

CONCENTRATING SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF NONLINEAR FRACTIONAL SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS IN \mathbb{R}^N

VINCENZO AMBROSIO

ABSTRACT. We deal with the existence of positive solutions for the following fractional Schrödinger equation:

$$\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a parameter, $s \in (0, 1)$, $N \geq 2$, $(-\Delta)^s$ is the fractional Laplacian operator, and $V : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive continuous function. Under the assumptions that the nonlinearity f is either asymptotically linear or superlinear at infinity, we prove the existence of a family of positive solutions which concentrates at a local minimum of V as ε tends to zero.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we investigate the existence and the concentration phenomenon of positive solutions for the following fractional equation:

$$\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a parameter, $s \in (0, 1)$ and $N \geq 2$.

The external potential $V : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a locally Hölder continuous function and bounded below away from zero, that is, there exists $V_0 > 0$ such that

$$V(x) \geq V_0 > 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (1.2)$$

Concerning the nonlinearity $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we assume that it satisfies the following basic assumptions:

(f1) $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$;

(f2) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t)}{t} = 0$;

(f3) there exists $p \in (1, \frac{N+2s}{N-2s})$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t^p} = 0$.

The nonlocal operator $(-\Delta)^s$ appearing in (1.1) is the so-called fractional Laplacian, which can be defined, for any $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ smooth enough, by setting

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = -\frac{C(N, s)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u(x+y) + u(x-y) - 2u(x)}{|y|^{N+2s}} dy \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^N),$$

where $C(N, s)$ is a dimensional constant depending only on N and s ; see [19].

In the last decade, great attention has been devoted to the study of nonlinear elliptic problems involving fractional operators, due to their intriguing analytic structure and specially in view of several applications in many areas of the research such as crystal dislocation, finance, phase transitions, material sciences, chemical reactions, minimal surfaces, etc. For more details and applications on this subject we refer the interested reader to [19, 33].

One of the main reasons of studying (1.1) is the search of standing wave solutions $\psi(t, x) = u(x)e^{-\frac{ict}{\hbar}}$ for the following time-dependent fractional Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (-\Delta)^s \Phi + W(x)\Phi - g(|\Phi|)\Phi \text{ for } (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (1.3)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A15, 35B09, 35R11, 47G20, 45G05.

Key words and phrases. Fractional Laplacian; concentrating solutions; penalization technique; variational methods.

Equation (1.3) has been derived by Laskin in [30, 31], and plays a fundamental role in quantum mechanics in the study of particles on stochastic fields modeled by Lévy processes.

When $s = 1$, equation (1.1) becomes the classical Schrödinger equation

$$-\varepsilon^2 \Delta u + V(x)u = f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.4)$$

for which the existence and the multiplicity of solutions has been extensively studied in the last thirty years by many authors; see [1, 3, 10, 11, 25, 34, 35, 39].

Rabinowitz in [35] investigated the existence of positive solutions to (1.4) for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, under the assumption that f satisfies the well-known Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition [4], that is, (f4) there exists $\mu > 2$ such that $0 < \mu F(t) \leq f(t)t$ for any $t > 0$,

where $F(t) = \int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau$, and the potential $V(x)$ satisfies the following global condition:

$$\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) > \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x).$$

Wang [39] showed that these solutions concentrate at global minimum points of $V(x)$. Using a local mountain pass approach, Del Pino and Felmer in [18], proved the existence of a single spike solution to (1.4) which concentrates around a local minimum of V , by assuming that there exists a bounded open set Λ in \mathbb{R}^N such that

$$\inf_{x \in \Lambda} V(x) < \min_{x \in \partial \Lambda} V(x),$$

and considering nonlinearities f satisfying (f4) and the monotonicity assumption on $t \mapsto \frac{f(t)}{t}$.

Subsequently, Jeanjean and Tanaka [29] introduced new variational methods to extend the results obtained in [18], to a wider class of nonlinearities.

In the non-local setting, there are only few results concerning the existence and the concentration phenomena of solutions for the fractional equation (1.1), maybe because many important techniques developed in the local framework cannot be adapted so easily to the fractional case.

Next, we recall some fundamental results related to the concentration phenomenon of solutions for the nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equation (1.1), obtained in recent years.

Chen and Zheng [15] studied, via the Liapunov-Schmidt reduction method, the concentration phenomenon for solutions of (1.1) with $f(t) = |t|^\alpha t$, and under suitable limitations on the dimension N of the space and the fractional powers s . Davila et al. [17] showed that if the potential V satisfies

$$V \in C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ and } \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x) > 0,$$

then (1.1) has multi-peak solutions. Fall et al. [23] established necessary and sufficient conditions on the smooth potential V in order to produce concentration of solutions of (1.1) when the parameter ε converges to zero. In particular, when V is coercive and has a unique global minimum, then ground-states concentrate at this point. Alves and Miyagaki [2] investigated the existence and the concentration of positive solutions to (1.1), via a penalization approach, under condition (f4) and the assumption $f(t)/t$ is increasing in $(0, \infty)$. He and Zou [27] used variational methods and the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory to study (1.1) when $f(t) = g(t) + t^{2^*_s-1}$ and g satisfies (f4) and the monotonicity assumption on $g(t)/t$. In [7] the author extended the results in [2] and [27] obtaining the existence and the multiplicity of solutions to (1.1) when f has subcritical or supercritical growth. Finally, we would like also to mention to the papers [5, 6, 8, 9, 14, 16, 20, 21, 24, 26, 36–38] in which the existence and the multiplicity of solutions for different nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations has been investigated by using several variational approaches.

Motivated by the above papers, in this work we aim to study the existence of positive solutions to (1.1) concentrating around local minima of the potential $V(x)$, under the assumptions that the nonlinearity f is asymptotically linear or superlinear at infinity, and without supposing the monotonicity of $f(t)/t$. We recall that the hypothesis (f4) and the assumption $f(t)/t$ is increasing

have a fundamental role in [2, 5, 27] to verify the boundedness of Palais-Smale sequences and to apply Nehari manifold arguments, respectively.

Now, we state our main result:

Theorem 1.1. *Let us assume that $f(t)$ satisfies (f1)-(f3) and either (f4) or the following condition (f5):*

- (i) *There exists $a \in (0, \infty]$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} = a$.*
- (ii) *There exists a constant $D \geq 1$ such that*

$$\hat{F}(t) \leq D\hat{F}(\bar{t}) \quad 0 \leq t \leq \bar{t}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $\hat{F}(t) = \frac{1}{2}f(t)t - F(t)$.

Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded open set such that

$$\inf_{\Lambda} V < \min_{\partial\Lambda} V \quad (1.6)$$

and, when $a < \infty$ in (f5),

$$\inf_{\Lambda} V < a. \quad (1.7)$$

Then, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, equation (1.1) admits a positive solution $u_\varepsilon(x)$. Moreover, if x_ε denotes a global maximum point of u_ε , then we have

- (1) $V(x_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \inf_{x \in \Lambda} V(x)$;
- (2) there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$u_\varepsilon(x) \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{N+2s}}{\varepsilon^{N+2s} + |x - x_\varepsilon|^{N+2s}} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

A common approach to tackle fractional nonlocal problems, is to make use of the extension method due to Caffarelli and Silvestre [13], which allows us to transform a given nonlocal equation into a degenerate elliptic problem in the half-space with a nonlinear Neumann boundary condition. In this work, we prefer to investigate (1.1) directly in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in order to adapt to our framework some ideas used in [29]. Anyway, the presence of the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$, which is a nonlocal operator, induces several technical difficulties that will be overcome by developing some clever and appropriate arguments.

We would like to note that Theorem 1.1 extends and improves the result in [2], because we do not require any monotonicity assumption on $f(t)/t$, and we are able to deal with a more general class of nonlinearities, including the asymptotically linear case (see condition (f5)). Moreover, our result is in clear accordance with that for the classical local counterpart, that is Theorem 1.1 in [29].

We also point out that in contrast with the case $s = 1$, the decay at infinity of solutions of (1.1) is of power-type and not exponential; see [24].

Now, we give the main ideas for the proof of Theorem 1.1. After rescaling equation (1.1) with the change of variable $v(x) = u(\varepsilon x)$, we introduce a modified functional J_ε and we prove that it satisfies a mountain pass geometry [4]. Then, we investigate the boundedness of Cerami sequences for J_ε , and we give two types of boundedness results: one when ε is fixed, the other one to deduce uniform boundedness when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Through a careful study of the behavior as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ of bounded Cerami sequences (v_ε) , we prove that there exists a subsequence (v_{ε_j}) which converges, in a suitable sense, to a sum of translated critical points of certain autonomous functionals. This concentration-compactness type result will be useful to show that an appropriate translated sequence $v_{\varepsilon_j}(\cdot + y_{\varepsilon_j})$ converges to a least energy solution ω^1 . Thus, we exploit some results obtained in [24] to deduce L^∞ -estimates (uniformly in $j \in \mathbb{N}$) and some information about the behavior at infinity of the translated sequence, which permit to obtain a positive solution of the rescaled equation.

The outline of the paper is the following: in Section 2 we collect some preliminary results concerning the fractional Sobolev spaces and we introduce the variational setting. Moreover, we study the modified functionals J_ε . In Section 3 we present some fundamental properties related to autonomous functionals. In Section 4 we give a concentration-compactness type result. In the last section we provide the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND FUNCTIONAL SETTING

2.1. Fractional Sobolev spaces and some useful Lemmas. In this section we briefly recall some properties of the fractional Sobolev spaces, and we introduce some notations which we will use along the paper.

For any $s \in (0, 1)$, we denote by $\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the completion of the set $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ consisting of the infinitely differentiable functions $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with compact support, with respect to the following norm

$$[u]^2 = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy = \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2,$$

where the second identity holds up to a positive constant. Equivalently,

$$\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \in L^{2^*_s}(\mathbb{R}^N) : [u] < \infty \right\}.$$

Let us also define the fractional Sobolev space

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2N}) \right\}$$

endowed with the natural norm

$$\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} := \sqrt{[u]^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2}.$$

For the convenience of the reader we recall the following fundamental embeddings:

Theorem 2.1. [19] *Let $s \in (0, 1)$ and $N > 2s$. Then there exists a sharp constant $S_* = S(N, s) > 0$ such that for any $u \in \mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$*

$$\|u\|_{L^{2^*_s}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \leq S_*^{-1} [u]^2. \quad (2.1)$$

*Moreover $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuously embedded in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in [2, 2^*_s]$ and compactly in $L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in [2, 2^*_s)$.*

Now, we prove the following technical result which will be useful in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(w_j) \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a bounded sequence in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and let $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a function such that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\eta = 0$ in B_1 , $\eta = 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_2$. Set $\eta_R(x) = \eta(\frac{x}{R})$. Then we get*

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} |w_j(x)|^2 \frac{|\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy = 0.$$

Proof. Let us note that \mathbb{R}^{2N} can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}^{2N} &= ((\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{2R}) \times (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{2R})) \cup ((\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{2R}) \times B_{2R}) \cup (B_{2R} \times \mathbb{R}^N) \\ &=: X_R^1 \cup X_R^2 \cup X_R^3. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} |w_j(x)|^2 dx dy &= \iint_{X_R^1} \frac{|\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} |w_j(x)|^2 dx dy \\ &+ \iint_{X_R^2} \frac{|\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} |w_j(x)|^2 dx dy + \iint_{X_R^3} \frac{|\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} |w_j(x)|^2 dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Now, we estimate each integral in (2.2). Since $\eta_R = 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{2R}$, we have

$$\iint_{X_R^1} \frac{|w_j(x)|^2 |\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Let $k > 4$. Clearly, we have

$$X_R^2 = (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{2R}) \times B_{2R} \subset ((\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{kR}) \times B_{2R}) \cup ((B_{kR} \setminus B_{2R}) \times B_{2R})$$

Let us observe that, if $(x, y) \in (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{kR}) \times B_{2R}$, then

$$|x - y| \geq |x| - |y| \geq |x| - 2R > \frac{|x|}{2}.$$

Therefore, taking into account that $0 \leq \eta_R \leq 1$, $|\nabla \eta_R| \leq \frac{C}{R}$ and applying Hölder's inequality, we can see

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{X_R^2} \frac{|w_j(x)|^2 |\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{kR}} \int_{B_{2R}} \frac{|w_j(x)|^2 |\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ &+ \int_{B_{kR} \setminus B_{2R}} \int_{B_{2R}} \frac{|w_j(x)|^2 |\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ &\leq 2^{2+N+2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{kR}} \int_{B_{2R}} \frac{|w_j(x)|^2}{|x|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ &+ \frac{C}{R^2} \int_{B_{kR} \setminus B_{2R}} \int_{B_{2R}} \frac{|w_j(x)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2(s-1)}} dx dy \\ &\leq CR^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{kR}} \frac{|w_j(x)|^2}{|x|^{N+2s}} dx + \frac{C}{R^2} (kR)^{2(1-s)} \int_{B_{kR} \setminus B_{2R}} |w_j(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq CR^N \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{kR}} |w_j(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{kR}} \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{N^2}{2s} + N}} dx \right)^{\frac{2s}{N}} \\ &+ \frac{Ck^{2(1-s)}}{R^{2s}} \int_{B_{kR} \setminus B_{2R}} |w_j(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{C}{k^N} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{kR}} |w_j(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} + \frac{Ck^{2(1-s)}}{R^{2s}} \int_{B_{kR} \setminus B_{2R}} |w_j(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{C}{k^N} + \frac{Ck^{2(1-s)}}{R^{2s}} \int_{B_{kR} \setminus B_{2R}} |w_j(x)|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Fix $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{X_R^3} \frac{|w_j(x)|^2 |\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ &\leq \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\varepsilon R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|w_j(x)|^2 |\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ &+ \int_{B_{\varepsilon R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|w_j(x)|^2 |\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\varepsilon R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \cap \{y: |x-y| < R\}} \frac{|w_j(x)|^2 |\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ \leq \frac{C}{R^{2s}} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\varepsilon R}} |w_j(x)|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\varepsilon R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \cap \{y: |x-y| \geq R\}} \frac{|w_j(x)|^2 |\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ \leq \frac{C}{R^{2s}} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\varepsilon R}} |w_j(x)|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

we can infer that

$$\int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\varepsilon R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|w_j(x)|^2 |\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \leq \frac{C}{R^{2s}} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\varepsilon R}} |w_j(x)|^2 dx. \quad (2.6)$$

Now, using the definition of η_R , $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, and $0 \leq \eta_R \leq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\varepsilon R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|w_j(x)|^2 |\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ = \int_{B_{\varepsilon R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} \frac{|w_j(x)|^2 |\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ \leq 4 \int_{B_{\varepsilon R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} \frac{|w_j(x)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ \leq C \int_{B_{\varepsilon R}} |w_j(x)|^2 dx \int_{(1-\varepsilon)R}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{1+2s}} dr \\ = \frac{C}{[(1-\varepsilon)R]^{2s}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon R}} |w_j(x)|^2 dx, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where we used the fact that $|x-y| > (1-\varepsilon)R$ when $(x, y) \in B_{\varepsilon R} \times (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R)$. Taking into account (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{X_R^3} \frac{|w_j(x)|^2 |\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ \leq \frac{C}{R^{2s}} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\varepsilon R}} |w_j(x)|^2 dx + \frac{C}{[(1-\varepsilon)R]^{2s}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon R}} |w_j(x)|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Putting together (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|w_j(x)|^2 |\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ \leq \frac{C}{k^N} + \frac{Ck^{2(1-s)}}{R^{2s}} \int_{B_{kR} \setminus B_{2R}} |w_j(x)|^2 dx + \frac{C}{R^{2s}} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\varepsilon R}} |w_j(x)|^2 dx \\ + \frac{C}{[(1-\varepsilon)R]^{2s}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon R}} |w_j(x)|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Since (w_j) is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by Theorem 2.1, we may assume that $w_j \rightarrow w$ in $L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $w \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, taking the limit as $j \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.9) and applying Hölder's inequality we

have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|w_j(x)|^2 |\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\
& \leq \frac{C}{k^N} + \frac{Ck^{2(1-s)}}{R^{2s}} \int_{B_{kR} \setminus B_{2R}} |w(x)|^2 dx + \frac{C}{R^{2s}} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\varepsilon R}} |w(x)|^2 dx \\
& \quad + \frac{C}{[(1-\varepsilon)R]^{2s}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon R}} |w(x)|^2 dx \\
& \leq \frac{C}{k^N} + Ck^2 \left(\int_{B_{kR} \setminus B_{2R}} |w(x)|^{2^*_s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*_s}} + C \left(\int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\varepsilon R}} |w(x)|^{2^*_s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*_s}} \\
& \quad + C \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \right)^{2s} \left(\int_{B_{\varepsilon R}} |w(x)|^{2^*_s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*_s}}. \tag{2.10}
\end{aligned}$$

