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#### Abstract

We study a kind of generalized porous medium equation with fractional Laplacian and abstract pressure term. For a large class of equations corresponding to the form: $u_{t}+\nu \Lambda^{\beta} u=$ $\nabla \cdot(u \nabla P u)$, we get their local well-posedness in Fourier-Besov spaces for large initial data. If the initial data is small, then the solution becomes global. Furthermore, we prove a blowup criterion for the solutions.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the nonlinear nonlocal equation in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}+\nu \Lambda^{\alpha} u=\nabla \cdot(u \nabla P u) ;  \tag{1.1}\\
u(0, x)=u_{0} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Usually, $u=u(t, x)$ ia a real-valued function, represents a density or concentration. The dissipative coefficient $\nu>0$ corresponds to the viscid case, while $\nu=0$ corresponds to the inviscid case. In this paper we study the viscid case and take $\nu=1$ for simplicity. The fractional operator $\Lambda^{\alpha}$ is defined by Fourier transform as $\left(\Lambda^{\alpha} u\right)^{\wedge}=|\xi|^{\alpha} \hat{u} . P$ is an abstact operator.

Equation (1.1) here comes from the same proceeding with that of the fractional porous medium equation (FPME) introduced by Caffarelli and Vázquez [5. In fact, equation (1.1) comes into being by adding the fractional dissipative term $\nu \Lambda^{\alpha} u$ to the continuity equation $u_{t}+\nabla \cdot(u V)=0$, where the velocity $V=-\nabla p$ and the velocity potential or pressure $p$ is related to $u$ by an abstract operator $p=P u$.

The absrtact form pressure term $P u$ gives a good suitability in many cases. The simplest case comes from a model in groundwater in filtration [1, 19]: $u_{t}=\triangle u^{2}$, that is: $\nu=0, P u=$

[^0]$u$. A more general case appears in the fractional porous medium equation [5] when $\nu=0$ and $P u=\Lambda^{-2 s} u, 0<s<1$. In the critical case when $s=1$, it is the mean field equation first studied by Lin and Zhang [15]. Some studies on the well-posedness and regularity on those equations we refer to [4, 6, 7, 17, 18, 20, 23] and the references therein.

In the FPME, the pressure can also be represented by Riesz potential as $P u=\Lambda^{-2 s} u=$ $\mathcal{K} * u$, with kernel $\mathcal{K}=c_{n, s}|y|^{2 s-n}$. Replacing the kernel $\mathcal{K}$ by other functions in this form: $P u=\mathcal{K} * u$, equation (1.1) also appears in granular flow and biological swarming, named aggregation equation. The typical kernels are the Newton potential $|x|^{\gamma}$ and the exponent potential $-e^{-|x|}$.

One of concerned problems on this equation is the singularity of the potential Pu which holds the well-posedness or leads to the blowup solution. Generally, smooth kernels at origin $x=0$ lead to the global in time solution [3], meanwhile nonsmoooth kernels may lead to blowup phenomenon [14]. Li and Rodrigo [13, 14 studied the well-posedness and blowup criterion of equation (1.1) with the pressure $P u=\mathcal{K} * u$, where $\mathcal{K}(x)=e^{-|x|}$ in Sobolev spaces. Wu and Zhang [21] generalize their work to require $\nabla \mathcal{K} \in W^{1,1}$ which includes the case $\mathcal{K}(x)=e^{-|x|}$. They take advantage of the controllability in Besov spaces of the convolution $\mathcal{K} * u$ under this condition, as well as the controllability of its gradient $\nabla \mathcal{K} * u$.

In this article we study the well-posedness and blowup criterion of equation (1.1) in Fourier-Besov spaces under an abstract pressure condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\| \widehat{\triangle_{k}(\nabla P} u\right)\left\|_{L^{p}} \leq C 2^{k \sigma}\right\| \widehat{\Delta_{k} u} \|_{L^{p}} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Fourier-Besov spaces, it is the localization express of the norm estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla P u\|_{F \dot{B}_{p, q}^{s}} \leq C\|u\|_{F \dot{B}_{p, q}^{s+\sigma}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corresponding to the FPME, i.e. $P u=\Lambda^{-2 s}$, we get $\sigma=1-2 s$ obviously. And if $P u=\mathcal{K} * u$, $\mathcal{K} \in W^{1,1}$ in the aggregation equation, we get $\sigma=1$ when $\mathcal{K} \in L^{1}$ and $\sigma=0$ when $\nabla \mathcal{K} \in L^{1}$.

