

# A NOTE ON TIME-HARMONIC MAXWELL EQUATIONS ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

YERNAT M. ASSYLBEKOV

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider boundary value problems in electromagnetism. We prove well-posedness results for the time-harmonic Maxwell equations in the setting of Riemannian manifolds. We also consider the eigenvalue problem the homogeneous time-harmonic Maxwell equations with zero boundary conditions.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the current note, which serves as the author's personal reference, we consider boundary value problems in electromagnetism. We prove well-posedness results for the time-harmonic Maxwell equations in the setting of Riemannian manifolds. Some of these results are well known for bounded domains in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ; see classical references [6, 11]. To the best of author's knowledge, there are few related literatures on Riemannian geometries [5, 8, 9, 10]. However, these results assume that either electromagnetic parameters being constantly one or too regular.

Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary. By  $d$  and  $*$  we denote the exterior derivative and the Hodge star operator on  $(M, g)$ , respectively. Consider the time-harmonic Maxwell equations for complex 1-forms  $E$  and  $H$

$$\begin{cases} *dE = i\omega\mu H, \\ *dH = -i\omega\varepsilon E, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\omega > 0$  is a fixed frequency. The complex functions  $\mu$  and  $\varepsilon$  represent the material parameters (permeability and permittivity, respectively). We assume that  $\varepsilon, \mu$  are in  $L^\infty(M)$  and satisfy

$$\operatorname{Re} \varepsilon, \operatorname{Re} \mu \geq c \quad (1.2)$$

for some constant  $c > 0$ .

Let  $\iota : \partial M \hookrightarrow M$  be the canonical inclusion. Then we introduce tangential trace of  $m$ -forms by

$$\mathbf{t} : C^\infty \Omega^m(M) \rightarrow C^\infty \Omega^m(\partial M), \quad \mathbf{t}(w) = \iota^*(w), \quad w \in C^\infty \Omega^m(M).$$

We work with the following Hilbert space which is the largest domain of  $d$  acting on  $m$ -forms:

$$H_d \Omega^m(M) := \{w \in L^2 \Omega^m(M) : dw \in L^2 \Omega^{m+1}(M)\}$$

endowed with the inner product

$$(w_1|w_2)_{H_d\Omega^m(M)} := (w_1|w_2)_{L^2\Omega^m(M)} + (dw_1|dw_2)_{L^2\Omega^{m+1}(M)}$$

and the corresponding norm  $\|w\|_{H_d\Omega^m(M)}^2 := (w|w)_{H_d\Omega^m(M)}$ . Then the tangential trace operator has its extensions to bounded operators  $\mathbf{t} : H_d\Omega^m(M) \rightarrow H^{-1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)$  and  $\mathbf{t} : H^1\Omega^m(M) \rightarrow H^{1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)$ . In fact,  $\mathbf{t}$  is bounded from  $H_d\Omega^m(M)$  into

$$TH_d\Omega^m(\partial M) := \{\mathbf{t}(w) : w \in H_d\Omega^m(M)\}$$

with the topology defined by the norm

$$\|f\|_{TH_d\Omega^m(\partial M)} := \inf\{\|w\|_{H_d\Omega^m(M)} : \mathbf{t}(w) = f, w \in H_d\Omega^m(M)\}.$$

We refer the reader to Section 3 for more details. Let us now state our main results.

For a given  $f \in TH_d\Omega^1(\partial M)$ , we consider the time-harmonic Maxwell equations (1.1) with the tangential boundary condition  $\mathbf{t}(E) = f$ , where  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$  is fixed.

The following theorem is the first main result of this paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary. Suppose  $\varepsilon, \mu \in L^\infty(M)$  satisfy (1.2). There is a discrete subset  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  such that for all  $\omega \notin \Sigma$  and for a given  $f \in TH_d\Omega^1(\partial M)$  the Maxwell equation (1.1) with  $\mathbf{t}(E) = f$  has a unique solution  $(E, H) \in H_d\Omega^1(M) \times H_d\Omega^1(M)$  satisfying*

$$\|E\|_{H_d\Omega^1(M)} + \|H\|_{H_d\Omega^1(M)} \leq C\|f\|_{TH_d\Omega^1(\partial M)}$$

for some constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $f$ .

To prove Theorem 1.1, we consider the following non-homogeneous problem. Let  $J_e$  and  $J_m$  be 1-forms on  $M$  representing current sources. We consider the non-homogenous time-harmonic Maxwell equations

$$\begin{cases} *dE = i\omega\mu H + J_m, \\ *dH = -i\omega\varepsilon E + J_e \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

We also work with the space of differential forms in  $H_d\Omega^1(M)$  with zero tangential traces

$$H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M) := \{w \in H_d\Omega^1(M) : \mathbf{t}(w) = 0\}.$$

Our second main result is as follows.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary. Suppose  $\varepsilon, \mu \in L^\infty(M)$  satisfy (1.2) and  $J_e, J_m \in L^2\Omega^1(M)$ . There is a discrete subset  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  such that for all  $\omega \notin \Sigma$  the Maxwell's system (1.3) has a unique solution  $(E, H) \in H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M) \times H_d\Omega^1(M)$  satisfying*

$$\|E\|_{H_d\Omega^1(M)} + \|H\|_{H_d\Omega^1(M)} \leq C(\|J_e\|_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} + \|J_m\|_{L^2\Omega^1(M)})$$

for some constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $J_e$  and  $J_m$ .

Finally, we also consider the eigenvalue problem for the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} *dE = i\omega\mu H, \\ *dH = -i\omega\varepsilon E \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{t}(E) = 0 \quad (1.4)$$

under the additional assumption that both  $\varepsilon$  and  $\mu$  are real-valued.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary and let  $\varepsilon, \mu \in L^\infty(M)$  be real-valued and satisfying (1.2). There is a sequence of positive numbers  $\{\omega_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  and the corresponding sequence*

$$\{(e_k, h_k)\}_{k=1}^\infty \in H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon} \times H_d\Omega^1(M)_\mu$$

satisfying

$$\begin{cases} *de_k = i\omega_k\mu h_k, \\ *dh_k = -i\omega_k\varepsilon e_k. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

The eigenvalues  $\omega_k > 0$  have finite multiplicity,  $0 < \omega_1 \leq \omega_2 \leq \dots \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . The set  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  forms an orthonormal basis in  $H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$  with respect to the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2_\varepsilon\Omega^1(M)} := (\varepsilon \cdot \cdot)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)}$  and the set  $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  forms a basis in  $H_d\Omega^1(M)_\mu$  which is orthonormal with respect to the inner products  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2_\mu\Omega^1(M)} := (\mu \cdot \cdot)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)}$ . Moreover,  $\omega = 0$  is an eigenvalue as well with infinite dimensional eigenspace  $H_{d,0}(0, \Omega^1(M)) \times H_d(0, \Omega^1(M))$ , where  $H_{d,0}(0, \Omega^1(M)) := H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M) \cap H_d(0, \Omega^1(M))$ .

These results were obtained for bounded domains in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^3$  assuming  $J_m = 0$ ; see [6, 11] and references therein. On Riemannian manifolds, Theorem 1.1 was proven in [5] under the assumption that  $\varepsilon, \mu \in C^k(M)$ ,  $k \geq 2$ .

We would also like to remark that our results are stated for the case when  $\varepsilon$  and  $\mu$  are independent of the frequency  $\omega$ . However, there are many applications where the electromagnetic parameters depend on  $\omega$ . For instance, in lossy materials,  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 + i\sigma/\omega$  and  $\mu > 0$  where  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and  $\sigma \geq 0$ . The methods used in the present paper can be extended to this particular case as in [6, 11].

**Structure of the paper.** The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we briefly present basic facts on differential forms and trace operators. Then in Section 3 we show that the trace operators can be extended to  $H_d\Omega^m(M)$  and to the closely related space  $H_\delta\Omega^m(M)$ . In that section we study some other important properties of those spaces. Next, in Section 4 we prove appropriated Helmholtz decompositions for 1-forms and certain compact embedding results. Sections 5, 6 and 7 are devoted to proofs of main results.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we briefly present basic facts on differential forms and trace operators. For more detailed exposition we refer the reader to the manuscript of Schwarz [12].

Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact oriented  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary. The inner product of tangent vectors with respect to the metric  $g$  is denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g$ , and  $|\cdot|_g$  is the notation for the corresponding norm. By  $|g|$  we denote the determinant of  $g = (g_{ij})$  and  $(g^{ij})$  is the inverse matrix of  $(g_{ij})$ . Finally, there is the induced metric  $\iota^*g$  on  $\partial M$  which gives a rise to the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\iota^*g}$  of vectors tangent to  $\partial M$ .

