality $f_{R,2\delta} \geq g_{R,\delta} \oplus g'_{R,\delta}$ one has

$$\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(f_{R,\delta}) \leq \mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(g_{R,\delta}) + \mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(g'_{R,\delta}), \quad E > 0, \quad (4.4)$$

and (4.3) gives

$$g'_{R,\delta}(v) \geq -\left(\frac{\kappa_\infty^2 + 1 + A\delta_0}{4R^2} + \frac{1}{3}\right)\|v\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{R,2\delta} \setminus \mathcal{V}_{R,\delta})}^2,$$

By increasing the value of R one obtains $\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(g'_{R,\delta}) = 0$ for $E > 0$. Using (4.2) we conclude that for any $\delta \in (0, \frac{\delta_0}{2})$ there exists $R > R_0$ and a constant $B_{R,\delta} > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(B_S) \leq B_{R,\delta} + \mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(g_{R,\delta}), \quad E > 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to study the eigenvalue counting function for $g_{R,\delta}$.

4.2. Reduction to two dimensional operators. Use the representation $L^2(\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta}) \simeq L^2(\mathcal{P}_{R,\delta}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{T})$, then the operator $G_{R,\delta}$ associated with $g_{R,\delta}$ commutes with $1 \otimes \mathcal{K}_S$. As \mathcal{K}_S has discrete spectrum, it follows that $G_{R,\delta} \simeq \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_{R,\delta}^{[n]}$, where $G_{R,\delta}^{[n]}$ are the self-adjoint operators in $L^2(\mathcal{P}_{R,\delta})$ associated with the quadratic forms

$$g_{R,\delta}^{[n]}(v) := \int_{\mathcal{P}_{R,\delta}} \left(|\partial_r v|^2 + |\partial_t v|^2 + \frac{\lambda_n(\mathcal{K}_S) - \frac{1+A\delta}{4}}{r^2(1+2\delta\kappa_\infty)^2} |v|^2 \right) dr dt - \int_R^{+\infty} |v(r,0)|^2 dr$$

defined on $\text{dom } g_{R,\delta}^{[n]} = H^1(\mathcal{P}_{R,\delta})$ and

$$\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(g_{R,\delta}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(G_{R,\delta}^{[n]}), \quad E > 0.$$

By Proposition 9, one can increase again the value of R to have, with some $C_0 > 0$,

$$g_{R,\delta}^{[n]}(v) \geq \int_R^\infty \int_{-\delta r}^{\delta r} \left(|\partial_r v|^2 + \left(\frac{\lambda_n(\mathcal{K}_S) - \frac{1+A\delta}{4}}{r^2(1+2\delta\kappa_\infty)} - \frac{1}{4} - C_0^{-1} e^{-C_0 \delta r} \right) |v|^2 \right) dt dr.$$

Set

$$N_\delta := \max \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \lambda_n(\mathcal{K}_S) - \frac{1+A\delta}{4} \leq 0 \right\},$$

then by increasing the value of R once more we arrive at

$$\frac{\lambda_n(\mathcal{K}_S) - \frac{1+A\delta}{4}}{r^2(1+2\delta\kappa_\infty)} - C_0^{-1} e^{-C_0 \delta r} \geq 0, \quad r > R, \quad n \geq N_\delta + 1.$$

It follows that for all $n \geq N_\delta + 1$ one has $G_{R,\delta}^{[n]} \geq -\frac{1}{4}$, and then

$$\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(g_{R,\delta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_\delta} \mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(G_{R,\delta}^{[n]}), \quad E > 0. \quad (4.6)$$

