A UNIVERSAL PROPERTY FOR GROUPOID C*-ALGEBRAS. I

ALCIDES BUSS, ROHIT HOLKAR, AND RALF MEYER

Abstract. We describe representations of groupoid C*-algebras on Hilbert modules over arbitrary C*-algebras by a universal property. For Hilbert space representations, our universal property is equivalent to Renault’s Integration–Disintegration Theorem. For a locally compact group, it is related to the automatic continuity of measurable group representations. It implies known descriptions of groupoid C*-algebras as crossed products for étale groupoids and transformation groupoids of group actions on spaces.

1. Introduction

The C*-algebra of a locally compact group G may be characterised uniquely up to isomorphism by a universal property: there is a natural bijection between nondegenerate *-homomorphisms $C^*(G) \to M(D)$ – briefly called morphisms $C^*(G) \to D$ – and strictly continuous group homomorphisms $G \to U(D)$, where D is a C*-algebra and $U(D)$ its group of unitary multipliers. That this universal property characterises $C^*(G)$ is shown in [23, Theorem 2.61], even in the more general case of crossed products; Williams attributes this theorem to Raeburn.

Now let G be a locally compact, Hausdorff groupoid with a Haar system $\alpha$. Renault’s Integration and Disintegration Theorems describe the Hilbert space representations of the groupoid C*-algebra $C^*(G, \alpha)$ (see [18]). The category of Hilbert space representations and intertwining operators is not enough, however, to determine a C*-algebra uniquely up to isomorphism, compare [19, Example 1.4]. This complicates many arguments about groupoid C*-algebras because the dense *-subalgebra $C_c(G)$ and other details of the definition of $C^*(G, \alpha)$ reappear in every argument. This includes such technical matters as the automatic boundedness of any *-representation of $C_c(G)$ in the I-norm.

We are going to describe the representations of $C^*(G, \alpha)$ on Hilbert modules over arbitrary C*-algebras by a universal property. This universal property determines $C^*(G, \alpha)$ uniquely up to a canonical isomorphism and should, therefore, simplify many arguments with groupoid C*-algebras. We also prove the automatic boundedness of densely defined *-representations of $C_c(G)$, even if G is not second countable (Corollary 6.2). This allows us to get rid of the second countability assumption in the main result of [13], which says that Morita equivalent groupoids have Morita equivalent C*-algebras.

In particular, this result implies that the groupoid C*-algebras for different Haar systems on the same groupoid are canonically Morita–Rieffel equivalent. It is unclear, however, whether they are isomorphic, and there certainly is no natural isomorphism between them. Hence a universal property for groupoid C*-algebras must
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contain the Haar system. This entails some complications. To show how our universal property can be used, we apply it to two special cases, namely, étale groupoids and transformation groupoids of group actions. We describe their representations and thus their groupoid C*-algebras. This implies that the groupoid C*-algebra of an étale groupoid or a transformation groupoid for a group action is a crossed product for an inverse semigroup action or a group action, respectively. This description comes with a universal property that describes representations on Hilbert modules as well as Hilbert spaces. Hilbert modules are powerful objects, and some proofs of disintegration theorems already use them. For instance, [1] proves a disintegration theorem for Hilbert space representations of holonomy groupoids of singular foliations. Our techniques are, however, quite different from those in [1].

Our universal property uses the commutative C*-algebras of functions on the spaces of objects, arrows, and composable pairs of arrows in \( G \). Therefore, as it stands, it only works for Hausdorff groupoids. The non-Hausdorff case may be treated by desingularising a non-Hausdorff, locally compact groupoid to a Hausdorff, locally compact bigroupoid. There is also a variant where we add Fell bundles, even non-saturated ones. The most general version of the universal property applies to non-saturated Fell bundles over bigroupoids, which we view as partial actions of bigroupoids by Hilbert bimodules (partial Morita–Rieffel equivalences). Since both Fell bundles and bigroupoids create further technical complications, we discuss them only later, in sequels to this article.

When we combine our universal property with the representation theory of commutative C*-algebras on separable Hilbert spaces, the resulting description of representations of groupoid C*-algebras on separable Hilbert spaces is equivalent to Renault’s Integration–Disintegration Theorem. Besides the Haar system on the groupoid, our universal property does not involve any measure theory because this would fail for representations on Hilbert modules: direct integral decompositions need not exist in this case, see Remark 3.25. In fact, our universal property works for arbitrary (non-separable) groupoid C*-algebras and only involves rather soft analysis. This is compensated by appropriate algebraic structures. For a locally compact group \( G \), our universal property for Hilbert space representations gives Haar-measurable weak representations, that is, Haar-measurable maps \( g \mapsto U_g \) from \( G \) to the unitary group such that \( U_g U_h = U_{gh} \) holds for almost all \((g, h) \in G^2\) with respect to the Haar measure. Together with the usual universal property for group C*-algebras, this shows that any Haar-measurable weak group representation is equal almost everywhere to a continuous group representation (see Corollary 3.26). Similar automatic continuity results for group representations go back to Stefan Banach and André Weil (see [20]).

Throughout this article, we let \( G \) be a locally compact, Hausdorff groupoid with a Haar system, which we denote by \( \alpha \). Let \( G^0, G^1 \) and \( G^2 \) be its spaces of objects, arrows and composable pairs of arrows, and let \( r, s: G^1 \rightrightarrows G^0 \) be its range and source maps. We recall in Section 2 how to construct C*-correspondences between commutative C*-algebras such as \( C_0(G^i) \) for \( i = 0, 1, 2 \) from topological correspondences between the underlying spaces. We construct some C*-correspondences of this type from families of measures along canonical maps \( G^2 \rightrightarrows G^1 \rightrightarrows G^0 \). Using these C*-correspondences, we formulate our universal property in Section 3. We illustrate it by the example of the regular representation and relate it to the Integration and Disintegration Theorems of Renault [18]. For the universal property, we define “representations” of \((G, \alpha)\) on Hilbert modules. Our main theorem asserts that these representations are equivalent to representations of the groupoid C*-algebra. We describe how to integrate and disintegrate representations in Sections 4 and 5 and we show that both constructions are inverse to each other in Section 6.
This section finishes the proof of the universal property. Section 7 specialises to transformation groups and étale groupoids.

2. Continuous families of measures and topological correspondences

Our universal property is based on canonical $C^*$-correspondences between the commutative $C^*$-algebras $C_0(G^i)$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$. We are going to describe a general procedure to construct $C^*$-correspondences between commutative $C^*$-algebras. The $C^*$-correspondences between $C_0(G^i)$ that we need are all of this form.

A $C^*$-correspondence from a $C^*$-algebra $A$ to another $C^*$-algebra $D$ consists of a (right) Hilbert $D$-module $F$ with a nondegenerate $^*$-homomorphism $\varphi$ from $A$ to $B(F)$, the $C^*$-algebra of adjointable operators on $F$. We view a $C^*$-correspondence from $A$ to $D$ as an arrow $A \to D$ and usually write $A \xrightarrow{\varphi} D$. We also view $\varphi$ as a representation of $A$ on $F$. Two $C^*$-correspondences $F_1$ and $F_2$ from $A$ to $D$ are isomorphic if there is a unitary bimodule map $U: F_1 \sim \to F_2$.

We write $\otimes$ for suitably completed tensor products of $C^*$-correspondences, and $\circ$ for the tensor product of vector spaces without any completion. In particular, the composite of two $C^*$-correspondences $A \xrightarrow{\xi} B$ and $B \xrightarrow{\zeta} D$ is their (balanced) tensor product $E \otimes_B F$ (see [10, Chapter 4]). This is the completion of the algebraic (balanced) tensor product $E \otimes_B F$ with respect to the $D$-valued inner product

$$\langle \xi_1 \otimes \eta_1, \xi_2 \otimes \eta_2 \rangle := \langle \eta_1 | \varphi(\langle \xi_1 | \xi_2 \rangle) \eta_2 \rangle,$$

where $\varphi: B \to B(F)$ is the underlying homomorphism that gives the left $B$-module structure of $F$; the notation $E \otimes_{\varphi} F$ is used instead of $E \otimes_B F$ to highlight $\varphi$.

Let $X$ and $Y$ be locally compact, Hausdorff spaces and let $f: X \to Y$ be a continuous map with a continuous family $\lambda$ of measures $\lambda_y$ along the fibres $f^{-1}(y)$ of $f$ (such families are called $f$-systems in [18, Section 1]). Thus each $\lambda_y$ is a positive Radon measure on $X$ with $\text{supp}(\lambda_y) \subseteq f^{-1}(y)$. The continuity of $\lambda$ means that the integration map

$$\lambda: C_c(X) \to C_c(Y), \quad \lambda(\varphi)(y) = \int X \varphi(x) \, d\lambda_y(x),$$

takes values in $C_c(Y)$.

**Definition 2.1.** We equip $C_c(X)$ with the $C_0(X)$-$C_0(Y)$-bimodule structure

$$(\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2 \cdot \varphi_3)(x) := \varphi_1(x) \varphi_2(x) \varphi_3(f(x))$$

for $\varphi_1 \in C_0(X)$, $\varphi_2 \in C_c(X)$, $\varphi_3 \in C_0(Y)$ and with the $C_0(Y)$-valued inner product $\langle \xi | \eta \rangle := \lambda(\xi \cdot \eta)$, that is,

$$\langle \xi | \eta \rangle(y) := \int_{f^{-1}(y)} \bar{\xi}(x) \cdot \eta(x) \, d\lambda_y(x).$$

Then $C_c(X)$ is a pre-Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-module with a nondegenerate representation of $C_0(X)$ by adjointable operators. Some nonzero $\xi \in C_c(X)$ might have $\langle \xi | \xi \rangle = 0$ unless we assume $\lambda_y$ to have full support. Let $L^2(X, f, \lambda)$ be the Hausdorff completion of $C_c(X)$ for this inner product, which is a $C^*$-correspondence from $C_0(X)$ to $C_0(Y)$. In diagrams, we often briefly denote this $C^*$-correspondence as $C_0(X) \xrightarrow{\lambda} C_0(Y)$.

Any Hilbert module over a commutative $C^*$-algebra $C_0(Y)$ is isomorphic to the section space of a continuous field of Hilbert spaces over $Y$, see [22, Section 9.1]. This is an equivalence of categories, that is, there is a functorial bijection between unitary operators between two Hilbert $C_0(Y)$-modules and continuous families of unitary operators between the corresponding continuous fields of Hilbert spaces.
over \( Y \). The continuous field of Hilbert spaces associated to \( \mathcal{L}^2(X,f,\lambda) \) has the fibre \( \mathcal{L}^2(f^{-1}(y),\lambda_y) \) at \( y \in Y \), and its \( C_0 \)-sections are generated by \( C_c(X) \); here \( \zeta \in C_c(X) \) is identified with the section \( y \mapsto \xi_{f^{-1}(y)} \).

Let \( f : X \to Y \) and \( g : Y \to Z \) be continuous maps with continuous families of measures \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \), respectively. Then the composite integration map

\[
(2.2) \quad \mu \circ \lambda : C_c(X) \to C_c(Y) \to C_c(Z), \quad (\mu \circ \lambda)(\varphi)(z) = \int_Y \int_X \varphi(x) \, d\lambda_y(x) \, d\mu_z(y),
\]
describes a continuous family of measures \( \mu \circ \lambda \) along \( g \circ f \).

**Lemma 2.3.** The map

\[
\gamma : C_c(X) \otimes C_c(Y) \to C_c(X), \quad \gamma(\varphi \otimes \psi)(x) := \varphi(x) \cdot \psi(f(x)),
\]
extends uniquely to an isomorphism of \( C_0(X)-C_0(Y) \)-correspondences

\[
\tilde{\gamma} : \mathcal{L}^2(X,f,\lambda) \otimes_{C_0(Y)} \mathcal{L}^2(Y,g,\mu) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}^2(X,g \circ f,\mu \circ \lambda).
\]

**Proof.** The inner products defining \( \mathcal{L}^2(X,f,\lambda) \otimes_{C_0(Y)} \mathcal{L}^2(Y,g,\mu) \) and \( \mathcal{L}^2(X,g \circ f,\mu \circ \lambda) \) are preserved by \( \gamma \) because

\[
\langle \gamma(\varphi_1 \otimes \psi_1) | \gamma(\varphi_2 \otimes \psi_2) \rangle(z) = \int_{g^{-1}(z)} \int_{f^{-1}(y)} \gamma(\varphi_1 \otimes \psi_1)(x) \gamma(\varphi_2 \otimes \psi_2)(x) \, d\lambda_y(x) \, d\mu_z(y)
\]

\[
= \int_{g^{-1}(z)} \int_{f^{-1}(y)} \varphi_1(x) \psi_1(y) \varphi_2(x) \psi_2(y) \, d\lambda_y(x) \, d\mu_z(y)
\]

\[
= \langle \psi_1 | \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \rangle \cdot \langle \varphi_2 | \psi_2 \rangle(z)
\]

for all \( z \in Z \). Hence \( \gamma \) extends to an isometry

\[
\tilde{\gamma} : \mathcal{L}^2(X,f,\lambda) \otimes_{C_0(Y)} \mathcal{L}^2(Y,g,\mu) \to \mathcal{L}^2(X,g \circ f,\mu \circ \lambda).
\]

This is clearly a bimodule map. It is surjective (and hence an isomorphism of correspondences) because \( \gamma : C_c(X) \otimes C_c(Y) \to C_c(X) \) is already surjective: any \( \varphi' \in C_c(X) \) may be decomposed as \( \varphi'(x) = \varphi(x) \psi(f(x)) \) by taking \( \psi \in C_c(Y) \) with \( \psi(y) = 1 \) for \( y \in f(supp(\varphi')) \) and \( \varphi := \varphi' \).

The compositions for measure families and \( C^* \)-correspondences are compatible by Lemma 2.3. In our brief notation, this means that the following diagram of \( C^* \)-correspondences commutes up to the canonical isomorphism \( \gamma \):

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C_0(X) & \xrightarrow{f} & C_0(Y) \\
\downarrow \mu \circ \lambda & & \downarrow g \\
C_0(Z) & \xrightarrow{\mu \circ \lambda} & C_0(Y) \\
\end{array}
\]

**Definition 2.5.** Let \( f : X \to Y \) (“forward”) be a continuous map with a continuous family of measures \( \lambda \) and let \( b : X \to Z \) (“backward”) be a continuous map. We define a \( C_0(Z) \)-module structure on \( C_c(X) \) by \( (\varphi \cdot \psi)(x) := \varphi(b(x)) \cdot \psi(x) \) for \( \varphi \in C_0(Z) \), \( \psi \in C_c(X) \). This extends to a representation of \( C_0(Z) \) on the Hilbert \( C_0(Y) \)-module \( \mathcal{L}^2(X,f,\lambda) \), turning it into a \( C^* \)-correspondence from \( C_0(Z) \) to \( C_0(Y) \). We denote it by \( b^* \mathcal{L}^2(X,f,\lambda) \). We call a pair of maps \( Z \xleftarrow{b} X \xrightarrow{f} Y \) with a continuous family of measures \( \lambda \) along \( f \) a topological correspondence from \( Z \) to \( Y \).

In particular, the \( C_0(X)-C_0(Y) \)-correspondence \( \mathcal{L}^2(X,f,\lambda) \) built in Definition 2.5 from a continuous family of measures \( \lambda \) along a continuous map \( f : X \to Y \) is
associated to the topological correspondence

$$X \xleftarrow{\text{id}_X} X \xrightarrow{f \lambda_y} Y.$$  

Topological correspondences are a mild generalisation of the topological quivers introduced by Muhly and Tomforde \cite{MuhlyTomforde}: a topological quiver is a topological correspondence with the same source and target space. Basic results about topological quivers such as \cite{MuhlyTomforde} Lemmas 6.1–4 have obvious generalisations to topological correspondences. We also get the notion of a topological correspondence if we specialise the topological correspondences between locally compact, Hausdorff groupoids introduced in \cite{MuhlyTomforde} to locally compact spaces.

