

ON ILL-POSEDNESS OF NONPARAMETRIC INSTRUMENTAL VARIABLE REGRESSION WITH CONVEXITY CONSTRAINTS

Olivier Scaillet^{a*}

This version: July 2016.

Abstract

This note shows that adding monotonicity or convexity constraints on the regression function does not restore well-posedness in nonparametric instrumental variable regression. The minimum distance problem without regularisation is still locally ill-posed.

JEL Classification: C13, C14, C26.

Keywords: Nonparametric Estimation, Instrumental Variable, Ill-Posed Inverse Problems.

^aUniversity of Geneva and Swiss Finance Institute.

* Acknowledgements: We thank Xiaohong Chen and Daniel Wilhelm for helpful comments.

1 Introduction

We consider estimation of the regression model $Y = \varphi_0(X) + U$. The variable X has compact support $\mathcal{X} = [0, 1]$ and is potentially endogenous. The instrument Z has compact support $\mathcal{Z} = [0, 1]$. The parameter of interest is the function φ_0 defined on \mathcal{X} which satisfies the nonparametric instrumental variable regression (NPIVR):

$$E[Y - \varphi_0(X)|Z] = 0. \tag{1}$$

As shown in Example 1 of Gagliardini and Scaillet (2016), we do not need independence between the error U and the instrument Z . This exemplifies a difference between restrictions induced by a parametric conditional moment setting and their nonparametric counterpart. NPIVR estimation has received considerable attention in the recent years building on a series of fundamental papers on ill-posed endogenous mean regressions (Ai and Chen (2003), Newey and Powell (2003), Hall and Horowitz (2005), Blundell, Chen, and Kristensen (2007), Darolles, Fan, Florens, and Renault (2011), Horowitz (2011)), and the review paper by Carrasco, Florens, and Renault (2007). The main issue in nonparametric estimation with endogeneity is overcoming ill-posedness of the associated inverse problem. It occurs since the mapping of the reduced form parameter (that is, the distribution of the data) into the structural parameter (that is, the instrumental regression function) is not continuous in the conditional moment $E[Y|Z]$. We need a regularization of the estimation to recover consistency. Gagliardini and Scaillet (GS, 2012a) study a Tikhonov Regularized (TiR) estimator (Tikhonov (1963a,b), Groetsch (1984), Kress (1999)). They achieve regularization by adding a compactness-inducing penalty term, the Sobolev norm, to a functional minimum distance criterion. Chen and Pouzo (2012) study nonparametric estimation of conditional moment restrictions in which the generalized residual functions can be nonsmooth in the unknown functions of endogenous variables. For such a nonparametric nonlinear instrumental variables problem, they propose a class of penalized sieve minimum distance estimators (see Chen and Pouzo (2015) for inference in such a setting). As discussed in Matzkin (1994), in nonparametric models, we can use economic restrictions, as in parametric models, to reduce the variance of estimators, to falsify theories, and to extrapolate beyond the support of the data. But, in addition, we can use some economic restrictions to guarantee the identification of some nonparametric models and the consistency of some nonparametric estimators. Economic theory often provides shape restrictions

on functions of interest in applications, such as monotonicity, convexity, non-increasing (non-decreasing) returns to scale but economic theory does not provide finite-dimensional parametric models. This motivates nonparametric estimation under shape restrictions. Since nonparametric estimates are often noisy, shape restrictions helps to stabilize nonparametric estimates without imposing arbitrary restrictions (see the recent works of Blundell, Horowitz, and Parey (2012), Horowitz and Lee (2015)). Following that line of thought, we could hope that adding monotonicity or convexity constraints on the regression function would help to restore well-posedness in nonparametric instrumental variable regression. The next section shows that this is unfortunately not the case since the minimum distance problem without regularisation is still locally ill-posed. Chetverikov and Wilhelm (2015) look at imposing two monotonicity conditions: (i) monotonicity of the regression function φ_0 and (ii) monotonicity of the reduced form relationship between the endogenous regressor X and the instrument Z in the sense that the conditional distribution of X given Z corresponding to higher values of Z first-order stochastically dominates the same conditional distribution corresponding to lower values of Z (the monotone IV assumption). They show that these two monotonicity conditions together significantly change the structure of the NPIV model, and weaken its ill-posedness. In particular they point out that, even if well-posedness is not restored, those two monotonicity constraints improve the rate of convergence in shrinking neighborhoods of the constraint boundary and can have a significant impact on the estimator finite sample behavior. Chen and Christensen (2013) show that imposing shape restrictions only is not enough to improve convergence rates as long as the derivative constraints hold with strict inequality (i.e., in the interior of the constraint space). There may be rate improvements when the constraint is binding.

