

THE TIME DERIVATIVE IN A SINGULAR PARABOLIC EQUATION

Peter Lindqvist

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Norwegian University of Science and Technology
NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway

Dedicated to Olli Martio on his seventy-fifth birthday

ABSTRACT:¹ We study the Evolutionary p -Laplace Equation in the singular case $1 < p < 2$. We prove that a weak solution has a time derivative (in Sobolev's sense) which is a function belonging (locally) to a L^q -space.

1 Introduction

The regularity theory for parabolic partial differential equations of the type

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}(x, t, u, \nabla u)$$

aims at establishing boundedness and continuity of the solution $u = u(x, t)$ and its gradient

$$\nabla u = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} \right).$$

The celebrated methods of DeGiorgi, Nash, and Moser do not directly treat the time derivative u_t , which is regarded as merely a distribution. Yet, for many specific equations the time derivative is more than that, it is a function

¹AMS classification 35K67, 35K92, 35B45

in Lebesgue's theory. We shall prove that the solutions of the *Evolutionary p -Laplace Equation*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) \quad (1)$$

have a first order time derivative u_t in Sobolev's sense. Thus the time derivative exists as a measurable function satisfying the definition

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon} \nabla \phi \, dx dt = - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} u_t \phi \, dx dt$$

for all test functions $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_T)$. Here $\Omega_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$ and Ω is a domain in the n -dimensional space \mathbb{R}^n . The so-called **degenerate case** $p \geq 2$ (or slow diffusion case) was treated in [L1] and [L2] and now we shall focus our attention on the so-called **singular case** (or fast diffusion case) $1 < p < 2$, which is much more demanding, because the operator

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = |\nabla u|^{p-2} \Delta u + (p-2)|\nabla u|^{p-4} \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$$

is undefined at the critical points $\nabla u = 0$ when $p < 2$. (It is known that the second derivatives $u_{x_i x_j}$ exist in the singular case, but the negative power $p-2$ spoils the formula.) — We refer to the books [DB], [WYZ] about the Evolutionary p -Laplace Equation.

Our method is to differentiate the regularized equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div}\left(\{|\nabla u|^2 + \varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u\right) \quad (2)$$

with respect to the x -variables and then to derive careful estimates which are passed over to the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. The appearing identities are, of course, not new. The main formula to start from has been used for other purposes in [Y] and [WZY]. The case $p \geq \frac{3}{2}$ can be extracted from [Y]. See also [AMS] for systems. Unfortunately, there is an extra complication when p is small; in our proofs it appears in the range $1 < p < \frac{3}{2}$. To wit, the natural definition that weak solutions belong only to

$$u \in C(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega))$$

is problematic, because it allows for *unbounded* solutions, when $1 < p < \frac{2n}{n+2}$. See [DB] and [DH] for this striking phenomenon. Various attempts to deal

with this situation are suggested in [BIV], where it is even proposed to assume that u_t and $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ both belong to the space L^1 . (Lemma III.3.6 in [DH] states that $u_t \in L^1_{loc}$ under the condition that the Bénilan-Crandall estimate

$$u_t \leq \frac{1}{2-p} \frac{u}{t},$$

is valid, which requires some restrictions.) The common definition is to add the condition

$$u \in L^r(\Omega_T), \quad \text{where } p(n+r) > 2n$$

for some exponent r in this range. This extra assumption has the effect that the weak solutions become locally bounded. See [DH], in particular III.6 and III.7 for further information about this sharp condition.

We shall directly assume that $\|u\|_\infty < \infty$, if $1 < p < \frac{3}{2}$. However, in the one-dimensional case ($n = 1$) we have, without any extra hypothesis, a short proof that the time derivative is square summable.²

Theorem 1 *Suppose that u is a weak solution of the equation*

$$u_t = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$$

in the domain Ω_T . In the case $1 < p < \frac{3}{2}$, $n \geq 2$, we make the extra assumption that u is bounded. Then the time derivative u_t exists in Sobolev's sense and $u_t \in L^\theta_{loc}(\Omega_T)$ for some $\theta > 1$.

If $p \geq \frac{3}{2}$ or $n = 1$, we can take $\theta = 2$.

If $1 < p < \frac{3}{2}$ and $n \geq 2$, we have the restriction $1 < \theta < \frac{1}{2-p}$.

