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#### Abstract

In this note, we prove that the kernel of the linearized equation around a positive energy solution in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 3$, to $-\Delta W-\gamma|x|^{-2} V=$ $|x|^{-s} W^{2^{\star}(s)-1}$ is one-dimensional when $s+\gamma>0$. Here, $s \in[0,2), 0 \leq$ $\gamma<(n-2)^{2} / 4$ and $2^{\star}(s)=2(n-s) /(n-2)$.


We fix $n \geq 3, s \in[0,2)$ and $\gamma<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}$. We define $2^{\star}(s)=2(n-s) /(n-2)$. We consider a nonnegative solution $W \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta W-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} W=\frac{W^{2^{\star}(s)-1}}{|x|^{s}} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the abundance of solutions to (11), we require in addition that $W$ is an energy solution, that is $W \in D_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, where $D_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is the completion of $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for the norm $u \mapsto\|\nabla u\|_{2}$. Linearizing (11) yields to consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
K:=\left\{\varphi \in D_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) /-\Delta \varphi-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} \varphi=\left(2^{\star}(s)-1\right) \frac{W^{2^{\star}(s)-2}}{|x|^{s}} \varphi \text { in } D_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (1) is conformally invariant in the following sense: for any $r>0$, define

$$
W_{r}(x):=r^{\frac{n-2}{2}} W(r x) \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}
$$

then, as one checks, $W_{r} \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ is also a solution to (11), and, differentiating with respect to $r$ at $r=1$, we get that

$$
-\Delta Z-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} Z=\left(2^{\star}(s)-1\right) \frac{W^{2^{\star}(s)-2}}{|x|^{s}} Z \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}
$$

where

$$
Z:=\frac{d}{d r} W_{r \mid r=1}=\sum_{i} x^{i} \partial_{i} W+\frac{n-2}{2} W \in D_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

Therefore, $Z \in K$. We prove that this is essentially the only element:
Theorem 0.1. We assume that $\gamma \geq 0$ and that $\gamma+s>0$. Then $K=\mathbb{R} Z$. In other words, $K$ is one-dimensional.

Such a result is useful when performing Liapunov-Schmidt's finite dimensional reduction. When $\gamma=s=0$, the equation (11) is also invariant under the translations $x \mapsto W\left(x-x_{0}\right)$ for any $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and the kernel $K$ is of dimension $n+1$ (see Rey [6] and also Bianchi-Egnell [1]). After this note was completed, we learnt that Dancer-Gladiali-Grossi 4 proved Theorem 0.1 in the case $s=0$, and that their proof can be extended to our case, see also Gladiali-Grossi-Neves 5 .

[^0]This note is devoted to the proof of Theorem 0.1. Since $\gamma+s>0$, it follows from Chou-Chu [3], that there exists $r>0$ such that $W=\lambda^{\frac{1}{2^{\star(s)-2}}} U_{r}$, where

$$
U(x):=\left(|x|^{\frac{2-s}{n-2} \alpha_{-}(\gamma)}+|x|^{\frac{2-s}{n-2} \alpha_{+}(\gamma)}\right)^{-\frac{n-2}{2-s}}
$$

with

$$
\epsilon:=\sqrt{\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}-\gamma} \text { and } \alpha_{ \pm}(\gamma):=\frac{n-2}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}-\gamma}
$$

As one checks, $U \in D_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta U-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} U=\lambda \frac{U^{2^{\star}(s)-1}}{|x|^{s}} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \text { with } \lambda:=4 \frac{n-s}{n-2} \epsilon^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, proving Theorem 0.1 reduces to prove that $\tilde{K}$ is one-dimensional, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{K}:=\left\{\varphi \in D_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) /-\Delta \varphi-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} \varphi=\left(2^{\star}(s)-1\right) \lambda \frac{U^{2^{\star}(s)-2}}{|x|^{s}} \varphi \text { in } D_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## I. Conformal transformation.

