

Anomaly induced transport in non-anomalous currents ^{*}

Eugenio Megías^{1,2,a}

¹Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), Föhringer Ring 6, D-80805, Munich, Germany

²Departamento de Física Teórica, Universidad del País Vasco UPV/EHU, Apartado 644, 48080 Bilbao, Spain

Abstract. Quantum anomalies are one of the subtlest properties of relativistic field theories. They give rise to non-dissipative transport coefficients in the hydrodynamic expansion. In particular a magnetic field can induce an anomalous current via the chiral magnetic effect. In this work we explore the possibility that anomalies can induce a chiral magnetic effect in non-anomalous currents as well. This effect is implemented through an explicit breaking of the symmetries.

1 Introduction

The basic ingredients in the hydrodynamic approach are the constitutive relations, which are expressions of the energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$, and the charge currents J^μ , in terms of fluid quantities [1]. These relations are supplemented with the hydrodynamic equations, which correspond to the conservation laws of the currents. However, in presence of chiral anomalies the currents are no longer conserved, i.e. $\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} \neq 0$ and $\partial_\mu J^\mu \neq 0$. This leads to very interesting non-dissipative phenomena that already appear at first order in the hydrodynamic expansion: the *chiral magnetic effect*, responsible for the generation of an electric current parallel to a magnetic field [2], and the *chiral vortical effect*, in which the current is induced by a vortex [3]. The constitutive relation for the charge currents then read

$$\langle J^\mu \rangle = nu^\mu + \sigma^B B^\mu + \sigma^V \omega^\mu + \dots, \quad (1)$$

where n is the charge density, u^μ is the local fluid velocity, $B^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}u_\nu F_{\rho\lambda}$ is the magnetic field, with the field strength of the gauge field defined as $F_{\mu\nu} = D_\mu A_\nu - D_\nu A_\mu$, and $\omega^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}u_\nu D_\rho u_\lambda$ is the vorticity vector. The transport coefficients responsible for the chiral magnetic and vortical effects, σ^B and σ^V , have been studied in a wide variety of methods: these include Kubo formulae [4–6], diagrammatic methods [7], fluid/gravity correspondence [8–11], and the partition function formalism [12–15]. It is already clear from these studies that the axial anomaly [16] and the mixed gauge-gravitational anomaly [17] are responsible for the previously mentioned non-dissipative effects.

In this work we are going to focus on the Kubo formalism. The most significant result of anomalies is that they produce equilibrium currents, and the corresponding conductivities are defined via Kubo

^{*}Presented by E. Megías at the 5th International Conference on New Frontiers in Physics (ICNFP 2016), 6-14 July 2016, Kolymbari, Crete, Greece.

^ae-mail: emegias@mppmu.mpg.de

formulae that involve retarded correlators at zero frequency. The Kubo formula for the chiral magnetic conductivity was derived in [16], and it reads

$$\sigma^{\mathcal{B}} = \lim_{p_c \rightarrow 0} \frac{i}{2p_c} \sum_{a,b} \epsilon_{abc} \langle J^a J^b \rangle|_{\omega=0}. \quad (2)$$

A similar formula involving the energy-momentum tensor was derived in [4] for the chiral vortical conductivity. In this work we use this formalism to study the chiral magnetic effect of anomalous conductivities. We will study the possibility that, under certain circumstances, this effect might be present in non-anomalous currents as well.

2 The chiral magnetic and separation effects

The chiral magnetic (CME) and chiral separation (CSE) effects are examples of anomalous transport. In the context of heavy ion collisions, a very strong magnetic field produced during a non-central collision induces a parity-odd charge separation which can be modelled by an axial chemical potential, and as a consequence an electric current parallel to the magnetic field is generated, leading to the CME [2, 16, 18]. On the other hand, chirally restored quark matter might give rise to an axial current parallel to a magnetic field, known as CSE [19]. These effects have been predicted as well in condensed matter systems, see e.g. [20, 21].

