

# Aspects of $(4 + 4)$ -Kaluza-Klein type theory

<sup>1,2</sup> Juan Antonio Nieto and <sup>2</sup> José Edgar Madriz Aguilar

<sup>1</sup> *Facultad de Ciencias Físico-Matemáticas de la Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa 80010, Culiacán Sinaloa, México*

*and*

<sup>2</sup> *Departamento de Matemáticas,  
Centro Universitario de Ciencias Exactas e Ingenierías (CUCEI),  
Universidad de Guadalajara (UdG),  
Av. Revolución 1500 S.R. 44430,  
Guadalajara, Jalisco, México.*

*E-mail: nieto@uas.edu.mx,  
madriz@mdp.edu.ar*

## Abstract

We develop a type of Kaluza-Klein formalism in  $(4 + 4)$ -dimensions. In the framework of this formalism we obtain a new kind of Schwarzschild metric solutions that via Kruskal-Szequeres can be interpreted as mirror black and white holes. We found that this new type of mirror black and white holes solutions in  $(3 + 1)$ -dimensions support the idea that the original space-time can be extended to  $(4 + 4)$ -signature. Using octonions, we also discuss linearized gravity in  $(4 + 4)$ -dimensions.

PACS numbers: 04.50. Kd, 04.20.Jb, 11.10.kk, 98.80.Cq

## I. INTRODUCTION

There has already been considered Kaluza-Klein type theories for non-compact spacetime [1–3] with interesting results in inflationary and cosmological models [4–14]. In this article we are interested in deriving a Kaluza-Klein type theory for a spacetime of four time and four space dimensions ((4 + 4)-dimensions). Our formalism is based on the analogue of the approach of Refs [15–19].

There are at least three physical motivations for considering (4 + 4)-dimensions emerging from different sources. First, considering the splitting of the form  $(4 + 4) = (3 + 1) + (1 + 3)$  one may ask if an electron lives in the (1+3)-world one wonders one could be the corresponding mirror electron in (3 + 1)-dimensions. In Ref. [20] it was shown that massless Majorana-Weyl spinor satisfying the Dirac equation in (4 + 4)-dimensions can be identified with a massive complex spinor in (1 + 3)-dimensions. This means that a Majorana-Weyl massless fermion in (4 + 4)-dimensions can be seen as an electron in (1 + 3)-dimensions. Second, it is interesting that in (4 + 4)-dimensions one may consider the chain of maximal embeddings and branches,

$$so(4, 4) \supset s(2, R) \oplus so(2, 3) \supset so(1, 1) \oplus sl(2, R) \oplus sl(2, 2).$$

Finally, if one makes the question why at the macroscopic level our world is (1 + 3)-dimensional? Surprisingly until now there is not a satisfactory answer. Any answer needs to explain the asymmetry between time and space in the (1 + 3)-world. In this sense it seems to us interesting to start with the more symmetric (4 + 4)-world model and to explore its gravitational consequences.

On the other hand, it is well known that the Kruskal–Szekeres coordinates give an alternative description of the event horizon of black-holes [21, 22]. Traditionally, one starts with the Schwarzschild metric described by the coordinates  $t$ ,  $r$  and the angular coordinates  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  associated with a unit sphere and after several algebraic steps one computes the Kruskal–Szekeres coordinates  $T$  and  $X$  which become functions of  $t$  and  $r$ . The key result of this process is that while the Schwarzschild metric is singular at the horizon  $r = 2GM = r_s$  the Kruskal–Szekeres metric is not. However, the final transformations between the coordinates  $t$ ,  $r$  and  $T$  and  $X$  seems to be, in a sense, intriguing because instead of describing only two regions (2-region) as in the case of Schwarzschild black-holes (interior and exterior) one

ends up with four regions (4-region) in the Kruskal–Szekeres black-hole (see Refs. [23] and [24] for details).

In an effort of having a better understanding of the above intriguing result of 2-region and 4-region we discover that one may start with a more general Kruskal–Szekeres transformation between  $t, r$  and  $T, X$  which turns out that describe not only previous 4-region but surprisingly a total of 8-region. This, of course, it may be interpreted as an extra complication. However, in this work we show that such a 8-region transformation suggests that the  $(1+3)$ -signature of the original space-time can be extended to  $(4+4)$ -signature. It turns out that the  $(4+4)$ -signature can be splitted as  $(4+4) = (1+3) + (3+1)$ , given the original  $(1+3)$ -signature and a some kind of mirror  $(3+1)$ -signature. But it has been a subject of much interest to consider invariant theories of reversal signatures transformation such as  $(1+3) \rightleftharpoons (3+1)$  [25]. Moreover, one may ask: assuming that one has a black-hole in  $(1+3)$ -dimensions what could be the corresponding mirror black hole in  $(3+1)$ -dimensions? Thus, we argue that our extended Kruskal–Szekeres coordinates suggest that instead of thinking the  $(4+4)$ -world as an exotic space-time it may be considered as a more interesting scenario in which one has not only the ordinary black-holes in  $(1+3)$ -dimensions but a new type of mirror-black-hole in  $(3+1)$ -dimensions.