2 Ill-posedness with convexity constraints

The functional parameter φ_0 belongs to a subset Θ of $L^2(\mathcal{X})$, where $L^2(\mathcal{X})$ denotes the L^2 space of square integrable functions of X defined by the scalar product $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \int \varphi(x)\psi(x)dx$, and we write $\|\varphi\|$ for the L^2 norm $\langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle^{1/2}$.

We assume the following identification condition.

Assumption 1: φ_0 is the unique function $\varphi \in L^2(\mathcal{X})$ that satisfies the conditional moment restriction (1).

We refer to Newey and Powell (2003), Theorems 2.2-2.4, for sufficient conditions ensuring Assumption 1.

Let us consider a nonparametric minimum distance approach to obtain φ_0 . This relies on φ_0 minimizing

$$Q_\infty(\varphi) := E \left[m(\varphi, Z)^2 \right], \quad \varphi \in L^2(\mathcal{X}), \quad (2)$$

where $m(\varphi, Z) = E[Y - \varphi(X)|Z]$. We can write the conditional moment function $m(\varphi, z)$ as:

$$m(\varphi, z) = (A\varphi)(z) - r(z) = (A\Delta\varphi)(z), \quad (3)$$

with $\Delta\varphi := \varphi - \varphi_0$, and where the linear operator A is defined by $(A\varphi)(z) := \int \varphi(x) f_{X|Z}(x|z) dx$ and $r(z) := \int y f_{Y|Z}(y|z) dy$, where $f_{X|Z}$ and $f_{Y|Z}$ are the conditional densities of X given Z , and Y given Z . Assumption 1 on identification of φ_0 holds if and only if operator A is injective. Further, we assume that A is a bounded operator from $L^2(\mathcal{X})$ to $L^2(\mathcal{Z})$, where $L^2(\mathcal{Z})$ denotes the L^2 space of square integrable functions of Z defined by the scalar product $\langle \psi_1, \psi_2 \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{Z})} = E[\psi_1(Z) \psi_2(Z)]$. The limit criterion (2) becomes

$$Q_\infty(\varphi) = \langle A\Delta\varphi, A\Delta\varphi \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{Z})}, \quad (4)$$

Assumption 2: *The linear operator A from $L^2(\mathcal{X})$ to $L^2(\mathcal{Z})$ is compact.*

Assumption 2 on compactness of operator A holds under mild conditions on the conditional density $f_{X|Z}$ (see e.g. GS). In the proof of Proposition 1 below, we also need the regularity conditions: $\sup_z |f_Z(z)| < \infty$ and $\sup_{x,z} |f_{X|Z}(x|z)| < \infty$.

Proposition 1 shows that the minimum distance problem above is locally ill-posed (see e.g. Definition 1.1 in Hofmann and Scherzer (1998)) even if we consider monotonicity, monotonicity nonnegativity, or convexity constraints. There are sequences of increasingly oscillatory functions arbitrarily close to φ_0 that approximately minimize Q_∞ while not converging to φ_0 . In other words, function φ_0 is not identified in Θ as an isolated minimum of Q_∞ . Therefore, ill-posedness can lead to inconsistency of the naive analog estimators based on the empirical analog of Q_∞ . In order to rule out these explosive solutions, we can use penalization as in GS (see Gagliardini and Scaillet (2012b) and Chen and Pouzo (2012) for the quantile regression case). Under a stronger assumption than Assumption 1, namely local injectivity of A , the definition of local ill-posedness is equivalent to A^{-1} being discontinuous in a neighborhood of $A(\varphi_0)$ (see Engl, Hanke, and Neubauer (2000, Chapter 10)).