By $w \in L^{2^*_s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $k > 4$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ we can note that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{kR} \setminus B_{2R}} |w(x)|^{2^*_s} dx = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\varepsilon R}} |w(x)|^{2^*_s} dx = 0.$$

Choosing $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{k}$ in (2.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|w_j(x)|^2 |\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\
& \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{C}{k^N} + C \left(\frac{1}{k-1} \right)^{2s} \left(\int_{B_{\frac{1}{k}R}} |w(x)|^{2^*_s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*_s}} \right] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let us introduce the space of radial functions in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$H_r^s(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : u(x) = u(|x|)\}.$$

Related to this space, we have the following fundamental compactness result due to Lions [32]:

Theorem 2.2. [32] *Let $s \in (0, 1)$ and $N \geq 2$. Then $H_r^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compactly embedded in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in (2, 2^*_s)$.*

Finally, we recall the following two useful lemmas:

Lemma 2.2. [36] *Let $N > 2s$ and $r \in [2, 2^*_s)$. If (u_j) is a bounded sequence in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and if*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_R(y)} |u_n|^r dx = 0$$

*for some $R > 0$, then $u_j \rightarrow 0$ in $L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $t \in (2, 2^*_s)$.*

Lemma 2.3. [14] *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a Banach space such that X is continuously and compactly embedded into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $q \in [q_1, q_2]$ and $q \in (q_1, q_2)$, respectively, where $q_1, q_2 \in (0, \infty)$. Assume that $(u_j) \subset X$, $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable function and $P \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ is such that*

$$(i) \lim_{|t| \rightarrow 0} \frac{P(t)}{|t|^{q_1}} = 0,$$

$$(ii) \lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(t)}{|t|^{q_2}} = 0,$$

$$(iii) \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \|u_j\|_X < \infty,$$

$$(iv) \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} P(u_j(x)) = u(x) \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Then, up to a subsequence, we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|P(u_j) - u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 0.$$

2.2. Modification of the nonlinearity. Since we are looking for positive solutions of (1.1), we can suppose that $f(t) = 0$ for any $t \leq 0$.

Arguing as in [29], we can prove the following useful properties of the function f :

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that (f1)-(f3) hold. Then we have:*

(i) *For all $\delta > 0$ there exists $C_\delta > 0$ such that*

$$|f(t)| \leq \delta |t| + C_\delta |t|^p \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.11)$$

(ii) *If (f4) holds, then $f(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$.*

(iii) *If (f5) holds, then $f(t) \geq 0$, $\hat{F}(t) \geq 0$, $\frac{d}{dt}(\frac{F(t)}{t^2}) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$.*

(iv) *If $t \mapsto \frac{f(t)}{t}$ is nondecreasing for $t \in (0, \infty)$, then (f5) is satisfied with $D = 1$.*

Now, let us suppose that $f(t)$ satisfies (f1)-(f3) and that

$$V_0 < a = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} \in (0, \infty].$$

Take $\nu \in (0, \frac{V_0}{2})$ and we define

$$\underline{f}(t) := \begin{cases} \min\{f(t), \nu t\} & \text{if } t \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } t < 0. \end{cases}$$

Using (f2) we can find $r_\nu > 0$ such that

$$\underline{f}(t) = f(t) \quad \text{for all } |t| \leq r_\nu.$$

Moreover it holds that

$$\underline{f}(t) := \begin{cases} \nu t & \text{for large } t \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

For technical reasons, it is convenient to choose ν as follows:

If (f4) holds, then we take $\nu > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\nu}{2V_0} < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\mu}. \quad (2.12)$$

When (f5) is satisfied, we choose $\nu \in (0, \frac{V_0}{2})$ such that ν is a regular value of $t \in (0, \infty) \mapsto \frac{f(t)}{t}$. Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t)}{t} = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} = a > V_0 > \nu$, if ν is a regular value of $\frac{f(t)}{t}$ we deduce that

$$k_\nu = \text{card}\{t \in (0, \infty) : f(t) = \nu t\} < \infty. \quad (2.13)$$

Now, let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded open set such that $\partial\Lambda \in C^\infty$, and we assume that Λ satisfies (1.6). We take an open set $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ with smooth boundary $\partial\Lambda'$ and we define a function $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\Lambda \setminus \Lambda'} V &> \inf_{\Lambda} V, \\ \min_{\partial \Lambda'} V &> \inf_{\Lambda'} V = \inf_{\Lambda} V, \\ \chi(x) &= 1 \quad \text{for } x \in \Lambda', \\ \chi(x) &\in (0, 1) \quad \text{for } x \in \Lambda \setminus \overline{\Lambda'}, \\ \chi(x) &= 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we suppose that $0 \in \Lambda'$ and $V(0) = \inf_{x \in \Lambda} V(x)$.

Finally, we introduce the following penalty function

$$g(x, t) = \chi(x)f(t) + (1 - \chi(x))\underline{f}(t) \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R},$$

and we set

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{F}(t) &= \int_0^t \underline{f}(\tau) d\tau, \\ G(x, t) &= \int_0^t g(x, \tau) d\tau = \chi(x)F(t) + (1 - \chi(x))\underline{F}(t). \end{aligned}$$

As in [29], it is easy to check that the following properties concerning $\underline{f}(t)$ and $g(x, t)$ hold.

Lemma 2.5. (i) $\underline{f}(t) = 0$, $\underline{F}(t) = 0$ for all $t \leq 0$.

(ii) $\underline{f}(t) \leq \nu t$, $\underline{F}(t) \leq F(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$.

(iii) $\underline{f}(t) \leq f(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$.

(iv) If $f(t)$ satisfies either (f4) or (f5), then $\underline{f}(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

(v) If $f(t)$ satisfies (f5), then $\underline{f}(t)$ also satisfies (f5). Moreover, $\hat{\underline{F}}(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Corollary 2.1. (i) $g(x, t) \leq f(t)$, $G(x, t) \leq F(t)$ for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$.

(ii) $g(x, t) = f(t)$ if $|t| < r_\nu$.

(iii) For any $\delta > 0$ there exists $C_\delta > 0$ such that

$$|g(x, t)| \leq \delta |t| + C_\delta |t|^p \quad \text{for all } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}.$$

(iv) if $f(t)$ satisfies (f5)-(ii), then $g(x, t)$ satisfies

$$\hat{G}(x, t) \leq D^{k_\nu} \hat{G}(x, \bar{t}) \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq t \leq \bar{t},$$

where $\hat{G}(x, t) = \frac{1}{2}g(x, t)t - G(x, t)$, $D \geq 1$ is given in (f5)-(ii) and k_ν is given in (2.13).

In what follows, we investigate the existence of positive solutions u_ε of the following modified problem

$$\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = g(x, u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \tag{2.14}$$

with the property

$$|u_\varepsilon(x)| \leq r_\nu \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda'.$$

In view of the definition of g , these functions u_ε are also solutions of (1.1).

2.3. Mountain pass argument. Using the change of variable $v(x) = u(\varepsilon x)$, it is possible to prove that (2.14) is equivalent to the following problem

$$(-\Delta)^s v + V(\varepsilon x)v = g(\varepsilon x, v) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (2.15)$$

The energy functional associated with (2.15) is given by

$$J_\varepsilon(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v|^2 + V(\varepsilon x)v^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(\varepsilon x, v) dx \quad \forall v \in H_\varepsilon^s$$

where the fractional space

$$H_\varepsilon^s = \left\{ v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x)v^2 dx < \infty \right\}$$

is endowed with the norm

$$\|v\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v|^2 + V(\varepsilon x)v^2 dx.$$

Since $V_0 > 0$, we can endow $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with the following equivalent norm

$$\|v\|_{H^s}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v|^2 + V_0 v^2 dx.$$

Clearly,

$$\|v\|_{H^s} \leq \|v\|_{H_\varepsilon^s} \quad (2.16)$$

so we get $H_\varepsilon^s \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and H_ε^s is continuously embedded into $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $2 \leq r \leq 2_s^*$, and there exists $C'_r > 0$ such that

$$\|v\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C'_r \|v\|_{H^s}. \quad (2.17)$$

We start by proving that J_ε possesses a mountain pass geometry that is uniform with respect to ε .

Lemma 2.6. $J_\varepsilon \in C^1(H_\varepsilon^s, \mathbb{R})$ and satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $J_\varepsilon(0) = 0$;
- (ii) there exist $\rho_0 > 0$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ independent of $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\varepsilon(v) &\geq \delta_0 \text{ for all } \|v\|_{H^s} = \rho_0 \\ J_\varepsilon(v) &> 0 \text{ for all } 0 < \|v\|_{H^s} \leq \rho_0; \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) there exist $v_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $J_\varepsilon(v_0) < 0$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$.

Proof. Obviously, $J_\varepsilon \in C^1(H_\varepsilon^s, \mathbb{R})$ and $J_\varepsilon(0) = 0$. Using $\underline{F} \leq F$ and taking $\delta = \frac{V_0}{2}$ in (2.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_\varepsilon(v) &= \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi(\varepsilon x) F(v) + (1 - \chi(\varepsilon x)) \underline{F}(v) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(v) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{H^s}^2 - \frac{V_0}{4} \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 - C_{\frac{V_0}{2}} \|v\|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p+1} \\ &\geq \frac{\|v\|_{H^s}^2}{4} - \tilde{C}_{p+1} C_{\frac{V_0}{2}} \|v\|_{H^s}^{p+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (2.16) and (2.17) with $r = p + 1$. Thus (ii) is satisfied.

In order to verify that (iii) holds, we take $v_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v_0|^2 + V(0)v_0^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(v_0) dx < 0.$$

This choice is lawful due to the fact that $V(0) < \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(z)}{z}$, so the existence of a such v_0 follows from Theorem 1 in [8] (see Lemma 3.1), where is proved that

$$v \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v|^2 + V(0)v^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(v) dx$$

has a mountain pass geometry. Since $0 \in \Lambda'$, we can observe that

$$J_\varepsilon(v_0) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v_0|^2 + V(0)v_0^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(v_0) dx < 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

that is (iii) is verified for ε sufficiently small. \square

Since J_ε has a mountain pass geometry, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ we can define the mountain pass value

$$c_\varepsilon = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\varepsilon} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\varepsilon(\gamma(t)) \quad (2.18)$$

where

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], H_\varepsilon^s) : \gamma(0) = 0 \text{ and } J_\varepsilon(\gamma(1)) < 0\}. \quad (2.19)$$

Using Lemma 2.6, we are able to give the following estimate for c_ε .

Corollary 2.2. *There exist $m_1, m_2 > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$*

$$m_1 \leq c_\varepsilon \leq m_2.$$

Proof. For any $\gamma \in \Gamma_\varepsilon$ we have

$$\gamma([0,1]) \cap \{v \in H_\varepsilon^s : \|v\|_{H^s} = \rho\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Hence, by using Lemma 2.6, we can deduce that

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\varepsilon(\gamma(t)) \geq \inf_{\|v\|_{H^s} = \rho_0} J_\varepsilon(v) \geq \delta_0.$$

Set $\gamma_0(t) = tv_0$, where $v_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is obtained in Lemma 2.6. Then we can see that

$$c_\varepsilon = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\varepsilon} \left(\max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\varepsilon(\gamma(t)) \right) \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\varepsilon(\gamma_0(t)) \leq \sup_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]} \left(\max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\varepsilon(\gamma_0(t)) \right).$$

Therefore, we put $m_1 = \delta_0$ and $m_2 = \sup_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]} \left(\max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\varepsilon(\gamma_0(t)) \right)$. \square

Next, we investigate the boundedness of Cerami sequences corresponding to the mountain pass value c_ε . We recall that the existence of a Cerami sequence for J_ε follows by the following variant version of the mountain pass theorem.

Theorem 2.3. [22] *Let X be a real Banach space with its dual X^* , and suppose that $I \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies*

$$\max\{I(0), I(e)\} \leq \mu < \alpha \leq \inf_{\|x\|=\rho} I(x),$$

for some $\mu < \alpha$, $\rho > 0$ and $e \in X$ with $\|e\| > \rho$. Let $c \geq \alpha$ be characterized by

$$c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I(\gamma(t)),$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], X) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = e\}$$

is the set of continuous paths joining 0 and e . Then there exists a Cerami sequence $(x_j) \subset X$ at the level c that is

$$I(x_j) \rightarrow c \text{ and } (1 + \|x_j\|)\|I'(x_j)\|_* \rightarrow 0$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Using Lemma 2.6 and Theorem 2.3, we can deduce that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ there exists a Cerami sequence $(v_j) \subset H_\varepsilon^s$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\varepsilon(v_j) &\rightarrow b_\varepsilon \\ (1 + \|v_j\|_{H_\varepsilon^s})\|J'_\varepsilon(v_j)\|_{H_\varepsilon^{-s}} &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The next result states that every critical point v_ε of J_ε at the level c_ε is uniformly bounded with respect to ε , that is

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s} < \infty. \quad (2.20)$$

Lemma 2.7. *Assume that f satisfies (f1)-(f3) and either (f4) or (f5). Suppose that there exists a sequence $(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1]}$, with $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} v_\varepsilon &\in H_\varepsilon^s, \\ J_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon) &\in [m_1, m_2] \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1], \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

$$(1 + \|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s})\|J'_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon)\|_{H_\varepsilon^{-s}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.22)$$

with $0 < m_1 < m_2$. Then (2.20) holds.

Proof. Firstly, we assume that (f4) holds. Let (v_ε) be a sequence satisfying (2.21) and (2.22). Then we can see that (2.21) yields

$$J_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2}\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 - \chi(\varepsilon x))\underline{F}(v_\varepsilon) + \chi(\varepsilon x)F(v_\varepsilon) dx \leq m_2. \quad (2.23)$$

Moreover, by (2.22), for any ε sufficiently small we have

$$|\langle J'_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon), v_\varepsilon \rangle| \leq \|J'_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon)\|_{H_\varepsilon^{-s}} \|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s} \leq \|J'_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon)\|_{H_\varepsilon^{-s}} (1 + \|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}) \leq 1,$$

that is

$$\left| \|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 - \chi(\varepsilon x))\underline{f}(v_\varepsilon)v_\varepsilon + \chi(\varepsilon x)f(v_\varepsilon)v_\varepsilon dx \right| \leq 1. \quad (2.24)$$

Taking into account (2.23), (2.24) and (f4) we get

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right)\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 - \chi(\varepsilon x))\left(\underline{F}(v_\varepsilon) - \frac{1}{\mu}f(v_\varepsilon)v_\varepsilon\right) dx + m_2 + \frac{1}{\mu}.$$

Using (i) and (iv) of Lemma 2.5, we know that $t\underline{f}(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, so we obtain

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right)\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 - \chi(\varepsilon x))\underline{F}(v_\varepsilon) dx + m_2 + \frac{1}{\mu}. \quad (2.25)$$

On the other hand, by (ii) of Lemma 2.5 it follows that

$$\underline{F}(t) \leq \frac{\nu t^2}{2} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 - \chi(\varepsilon x))\underline{F}(v_\varepsilon) dx \leq \frac{1}{2}\nu\|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \leq \frac{\nu}{2V_0}\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2,$$

which together with (2.25) yields

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right)\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 \leq \frac{\nu}{2V_0}\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 + m_2 + \frac{1}{\mu}.$$

In view of (2.12) we get

$$\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 \leq \frac{m_2 + \frac{1}{\mu}}{\left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) - \frac{\nu}{2V_0}\right]},$$

which implies that $\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}$ is bounded if ε is small enough.