A quantitative proof is the object of this work. It is noteworthy that the proper regularity theory is not invoked.

2 Preliminaries

We use standard notation. See [DB] about time dependent Sobolev Spaces. Suppose that Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n and consider the space×time cylinder $\Omega_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$. We shall always keep $1 < p \leq 2$, although many

²Neither is any extra condition needed for $n = 2$, since $p(2+r) > 2 \cdot 2$, if $r = 2$.

formulas are valid also for $p > 2$. Denote $|\mathbb{D}^2 u|^2 = \sum u_{x_i x_j}^2$. Once and for all, we fix a test function $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$. In the sequel, the constants in the estimates can depend on $\|\zeta_t\|_\infty$ and $\|\nabla \zeta\|_\infty$.

Definition 2 Assume that $u \in C(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega))$. We say that u is a weak solution of the equation $u_t = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ in Ω_T if

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega u \phi_t dx dt = \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle dx dt \quad \text{when } \phi \in C_0(\Omega_T).$$

Especially, $u \in L^2(\Omega_T)$ by the assumption. The weak solutions for the regularized equation (2) are defined in a similar way, see (4). According to Theorem 4.2 on page 599 in [LSU] they have continuous second derivatives in all variables. We shall use the notation u_ε for the solution of the regularized equation with boundary values u on the parabolic boundary of Ω_T . The boundary values are taken in the following sense:

$$\bullet u_\varepsilon - u \in L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)) \quad \text{and} \quad \bullet \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^\delta \int_\Omega |u_\varepsilon - u|^2 dx dt = 0.$$

3 The Time Derivative

Our proof depends on the applicability of the rule

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega u \phi_t dx dt = - \int_0^T \int_\Omega \phi \nabla \cdot (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) dx dt, \quad (3)$$

when $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_T)$. Thus the theorem follows, if we can prove that the derivatives $\partial/\partial x_j(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ in the formula exist and belong to $L_{loc}^2(\Omega_T)$. Indeed, that we can do for $p > \frac{3}{2}$. Yet, for smaller values of p , the negative exponent $p - 2$ forces us to circumvent this expression, which is problematic when $\nabla u = 0$. We use the regularized equation

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega u_\varepsilon \phi_t dx dt = - \int_0^T \int_\Omega \phi \nabla \cdot \left(\{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_\varepsilon \right) dx dt \quad (4)$$

and prove that, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the derivatives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_k} \right)$$

converge weakly in $L_{loc}^\theta(\Omega_T)$ with some $\theta > 1$. Since u_ε converges to u locally in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ by Proposition 4, the Theorem follows from the compactness result below, when we take into account that

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_k} \right| \leq 2 \{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\mathbb{D}^2 u_\varepsilon|$$

Assume that

$$u \in C(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega))$$

is a weak solution to $u_t = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ in Ω_T . Let u_ε denote the solution of the regularized equation

$$\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div} \left(\{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_\varepsilon \right)$$

with the same boundary values as u on the parabolic boundary of Ω_T .

Lemma 3 *We have uniformly with respect to ε :*

- $\mathbf{p} \geq \frac{3}{2}$.

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega \zeta^2 \{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2\}^{2(p-2)} |\mathbb{D}^2 u_\varepsilon|^2 dx dt \leq L < \infty, \quad \varepsilon \leq 1. \quad (\star)$$

- $1 < \mathbf{p} < \frac{3}{2}$. Under the extra assumption that $\|u\|_\infty < \infty$, the quantity

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega \zeta^2 \{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2\}^{\theta(p-2)} |\mathbb{D}^2 u_\varepsilon|^2 dx dt \leq L(\theta) < \infty, \quad \varepsilon \leq 1.$$

is uniformly bounded in ε when

$$1 < \theta < \frac{1}{2-p}.$$

- $\mathbf{n} = 1$. In the one-dimensional case (\star) holds for all $p > 1$.

Proof: The second case is Proposition 7 and the two other cases are in Section 5. Formally, (\star) is equation (2.16) in [Y]. \square

4 Convergence of the Approximation

In this section we shun the extra assumption about the boundedness of the weak solution u . This effort complicates the convergence proof for the u'_ε s. Recall the equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) \\ \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div}\left(\{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_\varepsilon\right) \end{cases}$$

where $u = u_\varepsilon$ on the parabolic boundary of Ω_T .