Let us consider a theory of N chiral fermions transforming under a global symmetry group G generated by matrices $(T_A)^f{}_g$. The chemical potential for the fermion Ψ^f is given by $\mu^f = \sum_A q_A^f \mu_A$, while the Cartan generator is $H_A = q_A^f \delta^f{}_g$ where q_A^f are the charges. The general form of the anomalous induced currents by a magnetic field is

$$\vec{J}^a = (\sigma^{\mathcal{B}})_{ab} \vec{B}_b, \quad (3)$$

where \vec{B}_b is the magnetic field corresponding to symmetry b . The 1-loop computation of the chiral magnetic conductivity by using the Kubo formula of Eq. (2) leads to [6, 16, 17]

$$(\sigma^{\mathcal{B}})_{ab} = d_{abc} \frac{\mu^c}{4\pi^2}, \quad d_{abc} = \frac{1}{2} [\text{tr}(T_a \{T_b, T_c\})_R - \text{tr}(T_a \{T_b, T_c\})_L], \quad (4)$$

where d_{abc} is the group theoretic factor related to the axial anomaly, which typically appears in the computation of the anomalous triangle diagram corresponding to three non-abelian gauge fields coupled to a chiral fermion. The subscripts R, L stand for the contributions of right-handed and left-handed fermions. Anomalies are responsible for a non-vanishing value of the divergence of the current, that reads in this case [22]

$$D_\mu J_a^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \frac{d_{abc}}{32\pi^2} F_{\mu\nu}^b F_{\rho\lambda}^c. \quad (5)$$

Let us particularize Eq. (3) to the symmetry group $U_V(1) \times U_A(1)$. Then there are vector and axial currents induced by the magnetic field of the vector fields, i.e.

$$\vec{J}_V = \frac{\mu_A}{2\pi^2} \vec{B}_V, \quad \vec{J}_A = \frac{\mu_V}{2\pi^2} \vec{B}_V, \quad (6)$$

which correspond to the CME and CSE respectively.

The question then arises: is it possible to get a *chiral magnetic effect* for a non-anomalous symmetry w ? This means to have an induced current in symmetry w , i.e.

$$\vec{J}_w \neq 0 \quad \text{with} \quad d_{wab} = d_{awb} = d_{abw} = 0 \quad \forall a, b. \quad (7)$$

In the rest of the manuscript we will study the possibility that anomalies can induce transport also in non-anomalous currents.

3 Holographic model

The Kubo formula Eq. (2) has been computed in [23–25] at strong coupling within a Einstein-Maxwell model in 5 dim. In order to account for the anomalous effects, the model is supplemented with Chern-Simons (CS) terms. In this work we will restrict to a pure gauge CS term, which mimics the axial anomaly. The action reads

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^5x \sqrt{-g} \left[R + 12 - \frac{1}{4} F_V^2 - \frac{1}{4} F_A^2 - \frac{1}{4} F_W^2 + \frac{\kappa}{3} A \wedge F_V \wedge F_V \right] + S_{GH}, \quad (8)$$

where S_{GH} is the usual Gibbons-Hawking boundary term. V_M and A_M are vector and axial gauge fields, respectively, and W_M is an extra gauge field associated to the non-anomalous symmetry w . The anomalous term mixes the V_M and A_M fields.¹ A computation of the currents with this model leads to

$$\tilde{J}_V^\mu = \frac{\partial S}{\partial V_\mu} = - \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{16\pi G} \left[F_V^{r\mu} + 6\kappa \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} A_\nu F_{\rho\lambda}^V \right] = J_V^\mu + K^\mu, \quad (9)$$

$$\tilde{J}_A^\mu = \frac{\partial S}{\partial A_\mu} = - \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{16\pi G} F_A^{r\mu} = J_A^\mu, \quad (10)$$

$$\tilde{J}_W^\mu = \frac{\partial S}{\partial W_\mu} = - \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{16\pi G} F_W^{r\mu} = J_W^\mu. \quad (11)$$

\tilde{J}^μ stands for the holographic *consistent* currents, which are not gauge covariant in general. The *covariant* version of the currents, denoted by J^μ , is the usual one appearing in the constitutive relations, and it corresponds to the covariant part, dropping the CS current K^μ . An analysis of the divergence of the covariant currents leads to the “*covariant*” *anomalies*:

$$D_\mu J_V^\mu = -3\kappa \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} F_{\mu\nu}^A F_{\rho\lambda}^V, \quad D_\mu J_A^\mu = -\frac{3}{2} \kappa \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} F_{\mu\nu}^V F_{\rho\lambda}^V, \quad D_\mu J_W^\mu = 0. \quad (12)$$

From this result, it is clear that while one expects the existence of anomalous transport effects in J_V^μ and J_A^μ , this is not the case for J_W^μ . In a holographic computation of the conductivities with this model, one gets the result of Eq. (6) for J_V^μ and J_A^μ , but a vanishing value for J_W^μ .