We let $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} / \sum x_{i}^{2}=1\right\}$ be the standard $(n-1)$-dimensional sphere of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We endow it with its canonical metric can. We define

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\Phi: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} & \mapsto & \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} \\
& (t, \sigma) & \mapsto
\end{array} e^{-t} \sigma=\{\right.
$$

The map $\Phi$ is a smooth conformal diffeomorphism and $\Phi^{\star}$ Eucl $=e^{-2 t}\left(d t^{2}+\right.$ can $)$. On any Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$, we define the conformal Laplacian as $L_{g}:=$ $-\Delta_{g}+\frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_{g}$ where $\Delta_{g}:=\operatorname{div}_{g}(\nabla)$ and $R_{g}$ is the scalar curvature. The conformal invariance of the Laplacian reads as follows: for a metric $g^{\prime}=e^{2 \omega} g$ conformal to $g\left(\omega \in C^{\infty}(M)\right)$, we have that $L_{g^{\prime}} u=e^{-\frac{n+2}{2} \omega} L_{g}\left(e^{\frac{n-2}{2} \omega} u\right)$ for all $u \in C^{\infty}(M)$. It follows from this invariance that for any $u \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-\Delta u) \circ \Phi(t, \sigma)=e^{\frac{n+2}{2} t}\left(-\partial_{t t} \hat{u}-\Delta_{\operatorname{Can}} \hat{u}+\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4} \hat{u}\right)(t, \sigma) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, where $\hat{u}(t, \sigma):=e^{-\frac{n-2}{2} t} u\left(e^{-t} \sigma\right)$ for all $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. In addition, as one checks, for any $u, v \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(\nabla u, \nabla v) d x & =\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\left(\partial_{t} \hat{u} \partial_{t} \hat{v}+\left(\nabla^{\prime} \hat{u}, \nabla^{\prime} \hat{v}\right)_{\operatorname{can}}+\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4} \hat{u} \hat{v}\right) d t d \sigma \\
& :=B(\hat{u}, \hat{v}) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have denoted $\nabla^{\prime} \hat{u}$ as the gradient on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ with respect to the $\sigma$ coordinate. We define the space $H$ as the completion of $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ for the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H}:=$ $\sqrt{B(\cdot, \cdot)}$. As one checks, $u \mapsto \hat{u}$ extends to a bijective isometry $D_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow H$.
The Hardy-Sobolev inequality asserts the existence of $K(n, s, \gamma)>0$ such that $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|u|^{2^{\star}(s)}}{|x|^{s}} d x\right)^{\frac{2}{2 \star(s)}} \leq K(n, s, \gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} u^{2}\right) d x$ for all $u \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$.
Via the isometry $D_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \simeq H$, this inequality rewrites

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}}|v|^{2^{\star}(s)} d t d \sigma\right)^{\frac{2}{2 \star(s)}} \leq K(n, s, \gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\left(\left(\partial_{t} v\right)^{2}+\left|\nabla^{\prime} v\right|_{\text {can }}^{2}+\epsilon^{2} v^{2}\right) d t d \sigma
$$

for all $v \in H$. In particular, $v \in L^{2^{\star}(s)}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ for all $v \in H$.

We define $H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R})\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.H_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)\right)$ as the completion of $C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)\right)$ for the norm

$$
u \mapsto \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\dot{u}^{2}+u^{2}\right) d x}\left(\text { resp. } u \mapsto \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\left(\left|\nabla^{\prime} u\right|_{\operatorname{can}}^{2}+u^{2}\right) d \sigma}\right)
$$

Each norm arises from a Hilbert inner product. For any $(\varphi, Y) \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \times$ $C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$, define $\varphi \star Y \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ by $(\varphi \star Y)(t, \sigma):=\varphi(t) Y(\sigma)$ for all $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. As one checks, there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\varphi \star Y\|_{H} \leq C\|\varphi\|_{H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R})}\|Y\|_{H_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(\varphi, Y) \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \times C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$. Therefore, the operator extends continuously from $H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \times H_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ to $H$, such that (7) holds for all $(\varphi, Y) \in H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \times H_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$.
Lemma 1. We fix $u \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ and $Y \in H_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$. We define

$$
u_{Y}(t):=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} u(t, \sigma) Y(\sigma) d \sigma=\langle u(t, \cdot), Y\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)} \text { for all } t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Then $u_{Y} \in H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, this definition extends continuously to $u \in H$ and there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\left\|u_{Y}\right\|_{H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\|u\|_{H}\|Y\|_{H_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)} \text { for all }(u, Y) \in H \times H_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)
$$