Proposition 4 *Under the assumption*

$$u \in C(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega))$$

the convergence

$$u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega_T), \quad \nabla u_\varepsilon \rightarrow \nabla u \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega_T)$$

is valid.

Proof: Using the test function $\phi = u_\varepsilon - u$ in both equations we get

$$\begin{aligned} W_\varepsilon &\equiv \int_0^T \int_\Omega \langle \{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_\varepsilon - |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u, \nabla u_\varepsilon - \nabla u \rangle dx dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega (u_\varepsilon(x, T) - u(x, T))^2 dx \leq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Strictly speaking, in the equation for u we must go via a time regularization; the Steklov average works well and the final inequality $W_\varepsilon \leq 0$ follows. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} J_\varepsilon &\equiv \int_0^T \int_\Omega \{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx dt \\ &\leq - \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx dt + \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla u_\varepsilon \rangle dx dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \int_\Omega \{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \langle \nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla u \rangle dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

By Young's inequality the last integrand satisfies

$$\left| \{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \langle \nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla u \rangle \right| \leq \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|^p + \frac{1}{q} \{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2,$$

where $q = p/(p-1)$. In the same way

$$|\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla u_\varepsilon \rangle \leq \frac{\sigma^p}{p} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p + \frac{1}{\sigma^q q} |\nabla u|^p, \quad \sigma > 0.$$

Upon integration and absorption of a term, we arrive at

$$J_\varepsilon \leq (p-1)(\sigma^{-q} - 1) \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx dt + \sigma^p \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p dx dt. \quad (6)$$

In order to handle the last integral, we divide the domain of integration into two parts: the set $|\nabla u_\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon$ and the set $|\nabla u_\varepsilon| \geq \varepsilon$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p dx dt &\leq \varepsilon^p \text{mes}(\Omega_T) + \int_0^T \int_{|\nabla u_\varepsilon| \geq \varepsilon} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-2} dx dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon^p \text{mes}(\Omega_T) + 2^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \int_0^T \int_{|\nabla u_\varepsilon| \geq \varepsilon} \{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon^p \text{mes}(\Omega_T) + 2^{\frac{2-p}{2}} J_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

We insert this in equation (6) and obtain

$$J_\varepsilon \leq (p-1)(\sigma^{-q} - 1) \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx dt + \sigma^p \varepsilon^p \text{mes}(\Omega_T) + \sigma^p 2^{\frac{2-p}{2}} J_\varepsilon.$$

We fix $\sigma > 0$ equal to a number, depending only on p , so small that the last J_ε -term can be absorbed into the left-hand side. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\varepsilon &\leq C_p \left\{ \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx dt + \varepsilon^p \text{mes}(\Omega_T) \right\}, \\ \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p dx dt &\leq 3C_p \left\{ \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx dt + \varepsilon^p \text{mes}(\Omega_T) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have a uniform bound:

$$\boxed{\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^p dx dt \leq K, \quad 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1.} \quad (7)$$

Now we split W_{ε} as

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\varepsilon} &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} - |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u, \nabla u_{\varepsilon} - \nabla u \rangle dx dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \{|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} - |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u, \nabla u_{\varepsilon} - \nabla u \rangle dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

and since $W_{\varepsilon} \leq 0$ by (5),

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\varepsilon} &\equiv \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} - |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u, \nabla u_{\varepsilon} - \nabla u \rangle dx dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} - \{|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon} - \nabla u \rangle dx dt \equiv O_{\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that $O_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Recall that $1 < p \leq 2$. Thus the inequality³

$$0 \leq |a|^{p-2} - (|a|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} < \frac{2-p}{2} \varepsilon^2 |a|^{p-2} \delta^{-2} \quad |a| \geq \delta,$$