It is worth mentioning that using a reversal signature a relation between  $(3+1)$  and  $(1+3)$  signatures has already been investigated in the context of string theory [25, 26]. Secondly, in  $(4+4)$ -dimensions there exist Majorana-Weyl spinors [27–32]. Another source of physical interest emerges from the fact that the  $(4+4)$ -dimensional theory may be obtained from dimensional reduction of a  $(5+5)$ -dimensional theory which originates from the so-called  $M$ -theory [33, 34] (see also Refs. [35–39]) which is defined in  $(5+6)$ -dimensions. In fact, upon space-like compactification the  $(5+6)$ -dimensional theory leads to one Type II  $A'$  and two Type II  $B'$  string theories which "live" in  $(5+5)$ -dimensions [38]. Further, we believe that our work may be useful in several physical scenarios. In particular, since the  $(4+4)$ -world contains the same number of time and space coordinates one has a more symmetric scenario for considering quantum and topological frameworks.

Technically our work is organized as follows. In section I we give a brief introduction. In section II we develop a new kind of Kaluza-Klein theory in  $(4+4)$ -dimensions. In section III,

we propose the extended Kruskal-Szekeres coordinates. In section IV we interpret the new solutions as mirror black and white holes, thus making clear the necessity of a (4+4)-space-time structure to have a unified description of both kind of solutions, within the same single gravitational framework. In section V, as another non-trivial application of our formalism, we describe a linealized gravity in (4+4)-dimensions. Finally in section VI, we give some final remarks.

## II. THE KALUZA-KLEIN TYPE FORMALISM

As it is usually done in the light of Kaluza-Klein theories, our starting point is the metric ansatz

$$\gamma_{AB}(x^C) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{\mu\nu} + A_\mu^i A_\nu^j g_{ij} & A_\mu^j g_{ij} + g_{\mu\nu} B_i^\nu \\ A_\nu^k g_{kj} + g_{\nu\mu} B_j^\mu & g_{ij} + B_i^\mu B_j^\nu g_{\mu\nu} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where  $x^A = x^A(x^\lambda, x^i)$ ,  $g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}(x^\lambda)$  and  $g_{ij} = g_{ij}(x^k)$  are the metrics associated with the (1+3)-dimensional world ((1+3)-world) and the (3+1)-dimensional world ((3+1)-world), respectively. The fields  $A_\mu^i = A_\mu^i(x^\alpha)$  and  $B_j^\mu = B_j^\mu(x^k)$  are a type of gauge fields associated with the (1+3)-world and (3+1)-world respectively.

Now, if we assume that the gauge fields  $A_\mu^i$  and  $B_i^\mu$  obey

$$A_\mu^i B_j^\mu = 0, \quad (2)$$

and

$$A_\mu^i B_i^\nu = 0, \quad (3)$$

then we find that the inverse  $\gamma^{AB}$  of the original metric  $\gamma_{AB}$  is given by

$$\gamma^{AB}(x^\lambda, x^i) = \begin{pmatrix} g^{\mu\nu} + B_i^\mu B_j^\nu g^{ij} & -g^{\mu\nu} A_\nu^i - g^{ij} B_j^\mu \\ -g^{\nu\alpha} A_\alpha^i - g^{jj} B_j^\nu & g^{ij} + A_\mu^i A_\nu^j g^{\mu\nu} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Note that our assumptions (2) and (3) can be interpreted in some sense that we are requiring that the gauge fields  $A_\mu^i$  and  $B_i^\mu$  are orthogonal.

With the help of (1) it can be easily seen that the differential line element in the (4+4)-space can be written as

$$ds^2 = (dx^\mu + B_i^\mu dx^i)(dx^\nu + B_j^\nu dx^j)g_{\mu\nu} + (dx^i + A_\mu^i dx^\mu)(dx^j + A_\nu^j dx^\nu)g_{ij}. \quad (5)$$

Thus, it follows that the basis 1-forms  $\omega^\mu$  and  $\omega^i$  read

$$\omega^\mu = dx^\mu + B_i^\mu dx^i, \quad (6)$$

and

$$\omega^i = dx^i + A_\nu^i dx^\nu. \quad (7)$$

Therefore, employing (6) and (7) the equation (5) becomes

$$ds^2 = \omega^\mu \omega^\nu g_{\mu\nu} + \omega^i \omega^j g_{ij}. \quad (8)$$

Now, using (2) and (3), it is obtained from (6) and (7) that the dual basis can be written as

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu - A_\mu^i \partial_i \quad (9)$$

and

$$D_i = \partial_i - B_i^\mu \partial_\mu. \quad (10)$$

Of course, it is not difficult to see that the both derivatives  $D_\mu$  and  $D_i$ , can be interpreted as covariant derivatives with connections  $A_\mu^i$  and  $B_i^\mu$ , respectively.

Let us now analyze the ansatz (1) from another point of view. If we write the metric  $\gamma_{AB}$  in terms of a vielbien field  $E_A^{(C)}$  and the flat metric  $\eta_{(CD)} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -1, -1, -1)$  in the form

$$\gamma_{AB} = E_A^{(C)} E_B^{(D)} \eta_{(CD)}, \quad (11)$$

then we can split (11) as

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\mu\nu} &= e_\mu^{(\alpha)} e_\nu^{(\beta)} \eta_{(\alpha\beta)} + e_\mu^{(c)} e_\nu^{(d)} \eta_{(cd)} \\ \gamma_{\mu i} &= e_\mu^{(\alpha)} e_i^{(\beta)} \eta_{(\alpha\beta)} + e_\mu^{(c)} e_i^{(d)} \eta_{(cd)} \\ \gamma_{ij} &= e_i^{(\alpha)} e_j^{(\beta)} \eta_{(\alpha\beta)} + e_i^{(c)} e_j^{(d)} \eta_{(cd)}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Thus, making the identifications  $e_\mu^{(\alpha)} \equiv E_\mu^{(\alpha)}$ ,  $e_i^{(c)} \equiv E_i^{(c)}$ ,  $g_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu^{(\alpha)} e_\nu^{(\beta)} \eta_{(\alpha\beta)}$ ,  $g_{ij} = e_i^{(c)} e_j^{(d)} \eta_{(cd)}$ ,  $A_\mu^i = e_{(c)}^i A_\mu^{(c)}$  and  $B_i^\mu = e_{(\alpha)}^\mu B_i^{(\alpha)}$  from (12) we can obtain (1).