Now, let us suppose that (f5) holds. Arguing by contradiction, we assume that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s} = \infty.$$

Let $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$ be a subsequence such that $\|v_{\varepsilon_j}\|_{H_{\varepsilon_j}^s} \rightarrow \infty$. For simplicity, we denote ε_j still by ε .

Set $w_\varepsilon = \frac{v_\varepsilon}{\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}}$. Clearly $\|w_\varepsilon\|_{H^s} = \frac{\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H^s}}{\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}} \leq \frac{\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}}{\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}} = 1$. Moreover, we can see that there exists $C_1 > 0$ independent of ε such that

$$\|\chi_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon\|_{H^s} \leq C_1, \quad (2.26)$$

where $\chi_\varepsilon(x) = \chi(\varepsilon x)$.

Indeed, using $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, $(|a| + |b|)^2 \leq 2(|a|^2 + |b|^2)$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1]$ and $s \in (0, 1)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\chi(\varepsilon x)w_\varepsilon(x) - \chi(\varepsilon y)w_\varepsilon(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_0(\chi_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon)^2 dx \\ & \leq 2 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\chi(\varepsilon x) - \chi(\varepsilon y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} w_\varepsilon^2(x) dx dy + 2 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|w_\varepsilon(x) - w_\varepsilon(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_0 w_\varepsilon^2 dx \\ & \leq 2\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla \chi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_\varepsilon^2(x) dx \int_{|z| \leq 1} \frac{1}{|z|^{N+2s-2}} dz \\ & \quad + 8 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_\varepsilon^2(x) dx \int_{|z| > 1} \frac{1}{|z|^{N+2s}} dz + 2 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|w_\varepsilon(x) - w_\varepsilon(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_0 w_\varepsilon^2 dx \\ & \leq \left((1-s)^{-1} \varepsilon_1^2 \|\nabla \chi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \alpha_{N-1} + 4s^{-1} \alpha_{N-1} + V_0 \right) \|w_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + 2[w_\varepsilon]^2 \\ & \leq C_1 \|w_\varepsilon\|_{H^s}^2 \leq C_1, \end{aligned}$$

where α_{N-1} denotes the Lebesgue measure of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^N .

Now, (2.22) implies that $\langle J'_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon), \varphi \rangle = o(1)$ for any $\varphi \in H_\varepsilon^s$, that is

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v_\varepsilon (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \varphi + V(\varepsilon x) v_\varepsilon \varphi dx \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\chi_\varepsilon f(v_\varepsilon) \varphi + (1 - \chi_\varepsilon) \underline{f}(v_\varepsilon)] \varphi dx + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} w_\varepsilon (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \varphi + V(\varepsilon x) w_\varepsilon \varphi dx \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\chi_\varepsilon \frac{f(v_\varepsilon)}{v_\varepsilon} w_\varepsilon + (1 - \chi_\varepsilon) \frac{\underline{f}(v_\varepsilon)}{v_\varepsilon} w_\varepsilon \right] \varphi dx + o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

Taking $\varphi = w_\varepsilon^- = \min\{w_\varepsilon, 0\}$ in (2.27) and recalling that

$$(x - y)(x^- - y^-) \geq |x^- - y^-|^2 \text{ for any } x, y \in \mathbb{R},$$

and that $f(t) = \underline{f}(t) = 0$ for all $t \leq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} w_\varepsilon^-|^s + V(\varepsilon x) (w_\varepsilon^-)^2 dx \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\chi_\varepsilon \frac{f(v_\varepsilon)}{v_\varepsilon} w_\varepsilon + (1 - \chi_\varepsilon) \frac{f(v_\varepsilon)}{v_\varepsilon} w_\varepsilon \right] w_\varepsilon^- dx + o(1) = o(1), \end{aligned}$$

so we get

$$\|w_\varepsilon^-\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.28)$$

Now, we can observe that one of the following two cases must occur.

Case 1: $\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(z)} |\chi_\varepsilon(x) w_\varepsilon|^2 dx \right) > 0$;

Case 2: $\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(z)} |\chi_\varepsilon(x) w_\varepsilon|^2 dx \right) = 0$.

Step1: Case 1 can not occur under assumption (f5) with $a = \infty$.

We argue by contradiction, and we suppose that Case 1 occurs. Then, up to a subsequence, there exist $(x_\varepsilon) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $d > 0$ and $x_0 \in \bar{\Lambda}$ such that

$$\int_{B_1(x_\varepsilon)} |\chi_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon|^2 dx \rightarrow d > 0, \quad (2.29)$$

$$\varepsilon x_\varepsilon \rightarrow x_0 \in \bar{\Lambda}. \quad (2.30)$$

Indeed, the existence of (y_ε) satisfying (2.29) is clear. Moreover, (2.29) implies that $B_1(x_\varepsilon) \cap \text{supp}(\chi_\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$, so there exists $z_\varepsilon \in \text{supp}(\chi_\varepsilon)$ such that $\chi(\varepsilon z_\varepsilon) \neq 0$ and $|z_\varepsilon - x_\varepsilon| < 1$. Hence $|\varepsilon x_\varepsilon - \varepsilon z_\varepsilon| < \varepsilon$ yields $\varepsilon x_\varepsilon \in N_\varepsilon(\Lambda) = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^N : \text{dist}(z, \Lambda) < \varepsilon\}$, and we may assume that (2.30) holds. Since $\|w_\varepsilon\|_{H^s} \leq 1$, we may suppose that

$$w_\varepsilon(\cdot + x_\varepsilon) \rightharpoonup w_0 \text{ in } H^s(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (2.31)$$

Taking into account (2.30) and (2.31) we have

$$(\chi_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon)(\cdot + x_\varepsilon) \rightharpoonup \chi(x_0) w_0 \text{ in } H^s(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

To prove this, fix $\varphi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and we note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(\chi_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon)(x + x_\varepsilon) - (\chi_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon)(y + x_\varepsilon)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) dx dy \\ & = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(h_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon)(x + x_\varepsilon) - (h_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon)(y + x_\varepsilon)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) dx dy \\ & \quad + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(w_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon) - w_\varepsilon(y + x_\varepsilon))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) \chi(x_0) dx dy \\ & = A_\varepsilon + B_\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where $h_\varepsilon(x) = \chi_\varepsilon(x) - \chi(x_0)$. In view of (2.31) we know that

$$B_\varepsilon \rightarrow \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(w_0(x) - w_0(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) \chi(x_0) dx dy.$$

Now, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} A_\varepsilon & = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(h_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon) - h_\varepsilon(y + x_\varepsilon))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) w_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon) dx dy \\ & \quad + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(w_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon) - w_\varepsilon(y + x_\varepsilon))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) h_\varepsilon(y + x_\varepsilon) dx dy \\ & = A_\varepsilon^1 + A_\varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's inequality, (2.30), (2.31) and the dominated convergence theorem, we can see that

$$|A_\varepsilon^2| \leq C \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} |h_\varepsilon(y + x_\varepsilon)|^2 dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand

$$|A_\varepsilon^1| \leq [\varphi] \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|h_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon) - h_\varepsilon(y + x_\varepsilon)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} |w_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon)|^2 dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|h_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon) - h_\varepsilon(y + x_\varepsilon)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} |w_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon)|^2 dx dy \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon)|^2 dx \left[\int_{|y-x| > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \frac{4dy}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} + \int_{|y-x| < \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \frac{\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla \chi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 dy}{|x - y|^{N+2s-2}} \right] \\ & \leq C \varepsilon^{2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon)|^2 dx \leq C \varepsilon^{2s} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us show that $\chi(x_0) \neq 0$ and $w_0 \geq 0$ ($\neq 0$). If by contradiction $\chi(x_0) = 0$, by the dominated convergence theorem, (2.29), (2.31) and Theorem 2.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < d &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_1(x_\varepsilon)} |\chi_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon|^2 dx \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_1} |\chi_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon|^2(x + x_\varepsilon) dx \\ &= \int_{B_1} |\chi(x_0) w_0(x)|^2 dx = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. For the same reason $w_0 \neq 0$. Using (2.28) and (2.31) we can see that $w_0 \geq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N . Thus, there exists a set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$|K| > 0 \tag{2.32}$$

$$w_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon) \rightarrow w_0(x) > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in K. \tag{2.33}$$

Taking $\varphi = w_\varepsilon$ in (2.27), we get

$$1 = \|w_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi_\varepsilon \frac{f(v_\varepsilon)}{v_\varepsilon} w_\varepsilon^2 + (1 - \chi_\varepsilon) \frac{f(v_\varepsilon)}{v_\varepsilon} w_\varepsilon^2 dx + o(1),$$

and using (iv) of Lemma 2.5, we deduce that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi_\varepsilon \frac{f(v_\varepsilon)}{v_\varepsilon} w_\varepsilon^2 dx \leq 1, \tag{2.34}$$

that is

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon x_\varepsilon) \frac{f(v_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon))}{v_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon)} w_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon)^2 dx \leq 1.$$

In view of (2.32), (2.33) and the definition of w_ε , we obtain

$$v_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon) = w_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon) \|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s} \rightarrow w_0(x) \cdot (\infty) = \infty \quad \forall x \in K.$$

This, together with $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi} = a = \infty$ and Fatou's Lemma yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon) \frac{f(v_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon))}{w_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon)} w_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon)^2 dx \\ & \geq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_K \chi_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon) \frac{f(v_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon))}{v_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon)} w_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon)^2 dx = \infty \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (2.34).

Step 2: Case 1 can not take place under assumption (f5) with $a < \infty$.

As in Step 1, we extract a subsequence and we assume that (2.29), (2.30) and (2.31) hold with $\chi(x_0) \neq 0$ and $w_0 \geq 0$ ($\neq 0$). We aim to prove that w_0 is a weak solution to

$$(-\Delta)^s w_0 + V(x_0)w_0 = (\chi(x_0)a + (1 - \chi(x_0))\nu)w_0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (2.35)$$

This provides a contradiction since $(-\Delta)^s$ has no eigenvalues in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (this fact can be seen by using the Pohozaev Identity for the fractional Laplacian [6, 14, 37]).

Fix $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Taking into account (2.30), (2.31) and the continuity of V , we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} w_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon) (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \varphi(x) + V(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon x_\varepsilon) w_\varepsilon \varphi dx \\ & \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} w_0 (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \varphi + V(x_0) w_0 \varphi dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

Now, we show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{g(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon x_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon))}{v_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon)} w_\varepsilon \varphi dx \rightarrow (\chi(x_0)a + (1 - \chi(x_0))\nu) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_0 \varphi dx. \quad (2.37)$$

Take $R > 1$ such that $\text{supp } \varphi \subset B_R$. Then, using the fact that $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compactly embedded into $L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we get $\|w_\varepsilon - w_0\|_{L^2(B_R)}^2 \rightarrow 0$. Hence, there exists $h \in L^2(B_R)$ such that

$$|w_\varepsilon| \leq h \text{ a.e. in } B_R.$$

Since $a < \infty$, there exists $C > 0$ such that $|\frac{g(x,t)}{t}| \leq C$ for any $t > 0$. We recall that

$$\frac{g(x,t)}{t} \rightarrow \chi(x)a + (1 - \chi(x))\nu < \infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{g(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon x_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon))}{v_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon)} w_\varepsilon \varphi \right| & \leq C \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} |w_\varepsilon| \\ & \leq C \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} h \in L^1(B_R), \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

and

$$\frac{g(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon x_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon))}{v_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon)} w_\varepsilon(x) \rightarrow [\chi(x_0)a + (1 - \chi(x_0))\nu] w_0(x) \text{ a.e. in } B_R. \quad (2.39)$$

In fact, if $w_0(x) = 0$, being $|\frac{g(x,t)}{t}| \leq C$ for all $t > 0$ and $w_\varepsilon \rightarrow w_0 = 0$ a.e. in B_R , we get

$$\left| \frac{g(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon x_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon))}{v_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon)} w_\varepsilon \right| \leq C |w_\varepsilon| \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.e. in } B_R.$$

If $w_0(x) \neq 0$ then $v_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon) = w_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon) \|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s} \rightarrow \infty$ and being $w_\varepsilon \rightarrow w_0$ a.e. in B_R we have

$$\frac{g(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon x_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon))}{v_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon)} w_\varepsilon \rightarrow [\chi(x_0)a + (1 - \chi(x_0))\nu] w_0 \text{ a.e. in } B_R.$$

Then (2.39) holds. Taking into account (2.38) and (2.39), we can infer that (2.37) is true in view of the dominated convergence theorem. Putting together $\langle J'_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon), \varphi \rangle = o(1)$, (2.36) and (2.37) we obtain (2.35).

Step 3: Case 2 can not take place.

Assume by contradiction that Case 2 occurs. Since (2.26) holds and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(z)} |\chi_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon|^2 dx = 0,$$

by Lemma 2.2 we deduce that $\|\chi_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon\|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$.

Now, for any $L > 1$ we can see that

$$J_\varepsilon(Lw_\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2}L^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi_\varepsilon F(Lw_\varepsilon) + (1 - \chi_\varepsilon) \underline{F}(Lw_\varepsilon) dx.$$

By (ii) of Lemma 2.5 and $\nu \in (0, \frac{V_0}{2})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 - \chi_\varepsilon) \underline{F}(Lw_\varepsilon) dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{2} \nu L^2 |w_\varepsilon|^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{V_0}{4} L^2 |w_\varepsilon|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{L^2}{4} \|w_\varepsilon\|_{H^s}^2 \leq \frac{L^2}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly,

$$J_\varepsilon(Lw_\varepsilon) \geq \frac{1}{4}L^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi_\varepsilon F(Lw_\varepsilon) dx. \quad (2.40)$$

Using (2.11), Hölder's inequality and $\|\chi_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon\|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi_\varepsilon F(Lw_\varepsilon) dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\frac{\delta}{2} L^2 |w_\varepsilon|^2 + C_\delta \frac{|Lw_\varepsilon|^{p+1}}{p+1} \chi_\varepsilon(x) \right] dx \\ &\leq \delta L^2 \|w_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + C_\delta L^{p+1} \|w_\varepsilon\|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \|\chi_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon\|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\ &\leq \frac{\delta L^2}{V_0^2} \|w_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 + o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

Putting together (2.40) and (2.41) we have

$$J_\varepsilon(Lw_\varepsilon) \geq \frac{1}{4}L^2 - \frac{\delta L^2}{V_0^2} \|w_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 + o(1) \quad \forall \delta > 0,$$

and by the arbitrariness of $\delta > 0$, we get

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} J_\varepsilon(Lw_\varepsilon) \geq \frac{1}{4}L^2.$$

Since $\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s} \rightarrow \infty$, we can see that $\frac{L}{\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}} \in (0, 1)$ for ε sufficiently small, and we deduce

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\varepsilon(tv_\varepsilon) \geq J_\varepsilon\left(\frac{L}{\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}} v_\varepsilon\right) \geq \frac{1}{4}L^2.$$

Take $L > 0$ sufficiently large such that $m_2 < \frac{1}{4}L^2$ and we recall that $J_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon) \leq m_2$ by (2.21). Then we can find $t_\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$J_\varepsilon(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\varepsilon(tv_\varepsilon).$$

Hence

$$J_\varepsilon(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\varepsilon(tv_\varepsilon) \geq \frac{1}{4}L^2 \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } L \rightarrow \infty,$$

that is

$$J_\varepsilon(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.42)$$

Now, using $\langle J'_\varepsilon(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon), (t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) \rangle = 0$, (2.22) and Corollary 2.1-(iv), we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\varepsilon(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) &= J_\varepsilon(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) - \frac{1}{2} \langle J'_\varepsilon(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon), (t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \hat{G}(\varepsilon x, t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) dx \\ &\leq D^{k_\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \hat{G}(\varepsilon x, v_\varepsilon) dx \\ &= D^{k_\nu} \left(J_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon) - \frac{1}{2} \langle J'_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon), v_\varepsilon \rangle \right) \\ &\leq D^{k_\nu} m_2 + o(1) \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

which contradicts (2.42). Then the Case 2 can not take place.