3.1 Holographic model with symmetry breaking

In the following we are going to study the possibility that the constitutive relation for $\langle J_W^\mu \rangle$ receives anomalous contributions. Let us extend the model of Eq. (8) with the contribution ($S_{\text{tot}} = S + S_\phi$)

$$S_\phi = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^5x \sqrt{-g} \left(-|D_M \phi|^2 - m^2 \phi^2 \right), \quad D_M \phi = [\partial_M - i(A_M - W_M)] \phi, \quad (13)$$

where ϕ is a scalar field with a tachyonic bulk mass $m^2 = \Delta(\Delta - 4)$, and $0 \leq \Delta \leq 4$. S_ϕ produces an explicit breaking of A and W symmetries via the scalar field ϕ . From the *AdS/CFT dictionary*, the model is the holographic dual of a Conformal Field Theory (CFT) with a deformation

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{CFT} + \lambda \mathcal{O}, \quad (14)$$

where \mathcal{O} is an operator dual of the scalar field with $\dim \mathcal{O} = \Delta$, and λ is the source of the operator with $\dim \lambda = 4 - \Delta$. The near boundary expansion of ϕ reads

$$\phi(r) = \phi_{4-\Delta} r^{\Delta-4} + \phi_\Delta r^{-\Delta} + \dots, \quad r \rightarrow \infty, \quad (15)$$

¹We use the notation for capital indices $M \in \{r, t, x, y, z\}$, and Greek indices $\mu \in \{t, x, y, z\}$.

where $\phi_{4-\Delta}$ is interpreted as the source λ , and ϕ_Δ as the condensate $\langle O \rangle$. In the following we will choose $\Delta = 3$, so that the bulk mass is $m^2 = -3$. The explicit breaking of symmetries is realized via the boundary term $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r \cdot \phi(r) = M$, where we have identified the mass parameter M with the source in Eq. (15). Our goal is to study the induced currents

$$\vec{J}_V = \sigma_V(M) \vec{B}, \quad \vec{J}_A = \sigma_A(M) \vec{B}, \quad \vec{J}_W = \sigma_W(M) \vec{B}, \quad (16)$$

where one expects a dependence of the conductivities in M . A non zero value for $\sigma_W(M)$ would signal the existence of a non-anomalous current induced by anomalies.

3.2 Background equations of motion

We will work in the probe limit, so that the metric fluctuations are neglected. The equations of motion of the background $(\delta g^{MN}, \delta V^M, \delta A^M, \delta W^M, \delta \phi)$ can be solved by considering the AdS Schwarzschild solution

$$ds^2 = -r^2 f(r) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2 f(r)} + r^2 (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2), \quad f(r) = 1 - \frac{r_h^4}{r^4}, \quad (17)$$

and the background gauge fields

$$V = V_t(r) dt, \quad A = A_t(r) dt, \quad W = W_t(r) dt. \quad (18)$$

The chemical potentials are computed as $\mu_Y \equiv Y_t(r \rightarrow \infty) - Y_t(r_h)$ with $Y = V, A, W$. Then, the fields have the following near boundary expansion

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r \cdot \phi(r) = M, \quad (19)$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} V_t(r) = \mu_V + V_t(r_h), \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} A_t(r) = \mu_A + A_t(r_h), \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} W_t(r) = \mu_W + W_t(r_h). \quad (20)$$

It is convenient to define in the following new fields $A_1 = A - W$ and $A_2 = A + W$, so that the covariant derivative writes $D_M \phi = [\partial_M - i(A_1)_M] \phi$. The boundary expansions of $A_{1,2}$ write as in Eq. (20), with chemical potentials $\mu_{1,2} \equiv \mu_A \mp \mu_W$. Then, the equations of motion of the background read

$$0 = V_t'' + \frac{3}{r} V_t', \quad 0 = (A_2)_t'' + \frac{3}{r} (A_2)_t', \quad (21)$$

$$0 = (A_1)_t'' + \frac{3}{r} (A_1)_t' - \frac{4\phi^2}{r^2 f(r)} (A_1)_t, \quad (22)$$

$$0 = \phi'' + \left(\frac{5}{r} + \frac{f'}{f} \right) \phi' + \left(\frac{(A_1)_t^2}{r^4 f^2} - \frac{m^2}{r^2 f} \right) \phi. \quad (23)$$

The solutions of V_t and $(A_2)_t$ can be obtained analytically, and the result is

$$V_t(r) = c_V - \mu_V \frac{r_h^2}{r^2}, \quad (A_2)_t(r) = c_2 - \mu_2 \frac{r_h^2}{r^2}, \quad (24)$$

where c_V and c_2 are integration constants. The solutions of A_1 and ϕ can be obtained numerically by solving the coupled system of differential equations, Eqs. (22)-(23). From these equations one can easily see that regularity of the solution near the horizon demands $(A_1)_t(r_h) = 0$.