The Fourier-Besov spaces we use here come from Konieczny and Yoneda [11] when deal with the Navier-Stokes equation (NSE) with Coriolis force. Besides, Fourier-Besov spaces have been widely used to study the well-posedness, singularity, self-similar solution, etc. of Fluid Dynamics in various of forms. For instance, the early pseudomeasure spaces $P M^{\alpha}$ in which Cannone and Karch studied the smooth and singular properties of Navier-Stokes equations [8]. The Lei-Lin spaces $\mathcal{X}^{\sigma}$ deal with global solutions to the NSE [12] and to the quasi-geostrophic equations (QGE) [2]. The Fourier-Herz spaces $\mathcal{B}_{q}^{\sigma}$ in the Keller-Segel system [9, in the NSE with Coriolis force [10] and in the magneto-hydrodynamic equations (MHD) 16.

In the case of Besov spaces, we gain some well-posedness and blow-up results of equation (1.1) under an corresponding condition to (1.3) in [24]. Due to the difficulty in deal with the nonlinear term $\nabla \cdot(u \nabla P u)$, in that case we need a little strict initial condition: $u_{0} \in$ $\dot{B}_{p, 1}^{n / p+\sigma-\beta} \cap \dot{B}_{p, 1}^{n / p+\sigma-\beta+1}$. However, in this paper, we find that Fourier-Besov spaces are
powerful in deal with the nonlinear term, by a very different method used in [24], we prove the following theorems:

Theorem 1.1. Let $p, q \in[1, \infty]$, $\max \{1, \sigma+1\}<\alpha<n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+\sigma+2$. Then for any $u_{0} \in \dot{F} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\alpha+\sigma+1}$, equation (1.1) admits a unique mild solution $u$ and

$$
u \in C\left([0, T) ; \dot{F} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\alpha+\sigma+1}\right) \cap \tilde{L}^{1}\left([0, T) ; \dot{F} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+\sigma+1}\right) .
$$

Moreover, there exists a constant $C_{0}=C_{0}(\alpha, p, q)$ such that for $u_{0}$ satisfying $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{F_{p, q}^{*} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\alpha+\sigma+1}} \leq$ $C_{0}$, the solution $u$ is global, and

$$
\|u\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(F^{*} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\alpha+\sigma+1}\right)}+\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{1}\left(F^{*} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+\sigma+1}\right)} \leq 2 C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{F^{*} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\alpha+\sigma+1}} .
$$

Theorem 1.2. Let $T^{*}$ denote the maximal time of existence of $u$ in $L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{F} B_{p, q}^{\beta}\right) \cap \tilde{L}_{T}^{1}\left(\dot{F} B_{p, q}^{\beta+\alpha}\right)$. Here $\beta=n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\alpha+\sigma+1$. If $T^{*}<\infty$, then

$$
\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^{1}\left(\left[0, T^{*}\right) ; \dot{F B}_{p, q}^{\beta+\alpha}\right)}=\infty .
$$

## 2 Preliminaries

Let us introduce some basic knowledge on Littlewood-Paley theory and Fourier-Besov spaces. Let $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be a radial positive function such that

$$
\text { supp } \varphi \subset\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \frac{3}{4} \leq|\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}\right\}, \quad \sum_{j \in Z} \varphi\left(2^{-j} \xi\right)=1 \text { for any } \xi \neq 0
$$

Define the frequency localization operators as follows:

$$
\triangle_{j} u=\varphi_{j}(D) u ; \quad S_{j} u=\psi_{j}(D) u
$$

here $\varphi_{j}(\xi)=\varphi\left(2^{-j} \xi\right)$ and $\psi_{j}=\sum_{k \leq j-1} \varphi_{j}$.
By Bony's decomposition we can split the product $u v$ into three parts:

$$
u v=T_{u} v+T_{v} u+R(u, v),
$$

with

$$
T_{u} v=\sum_{j} S_{j-1} u \Delta_{j} v, \quad R(u, v)=\sum_{j} \Delta_{j} u \tilde{\Delta}_{j} v, \quad \tilde{\Delta}_{j} v=\Delta_{j-1} v+\Delta_{j} v+\Delta_{j+1} v
$$

Let us now define the Fourier-Besov space as follows.

Definition 2.1. For $\beta \in \mathbb{R}, p, q \in[1, \infty]$, we define the Fourier-Besov space $\dot{F} B_{p, q}^{\beta}$ as

$$
\dot{F} B_{p, q}^{\beta}=\left\{f \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime} / \mathbb{P}:\|f\|_{F B_{p, q}^{\beta}}=\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j \beta q}\left\|\varphi_{j} \hat{f}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{q}\right)^{1 / q}<\infty\right\} .
$$