Step 4: Conclusion.

Putting together Step 1, Step 2 and Step 3, we can deduce that $\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}$ is bounded as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. \square

In the next lemma we prove that every Cerami sequence $(v_j) \subset H_\varepsilon^s$ at level c_ε is bounded and admits a convergent subsequence in H_ε^s .

Lemma 2.8. *Assume that f satisfies (f1)-(f3) and either (f4) or (f5). Then there exists $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1]$ and for any $(v_j) \subset H_\varepsilon^s$ satisfying*

$$J_\varepsilon(v_j) \rightarrow c > 0, \quad (2.44)$$

$$(1 + \|v_j\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}) \|J'_\varepsilon(v_j)\|_{H_\varepsilon^{-s}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.45)$$

for some $c > 0$, we get

- (i) $\|v_j\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}$ is bounded as $j \rightarrow \infty$;
- (ii) there exists (j_k) and $v_0 \in H_\varepsilon^s$ such that $v_{j_k} \rightarrow v_0$ strongly in H_ε^s .

Proof. The proof of (i) can be done in similar way to the one of Lemma 2.7, after suitable modifications. More precisely, in Step 1 of Lemma 2.7, for a given sequence (v_j) , there exists $(x_j) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\int_{B_1(x_j)} |\chi_\varepsilon w_j|^2 dx \rightarrow d > 0$. The sequence (x_j) satisfies $\varepsilon x_j \in N_\varepsilon(\Lambda)$, and we may assume that $\varepsilon x_j \rightarrow x_0 \in \overline{N_\varepsilon(\Lambda)}$, where x_0 is such that $\chi(\varepsilon x + x_0) \neq 0$ in B_1 .

In Step 2 we replace (2.35) by

$$(-\Delta)^s w_0 + V(\varepsilon x + x_0) w_0 = (\chi(\varepsilon x + x_0) a + (1 - \chi(\varepsilon x + x_0)) \nu) w_0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (2.46)$$

where $w_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is nonnegative and not identically zero. Indeed, using the maximum principle [12], we can see that $w_0 > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N . Now we set $\tilde{w}(x) = w_0(\frac{x-x_0}{\varepsilon})$. Then \tilde{w} satisfies

$$\varepsilon^{2s} (-\Delta)^s \tilde{w} + V(x) \tilde{w} = (\chi(x) a + (1 - \chi(x)) \nu) \tilde{w} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (2.47)$$

We aim to prove that this is impossible for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. Using the extension technique [13], we can see that $\tilde{W} := \text{Ext}(\tilde{w})$ is a solution to the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon^{2s} \operatorname{div}(y^{1-2s} \nabla \tilde{W}) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{W}}{\partial \nu^{1-2s}} = -V(x) \tilde{w} + (\chi(x) a + (1 - \chi(x)) \nu) \tilde{w} & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}, \end{cases} \quad (2.48)$$

where we used the notation $w(x) = W(x, 0)$ to denote the trace of $W(x, y)$. Take $r > 0$ sufficiently small such that

$$\chi(x) = 1 \text{ and } V(x) < a \quad \text{for } x \in B_r.$$

Let us introduce the following notations:

$$B_r^+ = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} : y > 0, |(x, y)| < r\},$$

$$\Gamma_r^+ = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} : y \geq 0, |(x, y)| = r\},$$

$$\Gamma_r^0 = \{(x, 0) \in \partial\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} : |x| < r\},$$

and

$$H_{0, \Gamma_r^+}^1(B_r^+) = \{V \in H^1(B_r^+, y^{1-2s}) : V \equiv 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_r^+\}.$$

Let

$$\mu_r := \inf \left\{ \iint_{B_r^+} y^{1-2s} |\nabla U|^2 dx dy : U \in H_{0, \Gamma_r^+}^1(B_r^+), \int_{\Gamma_r^0} u^2 dx = 1 \right\}.$$

By the compactness of the embedding $H_{0, \Gamma_r^+}^1(B_r^+) \Subset L^2(\Gamma_r^0)$, it is not difficult to see that such infimum is achieved by a function $U_r \in H_{\Gamma_r^+}^1(B_r^+) \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover, we may assume that $U_r \geq 0$. Then U_r is a solution, not identically zero, of

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(y^{1-2s} \nabla U_r) = 0 & \text{in } B_r^+ \\ \frac{\partial U_r}{\partial \nu^{1-2s}} = \mu_r u_r & \text{on } \Gamma_r^0 \\ U_r = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_r^+. \end{cases} \quad (2.49)$$

It follows from the strong maximum principle [12] that $U_r > 0$ on $B_r^+ \cup \Gamma_r^0$. Let us note $\mu_r \geq 0$ and μ_r is a nonincreasing function of r . Indeed, μ_r is decreasing in r . In fact, if by contradiction we assume that $r_1 < r_2$ and $\mu_{r_1} = \mu_{r_2}$, we can multiply the equation $\operatorname{div}(y^{1-2s} \nabla U_{r_1}) = 0$ by U_{r_2} , and after an integration by parts, we can use the equalities satisfied by U_{r_1} and U_{r_2} , and the assumption $\mu_{r_1} = \mu_{r_2}$, to deduce that

$$\int_{\Gamma_{r_1}^+} \frac{\partial U_{r_1}}{\partial \nu^{1-2s}} U_{r_2} d\sigma = 0.$$

This gives a contradiction because of $U_{r_2} > 0$ and $\frac{\partial U_{r_1}}{\partial \nu^{1-2s}} < 0$ on $\Gamma_{r_1}^+$.

Now we extend $U_r = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \setminus B_r^+$, so that $U_r \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}, y^{1-2s})$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{2s} \mu_r \int_{\Gamma_r^0} u_r \tilde{w} dx &= \iint_{B_r^+} y^{1-2s} \varepsilon^{2s} \nabla \tilde{W} \nabla U_r dx dy \\ &= - \int_{\Gamma_r^0} (V(x) - a) \tilde{w} u_r dx \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\int_{\Gamma_r^0} (V(x) - a + \varepsilon^{2s} \mu_r) \tilde{w} u_r dx = 0. \quad (2.50)$$

But this is impossible because of $V(x) - a + \mu_r \varepsilon^{2s} < 0$ in Γ_r^0 for $\varepsilon > 0$ small and $u_r \tilde{w} > 0$ in Γ_r^0 .

In order to verify (ii), we fix $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1]$ and (v_j) satisfying (2.44) and (2.45). Using (i), we can see that (v_j) is bounded in H_ε^s . Up to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$v_j \rightharpoonup v_0 \text{ in } H_\varepsilon^s.$$

Our claim is to prove that $v_j \rightarrow v_0$ in H_ε^s . To do this, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \geq R} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v_j|^2 + V(\varepsilon x) v_j^2 dx = 0. \quad (2.51)$$

Let us assume that (2.51) is true. Then, for any $\delta > 0$ there exists $R > 0$ sufficiently large such that

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \geq R} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v_j|^2 + V(\varepsilon x) v_j^2 dx < \delta, \quad (2.52)$$

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \geq R} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v_0|^2 + V(\varepsilon x) v_0^2 dx < \delta, \quad (2.53)$$

and

$$\int_{|x| \geq R} g(\varepsilon x, v_0) v_0 dx < \frac{\delta}{3}. \quad (2.54)$$

Taking into account (2.52), (iii) of Corollary 2.1 and Theorem 2.1, there exists $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \int_{|x| \geq R} g(\varepsilon x, v_j) v_j dx \right| < \frac{\delta}{3} \text{ for all } j \geq j_0. \quad (2.55)$$

On the other hand, using $v_j \rightarrow v_0$ in $L^q(B_R)$ for any $q \in [2, 2_s^*)$, we can see that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R} g(\varepsilon x, v_j) v_j dx = \int_{B_R} g(\varepsilon x, v_0) v_0 dx. \quad (2.56)$$

From (2.54), (2.55) and (2.56), there exists $j_1 \geq j_0$ such that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon x, v_j) v_j dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon x, v_0) v_0 dx \right| < \delta \text{ for any } j \geq j_1$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon x, v_j) v_j dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon x, v_0) v_0 dx. \quad (2.57)$$

Since $\langle J'_\varepsilon(v_j), v_j \rangle = o_j(1)$, by (2.57) we deduce that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v_j|^2 + V(\varepsilon x) v_j^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon x, v_0) v_0 dx, \quad (2.58)$$

and using $\langle J'_\varepsilon(v_j), v_0 \rangle = o_j(1)$, we also have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v_0|^2 + V(\varepsilon x) v_0^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon x, v_0) v_0 dx. \quad (2.59)$$

Putting together (2.58) and (2.59) we can infer that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|v_j\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 = \|v_0\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2.$$

Recalling that H_ε^s is a Hilbert space we obtain that $v_j \rightarrow v_0$ in H_ε^s .

Now, we show that (2.51) holds. Let $\eta_R \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ be a cut-off function such that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_R(x) &= 0 \text{ for } |x| \leq \frac{R}{2}, \\ \eta_R(x) &= 1 \text{ for } |x| \geq R, \\ 0 &\leq \eta_R(x) \leq 1 \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ |\nabla \eta_R(x)| &\leq \frac{C}{R} \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned}$$

Take $R > 0$ such that $\frac{\Lambda}{\varepsilon} \subset B_{\frac{R}{2}}$. Since $(v_j \eta_R)$ is bounded in H_ε^s , we can see that $\langle J'_\varepsilon(v_j), \eta_R v_j \rangle = o_j(1)$. Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v_j (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} (v_j \eta_R) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) v_j^2 \eta_R dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(v_j) v_j \eta_R dx + o_j(1) \\ &\leq \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_j^2 \eta_R dx + o_j(1). \end{aligned}$$

By our choice of ν , we can see that there exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v_j (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} (v_j \eta_R) dx + \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) v_j^2 \eta_R dx \leq o_j(1). \quad (2.60)$$

Now we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v_j (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} (v_j \eta_R) dx \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(v_j(x) - v_j(y))(v_j(x) \eta_R(x) - v_j(y) \eta_R(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \eta_R(x) \frac{|v_j(x) - v_j(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ &+ \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(v_j(x) - v_j(y))(\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} v_j(y) dx dy \\ &=: A_{R,j} + B_{R,j}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.61)$$

Clearly

$$A_{R,j} \geq \int_{|x| \geq R} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|v_j(x) - v_j(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy. \quad (2.62)$$

Using Lemma 2.1 and the fact that (v_j) is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} |B_{R,j}| \\ &\leq \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|v_j(x) - v_j(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \\ &\quad \times \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} |v_j(y)|^2 dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\eta_R(x) - \eta_R(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} |v_j(y)|^2 dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.63)$$

Putting together (2.60)-(2.63) we can infer that (2.51) holds. □

Taking into account Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.8 we deduce the following result:

Corollary 2.3. *There exists $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1]$ there exists a critical point $v_\varepsilon \in H_\varepsilon^s$ of $J_\varepsilon(v)$ satisfying $J_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon) = c_\varepsilon$, where $c_\varepsilon \in [m_1, m_2]$ is defined as in (2.18)-(2.19). Moreover there exists a constant $M > 0$ independent of $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1]$ such that $\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s} \leq M$ for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1]$.*

3. LIMIT EQUATIONS

In the next section we will see that the sequence of critical points obtained in Corollary 2.3 converges, in some sense, to a sum of translated critical points of certain autonomous functionals. As proved in [8], least energy solutions for autonomous nonlinear scalar field equations have a mountain pass characterization. This property will be fundamental to prove Theorem 1.1. For this reason, in this section we collect some important results on autonomous functionals associated with "limit equations".

Firstly, we introduce some notations and definitions which will be useful later. For $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we define the autonomous functional $\Phi_{x_0} : H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$\Phi_{x_0}(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v|^2 + V(x_0)v^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x_0, v) dx.$$

It is standard to check that $\Phi_{x_0} \in C^1(H^s(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R})$ and critical points of Φ_{x_0} are weak solutions to the equation

$$(-\Delta)^s u + V(x_0)u = g(x_0, u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (3.1)$$

We note that, if u is a solution to (2.14), then $v(x) = u(\varepsilon x + x_0)$ satisfies

$$(-\Delta)^s v + V(\varepsilon x + x_0)v = g(\varepsilon x + x_0, v) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (3.2)$$

that is (3.1) can be seen as the limit equation of (3.2) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

For any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $u, v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we use the following notations

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, v \rangle_{H_\varepsilon^s} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v + V(\varepsilon x)uv dx \\ \langle u, v \rangle_{x_0} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v + V(x_0)uv dx \\ \|v\|_{x_0}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v|^2 + V(x_0)v^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we define

$$H(x, t) = -\frac{1}{2}V(x)t^2 + G(x, t)$$

and

$$\Omega = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \sup_{t>0} H(x, t) > 0 \right\}.$$

Remark 3.1.

(i) $\Omega \subset \Lambda$ and $0 \in \{x \in \Lambda' : V(x) = \inf_{y \in \Lambda} V(y)\} \subset \Omega$.

(ii) If (f3) or (f5) with $a = \infty$ holds, then $\Omega = \Lambda$.

Now, we state the following Jeanjean-Tanaka type result [28] proved in [8] (see Theorem 1 in [8]) related to the study of the autonomous problem

$$(-\Delta)^s u = h(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (3.3)$$

where $h \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ is an odd function satisfying the following Berestycki-Lions type assumptions [11]:

(h1) $-\infty < \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} h(t)/t \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} h(t)/t < 0$;

(h2) $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(t)}{|t|^{2_s^*-1}} = 0$;

(h3) there exists $\bar{t} > 0$ such that $H(\bar{t}) > 0$.

We recall that the existence of a solution to (3.3) has been established in [8, 14].

Lemma 3.1. [8] Assume that $h \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ is an odd function satisfying the Berestycki-Lions type assumptions (h1)-(h3). Let $\tilde{I} : H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the functional defined by

$$\tilde{I}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{2} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|^2 - H(u) dx.$$

Then \tilde{I} has a mountain pass geometry and $c = m$, where m is defined as

$$m = \inf\{\tilde{I}(u) : u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} \text{ is a solution to (3.3)}\}, \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \tilde{I}(\gamma(t))$$

$$\text{where } \Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)) : \gamma(0) = 0, \tilde{I}(\gamma(1)) < 0\}.$$

Moreover, for any least energy solution $\omega(x)$ of (3.3) there exists a path $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that

$$\tilde{I}(\gamma(t)) \leq m = \tilde{I}(\omega) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0,1] \quad (3.5)$$

$$\omega \in \gamma([0,1]). \quad (3.6)$$

At this point, we give the proof of the following lemma which we will use in the next section to obtain a concentration-compactness type result.