Topological correspondences may be composed by a fibre product construction, and this composition and the interior tensor product of C*-correspondences are compatible up to a canonical isomorphism, see \cite{MuhlyTomforde} Lemmas 6.1–4 or \cite{MuhlyTomforde}. We give more details. Let $X$, $Y$ and $Z$ be locally compact spaces. Let $(V, b_V, f_V, \lambda)$ and $(W, b_W, f_W, \mu)$ be topological correspondences from $X$ to $Y$ and from $Y$ to $Z$, respectively. Their composite topological correspondence is the fibre product

$$V \times_{f_V, Y, b_W} W := \{(v, w) \in V \times W : f_V(v) = b_W(w)\}$$

with the maps $b := b_V \circ \text{pr}_1$ and $f := f_W \circ \text{pr}_2$, respectively, where $\text{pr}_i$ is the projection from $V \times f_V, Y, b_W$ $W$ to the $i$th factor; the family of measures $\lambda \times \mu$ on $V \times_{f_V, Y, b_W} W$ is defined by

$$\int_{V \times_{f_V, Y, b_W} W} \phi \, d(\lambda \times \mu)_z := \int_{f_V^{-1}(z)} \int_{f_V^{-1}(b_W(w))} \phi(v, w) \, d\lambda_{b_W}(w)(v) \, d\mu_z(w)$$

for $\phi \in C_c(V \times_{f_V, Y, b_W} W)$ and $z \in Z$.

**Proposition 2.6.** The canonical map

$$\gamma : C_c(V) \otimes C_c(W) \rightarrow C_c(V \times_{f_V, Y, b_W} W), \quad \gamma(\phi \otimes \psi)(v, w) := \phi(v) \psi(w),$$

extends to an isomorphism

$$b_V^* \mathcal{L}^2(V, f_V, \lambda) \otimes_{C_0(Y)} b_W^* \mathcal{L}^2(W, f_W, \mu) \xrightarrow{\sim} b^* \mathcal{L}^2(V \times_{f_V, Y, b_W} W, f, \lambda \times \mu)$$

of C*-correspondences from $C_0(X)$ to $C_0(Z)$.

**Proof.** A direct computation shows that $\gamma$ is a bimodule map. To see that $\gamma$ preserves the inner products, take $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C_c(V)$ and $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in C_c(W)$. Then

$$\langle \varphi_1 \otimes \psi_1 | \varphi_2 \otimes \psi_2 \rangle(z) = \langle \psi_1 | (\varphi_1 \varphi_2) \psi_2 \rangle(z)$$

$$= \int_{f_V^{-1}(z)} \int_{f_V^{-1}(b_W(w))} \overline{\varphi_1(w)} \varphi_2(v) \psi_2(w) \, d\lambda_{b_W}(w)(v) \, d\mu_z(w)$$

$$= \langle \gamma(\varphi_1 \otimes \psi_1) | \gamma(\varphi_2 \otimes \psi_2) \rangle(z).$$

Thus $\gamma$ is an isometric bimodule map. The subspace of $C_c(V \times W)$ spanned by functions of the form $(v, w) \mapsto \varphi(v) \psi(w)$ is dense in the inductive limit topology. Hence restrictions of such functions to $V \times_{f_V, Y, b_W} W$ are linearly dense in $C_c(V \times_{f_V, Y, b_W} W)$, which is dense in $\mathcal{L}^2(V \times_{f_V, Y, b_W} W, f, \lambda \times \mu)$. Thus $\gamma$ is surjective.

An isomorphism between two topological correspondences

$$X \xleftarrow{b_i} Z_i \xrightarrow{j_i} Y, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

is a homeomorphism $\Phi : Z_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} Z_2$ that satisfies $f_2 \circ \Phi = f_1$, $b_2 \circ \Phi = b_1$ with a continuous function $\delta : Z_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $\lambda_2 = \delta \Phi_*(\lambda_1)$, that is, $\lambda_2,y = \delta \Phi_*(\lambda_1)_y$ for all $y \in Y$. We call $\delta$ an *equivalence* from $\Phi_*(\lambda_1)$ to $\lambda_2$. The restriction of $\delta$ to a fibre $f_2^{-1}(y) \subseteq Z_2$ is a Radon–Nikodym derivative for the measures $\lambda_2,y$ and $\Phi_*(\lambda_1)_y$. 
This is unique up to equality almost everywhere. Therefore, if $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ have full support and a function $\delta$ as above exists, then it is unique. An isomorphism as above induces a unitary $C_0(X)\rightarrow C_0(Y)$-bimodule isomorphism

$$\Phi_* : C_c(Z_1) \rightarrow C_c(Z_2), \quad \Phi_*(g)(z) := g \circ \Phi^{-1}(z) \delta(z)^{-1/2}. $$

It extends to an isomorphism of $C^*$-correspondences

$$\Phi_* : b^1_1 L^2(Y, f_1, \lambda_1) \sim \rightarrow b^2_2 L^2(Y, f_2, \lambda_2).$$

Remark 2.7. We may weaken the continuity assumptions on $\Phi^{\pm 1}$. For instance, if $Y$ is just a point, then it suffices to assume $\Phi^{\pm 1}$ to be measurable. Then we take $\delta$ to be the Radon–Nikodym derivative as above, which is automatically measurable. In general, we need $\Phi^{\pm 1}$ and $\delta$ to be measurable in the fibre directions and continuous along the base $Y$. We do not try to make this precise here because we shall only use continuous isomorphisms as defined above.

### 3. The universal property for groupoid $C^*$-algebras

Let $\alpha$ be a left-invariant Haar system on $G$. This is a continuous family of measures with full support along the fibres $G_x := \{g \in G \mid r(g) = x\}$ of the range map $r : G^1 \rightarrow G^0$. The right-invariant Haar system $\tilde{\alpha}$ corresponding to $\alpha$ is the push-forward of $\alpha$ along the inversion map. So it is a continuous family of measures along the fibres $G_x := \{g \in G \mid s(g) = x\}$ of the source map $s : G^1 \rightarrow G^0$:

$$\tilde{\alpha}(f)(x) = \int_{G_x} f(g) d\tilde{\alpha}_x(g) = \int_{G_x} f(g^{-1}) d\alpha_x(g).$$

Besides the range and source maps $r, s : G^1 \rightarrow G^0$, we shall also use the three maps $d_0, d_1, d_2 : G^2 \rightarrow G^1$ defined by

$$d_0(g, h) = h, \quad d_1(g, h) = g \cdot h, \quad d_2(g, h) = g$$

for $g, h \in G^1$ with $s(g) = r(h)$. The composite maps are

$$(3.1) \quad v_0 = r \circ d_1 = r \circ d_2, \quad v_1 = r \circ d_0 = s \circ d_2, \quad v_2 = s \circ d_0 = s \circ d_1.$$

These maps are illustrated in Figure 1.
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**Figure 1.** A pair $(g, h) \in G^2$ of composable arrows generates a commutative triangle of arrows in $G$. We number the edges so that the one opposite the vertex $v_i$ is denoted by $d_i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

Our continuous families of measures $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\alpha$ along $s$ and $r$ induce continuous families $\lambda_0, \lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ along the maps $d_0, d_1$ and $d_2$, which we describe through
We define continuous families of measures $\mu: C_\epsilon(G^2) \to C_\epsilon(G^1)$:

\begin{align*}
(3.2) & \quad (\lambda_0 f)(h) = \int_{G_r(h)} f(g, h) \, d\tilde{\alpha}_r(h)(g), \\
(3.3) & \quad (\lambda_1 f)(k) = \int_{G_r(k)} f(g, g^{-1} k) \, d\alpha_r(k)(g) = \int_{G_s(k)} f(kh^{-1}, h) \, d\tilde{\alpha}_s(k)(h), \\
(3.4) & \quad (\lambda_2 f)(g) = \int_{G^1(g)} f(g, h) \, d\alpha_s(g)(h).
\end{align*}

Equation $(3.3)$ uses the substitution $h = g^{-1}k$, which transforms the measures as asserted because $\alpha$ is left invariant and $\tilde{\alpha}$ is obtained from $\alpha$ by the substitution $g \mapsto g^{-1}$.

Each map in $(3.1)$ comes with a fixed continuous family of measures. As the following computations show, each identity in $(3.1)$ corresponds to an identity of measure families or, equivalently, integration maps:

\begin{align*}
(3.5) & \quad (\alpha \circ \lambda_1) f(x) = \int_{G^2} \int_{G^1} f(g, g^{-1} k) \, d\alpha_s(g) \, d\alpha^x(k) \\
& \quad = \int_{G^2} \int_{G^1} f(g, h) \, d\alpha_s(h) \, d\alpha^x(h) = (\alpha \circ \lambda_2) f(x), \\
(3.6) & \quad (\alpha \circ \lambda_0) f(x) = \int_{G^2} \int_{G^1} f(g, h) \, d\tilde{\alpha}_x(g) \, d\alpha^x(h) \\
& \quad = \int_{G^2} \int_{G^1} f(g, h) \, d\tilde{\alpha}_x(h) \, d\alpha^x(h) = (\tilde{\alpha} \circ \lambda_2) f(x), \\
(3.7) & \quad (\tilde{\alpha} \circ \lambda_0) f(x) = \int_{G^2} \int_{G^1} f(g, h) \, d\tilde{\alpha}_r(h) \, d\tilde{\alpha}_x(h) \\
& \quad = \int_{G^2} \int_{G^1} f(kh^{-1}, h) \, d\tilde{\alpha}_x(h) \, d\tilde{\alpha}_x(h) = (\tilde{\alpha} \circ \lambda_1) f(x).
\end{align*}

We define continuous families of measures $\mu_i$ along $v_i: G^2 \to G^0$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$ by

\begin{align*}
(3.8) & \quad \mu_0 := \alpha \circ \lambda_1 = \alpha \circ \lambda_2, \\
(3.9) & \quad \mu_1 := \alpha \circ \lambda_0 = \tilde{\alpha} \circ \lambda_2, \\
(3.10) & \quad \mu_2 := \tilde{\alpha} \circ \lambda_0 = \tilde{\alpha} \circ \lambda_1.
\end{align*}

We remember the following consequence of $(3.3)$ and $(3.7)$ for later:

\begin{equation}
(3.11) \quad \int \int f(g, g^{-1} k) \, d\alpha_r(k)(g) \, d\tilde{\alpha}_x(k) = \int \int f(g, h) \, d\tilde{\alpha}_r(h)(g) \, d\tilde{\alpha}_x(h)
\end{equation}

for all $x \in G^0$ and $f \in C_\epsilon(G^1 \times_{s,c} G^0, G_x)$.

As in Definition 2.11 we assign C*-correspondences to all the families of measures above. This gives the diagram of C*-correspondences in Figure 2. It commutes up to canonical isomorphisms of C*-correspondences.

Let $D$ be a C*-algebra and $F$ a Hilbert $D$-module. A representation of the groupoid C*-algebra $C^*(G, \alpha)$ on $F$ is a nondegenerate *-homomorphism $C^*(G, \alpha) \to B(F)$. Our main theorem, Theorem 3.23, says that these representations are equivalent in a precise sense to “representations” of $(G, \alpha)$ on $F$. Representations of $(G, \alpha)$ have some data, which is subject to a condition. We shall write down the data and conditions succinctly as diagrams in the correspondence bicategory. First, however, we formulate them in a more pedestrian way.
The associativity of the composition of correspondences and the canonical isomorphism associated to the continuous families of measures along the maps \(G^2 \to G^1 \to G^0\). For each triangle, Def. 3.12 and Lemma 2.3 give a canonical isomorphism between the two \(C^\ast\)-correspondences that form the boundary of the triangle.

**Definition 3.12.** The data of a representation of \((G, \alpha)\) on \(\mathcal{F}\) is a pair \((\varphi, U)\), where \(\varphi : C_0(G^0) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})\) is a representation— which turns \(\mathcal{F}\) into a \(C_0(G^0), D\)-correspondence— and \(U\) is a unitary operator

\[
(3.13) \quad U : \mathcal{L}^2(G^1, s, \tilde{a}) \otimes_\varphi \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \otimes_\varphi \mathcal{F}
\]

that intertwines the left actions of \(C_0(G^1)\) on these Hilbert \(D\)-modules.

More briefly, \(U\) is an isomorphism of \(C_0(G^1), D\)-correspondences or, equivalently, a 2-arrow in the correspondence bicategory. It makes the following diagram commute:

\[
(3.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
C_0(G^1) & \xrightarrow{s} & C_0(G^0) \\
\downarrow{\alpha} & & \downarrow{F} \\
C_0(G^0) & \xrightarrow{U} & \mathcal{F} \\
\end{array}
\]

To define a representation of \((G, \alpha)\), we build several other correspondence isomorphisms out of \(U\). First, \(U\) induces an isomorphism of \(C_0(G^2), D\)-correspondences

\[
1 \otimes U : \mathcal{L}^2(G^2, d_1, \lambda_1) \otimes_{C_0(G^1)} (\mathcal{L}^2(G^1, s, \tilde{a}) \otimes_\varphi \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}^2(G^2, d_1, \lambda_1) \otimes_{C_0(G^1)} (\mathcal{L}^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \otimes_\varphi \mathcal{F}).
\]

The associativity of the composition of correspondences and the canonical isomorphisms \(\mathcal{L}^2(G^2, d_1, \lambda_1) \otimes_{C_0(G^1)} \mathcal{L}^2(G^1, s, \tilde{a}) \cong \mathcal{L}^2(G^2, v_2, \mu_2)\) and \(\mathcal{L}^2(G^2, d_1, \lambda_1) \otimes_{C_0(G^1)} \mathcal{L}^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \cong \mathcal{L}^2(G^2, v_0, \mu_0)\) in Figure 2 turn this into an isomorphism

\[
(3.15) \quad d_1(U) : \mathcal{L}^2(G^2, v_2, \mu_2) \otimes_\varphi \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}^2(G^2, v_0, \mu_0) \otimes_\varphi \mathcal{F}.
\]

Similarly, we define isomorphisms of \(C_0(G^2), D\)-correspondences

\[
(3.16) \quad d_0^1(U) : \mathcal{L}^2(G^2, v_2, \mu_2) \otimes_\varphi \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}^2(G^2, v_1, \mu_1) \otimes_\varphi \mathcal{F},
\]

\[
(3.17) \quad d_0^2(U) : \mathcal{L}^2(G^2, v_1, \mu_1) \otimes_\varphi \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}^2(G^2, v_0, \mu_0) \otimes_\varphi \mathcal{F}.
\]

**Definition 3.18.** A pair \((\varphi, U)\) as in Def. 3.12 is a representation if it also satisfies the condition

\[
(3.19) \quad d_1^1(U) = d_0^2(U) \circ d_0^0(U).
\]

The construction of \(d_1^1(U)\) only involves horizontal and vertical products of 2-arrows in the correspondence bicategory. This is described succinctly in the right diagram in Figure 3. Each triangle or quadrilateral in this diagram describes a
2-arrow between certain arrows. These are pasted together using the appropriate horizontal or vertical products to obtain a 2-arrow from the composite arrow $L^2(2, v_2, μ_2) ⊕_φ F$ in the top to the composite arrow $L^2(2, v_0, μ_0) ⊕_φ F$ in the bottom. Similarly, the left diagram in Figure 3 describes $d_2(U) ∩ d_0(U)$. So (3.19) says that the 2-arrows $L^2(2, v_2, μ_2) ⊕_φ F = L^2(2, v_0, μ_0) ⊕_φ F$ described by the two diagrams in Figure 3 are equal.

3.1. The regular representation. We may combine the left regular representations of $C^*(G, α)$ on the Hilbert spaces $L^2(G_x, \tilde{α}_x)$ for $x ∈ G^0$ into a single representation on the Hilbert $C_0(G^0)$-module $F := L^2(G^1, s, \tilde{α})$. To illustrate our definition, we describe the corresponding representation of $(G, α)$ on $F$. We equip $F$ with the left action $φ$ of $C_0(G^0)$ defined so that $φ(f)$ for $f ∈ C_0(G^0)$ acts by pointwise multiplication with the function $f ◦ r ∈ C_0(G^1)$. By abuse of notation, we still write $F$ for the $C_0(G^0)$, $C_0(G^0)$-correspondence $(F, φ)$, which is the $C^*$-correspondence $r^*L^2(G^1, s, \tilde{α})$ induced by the topological correspondence

$$G^0 ∨_s G^1 \xrightarrow{\tilde{α}} G^0.$$

By Proposition 2.6, the tensor product $L^2(G^1, s, \tilde{α}) ⊕_φ F$ is isomorphic to the $C^*$-correspondence from $C_0(G^1)$ to $C_0(G^0)$ associated to the composite of the underlying topological correspondences. This involves the fibre product space $G^1 ×_{s, G^0, r} G^1 = G^2$ and the maps $d_2: G^2 → G^1$, $(g, h) ↦ g$, and $v_2: G^2 → G^0$, $(g, h) ↦ s(h)$. The measure family along $v_2$ for this composite is, by definition, $\tilde{α} ◦ λ_0 = μ_2$. Thus $L^2(G^1, s, \tilde{α}) ⊕_φ F ≅ d_2^*L^2(G^2, v_2, μ_2)$ is induced by the topological correspondence

$$G^1 \xrightarrow{d_2} G^2 \xrightarrow{v_2} G^0 \xrightarrow{μ_2} G^0.$$

Similarly, $L^2(G^1, r, α) ⊕_φ F$ is isomorphic to the $C^*$-correspondence induced by the topological correspondence

$$G^1 \xrightarrow{pr_1} G^1 ×_{r, G^0, r} G^1 \xrightarrow{sopr_2} G^0 \xrightarrow{α ◦ α} G^0.$$

![Figure 3. Two parallel isomorphisms of correspondences constructed from $U$. Each triangle or square corresponds to one isomorphism of $C^*$-correspondences. The unlabelled ones involve the canonical isomorphisms of $C^*$-correspondences in Figure 2.](image-url)
with \( \tilde{\alpha} \circ \alpha(f)(x) := \int f(g,k) \, d\alpha^*(k) \, \tilde{\alpha}_k(k) \) for \( f \in C_c(G^1 \times_{\alpha,G^0,r} G^2), x \in G^0 \).