Here the norm changes normally when $p=\infty$ or $q=\infty$, and $\mathbb{P}$ is the set of all polynomials.
Definition 2.2. In this paper, we need two kinds of mixed time-space norm defined as follows: For $s \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq p, q \leq \infty, I=[0, T), T \in(0, \infty]$, and let $X$ be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{X}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f(t, x)\|_{L^{r}(I ; X)} & :=\left(\int_{I}\|f(\tau, \cdot)\|_{X}^{r} d \tau\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \\
\|f(t, x)\|_{\tilde{L}^{r}\left(I ; F^{\dot{*}} B_{p, q}^{\beta}\right)} & :=\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j \beta q}\left\|\varphi_{j} \hat{f}\right\|_{L^{r}\left(I ; L^{p}\right)}^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Minkowski' inequality, there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{r}\left(I ; F \dot{B}_{p, q}^{s}\right) \hookrightarrow \tilde{L}\left(I ; F \dot{B}_{p, q}^{s}\right), \text { if } r \leq q \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{L}^{r}\left(I ; F \dot{B}_{p, q}^{s}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{r}\left(I ; F \dot{B}_{p, q}^{s}\right), \text { if } r \geq q . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $X$ be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ and $B: X \times X \mapsto X$ be a bounded bilinear operator satisfying

$$
\|B(u, v)\|_{X} \leq \eta\|u\|_{X}\|v\|_{X}
$$

for all $u, v \in X$ and a constant $\eta>0$. Then for any fixed $y \in X$ satisfying $\|y\|_{X}<\epsilon<\frac{1}{4 \eta}$, the equation $x:=y+B(x, x)$ has a solution $\bar{x}$ in $X$ such that $\|\bar{x}\|_{X} \leq 2\|y\|_{X}$. Also, the solution is unique in $\bar{B}(0,2 \epsilon)$. Moreover, the solution depends continuously on $y$ in the sense: if $\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{X}<\epsilon, x^{\prime}=y^{\prime}+B\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)$, $\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{X}<2 \epsilon$, then

$$
\left\|\bar{x}-x^{\prime}\right\|_{X} \leq \frac{1}{1-4 \epsilon \eta}\left\|y-y^{\prime}\right\|_{X}
$$

Lemma 2.2. [22] If $\frac{1}{r}=\frac{1-\theta}{r_{1}}+\frac{1-\theta}{r_{1}}$, then

$$
\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{r}\left(F^{\dot{\prime}} B_{p, q}^{\beta+\theta \alpha}\right)} \leq\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{r_{1}}\left(F B_{p, q}^{\beta}\right)}^{1-\theta}\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{r_{2}\left(F^{\prime} B_{p, q}^{\beta+\alpha}\right)}}^{\theta}
$$

Now we prove a priori estimate which will be used in our proof. Consider the following dissipative equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u+\Lambda^{\alpha} u=f(t, x), \quad u(0, x)=u_{0}(x), \quad t>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $0<T \leq \infty, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq r \leq \infty$. Assume $u_{0} \in \dot{F} B_{p, q}^{\beta}, f \in \tilde{L}^{1}\left(I ; \dot{F} B_{p, q}^{\beta}\right)$. Then the solution $u(t, x)$ to (2.2) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^{r}\left(I ; F^{\dot{*}} B_{p, q}^{\beta+\frac{\alpha}{T}}\right)} \leq C\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{F^{\prime} B_{p, q}^{\beta}}+\|f\|_{\tilde{L}^{1}\left(I ; F B_{p, q}^{\beta}\right)}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Consider the integral form of (2.2)

$$
u(t, x)=e^{-t \Lambda^{\alpha}} u_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-\tau) \Lambda^{\alpha}} f(\tau, x) \mathrm{d} \tau:=L u_{0}+G f
$$

For the linear part,

$$
\left\|\varphi_{j} \mathcal{F}\left(L u_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq e^{-t 2^{j \alpha}(3 / 4)^{\alpha}}\left\|\varphi_{j} \widehat{u_{0}}\right\|_{L^{p}}
$$

Hence

$$
\left\|L u_{0}\right\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{r}\left(F^{\dot{*}} B_{p, q}^{\beta+\frac{\alpha}{r}}\right)} \leq\left\|2^{j\left(\beta+\frac{\alpha}{r}\right)}\right\| e^{-t 2^{j \alpha}(3 / 4)^{\alpha}}\left\|_{L_{T}^{r}}\right\| \varphi_{j} \widehat{u_{0}}\left\|_{L^{p}}\right\|_{l^{q}} \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{F^{\dot{B}} B_{p, q}^{\beta}}
$$

On the other hand, for the integral part,

$$
\left\|\varphi_{j} \mathcal{F}(G f)\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq \int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-\tau)\left(\frac{3}{4} 2^{j}\right)^{\alpha}}\left\|\varphi_{j} \hat{f}\right\|_{L^{p}} \mathrm{~d} \tau
$$

Taking $L^{r}$-norm with respect to time, by Minkowskii's inequality

$$
\left\|\varphi_{j} \mathcal{F}(G f)\right\|_{L_{T}^{r}\left(L^{p}\right)} \leq C 2^{-\frac{j \alpha}{r}}\left\|\varphi_{j} \hat{f}\right\|_{L_{T}^{1}\left(L^{p}\right)}
$$

Hence

$$
\|G f\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{r}\left(F^{\dot{*}} B_{p, q}^{\beta+\frac{\alpha}{r}}\right)}=\left\|2^{j\left(\beta+\frac{\alpha}{r}\right)}\right\| \varphi_{j} \mathcal{F}(G f)\left\|_{L_{T}^{r}\left(L^{p}\right)}\right\|_{l q} \leq C\|f\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{1}\left(F^{\dot{*}} B_{p, q}^{\beta}\right)}
$$

Combine the above estimates, we obtain our desire inequality.