Proposition 1 *Let φ_0 satisfy monotonicity, monotonicity nonnegativity, or convexity constraints. Then, under Assumptions 1 and 2, the minimum distance problem is locally ill-posed, namely for any $r > 0$ small enough, there exist $\varepsilon \in (0, r)$ and a sequence $(\varphi_n) \subset B_r(\varphi_0) := \{\varphi \in L^2(\mathcal{X}) : \|\varphi - \varphi_0\| < r\}$ such that $\|\varphi_n - \varphi_0\| \geq \varepsilon$, $Q_\infty(\varphi_n) \rightarrow Q_\infty(\varphi_0) = 0$, and such that φ_n satisfies the same constraints as φ_0 .*

Proof: The proof of Proposition 1 gives explicit sequences (φ_n) generating ill-posedness when φ_0 satisfies monotonicity, monotonicity nonnegativity, or convexity constraints.

Let us build $\varphi_n = \varphi_0 + \varepsilon\psi_n$, $\varepsilon > 0$, where $\psi_n(x) := -(2n+1)^{1/2}(1-x)^n$ and φ_0 is monotone and increasing. Then $\varphi_n \in L^2(\mathcal{X})$ and the first derivative $\nabla\varphi_n \geq 0$. Since $\|\psi_n\| = 1$, when we choose $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, we have $(\varphi_n) \subset B_r(\varphi_0)$, and $\varphi_n \not\rightarrow \varphi_0$. We also have that $A\varphi_n \xrightarrow{w} A\varphi_0$, where \xrightarrow{w} denotes weak convergence. Indeed, for $q \in L^2(\mathcal{Z})$, we get $\langle q, A\varphi_n \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{Z})} = \langle q, A\varphi_0 \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{Z})} + \varepsilon \langle q, A\psi_n \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{Z})}$, and $\langle q, A\psi_n \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{Z})} \rightarrow 0$, since $|A\psi_n| \leq C(2n+1)^{1/2} \frac{1}{n+1}$ for $C > 0$. Since A is compact and (φ_n) is bounded, the sequence $A\varphi_n$ admits a convergent subsequence $A\varphi_{m(n)} \rightarrow \xi$. Since the weak limit is unique, we have $\xi = A\varphi_0$. Thus $A\varphi_{m(n)} \rightarrow A\varphi_0$ and $Q_\infty(\varphi_{m(n)}) \rightarrow 0$ but $\|\varphi_{m(n)} - \varphi_0\| \geq \varepsilon$, hence the stated result follows.

The above argument works also with the function $\psi_n(x) := \frac{(2n+1)^{1/2}}{(2^{2n+1}-1)^{1/2}}(1+x)^n$, which yields a monotone nonnegative function $\varphi_n \in L^2(\mathcal{X})$ if $\varphi_0 \geq 0$ and is monotone. This shows that the positivity constraint does not help here either.

Since the higher order derivatives $\nabla^m\psi_n \geq 0$, $m \geq 1$, this example also shows that positivity constraints on the higher order derivatives $\nabla^m\varphi_0 \geq 0$, such as a convexity constraint $\nabla^2\varphi_0 \geq 0$, does not restore well-posedness of the estimation problem in the NPIVR setting.

References

- [1] Ai, C., and X. Chen (2003): Efficient Estimation of Models with Conditional Moment Restrictions Containing Unknown Functions, *Econometrica*, 71, 1795-1843.
- [2] Blundell, R., X. Chen, and D. Kristensen (2007): Semi-Nonparametric IV Estimation of Shape Invariant Engel Curves, *Econometrica*, 75, 1613-1669.