**2.1. Basic notations for differential forms.** In what follows, for  $F$  some function space ( $C^k$ ,  $L^p$ ,  $H^k$ , etc.), we denote by  $F\Omega^m(M)$  the corresponding space of  $m$ -forms. In particular, the space of smooth  $m$ -forms is denoted by  $C^\infty\Omega^m(M)$ . Let  $*$  :  $C^\infty\Omega^m(M) \rightarrow C^\infty\Omega^{n-m}(M)$  be the Hodge star operator. For real valued  $\eta, \zeta \in C^\infty\Omega^m(M)$ , the inner product with respect to  $g$  is defined in local coordinates as

$$\langle \eta, \zeta \rangle_g = *(\eta \wedge *\zeta) = g^{i_1 j_1} \dots g^{i_m j_m} \eta_{i_1 \dots i_m} \zeta_{j_1 \dots j_m}.$$

This can be extended as a bilinear form on complex valued forms. We also write  $|\eta|_g^2 = \langle \eta, \bar{\eta} \rangle_g$ . The inner product on  $L^2\Omega^m(M)$  is defined as

$$(\eta|\zeta)_{L^2\Omega^m(M)} = \int_M \langle \eta, \bar{\zeta} \rangle_g d\text{Vol}_g = \int_M \eta \wedge *\bar{\zeta}, \quad \eta, \zeta \in L^2\Omega^m(M),$$

where  $d\text{Vol}_g = *1 = |g|^{1/2} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$  is the volume form. The corresponding norm is  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2\Omega^m(M)}^2 = (\cdot|\cdot)_{L^2\Omega^m(M)}$ . Using the definition of the Hodge star operator  $*$ , it is not difficult to check that

$$(\eta|\zeta)_{L^2\Omega^m(M)} = (*\eta|*\zeta)_{L^2\Omega^{n-m}(M)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Let  $d : C^\infty\Omega^m(M) \rightarrow C^\infty\Omega^{m+1}(M)$  be the external differential. Then the codifferential  $\delta : C^\infty\Omega^m(M) \rightarrow C^\infty\Omega^{m-1}(M)$  is defined as

$$(d\eta|\zeta)_{L^2\Omega^m(M)} = (\eta|\delta\zeta)_{L^2\Omega^{m-1}(M)}$$

for all  $\eta \in C_0^\infty\Omega^{m-1}(M^{\text{int}})$ ,  $\zeta \in C^\infty\Omega^m(M)$ . The Hodge star operator  $*$  and the codifferential  $\delta$  have the following properties when acting on  $C^\infty\Omega^m(M)$ :

$$*^2 = (-1)^{m(n-m)}, \quad \delta = (-1)^{m(n-m)-n+m-1} *(d*\cdot). \quad (2.2)$$

For a given  $\xi \in C^\infty\Omega^1(M)$ , the interior product  $i_\xi : C^\infty\Omega^m(M) \rightarrow C^\infty\Omega^{m-1}(M)$  is the contraction of differential forms by  $\xi$ . In local coordinates,

$$i_\xi \eta = g^{ij} \xi_i \eta_{j i_1 \dots i_{m-1}}, \quad \eta \in C^\infty\Omega^m(M).$$

The interior product acts on exterior products in the following way

$$i_\xi(\eta \wedge \zeta) = i_\xi \eta \wedge \zeta + (-1)^m \eta \wedge i_\xi \zeta, \quad \eta \in C^\infty\Omega^m(M), \zeta \in C^\infty\Omega^k(M). \quad (2.3)$$

It is the formal adjoint of  $\xi$ , in the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g$  on real valued forms, and has the following expression

$$i_\xi \eta = (-1)^{n(m-1)} *(\xi \wedge *\eta), \quad \eta \in C^\infty\Omega^m(M). \quad (2.4)$$

Using this, one can also show that

$$\delta(fw) = f\delta w - i_{df}w, \quad f \in C^\infty(M), \quad w \in C^\infty\Omega^m(M). \quad (2.5)$$

The Hodge Laplacian acting on  $\Omega^m(M)$  is defined by  $-\Delta = d\delta + \delta d$ .

Finally, the inner product on  $L^2\Omega^m(\partial M)$  is given by

$$(u|v)_{L^2\Omega^m(\partial M)} = \int_{\partial M} \langle u, \bar{v} \rangle_{i^*g} d\sigma_{\partial M}, \quad u, v \in L^2\Omega^m(\partial M),$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{i^*g}$  is extended as a bilinear form on complex forms on  $\partial M$ , and  $d\sigma_{\partial M} = i^*(i_\nu d\text{Vol}_g)$  is the volume form on  $\partial M$  induced by  $d\text{Vol}_g$ .

**2.2. The normal and parallel parts of differential forms.** The outward unit normal  $\nu$  to  $\partial M$  can be extended to a vector field near  $\partial M$  by parallel transport along normal geodesics (initiating from  $\partial M$  in the direction of  $-\nu$ ), and then to a vector field on  $M$  via a cutoff function. For  $w \in C^\infty \Omega^m(M)$ , we introduce

$$\eta_\perp = \nu \wedge i_\nu \eta, \quad \eta_\parallel = \eta - \eta_\perp.$$

Using (2.3), one can see that  $i_\nu \eta_\perp = i_\nu \eta$ , so  $i_\nu \eta_\parallel = 0$ . Since  $\mathbf{t}(\nu) = 0$ , we also have  $\mathbf{t}(\eta_\perp) = 0$ , so  $\mathbf{t}(\eta) = \mathbf{t}(\eta_\parallel)$ . It is clear that  $\nu \wedge \eta_\perp = 0$ .

**2.3. Integration by parts.** Let us first prove the following simple result which will be used in formulating integration by parts formula in appropriate way.

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $\eta \in C^\infty \Omega^m(M)$  and  $\zeta \in C^\infty \Omega^{m+1}(M)$ , then for an open subset  $\Gamma \subset \partial M$  the following holds*

$$\langle \mathbf{t}(\eta) | \mathbf{t}(i_\nu \zeta) \rangle_{L^2 \Omega^m(\Gamma)} = \int_\Gamma \mathbf{t}(\eta \wedge * \bar{\zeta}).$$

*Proof.* First, we show that  $\langle \eta, i_\nu \zeta \rangle_g d\sigma_{\partial M} = \mathbf{t}(\eta \wedge * \bar{\zeta})$ . Since  $\langle \nu \wedge \eta, \zeta \rangle_g = \langle \eta, i_\nu \zeta \rangle_g$ , we have

$$\langle \eta, i_\nu \zeta \rangle_g d\sigma_{\partial M} = \langle \nu \wedge \eta, \zeta \rangle_g d\sigma_{\partial M} = \langle \nu \wedge \eta, \zeta \rangle_g \mathbf{t}(i_\nu d \text{Vol}_g) = \mathbf{t}(i_\nu((\nu \wedge \eta) \wedge * \bar{\zeta})).$$

Using (2.3) and  $\mathbf{t}(\nu) = 0$ , this gives

$$\langle \eta, i_\nu \zeta \rangle_g d\sigma_{\partial M} = \mathbf{t}(\eta \wedge * \bar{\zeta}) - \mathbf{t}(\nu) \wedge \mathbf{t}(i_\nu(\eta \wedge * \bar{\zeta})) = \mathbf{t}(\eta \wedge * \bar{\zeta}).$$

Next, we show that  $\langle \eta, i_\nu \zeta \rangle_g = \langle \mathbf{t}(\eta), \mathbf{t}(i_\nu \zeta) \rangle_{i^*g}$  on  $\partial M$ . Indeed, observe that  $(i_\nu \zeta)_\perp = 0$ . Therefore,  $i_\nu \zeta = (i_\nu \zeta)_\parallel$  and hence on  $\partial M$  we get

$$\langle \eta, i_\nu \zeta \rangle_g = \langle \eta, (i_\nu \zeta)_\parallel \rangle_g = \langle \eta_\parallel, (i_\nu \zeta)_\parallel \rangle_g = \langle \mathbf{t}(\eta), \mathbf{t}(i_\nu \zeta) \rangle_g = \langle \mathbf{t}(\eta), \mathbf{t}(i_\nu \zeta) \rangle_{i^*g}.$$

Collecting all these, we get  $\langle \mathbf{t}(\eta), \mathbf{t}(i_\nu \zeta) \rangle_{i^*g} d\sigma_{\partial M} = \mathbf{t}(\eta \wedge * \bar{\zeta})$ . Finally, integrating over  $\Gamma \subset \partial M$  we get the result.  $\square$

For  $\eta \in C^\infty \Omega^m(M)$  and  $\zeta \in C^\infty \Omega^{m+1}(M)$ , using Stokes' theorem, Lemma 2.1 (with  $\Gamma = \partial M$ ) and (2.2), we have the following integration by parts formula for  $d$  and  $\delta$

$$\langle \mathbf{t}(\eta) | \mathbf{t}(i_\nu \zeta) \rangle_{L^2 \Omega^m(\partial M)} = (d\eta | \zeta)_{L^2 \Omega^{m+1}(M)} - (\eta | \delta \zeta)_{L^2 \Omega^m(M)}. \quad (2.6)$$

**2.4. Extensions of trace operators.** The tangential trace operator  $\mathbf{t}$  has an extension to a bounded operator from  $H^1 \Omega^m(M)$  to  $H^{1/2} \Omega^m(\partial M)$ . Moreover, for every  $f \in H^{1/2} \Omega^m(\partial M)$ , there is  $u \in H^1 \Omega^m(M)$  such that  $\mathbf{t}(u) = f$  and

$$\|u\|_{H^1 \Omega^m(M)} \leq C \|f\|_{H^{1/2} \Omega^m(\partial M)};$$

see [12, Theorem 1.3.7] and comments.