## 3 Local and global well-posedness

In this section we prove our main Theorem. First we know that the integral form of $u$ is as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =e^{-t \Lambda^{\alpha}} u_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-\tau) \Lambda^{\alpha}} \nabla \cdot(u(\tau) \nabla P u(\tau)) \mathrm{d} \tau  \tag{3.1}\\
& :=S(t) u_{0}+H(u, u)
\end{align*}
$$

Here $S(t) u_{0}=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(e^{-t|\xi|^{\alpha}} \hat{u}_{0}\right)$, and $H(u . v)=\int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-\tau) \Lambda^{\alpha}} \nabla \cdot(u(\tau) \nabla P v(\tau)) \mathrm{d} \tau$. Now we get the following estimate
Proposition 3.1. Let $\gamma, p, q \geq 1, \epsilon>\max \{0,-\sigma\}, \beta>0, \frac{1}{\gamma}=\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{2}}$, there holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u \partial_{i} P v\right\|_{\tilde{L}_{t}^{\gamma}\left(F B_{p, q}^{\beta}\right)} & \leq C\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_{t}^{\gamma_{1}}\left(F^{\dot{*}} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\epsilon}\right)}\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_{t}^{\gamma_{2}}\left(F^{\dot{F}} B_{p, q}^{\beta+\sigma+\epsilon}\right)} \\
& +C\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_{t}^{\gamma_{1}}\left(F^{\dot{*}} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\epsilon}\right)}\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_{t}^{\gamma_{2}}\left(F^{\dot{*}} B_{p, q}^{\beta+\sigma+\epsilon}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By the Bony's decomposition, it is easy to get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{j}\left(u \partial_{i} P v\right) & =\sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} \Delta_{j}\left(S_{k-1} u \Delta_{k}\left(\partial_{i} P v\right)\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
& +\sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} \Delta_{j}\left(S_{k-1}\left(\partial_{i} P v\right) \Delta_{k} u\right)+\sum_{k \geq j-2} \Delta_{j}\left(\Delta_{k} u \tilde{\Delta}_{k}\left(\partial_{i} P v\right)\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

We can get the following estimates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2^{j \beta}\left\|\sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} \varphi_{j} \mathcal{F}\left(S_{k-1} u \Delta_{k}\left(\partial_{i} P v\right)\right)\right\|_{L_{t}^{\gamma}\left(L^{p}\right)} \\
& \leq 2^{j \beta} \sum_{|k-j| \leq 4}\left\|\mathcal{F}\left(S_{k-1} u\right) * \varphi_{k} \mathcal{F}\left(\partial_{i} P v\right)\right\|_{L_{t}^{\gamma}\left(L^{p}\right)} \\
& \leq C 2^{j \beta} \sum_{|k-j| \leq 4}\left\|\sum_{l \leq k-2} 2^{l n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}\right\| \varphi_{l} \hat{u}\left\|_{L^{p}} 2^{k \sigma}\right\| \varphi_{k} \hat{v}\left\|_{L^{p}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\gamma}} \\
& \leq C 2^{j \beta} \sum_{|k-j| \leq 4}\left\|\sum_{l \leq k-2} 2^{(l-k) \epsilon} 2^{n l\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\epsilon l}\right\| \varphi \varphi_{l} \hat{u}\left\|_{L^{p}} 2^{k(\sigma+\epsilon)}\right\| \varphi_{k} \hat{v}\left\|_{L^{p}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\gamma}} \\
& \leq C\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_{t}^{\gamma_{1}}\left(F^{\prime} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\epsilon}\right)} 2^{j(\beta+\sigma+\epsilon)}\left\|\varphi_{j} \hat{v}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\gamma_{2}}\left(L^{p}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we can estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2^{j \beta}\left\|\sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} \varphi_{j} \mathcal{F}\left(S_{k-1}\left(\partial_{i} P v\right) \Delta_{k} u\right)\right\|_{L_{t}^{\gamma}\left(L^{p}\right)} \\
& \leq C\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_{t}^{\gamma_{1}}\left(F^{\prime} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\epsilon}\right)} 2^{j(\beta+\sigma+\epsilon)}\left\|\varphi_{k} \hat{u}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\gamma_{2}}\left(L^{p}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