Lemma 3.2. Assume that f satisfies (f1)-(f3). Then we have

- (i) $\Phi_{x_0}(v)$ has non-zero critical points if and only if $x_0 \in \Omega$.
- (ii) There exists $\delta_1 > 0$, independent of $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$, such that $\|v\|_{x_0} \geq \delta_1$ for any non-zero critical point v of Φ_{x_0} .

Proof. Firstly, we extend $f(t)$ to an odd function on \mathbb{R} . Let us consider the function

$$h(t) = -V(x_0)t + g(x_0, t),$$

that is $h(t) = H'(x_0, t)$. Clearly h is odd. Now we show that h satisfies assumptions (h1)-(h3). From (f2) and (f3) it follows that (h1) and (h2) hold.

Since $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \sup_{t>0} H(x, t) > 0\}$, we can see that (h3) is true if and only if $x_0 \in \Omega$. Then (i) follows by Theorem 1 in [8] (see also Theorem 1.1 in [14]).

Now let v be a non-zero critical point of Φ_{x_0} . Then

$$\langle \Phi'_{x_0}(v), v \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v|^2 + V(x_0)v^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x_0, v)v dx = 0.$$

Using (i) of Corollary 2.1 we get

$$\|v\|_{H^s}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(v)v dx \leq 0,$$

so by (2.11) it follows that for any $\delta \in (0, V_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{H^s}^2 &\leq \delta \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + C_\delta \|v\|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p+1} \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{V_0} \|v\|_{H^s}^2 + C_\delta C'_{p+1} \|v\|_{H^s}^{p+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\left(1 - \frac{\delta}{V_0}\right) \|v\|_{H^s}^2 \leq C_\delta C'_{p+1} \|v\|_{H^s}^{p+1},$$

and we can find $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $\|v\|_{H^s} \geq \delta_1$ for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and for any non-zero critical point v . Since $\|v\|_{x_0} \geq \|v\|_{H^s}$ we can infer that (ii) is verified. \square

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we set

$$m(x) := \begin{cases} \text{least energy level of } \Phi_x(v) & \text{if } x \in \Omega \\ \infty & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 3.1, we can see that $m(x)$ is equal to the mountain pass value for $\Phi_x(v)$ if $x \in \Omega$, that is

$$m(x) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left(\max_{t \in [0,1]} \Phi_x(\gamma(t)) \right)$$

where $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)) : \gamma(0) = 0 \text{ and } \Phi_x(\gamma(1)) < 0\}$.

Now we prove the following result

Lemma 3.3.

$$m(x_0) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} m(x) \text{ if and only if } x_0 \in \Lambda \text{ e } V(x_0) = \inf_{x \in \Lambda} V(x).$$

In particular, $m(0) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} m(x)$.

Proof. Fix $x_0 \in \Lambda$ such that $V(x_0) = \inf_{x \in \Lambda} V(x)$. We note that $x_0 \in \Lambda'$. Otherwise, if $x_0 \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda'$, then

$$V(x_0) \geq \inf_{x \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda'} V(x) > \inf_{x \in \Lambda} V(x)$$

which is impossible. Hence $x_0 \in \Lambda'$ and $\chi(x_0) = 1$. Moreover, $x_0 \in \Omega$ by Remark 3.1. Now, using the fact that $V(x) \geq V(x_0)$ in Λ and $G(x, t) \leq F(t)$ for any $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$, we get for any $\bar{x} \in \Omega$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\bar{x}}(v) &= \frac{1}{2} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} V(\bar{x}) \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(\bar{x}, v) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} V(x_0) \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(v) dx \\ &= \Phi_{x_0}(v) \text{ for any } v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $m(x_0) \leq m(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, so we have $m(x_0) \leq \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} m(x) \leq m(x_0)$ that is $m(x_0) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} m(x)$.

Now we fix $x' \in \Lambda$ such that $V(x') > V(x_0)$. Take $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that (3.5) and (3.6) hold with $\tilde{I}(v) = \Phi_{x'}(v)$. Then we deduce that

$$m(x_0) \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} \Phi_{x_0}(\gamma(t)) < \max_{t \in [0,1]} \Phi_{x'}(\gamma(t)) = m(x').$$

□

Finally, we show the continuity of $m(x)$.

Proposition 3.1. *The function $m(x) : \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto (-\infty, \infty]$ is continuous in the following sense:*

$$\begin{aligned} m(x_j) &\rightarrow m(x_0) & \text{if } x_j \rightarrow x_0 \in \Omega \\ m(x_j) &\rightarrow \infty & \text{if } x_j \rightarrow x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Firstly, we fix $x_0 \in \Omega$ and we take $(x_j) \subset \Omega$ such that $x_j \rightarrow x_0$. We aim to prove that $m(x)$ is upper semicontinuous, that is

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} m(x_j) \leq m(x_0).$$

In order to prove it, we show that for any fixed $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the map

$$L_\gamma : x \in \Omega \mapsto \max_{t \in [0,1]} \Phi_x(\gamma(t))$$

is continuous. For any $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{x_j}(\gamma(t)) - \Phi_{x_0}(\gamma(t)) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [V(x_j) - V(x_0)] |\gamma(t)(x)|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [G(x_j, \gamma(t)(x)) - G(x_0, \gamma(t)(x))] dx.\end{aligned}$$

Then, the continuity of V and the definition of G yield

$$\left| \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \Phi_{x_j}(\gamma(t)) - \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \Phi_{x_0}(\gamma(t)) \right| \leq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |\Phi_{x_j}(\gamma(t)) - \Phi_{x_0}(\gamma(t))| \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, being $m(x_0) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} L_\gamma(x_0)$, we deduce that $m(x)$ is upper semicontinuous. Now we show that $m(x)$ is lower semicontinuous. In order to achieve our aim, we prove that for any least energy solution $u_j(x)$ of $\Phi_{x_j}(v)$ we have

- (i) $\|u_j\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ is bounded as $j \rightarrow \infty$;
- (ii) after extracting a subsequence, u_j has a non-zero weak limit u_0 and

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{x_j}(u_j) \geq \Phi_{x_0}(u_0).$$

Indeed, it is clear that one can see that u_0 is a non-zero critical point of $\Phi_{x_0}(v)$, and then we have

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} m(x_j) = \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{x_j}(u_j) \geq \Phi_{x_0}(u_0) \geq m(x_0).$$

Assume that $u_j \in H_r^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We know that $u_j(x)$ satisfies the Pohozaev Identity [6, 14, 37]:

$$\frac{N-2s}{2} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 = N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(x_j, u_j(x)) dx. \quad (3.7)$$

Now, we divide the proof in several steps.

Step 1: There exists $m_0, m_1 > 0$ (independent of j) such that

$$m_0 \leq m(x_j) \leq m_1 \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The existence of m_1 follows by the fact that $m(x)$ is upper semicontinuous. Concerning m_0 , we note that

$$\Phi_{x_j}(v) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} V_0 \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(v) dx.$$

Then, denoted by m_0 the mountain pass value of

$$v \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} V_0 \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(v) dx,$$

we get the thesis.

Step 2: $\frac{N}{s} m_0 \leq \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \leq \frac{N}{s} m_1$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

In view of (3.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}m(x_j) &= \Phi_{x_j}(u_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(x_j, u_j(x)) dx \\ &= \frac{s}{N} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2\end{aligned}$$

and using Step 1 we deduce that

$$\frac{N}{s} m_0 \leq \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \leq \frac{N}{s} m_1.$$

Step 3: Boundedness of $\|u_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}$.

Taking into account (3.7), the definition of $H(x, t)$, (1.2), $|g(x, t)| \leq \delta|t| + C_\delta|t|^{2_s^*-1}$, Theorem 2.1 and Step 2, we have for any $\delta \in (0, V_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} N \frac{V_0}{2} \|u_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 &\leq N \frac{\delta}{2} \|u_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + N \frac{C_\delta}{2_s^*} \|u_j\|_{L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2_s^*} \\ &\leq N \frac{\delta}{2} \|u_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + N \frac{C_\delta}{2_s^*} S_*^{-\frac{2_s^*}{2}} \left(\frac{N}{s} m_1 \right)^{\frac{2_s^*}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that (u_j) is a bounded sequence in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Step 4: After extracting a subsequence, u_j has a non-zero weak limit u_0 .

Gathering Step 2 and Step 3, we know that (u_j) is bounded in $H_r^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and we denote by u_0 its weak limit. Assume by contradiction that $u_0 \equiv 0$.

Then, in view of Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_j &\rightharpoonup 0 \text{ in } H^s(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ u_j &\rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \forall q \in (2, 2_s^*). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that $\langle \Phi'_{x_j}(u_j), u_j \rangle = 0$ and Step 2, we can deduce that

$$0 < \frac{N}{s} m_0 \leq \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + V(x_j) \|u_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x_j, u_j) u_j \, dx. \quad (3.8)$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 twice (with $P(t) = f(t)t$ and $P(t) = \underline{f}(t)t$, $q_1 = 2$ and $q_2 = p + 1$) and using $\chi(x) \in [0, 1]$, we can see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x_j, u_j) u_j \, dx = \chi(x_j) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_j) u_j \, dx + (1 - \chi(x_j)) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \underline{f}(u_j) u_j \, dx \rightarrow 0,$$

which is incompatible with (3.8).

Step 5: $\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{x_j}(u_j) \geq \Phi_{x_0}(u_0)$.

Let us note that

$$\Phi_{x_j}(u_j) = \frac{1}{2} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} V(x_j) \|u_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x_j, u_j) \, dx,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 &\leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|u_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \\ \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 &\leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

by the weak lower semicontinuity of the $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -norm. On the other hand, using Theorem 2.2, Lemma 2.3 (applied to $F(t)$ and $\underline{F}(t)$) and the continuity of χ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x_j, u_j) \, dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x_0, u_0) \, dx \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, the above facts and $V(x_j) \rightarrow V(x_0)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, yield

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{x_j}(u_j) \geq \Phi_{x_0}(u_0).$$

Finally, we deal with the case $x_0 \notin \Omega$.

Step 6: Let $x_0 \notin \Omega$ and (x_j) such that $x_j \rightarrow x_0$. Then $m(x_j) \rightarrow \infty$.

We argue by contradiction, and we assume that $m(x_j) \not\rightarrow \infty$. Then, there exists a subsequence, which we denote again by (x_j) , such that $m(x_j)$ stays bounded as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Arguing as in Steps 1-5, we can find a non-zero critical point of $\Phi_{x_0}(v)$, which contradicts (i) of Lemma 3.2. \square

4. ε -DEPENDENT CONCENTRATION-COMPACTNESS RESULT

This section is devoted to the study of the behavior as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ of critical points (v_ε) obtained in Corollary 2.3. More generally we consider (v_ε) such that

$$v_\varepsilon \in H_\varepsilon^s, \quad (4.1)$$

$$J_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon) \rightarrow c \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$(1 + \|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}) \|J'_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon)\|_{H_\varepsilon^{-s}} \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s} \leq m, \quad (4.4)$$

where c and m are independent of ε .

We begin by proving the following concentration-compactness type result.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that f satisfies (f1)-(f3) and $(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1]}$ satisfies (4.1)-(4.4). Then there exists a subsequence $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$, $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, sequences $(y_{\varepsilon_j}^k) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $x^k \in \Omega$, $\omega^k \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ ($k = 1, \dots, l$) such that*

$$|y_{\varepsilon_j}^k - y_{\varepsilon_j}^{k'}| \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for } k \neq k', \quad (4.5)$$

$$\varepsilon_j y_{\varepsilon_j}^k \rightarrow x^k \in \Omega \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.6)$$

$$\omega^k \neq 0 \text{ and } \Phi'_{x^k}(\omega^k) = 0, \quad (4.7)$$

$$\left\| v_{\varepsilon_j} - \psi_{\varepsilon_j} \left(\sum_{k=1}^l \omega^k(\cdot - y_{\varepsilon_j}^k) \right) \right\|_{H_{\varepsilon_j}^s} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.8)$$

$$J_{\varepsilon_j}(v_{\varepsilon_j}) \rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^l \Phi_{x^k}(\omega^k). \quad (4.9)$$

Here $\psi_\varepsilon(x) = \psi(\varepsilon x)$, and $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ is such that $\psi(x) = 1$ for $x \in \Lambda$ and $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ on \mathbb{R}^N . When $l = 0$, we have $\|v_{\varepsilon_j}\|_{H_{\varepsilon_j}^s} \rightarrow 0$ and $J_{\varepsilon_j}(v_{\varepsilon_j}) \rightarrow 0$.

Remark 4.1. *Let us note that $\sup \psi(\varepsilon x) V(\varepsilon x) < \infty$. Moreover, for all $w \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\psi_\varepsilon w \in H_\varepsilon^s$ and there exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of ε , such that*

$$\|\psi_\varepsilon w\|_{H_\varepsilon^s} \leq C \|w\|_{H^s}. \quad (4.10)$$

Remark 4.2. *For any $\omega \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and for any sequence $(y_\varepsilon) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\varepsilon y_\varepsilon \rightarrow x_0 \in \Lambda$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\psi_\varepsilon \omega(\cdot - y_\varepsilon)\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}(\psi(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon) \omega(x))|^2 + V(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon) \psi(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon)^2 \omega(x)^2 dx \\ &\rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \omega|^2 + V(x_0) \omega^2 dx = \|\omega\|_{x_0}^2 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

We first prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}(\psi(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon) \omega(x))|^2 dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \omega|^2 dx. \quad (4.12)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\psi(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon)\omega(x) - \psi(\varepsilon y + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon)\omega(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\
&= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\psi(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon) - \psi(\varepsilon y + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} (\omega(x))^2 dx dy \\
&+ \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\omega(x) - \omega(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} (\psi(\varepsilon y + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon))^2 dx dy \\
&+ 2 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(\psi(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon) - \psi(\varepsilon y + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon))(\omega(x) - \omega(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \omega(x) \psi(\varepsilon y + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon) dx dy \\
&=: A_\varepsilon + B_\varepsilon + 2C_\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by the dominated convergence theorem and $\psi(\varepsilon \cdot + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon) \rightarrow 1$, we get $B_\varepsilon \rightarrow [\omega]^2$. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
A_\varepsilon &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} dx \int_{|x-y| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \frac{|\psi(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon) - \psi(\varepsilon y + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} (\omega(x))^2 dy \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} dx \int_{|x-y| > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \frac{|\psi(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon) - \psi(\varepsilon y + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} (\omega(x))^2 dy \\
&\leq \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \alpha_{N-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \omega^2 dx \int_0^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{z^{2s-1}} dz \\
&+ 4\alpha_{N-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \omega^2 dx \int_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}^\infty \frac{1}{z^{2s+1}} dz \\
&= \varepsilon^{2s} \alpha_{N-1} \left(\frac{\|\nabla \psi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2}{2-2s} + \frac{2}{s} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \omega^2 dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

and using

$$|C_\varepsilon| \leq [\omega] \sqrt{A_\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0,$$

we can infer that (4.12) holds. Since it is clear that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon) \psi(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon)^2 \omega(x)^2 dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x_0) \omega^2 dx, \tag{4.14}$$

we deduce that (4.12) and (4.14) imply (4.11).

Proof. We divide the proof in several steps. In what follows, we write ε instead of ε_j .

Step 1: Up to subsequence, $v_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup v_0$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and v_0 is a critical point of $\Phi_0(v)$.