We claim that the topological correspondences \((\ref{eq:top-correspondence-1}), (\ref{eq:top-correspondence-2})\) are isomorphic through the homeomorphism

\[
\Upsilon: G^2 \cong G^1 \times_{s,G^0,r} G^1 \cong G^1 \times_{r,G^0,r} G^1, \quad (g, h) \mapsto (g, g \cdot h).
\]

The conditions \( \text{pr}_1 \circ \Upsilon = d_2 \) and \( s \circ \text{pr}_2 \circ \Upsilon = v_2 \) are trivial. Equation \((\ref{eq:top-correspondence-1})\) says that \( \mu_2 = T_\alpha^{-1}(\tilde{\alpha} \circ \alpha) \) or, equivalently, \( T_\alpha(\mu_2) = \tilde{\alpha} \circ \alpha \). Thus \( \Upsilon \) is an isomorphism of topological correspondences. It induces an isomorphism of \( C^* \)-correspondences

\[
U: \mathcal{L}^2(G^1, s, \tilde{\alpha}) \otimes_{\phi} \mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{L}^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \otimes_{\phi} \mathcal{F}.
\]

We claim that \((\phi, U)\) is a representation of \((G, \alpha)\).

The \( C^* \)-correspondence \( \mathcal{L}^2(G^2, v_1, \mu_1) \otimes_{\phi} \mathcal{F} \) from \( C_0(G^2) \) to \( C_0(G^0) \) is associated to the topological correspondence

\[
G^2 \xleftarrow{\phi} G^2 \times_{v_1, G^0,r} G^1 \xrightarrow{\alpha \circ \mu_2} G^0.
\]

The isomorphisms \( d_1^*(U) \) in \((\ref{eq:isomorphism-1}), (\ref{eq:isomorphism-2})\) are induced by isomorphisms of topological correspondences, namely, the homeomorphisms

\[
\begin{align*}
d_1^*(T): & \quad G^2 \times_{v_2, G^0,r} G^1 \to G^2 \times_{v_0, G^0,r} G^1, \quad (g, h, l) \mapsto (g, h, glh), \\
d_0^*(T): & \quad G^2 \times_{v_2, G^0,r} G^1 \to G^2 \times_{v_1, G^0,r} G^1, \quad (g, h, l) \mapsto (g, h, hl), \\
d_2^*(T): & \quad G^2 \times_{v_1, G^0,r} G^1 \to G^2 \times_{v_0, G^0,r} G^1, \quad (g, h, l) \mapsto (g, h, gl).
\end{align*}
\]

Since the multiplication in \( G \) is associative, these isomorphisms of topological correspondences satisfy \( d_2^*(T) \circ d_0^*(T) = d_1^*(T) \). Therefore, \( d_2^*(U) \circ d_0^*(U) = d_1^*(U) \).

Hence \((\phi, U)\) is a representation of \((G, \alpha)\).

3.2. Formulation of the universal property. The groupoid \( C^* \)-algebra \( C^*(G, \alpha) \) is defined in \cite{17} Chapter II. The following theorem uses this definition, but it may also serve as an alternative definition of \( C^*(G, \alpha) \).

Theorem 3.23. Let \( G \) be a locally compact, Hausdorff groupoid with a Haar system \( \alpha \). Let \( D \) be a \( C^* \)-algebra and \( \mathcal{F} \) a Hilbert \( D \)-module. There is a bijection between representations of \( C^*(G, \alpha) \) on \( \mathcal{F} \) and representations of \((G, \alpha)\) on \( \mathcal{F} \). It is natural in the following two ways:

1. Let \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) be two Hilbert \( D \)-modules and let \( V: F_1 \to F_2 \) be an isometry. Then it intertwines two representations of \( C^*(G, \alpha) \) on \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) if and only if \( V \) intertwines the corresponding representations of \((G, \alpha)\).

2. Let \( \mathcal{E} \) be a \( C^* \)-correspondence from \( D \) to a \( C^* \)-algebra \( D' \). A representation of \( C^*(G, \alpha) \) or of \((G, \alpha)\) on \( \mathcal{F} \) induces a representation of \( C^*(G, \alpha) \) or of \((G, \alpha)\) on \( \mathcal{F} \otimes_D \mathcal{E} \), respectively. The bijections between representations of \( C^*(G, \alpha) \) and \((G, \alpha)\) on \( \mathcal{F} \) and \( \mathcal{F} \otimes_D \mathcal{E} \) are compatible with these induction processes.

This universal property characterises \( C^*(G, \alpha) \) uniquely up to canonical isomorphism.

The two naturality properties in the theorem above are the same as in the definition of a \( C^* \)-hull for a class of integrable representations of a \( * \)-algebra in \cite{11} Section 3.

Before we prove Theorem 3.23 we relate it to Renault’s Integration and Disintegration Theorems in \cite{18}. Thus we specialise to the case where \( D = \mathbb{C} \), \( \mathcal{F} \) is a separable Hilbert space, and \( G^1 \) is second countable. Let \((\phi, U)\) be a representation of \((G, \alpha)\) on \( \mathcal{F} \) as in Theorem 3.23. The representation theory of commutative \( C^* \)-algebras on separable Hilbert spaces is well known (see, for instance, \cite{2} Sections 8.2-3 or \cite{8} Chapter 14)). When we apply it to the representation \( \phi \) of \( C_0(G^0) \), we
get a measure class \([\nu]\) on \(G^0\), a \([\nu]\)-measurable field of Hilbert spaces \(H = (H_x)_{x \in G^0}\) on \(G^0\), and a unitary operator \(F \sim \sim \mathcal{L}^2(G^0, \nu, H)\) that intertwines \(\varphi\) and the representation of \(C_0(G^0)\) on \(\mathcal{L}^2(G^0, \nu, H)\) by pointwise multiplication; here \(\mathcal{L}^2(G^0, \nu, H)\) is the Hilbert space of (equivalence classes of) square-integrable sections of \(H\) with respect to \(\nu\), which is also called the direct integral of the field \(H = (H_x)_{x \in G^0}\) and denoted by \(\int_{G^0} H_x \, d\nu(x)\), see \([4]\).

Tensoring \(\mathcal{L}^2(G^0, \nu, H)\) with the \(C^*\)-correspondences \(\mathcal{L}^2(G^1, s, \tilde{\alpha})\) and \(\mathcal{L}^2(G^1, r, \alpha)\) gives representations of \(C_0(G^1)\) on other separable Hilbert spaces, which may be described similarly. We may compute the measure classes and measurable fields on \(G^1\) directly. For \(\mathcal{L}^2(G^1, s, \tilde{\alpha})\), we get the measure class \([\nu \circ \tilde{\alpha}]\) and the pullback field \(s^*(H)\) with fibre \(H_{s(g)}\) at \(g \in G^1\). For \(\mathcal{L}^2(G^1, r, \alpha)\), we get the measure class \([\nu \circ \alpha]\) and the pullback field \(r^*(H)\) with fibre \(H_{r(g)}\) at \(g \in G^1\); the proof is similar to that of Proposition \(2.6\). A unitary intertwiner \(U\) between these two representations of \(C_0(G^1)\) can only exist if the measure classes \([\nu \circ \tilde{\alpha}]\) and \([\nu \circ \alpha]\) on \(G^1\) are equal (see \([4]\) Proposition 8.2.4). By definition, this means that the measure \(\nu\) on \(G^0\) is quasi-invariant. The description of representations of \(C_0(G^1)\) through a measure class and a measurable field of Hilbert spaces is natural in the formal sense. That is, any unitary intertwiner between the two representations of \(C_0(G^1)\) on \(\mathcal{L}^2(G^1, \nu \circ \alpha, s^*H)\) and \(\mathcal{L}^2(G^1, \nu \circ \tilde{\alpha}, r^*H)\) must have the form

\[
(3.24) \quad (Uf)(g) = U_g(f(g)) : \frac{d\nu \circ \alpha}{d\nu \circ \tilde{\alpha}}
\]

for \(f \in \mathcal{L}^2(G^1, \nu \circ \alpha, s^*H)\) and almost all \(g \in G^1\), where \((U_g)_{g \in G^1}\) is an isomorphism between the measurable fields of Hilbert spaces \(s^*H\) and \(r^*H\). That is, \(U_g\) is a unitary operator and \(H_{s(g)} \sim \sim H_{r(g)}\) for all \(g \in G^1\) outside a null set for the measure class \([\nu \circ \alpha]\). The Radon–Nikodym derivative in \((3.24)\) is well defined because \([\nu \circ \tilde{\alpha}] = [\nu \circ \alpha]\). Conversely, any measurable family of unitary operators \(U_g : H_{s(g)} \sim \sim H_{r(g)}\) defines a unitary intertwiner between the two representations of \(C_0(G^1)\) on \(\mathcal{L}^2(G^1, \nu \circ \alpha, s^*H)\) and \(\mathcal{L}^2(G^1, \nu \circ \tilde{\alpha}, r^*H)\).

Similarly, we may identify

\[
\mathcal{L}^2(G^2, \mu_j, v_j) \otimes_{C_0(G^0)} \mathcal{L}^2(G^0, \nu, H) \cong \mathcal{L}^2(G^2, \nu \circ \mu_j, v_j^*H);
\]

that is, we take \(\mathcal{L}^2\)-sections of the measurable fields of Hilbert spaces with fibres \(H_{r(g)}\), \(H_{s(g)}\), and \(H_{s(h)}\) at \((g, h) \in G^2\) with respect to the measures \(\nu \circ \mu_j\) for \(j = 0, 1, 2\), respectively. The isomorphisms of \(C^*\)-correspondences \(d^i(U)\) for \(i = 0, 1, 2\) become isomorphisms

\[
\begin{align*}
d^0_0(U) : & \mathcal{L}^2(G^2, \mu_2, v_2) \sim \sim \mathcal{L}^2(G^2, \mu_1, v_1), & d^0_0(U) \sim (U_{h(\cdot, h)})_{(g, h) \in G^2}, \\
d^1_1(U) : & \mathcal{L}^2(G^2, \mu_2, v_2) \sim \sim \mathcal{L}^2(G^2, \mu_0, v_0), & d^1_1(U) \sim (U_{gh})_{(g, h) \in G^2}, \\
d^2_2(U) : & \mathcal{L}^2(G^2, \mu_1, v_1) \sim \sim \mathcal{L}^2(G^2, \mu_0, v_0), & d^2_2(U) \sim (U_{gh})_{(g, h) \in G^2},
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\mathcal{L}^2\) means that the unitary \(d^i(U)\) corresponds to the measurable family of unitary operators \(U_h : H_{s(h)} \sim \sim H_{r(h)}\), and so on, as in \((3.24)\). Equation \((3.19)\) holds if and only if \(U_{gh} = U_g \circ U_h\) for almost all \((g, h) \in G^2\) with respect to the measure class \([\nu \circ \mu]\) = \([\nu \circ \mu]\)\(\nu \circ \mu\). The Radon–Nikodym derivatives in \((3.24)\) cancel automatically.

Summing up, the representation theory of commutative \(C^*\)-algebras translates a representation \((\varphi, U)\) of \((G, \alpha)\) on a separable Hilbert space in Definition \(3.18\) into

1. a quasi-invariant measure class \([\nu]\) on \(G^0\), that is, \([\nu \circ \alpha]\) = \([\nu \circ \tilde{\alpha}]\);
2. a \([\nu]\)-measurable field of Hilbert spaces \(H\) on \(G^0\);
3. unitary operators \(U_g : H_{s(g)} \sim \sim H_{r(g)}\) for \([\nu \circ \alpha]\)-almost all \(g \in G^1\), which satisfy \(U_{gh} = U_g \circ U_h\) for \([\nu \circ \mu]\)-almost all \((g, h) \in G^2\).
Comparing this with Renault’s notion of a representation in [18, Definition 3.4], there is only one technical difference about which null sets are allowed in $G^1$ and $G^2$. In [18], there is one $[\nu]$-negligible subset $N$ of $G^0$ such that the unitaries $U_{gh} = U_g \circ U_h$ whenever $(g, h) \in G^2$ and $r(g), s(g) = r(h), s(h) \notin N$. This extra information is often useful, but it is not needed to integrate a representation. The formulas for integration of representations in [18] still work if we allow arbitrary null sets in $G^1$ and $G^2$. If $G^1$ is second countable, then [16, Lemma 3.3] allows to modify $(U_g)$ on a set of measure 0 so that there is a null set $N$ as above.

**Remark 3.25.** The theory in [18] is limited to Hilbert space representations because there is no disintegration theory for representations of commutative $C^*$-algebras on Hilbert modules. For instance, there is no reasonable way to define “fibres” for the identity representation of $C[0,1]$ on itself. The fibre at $t \in [0,1]$ ought to have dimension 0 away from $t$. There is, however, no continuous field of Hilbert spaces over $[0,1]$ with exactly one non-zero fibre.

What are the Hilbert space representations of $(G, \alpha)$ if $G$ is a group? Since $G^0$ has only one point, the quasi-invariant measure on $G^0$ is irrelevant and the measurable field over $G^0$ is simply the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ on which the representation takes place. The isomorphism of correspondences $U$ is a unitary intertwiner for the pointwise multiplication action of $C_0(G)$ on $L^2(G, \mathcal{H}) \cong L^2(G) \otimes \mathcal{H}$. This is equivalent to a measurable family of unitary operators $U_g \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$. The condition $d_2(U_\delta) \circ d_2(U) = d_1(U)$ holds if and only if $U_g \circ U_h = U_{gh}$ for almost all $(g, h) \in G^2$. Thus $(U_g)_{g \in G}$ is a measurable weak representation of $G$ on $\mathcal{H}$ (compare [10] for the notation of weak representations). The usual universal property of $C^*(G)$ uses continuous representations. Hence both universal properties together imply the following:

**Corollary 3.26.** Any measurable weak representation of a locally compact group is equal almost everywhere to a continuous group representation.

**Proof.** First, any measurable weak representation of $G$ integrates to a nondegenerate representation of the convolution algebra $L^1(G)$. Secondly, any nondegenerate Banach $L^1(G)$-module comes from a continuous representation of $G$ because the regular representation on $L^1(G)$ is continuous. Third, this continuous representation must be equal almost everywhere to the given weak representation in order to integrate to the same representation of $L^1(G)$.

**Remark 3.27.** Our universal property also works for non-separable Hilbert spaces. But unitary intertwiners on $L^2(G, \mathcal{H})$ are no longer equivalent to measurable families of unitary operators on $\mathcal{H}$ up to equality almost everywhere. For instance, consider the family of unitary operators $U_t$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{R})$, where $U_t(\delta_s) := \delta_{t+s}$, $U_t(\delta_{t+1}) := \delta_t$, and $U_t(\delta_s) := \delta_s$ for $s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{t, t+1\}$. This family describes the identity operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \ell^2(\mathbb{R}))$ because if $s \in \mathbb{R}$, then $U_t(\delta_s) = \delta_s$ for almost all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Nevertheless, the set of $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $U_t = 1$ is empty.

What happens if $G$ is a locally compact space viewed as a groupoid? In this case, $s = r$ and $\alpha = \alpha$. Equation (5.19) says that $U \cdot U = U$. Since $U$ is unitary, we may cancel $U$ here, so $U$ is the identity map. Thus a representation in the sense of Definition 5.18 is simply a representation of $C_0(G^0)$, which is also the groupoid $C^*$-algebra. So Theorem 5.23 is trivial in this case. In contrast, the Integration and Disintegration Theorems in [18] are non-trivial even for spaces viewed as groupoids.