And when $\beta>0$, we can also get that for some $\left\|a_{j}\right\|_{l^{q}}=1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2^{j \beta} \| \mathcal{F}\left(\sum_{k \geq j-2} \Delta_{j}\left(\Delta_{k}\left(\partial_{i} P v\right) \tilde{\Delta}_{k} u\right) \|_{L_{t}^{\gamma}\left(L^{p}\right)}\right. \\
& \leq 2^{j \beta}\left\|\sum_{k \geq j-2} \varphi_{j} \mathcal{F}\left(\Delta_{k}\left(\partial_{i} P v\right)\right) *\left(\tilde{\varphi}_{k} \hat{u}\right)\right\|_{L_{t}^{\gamma}\left(L^{p}\right)} \\
& \leq C 2^{j \beta}\left\|\sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^{k n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+k \sigma}\right\| \varphi_{k} \hat{v}\left\|_{L^{p}}\right\| \tilde{\varphi}_{k} \hat{u}\left\|_{L^{p}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\gamma}} \\
& \leq C \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^{(j-k) \beta}\left\|2^{k n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\epsilon k}\right\| \varphi_{k} \hat{v}\left\|_{L^{p} 2^{k(\beta+\sigma+\epsilon)}}\right\| \tilde{\varphi}_{k} \hat{u}\left\|_{L^{p}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\gamma}} \\
& \leq C \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^{(j-k) \beta}\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_{t}^{\gamma_{1}\left(F^{\dot{j}} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\epsilon}\right)}} 2^{k(\beta+\sigma+\epsilon)}\left\|\tilde{\varphi}_{k} u\right\|_{\tilde{L}_{t}^{\gamma_{2}\left(L^{p}\right)}} \\
& \leq C a_{j}\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_{t}^{\gamma_{1}}\left(F^{\dot{*}} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\epsilon}\right)}\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_{t}^{\gamma_{2}}\left(F^{\dot{\prime}} B_{p, q}^{\beta+\sigma+\epsilon)}\right.} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combine the above estimate, we obtain the following inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|u \partial_{i} P v\right\|_{\tilde{L}_{t}^{\gamma}\left(F^{\dot{\prime}} B_{p, q}^{\beta}\right)} \leq C\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_{t}^{\gamma_{1}}\left(\dot{F} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\epsilon}\right)}\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_{t}^{\gamma_{2}}\left(\underset{F \cdot}{\left.\dot{\prime} B_{p, q}^{\beta+\sigma+\epsilon}\right)}\right.} \\
&+C\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_{t}^{\gamma_{1}}\left(\dot{F} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\epsilon}\right)}\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_{t}^{\gamma_{2}}\left(\dot{F} B_{p, q}^{\beta+\sigma+\epsilon}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3.2. Let $p, q \geq 1, \epsilon>\max \{0,-\sigma\}, \beta>-1$. If $u, v \in \tilde{L}_{T}^{2}\left(\dot{F B} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\epsilon}\right) \cap$ $\tilde{L}_{T}^{2}\left(\dot{F B}{ }_{p, q}^{\beta+\sigma+\epsilon+1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|H(u, v)\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{F} B_{p, q}^{\beta}\right)}+\|H(u, v)\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{1}\left(\dot{F} B_{p, q}^{\beta+\alpha}\right)} \\
& \leq C\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{2}\left(\dot{F} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\epsilon}\right)}\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{2}\left(\dot{F \cdot} B_{p, q}^{\beta+\sigma+\epsilon+1}\right)}+C\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{2}\left(\dot{F} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\epsilon}\right)}\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{2}\left(\dot{F} B_{p, q}^{\beta+\sigma+\epsilon+1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We note that $H(u, v)$ is a solution to equation (2.2) with $u_{0}=0, f=\nabla \cdot(u \nabla P v)$. So by Lemma 2.3 there holds

$$
\|H(u, v)\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{F B_{p, q}^{\beta}}\right)}+\|H(u, v)\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{1}\left(\dot{F B_{p, q}} \beta+\alpha\right)} \leq C\|\nabla \cdot(u \nabla P v)\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{1}\left(\dot{F B_{p, q}^{\beta}}\right)}
$$

Then Proposition 3.1 gives the estimate.
Theorem 3.1. Let $p, q \in[1, \infty]$, $2 \max \{1, \sigma+1\}<\alpha<n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+\sigma+2$. Then for any $u_{0} \in \dot{F \cdot} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\alpha+\sigma+1}$, equation (1.1) admits a unique mild solution $u$ and

$$
u \in C\left([0, T) ; \dot{F} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\alpha+\sigma+1}\right) \cap \tilde{L}^{1}\left([0, T) ; \dot{F} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+\sigma+1}\right)
$$

Moreover, there exists a constant $C_{0}=C_{0}(\alpha, p, q)$ such that for $u_{0}$ satisfying $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{F B B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\alpha+\sigma+1}} \leq$ $C_{0}$, the solution $u$ is global, and

$$
\|u\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{F} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\alpha+\sigma+1}\right)}+\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{1}\left(\dot{F} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+\sigma+1}\right)} \leq 2 C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{F_{F}^{\prime} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\alpha+\sigma+1}}
$$