Now, let us assume that the commutators of  $e_\mu^{(\alpha)}$  and  $e_i^{(c)}$  are given by

$$[e_\mu^{(\alpha)}, e_\mu^{(\beta)}] = C_{(\gamma)}^{(\alpha\beta)} e_\mu^{(\gamma)}, \quad (13)$$

$$[e_\mu^{(\alpha)}, e_i^{(c)}] = 0 \quad (14)$$

$$[e_i^{(c)}, e_i^{(d)}] = C_{(e)}^{(cd)} e_i^{(e)}, \quad (15)$$

where  $C_{(\gamma)}^{(\alpha\beta)}$  and  $C_{(e)}^{(cd)}$  are structure constants associated with the  $(1+3)$ -world and the  $(3+1)$ -world, respectively. Thus, it is not difficult to see that (9) and (10) can now be written as

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu - A_\mu^{(c)} e_{(c)}^i \partial_i \quad (16)$$

and

$$D_i = \partial_i - B_i^{(\alpha)} e_{(\alpha)}^\mu \partial_\mu, \quad (17)$$

being  $e_{(\alpha)}^\mu$  and  $e_{(c)}^i$  the inverse of  $e_\mu^{(\alpha)}$  and  $e_i^{(c)}$ , respectively. Therefore, using (13)-(17) it is straightforward to see that the commutator of  $D_\mu$  and  $D_i$  leads to

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu] = -F_{\mu\nu}^{(c)} e_{(c)}^i \partial_i, \quad (18)$$

$$[D_\mu, D_i] = 0 \quad (19)$$

$$[D_i, D_j] = -G_{ij}^{(\alpha)} e_{(\alpha)}^\mu \partial_\mu, \quad (20)$$

where

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{(c)} = \partial_\mu A_\nu^{(c)} - \partial_\nu A_\mu^{(c)} + C_{(ed)}^{(c)} A_\mu^{(e)} A_\nu^{(d)} \quad (21)$$

and

$$G_{ij}^{(\alpha)} = \partial_i B_j^{(\alpha)} - \partial_j B_i^{(\alpha)} + C_{(\gamma\sigma)}^{(\alpha)} B_i^{(\gamma)} B_j^{(\sigma)} \quad (22)$$

are the corresponding field strengths.

In a non-coordinate basis the metric  $\gamma_{AB} \rightarrow \hat{\gamma}_{AB}$  becomes

$$\hat{\gamma}_{AB}(x^C) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{\mu\nu} & 0 \\ 0 & g_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \quad (23)$$

while the connection  $\Gamma_{ABC}$  results to be

$$\Gamma_{ABC} = \frac{1}{2}(D_C \hat{\gamma}_{AB} + D_B \hat{\gamma}_{AC} - D_A \hat{\gamma}_{BC}) + \frac{1}{2}(C_{ABC} + C_{ACB} - C_{BCA}), \quad (24)$$

Here,  $D_A$  is the covariant derivative associated with  $\hat{\gamma}_{AB}$ . In this manner, since  $C_{\mu\nu\alpha} = 0$  and  $\hat{\gamma}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}$  we find that

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}(g_{\mu\nu,\alpha} + g_{\mu\alpha,\nu} - g_{\nu\alpha,\mu}) = \{\mu\nu\alpha\}. \quad (25)$$

Similarly, because  $C_{\mu\nu(\alpha)} = -F_{\mu\nu(c)}$ ,  $C_{ij(\alpha)} = -G_{ij(\alpha)}$  we arrive to the expressions

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu(c)} = -F_{\mu\nu(c)} \quad (26)$$

$$\Gamma_{ij(\alpha)} = -G_{ij(\alpha)}. \quad (27)$$

Finally, since  $C_{ijk} = 0$  and  $\hat{\gamma}_{ij} = g_{ij}$  we obtain that

$$\Gamma_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2}(g_{ij,k} + g_{ik,j} - g_{jk,i}) = \{ijk\}. \quad (28)$$

Now, employing the formulae for the non-coordinate components of the Riemann tensor

$$\mathcal{R}_{BCD}^A = \partial_C \Gamma_{BD}^A - \partial_D \Gamma_{BC}^A + \Gamma_{EC}^A \Gamma_{BD}^E - \Gamma_{ED}^A \Gamma_{BC}^E - \Gamma_{BE}^A C_{CD}^E, \quad (29)$$

we can calculate the scalar curvature  $\mathcal{R} = \gamma^{BD} \mathcal{R}_{BAD}^A$ . With this idea in mind, straightforward computations lead to

$$\mathcal{R} = \hat{R} + \tilde{R} + \frac{1}{2} F^{\mu\nu i} F_{\mu\nu}^j g_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} G^{ij\mu} G_{ij}^\nu g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (30)$$