The proof of Theorem 5.23 requires two constructions. Integration takes a representation of $(G, \alpha)$ to one of $C^*(G, \alpha)$, and disintegration takes a representation of $C^*(G, \alpha)$ to one of $(G, \alpha)$. We shall discuss these two constructions in Sections 4 and 6 respectively. We prove that they are inverse to each other in Section 6.
4. Integration

Let \((\varphi, U)\) be a representation of \((G, \alpha)\) on a Hilbert module \(\mathcal{F}\) over a C*-algebra \(D\). We are going to “integrate” it to a representation of \(C^\ast(G, \alpha)\).

**Definition 4.1** ((Creation operators)). Let \(\mathcal{E}\) be a Hilbert module over a C*-algebra \(B\), let \(\mathcal{F}\) be a \(B\)-\(D\)-correspondence, and \(x \in \mathcal{E}\). Let \(T_x : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{E} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}\) denote the operator \(y \mapsto x \otimes y\). Its adjoint \(T^*_x\) maps \(z \otimes y \mapsto \langle x|z\rangle \cdot y\) for \(x, z \in \mathcal{E}, y \in \mathcal{F}\).

Fix \(f \in C_c(G^1)\), and let \(M_f\) denote the operator of pointwise multiplication by \(f\), which is how \(C_0(G^1)\) acts in the C*-correspondences \(L^2(G^1, s, \tilde{\alpha})\) and \(L^2(G^1, r, \alpha)\). Choose functions \(h_1, h_2 \in C_c(G^1)\) with \(h_1(g) = h_2(g) = 1\) for all \(g \in \text{supp} f\). View \(h_1\) and \(h_2\) as elements of \(L^2(G^1, r, \alpha)\) and \(L^2(G^1, s, \tilde{\alpha})\), respectively. Let \(L(f) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})\) be the composite operator

\[
\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{T_{h_2}} L^2(G^1, s, \tilde{\alpha}) \otimes \varphi \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{M_f \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}}} L^2(G^1, s, \tilde{\alpha}) \otimes \varphi \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{U} L^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \otimes \varphi \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{T^*_{h_1}} \mathcal{F}.
\]

The square commutes because \(U\), as an isomorphism of C*-correspondences, intertwines the left actions of \(C_0(G^1)\). We write \(M_f\) instead of \(M_f \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}}\) in the following to simplify notation. So we write

\[
(4.2) \quad L(f) := T^*_{h_1} U M_f T^*_{h_2} = T^*_{h_1} M_f U T^*_{h_2}.
\]

**Lemma 4.3.** The operator \(L(f)\) does not depend on \(h_1\) and \(h_2\) and satisfies

\[
\|L(f)\| \leq \|\alpha(|f|)\|_{\infty}^{1/2} \cdot \|\tilde{\alpha}(|f|)\|_{\infty}^{1/2} \leq \|f\|_1
\]

for all \(f \in C_c(G^1)\), where \(\|f\|_1 := \max\{\|\alpha(|f|)\|_{\infty}, \|\tilde{\alpha}(|f|)\|_{\infty}\}\) and \(\alpha\) and \(\tilde{\alpha}\) also denote the integration maps

\[
\alpha(|f|)(x) := \int_{G^2} |f(x)| \, d\alpha^2(x), \quad \tilde{\alpha}(|f|)(x) := \int_{G^2} |f(x)| \, d\tilde{\alpha}_{\infty}(x).
\]

Similar estimates are used in [9, Section 3.6] to prove that the regular representation of a groupoid is bounded by the \(I\)-norm.

**Proof.** There are \(f_1, f_2 \in C_c(G^1)\) with \(\text{supp}(f_1) \subseteq \text{supp}(f)\) and \(f(g) = \overline{T_{f_1}(g)} \cdot f_2(g)\) for all \(g \in G^1\). A good choice for later estimates is to take \(f_2(g) := \sqrt{|T(f)|}\) and \(f_1(g) := \overline{T(f)} / f_2(g)\) if \(f_2(g) \neq 0\) and \(f_1(g) := 0\) if \(f_2(g) = 0\). Now use \(M_{f_1} \circ T_{h_1} = T_{f_1 \circ h_1} = T_{f_1}\) and that \(M_{f_1} = M^*_{f_1}\) commutes with \(U\) to simplify

\[
\langle \xi | L(f) \eta \rangle = \langle h_1 \otimes \xi | U (\overline{T_{f_1} f_2 h_2} \otimes \eta) \rangle = \langle f_1 h_1 \otimes \xi | U (f_2 h_2 \otimes \eta) \rangle = \langle f_1 \otimes \xi | U (f_2 \otimes \eta) \rangle
\]

for all \(\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{F}\). That is,

\[
(4.4) \quad L(\overline{T_{f_1} f_2}) = T^*_{f_1} U T_{f_2}
\]

for all \(f_1, f_2 \in C_c(G^1)\), where \(\cdot\) denotes the pointwise product. This does not depend on \(h_1\) and \(h_2\) any more. And \(\|T_f\| = \|T_f\| = \|f\|\) and \(\|U\| = 1\) imply

\[
\|L(f)\| \leq \|f_1\|_{L^2(G^1, r, \alpha)} \|f_2\|_{L^2(G^1, s, \tilde{\alpha})}.
\]

If we choose \(f_1\) and \(f_2\) as above, then \(|f_1(g)|^2 = |f_2(g)|^2 = |f(g)| \) for all \(g \in G^1\), so that

\[
\|f_1\|^2_{L^2(G^1, r, \alpha)} = \|\alpha(|f|)\|_\infty, \quad \|f_2\|^2_{L^2(G^1, s, \tilde{\alpha})} = \|\tilde{\alpha}(|f|)\|_\infty.
\]

This gives the desired norm estimate for \(L(f)\). \qed
The previous lemma implies that the map $L$ is continuous from $C_c(G^1)$ with the inductive limit topology to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$ with the norm topology because the inductive limit topology is stronger than the $L$-norm topology.

**Lemma 4.5.** The linear span of $L(f)\xi$ for $f \in C_c(G^1)$, $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$ is dense in $\mathcal{F}$.

**Proof.** The linear span of $T f_2 \eta$ for $f_2 \in C_c(G^1)$, $\eta \in \mathcal{F}$ is dense in the tensor product $L^2(G^1, s, \alpha) \otimes_{\phi} \mathcal{F}$. Since $U$ is unitary, the linear span of $U T f_2 \eta$ for such $f_2$ and $\eta$ is still dense in $L^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \otimes_{\phi} \mathcal{F}$. Then the linear span of $L((f_2 \cdot f_2)\eta) = T f_2 \eta$ for $f_1, f_2 \in C_c(G^1)$, $\eta \in \mathcal{F}$ is dense in $\mathcal{F}$ because the Hilbert $C_0(G^2)$-module $L^2(G^1, r, \alpha)$ is nondegenerate. Hence we have used $\square$.

**Proposition 4.6.** The map $L$ is a nondegenerate $^*$-homomorphism from the convolution algebra $(C_c(G^1), *)$ to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$.

**Proof.** We are going to prove below that

\begin{equation}
L(f_1)^* L(f_2) = L(f_1^* f_2).
\end{equation}

for all $f_1, f_2 \in C_c(G^1)$. We first claim that this implies $L(f)^* = L(f^*)$ and then

\begin{equation}
L(f_1) L(f_2) = L(f_1 f_2) \quad \text{for} \quad f_1, f_2 \in C_c(G^1); \quad \text{that is,} \quad L \text{ is a }^*\text{-representation. Since}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
f^* = f \quad \text{for all} \quad f \in C_c(G^1),
\end{equation}

is equivalent to $L(f_1)^* L(f_2) = L(f_1 f_2)$. Since $C_c(G^1)$ is a $^*$-algebra, this implies

\begin{equation}
L(f_1)^* L(f_2)^* L(f_3) = L(f_1)^* L(f_2 f_3) = L(f_1^* f_2) = L((f_1 f_2) f_3) = L(f_1^*) L(f_2 f_3) = L(f_1 f_2^*) L(f_3).
\end{equation}

Hence $L(f_1)^* L(f_2)^* L(f_3) = \langle L(f_1)^* L(f_2) L(f_3) \rangle$ for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $L$ is a nondegenerate $^*$-representation from the convolution algebra $(C_c(G^1), *)$ to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$.

It remains to prove $(4.7)$. Our analysis in the Hilbert space case suggests that we need to work with the operators $d_i^* (U)$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$ in $(3.13) - (3.17)$. We will use the equivalent formula $d_2^* (U) d_1^* (U) = d_0^* (U)$ because $d_i^* (U) = d_i^* (U) d_i^* (U)$ would lead to a proof that $L(f_1 f_2) = L(f_1) L(f_2)$. We are given $f_1, f_2 \in C_c(G^1)$ and define $f \in C_c(G^2)$ by

\begin{equation}
f(g_1, g_2) = f_1(g_1) f_2(g_1 \cdot g_2).
\end{equation}

Let $h' \in C_c(G^2)$ be some function with $h' \cdot f = f$, that is, $h'$ is 1 on the (compact) support of $f$. We use $(3.19)$ and that $d_2^* (U) f$ commutes with $C_0(G^2)$ to compute

\begin{equation}
T_{h'} M f d_0^* (U) T_{h'} = T_{h'} M f d_2^* (U) d_1^* (U) T_{h'} = T_{h'} d_2^* (U) M f d_1^* (U) T_{h'}.
\end{equation}

We are going to prove that

\begin{equation}
T_{h'} M f d_0^* (U) T_{h'} = L(f_1^* f_2),
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
T_{h'} d_2^* (U) M f d_1^* (U) T_{h'} = L(f_2)^* L(f_1).
\end{equation}

This will finish the proof of $(4.7)$.

We begin with some preparatory observations. Our proof depends on the isomorphisms of $C^*$-correspondences in Figure 2 such as

\begin{equation}
L^2(G^2, d_0, \lambda_0) \otimes_{C_c(G^1)} L^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \cong L^2(G^2, v_1, \mu_1).
\end{equation}

This isomorphism is described in Lemma 2.3 and maps $\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2$ for $\varphi_1 \in C_c(G^2)$, $\varphi_2 \in C_c(G^1)$ to the function

\begin{equation}
(g_1, g_2) \mapsto \varphi_1(g_1, g_2) \cdot \varphi_2(d_0(g_1, g_2)) = \varphi_1(g_1, g_2) \cdot \varphi_2(g_2).
\end{equation}

Hence the inverse isomorphism can be taken to send $\varphi_1 \mapsto \varphi_1 \otimes k$, where $k \in C_c(G^1)$ is such that $k(g_2) = 1$ for all $(g_1, g_2) \in \text{supp} \varphi_1$. Similar remarks apply to all commutative triangles in Figure 2.
The operators $T_h$ and $T_k$ in (4.8) and (4.9) have slightly different meanings: the first treats $h' \in L^2(G^2, v_1, \mu_1)$, the second as $h' \in L^2(G^2, v_2, \mu_2)$; this is implicit in (4.8) and (4.9) because $M_f d_1(U)$ and $d_2(U)^* M_f d_1(U)$ are operators from $L^2(G^2, v_2, \mu_2)$ to $L^2(G^2, v_1, \mu_1)$. We write $(T_h^*)^*$ or $T_k^*$ to clarify in which $C^*$-correspondence we view $h'$. We shall also need $T_h^{d_1}$ and so on. The definition of $d_i(U)$ through $id_{L^2(G^2, d_i, \lambda_i)} \otimes U$ implies

\[(4.11) \quad d_i^*(U) T_h^{d_i} = T_h^{d_i} U \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, 2.\]

Now we compute the operator $(T_h^*)^* M_f d_0(U) T_k^{d_0}$. First, we rewrite $h' = h' \otimes k$, where $k$ is 1 on a sufficiently large compact subset, compare the discussion after (4.10). Then $T_h^{d_2} = T_h^{d_0} T_h^*$ and $T_h^{d_1} = T_h^{d_0} T_k^*$. Using (4.11) as well, we get

\[(T_h^*)^* M_f d_0(U) T_k^{d_0} = (T_k^*)^* (T_h^*)^* M_f T_h^{d_0} U T_k^* a.\]

Let $\varphi \in L^2(G^2, r, \alpha)$, $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$. The operator $(T_h^*)^* M_f T_h^{d_0}$ does the following on $\varphi \otimes \xi$:

\[\varphi \otimes \xi \xrightarrow{T_h^{d_0}} h' \otimes \varphi \otimes \xi \xrightarrow{M_f} f h' \otimes \varphi \otimes \xi \xrightarrow{(T_h^*)^*} \langle h' | f h' \rangle_{L^2(G^2, d_0, \lambda_0)} \cdot \varphi \otimes \xi,\]

where the product in $\langle h' | f h' \rangle \cdot \varphi$ is the pointwise multiplication action of $C_0(G^2)$ on $\varphi \in L^2(G^2, r, \alpha)$. Since $h' = 1$ on the support of $f$, we get $(T_h^*)^* M_f T_h^{d_0}$ on $\lambda_0(f)$ with

\[\lambda_0(f)(g_2) = \langle h' | f h' \rangle_{L^2(G^2, d_0, \lambda_0)}(g_2) = \int_{G^2} f(g_1, g_2) \, d\alpha_r(g_2)(g_1) \]

\[= \int_{G^2} f(g_1^{-1}, g_2) \, d\alpha^0(g_2)(g_1) = \int_{G^2} f_1(g_1^{-1}) f_2(g_1^{-1}, g_2) \, d\alpha^0(g_2)(g_1) = (f_1^* f_2)(g_2).\]

Plugging this into our computations above proves (4.8).

Now we prove (4.9). We rewrite $T_h^{d_2} = T_h^{d_1} T_k^*$ and $T_h^{d_1} = T_h^{d_0} T_k^*$ because $v_2 = s \circ d_1$ and $v_1 = s \circ d_2$. We use (4.11) to compute

\[(T_h^{d_1})^* d_2^*(U) M_f d_0(U) T_k^{d_2} = (T_k^*)^* (T_h^{d_1})^* M_f T_h^{d_0} U T_k^{d_2}.\]

Let $\varphi \in C_c(G^1) \subseteq L^2(G^1, r, \alpha)$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $M_f T_h^{d_1}$ first maps $\varphi \otimes \xi$ to $f \cdot h' \otimes \varphi \otimes \xi \in L^2(G^2, d_1, \lambda_1) \otimes_{C_0(G^1)} L^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \otimes \mathcal{F}$. To apply the operator $(T_h^{d_1})^*$ to this, we apply the canonical isomorphism $L^2(G^2, d_1, \lambda_1) \otimes_{C_0(G^1)} L^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \cong L^2(G^2, v_0, \mu_0) \cong L^2(G^2, d_2, \lambda_2) \otimes_{C_0(G^1)} L^2(G^1, r, \alpha)$ from Figure 2 and then take the inner product with $h'$ in the first tensor factor. Since $h'$ is 1 wherever our functions are supported, we have $f h' = f$, and the inner product with $h'$ simply applies the integration map $\lambda_2$. Thus $(T_h^{d_1})^* M_f T_h^{d_1}$ maps $\varphi \otimes \xi$ to $\psi \otimes \xi$ with

\[\psi(g_1) = \int_{G^2} f(g_1, g_2) (\varphi \circ d_1)(g_1, g_2) \, d\alpha^0(g_1)(g_2) \]

\[= \int_{G^2} f_1(g_1) f_2(g_1, g_2) \varphi(g_1, g_2) \, d\alpha^0(g_1)(g_2) \]

\[= \int f_1(g_1) \int_{G^2} f_2(g_1, g_2) \varphi(g_2) \, d\alpha^0(g_1)(g_2).\]

As a consequence,

\[(T_h^{d_2})^* M_f T_h^{d_1} = M_f^* T_k^* (T_k^*)^* M_f.\]

Putting things together gives

\[(T_h^{d_2})^* (M_f d_2(U) T_h^{d_1}(U)) T_k^{d_2} = (T_k^*)^* U^* M_f^* T_k^* (T_k^*)^* M_f U T_k^* = L(f_1)^* + L(f_2).\]
Since the *-representation $L$ of $(C_c(G^1), \ast)$ is bounded in the $L$-norm, it extends uniquely to a representation of $C^*(G, \alpha)$, which we still denote by $L$. This is the integrated form of the representation $(\varphi, U)$.