Proof. First suppose $t \in[0, T], T$ fixed. Let $\epsilon=\frac{\alpha}{2}-\sigma-1, \beta=n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\alpha+\sigma+1$, by the above proposition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|H(u, v)\|_{L_{T}^{\infty}\left(F^{\prime} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\alpha+\sigma+1}\right)}+\|H(u, v)\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{1}\left(F^{\prime} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+\sigma+1}\right)} \\
& \leq C\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{2}\left(\dot{F} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\sigma+1}\right)}\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{2}\left(\dot{F}^{\dot{\prime}} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\sigma+1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $X=\tilde{L}_{T}^{2}\left(\dot{F B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\sigma+1}}\right)$, by Lemma 2.2

$$
\|H(u, v)\|_{X} \leq C\|u\|_{X}\|v\|_{X}
$$

By Lemma 2.1 we know that if $\left\|e^{-t \Lambda^{\alpha}} u_{0}\right\|_{X}<\frac{1}{4 C}$, then (3.1) has a unique solution in $B\left(0, \frac{1}{2 C}\right)$. Here $B\left(0, \frac{1}{2 C}\right):=\left\{x \in X:\|x\|_{X} \leq \frac{1}{2 C}\right\}$.

To conclude $\left\|e^{-t \Lambda^{\alpha}} u_{0}\right\|_{X}<\frac{1}{4 C}$, we first note that $e^{-t \Lambda^{\alpha}} u_{0}$ is the solution to (2.2) with $f=0, u_{0}=u_{0}$, by Lemma 2.3, we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{-t \Lambda^{\alpha}} u_{0}\right\|_{X} \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{F B_{p, q}^{*}}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\alpha+\sigma+1} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence if $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{F B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\alpha+\sigma+1}} \leq \frac{1}{4 C^{2}}$, (3.1) has a unique global solution in $X$. Moreover, $\|u\|_{X} \leq 2 C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{F B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\alpha+\sigma+1}}$.

On the other hand, denote $u_{0}=\mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_{\{|\xi| \leq \lambda\}} \widehat{u_{0}}+\mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_{\{|\xi|>\lambda\}} \widehat{u_{0}}:=u_{0}^{1}+u_{0}^{2}$, where $\lambda=\lambda\left(u_{0}\right)>0$ is a real number determined later. Since $u_{0}^{2}$ converges to 0 in $\dot{F} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\alpha+\sigma+1}$ as $\lambda \rightarrow+\infty$. By (3.4) there exists $\lambda$ large enough such that

$$
\left\|e^{-t \Lambda^{\alpha}} u_{0}^{2}\right\|_{X} \leq \frac{1}{8 C}
$$

For $u_{0}^{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|e^{-t \Lambda^{\alpha}} u_{0}^{1}\right\|_{X} & =\left\|2^{j\left(n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\sigma+1\right)}\right\| \varphi_{j} e^{-t|\xi|^{\alpha}} \chi_{\{|\xi| \leq \lambda\}} \widehat{u_{0}}\left\|_{L_{T}^{2}\left(L^{p}\right)}\right\|_{l^{q}} \\
& \leq\left\|2^{j\left(n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\sigma+1\right)}\right\| \sup _{|\xi| \leq \lambda} e^{-t|\xi|^{\alpha}}|\xi|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left\|_{L_{T}^{2}}\right\| \varphi_{j}|\xi|^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \hat{u}_{0}\left\|_{L^{p}}\right\|_{l^{q}} \\
& \leq C \lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} T^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{F B_{p, q} B_{p}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\alpha+\sigma+1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus for arbitrary $u_{0}$ in $\dot{F} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\alpha+\sigma+1},(3.1)$ has a unique local solution in $X$ on $[0, T)$ where

$$
T \leq\left(\frac{1}{8 C^{2} \lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{F B_{p, q}}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\alpha+\sigma+1}}\right)^{2}
$$

The continuity with respect to time is standard.
Next we give a blowup criterion as following:
Theorem 3.2. Let $T^{*}$ denote the maximal time of existence of $u$ in $L_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{F B} B_{p, q}^{\beta}\right) \cap \tilde{L}_{T}^{1}\left(\dot{F B} \dot{B}_{p, q}^{\beta+\alpha}\right)$. Here $\beta=n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\alpha+\sigma+1$. If $T^{*}<\infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^{1}\left(\left[0, T^{*}\right) ; F_{B}^{\beta, q}\right.}^{\beta+\alpha}\right)=\infty . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Supposing $\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^{1}\left(\left[0, T^{*}\right) ; F^{*} B_{p, q}^{\beta+\alpha}\right)} d t<\infty$, then we can find $0<T_{0}<T^{*}$ satisfying

$$
\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_{1}\left(\left[T_{0}, T^{*}\right) ; \dot{F}^{\dot{B}} B_{p, q}^{\beta+\alpha}\right)}<\frac{1}{2} .
$$