Using (4.4) and (2.16), we can see that $\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H^s} \leq m$. Then (v_ε) is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and we can suppose that $v_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup v_0$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Let us show that v_0 is a critical point of $\Phi_0(v)$, that is $\langle \Phi'_0(v_0), \varphi \rangle = 0$ for any $\varphi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it is enough to prove it for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Fix $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. From (4.3) it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v_\varepsilon (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \varphi + V(\varepsilon x) v_\varepsilon \varphi - g(\varepsilon x, v_\varepsilon) \varphi] dx \rightarrow 0.$$

Now we show that

$$\langle J'_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon), \varphi \rangle = \langle v_\varepsilon, \varphi \rangle_{H_\varepsilon^s} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon x, v_\varepsilon) \varphi dx \rightarrow \langle v_0, \varphi \rangle_0 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(0, v_0) \varphi dx.$$

Let us note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle v_\varepsilon, \varphi \rangle_{H_\varepsilon^s} - \langle v_0, \varphi \rangle_0 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} (v_\varepsilon - v_0) (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \varphi \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [V(\varepsilon x) - V(0)] v_\varepsilon \varphi \, dx \\
&\quad + V(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (v_\varepsilon - v_0) \varphi \, dx \\
&=: (I) + (II) + (III).
\end{aligned}$$

Then $(I), (III) \rightarrow 0$ because of $v_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup v_0$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
|(II)| &\leq C \|V_\varepsilon - V(0)\|_{L^\infty(\text{supp } \varphi)} \|v_\varepsilon\|_{H^s} \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\
&\leq C' \|V_\varepsilon - V(0)\|_{L^\infty(\text{supp } \varphi)} \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using (iii) of Corollary 2.1 and $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \Subset L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in [2, 2_s^*)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon x, v_\varepsilon) \varphi \, dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(0, v_0) \varphi \, dx.$$

Hence

$$\langle \Phi'_0(v_0), \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v_0 (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \varphi + V(0) v_0 \varphi - g(0, v_0) \varphi \, dx = 0.$$

If $v_0 \not\equiv 0$, we set $y_\varepsilon^1 = 0$ and $\omega^1 = v_0$.

Step 2: Suppose that there exist $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $(y_\varepsilon^k) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $x^k \in \Omega$, $\omega^k \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$) such that (4.5), (4.6), (4.7) of Lemma 4.1 hold for $k = 1, \dots, n$ and

$$v_\varepsilon(\cdot + y_\varepsilon^k) \rightharpoonup \omega^k \text{ in } H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (4.15)$$

Moreover, we assume that

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(y)} \left| v_\varepsilon - \psi_\varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^n \omega^k(x - y_\varepsilon^k) \right|^2 dx \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.16)$$

Then

$$\left\| v_\varepsilon - \psi_\varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^n \omega^k(\cdot - y_\varepsilon^k) \right\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.17)$$

Set

$$\xi_\varepsilon(x) = v_\varepsilon(x) - \psi_\varepsilon(x) \sum_{k=1}^n \omega^k(x - y_\varepsilon^k).$$

From (4.10) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\xi_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s} &\leq \|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s} + \|\psi_\varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^n \omega^k(\cdot - y_\varepsilon^k)\|_{H_\varepsilon^s} \\
&\leq m + C \sum_{k=1}^n \|\omega^k\|_{H^s},
\end{aligned}$$

and being $\|\xi_\varepsilon\|_{H^s} \leq \|\xi_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}$, we deduce that (ξ_ε) is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

By (4.16) and Lemma 2.2 we have $\|\xi_\varepsilon\|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Now, a direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 &= \langle v_\varepsilon - \psi_\varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^n \omega^k(\cdot - y_\varepsilon^k), \xi_\varepsilon \rangle_{H_\varepsilon^s} \\ &= \langle v_\varepsilon, \xi_\varepsilon \rangle_{H_\varepsilon^s} - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \psi_\varepsilon \omega^k(\cdot - y_\varepsilon^k), \xi_\varepsilon \rangle_{H_\varepsilon^s}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

We aim to prove that for all $k = 1, \dots, n$

$$\langle \psi_\varepsilon \omega^k(\cdot - y_\varepsilon^k), \xi_\varepsilon \rangle_{H_\varepsilon^s} = \langle \omega^k(\cdot - y_\varepsilon^k), \psi_\varepsilon \xi_\varepsilon \rangle_{x^k} + o(1). \quad (4.19)$$

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \psi_\varepsilon \omega^k(\cdot - y_\varepsilon^k), \xi_\varepsilon \rangle_{H_\varepsilon^s} - \langle \omega^k(\cdot - y_\varepsilon^k), \psi_\varepsilon \xi_\varepsilon \rangle_{x^k} \\ &= \left[\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(\psi_\varepsilon(x) - \psi_\varepsilon(y))(\xi_\varepsilon(x) - \xi_\varepsilon(y))\omega^k(x - y_\varepsilon^k)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(\psi_\varepsilon(x) - \psi_\varepsilon(y))(\omega^k(x - y_\varepsilon^k) - \omega^k(y - y_\varepsilon^k))\xi_\varepsilon(x)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right] \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon^k) - V(x^k))\psi(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon^k)\omega^k(x)\xi_\varepsilon(x + y_\varepsilon^k) dx \\ &=: (I) + (II). \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(\psi_\varepsilon(x) - \psi_\varepsilon(y))(\xi_\varepsilon(x) - \xi_\varepsilon(y))\omega^k(x - y_\varepsilon^k)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right| \\ &\leq \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\xi_\varepsilon(x) - \xi_\varepsilon(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \\ &\quad \times \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\psi_\varepsilon(x) - \psi_\varepsilon(y)|^2 (\omega^k(x - y_\varepsilon^k))^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(\psi_\varepsilon(x) - \psi_\varepsilon(y))(\omega^k(x - y_\varepsilon^k) - \omega^k(y - y_\varepsilon^k))\xi_\varepsilon(x)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right| \\ &\leq \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\omega^k(x - y_\varepsilon^k) - \omega^k(y - y_\varepsilon^k)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \\ &\quad \times \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \xi_\varepsilon^2(x) \frac{|\psi_\varepsilon(x) - \psi_\varepsilon(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

so, using the fact that $\|\xi_\varepsilon\|_{H^s} \leq \bar{C}_1$ and $\|\omega^k\|_{H^s} \leq \bar{C}_2$, for some $\bar{C}_1, \bar{C}_2 > 0$, we can argue as in the proof of (4.13) to see that $(I) \rightarrow 0$. We note that $(V(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon^k) - V(x^k))\psi(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon^k)$ is bounded in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By (4.5) and (4.15) we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_\varepsilon(\cdot + y_\varepsilon^k) &\rightharpoonup 0 \text{ in } H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \\ \xi_\varepsilon(\cdot + y_\varepsilon^k) &\rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Then $(II) \rightarrow 0$ and we can conclude that (4.19) holds.

Putting together (4.18) and (4.19) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\xi_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 &= \langle v_\varepsilon, \xi_\varepsilon \rangle_{H_\varepsilon^s} - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \omega^k(\cdot - y_\varepsilon^k), \psi_\varepsilon \xi_\varepsilon \rangle_{x^k} + o(1) \\
&= \langle J'_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon), \xi_\varepsilon \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon x, v_\varepsilon) \xi_\varepsilon dx - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\langle \Phi'_{x^k}(\omega^k(\cdot - y_\varepsilon^k)), \psi_\varepsilon \xi_\varepsilon \rangle \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x^k, \omega^k(x - y_\varepsilon^k)) \psi_\varepsilon \xi_\varepsilon dx \right) + o(1) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon x, v_\varepsilon) \xi_\varepsilon dx - \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x^k, \omega^k(x - y_\varepsilon^k)) \psi_\varepsilon \xi_\varepsilon dx + o(1) \\
&= (III) - \sum_{k=1}^n (IV) + o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 2.1-(iii) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|(III)| &\leq \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_\varepsilon \xi_\varepsilon| dx + C_\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_\varepsilon|^p |\xi_\varepsilon| dx \\
&\leq \delta \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|\xi_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} + C_\delta \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \|\xi_\varepsilon\|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^N)}
\end{aligned}$$

and using $\|\xi_\varepsilon\|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the boundedness of $\|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ and $\|\xi_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}$, and the arbitrariness of δ , we get $(III) \rightarrow 0$. In view of (4.20) we can see that $(IV) \rightarrow 0$. Hence $\|\xi_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s} \rightarrow 0$ and (4.17) holds.

Step 3: Suppose that there exist $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $(y_\varepsilon^k) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $x^k \in \Omega$, $\omega^k \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$) such that (4.5), (4.6), (4.7) and (4.15) hold. We also assume that there exists $z_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\int_{B_1(z_\varepsilon)} \left| v_\varepsilon - \psi_\varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^n \omega^k(x - y_\varepsilon^k) \right|^2 dx \rightarrow c > 0. \quad (4.21)$$

Then there exist $x^{k+1} \in \Omega$ and $\omega^{k+1} \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$|z_\varepsilon - y_\varepsilon^k| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{for all } k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (4.22)$$

$$\varepsilon z_\varepsilon \rightarrow x^{k+1} \in \Omega, \quad (4.23)$$

$$v_\varepsilon(\cdot + z_\varepsilon) \rightharpoonup \omega^{k+1} \neq 0 \text{ in } H^s(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad (4.24)$$

$$\Phi'_{x^{k+1}}(\omega^{k+1}) = 0. \quad (4.25)$$

It is standard to prove that z_ε satisfies (4.22) and that there exists $\omega^{k+1} \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying (4.24).

Now we show (4.23). Firstly, we prove that $\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |\varepsilon z_\varepsilon| < \infty$. Assume by contradiction that $|\varepsilon z_\varepsilon| \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a cut-off function such that $\varphi \geq 0$, $\varphi(0) = 1$ and let $\varphi_R(x) = \varphi(x/R)$. Since $(\varphi_R(\cdot - z_\varepsilon)v_\varepsilon)$ is bounded in H_ε^s , we obtain

$$\langle J'_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon), \varphi_R(\cdot - z_\varepsilon)v_\varepsilon \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v_\varepsilon(x + z_\varepsilon) (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} (\varphi_R(x) v_\varepsilon(x + z_\varepsilon)) + V(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon z_\varepsilon) v_\varepsilon^2(x + z_\varepsilon) \varphi_R(x) dx \\
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon z_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon(x + z_\varepsilon)) v_\varepsilon(x + z_\varepsilon) \varphi_R(x) dx \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

Let us note that $|\varepsilon z_\varepsilon| \rightarrow \infty$ yields

$$g(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon z_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon(x + z_\varepsilon)) = \underline{f}(v_\varepsilon(x + z_\varepsilon)) \text{ on } \text{supp } \varphi_R$$

for any ε small enough. Moreover, $\varphi_R(x) \rightarrow 1$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$|\underline{f}(\omega^{k+1})\omega^{k+1}\varphi_R| \leq C_1|\omega^{k+1}|^2 + C_2|\omega^{k+1}|^{p+1} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

in view of Lemma 2.5-(iii) and Lemma 2.4-(i). Hence, by invoking the dominated convergence theorem we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon z_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon(x + z_\varepsilon)) v_\varepsilon(x + z_\varepsilon) \varphi_R(x) dx \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \underline{f}(\omega^{k+1}) \omega^{k+1} \varphi_R dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \underline{f}(\omega^{k+1}) \omega^{k+1} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

On the other hand, using (4.24), Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.1 (with $\eta_R = 1 - \varphi_R$), we can see that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(v_\varepsilon(x + z_\varepsilon) - v_\varepsilon(y + z_\varepsilon))(\varphi_R(x) - \varphi_R(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} v_\varepsilon(y + z_\varepsilon) dx dy = 0, \quad (4.28)$$

and applying Fatou's Lemma and (4.24), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|v_\varepsilon(x + z_\varepsilon) - v_\varepsilon(y + z_\varepsilon)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \varphi_R(x) dx dy \\ & \geq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\omega^{k+1}(x) - \omega^{k+1}(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

Taking into account (4.26), (4.27), (4.28) and (4.29), we deduce that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\omega^{k+1}(x) - \omega^{k+1}(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_0(\omega^{k+1})^2 - \underline{f}(\omega^{k+1})\omega^{k+1} dx \leq 0. \quad (4.30)$$

By Lemma 2.5 (i)-(ii) and (4.30), we have

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\omega^{k+1}(x) - \omega^{k+1}(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V_0 - \nu)(\omega^{k+1})^2 dx \leq 0.$$

Since $V_0 > \nu$, we infer that $\omega^{k+1} \equiv 0$, which contradicts (4.24).

Then, $\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |\varepsilon z_\varepsilon| < \infty$ and there exists $x^{k+1} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\varepsilon z_\varepsilon \rightarrow x^{k+1}$. This and the fact that $\langle J'_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon), \varphi(\cdot - z_\varepsilon) \rangle \rightarrow 0$ for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, gives $\Phi'_{x^{k+1}}(\omega^{k+1}) = 0$. Since $\omega^{k+1} \not\equiv 0$, it follows that $x^{k+1} \in \Omega$ by Lemma 3.2 (i).

Step 4: Conclusion.

Let us suppose that $v_0 \neq 0$. Then we set $y_\varepsilon^1 = 0$, $x^1 = 0$, $\omega^1 = v_0$.

If $\|v_\varepsilon - \psi_\varepsilon \omega^1\|_{H_\varepsilon^s} \rightarrow 0$, then (4.5)-(4.8) are satisfied by $0 \in \Omega$, $v_0 \neq 0$ and $\Phi'_0(v_0) = 0$.

If $\|v_\varepsilon - \psi_\varepsilon \omega^1\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}$ does not converge to 0, then (4.16) in Step 2 does not occur, and there exists (z_ε) satisfying (4.21) in Step 3. In view of Step 3, there exist x^2, ω^2 satisfying (4.22)-(4.25). Then we set $y_\varepsilon^2 = z_\varepsilon$. If $\|v_\varepsilon - \psi_\varepsilon(\omega^1 + \omega^2(\cdot - y_\varepsilon^2))\|_{H_\varepsilon^s} \rightarrow 0$ then (4.5)-(4.8) hold because of $|y_\varepsilon^2 - y_\varepsilon^1| = |z_\varepsilon| \rightarrow \infty$, $\varepsilon y_\varepsilon^2 \rightarrow x^2 \in \Omega$ and $\Phi'_{x^2}(\omega^2) = 0$. Otherwise, we can use Step 2 and 3 to continue this procedure.

Now we assume that $v_0 \equiv 0$. If $\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s} \rightarrow 0$, we have done. Otherwise, condition (4.16) in Step 2 does not occur, and we can find (z_ε) satisfying (4.21) in Step 3. Applying Step 3, there exist x^1 and ω^1 satisfying (4.22)-(4.25). Thus, we set $y_\varepsilon^1 = z_\varepsilon$.

At this point, we aim to show that this process ends after a finite numbers of steps. Firstly, we show that under assumptions (4.5)-(4.7) and (4.15)

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\| v_\varepsilon - \psi_\varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^n \omega^k(\cdot - y_\varepsilon^k) \right\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \omega^k \right|_{x^k}^2. \quad (4.31)$$

Let us note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| v_\varepsilon - \psi_\varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^n \omega^k(\cdot - y_\varepsilon^k) \right\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 \\ &= \|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 - 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \langle v_\varepsilon, \psi_\varepsilon \omega^k(\cdot - y_\varepsilon^k) \rangle_{H_\varepsilon^s} + \sum_{k,k'} \langle \psi_\varepsilon \omega^k(\cdot - y_\varepsilon^k), \psi_\varepsilon \omega^{k'}(\cdot - y_\varepsilon^{k'}) \rangle_{H_\varepsilon^s}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

Now we show that

$$\langle v_\varepsilon, \psi_\varepsilon \omega^k(\cdot - y_\varepsilon^k) \rangle_{H_\varepsilon^s} \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \omega^k|^2 + V(x^k)(\omega^k)^2 dx = \left| \omega^k \right|_{x^k}^2. \quad (4.33)$$

In fact

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle v_\varepsilon, \psi_\varepsilon \omega^k(\cdot - y_\varepsilon^k) \rangle_{H_\varepsilon^s} \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(v_\varepsilon(x + y_\varepsilon^k) - v_\varepsilon(y + y_\varepsilon^k))(\psi_\varepsilon(x + y_\varepsilon^k) - \psi_\varepsilon(y + y_\varepsilon^k))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \omega^k(x) dx dy \\ &+ \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(v_\varepsilon(x + y_\varepsilon^k) - v_\varepsilon(y + y_\varepsilon^k))(\omega^k(x) - \omega^k(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \psi_\varepsilon(y + y_\varepsilon^k) dx dy \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon^k) \psi_\varepsilon(x + y_\varepsilon^k) v_\varepsilon(x + y_\varepsilon^k) \omega^k(x) dx \\ &=: (I) + (II) + (III). \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's inequality and the boundedness of $v_\varepsilon(\cdot + y_\varepsilon^k)$ we can argue as in the proof of (4.13) to see that $(I) \rightarrow 0$.