3

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq |a|^{p-2} - (|a|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} = - \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} (|a|^2 + t\varepsilon^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dt \\ &= \frac{2-p}{2} \varepsilon^2 \int_0^1 (|a|^2 + t\varepsilon^2)^{\frac{p-4}{2}} dt \leq \frac{2-p}{2} \varepsilon^2 |a|^{p-4}, \quad a \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

is available. Now we split the domain of integration for O_ε into two parts and achieve

$$\begin{aligned} |O_\varepsilon| &\leq \frac{2-p}{2} \varepsilon^2 \delta^{-2} \iint_{|\nabla u_\varepsilon| \geq \delta} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-1} |\nabla u_\varepsilon - \nabla u| dxdt \\ &\quad + 2 \iint_{|\nabla u_\varepsilon| \leq \delta} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-1} |\nabla u_\varepsilon - \nabla u| dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality

$$\iint |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-1} |\nabla u_\varepsilon - \nabla u| dxdt \leq \left(\iint |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p dxdt \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left\{ \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_p + \|\nabla u\|_p \right\}.$$

Recalling the uniform bound (7), we see that

$$|O_\varepsilon| \leq \frac{2-p}{2} \varepsilon^2 \delta^{-2} K^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \left(K^{\frac{1}{p}} + \|\nabla u\|_p \right) + 2\delta^{p-1} \left(K^{\frac{1}{p}} + \|\nabla u\|_p \right).$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} O_\varepsilon = 0.$$

The inequality⁴

$$\iint_0^T \frac{|\nabla u_\varepsilon - \nabla u|^2 dxdt}{(1 + |\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}} \leq M_\varepsilon \leq O_\varepsilon \quad (8)$$

shows in combination with

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_0^T |\nabla u_\varepsilon - \nabla u|^p dxdt &= \iint_0^T |\nabla u_\varepsilon - \nabla u|^p \left\{ \frac{1 + |\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}{1 + |\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2} \right\}^{\frac{p(2-p)}{4}} dxdt \\ &\leq \left\{ \iint_0^T \frac{|\nabla u_\varepsilon - \nabla u|^2 dxdt}{(1 + |\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}} \right\}^{\frac{p}{2}} \iint_0^T (1 + |\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dxdt \end{aligned}$$

⁴For vectors

$$\langle |b|^{p-2}b - |a|^{p-2}a, b - a \rangle \geq (p-1)|b-a|^2(1 + |a|^2 + |b|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}, \quad 1 < p \leq 2.$$

and the uniform bound (7) that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon} - \nabla u|^p dx dt = 0. \quad (9)$$

The convergence $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ can be extracted from the above proof, according to which

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u_{\varepsilon}(x, T) - u(x, T))^2 dx = -W_{\varepsilon} = O_{\varepsilon} - M_{\varepsilon} \leq O_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0.$$

When we replace T by t , $0 < t < T$, the same bound as before will majorize O_{ε} *simultaneously* for all t . Integrating with respect to t , we obtain

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (u_{\varepsilon}(x, t) - u(x, t))^2 dx dt \leq 2TO_{\varepsilon}.$$

This concludes the convergence proof. \square

5 The Main Identity

In order to derive estimates for the derivatives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$$

we differentiate the *regularized* equation

$$\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div} \left((|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \right).$$

Using the abbreviations

$$u_{\varepsilon,j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u_{\varepsilon}, \quad v_{\varepsilon} = |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2, \quad V_{\varepsilon} = |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \varepsilon^2 = v_{\varepsilon}^2 + \varepsilon^2$$

we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{\varepsilon,j} = \operatorname{div} \left(V_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon,j} + \nabla u_{\varepsilon,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} V_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right). \quad (10)$$

We note

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} v_\varepsilon &= 2 \langle \nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_{\varepsilon,j} \rangle, & |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2 &\leq 4 |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 |\mathbb{D}^2 u_\varepsilon|^2 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p-2}{2}} &= (p-2) V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p-4}{2}} \langle \nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_{\varepsilon,j} \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

In weak form the equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned}& - \int_0^T \int_\Omega \phi_j \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon,j}}{\partial t} dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega \left(V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \langle \nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}, \nabla \phi_j \rangle + (p-2) V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p-4}{2}} \langle \nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_{\varepsilon,j} \rangle \langle \nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla \phi_j \rangle \right) dx dt,\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

valid at least for all test functions $\phi_j \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_T)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. (In fact, it is not needed that $\phi_j = 0$ when $t = 0$ or $t = T$.) We use the test functions

$$\phi_j = \zeta^2 V_\varepsilon^\alpha u_{\varepsilon,j}, \quad \zeta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_T)$$

and sum the formulas to reach the identity below. (Such identities often serve to derive Caccioppoli inequalities.) We shall keep $1 - p < 2\alpha < 0$. Always, $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$.