To study the case $n \leq N_\delta$ we introduce a parameter $L > 1$, denote by m the integer part of \sqrt{L} , and set

$$\begin{aligned} r_p &:= R + \frac{pL}{m}, \quad t_p := \delta r_p, \quad p \in \{0, \dots, m\}, \quad r_{m+1} := +\infty, \\ \Omega_p &:= \{(r, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : r \in (r_p, r_{p+1}), t \in (-t_p, t_p)\} \subset \mathcal{P}_{R,\delta}, \quad p \in \{0, \dots, m\}, \\ \Omega_{m+1} &:= \mathcal{P}_{R,\delta} \setminus \bigcup_{p=0}^m \overline{\Omega_p}. \end{aligned}$$

Introduce the following quadratic forms:

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{p,\delta}^{[n]}(v) &:= \iint_{\Omega_p} \left(|\partial_r v|^2 + |\partial_t v|^2 + \frac{\lambda_n(\mathcal{K}_S) - \frac{1+A\delta}{4}}{r^2(1+2\delta\kappa_\infty)^2} |v|^2 \right) dr dt - \int_{r_p}^{r_{p+1}} |v(r, 0)|^2 dr, \\
\text{dom } h_{p,\delta}^{[n]}(v) &= H^1(\Omega_p), \quad p \in \{0, \dots, m\}, \\
h_{m+1,\delta}^{[n]}(v) &:= \iint_{\Omega_{m+1}} \left(|\partial_r v|^2 + |\partial_t v|^2 + \frac{\lambda_n(\mathcal{K}_S) - \frac{1+A\delta}{4}}{r^2(1+2\delta\kappa_\infty)^2} |v|^2 \right) dr dt, \\
\text{dom } h_{m+1,\delta}^{[n]} &= H^1(\Omega_{m+1}),
\end{aligned}$$

then one has the form inequality $g_{R,\delta}^{[n]} \geq \bigoplus_{p=0}^{m+1} h_{p,\delta}^{[n]}$ implying

$$\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(g_{R,\delta}^{[n]}) \leq \sum_{p=0}^{m+1} \mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(h_{p,\delta}^{[n]}). \quad (4.7)$$

We remark first that

$$h_{m+1,\delta}^{[n]}(v) \geq \frac{\lambda_1(\mathcal{K}_S) - \frac{1+A\delta}{4}}{R^2(1+2\delta\kappa_\infty)^2} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_{m+1})}^2,$$

hence, we can increase the value of R to get

$$h_{m+1,\delta}^{[n]}(v) \geq -\frac{1}{4} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_{m+1})}^2 \text{ for all } n \in \{1, \dots, N_\delta\},$$

thus giving

$$\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(h_{m+1,\delta}^{[n]}) = 0 \text{ for } n \in \{1, \dots, N_\delta\} \text{ and } E > 0. \quad (4.8)$$

Now assume that $p \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$. There holds

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{p,\delta}^{[n]}(v) &\geq \iint_{\Omega_p} \left(|\partial_r v|^2 + |\partial_t v|^2 \right) dr dt - \int_{r_p}^{r_{p+1}} |v(r, 0)|^2 dr - \epsilon_{p,\delta} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_p)}^2 \\
&= a_{p,\delta}(v) - \epsilon_{p,\delta} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_p)}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $a_{p,\delta}$ is the quadratic form of the operator $N_p \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Q_{t_p,N}$ with N_p the Neumann Laplacian in $L^2(r_p, r_{p+1})$, the operator $Q_{t_p,N}$ acting in $L^2(-t_p, t_p)$ and defined in Subsection 1.2 and

$$\epsilon_{p,\delta} := \left| \frac{\lambda_1(\mathcal{K}_S) - \frac{1}{4}(1+A\delta)}{r_p^2(1+\delta\kappa_\infty)^2} \right|.$$

Thus, for $E > 0$ one has

$$\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(h_{p,\delta}^{[n]}) \leq \mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}}(h_{p,\delta}^{[n]}) \leq \#\left\{ (l, j) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{m^2 \pi^2 l^2}{L^2} \leq -\frac{1}{4} + \epsilon_{p,\delta} - \lambda_j(Q_{t_p,N}) \right\}.$$