Next, the operator  $\mathbf{t}(i_\nu \cdot)$  is bounded from  $H^1 \Omega^m(M)$  to  $H^{1/2} \Omega^{m-1}(\partial M)$ . Moreover, for every  $h \in H^{1/2} \Omega^{m-1}(\partial M)$ , there is  $\zeta \in H^1 \Omega^m(M)$  such that  $\mathbf{t}(i_\nu \zeta) = h$  and

$$\|\zeta\|_{H^1 \Omega^m(M)} \leq C \|h\|_{H^{1/2} \Omega^{m-1}(\partial M)}.$$

In fact, we can take  $\zeta = \nu \wedge w$ , where  $w \in H^1 \Omega^{m-1}(M)$  such that  $\mathbf{t}(w) = h$  and  $\|w\|_{H^1 \Omega^{m-1}(M)} \leq C \|h\|_{H^{1/2} \Omega^{m-1}(\partial M)}$ .

Finally, if  $f \in H^{1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)$  and  $h \in H^{1/2}\Omega^{m-1}(\partial M)$ , there is  $\xi \in H^1\Omega^m(M)$  such that  $\mathbf{t}(\xi) = f$ ,  $\mathbf{t}(i_\nu\xi) = h$  and

$$\|\xi\|_{H^1\Omega^m(M)} \leq C\|f\|_{H^{1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)} + C\|h\|_{H^{1/2}\Omega^{m-1}(\partial M)}.$$

This time, we can take  $\xi = u_\parallel + \zeta_\perp$ , where  $u \in H^1\Omega^m(M)$  such that  $\mathbf{t}(u) = f$  and  $\|u\|_{H^1\Omega^m(M)} \leq C\|f\|_{H^{1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)}$  and  $\zeta \in H^1\Omega^m(M)$  such that  $\mathbf{t}(i_\nu\zeta) = h$  and  $\|\zeta\|_{H^1\Omega^m(M)} \leq C\|h\|_{H^{1/2}\Omega^{m-1}(\partial M)}$ .

### 3. PROPERTIES OF $H_d\Omega^m(M)$ AND $H_\delta\Omega^m(M)$ SPACES

Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact oriented  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary. In this paper we work with the Hilbert spaces  $H_d\Omega^m(M)$  and  $H_\delta\Omega^m(M)$  which are the largest domains of  $d$  and  $\delta$ , respectively, acting on  $m$ -forms:

$$\begin{aligned} H_d\Omega^m(M) &:= \{w \in L^2\Omega^m(M) : dw \in L^2\Omega^{m+1}(M)\}, \\ H_\delta\Omega^m(M) &:= \{u \in L^2\Omega^m(M) : \delta u \in L^2\Omega^{m-1}(M)\} \end{aligned}$$

endowed with the inner products

$$\begin{aligned} (w_1|w_2)_{H_d\Omega^m(M)} &:= (w_1|w_2)_{L^2\Omega^m(M)} + (dw_1|dw_2)_{L^2\Omega^{m+1}(M)}, \\ (u_1|u_2)_{H_\delta\Omega^m(M)} &:= (u_1|u_2)_{L^2\Omega^m(M)} + (\delta u_1|\delta u_2)_{L^2\Omega^{m-1}(M)} \end{aligned}$$

and the corresponding norms

$$\|w\|_{H_d\Omega^m(M)}^2 := (w|w)_{H_d\Omega^m(M)}, \quad \|u\|_{H_\delta\Omega^m(M)}^2 := (u|u)_{H_\delta\Omega^m(M)}.$$

In the present section we prove some important properties of these spaces.

**3.1. Trace operators.** In this subsection we show that there are bounded extensions  $\mathbf{t} : H_d\Omega^m(M) \rightarrow H^{-1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)$  and  $\mathbf{t}(i_\nu \cdot) : H_\delta\Omega^{m+1}(M) \rightarrow H^{-1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)$ . Let  $(\cdot|\cdot)_{\partial M}$  be the distributional duality on  $\partial M$  naturally extending  $(\cdot|\cdot)_{L^2\Omega^m(\partial M)}$

**Proposition 3.1.** (a) *The operator  $\mathbf{t} : H^1\Omega^m(M) \rightarrow H^{1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)$  has its extension to a bounded operator  $\mathbf{t} : H_d\Omega^m(M) \rightarrow H^{-1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)$  and the following integration by parts formula holds*

$$(\mathbf{t}(\eta)|\mathbf{t}(i_\nu\zeta))_{\partial M} = (d\eta|\zeta)_{L^2\Omega^{m+1}(M)} - (\eta|\delta\zeta)_{L^2\Omega^m(M)}$$

for all  $\eta \in H_d\Omega^m(M)$  and  $\zeta \in H^1\Omega^{m+1}(M)$

(b) *The operator  $\mathbf{t}(i_\nu \cdot) : H^1\Omega^{m+1}(M) \rightarrow H^{1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)$  has its extension to a bounded operator  $\mathbf{t}(i_\nu \cdot) : H_\delta\Omega^{m+1}(M) \rightarrow H^{-1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)$  and the following integration by parts formula holds*

$$(\mathbf{t}(i_\nu\zeta)|\mathbf{t}(\eta))_{\partial M} = (\zeta|d\eta)_{L^2\Omega^{m+1}(M)} - (\delta\zeta|\eta)_{L^2\Omega^m(M)}$$

for all  $\zeta \in H_\delta\Omega^{m+1}(M)$  and  $\eta \in H^1\Omega^m(M)$ .

Now we introduce the following space on the boundary  $\partial M$

$$\begin{aligned} TH_d\Omega^m(\partial M) &:= \{\mathbf{t}(w) : w \in H_d\Omega^m(M)\}, \\ TH_\delta\Omega^m(\partial M) &:= \{\mathbf{t}(i_\nu u) : u \in H_\delta\Omega^m(M)\} \end{aligned}$$

endowed with the norms

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{TH_d\Omega^m(\partial M)} &:= \inf\{\|w\|_{H_d\Omega^m(M)} : \mathbf{t}(w) = f, w \in H_d\Omega^m(M)\}, \\ \|h\|_{TH_\delta\Omega^m(\partial M)} &:= \inf\{\|u\|_{H_\delta\Omega^m(M)} : \mathbf{t}(u) = h, u \in H_\delta\Omega^m(M)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then Proposition 3.1 implies that the operators  $\mathbf{t} : H_d\Omega^m(M) \rightarrow TH_d\Omega^m(\partial M)$  and  $\mathbf{t} : H_\delta\Omega^m(M) \rightarrow TH_\delta\Omega^m(\partial M)$  are bounded under these topologies.

*Proof.* Let us first prove part (a). Let  $w \in C^\infty\Omega^m(M)$  and  $f \in H^{1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)$ . Then using integration parts formula (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{t}(w)|f)_{L^2\Omega^m(\partial M)} &= (\mathbf{t}(w)|\mathbf{t}(i_\nu\zeta))_{L^2\Omega^m(\partial M)} \\ &= (dw|\zeta)_{L^2\Omega^{m+1}(M)} - (w|\delta\zeta)_{L^2\Omega^m(M)},\end{aligned}$$

where  $\zeta \in H^1\Omega^{m+1}(M)$  such that  $\mathbf{t}(i_\nu\zeta) = f$  and  $\|\zeta\|_{H^1\Omega^{m+1}(M)} \leq C\|f\|_{H^{1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)}$ . Then

$$|(\mathbf{t}(w)|f)_{L^2\Omega^m(\partial M)}| \leq C\|w\|_{H_d\Omega^m(M)}\|\zeta\|_{H^1\Omega^{m+1}(M)} \leq C\|w\|_{H_d\Omega^m(M)}\|f\|_{H^{1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)}.$$

Therefore,  $\mathbf{t}$  can be extended to a bounded operator  $H_d\Omega^m(M) \rightarrow H^{-1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)$ . In fact, if  $\eta \in H_d\Omega^m(M)$ , then we define  $\mathbf{t}(\eta)$  as

$$(\mathbf{t}(\eta)|\mathbf{t}(i_\nu\zeta))_{\partial M} = (d\eta|\zeta)_{L^2\Omega^{m+1}(M)} - (\eta|\delta\zeta)_{L^2\Omega^m(M)},$$

where  $\zeta \in H^1\Omega^{m+1}(M)$ .

Now we prove part (b). Let  $w \in C^\infty\Omega^{m+1}(M)$  and  $f \in H^{1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)$ . Then using integration parts formula (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{t}(i_\nu w)|f)_{L^2\Omega^m(\partial M)} &= (\mathbf{t}(i_\nu w)|\mathbf{t}(u))_{L^2\Omega^m(\partial M)} \\ &= (w|du)_{L^2\Omega^{m+1}(M)} - (\delta w|u)_{L^2\Omega^m(M)},\end{aligned}$$

where  $u \in H^1\Omega^m(M)$  such that  $\mathbf{t}(u) = f$  and  $\|u\|_{H^1\Omega^m(M)} \leq C\|f\|_{H^{1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)}$ . Therefore, we can estimate

$$|(\mathbf{t}(i_\nu w)|f)_{L^2\Omega^m(\partial M)}| \leq C\|w\|_{H_\delta\Omega^{m+1}(M)}\|u\|_{H^1\Omega^m(M)} \leq C\|w\|_{H_\delta\Omega^{m+1}(M)}\|f\|_{H^{1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)}.$$

Thus,  $\mathbf{t}(i_\nu \cdot)$  can be extended to a bounded operator  $H_\delta\Omega^{m+1}(M) \rightarrow H^{-1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)$ . In fact, if  $\zeta \in H_\delta\Omega^{m+1}(M)$  we define  $\mathbf{t}(i_\nu\zeta)$  as

$$(\mathbf{t}(i_\nu\zeta)|\mathbf{t}(\eta))_{\partial M} = (\zeta|d\eta)_{L^2\Omega^{m+1}(M)} - (\delta\zeta|\eta)_{L^2\Omega^m(M)},$$

where  $\eta \in H^1\Omega^m(M)$ . □

**3.2. Embedding property.** We will also need the following embedding result.

**Proposition 3.2.** *If  $u \in H_d\Omega^m(M) \cap H_\delta\Omega^m(M)$  with  $\mathbf{t}(u) \in H^{1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)$ , then  $u \in H^1\Omega^m(M)$  and*

$$\|u\|_{H^1\Omega^m(M)} \leq C(\|u\|_{H_d\Omega^m(M)} + \|\delta u\|_{L^2\Omega^{m-1}(M)} + \|\mathbf{t}(u)\|_{H^{1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)})$$

for some constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $u$ .