Fundamental formula

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 V_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{p-2+2\alpha}{2}} |\mathbb{D}^2 u_{\varepsilon}|^2 dx dt \quad \text{Main Term} \quad (\text{I})$$

$$+ \frac{p-2+2\alpha}{4} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 V_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{p-2+2\alpha}{2}-1} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^2 dx dt \quad (\text{II})$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha(p-2)}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 V_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{p-2+2\alpha}{2}-2} \langle \nabla u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla v_{\varepsilon} \rangle^2 dx dt \quad (\text{III})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)} \left[\int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 V_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha+1} dx \right]_0^T \quad (\text{IV})$$

$$= (2-p) \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \zeta V_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{p-2+2\alpha}{2}-1} \langle \nabla u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla v_{\varepsilon} \rangle \langle \nabla \zeta, \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \rangle dx dt \quad (\text{V})$$

$$- \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \zeta V_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{p-2+2\alpha}{2}} \langle \nabla \zeta, \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \rangle dx dt \quad (\text{VI})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} V_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha+1} \zeta \zeta_t dx dt \quad (\text{VII})$$

The proof is a straightforward calculation. (Compare with formula (2.5) in [Y] and formula (2.20) on page 166 in [WZY].) We only mention how to treat the part with the time derivative:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{\varepsilon,j} &= \zeta^2 V_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{u_{\varepsilon,j}^2}{2} \right) \\ \zeta^2 V_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{v_{\varepsilon}}{2} \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \zeta^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{V_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, upon summation, the left-hand side of (11) becomes

$$- \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \phi_j \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon,j}}{\partial t} dx dt = \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 \frac{V_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1} dx \right]_0^T - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \zeta \zeta_t \frac{V_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1} dx dt.$$

The right-hand side yields six terms, since the right-hand side of (10) is multiplied by

$$\nabla \phi_j = \zeta^2 V_\varepsilon^\alpha \nabla u_{\varepsilon,j} + \alpha \zeta^2 v_\varepsilon^{\alpha-1} u_{\varepsilon,j} \nabla V_\varepsilon + 2V_\varepsilon^\alpha u_{\varepsilon,j} \zeta \nabla \zeta;$$

two similar terms are joined in term II.

Always, $0 > 2\alpha > 1 - p$ and $1 < p \leq 2$, which means that *the factor in front of term II is negative*. The integral itself is of the same magnitude as term I, and

$$|\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2 \leq 4v_\varepsilon |\mathbb{D}^2 u_\varepsilon|^2 \leq 4V_\varepsilon |\mathbb{D}^2 u_\varepsilon|^2. \quad (12)$$

This causes the *constraint*: $p - 1 + 2\alpha > 0$. Term III is positive, but since the expression

$$\langle \nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla v_\varepsilon \rangle^2 = 4 \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial^2 u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$$

may vanish, it is of little use, *except in the one dimensional case* when term III matches term I.

Estimation of some terms

Vanishing of term IV It is zero, as ζ has compact support also in the time direction.

Absorption of term V We can use Young's inequality to absorb term V into the main term I. Now by (12)

$$|\langle \nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla v_\varepsilon \rangle \langle \nabla \zeta, \nabla u_\varepsilon \rangle| \leq |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 |\nabla \zeta| 2V_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} |\mathbb{D} u_\varepsilon|$$

and with a small parameter $\sigma > 0$

$$|V| \leq (2-p)\sigma I + (2-p)\sigma^{-1} \int_0^T \int_\Omega V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{2}} |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx dt. \quad (13)$$

Term VI Since $|\langle \nabla \zeta, \nabla v_\varepsilon \rangle| \leq 2|\nabla \zeta| |\nabla u_\varepsilon| |\mathbb{D}^2 u_\varepsilon|$, we get the same as above:

$$|VI| \leq \sigma I + \sigma^{-1} \int_0^T \int_\Omega V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{2}} |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx dt. \quad (14)$$

With these arrangements the main formula yields the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 - (3 - p)\sigma)\text{I} + \text{II} + \text{III} \\
& \leq (3 - p)\sigma^{-1} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} V_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{2}} |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx dt + \text{VII}
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