Proof of Lemma [1: We let $u \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right), Y \in H_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ and $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Fubini's theorem yields:

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\partial_{t} u_{Y} \partial_{t} \varphi+u_{Y} \varphi\right) d t=\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\left(\partial_{t} u \partial_{t}(\varphi \star Y)+u \cdot(\varphi \star Y)\right) d t d \sigma
$$

Taking $\varphi:=u_{Y}$, the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u_{Y}\right\|_{H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \\
& \leq \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\left(\left(\partial_{t} u\right)^{2}+u^{2}\right) d t d \sigma} \times \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\left(\left(\partial_{t}\left(u_{Y} \star Y\right)\right)^{2}+\left(u_{Y} \star Y\right)^{2}\right) d t d \sigma} \\
& \leq C\|u\|_{H}\left\|u_{Y} \star Y\right\|_{H} \leq C\|u\|_{H}\left\|u_{Y}\right\|_{H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R})}\|Y\|_{H_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

and then $\left\|u_{Y}\right\|_{H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\|u\|_{H}\|Y\|_{H_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)}$. The extension follows from density.
II. Transformation of the problem. We let $\varphi \in \tilde{K}$, that is

$$
-\Delta \varphi-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} \varphi=\left(2^{\star}(s)-1\right) \lambda \frac{U^{2^{\star}(s)-2}}{|x|^{s}} \varphi \text { weakly in } D_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

Since $U \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$, elliptic regularity yields $\varphi \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$. Moreover, the correspondance (6) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\partial_{t t} \hat{\varphi}-\Delta_{\operatorname{can}} \hat{\varphi}+\epsilon^{2} \hat{\varphi}=\left(2^{\star}(s)-1\right) \lambda \hat{U}^{2^{\star}(s)-2} \hat{\varphi} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

weakly in $H$. Note that since $\hat{\varphi}, \hat{U} \in H$ and $H$ is continuously embedded in $L^{2^{\star}(s)}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$, this formulation makes sense. Since $\varphi \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$, we get that $\hat{\varphi} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right) \cap H$ and equation (8) makes sense strongly in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. As one checks, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{U}(t, \sigma)=\left(e^{\frac{2-s}{n-2} \epsilon t}+e^{-\frac{2-s}{n-2} \epsilon t}\right)^{-\frac{n-2}{2-s}} \text { for all }(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sequel, we will write $\hat{U}(t)$ for $\hat{U}(t, \sigma)$ for $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

The eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{\text {can }}$ on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ are

$$
0=\mu_{0}<n-1=\mu_{1}<\mu_{2}<\ldots
$$

We let $\mu \geq 0$ be an eigenvalue for $-\Delta_{\text {can }}$ and we let $Y=Y_{\mu} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ be a corresponding eigenfunction, that is

$$
-\Delta_{\operatorname{can}} Y=\mu Y \text { in } \mathbb{S}^{n-1}
$$

We fix $\psi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ so that $\psi \star Y \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$. Multiplying (8) by $\psi \star Y$, integrating by parts and using Fubini's theorem yields

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\partial_{t} \hat{\varphi}_{Y} \partial_{t} \psi+\left(\mu+\epsilon^{2}\right) \hat{\varphi}_{Y} \psi\right) d t=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(2^{\star}(s)-1\right) \lambda \hat{U}^{2^{\star}(s)-2} \hat{\varphi}_{Y} \psi d t
$$