Example 4.12 ((The integrated regular representation)). We describe the integrated form of the regular representation of $(G, \alpha)$ introduced in Section 3.1. We continue to use the notation from that construction. The underlying $C_0(G^0)$, $C_0(G^{0})$-correspondence is $(F, \varphi) := \ast L^2(G^1, s, \tilde{\alpha})$. The isomorphism

$$U: L^2(G^1 \times_{s,r} G^1, \nu_{2, \mu_2}) \rightarrow L^2(G^1 \times_{s,r} G^1, s \circ \nu_{2, \tilde{\alpha} \circ \alpha})$$

is the unique extension of the map on continuous functions with compact support defined by $U(\zeta)(g, h) := \zeta(g, g^{-1}h)$ for $\zeta \in C_c(G^1 \times_{s,r} G^1)$ and $(g, h) \in G^1 \times_{s,r} G^1$. Equation (4.4) describes $L: C_c(G^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(D)$ by $L(f) = T_\epsilon U T_{\epsilon}$ if $f = T_\epsilon f_2$ with $f_1, f_2 \in C_c(G^1)$. In particular, we may choose $k \in C_c(G^1)$ with $k = 1 = 1$ on supp($f$) and compute $L(f)$ as $T_k U T_{\epsilon}$ with our previous identifications, $T_k$ is the operator from $L^2(G^1 \times_{s,r} G^1, s \circ \nu_{2, \tilde{\alpha} \circ \alpha})$ to $F = L^2(G^1, s, \tilde{\alpha})$ given by

$$T_k(\zeta)(h) = \int_G k(g)\zeta(g, h) \, d\alpha^r(h)(g)$$

for all $\zeta \in C_c(G^1 \times_{s,r} G^1)$ and $h \in G^1$. Hence

$$L(f)(\xi) = T_k U(f \otimes \xi)(h) = \int_G k(g)U(\xi(g, h) \, d\alpha^r(h)(g)$$

$$= \int_G k(g)f(g)\xi(g^{-1}h) \, d\alpha^r(h)(g) = \int_G f(g)\xi(g^{-1}h) \, d\alpha^r(h)(g) = (f \ast \xi)(h)$$

for all $f \in C_c(G^1) \subset C^*(G, \alpha)$, $\xi \in C_c(G^1) \subset F$ and $h \in G^1$. So $L(f)$ is the operator of left convolution with $f$. This is the usual definition of the regular representation.

5. Disintegration

In this section, we construct a representation $(\varphi, U)$ of $(G, \alpha)$ from a representation of $C^*(G, \alpha)$. Actually, we shall start with a more technical setting, allowing densely defined representations of $C_c(G^1)$. Renault’s Disintegration Theorem also applies in this generality, and several results need such representations.

Definition 5.1. Let $F$ be a Hilbert module over a $C^*$-algebra $D$ and let $F_0$ be a vector space with a linear map $\iota: F_0 \to F$ with dense image. Let $Hom(F_0, F)$, be the vector space of all linear maps $F_0 \to F$. A pre-representation of $C_c(G^1)$ on $F$ is a linear map $L: C_c(G^1) \to Hom(F_0, F)$ such that

1. for all $\xi, \eta \in F_0$, the map $f \mapsto \langle \iota(\eta)|L(f)\eta \rangle_D$ from $C_c(G^1)$ to $D$ is continuous in the inductive limit topology on $C_c(G^1)$ and the norm topology on $D$;
2. $\langle L(f_1)\xi|L(f_2)\eta \rangle_D = \langle \iota(\eta)|L(f_1 \ast f_2)|\xi \rangle_D$ for all $\xi, \eta \in F_0$;
3. the linear span of $L(f)\xi$ for $f \in C_c(G^1)$, $\xi \in F_0$ is dense in $F$.

We do not need the map $\iota$ to be injective. The assumptions in Definition 5.1 imply, however, that $L(f)\xi = 0$ for all $f \in C_c(G^1)$, $\xi \in F_0$ with $\iota(\xi) = 0$ because $\langle L(f)\xi|L(f_2)\eta \rangle_D = 0$ for all $f_2 \in C_c(G^1)$, $\xi_2 \in F_0$ by (2) and linear combinations of $L(f_2)\xi_2$ are dense in $F$ by (3). So it would be no loss of generality to assume $\iota$ to be injective: we may replace $\iota: F_0 \to F$ by the injective linear map $\tilde{\iota}: F_0/\ker(\iota) \to F$, $\tilde{\iota}(\xi + \ker(\iota)) := \iota(\xi)$, and $L: C_c(G^1) \to Hom(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}[\mathcal{F}]_0, F)$, $L(f)(\xi + \ker(\iota)) := L(f)\xi$.

Throughout this section, we fix a groupoid $G$ with a Haar system $\alpha$ and a pre-representation $(L, F_0, \iota)$ of $C_c(G^1)$. Disintegration will produce a representation $(\varphi, U)$ of $(G, \alpha)$ on $F$. First, we construct the representation $\varphi$ of $C_0(G^0)$
on $F$. Define $r^*(f_0) \cdot f_1 \in C_c(G^1)$ for $f_0 \in C_0(G^0)$, $f_1 \in C_c(G^1)$ by $r^*(f_0) \cdot f_1(g) := f_0(r(g)) \cdot f_1(g)$ for all $g \in G^1$. Then define
\[\varphi_0 : C_0(G^0) \circ C_c(G^1) \circ F_0 \to F, \quad f_0 \otimes f_1 \otimes \xi \mapsto L(r^*(f_0) \cdot f_1)\xi.\]

**Lemma 5.2.** There is a unique representation $\varphi : C_0(G^0) \to B(F)$ with
\[\varphi(f_0)(L(f_1)\xi) = \varphi(f_0 \otimes f_1 \otimes \xi)\]
for all $f_0 \in C_0(G^0)$, $f_1 \in C_c(G^1)$, $\xi \in F_0$.

**Proof.** Let $f_0 \in C_0(G^1)$. Define $f'_0 \in C_b(G^1)$ by
\[f'_0(g) := \sqrt{f_0(2 \xi - |f_0(g)|^2)}\]
for all $g \in G^1$, so that $f'_0 f_0 + (f'_0)^* f'_0 = \|f_0\|^2 \cdot 1$ in $C_b(G^1)$. If $f_1 \in C_c(G^1)$, $\xi \in F_0$, then
\[
\langle \varphi_0(f_0 \otimes f_1 \otimes \xi) \rangle = \|f_0\|^2 (\varphi(\xi)L(f_1)\xi) = \|f_0\|^2 (L(f_1)\xi)L(f_1)\xi).
\]
Since $L(C_c(G^1))(F_0)$ is linearly dense in $F$, there is a unique bounded linear operator $\varphi(f_0) : F \to F$ with
\[\varphi(f_0)(L(f_1)\xi) = \varphi_0(f_0 \otimes f_1 \otimes \xi) = L(r^*(f_0)) f_1 \xi\]
for all $f_1 \in C_c(G^1)$, $\xi \in F_0$. The operator $\varphi(f_0)$ is adjointable with adjoint $\varphi(f_0)^*$ because $f_0^* (r^*(f_0) f_1') = (r^*(f_0) f_1)^* f_1'$ in $C_c(G^1)$ for all $f_1, f_1' \in C_c(G^1)$. The map $\varphi : C_b(G^1) \to B(F)$ is linear and multiplicative because the action of $C_b(G^1)$ on $C_c(G^1)$ by pointwise multiplication is a module structure. The restriction of $\varphi$ to $C_0(G^1)$ is nondegenerate because $C_0(G^1) \cdot C_c(G^1) = C_c(G^1)$ and $L(C_c(G^1))(F_0)$ is linearly dense in $F$.

Next, we are going to construct linear maps with dense range
\[\tau_s : C_c(G^2) \circ F_0 \to L^2(G^1, s, \tilde{\alpha}) \otimes_\varphi F,\]
\[\tau_r : C_c(G^2) \circ F_0 \to L^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \otimes_\varphi F,\]
such that
\[\langle \tau_s(x)|\tau_s(x) \rangle = \langle \tau_r(x)|\tau_r(x) \rangle\]
for all $x \in C_c(G^2) \circ F_0$. Hence there is a unique unitary operator
\[U : L^2(G^1, s, \tilde{\alpha}) \otimes_\varphi F \xrightarrow{\sim} L^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \otimes_\varphi F\]
with $U(\tau_s(x)) = \tau_r(x)$ for all $x \in C_c(G^2) \circ F_0$. We will check later that $(\varphi, U)$ is a representation of $(G, \alpha)$. The construction of $\tau_s, \tau_r$ is a special case of the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let $p : X \to G^0$ be a continuous map and let $\lambda$ be a continuous family of measures along $p$. The map
\[\tau : C_c(X) \circ C_c(G^1) \circ F_0 \to L^2(X, p, \lambda) \otimes_\varphi F, \quad f_0 \otimes f_1 \otimes \xi \mapsto f_0 \otimes L(f_1)\xi,\]
extends uniquely to a linear map
\[\tilde{\tau} : C_c(X \times_{p,G^0} G^1) \circ F_0 \to L^2(X, p, \lambda) \otimes_\varphi F,\]
such that $f \mapsto \tilde{\tau}(f \otimes \xi)$ for $f \in C_c(X \times_{p,G^0} G^1)$ is continuous in the inductive limit topology for all $\xi \in F_0$. If $F_1, F_2 \in C_c(X \times_{p,G^0} G^1)$, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in F_0$, then
\[\langle \tilde{\tau}(F_1 \otimes \xi_1) | \tilde{\tau}(F_2 \otimes \xi_2) \rangle = \langle \xi_1 | L((F_1 | F_2)) | \xi_2 \rangle,\]
(5.4)
where \( \langle F_1|F_2 \rangle \in C_c(G^1) \) is defined by

\[
\langle F_1|F_2 \rangle(g) := \int F_1(x,h^{-1})F_2(x,h^{-1}g) \, d\lambda^x(h) \, d\alpha^r(g)(h) = \int F_1(x,h)F_2(x,hg) \, d\lambda^x(h) \, d\tilde{\alpha}_r(g)(h).
\]

**Proof.** Let \( f_1, f_3 \in C_c(X) \), \( f_2, f_4 \in C_c(G^1) \), \( \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{F}_0 \). We compute

\[
\langle \tau(f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes \xi_1)|\tau(f_3 \otimes f_4 \otimes \xi_2) \rangle = \langle L(f_2)\xi_1|\varphi((f_1)f_3)\lambda(f_4)\xi_2 \rangle = \langle \lambda(\xi_1)|L(f_2^* \ast (r^*((f_1)f_3))f_4)\rangle(\xi_2).
\]

Here \( f_2^* \ast (r^*((f_1)f_3))f_4 \in C_c(G^1) \) is given by

\[
f_2^* \ast (r^*((f_1)f_3))f_4(g) = \int_{G^1} f_2^*(h) (r^*((f_1)f_3))f_4(h^{-1}g) \, d\alpha^r(g)(h) = \int X f_2(h^{-1})f_1(x)f_3(x)f_4(h^{-1}g) \, d\lambda^x(h) \, d\alpha^r(g)(h) = \int X f_2(h)f_1(x)f_3(x)f_4(hg) \, d\lambda^x(h) \, d\tilde{\alpha}_r(g)(h).
\]

This is the right hand side in (5.5) if we let \( F_1(x,g) := f_1(x)f_2(g) \) and \( F_2(x,g) := f_3(x)f_4(g) \). Thus (5.5) with \( \bar{\tau} = \tau \) holds for \( F_1, F_2 \) in the image of \( C_c(X) \circ C_c(G^1) \) in \( C_c(X \times_{p,G^0,r} G^1) \).

Let \( F \in C_c(X \times_{p,G^0,r} G^1) \) and \( \xi \in \mathcal{F}_0 \). Let \( V \) and \( W \) be open, relatively compact subsets of \( X \) and \( G^1 \), respectively, so that \( V \times_{p,G^0,r} W \) is a neighbourhood of the support of \( F \), that is, \( F \in C_0(V \times_{p,G^0,r} W) \). The linear span of functions of the form \( f_1 \otimes f_2 \) with \( f_1 \in C_0(V) \subseteq C_c(X) \), \( f_2 \in C_0(W) \subseteq C_c(G^1) \) is dense in the Banach space \( C_0(V \times_{p,G^0,r} W) \subseteq C_c(X \times_{p,G^0,r} G^1) \) by the Stone–Weierstrass Theorem. Hence there is a sequence \( (F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) in \( C_0(V) \circ C_0(W) \) whose image in \( C_0(V \times_{p,G^0,r} W) \) converges towards \( F \). We claim that \( \tau(F_n \otimes \xi) \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( \mathcal{L}^2(X,p,\lambda) \otimes_{\otimes} \mathcal{F} \). Then we are going to define \( \bar{\tau}(F \otimes \xi) = \lim \tau(F_n \otimes \xi) \).

We may use (5.4) to compute \( \langle \tau(F_n \otimes \xi)|\tau(F_m \otimes \xi) \rangle \) for all \( n, m \in \mathbb{N} \) because it holds for elementary tensors in the place of \( F_n \) and \( F_m \). The continuity assumption for \( L \) in Definition 5.1 shows that this is a continuous bilinear map in the two entries \( F_n, F_m \). Therefore,

\[
\lim_{n,m \to \infty} \langle \tau(F_n \otimes \xi)|\tau(F_m \otimes \xi) \rangle = \langle \langle \xi|\langle L(F)F \rangle \rangle \rangle(\xi)
\]

with \( \langle F|F \rangle \in C_c(G^1) \) as in (5.5). Hence

\[
||\tau(F_n \otimes \xi) - \tau(F_m \otimes \xi)||^2 = \langle \tau(F_n \otimes \xi)|\tau(F_n \otimes \xi) \rangle - \langle \tau(F_n \otimes \xi)|\tau(F_m \otimes \xi) \rangle - \langle \tau(F_m \otimes \xi)|\tau(F_n \otimes \xi) \rangle + \langle \tau(F_m \otimes \xi)|\tau(F_m \otimes \xi) \rangle
\]

converges to 0 for \( n, m \to \infty \). Thus \( \tau(F_n \otimes \xi) \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( \mathcal{L}^2(X,p,\lambda) \otimes_{\otimes} \mathcal{F} \). We let \( \bar{\tau}(F \otimes \xi) \) be its limit. This does not depend on the choice of \( V, W \) and \( (F_n) \) because mixing two sequences of this type gives a Cauchy sequence as well, by the same argument. The map \( (F, \xi) \mapsto \bar{\tau}(F \otimes \xi) \) is bilinear and hence extends to a linear map \( \bar{\tau}: C_c(X \times_{p,G^0,r} G^1) \otimes \mathcal{F}_0 \to \mathcal{L}^2(X,p,\lambda) \otimes_{\otimes} \mathcal{F} \). It has dense image because \( \tau \) has dense image. The formula (5.4) holds everywhere by continuity and because it holds for \( F_1, F_2 \) in the dense subspace \( C_c(X) \circ C_c(G^1) \) of \( C_c(X \times_{p,G^0,r} G^1) \).
We apply Lemma 5.3 to the maps $r, s: G^1 \to G^0$ with the measure families $\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}$. This gives linear maps with dense image

$$\tau_s: C_c(G^1 \times_{s,G^0} G^1) \circ \mathcal{F}_0 \to \mathcal{L}^2(G^1, s, \tilde{\alpha}) \otimes_{\varphi} \mathcal{F},$$

$$\tau_r: C_c(G^1 \times_{r,G^0} G^1) \circ \mathcal{F}_0 \to \mathcal{L}^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \otimes_{\varphi} \mathcal{F}.$$ We identify $G^1 \times_{s,G^0} G^1 = G^2$ with $G^1 \times_{r,G^0} G^1$ through the homeomorphisms $(g, h) \mapsto (g, g^{\pm 1} h)$ going back and forth. Let $F_1, F_2 \in C_c(G^2)$, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{F}_0$. Then

$$\langle \tau_s(F_1 \otimes \xi_1)|\tau_r(F_2 \otimes \xi_2)\rangle = \langle \iota(\xi_1)|L((F_1|F_2)s)\xi_2\rangle,$n
$$\langle \tau_r(F_1 \otimes \xi_1)|\tau_r(F_2 \otimes \xi_2)\rangle = \langle \iota(\xi_1)|L((F_1|F_2)r)\xi_2\rangle$$

with

$$\langle F_1|F_2\rangle_s(k) = \iint F_1(x, h, xh) F_2(x, hk, xhk) \, d\tilde{\alpha}_{r(h)}(x) \, d\tilde{\alpha}_{r(h)}(h),$$

$$\langle F_1|F_2\rangle_r(k) = \iint F_1(x, x^{-1} h, h) F_2(x, x^{-1} hk, xhk) \, d\alpha_r(x) \, d\alpha_r(h)$$

by (5.3). Here we described points in $G^2$ through $x, h, y \in G^1$ with $xh = y$ to clarify the identification of $G^1 \times_{r,G^0} G^1$ with $G^2$. Equation (6.11) applied to the function $f^k(g, h) := F_1(g, h) F_2(g, hk)$ in $C_c(G^1 \times_{s,G^0} G^1(k))$ for fixed $k \in G^1$ implies $\langle F_1|F_2\rangle_s(k) = \langle F_1|F_2\rangle_r(k)$ for all $k \in G^1$. Hence $\tau_s$ and $\tau_r$ induce the same inner product on $C_c(G^2) \circ \mathcal{F}_0$. So there is a unique unitary

$$U: \mathcal{L}^2(G^1, s, \tilde{\alpha}) \otimes_{\varphi} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \otimes_{\varphi} \mathcal{F}$$

with $U \tau_s(x) = \tau_r(x)$ for all $x \in C_c(G^2) \circ \mathcal{F}_0$. More precisely, $U \tau_s(x) = \tau_r(v(x))$, where $v: C_c(G^1 \times_{s,G^0} G^1) \circ \mathcal{F}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} C_c(G^1 \times_{r,G^0} G^1) \circ \mathcal{F}_0$ is the isomorphism induced by the homeomorphism $Y: G^1 \times_{s,G^0} G^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} G^1 \times_{r,G^0} G^1$, $(g, h) \mapsto (g, gh)$ in (3.22).