For $t \in\left[T_{0}, T^{*}\right], s \in\left[T_{0}, t\right]$, by Lemma 2.3

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u(s)\|_{F^{\dot{B}} B_{p, q}^{\beta}}+\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^{1}\left(\left[T_{0}, s\right) ; \dot{F B}_{p, q}^{\beta+\alpha}\right)} & \leq\left\|u\left(T_{0}\right)\right\|_{F^{\dot{B}} B_{p, q}^{\beta}}+\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\left[T_{0}, s\right) ; F^{\dot{B}} B_{p, q}^{\beta}\right)}\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^{1}\left(\left[T_{0}, s\right) ; F_{p, q}^{\beta} B_{p, q}^{\beta+\alpha}\right)} \\
& \leq\left\|u\left(T_{0}\right)\right\|_{F^{B_{p, q}} B_{p, q}^{\beta}}+\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\left[T_{0}, s\right) ; \dot{F B}_{p, q}^{\beta}\right.} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So,

$$
\sup _{T_{0} \leq s \leq t}\|u(s)\|_{F B_{p, q}^{\beta}} \leq\left\|u\left(T_{0}\right)\right\|_{F B_{p, q}^{\beta}}+\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\left[T_{0}, t\right) ; F^{\dot{*}} B_{p, q}^{\beta}\right)} .
$$

Put

$$
M=\max \left(2\left\|u\left(T_{0}\right)\right\|_{F_{B} B_{p, q}^{\beta}}, \max _{t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right]}\|u\|_{F B_{p, q}^{\beta}}^{\beta}\right),
$$

we can get

$$
\|u(t)\|_{F B_{p, q}^{\beta}} \leq M, \quad \forall t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right] .
$$

On the other side,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u\left(t_{2}\right)-u\left(t_{1}\right)=e^{-t_{2}|D|^{\alpha}} u_{0}-e^{-t_{1}|D|^{\alpha}} u_{0}+\int_{0}^{t_{2}} e^{-\left(t_{2}-\tau\right)|D|^{\alpha}} \nabla \cdot(u P u)(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \\
& -\int_{0}^{t_{1}} e^{-\left(t_{1}-\tau\right)|D|^{\alpha}} \nabla \cdot(u P u)(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \\
& =\left[e^{-t_{2}|D|^{\alpha}} u_{0}-e^{-t_{1}|D|^{\alpha}} u_{0}\right]+\left[\int_{0}^{t_{1}} e^{-\left(t_{1}-\tau\right)|D|^{\alpha}}\left(e^{-\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)|D|^{\alpha}}-1\right) \nabla \cdot(u P u)(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right] \\
& +\left[\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} e^{-\left(t_{2}-\tau\right)|D|^{\alpha}} \nabla \cdot(u P u)(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right] \\
& :=L_{1}+L_{2}+L_{3} \text {. } \\
& \left\|L_{1}\right\|_{F B_{p, q}^{\beta}}=\left\|2^{j \beta}\right\| \varphi_{j}\left(e^{-t_{2}|\xi|^{\alpha}}-e^{-t_{1}|\xi|^{\alpha}}\right) \hat{u}_{0}\left\|_{L^{p}}\right\|_{l^{q}} \\
& \leq\left\|2^{j \beta}\right\| \varphi_{j}\left(e^{-\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)|\xi|^{\alpha}}-1\right) \hat{u}_{0}\left\|_{L^{p}}\right\|_{l^{q}} \\
& \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{F B_{p, q}^{\beta}} \text {. } \\
& \left\|L_{2}\right\|_{F^{\prime} B_{p, q}^{\beta}} \leq\left\|2^{j \beta} \int_{0}^{t_{1}}\right\| \varphi_{j} e^{-\left(t_{2}-\tau\right)|\xi|^{\alpha}}\left(1-e^{-\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)|\xi|^{\alpha}}\right) \mathcal{F}(\nabla \cdot(u P u)(\tau))\left\|_{L^{p}} \mathrm{~d} \tau\right\|_{l^{q}} \\
& \leq\left\|2^{j(\beta+1)} \int_{0}^{t_{1}}\right\| \varphi_{j}\left(e^{-\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)|\xi|^{\alpha}}-1\right) \mathcal{F}(u P u)(\tau)\left\|_{L^{p}} \mathrm{~d} \tau\right\|_{l^{q}} . \\
& \left\|L_{3}\right\|_{F B_{p, q}^{\beta}} \leq\left\|2^{j \beta} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\right\| \varphi_{j} e^{-\left(t_{2}-\tau\right)|\xi|^{\alpha}} \mathcal{F}(\nabla \cdot(u P u)(\tau))\left\|_{L^{p}} \mathrm{~d} \tau\right\|_{l^{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq\left\|2^{j(\beta+1)} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\right\| \varphi_{j} \mathcal{F}(u P u)(\tau)\left\|_{L^{p}} \mathrm{~d} \tau\right\|_{l^{q}}
$$

By the dominated convergence theorem, we can get

$$
\limsup _{t_{1}, t_{2} \nearrow T^{*}, t_{1}<t_{2}}\left\|u\left(t_{2}\right)-u\left(t_{1}\right)\right\|_{F B_{p, q}^{\beta}}=0
$$

Then there is an element $u^{*}$ of $\dot{F} B_{p, q}^{\beta}$ such that

$$
\lim _{t \nearrow T^{*}}\|u(t)\|_{F B_{p, q}^{\beta}}=u^{*}
$$

Now set $u\left(T^{*}\right)=u^{*}$ and consider the equation starting by $u^{*}$, by the well-posedness we obtain a solution existing on a larger time interval than $\left[0, T^{*}\right)$, which is a contradiction.