Concerning (II) we can observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(v_\varepsilon(x + y_\varepsilon^k) - v_\varepsilon(y + y_\varepsilon^k))(\omega^k(x) - \omega^k(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \psi_\varepsilon(y + y_\varepsilon^k) dx dy \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{[(v_\varepsilon(x + y_\varepsilon^k) - v_\varepsilon(y + y_\varepsilon^k))(\omega^k(x) - \omega^k(y))]}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ &+ \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(\psi_\varepsilon(y + y_\varepsilon^k) - 1)(v_\varepsilon(x + y_\varepsilon^k) - v_\varepsilon(y + y_\varepsilon^k))(\omega^k(x) - \omega^k(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ &=: (II)_1 + (II)_2. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the fact that $v_\varepsilon(\cdot + y_\varepsilon^k) \rightharpoonup \omega^k$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we obtain that $(II)_1 \rightarrow [\omega^k]^2$. On the other hand, using Hölder's inequality and the fact that $v_\varepsilon(\cdot + y_\varepsilon^k)$ is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have

$$|(II)_2| \leq C \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|(\psi_\varepsilon(x + y_\varepsilon^k) - 1)(\omega^k(x) - \omega^k(y))|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0$$

in view of the dominated convergence theorem. Since it is clear that $(III) \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x^k)(\omega^k)^2 dx$, we deduce that (4.33) holds. In a similar fashion, we can obtain

$$\langle \psi_\varepsilon \omega^k(\cdot - y_\varepsilon^k), \psi_\varepsilon \omega^{k'}(\cdot - y_\varepsilon^{k'}) \rangle_{H_\varepsilon^s} \rightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq k' \\ \left| \omega^k \right|_{x^k}^2 & \text{if } k = k'. \end{cases} \quad (4.34)$$

Putting together (4.32), (4.33) and (4.34), we can infer that (4.31) holds. Now, (4.31) yields that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \|\omega^k\|_{x^k}^2 \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2,$$

and using Lemma 3.2-(ii) and (4.4) we get

$$\delta_1^2 n \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 \leq m^2.$$

Therefore, the procedure to find $(y_\varepsilon^k), x^k, \omega^k$ can not be iterated infinitely many times. Hence there exist $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $(y_\varepsilon^k), x^k, \omega^k$ such that (4.5)-(4.8) hold. Clearly, (4.9) follows in a standard way by (4.5)-(4.8). \square

In the next lemma we investigate the behavior of c_ε as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $(c_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1]}$ be the mountain pass value of J_ε defined in (2.18)-(2.19). Then*

$$c_\varepsilon \rightarrow m(0) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} m(x) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.1 we can find a path $\gamma \in C([0, 1], H^s(\mathbb{R}^N))$ such that $\gamma(0) = 0$, $\Phi_0(\gamma(1)) < 0$, $\Phi_0(\gamma(t)) \leq m(0)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, and

$$\max_{t \in [0, 1]} \Phi_0(\gamma(t)) = m(0).$$

Take $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\varphi(0) = 1$ and $\varphi \geq 0$, and we set

$$\gamma_R(t)(x) = \varphi\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) \gamma(t)(x).$$

Thus, it is easy to check that $\gamma_R(t) \in C([0, 1], H_\varepsilon^s(\mathbb{R}^N))$, $\gamma_R(0) = 0$ and $\Phi_0(\gamma_R(1)) < 0$ for any $R > 1$ sufficiently large. Therefore $\gamma_R(t) \in \Gamma_\varepsilon$. Now, fixed $R > 0$, we can see that $\max_{t \in [0, 1]} |J_\varepsilon(\gamma_R(t)) - \Phi_0(\gamma_R(t))| \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Hence, for any $R > 1$ large enough, we get

$$c_\varepsilon \leq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} J_\varepsilon(\gamma_R(t)) \rightarrow \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \Phi_0(\gamma_R(t)) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand

$$\max_{t \in [0, 1]} \Phi_0(\gamma_R(t)) \rightarrow m(0) \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty,$$

so we deduce that $\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} c_\varepsilon \leq m(0)$.

In order to complete the proof, we prove that $\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} c_\varepsilon \geq m(0)$. Let $v_\varepsilon \in H_\varepsilon^s$ be a critical point of $J_\varepsilon(v)$ associated to c_ε . From Lemma 4.1, there exist $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0, l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $(y_{\varepsilon_j}^k) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $x^k \in \Omega$, $\omega^k \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ ($k = 1, \dots, l$) satisfying (4.5)-(4.9). If by contradiction $l = 0$, then (4.9) yields $c_{\varepsilon_j} = J_{\varepsilon_j}(v_{\varepsilon_j}) \rightarrow 0$ which contradicts Corollary 2.2. Consequently, $l \geq 1$ and using (4.9) and Lemma 3.3 we have

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} c_{\varepsilon_j} = \sum_{k=1}^l \Phi_{x^k}(\omega^k) \geq \sum_{k=1}^l m(x^k) \geq lm(0) \geq m(0).$$

\square

From Lemma 4.2 we deduce the following result.

Lemma 4.3. *For any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1]$, let us denote by v_ε a critical point of J_ε corresponding to c_ε . Then for any sequence $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$ we can find a subsequence, still denoted by ε_j , and $y_{\varepsilon_j}, x^1, \omega^1$ such that*

$$\varepsilon_j y_{\varepsilon_j} \rightarrow x^1, \quad (4.35)$$

$$x^1 \in \Lambda' : V(x^1) = \inf_{x \in \Lambda} V(x), \quad (4.36)$$

$$\omega^1(x) \text{ is a least energy solution of } \Phi'_{x^1}(v) = 0, \quad (4.37)$$

$$\|v_{\varepsilon_j} - \psi_{\varepsilon_j} \omega^1(\cdot - y_{\varepsilon_j})\|_{H^s_{\varepsilon_j}} \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.38)$$

$$J_{\varepsilon_j}(v_{\varepsilon_j}) \rightarrow m(x^1) = m(0). \quad (4.39)$$

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this last section we provide the proof of Theorem 1.1. From Corollary 2.3, we can see that there exists $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1]$, there exists a critical point $v_\varepsilon \in H^s_\varepsilon$ of J_ε satisfying $J_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon) = c_\varepsilon$. Then, by Lemma 4.3 we know that for any sequence $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$, there exists a subsequence ε_j and $(y_{\varepsilon_j}) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $x^1 \in \Lambda'$, $\omega^1 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying (4.35)-(4.39). Moreover, by the maximum principle [12] $v_{\varepsilon_j} > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N . In view of (2.16) and (4.38) we obtain

$$\|v_{\varepsilon_j} - \psi_{\varepsilon_j} \omega^1(\cdot - y_{\varepsilon_j})\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.1)$$

We also note that (4.31) and (5.1) yield

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|v_{\varepsilon_j}\|_{H^s_{\varepsilon_j}}^2 = \|\omega^1\|_{x^1}^2 \neq 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Let $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}(x) := v_{\varepsilon_j}(x + y_{\varepsilon_j})$. Arguing as in the proof of (4.13), and using $\psi(x^1) = 1$, (4.35) and the dominated convergence theorem, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} & [\psi_{\varepsilon_j}(\cdot + y_{\varepsilon_j})\omega^1 - \omega^1]^2 \\ & \leq 2 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\psi_{\varepsilon_j}(x + y_{\varepsilon_j}) - \psi_{\varepsilon_j}(y + y_{\varepsilon_j})|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} (\omega^1(x))^2 dx dy \\ & \quad + 2 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\psi_{\varepsilon_j}(y + y_{\varepsilon_j}) - 1|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} |\omega^1(x) - \omega^1(y)|^2 dx dy \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi_{\varepsilon_j}(x + y_{\varepsilon_j})\omega^1 - \omega^1|^2 dx \rightarrow 0.$$

These two facts, together with (5.1), imply that

$$\|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j} - \omega^1\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.3)$$

Now we prove the following lemma which will be fundamental to study the behavior of the maximum points of solutions of (1.1).

Lemma 5.1. *There exists $K > 0$ such that*

$$\|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq K \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Let $\beta \geq 1$ and $T > 0$, and we introduce the following function

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi_{T,\beta}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \leq 0 \\ t^\beta & \text{if } 0 < t < T \\ \beta T^{\beta-1}(t - T) + T^\beta & \text{if } t \geq T. \end{cases}$$

Since φ is convex and Lipschitz, we can see that for any $u \in \mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(u) &\in \mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \\ (-\Delta)^s \varphi(u) &\leq \varphi'(u)(-\Delta)^s u.\end{aligned}$$

Now, using Theorem 2.1, an integration by parts, (V1), $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j} \geq 0$, and the growth assumptions on g , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\varphi(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j})\|_{L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 &\leq S_*^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \varphi(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j})|^2 dx \\ &= S_*^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j})(-\Delta)^s \varphi(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}) dx \\ &\leq S_*^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}) \varphi'(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j})(-\Delta)^s \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j} dx \\ &\leq C S_*^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}) \varphi'(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j})(1 + \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2_s^*-1}) dx \\ &= C S_*^{-1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}) \varphi'(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}) \varphi'(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}) \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2_s^*-1} dx \right),\end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant independent of β and j .

In view of $\varphi(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}) \varphi'(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}) \leq \beta \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2\beta-1}$ and $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j} \varphi'(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}) \leq \beta \varphi(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j})$, we get

$$\|\varphi(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j})\|_{L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \leq C\beta \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2\beta-1} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\varphi(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}))^2 \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2_s^*-2} dx \right), \quad (5.4)$$

where C is a constant independent of β and j . We also point out that the last integral in (5.4) is well defined for every $T > 0$ in the definition of φ . Now we take β in (5.4) such that $2\beta - 1 = 2_s^*$, and we denote it by

$$\beta_1 = \frac{2_s^* + 1}{2}. \quad (5.5)$$

Let $R > 0$ to be fixed later. Applying the Hölder inequality in the last integral in (5.4), we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\varphi(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}))^2 \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2_s^*-2} dx \\ &= \int_{\{\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j} \leq R\}} (\varphi(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}))^2 \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2_s^*-2} dx + \int_{\{\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j} > R\}} (\varphi(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}))^2 \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2_s^*-2} dx \\ &\leq \int_{\{\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j} \leq R\}} \frac{(\varphi(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}))^2}{\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}} R^{2_s^*-1} dx \\ &\quad + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\varphi(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}))^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} \left(\int_{\{\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j} > R\}} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2_s^*-2}{2_s^*}}.\end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Since $(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j})$ is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we can take R sufficiently large such that

$$\left(\int_{\{\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j} > R\}} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2_s^*-2}{2_s^*}} \leq \frac{1}{2C\beta_1}.$$

This together with (5.4), (5.5) and (5.6), yields

$$\|\varphi(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j})\|_{L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \leq 2C\beta_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2_s^*} dx + R^{2_s^*-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\varphi(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j})^2}{\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}} dx \right). \quad (5.7)$$

From $\varphi(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}) \leq \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{\beta_1}$ and (5.5), and taking the limit as $T \rightarrow \infty$ in (5.7), we have

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2_s^*\beta_1} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} \leq 2C\beta_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2_s^*} dx + R^{2_s^*-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2_s^*} dx \right) < \infty,$$

which gives

$$\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j} \in L^{2_s^*\beta_1}(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (5.8)$$

Now we assume that $\beta > \beta_1$. Thus, using $\varphi(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}) \leq \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^\beta$ on the right hand side of (5.4) and letting $T \rightarrow \infty$ we deduce that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2_s^*\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} \leq C\beta \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2\beta-1} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2\beta+2_s^*-2} dx \right). \quad (5.9)$$

Set

$$a := \frac{2_s^*(2_s^*-1)}{2(\beta-1)} \text{ and } b := 2\beta-1-a.$$

Applying Young's inequality with exponents $r = \frac{2_s^*}{a}$ and $r' = \frac{2_s^*}{2_s^*-a}$, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2\beta-1} dx &\leq \frac{a}{2_s^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2_s^*} dx + \frac{2_s^*-a}{2_s^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{\frac{2_s^*b}{2_s^*-a}} dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2_s^*} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2\beta+2_s^*-2} dx \\ &\leq C \left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2\beta+2_s^*-2} dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Putting together (5.9) and (5.10), we obtain

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2_s^*\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} \leq C\beta \left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2\beta+2_s^*-2} dx \right). \quad (5.11)$$

Consequently,

$$\left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2_s^*\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2_s^*(\beta-1)}} \leq (C\beta)^{\frac{1}{2(\beta-1)}} \left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2\beta+2_s^*-2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2(\beta-1)}}. \quad (5.12)$$

For $m \geq 1$ we define β_{k+1} inductively so that $2\beta_{k+1} + 2_s^* - 2 = 2_s^*\beta_k$, that is

$$\beta_{k+1} = \left(\frac{2_s^*}{2} \right)^k (\beta_1 - 1) + 1.$$

Hence, from (5.12), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2_s^*\beta_{k+1}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2_s^*(\beta_{k+1}-1)}} \\ &\leq (C\beta_{k+1})^{\frac{1}{2(\beta_{k+1}-1)}} \left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2_s^*\beta_k} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2_s^*(\beta_k-1)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

Let us define

$$A_k := \left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}^{2_s^* \beta_k} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2_s^* (\beta_k - 1)}}$$

and

$$C_{k+1} := C \beta_{k+1}.$$

Then we can find a constant $c_0 > 0$ independent of k such that

$$A_{k+1} \leq \prod_{m=2}^{k+1} C_k^{\frac{1}{2(\beta_m - 1)}} A_1 \leq c_0 A_1.$$

Hence, we can deduce that

$$\|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq c_0 A_1 < \infty,$$

uniformly in $j \in \mathbb{N}$, thanks to (5.8) and $\|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}\|_{L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C$. This ends the proof of Lemma 5.1. \square

Using Lemma 5.1 and the interpolation in L^q spaces, we can see that

$$\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j} \rightarrow \omega^1 \text{ in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N), \text{ for any } q \in (2, \infty), \quad (5.14)$$

$$h_j(x) = g(\varepsilon_j x + \varepsilon_j y_{\varepsilon_j}, \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}) \rightarrow f(\omega^1) \text{ in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N), \text{ for any } q \in (2, \infty). \quad (5.15)$$

Now we note that $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}$ satisfies

$$(-\Delta)^s \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j} + \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j} = \alpha_j \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $\alpha_j(x) = \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}(x) + h_j(x) - V(\varepsilon_j x + \varepsilon_j y_{\varepsilon_j}) \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}(x)$.