So, we can define the Einstein-Hilbert action in the form

$$S = \int dx^{(4+4)} \sqrt{\gamma} \mathcal{R} = S_1 + S_2, \quad (31)$$

where the action corresponding for the  $(1+3)$ -space is

$$S_1 = a \int dx^{(1+3)} \sqrt{-\hat{g}} (\hat{R} + \frac{1}{2} F^{\mu\nu i} F_{\mu\nu}^j \tilde{g}_{ij}^0), \quad (32)$$

while for the  $(3+1)$  we have

$$S_2 = b \int dx^{(3+1)} \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} (\tilde{R} + \frac{1}{2} G^{ij\mu} G_{ij}^\nu \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}^0). \quad (33)$$

In here,  $a$  and  $b$  are volume constants and  $\hat{g}$  and  $\tilde{g}$  denote the determinant of  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and  $g_{ij}$ , respectively. Besides  $\hat{R}$  is the scalar curvature associated with  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , while  $\tilde{R}$  is the scalar curvature associated with  $g_{ij}$ . Moreover, we defined the quantities  $\tilde{g}_{ij}^0 = \frac{1}{a} \int dx^{(3+1)} g_{ij}$  and  $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}^0 = \frac{1}{b} \int dx^{(1+3)} g_{\mu\nu}$ . An interesting feature is that it seems that  $S_1$  admits an interpretation of a gravitational-Yang-Mills theory in a  $(1+3)$ -world, with  $\tilde{g}_{ij}^0$  as a metric in a group manifold. Similarly,  $S_2$  admits an interpretation of a gravitational-Yang-Mills theory in a  $(3+1)$ -world, with  $\hat{g}_{ij}^0$  as a metric in a group manifold.

Until this point, in order to motivate the subject we have focused in a  $(4+4)$ -world. However, our calculations are also valid for any  $(n+n)$ -world. Now, to derive an application of the formalism, we will study solutions of the field equations static and with spherical symmetry. This can be done in the simplest way; considering the gauge fields  $B_i^\mu$  and  $A_\alpha^i$  null. Hence, the line element (5) acquires the form

$$ds_{(4+4)}^2 = g_{\mu\nu}(x^\alpha) dx^\mu dx^\nu + g_{ij}(x^k) dx^i dx^j, \quad (34)$$

where greek indices from now on run from 0 to 3 and represent the coordinates of the  $(1+3)$  space-time, whereas the capital latin indices run from 4 to 7 and denote the coordinates of the  $(3+1)$  space-time. Note that both spaces are of complementary signature. Hence, the Levi-Civita connection can be splitted up into

$${}^{(4+4)}\Gamma_{BD}^A = \begin{pmatrix} {}^{(1+3)}\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & {}^{(3+1)}\Gamma_{kl}^i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (35)$$

Consequently, according to the action (31) the Einstein field equations in vacuum lead to  ${}^{(4+4)}\mathcal{R}_{AB} = 0$  and consequently one obtains

$$R_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (36)$$

$$R_{ij} = 0.$$



In what follows we shall show that this splitting no necessarily is a trivial case.

### III. THE EXTENDED KRUSKAL-SZEKERES COORDINATES

Now, in order to study spherically symmetric solutions of (36), we introduce the  $(4+4)$  line element having the spherical symmetry as

$$ds_{(4+4)}^2 = -e^{2f(r)} dr^2 + e^{2h(r)} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 + e^{2F(\tilde{r})} d\tilde{t}^2 - e^{2H(\tilde{r})} d\tilde{r}^2 - \tilde{r}^2 d\tilde{\Omega}^2, \quad (37)$$

where  $(t, r, \theta, \phi)$  are the  $(1+3)$ -coordinates,  $(\tilde{t}, \tilde{r}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\phi})$  are denoting the  $(3+1)$ -coordinates,  $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$  and  $d\tilde{\Omega}^2 = d\tilde{\theta}^2 + \sin^2\tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\phi}^2$ .

It follows from (36) and (37) that the Schwarzschild metric solution for a  $(4+4)$ -black hole reads

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{r_s}{r}\right) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{r_s}{r}} + r^2 d\Omega^2 + \left(1 - \frac{r_s}{\tilde{r}}\right) d\tilde{t}^2 - \frac{d\tilde{r}^2}{1 - \frac{r_s}{\tilde{r}}} - \tilde{r}^2 d\tilde{\Omega}^2, \quad (38)$$

where  $r_s = 2GM$  is the Schwarzschild radius,  $M$  is associated with the mass of the black hole and  $(r, \theta, \phi)$  are the spherical polar coordinates ( In here we are using units such that the light velocity  $c = 1$ ). The solution corresponding to a  $(1+3)$ -signature then is given by

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{r_s}{r}\right) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{r_s}{r}} + r^2 d\Omega^2. \quad (39)$$

Now, we introduce the extended Kruskal–Szekeres coordinates in the form

$$X = \epsilon \left[ \eta \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2r_s}} \cosh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right), \quad (40)$$

$$T = \epsilon \left[ \eta \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2r_s}} \sinh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right),$$

where the new quantities  $\epsilon$  and  $\eta$  are parameters taking values in the set  $\{\pm 1\}$ . Using the coordinate transformations (40) one obtains the expression

$$X^2 - T^2 = \eta \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) e^{\frac{r}{r_s}} \quad (41)$$

and using the line element (39) we arrive to

$$\frac{4r_s^3}{r} e^{-\frac{r}{r_s}} (-dT^2 + dX^2) = \eta \left[ -\left(1 - \frac{r_s}{r}\right) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{r_s}{r}} \right]. \quad (42)$$