We increase the value of R sufficiently to have $\epsilon_{0,\delta} < \frac{1}{4}$, then one has $\epsilon_{p,\delta} < \frac{1}{4}$ for every $p \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$. Furthermore, by Proposition 9 we may additionally assume that R is chosen sufficiently large to have the estimate $\lambda_j(Q_{t_p,N}) \geq 0$ for $j \geq 2$ and the inequalities (1.11). Then, with the new value of R one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\#\left\{ (l, j) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{m^2 \pi^2 l^2}{L^2} \leq -\frac{1}{4} + \epsilon_{p,\delta} - \lambda_j(Q_{t_p,N}) \right\} \\
&= \#\left\{ l \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \frac{m^2 \pi^2 l^2}{L^2} \leq -\frac{1}{4} + \epsilon_{p,\delta} - \lambda_1(Q_{t_p,N}) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}}(h_{p,\delta}^{[n]}) &\leq \#\left\{ l \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \frac{m^2 \pi^2 l^2}{L^2} \leq -\frac{1}{4} + \epsilon_{p,\delta} - \lambda_1(Q_{t_p,N}) \right\} \\
&\leq \#\left\{ l \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \frac{m^2 \pi^2 l^2}{L^2} \leq \epsilon_{p,\delta} + C_0^{-1} e^{-C_0 t_p} \right\} \leq 1 + \frac{L}{\pi m} \sqrt{\epsilon_{p,\delta} + C_0^{-1} e^{-C_0 t_p}} \leq 1 + c'_{R,\delta} \frac{\sqrt{L}}{r_p}
\end{aligned}$$

with some $c'_{R,\delta} > 0$ independent of L and n . Summing over all $p \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}}(h_{p,\delta}^{[n]}) &\leq m + c'_{R,\delta} \frac{\sqrt{L}}{R} + c'_{R,\delta} \sqrt{L} \sum_{p=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{R + L \frac{p}{m}} \\ &\leq m + c'_{R,\delta} \frac{\sqrt{L}}{R} + c'_{R,\delta} m \sqrt{L} \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{R + Lx} \\ &= m + c'_{R,\delta} \frac{\sqrt{L}}{R} + c'_{R,\delta} \frac{m}{R\sqrt{L}} \ln\left(1 + \frac{L}{R}\right) \leq c''_{R,\delta} \sqrt{L}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c''_{R,\delta} > 0$ is independent of L and n . Thus, it follows from (4.7) that

$$\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(g_{R,\delta}^{[n]}) \leq \mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(h_{m,\delta}^{[n]}) + c''_{R,\delta} \sqrt{L}, \quad E > 0. \quad (4.9)$$

4.3. Reduction to one-dimensional operators. It remains to find a suitable upper bound for the eigenvalue counting function of $h_{m,\delta}^{[n]}$. The associated operator $H_{m,\delta}^{[n]}$ can be represented as

$$H_{m,\delta}^{[n]} = W_{R,L,\delta}^{[n]} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Q_{t_m,N},$$

where $Q_{t_m,N}$ acts in $L^2(-t_m, t_m)$ as defined in Subsection 1.2 and $W_{R,L,\delta}^{[n]}$ is the one-dimensional operator in $L^2(R+L, +\infty)$ associated with the quadratic form

$$w_{R,L,\delta}^{[n]}(v) := \int_{R+L}^{+\infty} \left(|v'|^2 + \frac{\lambda_n(\mathcal{K}_S) - \frac{1+A\delta}{4}}{r^2(1+2\delta\kappa_\infty)^2} |v|^2 \right) dr, \quad \text{dom } w_{R,L,\delta}^{[n]} = H^1(R+L, +\infty),$$

and we get

$$\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(H_{m,\delta}^{[n]}) = \#\left\{ (l, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \lambda_l(Q_{t_m,N}) + \lambda_j(W_{R,L,\delta}^{[n]}) \leq -\frac{1}{4} - E \right\}.$$