In view of (4.35) and (5.14), we can deduce that

$$\alpha_j \rightarrow \omega^1 + f(\omega^1) - V(x^1) \omega^1 \text{ in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

for any $q \in [2, \infty)$, and we can find a constant $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$\|\alpha_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \kappa \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Taking into account some results obtained in [24], we know that

$$\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}(x) = (\mathcal{K} * \alpha_j)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{K}(x - y) \alpha_j(y) dy,$$

where \mathcal{K} is the Bessel kernel. Then we can argue as in the proof of Lemma 2.6 in [2] to infer that

$$\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty \quad (5.16)$$

uniformly in $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Now we prove that $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}$ is a solution to (1.1) for small $\varepsilon_j > 0$.

Using the fact that $\varepsilon_j y_{\varepsilon_j} \rightarrow x^1 \in \Lambda'$, there exists $r > 0$ such that for some subsequence, still denoted by itself, we have

$$B_r(\varepsilon_j y_{\varepsilon_j}) \subset \Lambda' \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By setting $\Lambda'_\varepsilon = \frac{\Lambda'}{\varepsilon}$, we can see that

$$B_{\frac{r}{\varepsilon_j}}(y_{\varepsilon_j}) \subset \Lambda'_{\varepsilon_j} \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}$$

which yields

$$\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda'_{\varepsilon_j} \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{\frac{r}{\varepsilon_j}}(y_{\varepsilon_j}) \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From (5.16), there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}(x) < r_\nu \text{ for all } |x| \geq R, j \in \mathbb{N}$$

so that

$$v_{\varepsilon_j}(x) = \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}(x - y_{\varepsilon_j}) < r_\nu \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(y_{\varepsilon_j}), j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

On the other hand, there exists $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda'_{\varepsilon_j} \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{\frac{r}{\varepsilon_j}}(y_{\varepsilon_j}) \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(y_{\varepsilon_j}) \text{ for all } j \geq j_0.$$

Hence

$$v_{\varepsilon_j}(x) < r_\nu \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda'_{\varepsilon_j}, j \geq j_0. \quad (5.17)$$

Now, up to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$\|v_{\varepsilon_j}\|_{L^\infty(B_R(y_{\varepsilon_j}))} \geq r_\nu \text{ for all } j \geq j_0. \quad (5.18)$$

Otherwise, if this is not the case, we have $\|v_{\varepsilon_j}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} < r_\nu$, and taking into account the definition of g and our choice of r_ν , we get

$$g(\varepsilon_j x, v_{\varepsilon_j})v_{\varepsilon_j} = f(v_{\varepsilon_j})v_{\varepsilon_j} \leq \nu v_{\varepsilon_j}^2 < \frac{V_0}{2} v_{\varepsilon_j}^2.$$

Then, by $\langle J'_{\varepsilon_j}(v_{\varepsilon_j}), v_{\varepsilon_j} \rangle = 0$ we can deduce that

$$\|v_{\varepsilon_j}\|_{H_{\varepsilon_j}^s}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(v_{\varepsilon_j})v_{\varepsilon_j} dx \leq \frac{V_0}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_{\varepsilon_j}^2 dx$$

which implies that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|v_{\varepsilon_j}\|_{H_{\varepsilon_j}^s}^2 = 0$, which is a contradiction in view of (5.2). Therefore, putting together (5.17) and (5.18), we deduce that the maximum points $z_{\varepsilon_j} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ of v_{ε_j} belong to $B_R(y_{\varepsilon_j})$. Hence $z_{\varepsilon_j} = y_{\varepsilon_j} + \tilde{z}_{\varepsilon_j}$, for some $\tilde{z}_{\varepsilon_j} \in B_R$. Recalling that the associated solution of our problem (1.1) is of the form $u_{\varepsilon_j}(x) = v_{\varepsilon_j}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_j})$, we can conclude that the maximum point x_{ε_j} of u_{ε_j} is $x_{\varepsilon_j} := \varepsilon_j y_{\varepsilon_j} + \varepsilon_j \tilde{z}_{\varepsilon_j}$. Since $(\tilde{z}_{\varepsilon_j}) \subset B_R$ is bounded and $\varepsilon_j y_{\varepsilon_j} \rightarrow x^1 \in \Lambda'$ we obtain

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} V(x_{\varepsilon_j}) = V(x^1) = \inf_{x \in \Lambda} V(x).$$

Therefore, we have proved that there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, (1.1) admits a positive solution $u_\varepsilon(x) = v_\varepsilon(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$ satisfying (1) of Theorem 1.1. Finally, we prove that (2) holds. Using Lemma 4.3 in [24] we know that there exists a function w such that

$$0 < w(x) \leq \frac{C}{1 + |x|^{N+2s}}, \quad (5.19)$$

and

$$(-\Delta)^s w + \frac{V_0}{2} w \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{R_1}, \quad (5.20)$$

for some suitable $R_1 > 0$. In view of (5.16), we know that $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in j . This, (f2) and the definition of g , implies that for some $R_2 > 0$ sufficiently large, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^s \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j} + \frac{V_0}{2} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j} &= g(\varepsilon_j x + \varepsilon_j y_{\varepsilon_j}, \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}) - \left(V - \frac{V_0}{2}\right) \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j} \\ &\leq g(\varepsilon_j x + \varepsilon_j y_{\varepsilon_j}, \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}) - \frac{V_0}{2} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j} \leq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{R_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

Choose $R_3 = \max\{R_1, R_2\}$, and we set

$$a = \inf_{B_{R_3}} w > 0 \text{ and } \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_j} = (b+1)w - a\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}, \quad (5.22)$$

where $b = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} < \infty$. Now we prove that

$$\tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_j} \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (5.23)$$

We first note that (5.20), (5.21) and (5.22) yield

$$\tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_j} \geq ba + w - ba > 0 \text{ in } B_{R_3}, \quad (5.24)$$

$$(-\Delta)^s \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_j} + \frac{V_0}{2} \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_j} \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{R_3}. \quad (5.25)$$

We argue by contradiction, and we assume that there exists a sequence $(\bar{x}_{j,n}) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_j}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_j}(\bar{x}_{j,n}) < 0. \quad (5.26)$$

Using (5.16), (5.19) and the definition of $\tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_j}$, it is clear that $|\tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_j}(x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus we can deduce that $(\bar{x}_{j,n})$ is bounded, and, up to subsequence, we may assume that there exists $\bar{x}_j \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\bar{x}_{j,n} \rightarrow \bar{x}_j$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus from (5.26), we get

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_j}(x) = \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_j}(\bar{x}_j) < 0. \quad (5.27)$$

From the minimality of \bar{x}_j and the representation formula for the fractional Laplacian [19], we can see that

$$(-\Delta)^s \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_j}(\bar{x}_j) = \frac{C(N, s)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{2\tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_j}(\bar{x}_j) - \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_j}(\bar{x}_j + \xi) - \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_j}(\bar{x}_j - \xi)}{|\xi|^{N+2s}} d\xi \leq 0. \quad (5.28)$$

Taking into account (5.24) and (5.26), we can infer that $\bar{x}_j \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{R_3}$. This, together with (5.27) and (5.28), yields

$$(-\Delta)^s \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_j}(\bar{x}_j) + \frac{V_0}{2} \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_j}(\bar{x}_j) < 0,$$

which contradicts (5.25). Thus (5.23) holds, and using (5.19) we get

$$\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}(x) \leq \frac{\tilde{C}}{1 + |x|^{N+2s}} \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (5.29)$$

for some $\tilde{C} > 0$. Since $u_{\varepsilon_j}(x) = v_{\varepsilon_j}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_j}) = \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_j} - y_{\varepsilon_j})$ and $x_{\varepsilon_j} = \varepsilon_j y_{\varepsilon_j} + \varepsilon_j \bar{z}_{\varepsilon_j}$, from (5.29) we obtain for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\varepsilon_j}(x) &= v_{\varepsilon_j}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_j}\right) = \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon_j}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_j} - y_{\varepsilon_j}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\tilde{C}}{1 + \left|\frac{x}{\varepsilon_j} - y_{\varepsilon_j}\right|^{N+2s}} \\ &= \frac{\tilde{C}\varepsilon_j^{N+2s}}{\varepsilon_j^{N+2s} + |x - \varepsilon_j y_{\varepsilon_j}|^{N+2s}} \\ &\leq \frac{\tilde{C}\varepsilon_j^{N+2s}}{\varepsilon_j^{N+2s} + |x - x_{\varepsilon_j}|^{N+2s}}. \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements. The author warmly thanks the anonymous referee for her/his useful and nice comments on the paper. The manuscript has been carried out under the auspices of the INDAM - Gnampa Project 2017 titled: *Teoria e modelli per problemi non locali*.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. O. Alves and G. M. Figueiredo, *Multiplicity of positive solutions for a quasilinear problem in \mathbb{R}^N via penalization method*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **5** (2005), no. 4, 551–572. [2](#)
- [2] C. O. Alves and O. H. Miyagaki, *Existence and concentration of solution for a class of fractional elliptic equation in \mathbb{R}^N via penalization method*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **55** (2016), no. 3, Art. no. 47, 19 pp. [2](#), [3](#), [38](#)
- [3] A. Ambrosetti, A. Malchiodi, and S. Secchi, *Multiplicity results for some nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **159** (2001), no. 3, 253–271. [2](#)
- [4] Ambrosetti A. and Rabinowitz P.H. , *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Functional Analysis **14** (1973), 349–381. [2](#), [3](#)
- [5] V. Ambrosio, *Periodic solutions for a pseudo-relativistic Schrödinger equation*, Nonlinear Anal. **120** (2015), 262–284. [2](#), [3](#)
- [6] V. Ambrosio, *Ground states for a fractional scalar field problem with critical growth*, Differential Integral Equations **30** (2017), no. 1-2, 115–132. [2](#), [16](#), [25](#)
- [7] V. Ambrosio, *Multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of fractional Schrödinger equations via penalization method*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **196** (2017), no. 6, 2043–2062. [2](#)
- [8] V. Ambrosio, *Mountain pass solutions for the fractional Berestycki-Lions problem*, Adv. Differential Equations **23** (2018), no. 5-6, 455–488. [2](#), [11](#), [22](#), [23](#)
- [9] V. Ambrosio and G. M. Figueiredo, *Ground state solutions for a fractional Schrödinger equation with critical growth*, Asymptot. Anal. **105** (2017), no. 3-4, 159–191. [2](#)
- [10] T. Bartsch, Z. Q. Wang, *Existence and multiplicity results for some superlinear elliptic problems on \mathbb{R}^N* , Comm. Partial Differential Equations **20** (1995), no. 9-10, 1725–1741. [2](#)
- [11] H. Berestycki and P.L. Lions, *Nonlinear scalar field equations. I. Existence of a ground state*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **82** (1983), no. 4, 313–345. [2](#), [22](#)
- [12] X. Cabré and Y. Sire, *Nonlinear equations for fractional Laplacians, I: Regularity, maximum principles, and Hamiltonian estimates*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **31** (2014), no. 1, 23–53. [18](#), [19](#), [35](#)
- [13] L.A. Caffarelli and L.Silvestre, *An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **32** (2007), no. 7-9, 1245–1260. [3](#), [18](#)
- [14] X. J. Chang and Z.Q. Wang, *Ground state of scalar field equations involving fractional Laplacian with general nonlinearity*, Nonlinearity **26** (2013), no. 2, 479–494. [2](#), [7](#), [16](#), [22](#), [23](#), [25](#)
- [15] G. Chen and Y. Zheng, *Concentration phenomenon for fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **13** (2014), no. 6, 2359–2376. [2](#)
- [16] V. Coti Zelati and M. Nolasco, *Existence of ground states for nonlinear, pseudo-relativistic Schrödinger equations*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. **22** (2011), no. 1, 51–72. [2](#)
- [17] J. Dávila, M. del Pino, and J. Wei, *Concentrating standing waves for the fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, J. Differential Equations **256** (2014), no. 2, 858–892. [2](#)
- [18] M. Del Pino and P. L. Felmer, *Local Mountain Passes for semilinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **4** (1996), no.2, 121–137. [2](#)
- [19] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci and E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. math. **136** (2012), no. 5, 521–573. [1](#), [4](#), [40](#)
- [20] S. Dipierro, M. Medina, and E. Valdinoci, *Fractional elliptic problems with critical growth in the whole of \mathbb{R}^N* , Appunti. Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa (Nuova Serie) 15, Edizioni della Normale, Pisa, 2017. [2](#)
- [21] S. Dipierro, G. Palatucci, and E. Valdinoci, *Existence and symmetry results for a Schrödinger type problem involving the fractional Laplacian*, Matematiche (Catania) **68**, (2013), no. 1, 201–216. [2](#)
- [22] Ekeland I., *Convexity methods in Hamiltonian Mechanics*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) 19, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990. [11](#)
- [23] M. M. Fall, F. Mahmoudi and E. Valdinoci, *Ground states and concentration phenomena for the fractional Schrödinger equation*, Nonlinearity **28** (2015), no. 6, 1937–1961. [2](#)
- [24] P. Felmer, A. Quaas and J. Tan, *Positive solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the fractional Laplacian*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **142** (2012), no. 6, 1237–1262. [2](#), [3](#), [38](#), [39](#)
- [25] A. Floer and A. Weinstein, *Nonspreading wave packets for the cubic Schrödinger equation with a bounded potential*, J. Funct. Anal. **69** (1986), no. 3, 397–408. [2](#)
- [26] R. Frank, E. Lenzmann, L. Silvestre, *Uniqueness of radial solutions for the fractional Laplacian*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **69** (2016), no. 9, 1671–1726. [2](#)
- [27] X. He and W. Zou, *Existence and concentration result for the fractional Schrödinger equations with critical nonlinearities*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **55** (2016), no. 4, Art. no. 91, 39 pp. [2](#), [3](#)

- [28] L. Jeanjean and K. Tanaka, *A remark on least energy solutions in \mathbb{R}^N* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), no. 8, 2399–2408. [22](#)
- [29] L. Jeanjean and K. Tanaka, *Singularly perturbed elliptic problems with superlinear or asymptotically linear nonlinearities*, Calc. Var. **21** (2004), no. 3, 287–318. [2](#), [3](#), [8](#), [9](#)
- [30] N. Laskin, *Fractional quantum mechanics and Lèvy path integrals*, Phys. Lett. A **268** (2000), no. 4-6, 298–305. [2](#)
- [31] N. Laskin, *Fractional Schrödinger equation*, Phys. Rev. E **66** (2002), no. 5, 056108. [2](#)
- [32] P. L. Lions, *Symétrie et compacité dans les espaces de Sobolev*, J. Funct. Anal. **49** (1982), no. 3, 315–334. [7](#)
- [33] G. Molica Bisci, V. Rădulescu and R. Servadei, *Variational methods for nonlocal fractional problems*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 162. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016. [1](#)
- [34] Y. G. Oh, *Existence of semiclassical bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials of the class $(V)_a$* , Comm. Partial Differential Equations **13** (1988), no. 12, 1499–1519. [2](#)
- [35] P. Rabinowitz, *On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations* Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **43** (1992), no. 2, 270–291. [2](#)
- [36] S. Secchi, *Ground state solutions for nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations in \mathbb{R}^N* , J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013), 031501. [2](#), [7](#)
- [37] S. Secchi, *On fractional Schrödinger equations in \mathbb{R}^N without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **47** (2016), no. 1, 19–41. [2](#), [16](#), [25](#)
- [38] X. Shang and J. Zhang, *Ground states for fractional Schrödinger equations with critical growth*, Nonlinearity **27** (2014), no. 2, 187–207. [2](#)
- [39] X. Wang, *On concentration of positive bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **53** (1993), no. 2, 229–244. [2](#)

DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA INDUSTRIALE E SCIENZE MATEMATICHE, UNIVERSITÀ POLITECNICA DELLE MARCHE,
VIA BRECCIE BIANCHE N.12, 60131 ANCONA (ITALY)

E-mail address: v.ambrosio@univpm.it