Similarly, if we now write the extended Kruskal–Szekeres coordinates in the alternative form

$$X = \epsilon \left[ \eta \left( \frac{r}{R_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2R_s}} \sinh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right), \quad (43)$$

$$T = \epsilon \left[ \eta \left( \frac{r}{R_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2R_s}} \cosh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right),$$

it is not difficult to show that the analogous of (41) reads

$$T^2 - X^2 = \eta \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) e^{\frac{r}{2r_s}} \quad (44)$$

and considering the line element (39) we get the expression

$$\frac{4r_s^3}{r} e^{-\frac{r}{r_s}} (-dT^2 + dX^2) = \eta \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{r_s}{r} \right) dt^2 - \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{r_s}{r}} \right]. \quad (45)$$

Notice that the equations (40) and (43) contain the both cases:  $\epsilon = \pm 1$  and  $\eta = \pm 1$ . This means that we have 8 different ways of defining Kruskal–Szekeres coordinates, every case valid on different regions of the space-time. We shall denote these cases as a 8-region approach.

Let us consider first the case  $\eta = +1$ . Then (40) and (43) leads to the Kruskal–Szekeres coordinates

$$X = \epsilon \left[ \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2r_s}} \cosh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right), \quad (46)$$

$$T = \epsilon \left[ \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2r_s}} \sinh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right),$$

and

$$X = \epsilon \left[ \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2R_s}} \sinh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right), \quad (47)$$

$$T = \epsilon \left[ \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2R_s}} \cosh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right),$$

respectively. These transformations corresponds to values of  $r$  such that  $r > r_s$ .

While if we consider  $\eta = -1$  in (40) and (43) the corresponding Kruskal–Szekeres coordinates are given by

$$X = \epsilon \left[ - \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2r_s}} \cosh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right), \quad (48)$$

$$T = \epsilon \left[ - \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2r_s}} \sinh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right),$$

and

$$X = \epsilon \left[ - \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2R_s}} \sinh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right), \quad (49)$$

$$T = \epsilon \left[ - \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2R_s}} \cosh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right),$$

respectively. Now these transformations correspond to values of the radius  $r$  such that  $r_s < r$ .

If we now regard  $\epsilon = +1$  it is easy to see that (46)-(49) yield

$$X = \left[ \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2r_s}} \cosh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right), \quad (50)$$

$$T = \left[ \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2r_s}} \sinh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right),$$

$$X = \left[ \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2R_s}} \sinh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right), \quad (51)$$

$$T = \left[ \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2R_s}} \cosh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right),$$

$$X = \left[ - \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2r_s}} \cosh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right), \quad (52)$$

$$T = \left[ - \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2r_s}} \sinh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right),$$

$$X = \left[ - \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2R_s}} \sinh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right), \quad (53)$$

$$T = \left[ - \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2R_s}} \cosh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right),$$

respectively. While if in (46)-(49) we choose  $\epsilon = -1$  we obtain

$$X = - \left[ \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2r_s}} \cosh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right), \quad (54)$$

$$T = - \left[ \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2r_s}} \sinh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right),$$

$$X = - \left[ \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2R_s}} \sinh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right), \quad (55)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T &= - \left[ \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2R_s}} \cosh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right), \\ X &= - \left[ - \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2R_s}} \cosh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T &= - \left[ - \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2R_s}} \sinh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right), \\ X &= - \left[ - \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2R_s}} \sinh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

$$T = - \left[ - \left( \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} e^{\frac{r}{2R_s}} \cosh \left( \frac{t}{2R_s} \right).$$

Considering all eight transformations (50)-(57) an 8-region spacetime structure is obtained. In the four expressions (50), (53), (54) and (57) we recognize the traditionally 4-region spacetime described for instance in the Ref. [23]. What seems to be new are the transformations (51),(52), (55) and (56). These give another 4-region. So if one add the traditional and the new 4-region one gets a 8-region space-time structure. However, one may ask: What are the key result that distinguish these two 4-regions?. In the following section we shall show that the traditional 4-region can be associated with a space-time of  $(1 + 3)$ -signature, while the new 4-region must be associated with a  $(3 + 1)$ -signature. Our final conclusion will be that the 8-region Kruskal–Szekeres transformation corresponds to a world with  $(4 + 4)$ -signature.

#### IV. (4+4)-SPACE-TIME STRUCTURE AND MIRROR BLACK AND WHITE HOLES

In order to obtain information about the physical and geometrical meaning of the new 4-region we proceed as follows.

First, it is important to note that in all the process of the Kruskal–Szekeres transformations the angular part of (39), which is given by

$$ds_{(2)}^2 \equiv r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \quad (58)$$

has not been considered. In fact, the traditional Kruskal–Szekeres method focus only in the first part of (39), namely

$$ds_{(1)}^2 \equiv -\left(1 - \frac{r_s}{r}\right)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{r_s}{r}}. \quad (59)$$

Of course, it is not difficult to see that when  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , the equations (58) and (59) lead to a flat world of  $(1 + 3)$ -signature. It turns out that the 4-region transformations (50), (53), (54) and (57) are compatible with the  $(1 + 3)$ -signature when we set  $\eta = +1$  in (42) and  $\eta = -1$  in (45).