Due to the estimate

$$w_{R,L,\delta}^{[n]}(v) \geq - \left| \frac{\lambda_1(\mathcal{K}_S) - \frac{1+A\delta}{4}}{R^2(1+2\delta\kappa_\infty)^2} \right| \|v\|_{L^2(R+L, +\infty)}^2$$

and Proposition 9 one may increase the value of R to obtain $W_{R,L,\delta}^{[n]} \geq -\frac{1}{4}$ for all n as well as $\lambda_j(Q_{t_m,N}) \geq 0$ for $j \geq 2$. It follows that

$$\left\{ l \in \mathbb{N} : \lambda_l(W_{R,L,\delta}^{[n]}) \leq -\frac{1}{4} - E - \lambda_j(Q_{t_m,N}) \right\} = \emptyset \text{ for } j \geq 2, \quad E > 0,$$

which yields

$$\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(H_{m,\delta}^{[n]}) = \mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E-\lambda_1(Q_{t_m,N})}(W_{R,L,\delta}^{[n]}), \quad E > 0.$$

With the help of the change of variable $\rho = (R+L)^{-1}r$, one sees that the quadratic form $w_{R,L,\delta}^{[n]}$ is unitarily equivalent to the form $(R+L)^{-2}z_\delta^{[n]}$ in $L^2(1, +\infty)$, where

$$z_\delta^{[n]}(v) := \int_1^{+\infty} \left(|v'|^2 + \frac{\lambda_n(\mathcal{K}_S) - \frac{1+A\delta}{4}}{(1+2\delta\kappa_\infty)^2 \rho^2} |v|^2 \right) d\rho, \quad \text{dom } z_\delta^{[n]} = H^1(1, +\infty).$$

Now we set $L = L(E) := K|\ln E|$ for some $K > 0$ to be chosen later on, then for the respective value $m = m(E)$ we have $\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(H_{m,\delta}^{[n]}) = \mathcal{N}_{-\mu(E)}(z_\delta^{[n]})$, $E > 0$, with

$$\mu(E) := (R + K|\ln E|)^2 \left(\frac{1}{4} + E + \lambda_1(Q_{\delta(R+K|\ln E|), N}) \right),$$

and thanks to Proposition 9 one can estimate

$$\left| \lambda_1(Q_{\delta(R+K|\ln E|), N}) + \frac{1}{4} \right| \leq C_0^{-1} e^{-C_0\delta(R+K|\ln E|)} = C_0^{-1} e^{-C_0\delta R} E^{C_0\delta K}.$$

Hence, by choosing a sufficiently large value of K we may assume that

$$\mu(E) = K^2 E |\ln E|^2 + o(E |\ln E|^2) \text{ as } E \rightarrow 0^+$$

and then use Proposition 10 to describe the asymptotics of $\mathcal{N}_{-\mu(E)}(z_\delta^{[n]})$ as $E \rightarrow 0^+$. The substitution into (4.9) and then into (4.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{E \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(g_{R,\delta})}{|\ln E|} &= \sum_{n=1}^{N_\delta} \limsup_{E \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(g_{R,\delta}^{[n]})}{|\ln E|} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{N_\delta} \limsup_{E \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(h_{R,\delta}^{[n]})}{|\ln E|} + N_\delta c''_{R,\delta} \limsup_{E \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\sqrt{K|\ln E|}}{|\ln E|} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_\delta} \limsup_{E \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(H_{R,\delta}^{[n]})}{|\ln E|} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{N_\delta} \limsup_{E \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{-\mu(E)}(z_\delta^{[n]})}{|\ln E|} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N_\delta} \limsup_{E \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{-\mu(E)}(z_\delta^{[n]})}{|\ln \mu(E)|} \cdot \limsup_{E \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|\ln \mu(E)|}{|\ln E|} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{N_\delta} \limsup_{E \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{-\mu(E)}(z_\delta^{[n]})}{|\ln \mu(E)|} = \frac{1}{2\pi(1+2\delta\kappa_\infty)} \sum_{n=1}^{N_\delta} \sqrt{\left(\delta\left(\frac{A}{4} - \kappa_\infty \delta \kappa_\infty^2\right) - \lambda_n(\mathcal{K}_S)\right)_+}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (4.5) we get