**Proposition 5.6.** The pair $(\varphi, U)$ associated to a pre-representation $L$ of $C_c(G^1)$ is a representation of $(G, \alpha)$.

**Proof.** First we check that $U$ is an isomorphism of correspondences. That is, it is a $C_0(G^1)$-module homomorphism for the canonical left $C_0(G^1)$-module structures by pointwise multiplication on the first tensor factors $\mathcal{L}^2(G^1, s, \tilde{\alpha})$ and $\mathcal{L}^2(G^1, r, \alpha)$. The maps $\tau_s$ and $\tau_r$ are $C_0(G^1)$-module homomorphism if we let $f_1 \in C_0(G^1)$ act on $f_2 \in C_c(G^1 \times_{s,G^0} G^1) \circ \mathcal{F}_0$ and $f_2 \in C_c(G^1 \times_{r,G^0} G^1) \circ \mathcal{F}_0$ by

$$(f_1 \cdot f_2)(g, h) := f_1(g) f_2(g, h), \quad (f_1 \cdot f_2)(g, k) := f_1(g) f_2(g, k).$$

These give the same $C_0(G^1)$-module structure on $C_c(G^2) \circ \mathcal{F}_0$. So the unitary $U$ is a $C_0(G^1)$-module homomorphism.

The unitaries $d^*_j(U)$ in (3.15)–(3.17) act between $\mathcal{L}^2(G^2, v_j, \mu_j) \otimes_{\varphi} \mathcal{F}$ for $j \in \{0, 1, 2\} \setminus \{i\}$. Lemma 5.3 provides a linear map with dense range

$$\nu_{v_j}: C_c(G^2 \times_{v_j,G^0} G^1) \circ \mathcal{F}_0 \to \mathcal{L}^2(G^2, v_j, \mu_j) \otimes_{\varphi} \mathcal{F}.$$ The elements of $G^2 \times_{v_j,G^0} G^1$ are configurations of three arrows $(g, h, x)$ with $(g, h) \in G^2$ and $r(x) = r(g)$ for $j = 0$, $r(x) = s(g) = r(h)$ for $j = 1$, and $r(x) = s(h)$ for $j = 2$, respectively. In particular, $G^2 \times_{v_2,G^0} G^1$ is the space $G^3$ of triples of composable arrows $(g, h, x) \in G$.

To define $d^*_0(U)$ as in (3.16), we identify $G^2 \times_{v_2,G^0} G^1 \cong G^1 \times_{s,G^0} \text{ropr}_1(G^1 \times_{s,G^0} G^1)$ and $G^2 \times_{v_2,G^0} G^1 \cong G^1 \times_{s,G^0} \text{ropr}_1(G^1 \times_{r,G^0} G^1)$, $\text{ropr}_1$ being the unique extension of $C_c(G^2) \circ \mathcal{F}_0 \to C_c(G^2 \times_{v_1,G^0} G^1) \circ \mathcal{F}_0$, $f \otimes \xi \mapsto (f \circ \text{ropr}_1) \otimes \xi$,
with \( \Upsilon_0(g, h, x) := (g, h, h^{-1}x) \). Similarly, \( d_2^*(U) \) is the unique extension of \( C_c(G^2 \times v_{2, G^n, r} G^1) \otimes F_0 \to C_c(G^2 \times v_{0, G^n, r} G^1) \otimes F_0, \quad f \otimes \xi \mapsto (f \circ \Upsilon_2) \otimes \xi, \)

with \( \Upsilon_2(g, h, x) := (g, h, h^{-1}x) \). And \( d_1^*(U) \) is the unique extension of \( C_c(G^2 \times v_{2, G^n, r} G^1) \otimes F_0 \to C_c(G^2 \times v_{0, G^n, r} G^1) \otimes F_0, \quad f \otimes \xi \mapsto (f \circ \Upsilon_1) \otimes \xi, \)

with \( \Upsilon_1(g, h, x) := (g, h, (gh)^{-1}x) \). The equation \( d_2^*(U)d_0^*(U) = d_1^*(U) \) follows from \( \Upsilon_1 = \Upsilon_0 \circ \Upsilon_2 \), which is the associativity of the multiplication in \( G \). \( \square \)

### 6. Integration versus disintegration

This section finishes the proof of Theorem 3.23. Let \( F \) be a Hilbert module over a C*-algebra \( D \). Given a representation of \((G, \alpha)\) on \( F \), we have constructed a representation of the convolution algebra \( C_c(G^1) \) bounded with respect to the \( \| \cdot \| \) in Section 3. This extends to a representation of \( C^\ast(G, \alpha) \). Conversely, given a representation of \( C^\ast(G, \alpha) \) or merely a pre-representation of \( C_c(G^1) \) as in Definition 5.1, we have constructed a representation of \((G, \alpha)\) in Section 5. First we prove that these two constructions are inverse to each other. Hence we get the asserted bijection between representations of \((G, \alpha)\) and \( C^\ast(G, \alpha) \). Then we check that the bijection has the two naturality properties in Theorem 3.23.

**Proposition 6.1.** Let \((L, F_0, \iota)\) be a pre-representation of \( C_c(G^1) \) on \( F \). Let \((\varphi, U)\) be its disintegration. Then the integrated form \( L' \) of \((\varphi, U)\) satisfies \( L'(f)(\iota(\xi)) = L(f)(\xi) \) for all \( f \in C_c(G^1), \xi \in F_0 \), and \( L'(f)(L(f_2)\xi) = L(f \ast f_2)(\xi) \) for all \( f, f_2 \in C_c(G^1) \).

**Proof.** Let \( f_1, f_2, f_3 \in C_c(G^1) \) and \( \xi_1, \xi_2 \in F_0 \). We compute the inner product \( \langle f_3 \otimes \iota(\xi_1), f_1 \otimes L(f_2)\xi_2 \rangle \) in \( L^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \otimes \varphi F \):

\[
\langle f_3 \otimes \iota(\xi_1), f_1 \otimes L(f_2)\xi_2 \rangle = \langle \iota(\xi_1), \varphi(f_3f_1) \rangle L(f_2)\xi_2 = \langle \iota(\xi_1), L(r^*((f_3f_1) \cdot f_2)\xi_2),
\]

where \( r^*((f_3f_1) \cdot f_2) \in C_c(G^1) \) is given by

\[
r^*((f_3f_1)) \cdot f_2(g) = \int_{G^1} f_3(x) f_1(x) f_2(g) \cdot \alpha^r(g) \, dx.
\]

Thus \( T^\alpha_{f_2} L(f_2)\xi_2 = L(r^*((f_3f_1)) \cdot f_2)\xi_2 \); the annihilation operator \( T^\alpha_{f_2} \) is the adjoint of the creation operator \( T_{f_2} \) as in Definition 4.1. Define \( F \in C_c(G^1 \times v_{r, G^n, G^1}) \) by \( F(x, g) := f_1(x) f_2(x^{-1}g) \). The unitary \( U \) maps \( f_1 \otimes L(f_2)\xi_2 \) to \( \tau(F \otimes \xi_2) \). Since the image of \( C_c(G^1) \otimes C_c(G^1) \) in \( C_c(G^2) \) is dense in the inductive limit topology, the computation above implies that \( T^\alpha_{f_2} U(f_1 \otimes L(f_2)\xi_2) = L(\psi)\xi_2 \) with

\[
\psi = \int f_3(x) F(x, g) \, dx \alpha^r(g) \, dx = \int f_3(x) f_1(x) f_2(x^{-1}g) \alpha^r(g) \, dx = \overline{f_3f_1} \ast f_2(g).
\]

Summing up,

\[
T^\alpha_{f_2} U T^\alpha_{f_1} = T^\alpha_{f_2} U(f_1 \otimes L(f_2)\xi_2) = L(\overline{f_3f_1} \ast f_2)\xi
\]

for all \( f_1, f_2, f_3 \in C_c(G^1), \xi \in F_0 \).

The integrated form \( L' \) of \((\varphi, U)\) is \( L'(\overline{f_3f_1}) := T^\alpha_{f_2} U T^\alpha_{f_1} \) by (4.4). So the computation above shows that \( L'(f)L(f_2)\xi = L(f \ast f_2)\xi \) for all \( f, f_2 \in C_c(G^1), \xi \in F_0 \).

Since \( L' \) is a \( \ast \)-representation of the \( \ast \)-algebra \( C_c(G^1) \) on \( F \),

\[
\langle L'(f)(\iota(\xi_1)), L(f_2)\xi_2 \rangle = \langle \iota(\xi_1), L'(f \ast f_2)\xi_2 \rangle = \langle \iota(\xi_1), L(f \ast f_2)\xi_2 \rangle = \langle L(f)(\iota(\xi_1)), L(f_2)\xi_2 \rangle
\]

for all \( f, f_2 \in C_c(G^1), \xi_1, \xi_2 \in F_0 \). Since vectors of the form \( L(f_2)\xi_2 \) span a dense subspace in \( F \), this implies \( L'(f)(\iota(\xi_1)) = L(f)(\xi_1) \). \( \square \)
Corollary 6.2. For any pre-representation $(L, F_0, i)$ of $C^*_c(G)$ there is a representation $L': C^*_c(G') \to B(F)$ that is bounded with respect to the $I$-norm and satisfies $L'(f)(i(\xi)) = L(f)(i(\xi))$ for all $f \in C^*_c(G')$, $\xi \in F_0$. In particular, a representation of $C^*_c(G')$ is $I$-norm bounded if and only if it is continuous in the inductive limit topology.

The first statement in Corollary 6.2 looks rather technical. Nevertheless, it is a key result for the theory of groupoid $C^*$-algebras. For instance, it is needed to prove that Morita equivalent groupoids have Morita–Rieffel equivalent $C^*$-algebras, see [13] p. 15. And this is the only point in the proof of [13] Theorem 2.8 where it is needed that the groupoids involved are second countable. So our Corollary 6.2 removes this hypothesis from the main result of [13]:

Corollary 6.3. Let $(G, \alpha)$ and $(H, \beta)$ be locally compact groupoids with Haar systems. Let $Z$ be a Morita equivalence between $G$ and $H$. Then $C^*_c(Z)$ may be completed to a $C^*_r(G, \alpha), C^*_r(H, \beta)$-imprimitivity bimodule. So $C^*_r(G, \alpha)$ and $C^*_r(H, \beta)$ are Morita–Rieffel equivalent.

Now we finish the proof of our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 5.23 A representation of $C^*_r(G, \alpha)$ on $F$ is equivalent to a nondegenerate -representation of the *-algebra $C_c(G')$ that is bounded with respect to the $I$-norm; by Corollary 6.2 this is equivalent to continuity in the inductive limit topology. When we disintegrate such a representation $L$ to a representation $(\varphi, U)$ of $(G, \alpha)$ and integrate $(\varphi, U)$ to a representation of $C^*_c(G)$, we get back the original representation $L$ by Proposition 6.1.

Now we start with a representation $(\varphi, U)$ of $(G, \alpha)$ and integrate it to a representation $L$ of $C^*_c(G')$. Let $(\varphi', U')$ be the representation of $(G, \alpha)$ obtained by disintegrating $L$. We claim that $\varphi' = \varphi$ and $U' = U$. Let $f_1, f_2 \in C_c(G')$, $f_0 \in C_c(G)$ and $\xi \in F$. Then $f_1 r^* f_0 \otimes \xi = f_1 \otimes \varphi(f_0) \xi$ in $\mathcal{L}^2(G', r, \alpha) \otimes \varphi F$. Hence $T_{f_0}^* T_{f_1} = \varphi(f_0) T_{f_1}^* U T_{f_2} \xi = T_{f_1 r^* f_0} U T_{f_2} \xi = L(r^* f_0 f_1 f_2) \xi$.

Thus $\varphi' = \varphi$ by the definition of $\varphi'$ in Lemma 5.2.

Equation (14.3) implies

$$\langle f_1 \otimes \xi_1 | U(f_2 \otimes \xi_2) \rangle = \langle \xi_1 | T_{f_1}^* U T_{f_2} \xi_2 \rangle = \langle \xi_1 | L(T_{f_1} f_2) \xi_2 \rangle$$

for all $f_1, f_2 \in C_c(G')$ and $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in F$. This shows that the representation $L$ uniquely determines $U$ because the inner products $\langle f_1 \otimes \xi_1 | U(f_2 \otimes \xi_2) \rangle$ determine $U$. Both $(\varphi, U)$ and $(\varphi', U')$ integrate to the same representation $L$ by Proposition 6.1. This implies $U = U'$ because $L$ determines $U$ uniquely.

Let $F_1$ and $F_2$ be Hilbert $D$-modules with representations $(\varphi_1, U_1)$ and $(\varphi_2, U_2)$ of $(G, \alpha)$ and let $L_1$ and $L_2$ be the corresponding representations of $C_c(G')$. Let $J$: $F_1 \to F_2$ be an isometry. This intertwines $(\varphi_1, U_1)$ and $(\varphi_2, U_2)$ if and only if $J \varphi_1(f) = \varphi_2(f) J$ for all $f \in C_0(G')$ and $(id \otimes r^* r, \alpha) \otimes J) U_1 = U_2 (id \otimes r^* r, \alpha) \otimes J)$. Then

$L_2(f) J = T_{\theta f} U_2 T_{f} J = T_{\theta f} U_2 (id \otimes J) T_{f} = T_{\theta f} (id \otimes J) U_1 T_{f} J = JT_{\theta f} U_1 T_{f} J = J L_1(f)$,

that is, $J$ intertwines the representations $L_1$ and $L_2$ of $C_c(G')$. Then it also intertwines the unique extensions of $L_1$ and $L_2$ to $C^*_r(G, \alpha)$.

Conversely, assume that $J$ intertwines two representations $L_1$ and $L_2$ of $C^*_c(G')$ on $F_1$ and $F_2$. Then $J$ also intertwines the representations $\varphi_1$ of $C_0(G')$ defined in Lemma 5.2 and the maps $C_c(G') \otimes F_1 \to \mathcal{L}^2(G', r, \alpha) \otimes \varphi_i, F_1$ and $C_c(G') \otimes F_2 \to \mathcal{L}^2(G', r, \alpha) \otimes \varphi_i, F_2$ used to construct $U$; that is, the constructions in Lemmas 5.2 and Lemma 5.3 are natural for isometric intertwiners in the formal sense. Thus $J$
intertwines the representations $(\varphi_1, U_1)$ and $(\varphi_2, U_2)$ obtained by disintegrating $L_1$ and $L_2$.