In Euclidean setting, this was proven in the case  $m = 1$  by Costabel [2]; see also [6, 11]. Here we give a new proof, which can be carried out over manifolds and for arbitrary  $m$ . Our proof is based on the following result from [12]. We write

$$\mathcal{H}_D^m(M) := \{u \in H^1\Omega^m(M) : du = 0, \quad \delta u = 0, \quad \mathbf{t}(u) = 0\}.$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $k \geq 0$  be an integer. Given  $w \in H^k\Omega^{m+1}(M)$ ,  $v \in H^k\Omega^{m-1}(M)$  and  $h \in H^{k+1}\Omega^m(M)$ , there is a unique  $\psi \in H^{k+1}\Omega^m(M)$ , up to a form in  $\mathcal{H}_D^m(M)$ , that solves*

$$d\psi = w, \quad \delta\psi = v, \quad \mathbf{t}(\psi) = \mathbf{t}(h)$$

if and only if

$$dw = 0, \quad \mathbf{t}(w) = \mathbf{t}(dh), \quad \delta v = 0$$

and

$$(w|\chi)_{L^2\Omega^{m+1}(M)} = (\mathbf{t}(h)|\mathbf{t}(i_\nu\chi))_{L^2\Omega^m(\partial M)}, \quad (v|\lambda)_{L^2\Omega^{m-1}(M)} = 0$$

for all  $\chi \in \mathcal{H}_D^{m+1}(M)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_D^{m-1}(M)$ . Moreover,  $\psi$  satisfies the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_{H^{k+1}\Omega^m(M)} &\leq C(\|w\|_{H^k\Omega^{m+1}(M)} + \|v\|_{H^k\Omega^{m-1}(M)}) \\ &\quad + C(\|\mathbf{t}(h)\|_{H^{k+1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)} + \|\mathbf{t}(*h)\|_{H^{k+1/2}\Omega^{n-m}(\partial M)}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Follows from [12, Theorem 3.2.5].  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 3.2.* For a given  $u \in H_d\Omega^m(M) \cap H_\delta\Omega^m(M)$ , write  $w = du \in L^2\Omega^{m+1}(M)$  and  $v = \delta u \in L^2\Omega^{m-1}(M)$ . Since  $\mathbf{t}(u) \in H^{1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)$ , by discussion in Section 2.4 there is  $h \in H^1\Omega^m(M)$  such that  $\mathbf{t}(h) = \mathbf{t}(u)$ ,  $\mathbf{t}(i_\nu h) = 0$  and

$$\|h\|_{H^1\Omega^m(M)} \leq C\|\mathbf{t}(h)\|_{H^{1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)} = C\|\mathbf{t}(u)\|_{H^{1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)}. \quad (3.1)$$

We wish to use Lemma 3.3, and hence we need to show that  $w$ ,  $v$  and  $h$  satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 3.3. Obviously, we have  $dw = 0$  and  $\delta v = 0$ . Integrating by parts and using that  $\mathbf{t}(u) = \mathbf{t}(h)$ , we can show that for all  $\chi \in \mathcal{H}_D^{m+1}(M)$

$$(w|\chi)_{L^2\Omega^{m+1}(M)} = (du|\chi)_{L^2\Omega^{m+1}(M)} = (\mathbf{t}(h)|\mathbf{t}(i_\nu\chi))_{L^2\Omega^m(\partial M)}.$$

Similar for all  $\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_D^{m-1}(M)$ , using the integration by parts formula in part (b) of Proposition 3.1, we can show that

$$(v|\lambda)_{L^2\Omega^{m-1}(M)} = (\delta u|\lambda)_{L^2\Omega^{m-1}(M)} = -(\mathbf{t}(i_\nu u)|\mathbf{t}(\lambda))_{\partial M} = 0.$$

Next, we show that  $\mathbf{t}(w) = \mathbf{t}(dh)$ . For arbitrary  $\varphi \in H^{1/2}\Omega^{m+1}(\partial M)$ , as discussed in Section 2.4, there is  $\zeta \in H^1\Omega^{m+2}(M)$  such that  $\mathbf{t}(i_\nu\zeta) = \varphi$ . Then, using integration by parts formulas in Proposition 3.1, we get

$$(\mathbf{t}(w)|\varphi)_{\partial M} = (\mathbf{t}(du)|\mathbf{t}(i_\nu\zeta))_{\partial M} = -(du|\delta\zeta)_{L^2\Omega^{m+1}(M)} = -(\mathbf{t}(u)|\mathbf{t}(i_\nu\delta\zeta))_{\partial M}.$$

Since  $\mathbf{t}(u) = \mathbf{t}(h)$ , using integration by parts formulas in Proposition 3.1, gives

$$(\mathbf{t}(w)|\varphi)_{\partial M} = -(\mathbf{t}(h)|\mathbf{t}(i_\nu\delta\zeta))_{\partial M} = -(dh|\delta\zeta)_{L^2\Omega^{m+1}(M)} = (\mathbf{t}(dh)|\varphi)_{\partial M},$$

which implies  $\mathbf{t}(w) = \mathbf{t}(dh)$ . Therefore, applying Lemma 3.3 we find  $\psi \in H^1\Omega^m(M)$  such that  $d\psi = w$ ,  $\delta\psi = v$  and  $\mathbf{t}(\psi) = \mathbf{t}(h) = \mathbf{t}(u)$  and satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_{H^1\Omega^m(M)} &\leq C(\|w\|_{L^2\Omega^{m+1}(M)} + \|v\|_{L^2\Omega^{m-1}(M)}) \\ &\quad + C(\|\mathbf{t}(u)\|_{H^{1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)} + \|\mathbf{t}(*h)\|_{H^{1/2}\Omega^{n-m}(\partial M)}). \end{aligned}$$



Using boundedness of  $\mathbf{t} : H^1\Omega^{n-m}(M) \rightarrow H^{1/2}\Omega^{n-m}(\partial M)$  and (3.1),

$$\|\mathbf{t}(*h)\|_{H^{1/2}\Omega^{n-m}(\partial M)} \leq C\|*h\|_{H^1\Omega^{n-m}(M)} \leq C\|h\|_{H^1\Omega^m(M)} \leq C\|\mathbf{t}(u)\|_{H^{1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)}.$$

Therefore,  $\psi$  satisfies the estimate

$$\|\psi\|_{H^1\Omega^m(M)} \leq C(\|w\|_{L^2\Omega^{m+1}(M)} + \|v\|_{L^2\Omega^{m-1}(M)} + \|\mathbf{t}(u)\|_{H^{1/2}\Omega^m(\partial M)}).$$

Write  $\rho = u - \psi$ , then  $d\rho = 0$  and  $\delta\rho = 0$ . Therefore,  $\rho$  solves  $-\Delta\rho = 0$  with  $\mathbf{t}(\rho) = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{t}(\delta\rho) = 0$ . By [12, Theorem 2.2.4], it follows that  $\rho = 0$ . This clearly implies the result.  $\square$

**3.3. Density properties.** In this subsection we prove the following two results regarding the density of  $C^\infty\Omega^m(M)$  in both  $H_d\Omega^m(M)$  and  $H_\delta\Omega^m(M)$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** *The space  $C^\infty\Omega^m(M)$  is dense in  $H_\delta\Omega^m(M)$ .*

*Proof.* The statement is equivalent to showing that if  $u \in H_\delta\Omega^m(M)$  is orthogonal to  $C^\infty\Omega^m(M)$  in  $H_\delta\Omega^m(M)$ -inner product, then  $u = 0$ . Suppose that

$$(u|\phi)_{H_\delta\Omega^m(M)} = (u|\phi)_{L^2\Omega^m(M)} + (\delta u|\delta\phi)_{L^2\Omega^{m-1}(M)} = 0, \quad \phi \in C^\infty\Omega^m(M). \quad (3.2)$$

Let  $\widetilde{M}$  be a compact manifold with smooth boundary such that  $M \subset\subset \widetilde{M}^{\text{int}}$  and let by  $g$  on  $\widetilde{M}$  we denote a smooth extension of  $g$  from  $M$  to  $\widetilde{M}$ . Let  $\widetilde{u}$  and  $\widetilde{\delta u}$  denote the extensions of  $u$  and  $\delta u$  to  $\widetilde{M}$  by zero. It is clear that  $\widetilde{u} \in L^2\Omega^m(\widetilde{M})$  and  $\widetilde{\delta u} \in L^2\Omega^{m-1}(\widetilde{M})$ . By (3.2),  $\widetilde{u}$  and  $\widetilde{\delta u}$  satisfy

$$(\widetilde{u}|\phi)_{L^2\Omega^m(\widetilde{M})} + (\widetilde{\delta u}|\delta\phi)_{L^2\Omega^{m-1}(\widetilde{M})} = 0, \quad \phi \in C_0^\infty\Omega^m(\widetilde{M}^{\text{int}}).$$