4 Conductivities in presence of symmetry breaking

The Kubo formulae for the conductivities appearing in Eq. (16) are:

$$\sigma_Y = \lim_{p_z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{i}{p_z} \langle (J_Y)_x (J_V)_y \rangle|_{\omega=0}, \quad \text{with} \quad Y = V, A, W. \quad (25)$$

These involve retarded correlators which can be computed by using the AdS/CFT dictionary, see e.g. [5, 26–28]. We will explain in this section the computation in some details.

4.1 Fluctuations

Without loss of generality we consider perturbations of momentum p in the z -direction at zero frequency. To study the effect of anomalies it is enough to consider the shear sector, i.e. transverse momentum fluctuations,

$$\mathcal{K}_\mu = K_\mu(r) + \epsilon k_\mu(r) e^{ip_z z}, \quad \mu = x, y, \quad (26)$$

where $K_\mu(r)$ refers to the background solutions computed in Sec. 3.2 for any of the fields $V, A_{1,2}$ (or V, A and W), and $k_\mu(r)$ are the corresponding fluctuations $v, a_{1,2}$ (or v, a and w).

In studying the fluctuations it is useful to organize the equations of motion according to their helicity under the transverse $SO(2)$ rotation, which is a left-over symmetry. The equations for the fluctuations of the gauge fields are then classified into helicity ± 1 , and at order $O(\epsilon)$ they read ²

$$0 = v''_\pm + \left(\frac{3}{r} + \frac{f'}{f}\right) v'_\pm - \frac{p_z}{3r^4 f} (3p_z \pm 4\kappa r ((A_1)'_t + (A_2)'_t)) v_\pm \mp \kappa \frac{4p_z}{3r^3 f} ((a_1)_\pm + (a_2)_\pm) V'_t, \quad (27)$$

$$0 = (a_1)''_\pm + \left(\frac{3}{r} + \frac{f'}{f}\right) (a_1)'_\pm - \frac{1}{r^4 f} (p_z^2 + 4r^2 \phi^2) (a_1)_\pm \mp \kappa \frac{8p_z}{3r^3 f} V'_t v_\pm, \quad (28)$$

$$0 = (a_2)''_\pm + \left(\frac{3}{r} + \frac{f'}{f}\right) (a_2)'_\pm - \frac{p_z^2}{r^4 f} (a_2)_\pm \mp \kappa \frac{8p_z}{3r^3 f} V'_t v_\pm, \quad (29)$$

where the fields are defined as $v_\pm = v_x \pm i v_y$ and $(a_{1,2})_\pm = (a_{1,2})_x \pm i (a_{1,2})_y$. In order to obtain the retarded correlation functions we should perform a low momentum expansion of the fluctuation solutions, i.e.

$$v_\pm = v_\pm^{(0)} + p_z v_\pm^{(1)} + \dots, \quad (a_{1,2})_\pm = (a_{1,2})_\pm^{(0)} + p_z (a_{1,2})_\pm^{(1)} + \dots. \quad (30)$$

From Eqs. (27)-(29) one gets the corresponding equations at order $O(p_z^0)$ and $O(p_z)$, which can be solved by imposing the appropriate boundary conditions for the fluctuation fields. In general we should consider regularity of the fields up to the horizon, and the sourceless condition, which means that the fluctuations cannot modify the background fields at the boundary. Then

$$k_\mu(r_h) = \text{finite}, \quad k_\mu(r \rightarrow \infty) = 0, \quad (31)$$

for any of the fluctuation fields $k_\mu = v_\pm$ or $(a_{1,2})_\pm$. The only fluctuation that can be computed analytically is $(a_2)_\pm$, and the solution at order $O(p_z)$ reads

$$(a_2)_\pm^{(1)}(r) = \mp \kappa \frac{4}{3} \frac{\mu_V}{r_h^2} \log \left(1 + \frac{r_h^2}{r^2} \right), \quad (32)$$

²The equation for the scalar field is only affected by these perturbations at order $O(\epsilon^2)$.