where $\hat{\varphi}_{Y} \in H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mu} \hat{\varphi}_{Y}=0 \text { with } A_{\mu}:=-\partial_{t t}+\left(\mu+\epsilon^{2}-\left(2^{\star}(s)-1\right) \lambda \hat{U}^{2^{\star}(s)-2}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where this identity holds both in the classical sense and in the weak $H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ sense. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\varphi}_{Y} \equiv 0 \text { for all eigenfunction } Y \text { of } \mu \geq n-1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove the claim by taking inspiration from Chang-Gustafson-Nakanishi ( 2 , Lemma 2.1). Differentiating (3) with respect to $i=1, \ldots, n$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta \partial_{i} U-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} \partial_{i} U-\left(2^{\star}(s)-1\right) \lambda \frac{U^{2^{\star}(s)-2}}{|x|^{s}} \partial_{i} U=-\left(\frac{2 \gamma}{|x|^{4}} U+\frac{s \lambda}{|x|^{s+2}} U^{2^{\star}(s)-1}\right) x_{i} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, this equation reads
$-\partial_{t t} \partial_{i} U-\Delta_{\operatorname{can}} \hat{\partial_{i} U}+\left(\epsilon^{2}-\left(2^{\star}(s)-1\right) \lambda \hat{U}^{2^{\star}(s)-2}\right) \hat{\partial_{i} U}=-\sigma_{i} e^{t}\left(2 \gamma \hat{U}+s \lambda \hat{U}^{2^{\star}(s)-1}\right)$
Note that $\hat{\partial_{i} U}=-V \star \sigma_{i}$, where $\sigma_{i}: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the projection on the $x_{i}$ 's and
$V(t):=-e^{-\frac{n-2}{2} t} U^{\prime}\left(e^{-t}\right)=e^{(1+\epsilon) t}\left(\alpha_{+}(\gamma)+\alpha_{-}(\gamma) e^{2 \frac{2-s}{n-2} \epsilon t}\right)\left(1+e^{2 \frac{2-s}{n-2} \epsilon t}\right)^{-\frac{n-s}{2-s}}>0$
for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $-\Delta_{\operatorname{can}} \sigma_{i}=(n-1) \sigma_{i}$ (the $\sigma_{i}$ 's form a basis of the second eigenspace of $-\Delta_{\text {can }}$ ), we then get that

$$
A_{\mu} V \geq A_{n-1} V=e^{t}\left(2 \gamma \hat{U}+s \lambda \hat{U}^{2^{\star}(s)-1}\right)>0 \text { for all } \mu \geq n-1 \text { and } V>0
$$

Note that for $\gamma>0$, we have that $\alpha_{-}(\gamma)>0$, and that for $\gamma=0$, we have that $\alpha_{-}(\gamma)=0$. As one checks, we have that
(i) $\left\{(\gamma>0\right.$ and $\epsilon>1)$ or $\left(\gamma=0\right.$ and $\left.\left.s<\frac{n}{2}\right)\right\} \Rightarrow V \in H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$
(ii) $\left\{(\gamma>0\right.$ and $\epsilon \leq 1)$ or $\left(\gamma=0\right.$ and $\left.\left.s \geq \frac{n}{2}\right)\right\} \Rightarrow V \notin L^{2}((0,+\infty))$

Assume that case (i) holds: in this case, $V \in H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ is a distributional solution to $A_{\mu} V>0$ in $H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. We define $m:=\inf \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi A_{\mu} \varphi d t\right\}$, where the infimum is taken on $\varphi \in H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\|\varphi\|_{2}=1$. We claim that $m>0$. Otherwise, it follows from Lemma 3 below that the infimum is achieved, say by $\varphi_{0} \in H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \backslash\{0\}$ that is a weak solution to $A_{\mu} \varphi_{0}=m \varphi_{0}$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Since $\left|\varphi_{0}\right|$ is also a minimizer, and due to the comparison principle, we can assume that $\varphi_{0}>0$. Using the self-adjointness of $A_{\mu}$, we get that $0 \geq m \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{0} V d t=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(A_{\mu} \varphi_{0}\right) V d t=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(A_{\mu} V\right) \varphi_{0} d t>0$, which is a
contradiction. Then $m>0$. Since $A_{\mu} \varphi_{Y}=0$, we then get that $\varphi_{Y} \equiv 0$ as soon as $\mu \geq n-1$. This ends case (i).
Assume that case (ii) holds: we assume that $\varphi_{Y} \not \equiv 0$. It follows from Lemma 4 that $V(t)=o\left(e^{-\alpha|t|}\right)$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$ for all $0<\alpha<\sqrt{\epsilon^{2}+n-1}$. As one checks with the explicit expression of $V$, this is a contradiction when $\epsilon<\frac{n-2}{2}$, that is when $\gamma>0$. Then we have that $\gamma=0$ and $\epsilon=\frac{n-2}{2}$. Since $\frac{n}{2} \leq s<2$, we have that $n=3$. As one checks, $\left(\mu+\epsilon^{2}-\left(2^{\star}(s)-1\right) \lambda \hat{U}^{2^{\star}(s)-2}\right)>0$ for $\mu \geq n-1$ as soon as $n=3$ and $s \geq 3 / 2$. Lemma 4 yields $\varphi_{Y} \equiv 0$, a contradiction. So $\varphi_{Y} \equiv 0$, this ends case (ii).
These steps above prove (11). Then, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}, \hat{\varphi}(t, \cdot)$ is orthogonal to the eigenspaces of $\mu_{i}, i \geq 1$, so it is in the eigenspace of $\mu_{0}=0$ spanned by 1 , and therefore $\hat{\varphi}=\hat{\varphi}(t)$ is independent of $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Then