The one-dimensional case

In one space dimension we have

$$u'_{\varepsilon} = \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x}, \quad u''_{\varepsilon} = \frac{\partial^2 u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x^2}, \quad v_{\varepsilon} = u_{\varepsilon}'^2, \quad v'_{\varepsilon} = 2u'_{\varepsilon}u''_{\varepsilon}.$$

We fix $2\alpha = p - 2$, which is negative. Then the sum $\text{I} + \text{II} + \text{III}$ can be written as

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 V_{\varepsilon}^{p-2} \left| \frac{\partial^2 u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x^2} \right|^2 \{1 + 2(p-1)u_{\varepsilon}'^2 v_{\varepsilon}^{-1} + (p-2)^2 u_{\varepsilon}'^4 v_{\varepsilon}^{-2}\} dx dt.$$

The expression in braces is a perfect square and can be estimated as

$$\{1 + \dots v_{\varepsilon}^{-2}\} = \left(\frac{(p-1)u_{\varepsilon}'^2 + \varepsilon^2}{u_{\varepsilon}'^2 + \varepsilon^2} \right)^2 \geq (p-1)^2.$$

Thus the total estimate in one dimension reads

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((p-1)^2 - (3-p)\sigma) \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 V_{\varepsilon}^{p-2} \left| \frac{\partial^2 u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x^2} \right|^2 dx dt \\
& \leq (3-p)\sigma^{-1} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} V_{\varepsilon}^{p-1} |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx dt + \frac{2}{p} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} V_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{p}{2}} |\zeta \zeta_t| dx dt
\end{aligned}$$

Now we only have to fix σ small enough, noticing that

$$V_{\varepsilon}^{p-1} \leq u_{\varepsilon}^p + 1, \quad V_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq 2(u_{\varepsilon}^p + \varepsilon^p),$$

to obtain the majorant

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 V_{\varepsilon}^{p-2} \left| \frac{\partial^2 u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x^2} \right|^2 dx dt \leq C(p) \left\{ \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^p dx dt + 1 \right\}. \tag{16}$$

The majorant is finite and, by (7) independent of ε , but the constant factor $C(p)$ depends also on $\|\zeta_t\|_\infty$.

To proceed, use

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \{u_\varepsilon'^2 + \varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} u_\varepsilon' \right| \leq (p-1) V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \left| \frac{\partial^2 u_\varepsilon}{\partial x^2} \right|^2$$

to conclude that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \{u_\varepsilon'^2 + \varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} u_\varepsilon' \quad \text{converges weakly in } L_{loc}^2(\Omega_T)$$

at least through a subsequence. Thus we may pass to the limit under the integral signs in

$$- \int_0^T \int_\Omega u_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} dx dt = \int_0^T \int_\Omega \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\{u_\varepsilon'^2 + \varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} u_\varepsilon' \right) dx dt$$

and conclude that *the time derivative u_t exists and belongs locally to L^2* . The limit is some function.

General Estimate, $1 < p < 2$

In several space dimensions term \mathbb{III} is no longer so useful, so one may as well skip it since it is positive when $\alpha < 0$. However, it is convenient to use it to counterbalance a portion of term \mathbb{V} :

$$|\mathbb{V}| \leq \mathbb{III} + \frac{2-p}{|\alpha|} \int_0^T \int_\Omega V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{2}} |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx dt,$$

where Young's inequality was used. Now we have the general estimate

Lemma 5 ($1 < p < 2$.) *Let $\sigma > 0$. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} & (p-1+2\alpha-\sigma) \int_0^T \int_\Omega \zeta^2 V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p-2+2\alpha}{2}} |\mathbb{D}^2 u_\varepsilon|^2 dx dt \\ & \leq \left(\sigma^{-1} + \frac{2-p}{|\alpha|} \right) \int_0^T \int_\Omega V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{2}} |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx dt + \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \int_0^T \int_\Omega V_\varepsilon^{\alpha+1} \zeta \zeta_t dx dt. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

This is worthless if one does not obey the

$$\boxed{\text{restriction: } p - 1 + 2\alpha > 0}$$

6 The case $\frac{3}{2} < p < 2$

Again, we take $2\alpha = p - 2$. Then by (17)

$$\begin{aligned} & (2p - 3 - \sigma) \iint_{0 \Omega}^T \zeta^2 V_\varepsilon^{p-2} |\mathbb{D}^2 u_\varepsilon|^2 dxdt \\ & \leq (\sigma^{-1} + 2) \iint_{0 \Omega}^T V_\varepsilon^{p-1} |\nabla \zeta|^2 dxdt + \frac{1}{p-1} \iint_{0 \Omega}^T V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}} \zeta \zeta_t dxdt, \end{aligned}$$

Provided that $2p > 3$, this yields the desired local bound with a majorant free of ε according to (7).