where c is an integration constant. In a near boundary expansion, the fields behave as

$$v_{\pm}^{(1)} = C_{0,v} + \frac{\tilde{C}_{2,v}}{r^2} \log r + \frac{C_{2,v}}{r^2} + \dots, \quad (33)$$

$$(a_1)_{\pm}^{(1)} = C_{0,a_1} + \frac{\tilde{C}_{2,a_1}}{r^2} \log r + \frac{C_{2,a_1}}{r^2} + \dots, \quad (34)$$

$$(a_2)_{\pm}^{(1)} = C_{0,a_2} + \frac{\tilde{C}_{2,a_2}}{r^2} \log r + \frac{C_{2,a_2}}{r^2} + \dots. \quad (35)$$

The sourceless condition for the fluctuations imply $C_{0,v} = C_{0,a_1} = C_{0,a_2} = 0$. The other coefficients \tilde{C}_2, C_2 can be obtained from a numerical solution of the equations of motion of the fluctuations.

4.2 Conductivities

The correlators of Eq. (25) are contained in the coefficients $C_{2,v}, C_{2,a_1}$ and C_{2,a_2} . In particular, we have the following contributions

$$C_{2,v} = \lim_{p_z \rightarrow 0} \frac{i}{p_z} \sum_{Y=V,1,2} \langle J_Y J_Y \rangle|_{\omega=0}, \quad C_{2,a_1} = \lim_{p_z \rightarrow 0} \frac{i}{p_z} \sum_{Y=V,1,2} \langle J_1 J_Y \rangle|_{\omega=0}, \quad C_{2,a_2} = \lim_{p_z \rightarrow 0} \frac{i}{p_z} \sum_{Y=V,1,2} \langle J_2 J_Y \rangle|_{\omega=0}. \quad (36)$$

Using the relation between the conductivities in the (A, W) and (A_1, A_2) basis

$$\sigma_{1,2} \equiv \lim_{p_z \rightarrow 0} \frac{i}{p_z} \langle J_{1,2} J_V \rangle = \sigma_A \mp \sigma_W, \quad (37)$$

one can express them as

$$\sigma_V = \lim_{p_z \rightarrow 0} \frac{i}{p_z} \langle J_V J_V \rangle|_{\omega=0}, \quad \sigma_{A,W} = \lim_{p_z \rightarrow 0} \frac{i}{2p_z} (\langle J_2 J_V \rangle \pm \langle J_1 J_V \rangle)|_{\omega=0}, \quad (38)$$

where the sign \pm corresponds to the case σ_A and σ_W respectively. We show in Fig. 1 the result for the chiral conductivities of Eq. (38) after solving numerically the equations of motion of the fluctuations. We find a non zero value for $\sigma_W(M)$ in presence of explicit symmetry breaking, i.e. $M \neq 0$. In particular, we observe the following properties:

- $\sigma_W(0) = 0$.
- $\sigma_W(\infty) = \sigma_A(\infty) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_A(0)$.

The first property is just the expected behavior of the conductivity of the non-anomalous symmetry W in absence of symmetry breaking. The second one can be understood intuitively in the following way: In the basis (A_1, A_2) the CS term in the action is

$$S_{CS} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^5x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{\kappa}{6} (A_1 \wedge F_V \wedge F_V + A_2 \wedge F_V \wedge F_V) \right], \quad (39)$$

so that both symmetries A_1 and A_2 have a CS interaction. The scalar field however breaks only A_1 . At $M = 0$ there will be CSE for both A_1 and A_2 , but for large M , A_1 will be badly broken and the CSE in the J_1 current goes to zero.³ Since σ_1 vanishes at $M \rightarrow \infty$, we find from Eq. (37) the second property.

³See [29] for a similar effect.




Figure 1. Vector σ_V , axial σ_A and induced σ_W conductivities as a function of M/T (left) and M/μ_V (right). Left panel: we have considered $\mu_V = 0.4$, $\mu_A = 0.5$, $\mu_W = 0.6$ and $T = 1$. Right panel: We have considered the same values of the chemical potentials, for temperatures $T = 1$ (solid), $T = 0.5$ (dashed) and $T = 0.3$ (dot dashed).

5 Discussion and outlook

In this work we have studied the role played by the axial anomaly in the hydrodynamics of relativistic fluids in presence of *external magnetic fields*. The anomalous conductivities have been computed by using Kubo formulae. The most interesting result is the characterization of a novel phenomenon related to the possibility that, when symmetries are explicitly broken, anomalies can induce transport effects not only in anomalous currents, but also in non-anomalous ones, i.e. those with a vanishing divergence. We have studied this phenomenon at strong coupling in a holographic Einstein-Maxwell model in 5 dim supplemented with a pure gauge Chern-Simons term. The symmetry breaking is introduced through a scalar field which is dual to an operator \mathcal{O} with $\dim \mathcal{O} = 3$.