$$
-\hat{\varphi}^{\prime \prime}+\left(\epsilon^{2}-\left(2^{\star}(s)-1\right) \lambda \hat{U}^{2^{\star}(s)-2}\right) \hat{\varphi}=0 \text { in } \mathbb{R} \text { and } \hat{\varphi} \in H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R})
$$

It follows from Lemma 2 that the space of such functions is a most one-dimensional. Going back to $\varphi$, we get that $\tilde{K}$ is of dimension at most one, and then so is $K$. Since $Z \in K$, then $K$ is one dimensional and $K=\mathbb{R} Z$. This proves Theorem 0.1 .

## III. Auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 2. Let $q \in C^{0}(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{\varphi \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \text { such that }-\ddot{\varphi}+q \varphi=0\right\} \leq 1
$$

Proof of Lemma圆: Let $F$ be this space. Fix $\varphi, \psi \in F \backslash\{0\}$ : we prove that they are linearly dependent. Define the Wronskian $W:=\varphi \dot{\psi}-\dot{\varphi} \psi$. As one checks, $\dot{W}=0$, so $W$ is constant. Since $\varphi, \dot{\varphi}, \psi, \dot{\psi} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, then $W \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and then $W \equiv 0$. Therefore, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(\psi(0), \dot{\psi}(0))=\lambda(\varphi(0), \dot{\varphi}(0))$, and then, classical ODE theory yields $\psi=\lambda \varphi$. Then $F$ is of dimension at most one.
Lemma 3. Let $q \in C^{0}(\mathbb{R})$ be such that there exists $A>0$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} q(t)=$ $A$, and define

$$
m:=\inf _{\varphi \in H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\dot{\varphi}^{2}+q \varphi^{2}\right) d t}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi^{2} d t}
$$

Then either $m>0$, or the infimum is achieved.
Note that in the case $q(t) \equiv A, m=A$ and the infimum is not achieved.
Proof of Lemma 3: As one checks, $m \in \mathbb{R}$ is well-defined. We let $\left(\varphi_{i}\right)_{i} \in H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ be a minimizing sequence such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{i}^{2} d t=1$ for all $i$, that is $\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\dot{\varphi}_{i}^{2}+q \varphi_{i}^{2}\right) d t=$ $m+o(1)$ as $i \rightarrow+\infty$. Then $\left(\varphi_{i}\right)_{i}$ is bounded in $H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, and, up to a subsequence, there exists $\varphi \in H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\varphi_{i} \rightharpoonup \varphi$ weakly in $H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\varphi_{i} \rightarrow \varphi$ strongly in $L_{l o c}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ as $i \rightarrow+\infty$. We define $\theta_{i}:=\varphi_{i}-\varphi$. Since $\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty}(q(t)-A)=0$ and $\left(\theta_{i}\right)_{i}$ goes to 0 strongly in $L_{l o c}^{2}$, we get that $\lim _{i \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}(q(t)-A) \theta_{i}^{2} d t=0$. Using the weak convergence to 0 and that $\left(\varphi_{i}\right)_{i}$ is minimizing, we get that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\dot{\varphi}^{2}+q \varphi^{2}\right) d t+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\dot{\theta}_{i}^{2}+A \theta_{i}^{2}\right) d t=m+o(1) \text { as } i \rightarrow+\infty
$$

Since $1-\|\varphi\|_{2}^{2}=\left\|\theta_{i}\right\|_{2}^{2}+o(1)$ as $i \rightarrow+\infty$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\dot{\varphi}^{2}+q \varphi^{2}\right) d t \geq m\|\varphi\|_{2}^{2}$, we get

$$
m\left\|\theta_{i}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\dot{\theta}_{i}^{2}+A \theta_{i}^{2}\right) d t+o(1) \text { as } i \rightarrow+\infty
$$

If $m \leq 0$, then $\theta_{i} \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, and then $\left(\varphi_{i}\right)_{i}$ goes strongly to $\varphi \not \equiv 0$ in $H_{1}^{2}$, and $\varphi$ is a minimizer for $m$. This proves the lemma.