This in particular implies that  $\widetilde{u} = -d\widetilde{\delta u}$ . Since  $\widetilde{u} \in L^2\Omega^m(\widetilde{M})$ , we have  $\widetilde{\delta u} \in H_{d,0}\Omega^{m-1}(\widetilde{M})$ . Therefore,  $\delta u = \widetilde{\delta u}|_M \in H_d\Omega^{m-1}(M) \cap H_\delta\Omega^{m-1}(M)$ . Since  $\widetilde{\delta u} = 0$  in  $\widetilde{M} \setminus M$ , we have  $\mathbf{t}(\delta u) = \mathbf{t}(\widetilde{\delta u}) = 0$  on  $\partial M$ . Then by Proposition 3.2,  $\delta u \in H^1\Omega_D^{m-1}(M)$ . There is a sequence  $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset C_0^\infty\Omega^{m-1}(M^{\text{int}})$  such that  $\|\delta u - \phi_k\|_{H^1\Omega^{m-1}(M)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Note also that, in particular, (3.2) gives  $u = d\delta u$ . Using all these facts, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} (u|u)_{L^2\Omega^m(M)} + (\delta u|\delta u)_{L^2\Omega^{m-1}(M)} &= (u|d\delta u)_{L^2\Omega^m(M)} + (\delta u|\delta u)_{L^2\Omega^{m-1}(M)} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [(u|d\phi_k)_{L^2\Omega^m(M)} + (\delta u|\phi_k)_{L^2\Omega^{m-1}(M)}] \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [(d\delta u|d\phi_k)_{L^2\Omega^m(M)} + (\delta u|\phi_k)_{L^2\Omega^{m-1}(M)}]. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts and using (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (u|u)_{L^2\Omega^m(M)} + (\delta u|\delta u)_{L^2\Omega^{m-1}(M)} \\ = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [(\delta u|\delta d\phi_k)_{L^2\Omega^m(M)} + (u|d\phi_k)_{L^2\Omega^{m-1}(M)}] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $u = 0$  as desired.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** *The space  $C^\infty\Omega^m(M)$  is dense in  $H_d\Omega^m(M)$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Proposition 3.4 using the fact that the Hodge star operator  $*$  is an isometry between  $H_d\Omega^m(M)$  and  $H_\delta\Omega^{n-m}(M)$ .  $\square$

## 4. HELMHOLTZ DECOMPOSITIONS AND COMPACT EMBEDDING RESULTS

Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact oriented  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary. Throughout the section we assume that  $\alpha \in L^\infty(M)$  such that  $\operatorname{Re} \alpha \geq c$  for some constant  $c > 0$ .

**4.1. Helmholtz decompositions of  $H_d\Omega^1(M)$ ,  $H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)$  and  $L^2\Omega^1(M)$ .** For the proof of Theorem 1.2, we will use Helmholtz type decomposition of  $H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)$  and  $L^2\Omega^1(M)$  suitable for Maxwell's equations. For the proofs we closely follow [11], see also [6].

Define the spaces

$$L^2\Omega^1(M)_{0,\alpha} := \{w \in L^2\Omega^1(M) : (\alpha w|dh)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} = 0, h \in H_0^1(M)\},$$

$$H_d\Omega^1(M)_\alpha := \{w \in H_d\Omega^1(M) : (\alpha w|\varphi)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} = 0, \varphi \in H_d(0, \Omega^1(M))\},$$

$$H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)_{0,\alpha} := \{w \in H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M) : (\alpha w|dh)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} = 0, h \in H_0^1(M)\}.$$

**Proposition 4.1.** *The space  $dH_0^1(M) = \{dh \in L^2\Omega^1(M) : h \in H_0^1(M)\}$  is closed in  $L^2\Omega^1(M)$  and in  $H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)$ , and the following orthogonal decompositions hold*

$$L^2\Omega^1(M) = L^2\Omega^1(M)_{0,\alpha} \oplus dH_0^1(M), \quad (4.1)$$

$$H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M) = H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)_{0,\alpha} \oplus dH_0^1(M), \quad (4.2)$$

where all of the projection operators are bounded. Moreover, the projection of  $H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)$  onto  $H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)_{0,\alpha}$  is the restriction of the projection of  $L^2\Omega^1(M)$  onto  $L^2\Omega^1(M)_{0,\alpha}$ .

*Proof.* To prove closedness of  $dH_0^1(M)$  in  $L^2\Omega^1(M)$ , consider a sequence  $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset H_0^1(M)$  such that  $\|dh_k - u\|_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $u \in L^2\Omega^1(M)$ . In particular,  $\{dh_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^2\Omega^1(M)$ . Then by Poincaré inequality,  $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^2(M)$ . Hence,  $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $H^1(M)$ . Therefore,  $u = dh$  for some  $h \in H^1(M)$ . Finally, by closedness of  $H_0^1(M)$  in  $H^1(M)$ , we have  $h \in H_0^1(M)$ .

Next, to prove closedness of  $dH_0^1(M)$  in  $H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)$ , consider a sequence  $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset H_0^1(M)$  such that  $\|dh_k - u\|_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $u \in H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)$ . In particular,  $\{dh_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^2\Omega^1(M)$ . Since  $dh_k = 0$  for all  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\{dh_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^2(M)$ . Therefore,  $u = dh$  for some  $h \in H^1(M)$ . Finally, by closedness of  $dH_0^1(M)$  in  $L^2\Omega^1(M)$ , we have  $h \in H_0^1(M)$ .

To prove (4.1 – 4.2), consider the sesquilinear form  $A$  on  $dH_0^1(M)$  defined as

$$A(dh, dh') = (\alpha dh|dh')_{L^2\Omega^1(M)}, \quad h, h' \in H_0^1(M).$$

It is clear that

$$|A(dh, dh')| \leq C \|dh\|_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} \|dh'\|_{L^2\Omega^1(M)}$$

and that

$$\operatorname{Re} A(dh, dh) = (\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)dh|dh)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} \geq c \|dh\|_{L^2\Omega^1(M)}^2.$$

Thus, the form  $A$  is strictly coercive on  $dH_0^1(M)$ . For a given  $e \in L^2\Omega^1(M)$ , consider the bounded linear functional  $\ell_e : dH_0^1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined as

$$\ell_e(dh') = (\alpha e|dh')_{L^2\Omega^1(M)}.$$

Applying the Lax-Milgram's lemma (see e.g. [11, Lemma 2.21]), we obtain a bounded linear operator  $G : L^2\Omega^1(M) \rightarrow H_0^1(M)$  such that

$$\ell_e(dh') = A(Ge, dh'), \quad e \in L^2\Omega^1(M), \quad h' \in H_0^1(M).$$

This implies that

$$(\alpha(e - dGe)|dh')_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} = 0, \quad h' \in H_0^1(M), \quad (4.3)$$

and hence  $e - dGe \in L^2\Omega^1(M)_{0,\alpha}$ .

Thus, we can claim that every  $e \in L^2\Omega^1(M)$  can be uniquely decomposed as  $e = e_0 + dh$  where  $e_0 = (e - dGe) \in L^2\Omega^1(M)_{0,\alpha}$  and  $h = Ge \in H_0^1(M)$ . Hence, we have shown (4.1).

If  $e \in H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)$ , then  $e_0 = e - dGe \in H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)$  since

$$\mathbf{t}(e_0) = \mathbf{t}(e) - \mathbf{t}(dGe) = -d_{\partial M}(Ge)|_{\partial M} = 0.$$

From (4.3) we also can see that  $e_0 \in H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)_{0,\alpha}$ . This gives the decomposition (4.2).  $\square$

It is easy to see that closedness of  $dH_0^1(M)$  in  $L^2\Omega^1(M)$  imply closedness of the former in  $H_d\Omega^1(M)$ . Moreover, the sesquilinear form  $A$  in the proof of Proposition 4.1 can be defined on  $H_d(0, \Omega^1(M))$ ; see the definition of the latter space below. The same is true for the linear functional  $\ell_e$ . Furthermore, the latter makes sense even for  $e \in L^2\Omega^1(M)$ . Therefore, the similar arguments, but  $L^2\Omega^1(M)$  replaced by  $H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)$  and  $dH_0^1(M)$  replaced by  $H_d(0, \Omega^1(M))$ , imply the following result.

**Proposition 4.2.** *The space  $dH_0^1(M)$  is closed in  $H_d\Omega^1(M)$  and the following orthogonal decomposition holds*

$$H_d\Omega^1(M) = H_d\Omega^1(M)_\alpha \oplus H_d(0, \Omega^1(M)), \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$H_d(0, \Omega^1(M)) = \{\varphi \in H_d\Omega^1(M) : d\varphi = 0\}$$

and all of the projection operators are bounded.

**4.2. Compact embedding results.** We will also need the following results on compact embedding of  $H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M) \cap H_\delta\Omega^1(M)$  and  $H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)_{0,\alpha}$  into  $L^2\Omega^1(M)$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *The inclusion  $H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M) \cap H_\delta\Omega^1(M) \hookrightarrow L^2\Omega^1(M)$  is compact*

*Proof.* Follows from Proposition 3.2 and the compactness of the embedding

$$H^1\Omega^1(M) \hookrightarrow L^2\Omega^1(M),$$

see e.g. [12, Theorem 1.3.6].  $\square$

The following compact embedding result is originally due to Weber [14] in Euclidean case.