7 An "Energy Term" with $p < \frac{3}{2}$

In the demanding case $p < \frac{3}{2}$ we need to estimate the last integral in (17). In this case, *we assume that the solution is bounded*: $\|u\|_\infty < \infty$. Obviously

$$V_\varepsilon^{\alpha+1} = V_\varepsilon^\alpha (\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2) \leq \varepsilon^{2(\alpha+1)} + V_\varepsilon^\alpha \langle \nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon \rangle,$$

since $\alpha < 0$. If $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, then⁵

$$\iint_{0 \Omega}^T \zeta \zeta_t V_\varepsilon^{\alpha+1} dxdt \leq \varepsilon^{2(\alpha+1)} \iint_{0 \Omega}^T |\zeta \zeta_t| dxdt + \iint_{0 \Omega}^T \zeta \zeta_t V_\varepsilon^\alpha \langle \nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon \rangle dxdt.$$

⁵It is essential that this holds also when $\zeta \zeta_t < 0$.

Integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \zeta \zeta_t V_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \langle \nabla u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \rangle dx dt = - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon} \nabla \cdot (\zeta \zeta_t V_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) dx dt \\
& = - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (u_{\varepsilon} V_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \langle \nabla(\zeta \zeta_t), \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \rangle - 2\alpha \zeta \zeta_t u_{\varepsilon} V_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-1} \langle \nabla u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon,j} \rangle - u_{\varepsilon} \zeta \zeta_t V_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \Delta u_{\varepsilon}) dx dt \\
& \leq \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |u_{\varepsilon} V_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \nabla(\zeta \zeta_t)| |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}| dx dt + (1 + 2|\alpha|) \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\zeta \zeta_t u_{\varepsilon}| V_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} |\mathbb{D}^2 u_{\varepsilon}| dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

The last integral can now be absorbed into the main term in (17). To see this, factorize

$$\zeta \zeta_t V_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} = \zeta V_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{p+2\alpha-2}{4}} \cdot \zeta_t V_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{2-p+2\alpha}{4}}$$

and select a small $\kappa > 0$ for Young's inequality $2ab \leq \kappa a^2 + \kappa^{-1} b^2$. We arrive at the final bound below, after some arrangements.

Lemma 6 (Energy Estimate) *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \overbrace{\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \zeta \zeta_t V_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha+1} dx dt}^{(\alpha+1) \times \text{Term VII}} \leq \varepsilon^{2(\alpha+1)} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\zeta \zeta_t| dx dt + 2\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{\infty} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\zeta \zeta_t)| V_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{2\alpha+1}{2}} dx dt \\
& \quad \frac{1+2|\alpha|}{2} \left\{ \underbrace{\kappa \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 V_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{p+2\alpha-2}{2}} |\mathbb{D}^2 u_{\varepsilon}|^2 dx dt}_{\text{Term I}} + \kappa^{-1} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \zeta_t^2 V_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{2-p+2\alpha}{2}} dx dt \right\} \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{\infty}
\end{aligned}$$