Lemma 4. Let $q \in C^{0}(\mathbb{R})$ be such that there exists $A>0$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} q(t)=$ $A$ and $q$ is even. We let $\varphi \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $-\ddot{\varphi}+q \varphi=0$ in $\mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi \in H_{1}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$.

- If $q \geq 0$, then $\varphi \equiv 0$.
- We assume that there exists $V \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
-\ddot{V}+q V>0, V>0 \text { and } V \notin L^{2}((0,+\infty))
$$

Then either $\varphi \equiv 0$ or $V(t)=o\left(e^{-\alpha|t|}\right)$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$ for all $0<\alpha<\sqrt{A}$.
Proof of Lemma 4. We assume that $\varphi \not \equiv 0$. We first assume that $q \geq 0$. By studying the monotonicity of $\varphi$ between two consecutive zeros, we get that $\varphi$ has at most one zero, and then $\ddot{\varphi}$ has constant sign around $\pm \infty$. Therefore, $\varphi$ is monoton around $\pm \infty$ and then has a limit, which is 0 since $\varphi \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. The contradiction follows from studying the sign of $\ddot{\varphi}, \varphi$. Then $\varphi \equiv 0$ and the first part of Lemma 4 is proved.
We now deal with the second part and we let $V \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ be as in the statement. We define $\psi:=V^{-1} \varphi$. Then, $-\ddot{\psi}+h \dot{\psi}+Q \psi=0$ in $\mathbb{R}$ with $h, Q \in C^{0}(\mathbb{R})$ and $Q>0$. Therefore, by studying the zeros, $\dot{\psi}$ vanishes at most once, and then $\psi(t)$ has limits as $t \rightarrow \pm \infty$. Since $\varphi=\psi V, \varphi \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $V \notin L^{2}(0,+\infty)$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \psi(t)=0$. We claim that $\lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} \psi(t) \neq 0$. Otherwise, the limit would be 0 . Then $\psi$ would be of constant sign, say $\psi>0$. At the maximum point $t_{0}$ of $\psi$, the equation would yield $\ddot{\psi}\left(t_{0}\right)>0$, which contradicts the maximum. So the limit of $\psi$ at $-\infty$ is nonzero, and then $V(t)=O(\varphi(t))$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$.
We claim that $\varphi$ is even or odd and $\varphi$ has constant sign around $+\infty$. Since $t \mapsto$ $\varphi(-t)$ is also a solution to the ODE, it follows from Lemma 2 that it is a multiple of $\varphi$, and then $\varphi$ is even or odd. Since $\dot{\psi}$ changes sign at most once, then $\psi$ changes sign at most twice. Therefore $\varphi=\psi V$ has constant sign around $+\infty$.
We fix $0<A^{\prime}<A$ and we let $R_{0}>0$ such that $q(t)>A^{\prime}$ for all $t \geq R_{0}$. Without loss of generality, we also assume that $\varphi(t)>0$ for $t \geq R_{0}$. We define $b(t):=C_{0} e^{-\sqrt{A^{\prime}} t}-\varphi(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $C_{0}:=2 \varphi\left(R_{0}\right) e^{\sqrt{A^{\prime}} R_{0}}$. We claim that $b(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq R_{0}$. Otherwise $\inf _{t \geq R_{0}} b(t)<0$, and since $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} b(t)=0$ and $b\left(R_{0}\right)>0$, then there exists $t_{1}>R_{0}$ such that $\ddot{b}\left(t_{1}\right) \geq 0$ and $b\left(t_{1}\right)<0$. However, as one checks, the equation yields $\ddot{b}\left(t_{1}\right)<0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $b(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq R_{0}$, and then $0<\varphi(t) \leq C_{0} e^{-\sqrt{A^{\prime}} t}$ for $t \rightarrow+\infty$. Lemma 4 follows from this inequality, $\varphi$ even or odd, and $V(t)=O(\varphi(t))$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$.
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