We plan to extend this study to other anomalous coefficients, like the chiral vortical conductivity. In addition, it would be interesting to check whether the mixed gauge-gravitational anomaly could induce any effect as well in non-anomalous currents. These and other issues will be addressed in detail in a forthcoming publication [30].

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank S.D. Chowdhury, J.R. David, K. Jensen, and especially K. Landsteiner for valuable discussions. This work has been supported by Plan Nacional de Altas Energías Spanish MINECO grant FPA2015-64041-C2-1-P, and by the Spanish Consolider Ingenio 2010 Programme CPAN (CSD2007-00042). I thank the Instituto de Física Teórica UAM/CSIC, Madrid, Spain, for their hospitality during the completion of the final stages of this work. The research of E.M. is supported by the European Union under a Marie Curie Intra-European fellowship (FP7-PEOPLE-2013-IEF) with project number PIEF-GA-2013-623006, and by the Universidad del País Vasco UPV/EHU, Bilbao, Spain, as a Visiting Professor.

References

- [1] P. Kovtun, J. Phys. **A45**, 473001 (2012)
- [2] K. Fukushima, D.E. Kharzeev, H.J. Warringa, Phys. Rev. **D78**, 074033 (2008)
- [3] D.T. Son, P. Surowka, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 191601 (2009)
- [4] I. Amado, K. Landsteiner, F. Pena-Benitez, JHEP **1105**, 081 (2011)

- [5] K. Landsteiner, E. Megias, F. Pena-Benitez, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **871**, 433 (2013)
- [6] S.D. Chowdhury, J.R. David, *JHEP* **11**, 048 (2015)
- [7] J.L. Manes, M. Valle, *JHEP* **01**, 008 (2013)
- [8] S. Bhattacharyya, V.E. Hubeny, S. Minwalla, M. Rangamani, *JHEP* **0802**, 045 (2008)
- [9] J. Erdmenger, M. Haack, M. Kaminski, A. Yarom, *JHEP* **01**, 055 (2009)
- [10] N. Banerjee et al., *JHEP* **01**, 094 (2011)
- [11] E. Megias, F. Pena-Benitez, *JHEP* **05**, 115 (2013)
- [12] N. Banerjee, J. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharyya, S. Jain, S. Minwalla, T. Sharma, *JHEP* **09**, 046 (2012)
- [13] K. Jensen, *Phys. Rev.* **D85**, 125017 (2012)
- [14] K. Jensen, M. Kaminski, P. Kovtun, R. Meyer, A. Ritz, A. Yarom, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 101601 (2012)
- [15] E. Megias, M. Valle, *JHEP* **11**, 005 (2014)
- [16] D.E. Kharzeev, H.J. Warringa, *Phys. Rev.* **D80**, 034028 (2009)
- [17] K. Landsteiner, E. Megias, F. Pena-Benitez, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 021601 (2011)
- [18] B. Keren-Zur, Y. Oz, *JHEP* **06**, 006 (2010)
- [19] G.M. Newman, D.T. Son, *Phys. Rev.* **D73**, 045006 (2006)
- [20] G. Basar, D.E. Kharzeev, H.U. Yee, *Phys. Rev.* **B89**, 035142 (2014)
- [21] K. Landsteiner, *Phys. Rev.* **B89**, 075124 (2014)
- [22] T. Kumura, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **42**, 1191 (1969)
- [23] K. Landsteiner, E. Megias, L. Melgar, F. Pena-Benitez, *JHEP* **09**, 121 (2011)
- [24] K. Landsteiner, E. Megias, L. Melgar, F. Pena-Benitez, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **343**, 012073 (2012)
- [25] K. Landsteiner, E. Megias, F. Pena-Benitez, *Phys. Rev.* **D90**, 065026 (2014)
- [26] D.T. Son, A.O. Starinets, *JHEP* **09**, 042 (2002)
- [27] C.P. Herzog, D.T. Son, *JHEP* **03**, 046 (2003)
- [28] M. Kaminski, K. Landsteiner, J. Mas, J.P. Shock, J. Tarrio, *JHEP* **02**, 021 (2010)
- [29] A. Jimenez-Alba, K. Landsteiner, Y. Liu, Y.W. Sun, *JHEP* **07**, 117 (2015)
- [30] E. Megias, work in progress (2016)