**Proposition 4.4.** *The inclusion  $H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)_{0,\alpha} \hookrightarrow L^2\Omega^1(M)$  is compact.*

*Proof.* We prove this result following [1, Proposition 2.28]. Consider a bounded sequence  $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)_{0,\alpha}$ . Using the Helmholtz decomposition in (4.2) for  $\alpha = 1$ , we can write each  $u_k$  uniquely as  $u_k = u_{0,k}^1 + dh_k^1$ , where  $u_{0,k}^1 \in H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)_{0,1}$  and  $h_k^1 \in H_0^1(M)$ . Since  $(u_k|dh_k^1)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} = (dh_k^1|dh_k^1)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)}$ , we have  $\|dh_k^1\|_{H_d\Omega^1(M)} \leq \|u_k\|_{H_d\Omega^1(M)}$  and hence

$$\|u_{0,k}^1\|_{H_d\Omega^1(M)} \leq C\|u_k\|_{H_d\Omega^1(M)}.$$

Thus, the sequence  $\{u_{0,k}^1\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)_{0,1}$  is bounded. Since  $H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)_{0,1} \subset H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M) \cap H_\delta\Omega^1(M)$ , Proposition 4.3 implies that there is  $u \in L^2\Omega^1(M)$  and a subsequence  $\{u_{0,k'}^1\}_{k'=1}^\infty$  such that

$$\|u - u_{0,k'}^1\|_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k' \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.5)$$

Now, using the Helmholtz decomposition in (4.1), we can write  $u$  uniquely as  $u = u^\alpha + dh^\alpha$ , where  $u^\alpha \in L^2\Omega^1(M)_{0,\alpha}$  and  $h^\alpha \in H_0^1(M)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha(u^\alpha - u_{k'})|(u^\alpha - u_{k'}))_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} &= (\alpha(u^\alpha - u_{k'})|(u^\alpha + dh^\alpha - u_{k'} + dh_{k'}^1))_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} \\ &= (\alpha(u^\alpha - u_{k'})|(u - u_{0,k'}^1))_{L^2\Omega^1(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

Together with (4.5) this gives that

$$\|u^\alpha - u_{k'}\|_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} \leq C\|u - u_{0,k'}^1\|_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k' \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, the subsequence  $\{u_{k'}\}_{k'=1}^\infty$  converges to  $u^\alpha$  in  $L^2\Omega^1(M)$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

For the proof, we follow the standard variational-methods used in [3, 6, 7, 11]. Substituting the second equation of (1.3) into the first equation of (1.3), we obtain the following second-order equation

$$\delta(\mu^{-1}dE) - \omega^2\varepsilon E = i\omega J_e + *d(\mu^{-1}J_m). \quad (5.1)$$

If we find a unique solution  $E \in H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)$  of this equation satisfying

$$\|E\|_{H_d\Omega^1(M)} \leq C(\|J_e\|_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} + \|J_m\|_{L^2\Omega^1(M)}),$$

defining  $H = -i\omega^{-1}\mu^{-1}(*dE - J_m)$  we obtain a unique  $(E, H) \in H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M) \times H_d\Omega^1(M)$  solving the Maxwell equations (1.3) and hence satisfying

$$\|E\|_{H_d\Omega^1(M)} + \|H\|_{H_d\Omega^1(M)} \leq C(\|J_e\|_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} + \|J_m\|_{L^2\Omega^1(M)}).$$

Therefore, the problem is reduced to finding a unique  $E \in H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu^{-1}dE|de')_{L^2\Omega^2(M)} - (\omega^2\varepsilon E|e')_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} \\ = (i\omega J_e|e')_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} + (\mu^{-1} * J_m|de')_{L^2\Omega^2(M)} \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

for all  $e' \in H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)$ .

Using (4.2), we can decompose  $E$  uniquely as  $E = E_0 + dh$ , where  $E_0 \in H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$  and  $h \in H_0^1(M)$ . Since  $i\omega\varepsilon^{-1}J_e \in L^2\Omega^1(M)$ , this can be uniquely decomposed as

$i\omega\varepsilon^{-1}J_e = J_{e,0} + dj_e$ , where  $J_{e,0} \in L^2\Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$  and  $j_e \in H_0^1(M)$ . We note here that

$$\|j_e\|_{H^1(M)} \leq C\|J_e\|_{L^2\Omega^1(M)}. \quad (5.3)$$

Using these decompositions, (5.2) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mu^{-1}dE_0|de')_{L^2\Omega^2(M)} - (\omega^2\varepsilon E_0|e')_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} - (\omega^2\varepsilon dh|e')_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} \\ & = (\varepsilon J_{e,0}|e')_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} + (\varepsilon dj_e|e')_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} + (\mu^{-1} * J_m|de')_{L^2\Omega^2(M)} \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

for all  $e' \in H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)$ .

Our first step is to extract  $h$  from (5.4). For this, use  $e' = dh'$  for arbitrary  $h' \in H_0^1(M)$  in (5.4). Since  $E_0 \in H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$  and  $J_{e,0} \in L^2\Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$ , we obtain

$$-(\omega^2\varepsilon dh|dh')_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} = (\varepsilon dj_e|dh')_{L^2\Omega^1(M)}$$

for all  $h' \in H_0^1(M)$ . We rewrite this as

$$(\varepsilon d(\omega^2 h + j_e)|dh')_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} = 0$$

and take  $h' = \omega^2 h + j_e$ . Then we obtain  $h' = 0$ , which implies that  $h = -\omega^{-2}j_e$ .

Now, we use  $h = -\omega^{-2}j_e$  in (5.4) and get

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mu^{-1}dE_0|de')_{L^2\Omega^2(M)} - (\omega^2\varepsilon E_0|e')_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} \\ & = (\varepsilon J_{e,0}|e')_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} + (\mu^{-1} * J_m|de')_{L^2\Omega^2(M)} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $e' \in H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)$ . Thus, our next step is to find a unique  $E_0 \in H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$  satisfying

$$\delta(\mu^{-1}dE_0) - \omega^2\varepsilon E_0 = \varepsilon J_{e,0} + \delta(\mu^{-1} * J_m). \quad (5.5)$$

To solve this equation, we need the following result on existence of a solution operator

**Proposition 5.1.** *There are a constant  $\lambda > 0$  and a bounded linear map  $T_\lambda : (H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M))' \rightarrow H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)$  such that*

$$\delta(\mu^{-1}dT_\lambda u) + \lambda\varepsilon T_\lambda u = u, \quad u \in (H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M))' \quad (5.6)$$

and

$$T_\lambda(\delta(\mu^{-1}de) + \lambda\varepsilon e) = e, \quad e \in H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M).$$

Further, if  $\langle u, dh' \rangle_M = 0$  for all  $h' \in H_0^1(M)$ , then  $T_\lambda u \in H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$ . Moreover, if  $\varepsilon$  and  $\mu$  are positive, then  $T_\lambda|_{L^2\Omega^1(M)}$  is self-adjoint with respect to the  $L^2\Omega^1(M)$ -inner product.

Here and in what follows,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_M$  is the duality between  $(H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M))'$  and  $H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)$  naturally extending the  $L^2\Omega^1(M)$ -inner product.

*Proof.* Consider the bilinear form on  $H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)$

$$B(e, e') := (\mu^{-1}de|de')_{L^2\Omega^2(M)}, \quad e, e' \in H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M).$$

Then

$$|B(e, e')| \leq C\|e\|_{H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)}\|e'\|_{H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)}.$$

It is also easy to see that

$$\operatorname{Re} B(e, e) \geq C_0\|de\|_{L^2\Omega^2(M)}^2 \geq c_0\|e\|_{H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)}^2 - C_0\|e\|_{L^2\Omega^1(M)}^2$$

for some constants  $c_0, C_0 > 0$  independent of  $e$ . Thus, there is constant  $\lambda > 0$  such that the form  $B(e, e') + (\lambda \varepsilon e | e')_{L^2 \Omega^1(M)}$  is strictly coercive on  $H_{d,0} \Omega^1(M)$ . In fact, we can take  $\lambda > 0$  satisfying  $\lambda \geq C_0 / \min_M \operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon)$ . Applying the Lax-Milgram's lemma, we obtain a bounded linear operator  $T_\lambda : (H_{d,0} \Omega^1(M))' \rightarrow H_{d,0} \Omega^1(M)$  such that

$$(\mu^{-1} dT_\lambda u | de')_{L^2 \Omega^2(M)} + (\lambda \varepsilon T_\lambda u | e')_{L^2 \Omega^1(M)} = \langle u, e' \rangle_M \quad (5.7)$$

for all  $u \in (H_{d,0} \Omega^1(M))'$  and  $e' \in H_{d,0} \Omega^1(M)$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_M$  is the duality between  $(H_{d,0} \Omega^1(M))'$  and  $H_{d,0} \Omega^1(M)$ . Thus,  $T_\lambda$  is the operator which maps  $u \in (H_{d,0} \Omega^1(M))'$  to the unique solution  $e \in H_{d,0} \Omega^1(M)$  of  $\delta(\mu^{-1} de) + \lambda \varepsilon e = u$ .

In particular, if  $\langle u, dh' \rangle_M = 0$  for all  $h' \in H_0^1(M)$ , setting  $e' = dh'$  in (5.7) we get  $(\varepsilon T_\lambda u | dh')_{L^2 \Omega^1(M)} = 0$  and hence  $T_\lambda u \in H_{d,0} \Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$ .