8 The Case $p < \frac{3}{2}$

We combine the estimate in Lemma 6 with the general inequality (17) writing

$$p - 2 + 2\alpha = \theta(p - 2), \quad 2\alpha = (\theta - 1)(p - 2) > 1 - p$$

We must obey the restriction $p - 1 + 2\alpha > 0$, which means that

$$\boxed{1 < \theta < \frac{1}{2-p}}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 + \theta(p-2) - \sigma - \frac{2\kappa\|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty}{2-p}\right) \int_0^T \int_\Omega \zeta^2 V_\varepsilon^{\theta(p-2)} |\mathbb{D}^2 u_\varepsilon|^2 dx dt \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{2(\alpha+1)}}{\alpha+1} \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\zeta \zeta_t| dx dt + \frac{2\|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty}{\alpha+1} \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla(\zeta \zeta_t)| V_\varepsilon^{\frac{2\alpha+1}{2}} dx dt \\ & \quad + \frac{2\kappa^{-1}\|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty}{2-p} \int_0^T \int_\Omega \zeta^2 V_\varepsilon^{\frac{2-p+2\alpha}{2}} dx dt \\ & \quad + \left(\sigma^{-1} + \frac{2-p}{|\alpha|}\right) \int_0^T \int_\Omega V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{2}} |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where simplifying estimations like $\frac{1+2|\alpha|}{2(\alpha+1)} \leq \frac{2}{2-p}$ have been made. Notice that $\alpha + 1 > 0$. Now the powers of V_ε are decisive; they must be positive and no greater than $p/2$. Our permanent restriction $0 > 2\alpha > 1 - p$ leads to

$$0 < \frac{2\alpha+1}{2} < \frac{p}{2}, \quad \frac{1}{2} < \frac{p+2\alpha}{2} < \frac{p}{2}, \quad \frac{3-2p}{2} < \frac{2-p+2\alpha}{2} < \frac{p}{2},$$

but now we need $p < \frac{3}{2}$ in order to assure

$$\frac{2-p+2\alpha}{2} > 0.$$

We see that the exponents are in the right range, and for the last three integrals we can use

$$V_\varepsilon^\beta \leq |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p + 1 \quad \text{for } 0 < \beta < \frac{p}{2}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$$

and then the uniform bound (7). By the Maximum Principle $\|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq \|u\|_\infty$ (equality holds). Hence we have the following result:

Proposition 7 *Let $1 < p < \frac{3}{2}$. Fix θ in the range $1 < \theta < \frac{1}{2-p}$. Then the integral*

$$\boxed{\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 V_{\varepsilon}^{\theta(p-2)} |\mathbb{D}^2 u_{\varepsilon}|^2 dx dt \leq L(\theta), \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1,}$$

is uniformly bounded in ε . The bound $L(\theta)$ depends also on p , $\|u\|_{\infty}$, $\|\nabla \zeta\|_{\infty}$, $\|\zeta_t\|_{\infty}$, and the constant K in (7).

References

- [AMS] E. ACERBI, G. MINGIONE, G. SEREGIN, *Regularity results for parabolic systems related to a class of non-Newtonian fluids*, Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré - Analyse Non Linéaire **21**, 2004, pp. 25–60.
- [BIV] M. BONFORTE, R. IAGAR, J-L. VÁZQUEZ, *Local smoothing effects, positivity, and Harnack inequalities for the fast p -Laplacian equation*, Advances in Mathematics **224**, 2010, pp.2151–2215.
- [C] H. CHOE, *A regularity theory for a more general class of quasilinear parabolic partial differential equations and variational inequalities*, Differential Integral Equations **5**, 1992, pp. 915–944.
- [DB] E. DiBENEDETTO, *Degenerate Parabolic Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1993.
- [DH] E. DiBENEDETTO, M. HERRERO, *Non-negative solutions of the evolution p -Laplacian equation. Initial traces and Cauchy problem when $1 < p < 2$* , Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis **111**, 1990, pp. 225–290.
- [DGV] E. DiBENEDETTO, U. GIANAZZA, V. VESPRI, *Harnack’s Inequality for Degenerate and Singular Parabolic Equations*, Springer, New York 2012.
- [LSU] O. LADYZHENSKAYA, V. SOLONNIKOV, N. URALTSEVA, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*, Mathematical Monographs 23, American Mathematical Society, Providence RI 1967.
- [L1] P. LINDQVIST, *On the time derivative in a quasilinear equation*, Transactions of The Royal Norwegian Society of Sciences and Letters, 2008 no. 2, pp. 1–7.

- [L2] P. LINDQVIST, *On the time derivative in an obstacle problem*, Revista Mathematica Iberoamericana **28**, 2012, pp. 577–590.
- [WZY] Z. WU, J. ZHAO, J. YIN, H. LI, *Non-linear Diffusion Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore 2001.
- [Y] C. YAZHE, *Hölder continuity of the gradient of certain degenerate parabolic equations*, Chinese Annals of Mathematics, Series B, **8** no. 3, 1987, pp. 343–356.