$$\limsup_{E \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{-\frac{1}{4}-E}(B_S)}{|\ln E|} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi(1+2\delta\kappa_\infty)} \sum_{n=1}^{N_\delta} \sqrt{\left(\delta\left(\frac{A}{4} - \kappa_\infty - \delta \kappa_\infty^2\right) - \lambda_n(\mathcal{K}_S)\right)_+}.$$

As the inequality is true for any $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\delta_0)$ and the right-hand side converges to k_S as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$, we arrive at the sought upper-bound (4.1).

4.4. Essential spectrum. In order to complete the proof of Theorem 3 we need to show Eq. (1.7) for the essential spectrum. Equality (1.8) shows that $\inf \sigma(B_S) = -\frac{1}{4}$, and it is sufficient to show that $[-\frac{1}{4}, +\infty) \subset \sigma(B_S)$, which can be done in the same way as the respective construction for A_S in Subsection 2.5. Namely, one easily checks that for a function $\varphi \in \text{dom } B_S$ vanishing in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Lambda(\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta})$ one has $B_S \varphi = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Lambda(\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} VUB_S \varphi &= \left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\frac{1}{(r+t\kappa)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{t\kappa''}{2(r+t\kappa)^3} - \frac{5}{4} \frac{(t\kappa')^2}{(r+t\kappa)^4} - \frac{\kappa^2+1}{4(r+t\kappa)^2} \right) \right] VU \varphi \quad (4.10) \end{aligned}$$

in $\{(r, s, t) \in \mathcal{V}_{R,\delta} : t \neq 0\}$. Pick a C^∞ function $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\chi = 0$ on $(-\infty, 0)$ and $\chi = 1$ on $(1, +\infty)$ and let $k \geq 0$. For $N > R$, define $\varphi_N \in \text{dom } B_S$ through $\varphi_N = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Lambda(\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta})$ and

$$(VU \varphi_N)(r, s, t) = e^{ikr} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}|t|\right) \chi(r-N) \chi(2N-r) \chi(t+N\delta) \chi(N\delta-t), \quad (r, s, t) \in \mathcal{V}_{R,\delta},$$

then a short computation with the help of (4.10) shows that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\|(B_S - (k^2 - \frac{1}{4}))\varphi_N\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}}{\|\varphi_N\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}} = \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\|VUB_S \varphi_N - (k^2 - \frac{1}{4})VU \varphi_N\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta})}}{\|VU \varphi_N\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{R,\delta})}} = 0,$$

which means $k^2 - \frac{1}{4} \in \sigma(A_S)$. As $k \geq 0$ is arbitrary, the result follows.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thomas Ourmières-Bonafos is supported by a public grant as part of the “Investissement d’avenir” project, reference ANR-11-LABX-0056-LMH, LabEx LMH.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Høegh-Krohn, H. Holden: *Solvable models in quantum mechanics*. With an Appendix by P. Exner. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2nd edition, 2005.
- [2] J. Behrndt, P. Exner, V. Lotoreichik: *Schrödinger operators with δ -interactions supported on conical surfaces*. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **47** (2014) 355202.
- [3] V. Bruneau, K. Pankrashkin, N. Popoff: *Eigenvalue counting function for Robin Laplacians on conical domains*. J. Geom. Anal. (in press). Preprint arXiv:1602.07448.
- [4] V. Bruneau, N. Popoff: *On the negative spectrum of the Robin Laplacian in corner domains*. Anal. PDE **9** (2016) 1259–1283.
- [5] G. Carron, P. Exner, D. Krejčířík: *Topologically non-trivial quantum layers*. J. Math. Phys. **45** (2004) 774–784.
- [6] M. Dauge, T. Ourmières-Bonafos, N. Raymond: *Spectral asymptotics of the Dirichlet Laplacian in a conical layer*. Comm. Pure Appl. Anal. **14** (2015) 1239–1258.