To prove that  $T_\lambda$  is self-adjoint, suppose  $\varphi, \varphi' \in L^2 \Omega^1(M)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (T_\lambda \varphi | \varphi')_{L^2 \Omega^1(M)} &= (T_\lambda \varphi | \delta(\mu^{-1} dT_\lambda \varphi') + \lambda \varepsilon T_\lambda \varphi')_{L^2 \Omega^1(M)} \\ &= (\mu^{-1} dT_\lambda \varphi | dT_\lambda \varphi')_{L^2 \Omega^2(M)} + (\lambda \varepsilon T_\lambda \varphi | T_\lambda \varphi')_{L^2 \Omega^1(M)} \\ &= (\delta(\mu^{-1} dT_\lambda \varphi) + \lambda \varepsilon T_\lambda \varphi | T_\lambda \varphi')_{L^2 \Omega^1(M)} \\ &= (\varphi | T_\lambda \varphi')_{L^2 \Omega^1(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $T_\lambda^* = T_\lambda$ . □

Then  $E_0 \in H_{d,0} \Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$  solves (5.5) if and only if

$$E_0 - (\omega^2 + \lambda) \tilde{T}_\lambda E_0 = T_\lambda (\varepsilon J_{e,0} + \delta(\mu^{-1} * J_m)) \quad (5.8)$$

where  $\tilde{T}_\lambda = T_\lambda \circ m_\varepsilon \circ P_\varepsilon$ ,  $m_\varepsilon$  is multiplication by  $\varepsilon$ , and  $P_\varepsilon$  is the bounded orthogonal projection of  $L^2 \Omega^1(M)$  onto  $L^2 \Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$  constructed in Proposition 4.1. Note that for all  $h' \in H_0^1(M)$  we have

$$\langle \varepsilon J_{e,0} + \delta(\mu^{-1} * J_m), dh' \rangle_M = (\varepsilon J_{e,0} | dh')_{L^2 \Omega^1(M)} + (\mu^{-1} * J_m | d(dh'))_{L^2 \Omega^2(M)} = 0,$$

since  $J_{e,0} \in L^2 \Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$ . Therefore, by the second part of Proposition 5.1, this implies that  $T_\lambda (\varepsilon J_{e,0} + \delta(\mu^{-1} * J_m)) \in H_{d,0} \Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$ .

Second part of Proposition 5.1 implies also that  $\tilde{T}_\lambda$  can be considered as a bounded linear operator

$$\tilde{T}_\lambda : L^2 \Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{m_\varepsilon} L^2 \Omega^1(M)_{0,1} \xrightarrow{T_\lambda} H_{d,0} \Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow L^2 \Omega^1(M) \xrightarrow{P_\varepsilon} L^2 \Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$$

and

$$\tilde{T}_\lambda : L^2 \Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{m_\varepsilon} L^2 \Omega^1(M)_{0,1} \xrightarrow{T_\lambda} H_{d,0} \Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}. \quad (5.9)$$

The equation (5.8) has a unique solution  $E_0$  if and only if either  $\omega^2 = -\lambda$  or  $(\omega^2 + \lambda)^{-1} \notin \operatorname{Spec}(\tilde{T}_\lambda)$ . By Proposition 4.4, the inclusion  $H_{d,0} \Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow L^2 \Omega^1(M)$  is compact. This implies that  $\tilde{T}_\lambda$  is compact as an operator from  $L^2 \Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$  to itself. According to Fredholm's alternative (see e.g. [4, Theorem 0.38]), this implies that  $0 \notin \operatorname{Spec}(\tilde{T}_\lambda)$  and  $\operatorname{Spec}(\tilde{T}_\lambda)$  is discrete. Therefore, (5.8) has a unique solution  $E_0$  for any  $\omega \notin \Sigma$ , where

$$\Sigma = \{\omega \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm i \lambda^{1/2}\} : (\omega^2 + \lambda)^{-1} \in \operatorname{Spec}(\tilde{T}_\lambda)\}$$

which is discrete. Since  $\text{Id} - (\omega^2 + \lambda)\tilde{T}_\lambda : H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon} \rightarrow H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$ , for all  $\omega \notin \Sigma$  we have  $(\text{Id} - (\lambda + \omega^2)\tilde{T}_\lambda)^{-1} : H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon} \rightarrow H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$ . Since the right hand-side of (5.8) is in  $H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$ , this implies that the solution  $E_0$  belongs to  $H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$  and

$$\|E_0\|_{H_d\Omega^1(M)} \leq C(\|J_e\|_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} + \|J_m\|_{L^2\Omega^1(M)}),$$

since  $\|\delta(\mu^{-1} * J_m)\|_{(H_d\Omega^1(M))'} \leq C\|J_m\|_{L^2(M)}$ .

Finally, setting  $E = E_0 - \omega^{-2}dj_e$ , we obtain a unique  $H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)$  solution for (5.1) such that

$$\|E\|_{H_d\Omega^1(M)} \leq C(\|J_e\|_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} + \|J_m\|_{L^2\Omega^1(M)}),$$

since  $\|j_e\|_{H^1(M)} \leq C\|J_e\|_{L^2\Omega^1(M)}$  by (5.3). The proof of Theorem 1.2 is thus complete.

## 6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

For a fixed  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ , consider the following space

$$\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon,\mu,\omega} = \{(E, H) \in H_d\Omega^1(M) \times H_d\Omega^1(M) : (E, H) \text{ is a solution of (1.1)}\}.$$

The topology on this space is the subspace topology in  $H_d\Omega^1(M) \times H_d\Omega^1(M)$ . It is not difficult to check that  $\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon,\mu,\omega}$  is closed in  $H_d\Omega^1(M) \times H_d\Omega^1(M)$ .

For a given  $(E, H) \in \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon,\mu,\omega}$  define  $\mathbf{t}_E(E, H) := \mathbf{t}(E) \in TH_d\Omega^1(\partial M)$ . Since the inclusion  $\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon,\mu,\omega} \hookrightarrow H_d\Omega^1(M) \times H_d\Omega^1(M)$  is bounded, it is clear that  $\mathbf{t}_E : \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon,\mu,\omega} \rightarrow TH_d\Omega^1(\partial M)$  is bounded.

We now prove the following proposition which clearly implies Theorem 1.1.

**Proposition 6.1.** *There is a discrete set  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}$  such that for all  $\omega \notin \Sigma$  the operator  $\mathbf{t}_E : \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon,\mu,\omega} \rightarrow TH_d\Omega^1(\partial M)$  is a homeomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Sigma$  be as in Theorem 1.2 and let us take any  $\omega \notin \Sigma$ . If we show that the bounded operator  $\mathbf{t}_E : \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon,\mu,\omega} \rightarrow TH_d\Omega^1(\partial M)$  is one-to-one and onto, the result follows from Open Mapping Theorem.

First, we prove injectivity of  $\mathbf{t}_E$ . Suppose that  $(E_1, H_1), (E_2, H_2) \in \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon,\mu,\omega}$  satisfy  $\mathbf{t}_E(E_1, H_1) = \mathbf{t}_E(E_2, H_2)$ . Then  $(E, H) \in \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon,\mu}$  and  $\mathbf{t}(E) = 0$ , where  $E := E_1 - E_2$  and  $H := H_1 - H_2$ . Uniqueness part of Theorem 1.2 (with  $J_e = J_m = 0$ ) gives that  $E = 0$  and  $H = 0$ .

Now, we prove surjectivity of  $\mathbf{t}_E$ . For a given  $f \in TH_d\Omega^1(\partial M)$ , by definition of  $TH_d\Omega^1(\partial M)$ , there is  $E' \in H_d\Omega^1(M)$  such that  $\mathbf{t}(E') = f$ . Applying Theorem 1.2 with  $J_e = i\omega\varepsilon E'$  and  $J_m = *dE'$ , we obtain a unique  $(E_0, H_0) \in H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M) \times H_d\Omega^1(M)$  solving

$$\begin{cases} *dE_0 = i\omega\mu H_0 + *dE', \\ *dH_0 = -i\omega\varepsilon E_0 + i\omega\varepsilon E'. \end{cases}$$

Then  $(E, H) \in \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon,\mu}$  with  $\mathbf{t}_E(E, H) = \mathbf{t}(E) = f$ , where  $E := E_0 + E'$  and  $H := H_0$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

## 7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

For the proof, observe that the boundary value problem (1.4) can be written as

$$\delta(\mu^{-1}dE) - \omega^2\varepsilon E = 0, \quad \mathbf{t}(E) = 0.$$

We first consider the case  $\omega \neq 0$ . Then the latter boundary value problem has a solution  $E$  in  $H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$  if and only if

$$E - (\omega^2 + \lambda)\tilde{T}_\lambda E = 0,$$

where  $\tilde{T}_\lambda$  is defined as in the proof of Theorem 1.2. We first show that this operator is in fact a self-adjoint operator with respect to certain inner product.