## 4 Improvement of the index

The index in Theorem3.1 is not a nature one since $\alpha>2$ is a very strong condition. However, the method used here can in fact gain some better index range by a slight modification. The key point is that we can seek the solution firstly in the space $X:=\tilde{L}_{T}^{r}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\dot{\beta}}{ }_{p, q}^{\beta+\frac{\alpha}{r}}\right) \cap$ $\tilde{L}_{T}^{r^{\prime}}\left(\dot{F} B_{p, q}^{\beta+\frac{\alpha}{r^{\prime}}}\right)$ instead of $\tilde{L}_{T}^{2}\left(\dot{F B} B_{p, q}^{\beta+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)$, with $\beta=n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\alpha+\sigma+1$. Since the proof are very similar, we list the key steps.

Step 1: Taking respectively $r$ and $r^{\prime}$ in Lemma 2.3, and using the proof of Proposition 3.2, we get for $\epsilon>\max \{0,-\sigma\}, \beta>-1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|H(u, v)\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{r}\left(\dot{F} B_{p, q}^{\beta+\frac{\alpha}{r}}\right)}+\|H(u, v)\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{r^{\prime}\left(\dot{F} B_{p, q}^{\beta+\frac{\alpha}{r^{\prime}}}\right)}} \quad\left[\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{r}\left(\dot{F} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\epsilon}\right)}\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{r^{\prime}}\left(\dot{F} B_{p, q}^{\beta+\sigma+\epsilon+1}\right)}+C\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{r}\left(F^{\prime} B_{p, q}^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\epsilon}\right)}\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{r^{\prime}}\left(F \dot{B} B_{p, q}^{\beta+\sigma+\epsilon+1}\right)} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $\epsilon=\frac{\alpha}{r^{\prime}}-\sigma-1, \beta=n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\alpha+\sigma+1$, we then gain the important bilinear estimate $H(u, v) \leq C\|u\|_{X}\|v\|_{X}$ under the condition:

$$
r^{\prime} \max \{1, \sigma+1\}<\alpha<n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+\sigma+2
$$

Thus by Lemma 2.1 we know that if $\left\|e^{-t \Lambda^{\alpha}} u_{0}\right\|_{X}<\frac{1}{4 C}$, then equation (3.1) admits a unique solution in $X$.

Step 2: Now we need to derive $\left\|e^{-t \Lambda^{\alpha}} u_{0}\right\|_{X}<\frac{1}{4 C}$. Since $e^{-t \Lambda^{\alpha}} u_{0}$ is the solution to (2.2) with $f=0, u_{0}=u_{0}$, by Lemma 2.3 we gain the global solution in $X$ for small initial data. On the other hand, we can also obtain the local solution on $[0, T)$ in $X$ by the same method in Theorem 3.1 for arbitrary initial data, where

$$
T \leq \min \left\{\left(\frac{1}{16 C^{2} \lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{r}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{F^{\prime} B_{p, q}^{\beta}}}\right)^{r},\left(\frac{1}{16 C^{2} \lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{r^{\prime}}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{F^{\prime} B_{p, q}^{\beta}}}\right)^{r^{\prime}}\right\}
$$

Step 3: We have proved equation has an unique solution in $X$ under the condition: $r^{\prime} \max \{1, \sigma+1\}<\alpha<n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+\sigma+2,1<r<\infty$. Using the integral form (3.1) and Lemma 2.3, we can deduce

$$
\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{\infty}\left(\dot{F B} B_{p, q}^{\beta}\right)}+\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_{T}^{1}\left(\dot{F B_{p, q}} \beta+\alpha\right)} \leq C\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{F B_{p, q}^{\beta}}+\|u\|_{X}\right)
$$

Hence $u$ is also belongs to $C\left([0, T) ; \dot{F B}{ }_{p, q}^{\beta}\right) \cap \tilde{L}_{T}^{1}\left(\dot{F} B_{p, q}^{\beta+\alpha}\right)$. Since $1<r<\infty$ in $X$ can be chose to be a sufficiently large number, we in fact improve the index in Theorem 3.1 to

$$
\max \{1, \sigma+1\}<\alpha<n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+\sigma+2
$$

Besides, this improvement make no difference to the proof of Theorem 3.2.
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