The previous two paragraphs show that the bijections between representations of $(G, \alpha)$ and $C^*(G, \alpha)$ have the property [1] in Theorem 3.23. Property [2] in Theorem 3.23 is obvious from our construction of the integrated form of a representation of $(G, \alpha)$. The proof that our universal property characterises $C^*(G, \alpha)$ uniquely up to a canonical isomorphism is the same as a corresponding argument for $C^*$-hulls, see [11, Proposition 3.7].

**Corollary 6.4.** There is a universal representation $(\varphi^u, U^u)$ of $(G, \alpha)$ on $C^*(G, \alpha)$ such that disintegration of representations maps a representation $L$ of $C^*(G, \alpha)$ on $F$ to $(\varphi^u, U^u) \otimes_L F$. More precisely, this representation of $(G, \alpha)$ lives on $C^*(G, \alpha) \otimes_L F$, which we identify with $F$ by the canonical unitary $f \otimes \xi \mapsto L(f)\xi$.

The proof also describes the universal representation $(\varphi^u, U^u)$.

**Proof.** We view the identity map on $C^*(G, \alpha)$ as a representation of $C^*(G, \alpha)$ on $C^*(G, \alpha)$ as a Hilbert module over itself. This representation disintegrates to a representation $(\varphi^u, U^u)$ of $(G, \alpha)$ on $C^*(G, \alpha)$. By construction, $\varphi^u : C_0(G^u) \to \mathcal{B}(C^*(G, \alpha)) = \mathcal{M}(C^*(G, \alpha))$ is the canonical morphism: a function $f_0$ in $C_0(G^0)$ multiplies on the left by $r^*(f_0)$ and hence on the right by $s^*(f_0)$. The unitary

$$U^u : \mathcal{L}^2(G, s, \tilde{\alpha}) \otimes_{\varphi^u} C^*(G, \alpha) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}^2(G, r, \alpha) \otimes_{\varphi^u} C^*(G, \alpha)$$

is the unique extension of the isomorphism

$$(6.5) \quad C_c(G^1 \times_{s,G^u,\tilde{\alpha}} G^1) \xrightarrow{\sim} C_c(G^1 \times_{r,G^u,\alpha} G^1)$$

that composes functions with the canonical homeomorphism

$$G^1 \times_{r,G^u,\alpha} G^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} G^1 \times_{s,G^u,\tilde{\alpha}} G^1, \quad (g, k) \mapsto (g, g^{-1}k).$$

A variant of Lemma 5.3 gives linear maps

$$C_c(G^1 \times_{s,G^u,\tilde{\alpha}} G^1) \to \mathcal{L}^2(G, s, \tilde{\alpha}) \otimes_{\varphi^u} C^*(G, \alpha),$$

$$C_c(G^1 \times_{r,G^u,\alpha} G^1) \to \mathcal{L}^2(G, r, \alpha) \otimes_{\varphi^u} C^*(G, \alpha)$$

with dense image and shows that the isomorphism $(6.5)$ preserves the inner products. More precisely, Lemma 5.3 considers $C_c(G^2) \otimes_{\varphi^u} C^*(G, \alpha)$. But we may do the same computation without the factor $C^*(G, \alpha)$, getting the above, simpler, description of $U^u$.

The claim that disintegration is just tensoring a given representation of $C^*(G, \alpha)$ with the universal representation is implicit in our construction in Section 5. We deduce it from the two extra properties of the bijections in Theorem 3.23; compare the proof of [11, Proposition 3.6]. The canonical unitary $C^*(G, \alpha) \otimes_L F \xrightarrow{\sim} F$, $f \otimes \xi \mapsto L(f)\xi$, intertwines the obvious representations of $C^*(G, \alpha)$ on both Hilbert modules. It intertwines the corresponding representations of $(G, \alpha)$ by 3.23(1). The representation of $(G, \alpha)$ on $C^*(G, \alpha) \otimes_L F$ is $(\varphi^u, U^u) \otimes_L F$ by 3.23(2). Hence the disintegration of $L$ is obtained by transporting the representation $(\varphi^u, U^u) \otimes_L F$ on $C^*(G, \alpha) \otimes_L F$ to one on $F$ along the canonical unitary $C^*(G, \alpha) \otimes_L F \xrightarrow{\sim} F$. \hfill \Box

### 7. Transformation Groups and Étale Groupoids

We now make our universal property more explicit in two cases, namely, for transformation groups and for (Hausdorff) étale groupoids. In both cases, there is a canonical Haar system $\alpha$. We are going to reprove known characterisations of $C^*(G, \alpha)$ as a crossed product for a group or inverse semigroup action, respectively.
7.1. Transformation groups. Let \( \Gamma \) be a locally compact group and let \( X \) be a left \( \Gamma \)-space. Let \( G = \Gamma \times X \) be the transformation groupoid. Fix a Haar measure \( \alpha_0 \) on \( \Gamma \) and let \( \alpha \) be the resulting “constant” Haar system on \( G \). By definition,

\[
\begin{align*}
G^0 &= X, & s(\gamma, x) &= x, & d_0(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, x) &= (\gamma_2, x), \\
G^1 &= \Gamma \times X, & r(\gamma, x) &= \gamma \cdot x, & d_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, x) &= (\gamma_1 \gamma_2, x), \\
G^2 &= \Gamma^2 \times X, & d_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, x) &= (\gamma_1, \gamma_2 x).
\end{align*}
\]

The measure family \( \tilde{\alpha} \) is the constant family \( \tilde{\alpha}_x = \tilde{\alpha}_0 \times \delta_x \) for \( x \in X \), where \( \tilde{\alpha}_0 \) is the right Haar measure on \( \Gamma \) associated to \( \alpha_0 \).

**Theorem 7.1.** Let \((G, \alpha)\) be a transformation groupoid with its canonical Haar system. Let \( D \) be a \( C^* \)-algebra and \( F \) a Hilbert \( D \)-module. There is a bijective correspondence between representations of \((G, \alpha)\) on \( F \) and covariant representations for the action of \( \Gamma \) on \( C_0(X) \). It leaves the representation of \( C_0(X) \) unchanged and has the two naturality properties in Theorem 5.24. Thus \( C^*(G, \alpha) \) is naturally isomorphic to the crossed product \( \Gamma \ltimes C_0(X) \).

**Proof.** A representation of \((G, \alpha)\) consists of a representation \( \varphi \) of \( C_0(G^0) = C_0(X) \) on \( F \) and a unitary operator

\[
U : L^2(G^1, s, \tilde{\alpha}) \otimes C_0(X) F \xrightarrow{\sim} L^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \otimes C_0(X) F
\]

with some properties. We fix \( \varphi \) because it appears in both types of representations that we are going to identify. Since \( G^1 = \Gamma \times X \), the Hilbert \( C_0(X) \)-module \( L^2(G^1, s, \tilde{\alpha}) \) is isomorphic to the exterior tensor product \( L^2(\Gamma, \tilde{\alpha}_0) \otimes C_0(X) \); this corresponds to the constant field of Hilbert spaces over \( X \) with fibre \( L^2(\Gamma, \tilde{\alpha}_0) \).

Hence we may simplify

\[
L^2(G^1, s, \tilde{\alpha}) \otimes C_0(X) F \cong L^2(\Gamma, \tilde{\alpha}_0) \otimes F.
\]

This exterior tensor product contains \( C_c(\Gamma, F) \) as a dense subspace. On this subspace, the representation of \( C_0(G^1) = C_0(\Gamma \times X) \) acts by

\[
f \cdot \xi(\gamma) = \varphi(x \mapsto f(\gamma, x))\xi(\gamma)
\]

for all \( f \in C_0(\Gamma \times X) \), \( \xi \in C_c(\Gamma, F) \); that is, for fixed \( \gamma \in \Gamma \), \( f \) acts by \( \varphi \) applied to the restricted function \( x \mapsto f(\gamma, x) \).

Next we need a similar unitary operator

\[
L^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \otimes C_0(X) F \xrightarrow{\sim} L^2(\Gamma, \alpha_0) \otimes F.
\]

We construct it in three steps. First, the inversion in \( G \) induces a unitary \( L^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \cong L^2(G^1, s, \tilde{\alpha}) \), which we may tensor with \( F \). Then the unitary above maps this on to \( L^2(\Gamma, \tilde{\alpha}_0) \otimes F \). Finally, the inversion in \( \Gamma \) induces a unitary from \( L^2(\Gamma, \tilde{\alpha}_0) \) to \( L^2(\Gamma, \alpha_0) \). Since \( (\gamma, x)^{-1} = (\gamma^{-1}, \gamma x) \), this chain of unitaries may be described by the single homeomorphism

\[
\iota : G^1 \to \Gamma \times X, \quad (\gamma, x) \mapsto (\gamma, \gamma x).
\]

The decomposition above shows that this homeomorphism induces a unitary operator

\[
\iota_* : L^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \xrightarrow{\sim} L^2(\Gamma, \alpha_0) \otimes C_0(X), \quad f \mapsto f \circ \iota^{-1}.
\]

It intertwines the left actions of \( C_0(G^1) \) on \( L^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \) by pointwise multiplication and on \( L^2(\Gamma, \alpha) \otimes C_0(X) \) by \( (f \cdot \xi)(\gamma, x) = f(\gamma, \gamma x) \cdot \xi(\gamma, x) \). The unitary \( \iota_* \) induces a unitary operator

\[
L^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \otimes C_0(X) F \xrightarrow{\sim} L^2(\Gamma, \alpha_0) \otimes F,
\]
which intertwines the representations of $C_0(G^1)$ on $L^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \otimes_{C_0(X)} F$ by pointwise multiplication and on $L^2(\Gamma, \alpha_0) \otimes F$ by

$$(f \circ \xi)(\gamma) = \varphi(x \mapsto f(\gamma, \gamma x))\xi(\gamma)$$

for $f \in C_0(\Gamma \times X)$, $\xi \in C_c(\Gamma, F)$.

Using the two unitaries above, we identify the unitary operator $U$ in a representation of $(G, \alpha)$ with a unitary operator

$$U': L^2(\Gamma, \alpha_0) \otimes F \simeq L^2(\Gamma, \alpha_0) \otimes F,$$

which intertwines the representations of $C_0(\Gamma \times X)$ specified above and makes a certain diagram commute. Since $C_0(\Gamma \times X) \cong C_0(\Gamma) \otimes C_0(X)$, the intertwining condition is equivalent to intertwining conditions for the representations of $C_0(\Gamma)'$ and $C_0(X)$ that we get by taking functions $f$ above that depend only on the first or second variable, respectively.

We may identify $L^2(G^2, \nu_s, \mu_\alpha) \otimes_{C_0(G^0)} F \cong L^2(\Gamma^2, \mu'_\alpha) \otimes F$, where the measure $\mu'_\alpha$ is constructed like $\mu_\alpha$ but for the group $\Gamma$. The commuting diagram needed for $U$ to be a representation is equivalent to the corresponding commuting diagram for $U'$. Thus $U'$ is a representation of $(\Gamma, \alpha_0)$ if $U$ is a representation of $(G, \alpha)$. Then $U'$ comes from a continuous representation of $\Gamma$ on $F$ because $C^*(\Gamma)$ is also universal with respect to continuous representations of $\Gamma$. The condition that $U'$ intertwines the two representations of $C_0(X)$ means that the representation $\varphi$ of $C_0(X)$ is covariant with respect to the continuous representation of $\Gamma$ associated to $U'$.

The above construction may be reversed easily. So there is a bijection between representations of $(G, \alpha)$ and covariant representations for the action of $\Gamma$ on $C_0(X)$.

There is a bijection between the latter and representations of the crossed product $\Gamma \rtimes C_0(X)$, see [23] Proposition 2.39. Using also Theorem 3.23, we get a bijection between representations of $C^*(G, \alpha)$ and $\Gamma \rtimes C_0(X)$ on $F$. All bijections used above have the naturality properties in Theorem 3.23. As in the proof of [11] Proposition 3.7, this implies that there is a natural isomorphism $C^*(G, \alpha) \cong \Gamma \rtimes C_0(X)$ that induces the bijection on representations built above. $\square$

7.2. Étale groupoids. Let $G$ be a locally compact, Hausdorff and étale groupoid. Endow $G$ with the canonical Haar system $\alpha$ where each $\alpha_x$ is the counting measure on the discrete subset $G^x \subseteq G^1$. Then $\tilde{\alpha}$ is the family of counting measures $\tilde{\alpha}_x$ on the discrete subsets $G_x \subseteq G^1$. Similarly, all the other measures $\lambda_t$ and $\alpha_t$ associated to the maps $d_i$ and $v_i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$ are counting measures.

We quickly recall the relationship between étale groupoids and inverse semigroup actions on spaces, see [5][14]. A bisection of $G$ is an open subset $a \subseteq G^1$ such that the restrictions of the source and range maps

$s_a: a \rightarrow s(a), \quad r_a: a \rightarrow r(a),$

are injective or, equivalently, homeomorphisms onto their images. The bisections in $G$ form an inverse semigroup $\text{Bis}(G)$. It acts on $G^0$ by the partial homeomorphisms $\tilde{v}_a = r_a \circ s_a^{-1}: s(a) \rightarrow r(a)$. The transformation groupoid $\text{Bis}(G) \rtimes G^0$ for this action is naturally isomorphic to $G$ (see also [10]). Here we may replace $\text{Bis}(G)$ by any inverse subsemigroup $S \subseteq \text{Bis}(G)$ that is wide, that is,

$$\bigcup_{v \in S} t = G^1, \quad \bigcup_{v \in S} v = u \cap t \quad \text{for all } u, t \in S.$$  \hfill (7.2)

These conditions say that the groupoid homomorphism $S \rtimes G^0 \rightarrow \text{Bis}(G) \rtimes G^0 \cong G^1$ induced by the inclusion $S \rightarrow \text{Bis}(G)$ is bijective. Then it is a homeomorphism because it is always a local homeomorphism. That is, $G \cong S \rtimes G^0$ in topological groupoids if and only if $S$ is wide. We fix an inverse semigroup action $\tilde{\vartheta}$ of an
inverse semigroup $S$ on a locally compact space $X = G^0$ and an isomorphism $G \cong S \times_\delta X$. The action of $S$ on $X$ induces an action on the $C^*$-algebra $C_0(X)$ by partial isomorphisms. This action has a crossed product $S \times C_0(X)$. It is already known that $C^*(G) \cong S \times C_0(X)$, see [5] Theorem 9.8, for instance. The same result is also proved in [2, 3, 14, 15], sometimes under mild extra conditions. Our universal property gives another proof, assuming $G$ to be Hausdorff. The main point is that representations of $G$ are equivalent to covariant representations of the $S$-action on $C_0(X)$, defined as follows:

**Definition 7.3.** Let $X$ be a locally compact Hausdorff space with an action of an inverse semigroup $S$ by partial homeomorphisms $\vartheta_a : D_{a^*a} \simto D_{aa^*}$ between open subsets $D_e \subseteq X$ for $e \in E(S)$. A **covariant representation** of this system on a Hilbert $D$-module $F$ consists of a nondegenerate representation $\varphi : C_0(X) \to B(F)$ and a family of unitaries $U_a : F_{a^*a} \simto F_{aa^*}$ for $a \in S$, where $F_e := \varphi(C_0(D_e))F$, that satisfy the following conditions:

1. $U_b$ restricts to $U_a$ if $a \leq b$ in $S$;
2. $U_a^* = U_{a^*}$ for all $a \in S$;
3. $U_a U_b = U_{ab}$ for all $a, b \in S$ with $a^*a = bb^*$;
4. $U_a \varphi(f) U_{a^*}^* = \varphi(f \circ \vartheta_{a^*})$ for all $f \in C_0(D_{a^*a})$.

If $S$ is a group, so that $1 \in S$ is the only idempotent element, then covariant representations as defined above are equivalent to representations of the crossed product $S \times C_0(X)$. For inverse semigroups, it seems that covariant representations have so far only been introduced on Hilbert spaces; the standard reference for this is [21]. Hilbert module representations require extra care because the Hilbert submodules $F_e$ need not be complementable. For instance, let $S$ be a semilattice of open subsets $D_e$ of $X$ with the intersection as product, acting on $X$ by identity maps $\vartheta_e : D_e \simto D_e$. The identity map $\varphi : C_0(X) \simto C_0(X)$ and the identity maps $U_e : C_0(D_e) \simto C_0(D_e)$ form a covariant representation of this action on $F = C_0(X)$ viewed as a Hilbert $C_0(X)$-module. The submodule $F_e = C_0(D_e)$ is only complementable in $F$ if $D_e$ is clopen. Hence we cannot replace the partially defined maps $U_a$ above by partial isometries on $F$. The following proposition asserts that our notion of covariant representation, when specialised to Hilbert spaces, is equivalent to Sieben’s [21] Definition 3.4.