**Lemma 7.1.** *If both  $\varepsilon$  and  $\mu$  are strictly positive on  $M$ , then the restriction of  $\tilde{T}_\lambda$  onto  $L^2\Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$  is self-adjoint with respect to the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2_\varepsilon\Omega^1(M)}$ .*

*Proof.* For  $\varphi, \varphi' \in L^2\Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$  we have  $\tilde{T}_\lambda\varphi = T_\lambda(\varepsilon\varphi)$  and  $\tilde{T}_\lambda\varphi' = T_\lambda(\varepsilon\varphi')$ . Therefore, using integration by parts,

$$(\tilde{T}_\lambda\varphi, \varphi')_{L^2_\varepsilon\Omega^1(M)} = (\varepsilon T_\lambda(\varepsilon\varphi)|\varphi')_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} = (T_\lambda(\varepsilon\varphi)|\varepsilon\varphi')_{L^2\Omega^1(M)}.$$

According to the hypotheses and Proposition 5.1,  $T_\lambda$  is self-adjoint with respect to the  $L^2\Omega^1(M)$ -inner product. Therefore,

$$(\tilde{T}_\lambda\varphi, \varphi')_{L^2_\varepsilon\Omega^1(M)} = (\varepsilon\varphi|T_\lambda(\varepsilon\varphi'))_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} = (\varphi, \tilde{T}_\lambda\varphi')_{L^2_\varepsilon\Omega^1(M)}.$$

This finishes the proof.  $\square$

It was shown in the previous section that the operator  $\tilde{T}_\lambda$  is bounded and compact from  $L^2\Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$  to itself. Moreover, by Lemma 7.1, the assumptions that  $\varepsilon$  and  $\mu$  are strictly positive imply that the operator  $\tilde{T}_\lambda$  is self-adjoint with respect to the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2_\varepsilon\Omega^1(M)}$ . Then by Fredholm's alternative and Spectral theorem (see e.g. Proposition 6.6 in [13, Appendix A]) there is a sequence  $\{\kappa_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}$  consisting of eigenvalues of finite multiplicity such that  $\kappa_k \searrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Associated to the eigenvalues  $\kappa_k$  we have the eigenfunctions  $e_k \in L^2\Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$ , forming an orthonormal basis in  $L^2\Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$  with respect to the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2_\varepsilon\Omega^1(M)}$  and satisfying  $\tilde{T}_\lambda e_k = \kappa_k e_k$ . Moreover, each  $e_k$  is in  $H_{d,0}\Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$  (by (5.9)) and solves

$$e_k - (\omega_k^2 + \lambda)\tilde{T}_\lambda e_k = 0$$

if  $\omega_k^2 = \kappa_k^{-1} - \lambda$ . Then  $e_k$  also solves  $\delta(\mu^{-1}de_k) - \omega_k^2\varepsilon e_k = 0$ . Using this and integrating by parts we show that

$$(\mu^{-1}de_k|de_k)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} - \omega_k^2(\varepsilon e_k|e_k)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} = (\delta(\mu^{-1}de_k) - \omega_k^2\varepsilon e_k|e_k)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} = 0.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  and  $\mu$  are assumed to be strictly positive, this implies that

$$\omega_k^2 = \frac{(\mu^{-1}de_k|de_k)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)}}{(\varepsilon e_k|e_k)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)}} > 0.$$

We may choose  $\omega_k > 0$ , and hence  $\omega_k = (\kappa_k^{-1} - \lambda)^{1/2}$ . Since  $\kappa_k \searrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\omega_k \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .



Next, we define the sequence  $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset L^2\Omega^1(M)$  as  $*de_k = i\omega_k\mu h_k$ . Then, by direct calculations, it is not difficult to see that each  $(e_k, h_k)$  satisfy (1.5) and hence also  $h_k \in H_d\Omega^1(M)$ . Moreover,  $h_k \in H_d\Omega^1(M)_\mu$ , since for all  $\varphi \in H_d(0, \Omega^1(M))$ , integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (h_k, \varphi)_{L^2_\mu\Omega^1(M)} &= (\mu h_k | \varphi)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} = (i\omega_k)^{-1}(*de_k | \varphi)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} \\ &= (i\omega_k)^{-1}(de_k | * \varphi)_{L^2\Omega^2(M)} = (i\omega_k)^{-1}(e_k | * d\varphi)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Further, using (1.5)

$$\begin{aligned} (h_k, h_l)_{L^2_\mu\Omega^1(M)} &= (\mu h_k | h_l)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} = (\omega_k\omega_l)^{-1}(\mu^{-1}de_k | de_l)_{L^2\Omega^2(M)} \\ &= (\omega_k\omega_l)^{-1}(\delta(\mu^{-1}de_k) | e_l)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} = \frac{\omega_k}{\omega_l}(\varepsilon e_k | e_l)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(h_k, h_l)_{L^2_\mu\Omega^1(M)} = \frac{\omega_k}{\omega_l}(e_k, e_l)_{L^2_\varepsilon\Omega^1(M)} = \delta_{kl},$$

i.e.  $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  forms an orthonormal set with respect to  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2_\mu\Omega^1(M)}$ .

To show that  $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is complete in  $H_d\Omega^1(M)_\mu$ , with respect to  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2_\mu\Omega^1(M)}$ , take  $\psi \in H_d\Omega^1(M)_\mu$  such that  $(h_k, \psi)_{L^2_\mu\Omega^1(M)} = 0$  for all  $k \geq 1$  integer. Then

$$0 = i\omega_k(\mu h_k | \psi)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} = (*de_k | \psi)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} = (e_k | \delta * \psi)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} = (e_k | * d\psi)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)}.$$

Setting  $\phi = \varepsilon^{-1} * d\psi \in L^2\Omega^1(M)$ , this implies that  $(e_k, \phi)_{L^2_\varepsilon\Omega^1(M)} = 0$  for all  $k \geq 1$  integer. Suppose that  $\phi \in L^2\Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$ . Then by completeness of  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $L^2\Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$  with respect to the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2_\varepsilon\Omega^1(M)}$ , we get  $\phi = 0$  and hence  $\psi \in H_d(0, \Omega^1(M))$ . Then  $\psi = 0$  according to the Helmholtz decomposition (4.4).

Now, we show that  $\phi \in L^2\Omega^1(M)_{0,\varepsilon}$ . For this, we need to show that  $(\varepsilon\phi | d\varphi)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in H_0^1(M)$ . By density, it is enough to consider the case when  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty\Omega^1(M)^{\text{int}}$ . Then, integrating by parts,

$$(\varepsilon\phi | d\varphi)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} = (*d\psi | d\varphi)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} = (d\psi | * d\varphi)_{L^2\Omega^2(M)} = (\mathbf{t}(\psi) | \mathbf{t}(i_\nu * d\varphi))_{\partial M}.$$

Since  $\mathbf{t}(d\varphi) = d_{\partial M}(\varphi|_{\partial M}) = 0$ , by Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$(\mathbf{t}(u) | \mathbf{t}(i_\nu * d\varphi))_{L^2\Omega^1(\partial M)} = \int_{\partial M} \mathbf{t}(u) \wedge \mathbf{t}(d\bar{\varphi}) = 0$$

for all  $u \in C^\infty\Omega^1(M)$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{t}(i_\nu * d\varphi) = 0$  and hence  $(\varepsilon\phi | d\varphi)_{L^2\Omega^1(M)} = 0$ . This proves the completeness.

Finally, we mention that  $\omega = 0$  is also an eigenvalue of (1.4) with infinite dimensional eigenspace  $H_{d,0}(0, \Omega^1(M)) \times H_d(0, \Omega^1(M))$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Caorsi, P. Fernandes, M. Raffetto, *On the convergence of Galerkin finite element approximations of electromagnetic eigenproblems*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., **38** (2000), 580–607.
- [2] M. Costabel, *A remark on the regularity of solutions of Maxwell's equations in Lipschitz domains*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., **12** (1990), 365–368.
- [3] M. Costabel, *A coercive bilinear form for Maxwell's equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **157** (1991), 527–541.

- [4] G. B. Folland, *Introduction to Partial Differential Equations*, 2nd edition, Princeton University Press, 1995.
- [5] C. Kenig, M. Salo, G. Uhlmann, *Inverse problems for the anisotropic Maxwell equations*, *Duke Math. J.* **157** (2011), no. 2, 369–419.
- [6] A. Kirsch, F. Hettlich, *The Mathematical Theory of Time-Harmonic Maxwell's Equations*, Applied Mathematical Sciences 190, Springer, 2015
- [7] R. Leis, *Zur theorie elektromagnetischer schwingungen in anisotropen inhomogenen medien*, *Math. Z.*, **106** (1968), pp. 213–224.
- [8] D. Mitrea, M. Mitrea, *Finite Energy Solutions of Maxwell's Equations and Constructive Hodge Decompositions on Nonsmooth Riemannian Manifolds*, *J. Func. Anal.* **190** (2002), 339–417.
- [9] M. Mitrea, *Sharp Hodge decompositions, Maxwell's equations, and vector Poisson problems on nonsmooth, three-dimensional Riemannian manifolds*, *Duke Math. J.* **125** (2004), 467–547.
- [10] M. Mitrea, *Generalized Dirac Operators on Nonsmooth Manifolds and Maxwell's Equations*, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **7** (2001), 207–256.
- [11] P. Monk, *Finite Element Methods for Maxwell's Equations*, Numer. Math. Sci. Comput., Oxford University Press, New York, 2003.
- [12] G. Schwarz, *Hodge decomposition – a method for solving boundary value problems*, Lecture notes in mathematics 1607, Springer, 1995.
- [13] M. E. Taylor, *Partial differential equations I: Basic theory*, Springer, 1999.
- [14] C. Weber, *A local compactness theorem for Maxwell's equations*, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, **2** (1980), 12–25.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTATIONAL AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, RICE UNIVERSITY, HOUSTON, TX 77005, USA

*E-mail address:* yernat.assylbekov@gmail.com