On the other hand, the angular part for the  $(3+1)$ -part of the metric (38) is given by

$$dl_{(2)}^2 \equiv -r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \quad (60)$$

while its radial part reads

$$dl_{(1)}^2 \equiv -\left[-\left(1 - \frac{r_s}{r}\right)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{r_s}{r}}\right]. \quad (61)$$

Thus, it seems evident from (60) and (61) that when  $r \rightarrow \infty$  a flat world of  $(3 + 1)$ -signature is obtained. Something remarkable is that the transformations (51),(52), (55) and (56) can be made compatible with (60) and (61) if we substitute  $\eta = -1$  in (42) and  $\eta = +1$  in the expression (45). Hence, in order to distinguish the black-hole solution (60) and (61) from the usual one we shall call it mirror-black-hole. This name can be justified because while ordinary black-holes live in  $(1 + 3)$ -dimensions the mirror-black-hole lives in a mirror world of  $(3 + 1)$ -dimensions.

Let us now analyze, from the perspective of Kruskal–Szekeres coordinates, geometrical implications when  $r = 0$  in both cases: black and mirror-black holes. Observe first that when  $r = 0$  the equations (41) and (44) lead to

$$X^2 - T^2 = -\eta, \quad (62)$$

and

$$T^2 - X^2 = \eta, \quad (63)$$

respectively. In the traditional steps of Kruskal–Szekeres coordinates the transformations (51),(52), (55) and (56) are considered in such a way that in (62) and (63) the value  $\eta = +1$

is taken. It is evident that in this case the two expressions (62) and (63) lead to exactly the same equation, namely

$$T^2 - X^2 = +1. \quad (64)$$

Of course, this expression corresponds to an hyperbola in the plane  $X$  and  $T$ . The branch of this hyperbola in the positive values of  $T$  is identified with the true singularity of the black-hole. The other branch of the hyperbola for negative values of  $T$  is associated with the singularity of a possible white hole. On the other hand if in the equations (62) and (63) we use  $\eta = -1$  and considering the transformations (51), (52), (55) and (56), we obtain that instead of (64) we have now the formula

$$T^2 - X^2 = -1. \quad (65)$$

It is easy to verify that hyperbola in this case will correspond to positive and negative values of  $X$ . This means that we shall have not only a singularity associated with the mirror-black-hole but also to a kind of mirror-white-hole. Hence we have mirror black and white holes in a  $(3+1)$ -signature space-time, which from a global point of view we can interpret that in order to describe black/white and mirror black/white holes in a single gravitational setting, a theory with a  $(4+4)$ -space-time structure would be necessary.

## V. LINEARIZED GRAVITY IN $(4+4)$ -DIMENSIONS.

Another non-trivial aspect of general relativity in  $(4+4)$ -dimensions emerges from linearized gravity. It turns out that in the year 2003, Nishino and Rajpoot [40] presented a self-dual  $N = (1,0)$  supergravity in Euclidean 8-dimensions. Their method consisted in considering the self-duality concept of the curvature in terms of a four-form octonion structure constants  $\eta^{ABCD}$ . This motivates to consider the Rarita-Schwinger field equation in eight dimensions

$$\eta^{ABCD} \gamma_B \partial_C \Psi_D = 0, \quad (66)$$

in completely analogy to the case of four dimensions

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\alpha}\gamma_\nu\partial_\sigma\Psi_\alpha=0. \quad (67)$$

On the other hand, a classical description of (67)

$$S_\mu^\alpha = \epsilon_\mu^{\tau\sigma\alpha}\theta_\tau p_\sigma = 0. \quad (68)$$

in terms of anticommuting Grassmann variables  $\theta_\tau$  leads to the remarkable result that  $S_\mu^\alpha$  can be understood as the square root of linearized gravity, in the sense that

$$\{S_\mu^\alpha, S_\nu^\beta\} = H_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (69)$$

where

$$H_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta} = -\delta_\mu^\alpha\delta_\nu^\beta p^\lambda p_\lambda - \eta^{\alpha\beta}p_\mu p_\nu + \delta_\mu^\alpha p_\nu p^\beta + \delta_\mu^\alpha p_\nu p^\beta \quad (70)$$

$$-\eta_{\mu\nu}p^\alpha p^\beta + \eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\alpha\beta}p^\lambda p_\lambda.$$

corresponds to first class constraint associated with linearized gravity (see Ref. [41] for details). Of course, this result is inspired in the supersymmetric  $\frac{1}{2}$ -spin formalism. In this case the Dirac equation

$$(\gamma^\mu\hat{p}_\mu + m)\psi = 0 \quad (71)$$

is considered as a first class constraint

$$S = \theta^\mu p_\mu + m \approx 0 \quad (72)$$

and in this way one proves the relation

$$\{S, S\} = H, \quad (73)$$

meaning that  $S$  is the square root of the constraint

$$H = p^\mu p_\mu + m^2 \approx 0. \quad (74)$$

Of course, at the quantum level this constraint can be associated with the Klein-Gordon equation

$$(\hat{p}^\mu \hat{p}_\mu + m^2)\varphi = 0. \quad (75)$$

One of our motivation is to see whether one can follow similar steps to the case of the gravitino field equation (66). For this purpose in analogy to (68) let us associate to (66) the constraint

$$\mathcal{S}_A^E = \eta_A^{EDF} \theta_D p_F \approx 0. \quad (76)$$

Our goal is to determine

$$\{\mathcal{S}_A^E, \mathcal{S}_B^F\} = \mathcal{H}_{AB}^{EF}. \quad (77)$$

First one observe that

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB}^{EF} = \eta_A^{GHE} p_H \eta_{BG}^{QF} p_Q. \quad (78)$$