**Proposition 7.4.** Let $(X, S, \vartheta)$ be an action of $S$ on $X$ and let $H$ be a Hilbert space. Then a covariant representation of $(X, S, \vartheta)$ on $H$ is equivalent to a nondegenerate representation $\varphi : C_0(X) \to B(H)$ together with a map $S \ni a \mapsto U_a \in B(H)$ with $U_e(H) = \varphi(D_e)H$ for all $e \in E(S)$ and $U_a^* = U_{a^*}$, $U_{ab} = U_a U_b$ and $\varphi(f \circ \vartheta_{a^*}) = U_a \varphi(f) U_{a^*}^*$ for all $a, b \in S$ and $f \in C_0(D_{a^*a}) \subseteq C_0(X)$.

**Proof.** Let $\varphi$ and $(U_a)_{a \in S}$ be as in the statement. Each $U_a$ is a partial isometry of $H$ with source projection $U_a^* U_a = U_{a^*a}$, the orthogonal projection onto $H_{a^*a} := \varphi(C_0(D_{a^*a}))H$, and range projection $U_a U_{a^*}^*$, the orthogonal projection onto $H_{aa^*}$. Hence we may view $U_a$ as an isomorphism $H_{a^*a} \simto H_{aa^*}$. In this way, $\varphi$ and the isomorphisms $U_a : H_{a^*a} \simto H_{aa^*}$ form a covariant representation as in Definition [33]. Conversely, let $\varphi : C_0(X) \to B(H)$ and $U_a : H_{a^*a} \simto H_{aa^*}$ for $a \in S$ form a covariant representation of $(X, S, \vartheta)$ as in Definition [33] where $H_e := \varphi(C_0(D_e))H$. Extend $U_a$ by zero on the orthogonal complement of $H_{a^*a}$ to view it as a partial isometry $U_a \in B(H)$. It remains to show that $U_a U_b = U_{ab}$ for all $a, b \in S$. We already have this relation if $a^*a = bb^*$. And we have assumed that $U_b$ restricts to $U_a$ if $a \leq b$.

Let $e, f \in E(S)$. Then $H_{ef} \subseteq H_e \cap H_f$. Conversely, if $\xi \in H_e \cap H_f$, then $\varphi(u_i^e) \xi \to \xi$ for an approximate unit $(u_i^e)$ of $C_0(D_e)$ and $\varphi(u_i^f) \xi \to \xi$ for an approximate
These have the naturality properties in Theorem 3.23 with
There are bijections between covariant representations of \( \hat{\rho} \)
and using the definition of \( \xi \)
define
\[ \langle \phi \rangle U \]
holds in
for all \( \phi \in S\times \hat{\rho} \)
and the partial
holds in \( S \times \hat{\rho} \)
for all \( f_1, f_2 \in S \times \hat{\rho} \).
Hence the map \( U_a \) is a well defined isometry \( U_{a^*} \rightarrow F_{a^*} \):
\[ \langle \phi(f_1 \circ \vartheta_{a^*})\delta_a \rangle \xi_1 \varphi((f_2 \circ \vartheta_{a^*})\delta_a)\xi_2) = \langle \xi_1 \varphi((f_1 \circ \vartheta_{a^*})\delta_a)\xi_2) = \langle \xi_1 \varphi((f_1 \circ \vartheta_{a^*})\delta_a)\xi_2) = \langle \phi(f_1 \circ \vartheta_{a^*})\xi_1 \varphi(f_2 \circ \vartheta_{a^*})\xi_2) \]
By definition, the image of \( U_a \) is
\[ \varphi(C_0(D_{a^*}\delta_a)F \subseteq F_{a^*} = \varphi(C_0(D_{a^*}\delta_a)F = \varphi(C_0(D_{a^*}\delta_a)F. \]
Indeed, this inclusion is an equality so that \( U_a \) is unitary; the other inclusion follows because \( C_0(D_{a^*}\delta_a)F \subseteq \varphi(C_0(D_{a^*}\delta_a)F \subseteq \varphi(C_0(D_{a^*}\delta_a)F \subseteq F_{a^*} \).
By construction, \( U_a \) satisfies \( U_a \varphi(f)U_{a^*} = \varphi(f \circ \vartheta_{a^*}) \) for all \( f \in C_0(D_{a^*}\delta_a) \). Clearly, \( U_{a^*} = U_a \) and \( U_a \) restricts to \( U_b \) if \( b \leq a \). The remaining multiplicativity property \( U_a U_b = U_{a^*} \) for \( a^* = b^* \) is also easily checked. Therefore, \( \varphi \) and the partial unitaries \( U_a \) for \( a \in S \) form a covariant representation of \( S \times \hat{\rho} \).
By construction, \( U_a \varphi(U) \) implements the desired bijection between covariant representations and representations of the crossed product \( S \times \hat{\rho} \).
We leave it to the reader to check that these bijections have the naturality properties in
Theorem 3.23. \( \square \)
Theorem 7.6. Let $S$ be an inverse semigroup and let $X$ be a locally compact space with an action $\vartheta$ of $S$ by partial homeomorphisms. Let $G := S \ltimes X$. Assume that this étale, locally compact groupoid is Hausdorff. Let $F$ be a Hilbert $D$-module. Representations of $G$ on $F$ correspond bijectively to covariant representations of $(X, S, \vartheta)$ on $F$, which correspond bijectively to representations of $S \ltimes_\vartheta C_0(X)$ on $F$. These bijections have the naturality properties in Theorem 3.23. So they come from a unique isomorphism $C^*(G) \cong S \ltimes_\vartheta C_0(X)$.

Proof. First we construct a covariant representation of $(X, S, \vartheta)$ on $F$ from a representation $(U, \varphi)$ of $S \ltimes X$ on $F$. Here $\varphi : C_0(G^0) \to B(F)$ is a nondegenerate representation and $U$ is an isomorphism of $C_0(G^1)$-D-correspondences

$$U : \mathcal{L}^2(G^1, s, \tilde{a}) \otimes_\varphi F \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \otimes_\varphi F$$

with $d^*_2(U)d^*_0(U) = d^*_0(U)$. For an open subset $e \subseteq G^0$, let $F_e := \varphi(C_0(e)) \cdot F$. Any $a \in G$ gives a bisection of $G^1$, namely, the set of all germs of pairs $(a, x)$ with $x \in D_{a}$. By abuse of notation, we also denote this bisection by $a$. The isomorphism $U$ restricts to an isomorphism of $C_0(a)$-D-correspondences

$$U|_a : C_0(a) \cdot \mathcal{L}^2(G^1, s, \tilde{a}) \otimes_\varphi F \rightarrow C_0(a) \cdot \mathcal{L}^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \otimes_\varphi F.$$

There are canonical isomorphisms of $C_0(a)$-$C_0(G^0)$-correspondences

$$C_0(a) \cdot \mathcal{L}^2(G^1, s, \tilde{a}) \otimes_\varphi F \cong C_0(s(a)) \otimes_\varphi F \cong \varphi(C_0(s(a)))F = F_{s(a)},$$

$$C_0(a) \cdot \mathcal{L}^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \otimes_\varphi F \cong C_0(r(a)) \otimes_\varphi F \cong \varphi(C_0(r(a)))F = F_{r(a)}.$$

Thus $U|_a$ becomes an isomorphism of $C_0(a)$-D-correspondences

$$U|_a : F_{s(a)} \rightarrow F_{r(a)},$$

where we view $F_{s(a)}$ and $F_{r(a)}$ as $C_0(a)$-D-correspondences using the homeomorphisms $s_a$ and $r_a$. That is,

$$U|_a(\varphi(f \circ s_a^{-1})) = \varphi(f \circ r_a^{-1})U_a(\xi) \quad \text{for all } f \in C_0(a), \xi \in F_{s(a)}.$$

We reinterpret this as a covariance condition. The inverse semigroup $S$ acts on the C$\ast$-algebra $C_0(G^0)$ by the isomorphisms

$$\gamma_a : C_0(s(a)) \rightarrow C_0(r(a)), \quad f \mapsto f \circ \vartheta_a = f \circ s_a \circ r_a^{-1}.$$

Thus $U|_a(\varphi(f)U_{a}^{\ast}) = \varphi(\gamma_a(f))$ for all $f \in C_0(s(a))$ as in Definition 7.23(4). The conditions in (1) and (2) in Definition 7.23 are also clear from our construction. We claim that the condition $d^*_2(U) \circ d^*_0(U) = d^*_0(U)$ for $U$ to be a representation implies (7.7)

$$U_a \circ U_b = U_{a b} \quad \text{for all } a, b \in S \text{ with } a^a = bb^*.$$

Fix $a, b \in S$ with $a^a = bb^*$ as above. Let $t := a \times_s b \subseteq G^2$ be the set of all pairs $(g, h) \in G^1 \times G^1$ with $g \in a$, $h \in b$ and $s(g) = r(h)$. This is an open subset of $G^2$, and all three vertex maps $v_i : G^2 \rightarrow G^0$, $i = 0, 1, 2$, are injective on $t$ because $a$ and $b$ are bisections of $G$. We call subsets of $G^2$ with these properties trisections. Since $a^a = bb^*$, we have

$$v_0(t) = r(a) = r(ab), \quad v_1(t) = s(a) = r(b), \quad v_2(t) = s(b) = s(ab).$$

The face maps $d_i : G^2 \rightarrow G^1$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$, are also injective on $t$, and

$$d_0(t) = a, \quad d_1(t) = ab, \quad d_2(t) = b.$$
We define similar maps $f$ would follow from the density of $C_c$ elements of $\phi$, $U$ the bisections $L$ to $t$. As before, this gives an isomorphism composed with the canonical isomorphisms

$$L^2(d_i) \otimes_{C_c(G^1)} C \cong L^2(v_j), \quad L^2(d_i) \otimes_{C_c(G^1)} C \cong L^2(v_k).$$

Therefore, the restriction of $d^*_i(U)$ to $t \subseteq G^2$ corresponds to the restriction of $\text{id} \otimes U$ to $t$. As before, this gives an isomorphism

$$C_0(d_i(t)) \cdot L^2(s) \otimes_{C_c(G^0)} F \cong C_0(t) \cdot L^2(d_i) \otimes_{C_c(G^1)} L^2(s) \otimes_{C_c(G^0)} F$$

$$\cong C_0(t) \cdot L^2(d_i) \otimes_{C_c(G^1)} L^2(r) \otimes_{C_c(G^0)} F \cong C_0(d_i(t)) \cdot L^2(r) \otimes_{C_c(G^0)} F. $$

It coincides with the restriction of $U$ to $d_i(t)$. The equality $d^*_i(U) \circ d^*_0(U)$ implies an equality for all these restrictions; in particular, it implies $d^*_i(U)|_{U} \circ d^*_0(U)|_{U} = d^*_i(U)|_{U}$. This is equivalent to $U_a \circ U_b = U_{ab}$ by the above identifications. Thus $\varphi$ and the maps $U_a$ for $a \in S$ form a representation of $(X, S, \vartheta)$ if $(\varphi, U)$ is a representation of $G$.

Next we reverse this construction, showing that any covariant representation $\varphi(U_a)_{a \in S}$ comes from a unique representation $(\varphi, U)$. We continue to identify elements of $S$ with the corresponding bisections in $G$, which are open subsets of $G$. First, we claim that the restrictions of $U_a$ and $U_b$ to $F_{s(a \cap b)}$ are equal for all $a, b \in S$. Definition 7.3.(1) implies that $U_a$ and $U_b$ coincide on $F_{s(c)}$ for each $c \in S$ with $c \leq a, b$. Thus $F_{s(a \cap b)} = \sum_{c \leq a, b} F_{s(c)}$, and we get the claim.

Extending a function on $a \subseteq G^1$ by 0 and summing, we map $\bigoplus_{a \in S} C_c(a)$ to $C_c(G^1)$. A partition of unity argument shows that this map to $C_c(G^1)$ is surjective. We define similar maps

$$\tau_s: \bigoplus_{a \in S} C_c(a) \otimes F_{s(a)} \rightarrow L^2(G^1, s, \tilde{\alpha}) \otimes_{C_c(G^0)} F,$$

$$\tau_r: \bigoplus_{a \in S} C_c(a) \otimes F_{r(a)} \rightarrow L^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \otimes_{C_c(G^0)} F.$$ 

We claim that both have dense range. If we replaced $F_{s(a)}$ and $F_{r(a)}$ by $F$, this would follow from the density of $C_c(G^1) \otimes F$ in the right hand sides. Since $C_c(a) = C_c(a) \cdot C_c(a)$, we may rewrite the image of $f \otimes \xi$ for $f \in C_c(a)$, $\xi \in F$ as $f_1 \cdot f_2 \otimes \xi = f_1 \otimes f_2 \cdot \xi$ with $f_1, f_2 \in C_c(a)$ and hence $f_2 \cdot \xi \in F_{s(a)}$ when we work in $L^2(G^1, s, \tilde{\alpha}) \otimes_{C_c(G^0)} F$ and $f_2 \cdot \xi \in F_{r(a)}$ when we work in $L^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \otimes_{C_c(G^0)} F$.

The maps $U_a$ give an isomorphism

$$U: \bigoplus_{a \in S} C_c(a) \otimes F_{s(a)} \cong \bigoplus_{a \in S} C_c(a) \otimes F_{r(a)}, \quad (f_a \otimes \xi_a)_{a \in S} \mapsto (f_a \otimes U_a(\xi_a))_{a \in S}.$$ 

We claim that $(\tau_s \circ U(x)|\tau_s \circ U(y)) = (\tau_s(x)|\tau_s(y))$ for all $x, y \in \bigoplus_{a \in S} C_c(a) \otimes F_{s(a)}$. Hence there is a unique unitary operator

$$\bar{U}: L^2(G^1, s, \tilde{\alpha}) \otimes_{C_c(G^0)} F \cong L^2(G^1, r, \alpha) \otimes_{C_c(G^0)} F$$

with $\bar{U} \circ \tau_s = \tau_r \circ U$. It suffices to prove the claim if $x = f_1 \otimes \xi_1$ and $y = f_2 \otimes \xi_2$ with $f_1 \in C_c(a)$, $\xi_1 \in F_{s(a)}$, $f_2 \in C_c(b)$, $\xi_2 \in F_{s(b)}$ for some $a, b \in S$. So we must prove that

$$\langle \xi_1 | (f_1|f_2), \xi_2 \rangle = \langle U_a(\xi_1) | (f_1|f_2), U_b(\xi_2) \rangle.$$
Theorem 3.23. The second naturality property is also routine to check. Since the bijection between representations of $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O}_G)$ and inverse semigroups is an isomorphism of correspondences. Thus the bijections described in Theorem 3.23 are isomorphisms of correspondences. Since the bijections described above cover $G^2$, the equality $d_2^* (U) \circ d_1^* (U) = d_1^* (U)$ follows from (3.7) by reversing the computation above. Thus $\hat{U}$ is a representation of $G$. This finishes the proof of the bijection between representations of $G$ and covariant representations of $(X, \theta)$.

Finally, we check that our bijection between representations of $G$ and covariant representations of $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O}_G)$ also satisfies the naturality properties in Theorem 3.23. Let $\hat{V}$ be a Hilbert module isometry. Let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ carry representations $(\varphi, U)$ and $(\varphi', U')$ of $G$. If $V$ intertwines these representations, then it maps $\mathcal{F}_a$ into $\mathcal{F}'_a$ for all $a \in S$, and the restricted isometries $\mathcal{F}_a \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'_a$ of $\mathcal{F}_a$ and $\mathcal{F}'_a$ intertwine the unitaries $U_a : \mathcal{F}_a \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{aa}$ and $U'_a : \mathcal{F}'_{aa} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'_a$. Thus $V$ intertwines the covariant representations of $(X, \theta)$ associated to $(\varphi, U)$.

Conversely, if $V$ intertwines $\varphi$ and $(\hat{U}_a)_{a \in S}$ and the corresponding family $\varphi'$ and $(\hat{U}_a')_{a \in S}$, then it must intertwine $U$ and $U'$ because we may reconstruct $U$ from $(\hat{U}_a)_{a \in S}$ as above. Thus our bijection has the first naturality property in Theorem 3.23. The second naturality property is also routine to check. Since the bijection between representations of $\mathcal{C}^*(G)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O}_G)$ has these two naturality properties, it is induced by an isomorphism $\mathcal{C}^*(G) \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O}_G)$.
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