- [3] Blundell, R., J. Horowitz, and M. Parey (2012): Measuring the Price Responsiveness of Gasoline Demand: Economic Shape Restrictions and Nonparametric Demand Estimation, *Quantitative Economics*, 3, 29-51.
- [4] Carrasco, M., J.-P. Florens, and E. Renault (2007): Linear Inverse Problems in Structural Econometrics: Estimation Based on Spectral Decomposition and Regularization, in the *Handbook of Econometrics*, Vol. 6, ed. by J. Heckman and E. Leamer. Amsterdam: North Holland, 5633-5751.
- [5] Chen, X., and T. Christensen (2013): Optimal Sup-norm Rates, Adaptivity and Inference in Nonparametric Instrumental Variables Estimation, *Cemmap working paper CWP56/13*.
- [6] Chen, X., and D. Pouzo (2012): Estimation of Nonparametric Conditional Moment Models With Possibly Nonsmooth Generalized Residuals, *Econometrica*, 80, 277-321.
- [7] Chen, X., and D. Pouzo (2015): Sieve Wald and QLR Inferences on Semi/Nonparametric Conditional Moment Models, *Econometrica*, 83, 1013-1079.
- [8] Chetverikov, D., and D. Wilhelm (2015): Nonparametric Instrumental Variable Estimation under Monotonicity, *Cemmap working paper CWP39/15*.
- [9] Darolles, S., Y. Fan, J.-P. Florens, and E. Renault (2011): Nonparametric Instrumental Regression, *Econometrica*, 79, 1541-1565
- [10] Engl, H., M. Hanke, and A. Neubauer (2000): *Regularization of Inverse Problems*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic.
- [11] Gagliardini, P., and O. Scaillet (2012a): Tikhonov Regularization for Nonparametric Instrumental Variable Estimators, *Journal of Econometrics*, 167, 61-75.
- [12] Gagliardini, P., and O. Scaillet (2012b): Nonparametric Instrumental Variable Estimation of Structural Quantile Effects, *Econometrica*, 80, 1533-1562.
- [13] Gagliardini, P., and O. Scaillet (2016): A Specification Test for Nonparametric Instrumental Variable Regression, forthcoming in *Annals of Economics and Statistics*, Special Issue on Inverse Problems in Econometrics.

- [14] Groetsch, C. (1984): The Theory of Tikhonov Regularization for Fredholm Equations of the First Kind. Boston: Pitman Advanced Publishing Program.
- [15] Hall, P., and J. Horowitz (2005): Nonparametric Methods for Inference in the Presence of Instrumental Variables, *Annals of Statistics*, 33, 2904-2929.
- [16] Hofmann, B., and O. Scherzer (1998): Local Ill-posedness and Source Conditions of Operator Equations in Hilbert Spaces, *Inverse Problems*, 14, 1189-1206.
- [17] Horowitz, J. (2011): Applied Nonparametric Instrumental Variables Estimation, *Econometrica*, 79, 347-394.
- [18] Horowitz, J., and S. Lee (2015): Nonparametric Estimation and Inference under Shape Restrictions, Cemmap working paper CWP67/15.
- [19] Kress, R. (1999): *Linear Integral Equations*. New York: Springer.
- [20] Matzkin, R. L. (1994): Restrictions of Economic Theory in Nonparametric Methods, in the *Handbook of Econometrics*, Vol. 6, ed. by R. Engle, and D. McFadden. Amsterdam: North Holland, 2523-2558.
- [21] Newey, W., and J. Powell (2003): Instrumental Variable Estimation of Nonparametric Models, *Econometrica*, 71, 1565-1578.
- [22] Tikhonov, A. N. (1963a): On the Solution of Incorrectly Formulated Problems and the Regularization Method, *Soviet Math. Doklady*, 4, 1035-1038 (English Translation).
- [23] Tikhonov, A. N. (1963b): Regularization of Incorrectly Posed Problems, *Soviet Math. Doklady*, 4, 1624-1627 (English Translation).