This expression can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB}^{EF} = \eta_{AR} \eta^{FP} \eta^{ERHG} \eta_{PBQG} p_H p^Q. \quad (79)$$

Now, it is known that the octonionic structure constants  $\eta^{ABCD}$  satisfies [42]-[43],

$$\eta^{ABCD} \eta_{EFGD} = \delta_{EFG}^{ABC} + \Sigma_{EFG}^{ABC}, \quad (80)$$

where  $\Sigma_{EFG}^{ABC}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{EFG}^{ABC} &= \eta_{EF}^{AB} \delta_G^C + \eta_{EF}^{BC} \delta_G^A + \eta_{EF}^{CA} \delta_G^B \\ &\quad \eta_{FG}^{AB} \delta_E^C + \eta_{FG}^{BC} \delta_E^A + \eta_{FG}^{CA} \delta_E^B \\ &\quad \eta_{GE}^{AB} \delta_F^C + \eta_{GE}^{BC} \delta_F^A + \eta_{GE}^{CA} \delta_F^B. \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

Hence, substituting (80) and (81) into (79) yields

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB}^{EF} = H_{AB}^{EF} + \Omega_{AB}^{EF}. \quad (82)$$

Here  $H_{AB}^{EF}$  has exactly the same form than (70) but in eight dimensions and  $\Omega_{AB}^{EF}$  is given by



$$\Omega_{AB}^{EF} = \eta_{AQ}\eta^{FR}\Sigma_{RBH}^{EQG}p_Gp^H, \quad (83)$$

which in virtue of (81) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{AB}^{EF} = & -\eta^{EF}{}_{AB}p_Qp^Q - \eta^F{}_{ABD}p^Dp^E + \eta^{EF}{}_Dp^Dp_A \\ & + \eta^E{}_{ABD}p^Dp^F - \eta^{EF}{}_{AD}p^Dp_B. \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

Observe that

$$\Omega_{AB}^{EF} = -\Omega_{AB}^{FE} = -\Omega_{BA}^{EF}. \quad (85)$$

Thus, since  $H_{AB}^{EF}$  is obtained from the Riemann tensor we see that the result (85) implies a non-trivial additional term  $\Omega_{AB}^{EF}$  in the Einstein field equations.

## VI. FINAL REMARKS

In this work we have introduced a new type of  $(4+4)$ -Kaluza-Klein theory of gravity. As an application of the theory we have studied spherically symmetric solutions in vacuum, in the particular case where the gauge fields  $A_\alpha^i$  and  $B_i^\mu$  are null. Hence, considering generalized Kruskal-Szekeres coordinate transformations, we obtain two 4-regions representing black/white hole solutions in a  $(1+3)$ -space-time signature and mirror black/white hole solutions in a  $(3+1)$ -space-time signature, respectively.

The present developments clearly point towards a more general theory which combines black/white-holes and mirror-black/white-holes. Since the corresponding signatures are  $(1+3)$  and  $(3+1)$  the natural choice for such a generalized theory seems to be a framework in  $(4+4)$ -dimensions. This is in part due to the fact that the interesting splitting  $(4+4) = (1+3) + (3+1)$  is valid. Surprisingly, the  $(4+4)$ -dimensional theory can be understood as a particular case of the so called double field theories [39]. It may be interesting for further research to explore this possible connection.

Moreover, in Ref. [20] it was shown that the Dirac equation in  $(4+4)$ -dimensions leads to the surprising result that a complex spinor associated with  $\frac{1}{2}$ -spinor in  $(1+3)$ -dimensions can be understood as a Majorana-Weyl spinor in  $(4+4)$ -dimensions. So, one would expect that the Majorana-Weyl vector-spinor  $\Psi_D$  of the Rarita-Schwinger field equation in  $(4+4)$ -dimensions (66) can be associated with a complex spinor vector-spinor in  $(1+3)$ -dimensions.

Furthermore, using Cayley hyperdeterminant it was shown that there exist black-hole/qubit correspondence in  $(4+4)$ -dimensions [44], which in turn can be linked to oriented matroid theory (see Refs [45]-[48] and references therein). Finally, it is worth mentioning that an Ashtekar formalism in eight dimensions has been developed in Ref. [49]. Therefore, one may expect for a further work may emerge when the present formalism of general relativity in  $(4 + 4)$ -dimensions becomes connected with such fascinating developments.

### Acknowledgements

J. A. Nieto acknowledges Centro Universitario de Ciencias Exactas e Ingenierias and Centro Universitario de los Valles of Universidad de Guadalajara for hospitality and financial support. J.E.Madriz-Aguilar acknowledges CONACYT México and Centro Universitario de Ciencias Exactas e Ingenierias of Universidad de Guadalajara for financial support.