- [7] J. Dittrich, P. Exner, C. Kühn, K. Pankrashkin: *On eigenvalue asymptotics for strong δ -interactions supported by surfaces with boundaries*. Asymptot. Anal. **97** (2016) 1–25.
- [8] P. Duclos, P. Exner: *Curvature-induced bound states in quantum waveguides in two and three dimensions*. Rev. Math. Phys. **7** (1995) 73–102.
- [9] P. Duclos, P. Exner, D. Krejčířík: *Bound states in curved quantum layers*. Comm. Math. Phys. **223** (2001) 13–28.
- [10] P. Exner: *Leaky quantum graphs: A review*. In P. Exner, J. P. Keating, P. Kuchment, T. Sunada, A. Teplyaev (Eds.): *Analysis of Graphs and Its Applications*. Proc. Symp. Pure Math., Vol. 77 (Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 2008), pp. 523–564.
- [11] P. Exner, T. Ichinose: *Geometrically induced spectrum in curved leaky wires*. J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **34** (2001) 1439–1450.
- [12] P. Exner, H. Kovařík: *Quantum waveguides*. Theor. Math. Phys., Vol. 22, Springer, 2015.
- [13] P. Exner, V. Lotoreichik: *A spectral isoperimetric inequality for cones*. Lett. Math. Phys. (in press). Preprint arXiv:1512.01970.
- [14] P. Exner, K. Pankrashkin: *Strong coupling asymptotics for a singular Schrödinger operator with an interaction supported by an open arc*. Comm. PDE **39** (2014) 193–212.
- [15] P. Exner, P. Šeba: *Bound states in curved quantum waveguides*. J. Math. Phys. **30** (1989) 2574–2580.
- [16] P. Exner, M. Tater: *Spectrum of Dirichlet Laplacian in a conical layer*. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **43** (2010) 474023.
- [17] P. Exner, K. Yoshitomi: *Asymptotics of eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operator with a strong δ -interaction on a loop*. J. Geom. Phys. **41** (2002) 344–358.
- [18] J. Goldstone, R.L. Jaffe: *Bound states in twisting tubes*. Phys. Rev. **B45** (1992) 14100–14107.
- [19] W. Kirsch, B. Simon: *Corrections to the classical behavior of the number of bound states of Schrödinger operators*. Ann. Phys. **183** (1988) 122–130.
- [20] D. Krejčířík, V. Lotoreichik, T. Ourmières-Bonafos: *Spectral transitions for Aharonov-Bohm Laplacians on conical layers*. Preprint arXiv:1607.02454.
- [21] P. Kuchment: *Quantum graphs I. Some basic structures*. Waves Random Media **14** (2004) S107–S128.
- [22] V. Lotoreichik, T. Ourmières-Bonafos: *On the bound states of Schrödinger operators with δ -interactions on conical surfaces*. Comm. PDE **41** (2016) 999–1028.
- [23] K. Pankrashkin: *On the discrete spectrum of Robin Laplacians in conical domains*. Math. Model. Nat. Phenom. **11:2** (2016) 100–110.
- [24] T. Rado: *The isoperimetric inequality on the sphere*. Amer. J. Math. **57** (1935) 765–770.

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES D'ORSAY, UNIV. PARIS-SUD, CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SACLAY, 91405 ORSAY, FRANCE

E-mail address: thomas.ourmieres-bonafos@math.u-psud.fr

URL: <http://www.math.u-psud.fr/~ourmieres-bonafos/>

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES D'ORSAY, UNIV. PARIS-SUD, CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SACLAY, 91405 ORSAY, FRANCE

E-mail address: konstantin.pankrashkin@math.u-psud.fr

URL: <http://www.math.u-psud.fr/~pankrash/>