- 
- [1] H. Nicolai and C. Wetterich, Phys. Lett. B **150** (1985) 347.
  - [2] C. Wetterich, Nucl. Phys. B **255** (1985) 480.
  - [3] S. Randjbar-Daemi and C. Wetterich, Phys. Lett. B **166** (1986) 65.
  - [4] T. Liko, Phys. Lett. B **617** (2005) 193; e-Print: hep-th/0505049.
  - [5] J. E. Madriz Aguilar and M. Bellini, Phys. Lett. B **619** (2005) 208; e-Print: gr-qc/0503045.
  - [6] A. Raya Montano, J. E. Madriz Aguilar and M. Bellini, Adv. Stud. Theor. Phys. **1** (2007) 281;
  - [7] J. E. Madriz Aguilar, Mauricio Bellini and F. Astorga Saenz; Published in In \*Reimer, A. (ed.): Quantum cosmology research trends\* 93-120 , e-Print: gr-qc/0411074.
  - [8] M. Bellini, Phys. Lett. B **609** (2005) 187; gr-qc/0410143.
  - [9] J. E. Madriz Aguilar and M. Bellini, Eur. Phys. J. C **42** (2005) 349; gr-qc/0408054.
  - [10] J. E. Madriz Aguilar and M. Bellini Eur. Phys. J. C **38** (2004) 367; hep-th/0406268.
  - [11] J. E. Madriz Aguilar and Mauricio Bellini, Phys. Lett. B **596** (2004) 116; gr-qc/0405024.
  - [12] J. E. Madriz Aguilar and Mauricio Bellini, Eur. Phys. J. C **38** (2004) 123; gr-qc/0402108.
  - [13] J. Ponce de Leon, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **12** (2003) 757; gr-qc/0209013
  - [14] J. Ponce de Leon, Gen. Rel. Grav. **35** (2003) 1365. gr-qc/0207108.

- [15] Y. M. Cho, J. Math. Phys. **16** (1975) 2029.
- [16] Y. M. Cho and P. G. O. Freund, Phys. Rev. D **12** (1975) 1711.
- [17] T. Fukuyama, Gen. Rel. Grav. **14** (1982) 729.
- [18] J. H. Yoon, Class. Quant. Grav. **16** (1999) 1863; gr-qc/0003060.
- [19] J. H. Yoon, Phys. Lett. B **451** (1999) 296.
- [20] J. A. Nieto and M. Espinoza, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **14** (2016) 1750014.
- [21] M. D. Kruskal, Phys. Rev. **119** (1960) 1743.
- [22] G. Szekeres, Publ. Math. Debrecen **7** (1960) 285.
- [23] C. M. Misner, K. S. Thorne, J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, (Ed. W. H. Freeman and Company, 1973).
- [24] S. M. Carroll, *Spacetime and Geometry*, (Addison Wesley, 2004).
- [25] M. J. Duff, J. Kalkkinen, Nucl. Phys. B **760** (2007) 64; hep-th/0605274.
- [26] M. J. Duff, J. Kalkkinen, Nucl. Phys. B **758** (2006) 161; hep-th/0605273.
- [27] C. Chevalley, *The Algebraic Theory of Spinors* (Columbia University Press, 1954).
- [28] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, "Foundations of Differential Geometry", Vols. 1 and 2 (New ed.), Wiley-Interscience, ISBN 0-471-15733-3 (1996). (2007).
- [29] Y. Choquet-Bruhat and C. DeWitt-Morette, *Analysis, Manifolds and Physics. Part II, Applications* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989).
- [30] P. Deligne, Notes on Spinors, in : *Quantum Fields and Strings: A Course for Mathematicians* (American Mathematical Society, 1999).
- [31] R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, M. A. Lledo and V. S. Varadarajan, Spinor algebras, J. Geom. Phys. **40** (2001) 101.
- [32] P. G. O. Freund, *Introduction to Supersymmetry* (Cambridge University Press, 1988).
- [33] C. Hull, JHEP **9811** (1998) 017; hep-th/9807127.
- [34] C. Hull, JHEP **9807** (1998) 021; hep-th/9806146.
- [35] C. Hull and R. R. Khuri, Nucl. Phys. B **536** (1998) 219; hep-th/9808069.
- [36] M. A. De Andrade, M. Rojas and F. Toppan, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **16** (2001) 4453; hep-th/0005035.
- [37] M. Rojas, M. A. De Andrade, L. P. Colatto, J. L. Matheus-Valle, L. P. G. De Assis and J. A. Helayel-Neto, "Mass Generation and Related Issues from Exotic Higher Dimensions"; hep-th/1111.2261.

- [38] M. A. De Andrade and I.V. Vancea., “Action for spinor fields in arbitrary dimensions”; hep-th/0105025.
- [39] C. Hull, B. Zwiebach, JHEP **0909** (2009) 099; hep-th/0904.4664.
- [40] H. Nishino and S. Rajpoot, Phys. Lett. B **564** (2003) 269-279; hep-th/0302059
- [41] J. A. Nieto, O. Obregon, Phys. Lett. A **175** (1993) 11-13.
- [42] A. R. Dundarer, F. Gursey and C. H. Tze, J. Math. Phys. **25** (1984) 1496.
- [43] A. R. Dundarer and F. Gursey, J. Math. Phys. **32** (1991) 1178.
- [44] J. A. Nieto, Phys. Lett. B **718** (2013) 1543; arXiv:1210.0928 [hep-th].
- [45] J. A. Nieto, Nucl. Phys. B **883** (2014) 350; arXiv:1402.6998 [hep-th].
- [46] J. A. Nieto, Phys. Lett. B **692** (2010) 43; arXiv:1004.5372 [hep-th].
- [47] J. A. Nieto, Adv.Theor. Math. Phys. **8** (2004) no.1, 177; hep-th/0310071.
- [48] J. A. Nieto, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **10** (2006) no.5, 747; hep-th/0506106.
- [49] J. A. Nieto, Class. Quant. Grav. **22** (2005) 947-955; hep-th/0410260